Histor	ic Preservation Commission		Uncertified Condensed Copy
			3
		1	MR. WELLS: Arimus Wells, Planning and
	CITY OF JACKSONVILLE	2	Development Department.
	HISTORIC PRESERVATION	3	MS. FIGUEROA: Brittany Figueroa, Planning
	COMMISSION	4	and Development Department.
		5	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thanks for
		6	coming, everyone.
	Proceedings held on Wednesday, June 26, 2024,	7	Before we get started with the agenda,
	commencing at 3:02 p.m., at the Ed Ball Building,	8	there's some business that we need to attend
	Hearing Room 1002, 214 North Hogan Street, Jacksonville,	9	to.
	Florida, before Diane M. Tropia, FPR, a Notary Public in	10	J.C., are you here?
	and for the State of Florida at Large.	-	
		11	MR. DEMETREE: (Indicating.)
	PRESENT:	12	THE CHAIRMAN: Front row, as it should be.
	MICHAEL MONTOYA, Acting Chair. ETHAN GREGORY, Secretary.	13	J.C. Demetree has been serving as a
	JULIA EPSTEIN, Commission Member. BECKY MORGAN, Commission Member.	14	commissioner with the Jacksonville Historic
	MAXIMILIAN GLOBER, Commission Member. WILLIAM HOFF, Commission Member.	15	Preservation Commission since, I believe,
		16	January 2017.
	ALSO PRESENT:	17	MR. DEMETREE: Yep.
	ARIMUS WELLS, Planning and Development Dept. BRITTANY FIGUEROA, Planning and Development.	18	THE CHAIRMAN: I think I can speak for
	CARLA LOPERA, Office of General Counsel.	19	everyone, although I'd like to hear from others
		20	as well, and say that we are going to miss you
		21	and you have really served the City well in
		22	your position on the Commission, and we just
		23	want to thank you for it.
		24	MR. DEMETREE: Thank you.
		25	(Applause.)
	Diane M. Tropia , Inc., Post Office Box 2375 , Jacksonville , FL 32203 (904) 821-0300	20	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
			(904) 821-0300
	0		
1	2 P R O C E E D I N G S		4
•	June 26, 2024 3:02 p.m.	1	MR. LEUTHOLD: Speech. Speech.
2	Julie 20, 2024 5.02 p.m.	2	MR. DEMETREE: All right. I will say
2		3	thank you. I appreciate everything.
3	THE CHAIRMAN: We'll call the meeting to	4	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
4	order. This is the June 26th meeting of the	5	MR. DEMETREE: I will say, you know, I've
5	Jacksonville Historic Preservation Commission	6	enjoyed very much being on this commission.
6	we're calling to order and welcoming each of	7	When I started in 2017, these meetings, on
7	you for attending today. Thank you.	8	average, were three to four hours, sometimes
8	Let the record reflect that it is now	9	longer. The first year that I chaired, we were
9	3:02.	10	down to under two hours. You know, those
10	We'll begin with some introductions, and	11	meetings, everybody had an ego. And I
11	let's start on the right.	12	encourage everybody up here to, you know, kind
12	COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Good afternoon.	13	of try and be yourself, but don't have an
13	Becky Morgan.	14	agenda and, you know, keep your egos low and
14	COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Max Glober,	15	keep working through it.
15	commissioner.	16	So I appreciate everything you guys have
16	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Bill Hoff,	17	done, staff, everybody, it's been an awesome
17	commissioner.		time, so thank you all.
18	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Ethan Gregory,	18	
19	commissioner.	19	(Applause.)
20	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Julia Epstein,	20	THE CHAIRMAN: Does anyone have a story to
21	commissioner.	21	tell about J.C.?
22	THE CHAIRMAN: Michael Montoya, vice	22	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll just say, it's
23	chair, acting as chair today.	23	been a pleasure, J.C. I loved having you as
24	MS. LOPERA: Carla Lopera, Office of	24	the chair and serving the City and helping with
25	General Counsel.	25	historic preservation. It's been an honor to
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
1	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300

Histor	ic Preservation Commission		Uncertified Condensed Copy
	5		7
1	serve with you here.	1	2768 Riverside Avenue; and then COA-24-30132,
2	MR. DEMETREE: Thank you.	2	the demolition request at 1002 Main Street
3	(Applause.)	3	North.
4	COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Former Chairman J.C.	4	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Excuse me. Does that
5	Demetree and I are personal friends outside of	5	mean that you're not going to be entertaining
6	this, and I'm going to miss him up here.	6	anything on those items today?
7	It's been great to kind of see you kind of	7	THE CHAIRMAN: We're just we're going
8	develop over the years, and you were a very	8	to hear that. We're going to allow everyone to
9	professional and poised chair, and I think you	9	speak to that, but we're expecting it to take
10	did the City a lot of good in this capacity,	10	some time
11	so love you, brother.	11	
	•		AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes, sir.
12	MR. DEMETREE: Thank you.	12	THE CHAIRMAN: and so we're moving it
13	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thanks a lot,	13	ahead of the consent agenda items that might be
14	J.C.	14	released
15	MR. DEMETREE: Thank you all.	15	AUDIENCE MEMBER: I understand.
16	THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to break from	16	THE CHAIRMAN: (inaudible).
17	the agenda with one item due to a personal	17	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Thank you.
18	issue. So we're going to move to COA	18	THE CHAIRMAN: Are there any commissioners
19	Okay. So before we do that, let's read	19	who want to speak on any other consent agenda
20	through the entire agenda.	20	items?
21	Please make sure, if you plan on speaking	21	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
22	today, that you filled out a speaker card and	22	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone from the
23	brought it up to the up front for us.	23	public who wants to speak on any of the other
24	The agenda today we have deferred	24	consent agenda items?
25	items: Number 1, COA-23-28339, 3664 Richmond	25	AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.)
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	6		8
1	Street; COA-23-29186, 2799 Selma Street;	1	THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward.
2	LM-24-03, 801 Jefferson Street North;	2	(Audience member approaches the podium.)
3	COA-24-30688, 3557 Pine Street.	3	THE CHAIRMAN: And state your name and
4	On the consent agenda, we have	4	address and be sworn in by Diane.
5	COA-24-30034, 450 5th Street East;	5	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hey. I
-	COA-24-30285, 4850 Moncrief Road; COA-24-30587,	6	·
6			THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. I need your name and address, please.
7	1044 Park Street; COA-24-30633, 133 3rd Street	7	
8	West; COA-24-30674, 1928 Morningside Street;	8	AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Nathan
9	COA-24-30830, 3115 Riverside Avenue;	9	Ballentine. I'm at 133 West 3rd Street, 32206.
10	COA-22-27451, 2768 Riverside Avenue;	10	THE REPORTER: Thank you.
11	COA-24-30132, 1002 Main Street North.	11	I am going to swear you in. If you would
12	And then we have previously deferred items	12	raise your right hand for me, please.
13	to be heard: COA-24-30533, 1826 Montgomery	13	MR. BALLENTINE: (Complies.)
14	Place. We have a historic designation to be	14	THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the
15	heard, LS-23-01, 538 Ellis Road South.	15	testimony you are about to give will be the
16	And then we have Certificates of	16	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
17		17	truth?
	Appropriateness to be heard: COA-24-30488, 907		
18	Copeland Street; COA-24-30720, 3575 Riverside	18	MR. BALLENTINE: Yes.
18 19	Copeland Street; COA-24-30720, 3575 Riverside Avenue; COA-24-30140, 1920 Montgomery Place.	19	THE REPORTER: Thank you.
18	Copeland Street; COA-24-30720, 3575 Riverside Avenue; COA-24-30140, 1920 Montgomery Place. And that's it.	19 20	THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. BALLENTINE: Hey, y'all.
18 19	Copeland Street; COA-24-30720, 3575 Riverside Avenue; COA-24-30140, 1920 Montgomery Place. And that's it. We'll start with the consent agenda. Is	19	THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. BALLENTINE: Hey, y'all. I just wanted to say thanks. I'm excited
18 19 20	Copeland Street; COA-24-30720, 3575 Riverside Avenue; COA-24-30140, 1920 Montgomery Place. And that's it. We'll start with the consent agenda. Is there anyone here we're going to go ahead	19 20 21 22	THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. BALLENTINE: Hey, y'all. I just wanted to say thanks. I'm excited to get our porch done. I came before y'all
18 19 20 21	Copeland Street; COA-24-30720, 3575 Riverside Avenue; COA-24-30140, 1920 Montgomery Place. And that's it. We'll start with the consent agenda. Is	19 20 21	THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. BALLENTINE: Hey, y'all. I just wanted to say thanks. I'm excited
18 19 20 21 22	Copeland Street; COA-24-30720, 3575 Riverside Avenue; COA-24-30140, 1920 Montgomery Place. And that's it. We'll start with the consent agenda. Is there anyone here we're going to go ahead	19 20 21 22	THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. BALLENTINE: Hey, y'all. I just wanted to say thanks. I'm excited to get our porch done. I came before y'all
18 19 20 21 22 23	Copeland Street; COA-24-30720, 3575 Riverside Avenue; COA-24-30140, 1920 Montgomery Place. And that's it. We'll start with the consent agenda. Is there anyone here we're going to go ahead and pull Item Numbers 7 and 8 off the consent	19 20 21 22 23	THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. BALLENTINE: Hey, y'all. I just wanted to say thanks. I'm excited to get our porch done. I came before y'all five years ago, and we're poor, so it took us
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Copeland Street; COA-24-30720, 3575 Riverside Avenue; COA-24-30140, 1920 Montgomery Place. And that's it. We'll start with the consent agenda. Is there anyone here we're going to go ahead and pull Item Numbers 7 and 8 off the consent agenda and move it to the COA agenda to be	19 20 21 22 23 24	THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. BALLENTINE: Hey, y'all. I just wanted to say thanks. I'm excited to get our porch done. I came before y'all five years ago, and we're poor, so it took us forever to get our porch done, but it's almost
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Copeland Street; COA-24-30720, 3575 Riverside Avenue; COA-24-30140, 1920 Montgomery Place. And that's it. We'll start with the consent agenda. Is there anyone here we're going to go ahead and pull Item Numbers 7 and 8 off the consent agenda and move it to the COA agenda to be heard. That's COA-22-27451, the demolition at	19 20 21 22 23 24	THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. BALLENTINE: Hey, y'all. I just wanted to say thanks. I'm excited to get our porch done. I came before y'all five years ago, and we're poor, so it took us forever to get our porch done, but it's almost done. We had all kinds of delays and such

	9		11
1	and and we're looking forward to having a	1	(Reporter inquiry.)
2	porch party and celebrating that, so	2	MR. WELLS: A little better?
3	Anyhow, really just wanted to say thank	3	During the site so staff did conduct a
4	you all and keep up the good work.	4	site visit for the subject property, and at
5	THE CHAIRMAN: If there's no one else here	5	that site visit the applicant stated that the
6	to speak on this particular item, we'll leave	6	windows are inoperable as they have storm
7	it on the consent agenda.	7	windows on the exterior and the windows are
8	AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)	8	deteriorated because of dirt in between the
9	THE CHAIRMAN: So having heard no one, do	9	windows and the exterior storm windows.
		-	
10	I hear a motion for the remaining items on the	10	In recent correspondence, the applicant
11	consent agenda?	11	has stated that no repair attempts have been
12	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Motion to approve	12	made. Because of such, staff recommends
13	the consent agenda as amended.	13	initiating window repair techniques to remedy
14	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second.	14	the issues of the subject windows being
15	THE CHAIRMAN: Any opposed?	15	inoperable and dirty.
16	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)	16	Once again, the windows did not appear
17	THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?	17	from our site visit to show signs of
18	COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.	18	inoperability or deterioration. As such, staff
19	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. So the consent	19	recommends removing the exterior storm windows,
20	agenda, items 1 through 6, are hereby approved.	20	cleaning the exterior of the windows using
21	If you're here on behalf of any of those,	21	nonabrasive cleaning methods, and installing
22	you're free to (inaudible) and you're welcome	22	interior storm windows, which can all be
23	to stay as well.	23	approved administratively.
23	•	23	
	So we're going to jump to the Certificates		Staff does not have an objection towards
25	of Appropriateness section for one particular	25	the proposed replacement material. However, in
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
_	10		12
1	1000 1000 1000 1000 1000	1	accordance with our (add criteria, deteriorated
1	item. That's COA-24-30140, 1920 Montgomery		accordance with our Code criteria, deteriorated
2	Place.	2	architectural features shall be repaired rather
	· – ·	2 3	
2	Place.		architectural features shall be repaired rather
2 3	Place. Could I have a staff report?	3	architectural features shall be repaired rather than replaced whenever possible. The
2 3 4	Place. Could I have a staff report? I should open the public hearing.	3 4	architectural features shall be repaired rather than replaced whenever possible. The applicant, once again, has stated no repair
2 3 4 5	Place. Could I have a staff report? I should open the public hearing. MR. WELLS: Thank you. This is application COA-24-30140 for the	3 4 5	architectural features shall be repaired rather than replaced whenever possible. The applicant, once again, has stated no repair attempts have been made. And based on the applicant's window survey form, the wood
2 3 4 5 6	Place. Could I have a staff report? I should open the public hearing. MR. WELLS: Thank you. This is application COA-24-30140 for the property located at 1920 Montgomery Place,	3 4 5 6	architectural features shall be repaired rather than replaced whenever possible. The applicant, once again, has stated no repair attempts have been made. And based on the applicant's window survey form, the wood windows appear to be in good or fair condition.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Place. Could I have a staff report? I should open the public hearing. MR. WELLS: Thank you. This is application COA-24-30140 for the property located at 1920 Montgomery Place, which seeks to replace 20 wood 1-over-1 windows	3 4 5 6 7 8	architectural features shall be repaired rather than replaced whenever possible. The applicant, once again, has stated no repair attempts have been made. And based on the applicant's window survey form, the wood windows appear to be in good or fair condition. The claim about the aluminum side jambs
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Place. Could I have a staff report? I should open the public hearing. MR. WELLS: Thank you. This is application COA-24-30140 for the property located at 1920 Montgomery Place, which seeks to replace 20 wood 1-over-1 windows with a vinyl clad, wood, 6-over-1 replacement	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	architectural features shall be repaired rather than replaced whenever possible. The applicant, once again, has stated no repair attempts have been made. And based on the applicant's window survey form, the wood windows appear to be in good or fair condition. The claim about the aluminum side jambs and having metal spring valances does not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Place. Could I have a staff report? I should open the public hearing. MR. WELLS: Thank you. This is application COA-24-30140 for the property located at 1920 Montgomery Place, which seeks to replace 20 wood 1-over-1 windows with a vinyl clad, wood, 6-over-1 replacement product.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	architectural features shall be repaired rather than replaced whenever possible. The applicant, once again, has stated no repair attempts have been made. And based on the applicant's window survey form, the wood windows appear to be in good or fair condition. The claim about the aluminum side jambs and having metal spring valances does not necessarily mean that the windows were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Place. Could I have a staff report? I should open the public hearing. MR. WELLS: Thank you. This is application COA-24-30140 for the property located at 1920 Montgomery Place, which seeks to replace 20 wood 1-over-1 windows with a vinyl clad, wood, 6-over-1 replacement product. This property was built in 1922 and it's	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	architectural features shall be repaired rather than replaced whenever possible. The applicant, once again, has stated no repair attempts have been made. And based on the applicant's window survey form, the wood windows appear to be in good or fair condition. The claim about the aluminum side jambs and having metal spring valances does not necessarily mean that the windows were nonoriginal. However, we do believe that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Place. Could I have a staff report? I should open the public hearing. MR. WELLS: Thank you. This is application COA-24-30140 for the property located at 1920 Montgomery Place, which seeks to replace 20 wood 1-over-1 windows with a vinyl clad, wood, 6-over-1 replacement product. This property was built in 1922 and it's characterized as being a prairie-style home	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	architectural features shall be repaired rather than replaced whenever possible. The applicant, once again, has stated no repair attempts have been made. And based on the applicant's window survey form, the wood windows appear to be in good or fair condition. The claim about the aluminum side jambs and having metal spring valances does not necessarily mean that the windows were nonoriginal. However, we do believe that the wood windows in their existing condition have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Place. Could I have a staff report? I should open the public hearing. MR. WELLS: Thank you. This is application COA-24-30140 for the property located at 1920 Montgomery Place, which seeks to replace 20 wood 1-over-1 windows with a vinyl clad, wood, 6-over-1 replacement product. This property was built in 1922 and it's characterized as being a prairie-style home with an intersecting hip roof form, wood	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	architectural features shall be repaired rather than replaced whenever possible. The applicant, once again, has stated no repair attempts have been made. And based on the applicant's window survey form, the wood windows appear to be in good or fair condition. The claim about the aluminum side jambs and having metal spring valances does not necessarily mean that the windows were nonoriginal. However, we do believe that the wood windows in their existing condition have acquired historic significance. And in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Place. Could I have a staff report? I should open the public hearing. MR. WELLS: Thank you. This is application COA-24-30140 for the property located at 1920 Montgomery Place, which seeks to replace 20 wood 1-over-1 windows with a vinyl clad, wood, 6-over-1 replacement product. This property was built in 1922 and it's characterized as being a prairie-style home with an intersecting hip roof form, wood shingle exterior, decorative shutters, and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	architectural features shall be repaired rather than replaced whenever possible. The applicant, once again, has stated no repair attempts have been made. And based on the applicant's window survey form, the wood windows appear to be in good or fair condition. The claim about the aluminum side jambs and having metal spring valances does not necessarily mean that the windows were nonoriginal. However, we do believe that the wood windows in their existing condition have acquired historic significance. And in accordance with our Code criteria and our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Place. Could I have a staff report? I should open the public hearing. MR. WELLS: Thank you. This is application COA-24-30140 for the property located at 1920 Montgomery Place, which seeks to replace 20 wood 1-over-1 windows with a vinyl clad, wood, 6-over-1 replacement product. This property was built in 1922 and it's characterized as being a prairie-style home with an intersecting hip roof form, wood shingle exterior, decorative shutters, and 1-over-1 windows. As proposed, once again, the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	architectural features shall be repaired rather than replaced whenever possible. The applicant, once again, has stated no repair attempts have been made. And based on the applicant's window survey form, the wood windows appear to be in good or fair condition. The claim about the aluminum side jambs and having metal spring valances does not necessarily mean that the windows were nonoriginal. However, we do believe that the wood windows in their existing condition have acquired historic significance. And in accordance with our Code criteria and our design guidelines, we are recommending approval
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Place. Could I have a staff report? I should open the public hearing. MR. WELLS: Thank you. This is application COA-24-30140 for the property located at 1920 Montgomery Place, which seeks to replace 20 wood 1-over-1 windows with a vinyl clad, wood, 6-over-1 replacement product. This property was built in 1922 and it's characterized as being a prairie-style home with an intersecting hip roof form, wood shingle exterior, decorative shutters, and 1-over-1 windows. As proposed, once again, the applicant is seeking to replace 20 wood,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	architectural features shall be repaired rather than replaced whenever possible. The applicant, once again, has stated no repair attempts have been made. And based on the applicant's window survey form, the wood windows appear to be in good or fair condition. The claim about the aluminum side jambs and having metal spring valances does not necessarily mean that the windows were nonoriginal. However, we do believe that the wood windows in their existing condition have acquired historic significance. And in accordance with our Code criteria and our design guidelines, we are recommending approval of the denial of the application, my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Place. Could I have a staff report? I should open the public hearing. MR. WELLS: Thank you. This is application COA-24-30140 for the property located at 1920 Montgomery Place, which seeks to replace 20 wood 1-over-1 windows with a vinyl clad, wood, 6-over-1 replacement product. This property was built in 1922 and it's characterized as being a prairie-style home with an intersecting hip roof form, wood shingle exterior, decorative shutters, and 1-over-1 windows. As proposed, once again, the applicant is seeking to replace 20 wood, 1-over-1 windows with a 6-over-1 grid pattern	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	architectural features shall be repaired rather than replaced whenever possible. The applicant, once again, has stated no repair attempts have been made. And based on the applicant's window survey form, the wood windows appear to be in good or fair condition. The claim about the aluminum side jambs and having metal spring valances does not necessarily mean that the windows were nonoriginal. However, we do believe that the wood windows in their existing condition have acquired historic significance. And in accordance with our Code criteria and our design guidelines, we are recommending approval of the denial of the application, my apologies.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Place. Could I have a staff report? I should open the public hearing. MR. WELLS: Thank you. This is application COA-24-30140 for the property located at 1920 Montgomery Place, which seeks to replace 20 wood 1-over-1 windows with a vinyl clad, wood, 6-over-1 replacement product. This property was built in 1922 and it's characterized as being a prairie-style home with an intersecting hip roof form, wood shingle exterior, decorative shutters, and 1-over-1 windows. As proposed, once again, the applicant is seeking to replace 20 wood, 1-over-1 windows with a 6-over-1 grid pattern vinyl product.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	architectural features shall be repaired rather than replaced whenever possible. The applicant, once again, has stated no repair attempts have been made. And based on the applicant's window survey form, the wood windows appear to be in good or fair condition. The claim about the aluminum side jambs and having metal spring valances does not necessarily mean that the windows were nonoriginal. However, we do believe that the wood windows in their existing condition have acquired historic significance. And in accordance with our Code criteria and our design guidelines, we are recommending approval of the denial of the application, my apologies. End of staff report.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Place. Could I have a staff report? I should open the public hearing. MR. WELLS: Thank you. This is application COA-24-30140 for the property located at 1920 Montgomery Place, which seeks to replace 20 wood 1-over-1 windows with a vinyl clad, wood, 6-over-1 replacement product. This property was built in 1922 and it's characterized as being a prairie-style home with an intersecting hip roof form, wood shingle exterior, decorative shutters, and 1-over-1 windows. As proposed, once again, the applicant is seeking to replace 20 wood, 1-over-1 windows with a 6-over-1 grid pattern vinyl product. According to the applicant, they believe	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	architectural features shall be repaired rather than replaced whenever possible. The applicant, once again, has stated no repair attempts have been made. And based on the applicant's window survey form, the wood windows appear to be in good or fair condition. The claim about the aluminum side jambs and having metal spring valances does not necessarily mean that the windows were nonoriginal. However, we do believe that the wood windows in their existing condition have acquired historic significance. And in accordance with our Code criteria and our design guidelines, we are recommending approval of the denial of the application, my apologies. End of staff report. THE CHAIRMAN: Do any commissioners have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Place. Could I have a staff report? I should open the public hearing. MR. WELLS: Thank you. This is application COA-24-30140 for the property located at 1920 Montgomery Place, which seeks to replace 20 wood 1-over-1 windows with a vinyl clad, wood, 6-over-1 replacement product. This property was built in 1922 and it's characterized as being a prairie-style home with an intersecting hip roof form, wood shingle exterior, decorative shutters, and 1-over-1 windows. As proposed, once again, the applicant is seeking to replace 20 wood, 1-over-1 windows with a 6-over-1 grid pattern vinyl product. According to the applicant, they believe that 20 wood windows on the structure are	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	architectural features shall be repaired rather than replaced whenever possible. The applicant, once again, has stated no repair attempts have been made. And based on the applicant's window survey form, the wood windows appear to be in good or fair condition. The claim about the aluminum side jambs and having metal spring valances does not necessarily mean that the windows were nonoriginal. However, we do believe that the wood windows in their existing condition have acquired historic significance. And in accordance with our Code criteria and our design guidelines, we are recommending approval of the denial of the application, my apologies. End of staff report. THE CHAIRMAN: Do any commissioners have any questions for staff?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Place. Could I have a staff report? I should open the public hearing. MR. WELLS: Thank you. This is application COA-24-30140 for the property located at 1920 Montgomery Place, which seeks to replace 20 wood 1-over-1 windows with a vinyl clad, wood, 6-over-1 replacement product. This property was built in 1922 and it's characterized as being a prairie-style home with an intersecting hip roof form, wood shingle exterior, decorative shutters, and 1-over-1 windows. As proposed, once again, the applicant is seeking to replace 20 wood, 1-over-1 windows with a 6-over-1 grid pattern vinyl product. According to the applicant, they believe that 20 wood windows on the structure are nonoriginal because they were (inaudible) in	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	architectural features shall be repaired rather than replaced whenever possible. The applicant, once again, has stated no repair attempts have been made. And based on the applicant's window survey form, the wood windows appear to be in good or fair condition. The claim about the aluminum side jambs and having metal spring valances does not necessarily mean that the windows were nonoriginal. However, we do believe that the wood windows in their existing condition have acquired historic significance. And in accordance with our Code criteria and our design guidelines, we are recommending approval of the denial of the application, my apologies. End of staff report. THE CHAIRMAN: Do any commissioners have any questions for staff? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah. Through the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Place. Could I have a staff report? I should open the public hearing. MR. WELLS: Thank you. This is application COA-24-30140 for the property located at 1920 Montgomery Place, which seeks to replace 20 wood 1-over-1 windows with a vinyl clad, wood, 6-over-1 replacement product. This property was built in 1922 and it's characterized as being a prairie-style home with an intersecting hip roof form, wood shingle exterior, decorative shutters, and 1-over-1 windows. As proposed, once again, the applicant is seeking to replace 20 wood, 1-over-1 windows with a 6-over-1 grid pattern vinyl product. According to the applicant, they believe that 20 wood windows on the structure are nonoriginal because they were (inaudible) in the 1970s, have aluminum side jambs, and have	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	architectural features shall be repaired rather than replaced whenever possible. The applicant, once again, has stated no repair attempts have been made. And based on the applicant's window survey form, the wood windows appear to be in good or fair condition. The claim about the aluminum side jambs and having metal spring valances does not necessarily mean that the windows were nonoriginal. However, we do believe that the wood windows in their existing condition have acquired historic significance. And in accordance with our Code criteria and our design guidelines, we are recommending approval of the denial of the application, my apologies. End of staff report. THE CHAIRMAN: Do any commissioners have any questions for staff? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah. Through the Chair, did you have any objection to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Place. Could I have a staff report? I should open the public hearing. MR. WELLS: Thank you. This is application COA-24-30140 for the property located at 1920 Montgomery Place, which seeks to replace 20 wood 1-over-1 windows with a vinyl clad, wood, 6-over-1 replacement product. This property was built in 1922 and it's characterized as being a prairie-style home with an intersecting hip roof form, wood shingle exterior, decorative shutters, and 1-over-1 windows. As proposed, once again, the applicant is seeking to replace 20 wood, 1-over-1 windows with a 6-over-1 grid pattern vinyl product. According to the applicant, they believe that 20 wood windows on the structure are nonoriginal because they were (inaudible) in the 1970s, have aluminum side jambs, and have metal (inaudible)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	architectural features shall be repaired rather than replaced whenever possible. The applicant, once again, has stated no repair attempts have been made. And based on the applicant's window survey form, the wood windows appear to be in good or fair condition. The claim about the aluminum side jambs and having metal spring valances does not necessarily mean that the windows were nonoriginal. However, we do believe that the wood windows in their existing condition have acquired historic significance. And in accordance with our Code criteria and our design guidelines, we are recommending approval of the denial of the application, my apologies. End of staff report. THE CHAIRMAN: Do any commissioners have any questions for staff? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah. Through the Chair, did you have any objection to the 6-over-1 replacement or did was the 6-over-1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Place. Could I have a staff report? I should open the public hearing. MR. WELLS: Thank you. This is application COA-24-30140 for the property located at 1920 Montgomery Place, which seeks to replace 20 wood 1-over-1 windows with a vinyl clad, wood, 6-over-1 replacement product. This property was built in 1922 and it's characterized as being a prairie-style home with an intersecting hip roof form, wood shingle exterior, decorative shutters, and 1-over-1 windows. As proposed, once again, the applicant is seeking to replace 20 wood, 1-over-1 windows with a 6-over-1 grid pattern vinyl product. According to the applicant, they believe that 20 wood windows on the structure are nonoriginal because they were (inaudible) in the 1970s, have aluminum side jambs, and have metal (inaudible) AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	architectural features shall be repaired rather than replaced whenever possible. The applicant, once again, has stated no repair attempts have been made. And based on the applicant's window survey form, the wood windows appear to be in good or fair condition. The claim about the aluminum side jambs and having metal spring valances does not necessarily mean that the windows were nonoriginal. However, we do believe that the wood windows in their existing condition have acquired historic significance. And in accordance with our Code criteria and our design guidelines, we are recommending approval of the denial of the application, my apologies. End of staff report. THE CHAIRMAN: Do any commissioners have any questions for staff? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah. Through the Chair, did you have any objection to the 6-over-1 replacement or did was the 6-over-1 okay as far as if they were to do a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Place. Could I have a staff report? I should open the public hearing. MR. WELLS: Thank you. This is application COA-24-30140 for the property located at 1920 Montgomery Place, which seeks to replace 20 wood 1-over-1 windows with a vinyl clad, wood, 6-over-1 replacement product. This property was built in 1922 and it's characterized as being a prairie-style home with an intersecting hip roof form, wood shingle exterior, decorative shutters, and 1-over-1 windows. As proposed, once again, the applicant is seeking to replace 20 wood, 1-over-1 windows with a 6-over-1 grid pattern vinyl product. According to the applicant, they believe that 20 wood windows on the structure are nonoriginal because they were (inaudible) in the 1970s, have aluminum side jambs, and have metal (inaudible) AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yeah.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	architectural features shall be repaired rather than replaced whenever possible. The applicant, once again, has stated no repair attempts have been made. And based on the applicant's window survey form, the wood windows appear to be in good or fair condition. The claim about the aluminum side jambs and having metal spring valances does not necessarily mean that the windows were nonoriginal. However, we do believe that the wood windows in their existing condition have acquired historic significance. And in accordance with our Code criteria and our design guidelines, we are recommending approval of the denial of the application, my apologies. End of staff report. THE CHAIRMAN: Do any commissioners have any questions for staff? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah. Through the Chair, did you have any objection to the 6-over-1 replacement or did was the 6-over-1 okay as far as if they were to do a replacement?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Place. Could I have a staff report? I should open the public hearing. MR. WELLS: Thank you. This is application COA-24-30140 for the property located at 1920 Montgomery Place, which seeks to replace 20 wood 1-over-1 windows with a vinyl clad, wood, 6-over-1 replacement product. This property was built in 1922 and it's characterized as being a prairie-style home with an intersecting hip roof form, wood shingle exterior, decorative shutters, and 1-over-1 windows. As proposed, once again, the applicant is seeking to replace 20 wood, 1-over-1 windows with a 6-over-1 grid pattern vinyl product. According to the applicant, they believe that 20 wood windows on the structure are nonoriginal because they were (inaudible) in the 1970s, have aluminum side jambs, and have metal (inaudible) AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yeah. Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	architectural features shall be repaired rather than replaced whenever possible. The applicant, once again, has stated no repair attempts have been made. And based on the applicant's window survey form, the wood windows appear to be in good or fair condition. The claim about the aluminum side jambs and having metal spring valances does not necessarily mean that the windows were nonoriginal. However, we do believe that the wood windows in their existing condition have acquired historic significance. And in accordance with our Code criteria and our design guidelines, we are recommending approval of the denial of the application, my apologies. End of staff report. THE CHAIRMAN: Do any commissioners have any questions for staff? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah. Through the Chair, did you have any objection to the 6-over-1 replacement or did was the 6-over-1 okay as far as if they were to do a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Place. Could I have a staff report? I should open the public hearing. MR. WELLS: Thank you. This is application COA-24-30140 for the property located at 1920 Montgomery Place, which seeks to replace 20 wood 1-over-1 windows with a vinyl clad, wood, 6-over-1 replacement product. This property was built in 1922 and it's characterized as being a prairie-style home with an intersecting hip roof form, wood shingle exterior, decorative shutters, and 1-over-1 windows. As proposed, once again, the applicant is seeking to replace 20 wood, 1-over-1 windows with a 6-over-1 grid pattern vinyl product. According to the applicant, they believe that 20 wood windows on the structure are nonoriginal because they were (inaudible) in the 1970s, have aluminum side jambs, and have metal (inaudible) AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yeah.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	architectural features shall be repaired rather than replaced whenever possible. The applicant, once again, has stated no repair attempts have been made. And based on the applicant's window survey form, the wood windows appear to be in good or fair condition. The claim about the aluminum side jambs and having metal spring valances does not necessarily mean that the windows were nonoriginal. However, we do believe that the wood windows in their existing condition have acquired historic significance. And in accordance with our Code criteria and our design guidelines, we are recommending approval of the denial of the application, my apologies. End of staff report. THE CHAIRMAN: Do any commissioners have any questions for staff? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah. Through the Chair, did you have any objection to the 6-over-1 replacement or did was the 6-over-1 okay as far as if they were to do a replacement?

City of Jacksonville Historic Preservation Commission June 26, 2024 Uncertified Condensed Copy

11

HISTOR	ic Preservation Commission	1	Uncertified Condensed Copy
	13		15
1	MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to	1	replacement. Probably (inaudible) sash and
2	Commissioner Gregory, just based on the subject	2	door. They have flat glass; they do not have
3	site the subject structure itself, there's	3	wavy glass. They have springs in the slides,
4	been a combination of alterations. And all we	4	which was not around then. It always had
5	could find the wood, 1-over-1 windows appear	5	weights inside the jambs. That's the way they
6	to be the predominant style, but we do	6	were. And there's a picture of one that shows
7	recognize that 6-over-1, there is an abundance	7	a metal slide, and that's in a 1926 house
		-	across the street. So these windows are not
8	of that too, so we we're fine with the	8	
9	6-over-1 grid pattern.	9	original. They're 1960s windows.
10	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for	10	The second thing, somehow and I don't
11	staff?	11	understand it. They're saying that these have
12	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)	12	become historical. I don't understand how
13	THE CHAIRMAN: Since there are no more	13	something that's replaced after 40 to 50 years
14	questions of staff, we'll hear from the	14	can become historical. That's something I've
15	applicant.	15	never heard of.
16	Is the applicant here?	16	There was talk of taking these storm
17	AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.)	17	windows off and putting interior storm windows
18	THE CHAIRMAN: Please approach and be	18	on. That's something I've never heard of in 45
19	sworn in.	19	years. What is an interior storm window?
20	(Audience member approaches the podium.)		These storm windows are horrible. They're
	,	20	
21	THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and	21	mounted on the outside of the jambs. They are
22	address for the record.	22	very proud, look like look terrible. It's
23	AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Jeff	23	just a bad system. And we're trying to put in
24	Thompson, 1942 Hamilton Street.	24	a new system, an Andersen window system. We'd
25	Can I hand some pictures to you?	25	like to put in 6-over-1 because that's the
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	14		16
1	THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in	1	that's what is in all the neighborhoods. All
1 2		1 2	-
	THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in	1 2 3	that's what is in all the neighborhoods. All the 1920s houses had 6-over-1. The old houses
2	THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Sir?	_	that's what is in all the neighborhoods. All the 1920s houses had 6-over-1. The old houses across the street, we don't know what was in
2 3	THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Sir? THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in	3 4	that's what is in all the neighborhoods. All the 1920s houses had 6-over-1. The old houses across the street, we don't know what was in there original [sic] because they were
2 3 4 5	THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Sir? THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first.	3 4 5	that's what is in all the neighborhoods. All the 1920s houses had 6-over-1. The old houses across the street, we don't know what was in there original [sic] because they were they've been gone for 40 years, 50 years.
2 3 4 5 6	THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Sir? THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. I'll set them right	3 4	that's what is in all the neighborhoods. All the 1920s houses had 6-over-1. The old houses across the street, we don't know what was in there original [sic] because they were they've been gone for 40 years, 50 years. But these windows, the new windows we're
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Sir? THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. I'll set them right there.	3 4 5 6 7	that's what is in all the neighborhoods. All the 1920s houses had 6-over-1. The old houses across the street, we don't know what was in there original [sic] because they were they've been gone for 40 years, 50 years. But these windows, the new windows we're going to put in are Andersen windows, and they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Sir? THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. I'll set them right there. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your	3 4 5 6 7 8	that's what is in all the neighborhoods. All the 1920s houses had 6-over-1. The old houses across the street, we don't know what was in there original [sic] because they were they've been gone for 40 years, 50 years. But these windows, the new windows we're going to put in are Andersen windows, and they come [sic] to all the new specifications.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Sir? THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. I'll set them right there. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that's what is in all the neighborhoods. All the 1920s houses had 6-over-1. The old houses across the street, we don't know what was in there original [sic] because they were they've been gone for 40 years, 50 years. But these windows, the new windows we're going to put in are Andersen windows, and they come [sic] to all the new specifications. Any questions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Sir? THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. I'll set them right there. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. THOMPSON: (Complies.)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that's what is in all the neighborhoods. All the 1920s houses had 6-over-1. The old houses across the street, we don't know what was in there original [sic] because they were they've been gone for 40 years, 50 years. But these windows, the new windows we're going to put in are Andersen windows, and they come [sic] to all the new specifications. Any questions? THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Sir? THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. I'll set them right there. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. THOMPSON: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that's what is in all the neighborhoods. All the 1920s houses had 6-over-1. The old houses across the street, we don't know what was in there original [sic] because they were they've been gone for 40 years, 50 years. But these windows, the new windows we're going to put in are Andersen windows, and they come [sic] to all the new specifications. Any questions? THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the commissioners for the applicant?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Sir? THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. I'll set them right there. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. THOMPSON: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that's what is in all the neighborhoods. All the 1920s houses had 6-over-1. The old houses across the street, we don't know what was in there original [sic] because they were they've been gone for 40 years, 50 years. But these windows, the new windows we're going to put in are Andersen windows, and they come [sic] to all the new specifications. Any questions? THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the commissioners for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Sir? THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. I'll set them right there. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. THOMPSON: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the	- 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that's what is in all the neighborhoods. All the 1920s houses had 6-over-1. The old houses across the street, we don't know what was in there original [sic] because they were they've been gone for 40 years, 50 years. But these windows, the new windows we're going to put in are Andersen windows, and they come [sic] to all the new specifications. Any questions? THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the commissioners for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) MR. THOMPSON: The pictures show all the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Sir? THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. I'll set them right there. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. THOMPSON: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?	- 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that's what is in all the neighborhoods. All the 1920s houses had 6-over-1. The old houses across the street, we don't know what was in there original [sic] because they were they've been gone for 40 years, 50 years. But these windows, the new windows we're going to put in are Andersen windows, and they come [sic] to all the new specifications. Any questions? THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the commissioners for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) MR. THOMPSON: The pictures show all the mechanical slides, and it allows stuff to get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Sir? THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. I'll set them right there. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. THOMPSON: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. THOMPSON: I do.	- 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that's what is in all the neighborhoods. All the 1920s houses had 6-over-1. The old houses across the street, we don't know what was in there original [sic] because they were they've been gone for 40 years, 50 years. But these windows, the new windows we're going to put in are Andersen windows, and they come [sic] to all the new specifications. Any questions? THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the commissioners for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) MR. THOMPSON: The pictures show all the mechanical slides, and it allows stuff to get trapped in there. You can't open them very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Sir? THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. I'll set them right there. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. THOMPSON: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. THOMPSON: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you.	- 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that's what is in all the neighborhoods. All the 1920s houses had 6-over-1. The old houses across the street, we don't know what was in there original [sic] because they were they've been gone for 40 years, 50 years. But these windows, the new windows we're going to put in are Andersen windows, and they come [sic] to all the new specifications. Any questions? THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the commissioners for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) MR. THOMPSON: The pictures show all the mechanical slides, and it allows stuff to get trapped in there. You can't open them very well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Sir? THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. I'll set them right there. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. THOMPSON: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. THOMPSON: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. THOMPSON: So I just submitted three	- 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that's what is in all the neighborhoods. All the 1920s houses had 6-over-1. The old houses across the street, we don't know what was in there original [sic] because they were they've been gone for 40 years, 50 years. But these windows, the new windows we're going to put in are Andersen windows, and they come [sic] to all the new specifications. Any questions? THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the commissioners for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) MR. THOMPSON: The pictures show all the mechanical slides, and it allows stuff to get trapped in there. You can't open them very well. The windows do work, they don't work well
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Sir? THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. I'll set them right there. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. THOMPSON: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. THOMPSON: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you.	- 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that's what is in all the neighborhoods. All the 1920s houses had 6-over-1. The old houses across the street, we don't know what was in there original [sic] because they were they've been gone for 40 years, 50 years. But these windows, the new windows we're going to put in are Andersen windows, and they come [sic] to all the new specifications. Any questions? THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the commissioners for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) MR. THOMPSON: The pictures show all the mechanical slides, and it allows stuff to get trapped in there. You can't open them very well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Sir? THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. I'll set them right there. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. THOMPSON: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. THOMPSON: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. THOMPSON: So I just submitted three	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that's what is in all the neighborhoods. All the 1920s houses had 6-over-1. The old houses across the street, we don't know what was in there original [sic] because they were they've been gone for 40 years, 50 years. But these windows, the new windows we're going to put in are Andersen windows, and they come [sic] to all the new specifications. Any questions? THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the commissioners for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) MR. THOMPSON: The pictures show all the mechanical slides, and it allows stuff to get trapped in there. You can't open them very well. The windows do work, they don't work well
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Sir? THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. I'll set them right there. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. THOMPSON: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. THOMPSON: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. THOMPSON: So I just submitted three pictures. The windows were replaced in the mid	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that's what is in all the neighborhoods. All the 1920s houses had 6-over-1. The old houses across the street, we don't know what was in there original [sic] because they were they've been gone for 40 years, 50 years. But these windows, the new windows we're going to put in are Andersen windows, and they come [sic] to all the new specifications. Any questions? THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the commissioners for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) MR. THOMPSON: The pictures show all the mechanical slides, and it allows stuff to get trapped in there. You can't open them very well. The windows do work, they don't work well and never will, never have, and that's why we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Sir? THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. I'll set them right there. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. THOMPSON: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. THOMPSON: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. THOMPSON: So I just submitted three pictures. The windows were replaced in the mid '60s. The house was bought right after Dora,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that's what is in all the neighborhoods. All the 1920s houses had 6-over-1. The old houses across the street, we don't know what was in there original [sic] because they were they've been gone for 40 years, 50 years. But these windows, the new windows we're going to put in are Andersen windows, and they come [sic] to all the new specifications. Any questions? THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the commissioners for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) MR. THOMPSON: The pictures show all the mechanical slides, and it allows stuff to get trapped in there. You can't open them very well. The windows do work, they don't work well and never will, never have, and that's why we want to put a modern, insulated window in that is up to new standards, that look like the old
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Sir? THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. I'll set them right there. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. THOMPSON: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. THOMPSON: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. THOMPSON: So I just submitted three pictures. The windows were replaced in the mid '60s. The house was bought right after Dora, in the early '60s. All the windows were replaced. The house was completely remodeled.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that's what is in all the neighborhoods. All the 1920s houses had 6-over-1. The old houses across the street, we don't know what was in there original [sic] because they were they've been gone for 40 years, 50 years. But these windows, the new windows we're going to put in are Andersen windows, and they come [sic] to all the new specifications. Any questions? THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the commissioners for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) MR. THOMPSON: The pictures show all the mechanical slides, and it allows stuff to get trapped in there. You can't open them very well. The windows do work, they don't work well and never will, never have, and that's why we want to put a modern, insulated window in that is up to new standards, that look like the old ones. Probably the better more like the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Sir? THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. I'll set them right there. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. THOMPSON: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. THOMPSON: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. THOMPSON: So I just submitted three pictures. The windows were replaced in the mid '60s. The house was bought right after Dora, in the early '60s. All the windows were replaced. The house was completely remodeled. These windows are, obviously	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that's what is in all the neighborhoods. All the 1920s houses had 6-over-1. The old houses across the street, we don't know what was in there original [sic] because they were they've been gone for 40 years, 50 years. But these windows, the new windows we're going to put in are Andersen windows, and they come [sic] to all the new specifications. Any questions? THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the commissioners for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) MR. THOMPSON: The pictures show all the mechanical slides, and it allows stuff to get trapped in there. You can't open them very well. The windows do work, they don't work well and never will, never have, and that's why we want to put a modern, insulated window in that is up to new standards, that look like the old ones. Probably the better more like the original ones than what's in there now.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Sir? THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. I'll set them right there. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. THOMPSON: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. THOMPSON: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. THOMPSON: So I just submitted three pictures. The windows were replaced in the mid '60s. The house was bought right after Dora, in the early '60s. All the windows were replaced. The house was completely remodeled. These windows are, obviously I've been doing contracting in Avondale	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that's what is in all the neighborhoods. All the 1920s houses had 6-over-1. The old houses across the street, we don't know what was in there original [sic] because they were they've been gone for 40 years, 50 years. But these windows, the new windows we're going to put in are Andersen windows, and they come [sic] to all the new specifications. Any questions? THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the commissioners for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) MR. THOMPSON: The pictures show all the mechanical slides, and it allows stuff to get trapped in there. You can't open them very well. The windows do work, they don't work well and never will, never have, and that's why we want to put a modern, insulated window in that is up to new standards, that look like the old ones. Probably the better more like the original ones than what's in there now. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Sir? THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. I'll set them right there. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. THOMPSON: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. THOMPSON: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. THOMPSON: So I just submitted three pictures. The windows were replaced in the mid '60s. The house was bought right after Dora, in the early '60s. All the windows were replaced. The house was completely remodeled. These windows are, obviously I've been doing contracting in Avondale and Ortega for 45 years. These windows are,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 23 24	that's what is in all the neighborhoods. All the 1920s houses had 6-over-1. The old houses across the street, we don't know what was in there original [sic] because they were they've been gone for 40 years, 50 years. But these windows, the new windows we're going to put in are Andersen windows, and they come [sic] to all the new specifications. Any questions? THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the commissioners for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) MR. THOMPSON: The pictures show all the mechanical slides, and it allows stuff to get trapped in there. You can't open them very well. The windows do work, they don't work well and never will, never have, and that's why we want to put a modern, insulated window in that is up to new standards, that look like the old ones. Probably the better more like the original ones than what's in there now. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Sir? THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. I'll set them right there. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. THOMPSON: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. THOMPSON: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. THOMPSON: So I just submitted three pictures. The windows were replaced in the mid '60s. The house was bought right after Dora, in the early '60s. All the windows were replaced. The house was completely remodeled. These windows are, obviously I've been doing contracting in Avondale and Ortega for 45 years. These windows are, obviously, not original windows. They are a	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>that's what is in all the neighborhoods. All the 1920s houses had 6-over-1. The old houses across the street, we don't know what was in there original [sic] because they were they've been gone for 40 years, 50 years. But these windows, the new windows we're going to put in are Andersen windows, and they come [sic] to all the new specifications. Any questions? THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the commissioners for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) MR. THOMPSON: The pictures show all the mechanical slides, and it allows stuff to get trapped in there. You can't open them very well. The windows do work, they don't work well and never will, never have, and that's why we want to put a modern, insulated window in that is up to new standards, that look like the old ones. Probably the better more like the original ones than what's in there now. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here to speak on this item?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Sir? THE CHAIRMAN: She needs to swear you in first. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. I'll set them right there. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. THOMPSON: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. THOMPSON: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. THOMPSON: So I just submitted three pictures. The windows were replaced in the mid '60s. The house was bought right after Dora, in the early '60s. All the windows were replaced. The house was completely remodeled. These windows are, obviously I've been doing contracting in Avondale and Ortega for 45 years. These windows are,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 23 24	that's what is in all the neighborhoods. All the 1920s houses had 6-over-1. The old houses across the street, we don't know what was in there original [sic] because they were they've been gone for 40 years, 50 years. But these windows, the new windows we're going to put in are Andersen windows, and they come [sic] to all the new specifications. Any questions? THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the commissioners for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) MR. THOMPSON: The pictures show all the mechanical slides, and it allows stuff to get trapped in there. You can't open them very well. The windows do work, they don't work well and never will, never have, and that's why we want to put a modern, insulated window in that is up to new standards, that look like the old ones. Probably the better more like the original ones than what's in there now. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here

	17		19
1	AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)	1	a lot of significance here.
2	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. So we have	2	THE CHAIRMAN: I have a question for
3	Hearing none, we'll close the public	3	staff, too, based on the applicant's
4	hearing.	4	presentation.
5	And so we have an application here,	5	There's a discrepancy, obviously, in the
6	COA-24-30140, 1920 Montgomery Place. To get us	6	record between the opinion of staff, based on
7	started, do I have a motion to accept the	7	the findings, and the evidence available, and
8	recommendation in the staff report?	8	the opinion of the applicant in terms of the
9	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Motion to deny	9	age and originality of the windows
10	COA-24-30140 at 1920 Montgomery Place.	10	the existing the windows that are in the
11	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second.	11	structure now.
12	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Some	12	Is there any what's the recourse here?
13	discussion?	13	This is an open question because that is I
14	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Through the vice	14	know that, as staff, if you're not I
15	chair, question for staff. The applicant	15	understand that if you're not presented with
16	mentioned how he was unclear how a wood window	16	real evidence, you have to make the staff
17	could become historic. Could you speak to	17	has to make a decision and a report based on
18	that, please?	18	what's available to them.
19	MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to	19	Does the applicant have any ability or
20	Commissioner Hoff, there's a provision within	20	recourse? What would be a way for them to be
21	our design guidelines that states that even if	21	able to prove to staff that their position,
22	a window is not original, it can acquire	22	if any?
23	historic significance, especially if that	23	MR. WELLS: To the acting chair, I think
24	material is a wood specimen and if it's been	24	the only recourse in this instance would be
25	there more than 50 years. So this is just	25	because we already opined on the record that
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	18		20
1	we're just operating in accordance with our	1	the windows
1	we're just operating in accordance with our design guidelines.		the windows AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up.
2	design guidelines.	2	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up.
2 3	design guidelines. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you.	2 3	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. MR. THOMPSON: Speak up.
2 3 4	design guidelines. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting	2 3 4	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. MR. THOMPSON: Speak up. MR. WELLS: We already will opined on the
2 3 4 5	design guidelines. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to staff, you're saying 50 years. Do we	2 3 4 5	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. MR. THOMPSON: Speak up. MR. WELLS: We already will opined on the record that the windows could have acquired
2 3 4 5 6	design guidelines. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to staff, you're saying 50 years. Do we have an exact date of when these windows were	2 3 4 5 6	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. MR. THOMPSON: Speak up. MR. WELLS: We already will opined on the record that the windows could have acquired historic
2 3 4 5 6 7	design guidelines. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to staff, you're saying 50 years. Do we have an exact date of when these windows were installed?	2 3 4 5 6 7	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. MR. THOMPSON: Speak up. MR. WELLS: We already will opined on the record that the windows could have acquired historic AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	design guidelines. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to staff, you're saying 50 years. Do we have an exact date of when these windows were installed? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. MR. THOMPSON: Speak up. MR. WELLS: We already will opined on the record that the windows could have acquired historic AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear you. MR. WELLS: significance. We found
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	design guidelines. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to staff, you're saying 50 years. Do we have an exact date of when these windows were installed? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, we do not. It was just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. MR. THOMPSON: Speak up. MR. WELLS: We already will opined on the record that the windows could have acquired historic AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear you. MR. WELLS: significance. We found from our design guidelines and whatnot that it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	design guidelines. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to staff, you're saying 50 years. Do we have an exact date of when these windows were installed? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, we do not. It was just according to the applicant that they believe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. MR. THOMPSON: Speak up. MR. WELLS: We already will opined on the record that the windows could have acquired historic AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear you. MR. WELLS: significance. We found from our design guidelines and whatnot that it would be best to for the applicant to pursue
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	design guidelines. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to staff, you're saying 50 years. Do we have an exact date of when these windows were installed? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, we do not. It was just according to the applicant that they believe that the windows were replaced in the 1970s. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. MR. THOMPSON: Speak up. MR. WELLS: We already will opined on the record that the windows could have acquired historic AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear you. MR. WELLS: significance. We found from our design guidelines and whatnot that it would be best to for the applicant to pursue window restoration practices prior to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	design guidelines. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to staff, you're saying 50 years. Do we have an exact date of when these windows were installed? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, we do not. It was just according to the applicant that they believe that the windows were replaced in the 1970s. I think on the record they stated the '60s, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. MR. THOMPSON: Speak up. MR. WELLS: We already will opined on the record that the windows could have acquired historic AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear you. MR. WELLS: significance. We found from our design guidelines and whatnot that it would be best to for the applicant to pursue window restoration practices prior to installing new windows.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	design guidelines. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to staff, you're saying 50 years. Do we have an exact date of when these windows were installed? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, we do not. It was just according to the applicant that they believe that the windows were replaced in the 1970s. I think on the record they stated the '60s, so this just pushes the mark a little bit higher,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. MR. THOMPSON: Speak up. MR. WELLS: We already will opined on the record that the windows could have acquired historic AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear you. MR. WELLS: significance. We found from our design guidelines and whatnot that it would be best to for the applicant to pursue window restoration practices prior to installing new windows. THE CHAIRMAN: All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	design guidelines. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to staff, you're saying 50 years. Do we have an exact date of when these windows were installed? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, we do not. It was just according to the applicant that they believe that the windows were replaced in the 1970s. I think on the record they stated the '60s, so this just pushes the mark a little bit higher, but we don't have any permits or anything of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. MR. THOMPSON: Speak up. MR. WELLS: We already will opined on the record that the windows could have acquired historic AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear you. MR. WELLS: significance. We found from our design guidelines and whatnot that it would be best to for the applicant to pursue window restoration practices prior to installing new windows. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can you repeat that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	design guidelines. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to staff, you're saying 50 years. Do we have an exact date of when these windows were installed? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, we do not. It was just according to the applicant that they believe that the windows were replaced in the 1970s. I think on the record they stated the '60s, so this just pushes the mark a little bit higher, but we don't have any permits or anything of the sort.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. MR. THOMPSON: Speak up. MR. WELLS: We already will opined on the record that the windows could have acquired historic AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear you. MR. WELLS: significance. We found from our design guidelines and whatnot that it would be best to for the applicant to pursue window restoration practices prior to installing new windows. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can you repeat that? MR. THOMPSON: We couldn't hear what you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	design guidelines. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to staff, you're saying 50 years. Do we have an exact date of when these windows were installed? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, we do not. It was just according to the applicant that they believe that the windows were replaced in the 1970s. I think on the record they stated the '60s, so this just pushes the mark a little bit higher, but we don't have any permits or anything of the sort. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yeah, because 50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. MR. THOMPSON: Speak up. MR. WELLS: We already will opined on the record that the windows could have acquired historic AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear you. MR. WELLS: significance. We found from our design guidelines and whatnot that it would be best to for the applicant to pursue window restoration practices prior to installing new windows. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can you repeat that? MR. THOMPSON: We couldn't hear what you said.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	design guidelines. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to staff, you're saying 50 years. Do we have an exact date of when these windows were installed? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, we do not. It was just according to the applicant that they believe that the windows were replaced in the 1970s. I think on the record they stated the '60s, so this just pushes the mark a little bit higher, but we don't have any permits or anything of the sort. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yeah, because 50 years puts it at '74. And if they're saying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. MR. THOMPSON: Speak up. MR. WELLS: We already will opined on the record that the windows could have acquired historic AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear you. MR. WELLS: significance. We found from our design guidelines and whatnot that it would be best to for the applicant to pursue window restoration practices prior to installing new windows. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can you repeat that? MR. THOMPSON: We couldn't hear what you said. MR. WELLS: Okay. I apologize.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	design guidelines. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to staff, you're saying 50 years. Do we have an exact date of when these windows were installed? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, we do not. It was just according to the applicant that they believe that the windows were replaced in the 1970s. I think on the record they stated the '60s, so this just pushes the mark a little bit higher, but we don't have any permits or anything of the sort. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yeah, because 50 years puts it at '74. And if they're saying '70s but you're actually saying '60s, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. MR. THOMPSON: Speak up. MR. WELLS: We already will opined on the record that the windows could have acquired historic AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear you. MR. WELLS: significance. We found from our design guidelines and whatnot that it would be best to for the applicant to pursue window restoration practices prior to installing new windows. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can you repeat that? MR. THOMPSON: We couldn't hear what you said. MR. WELLS: Okay. I apologize. Is this a little bit better?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	design guidelines. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to staff, you're saying 50 years. Do we have an exact date of when these windows were installed? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, we do not. It was just according to the applicant that they believe that the windows were replaced in the 1970s. I think on the record they stated the '60s, so this just pushes the mark a little bit higher, but we don't have any permits or anything of the sort. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yeah, because 50 years puts it at '74. And if they're saying '70s but you're actually saying '60s, so okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. MR. THOMPSON: Speak up. MR. WELLS: We already will opined on the record that the windows could have acquired historic AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear you. MR. WELLS: significance. We found from our design guidelines and whatnot that it would be best to for the applicant to pursue window restoration practices prior to installing new windows. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can you repeat that? MR. THOMPSON: We couldn't hear what you said. MR. WELLS: Okay. I apologize. Is this a little bit better? Okay. So staff believes that, instead of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	design guidelines. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to staff, you're saying 50 years. Do we have an exact date of when these windows were installed? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, we do not. It was just according to the applicant that they believe that the windows were replaced in the 1970s. I think on the record they stated the '60s, so this just pushes the mark a little bit higher, but we don't have any permits or anything of the sort. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yeah, because 50 years puts it at '74. And if they're saying '70s but you're actually saying '60s, so okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. MR. THOMPSON: Speak up. MR. WELLS: We already will opined on the record that the windows could have acquired historic AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear you. MR. WELLS: significance. We found from our design guidelines and whatnot that it would be best to for the applicant to pursue window restoration practices prior to installing new windows. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can you repeat that? MR. THOMPSON: We couldn't hear what you said. MR. WELLS: Okay. I apologize. Is this a little bit better? Okay. So staff believes that, instead of replacing the windows, it would be more prudent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	design guidelines. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to staff, you're saying 50 years. Do we have an exact date of when these windows were installed? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, we do not. It was just according to the applicant that they believe that the windows were replaced in the 1970s. I think on the record they stated the '60s, so this just pushes the mark a little bit higher, but we don't have any permits or anything of the sort. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yeah, because 50 years puts it at '74. And if they're saying '70s but you're actually saying '60s, so okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I'd like to see an attempt at restoration prior	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. MR. THOMPSON: Speak up. MR. WELLS: We already will opined on the record that the windows could have acquired historic AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear you. MR. WELLS: significance. We found from our design guidelines and whatnot that it would be best to for the applicant to pursue window restoration practices prior to installing new windows. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can you repeat that? MR. THOMPSON: We couldn't hear what you said. MR. WELLS: Okay. I apologize. Is this a little bit better? Okay. So staff believes that, instead of replacing the windows, it would be more prudent to apply window restoration practices as noted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	design guidelines. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to staff, you're saying 50 years. Do we have an exact date of when these windows were installed? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, we do not. It was just according to the applicant that they believe that the windows were replaced in the 1970s. I think on the record they stated the '60s, so this just pushes the mark a little bit higher, but we don't have any permits or anything of the sort. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yeah, because 50 years puts it at '74. And if they're saying '70s but you're actually saying '60s, so okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. MR. THOMPSON: Speak up. MR. WELLS: We already will opined on the record that the windows could have acquired historic AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear you. MR. WELLS: significance. We found from our design guidelines and whatnot that it would be best to for the applicant to pursue window restoration practices prior to installing new windows. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can you repeat that? MR. THOMPSON: We couldn't hear what you said. MR. WELLS: Okay. I apologize. Is this a little bit better? Okay. So staff believes that, instead of replacing the windows, it would be more prudent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	design guidelines. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to staff, you're saying 50 years. Do we have an exact date of when these windows were installed? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, we do not. It was just according to the applicant that they believe that the windows were replaced in the 1970s. I think on the record they stated the '60s, so this just pushes the mark a little bit higher, but we don't have any permits or anything of the sort. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yeah, because 50 years puts it at '74. And if they're saying '70s but you're actually saying '60s, so okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I'd like to see an attempt at restoration prior	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. MR. THOMPSON: Speak up. MR. WELLS: We already will opined on the record that the windows could have acquired historic AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear you. MR. WELLS: significance. We found from our design guidelines and whatnot that it would be best to for the applicant to pursue window restoration practices prior to installing new windows. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can you repeat that? MR. THOMPSON: We couldn't hear what you said. MR. WELLS: Okay. I apologize. Is this a little bit better? Okay. So staff believes that, instead of replacing the windows, it would be more prudent to apply window restoration practices as noted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	design guidelines. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to staff, you're saying 50 years. Do we have an exact date of when these windows were installed? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, we do not. It was just according to the applicant that they believe that the windows were replaced in the 1970s. I think on the record they stated the '60s, so this just pushes the mark a little bit higher, but we don't have any permits or anything of the sort. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yeah, because 50 years puts it at '74. And if they're saying '70s but you're actually saying '60s, so okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I'd like to see an attempt at restoration prior to asking for replacement here in these they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. MR. THOMPSON: Speak up. MR. WELLS: We already will opined on the record that the windows could have acquired historic AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear you. MR. WELLS: significance. We found from our design guidelines and whatnot that it would be best to for the applicant to pursue window restoration practices prior to installing new windows. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can you repeat that? MR. THOMPSON: We couldn't hear what you said. MR. WELLS: Okay. I apologize. Is this a little bit better? Okay. So staff believes that, instead of replacing the windows, it would be more prudent to apply window restoration practices as noted in the report. That would be the best recourse
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	design guidelines. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to staff, you're saying 50 years. Do we have an exact date of when these windows were installed? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, we do not. It was just according to the applicant that they believe that the windows were replaced in the 1970s. I think on the record they stated the '60s, so this just pushes the mark a little bit higher, but we don't have any permits or anything of the sort. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yeah, because 50 years puts it at '74. And if they're saying '70s but you're actually saying '60s, so okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I'd like to see an attempt at restoration prior to asking for replacement here in these they may or may not be the original windows, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. MR. THOMPSON: Speak up. MR. WELLS: We already will opined on the record that the windows could have acquired historic AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear you. MR. WELLS: significance. We found from our design guidelines and whatnot that it would be best to for the applicant to pursue window restoration practices prior to installing new windows. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can you repeat that? MR. THOMPSON: We couldn't hear what you said. MR. WELLS: Okay. I apologize. Is this a little bit better? Okay. So staff believes that, instead of replacing the windows, it would be more prudent to apply window restoration practices as noted in the report. That would be the best recourse before installing new windows.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	design guidelines. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to staff, you're saying 50 years. Do we have an exact date of when these windows were installed? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, we do not. It was just according to the applicant that they believe that the windows were replaced in the 1970s. I think on the record they stated the '60s, so this just pushes the mark a little bit higher, but we don't have any permits or anything of the sort. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yeah, because 50 years puts it at '74. And if they're saying '70s but you're actually saying '60s, so okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I'd like to see an attempt at restoration prior to asking for replacement here in these they may or may not be the original windows, but they do look like the same style of original	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. MR. THOMPSON: Speak up. MR. WELLS: We already will opined on the record that the windows could have acquired historic AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear you. MR. WELLS: significance. We found from our design guidelines and whatnot that it would be best to for the applicant to pursue window restoration practices prior to installing new windows. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can you repeat that? MR. THOMPSON: We couldn't hear what you said. MR. WELLS: Okay. I apologize. Is this a little bit better? Okay. So staff believes that, instead of replacing the windows, it would be more prudent to apply window restoration practices as noted in the report. That would be the best recourse before installing new windows. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other discussion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	design guidelines. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to staff, you're saying 50 years. Do we have an exact date of when these windows were installed? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, we do not. It was just according to the applicant that they believe that the windows were replaced in the 1970s. I think on the record they stated the '60s, so this just pushes the mark a little bit higher, but we don't have any permits or anything of the sort. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yeah, because 50 years puts it at '74. And if they're saying '70s but you're actually saying '60s, so okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I'd like to see an attempt at restoration prior to asking for replacement here in these they may or may not be the original windows, but they do look like the same style of original windows in that neighborhood. I think that has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. MR. THOMPSON: Speak up. MR. WELLS: We already will opined on the record that the windows could have acquired historic AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear you. MR. WELLS: significance. We found from our design guidelines and whatnot that it would be best to for the applicant to pursue window restoration practices prior to installing new windows. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can you repeat that? MR. THOMPSON: We couldn't hear what you said. MR. WELLS: Okay. I apologize. Is this a little bit better? Okay. So staff believes that, instead of replacing the windows, it would be more prudent to apply window restoration practices as noted in the report. That would be the best recourse before installing new windows. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other discussion? COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I think, you know,

City of Jacksonville Historic Preservation Commission

June 26, 2024

19

Uncertified Condensed Copy

		1	
	21		23
1	they may not be the original windows, but it	1	Riverside from the 1900 to 1920 time period.
2	does seem like the guidelines that were given	2	It is characterized by a hip roof with a hip
3	to make decisions classify them as historic,	3	dormer and drop siding.
4	and then the course of action sort of speaks	4	In accordance with our design guidelines,
	for itself there. They're not deteriorated		demolition of the subject property will not
5		5	5 1 1 <i>j</i>
6	beyond repair. Then that's that seems like	6	have a significant impact on the surrounding
7	the way to go is for them to just be repaired.	7	property as the structure is currently a blight
8	THE CHAIRMAN: If there's no more	8	on the surrounding properties.
9	discussion, we should go to the vote.	9	The property has been vacant for many
10	All those in favor?	10	years, boarded, and unkept. Furthermore, based
	COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.		
11	•	11	upon a visual inspection of the property, staff
12	THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed?	12	notes that the lack of weatherizing the
13	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)	13	structure has led to extensive water
14	THE CHAIRMAN: The applicant is denied.	14	infiltration. As a result, this has led to the
15	Okay. Everyone, we're going to go back to	15	presence of wood destroying organisms.
16	the original agenda now. The two items that	16	In accordance with our design guidelines
	were on the consent agenda that we moved, we'll	17	and Code criteria as well as information
17			
18	hear them with the with the COAs.	18	provided by MCCD, feasible alternatives to
19	So we'll start with what was Item Number 7	19	demolishing the structure has been offered to
20	on the consent agenda, COA-22-27451, 2768	20	the owner for the last 12 years with, again, no
21	Riverside Avenue.	21	habitable result.
22	I'm going to open the public hearing.	22	According to the applicant, they do not
23	Do we have a staff report?	23	have an interest in selling the property. And
	MR. WELLS: Thank you.		- · · · ·
24		24	based on staff's findings, no other feasible
25	So this is application COA-22-27451 for	25	alternatives to demolition are readily
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	22		24
1	the property located at 2768 Riverside Avenue,	1	apparent. Moreover, the structure does not
	the property located at 2768 Riverside Avenue, which seeks to demolish a contributing		apparent. Moreover, the structure does not appear to have enough structural integrity for
2	which seeks to demolish a contributing	2	appear to have enough structural integrity for
2 3	which seeks to demolish a contributing structure. So this would demolish a	2 3	appear to have enough structural integrity for relocation to be considered.
2 3 4	which seeks to demolish a contributing structure. So this would demolish a two-story a two-and-a-half-story residence	2 3 4	appear to have enough structural integrity for relocation to be considered. In an effort to remedy the request, the
2 3	which seeks to demolish a contributing structure. So this would demolish a two-story a two-and-a-half-story residence that's located within the Riverside Avondale	2 3	appear to have enough structural integrity for relocation to be considered. In an effort to remedy the request, the applicant provided an opinion from an engineer
2 3 4	which seeks to demolish a contributing structure. So this would demolish a two-story a two-and-a-half-story residence that's located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The property is located on	2 3 4	appear to have enough structural integrity for relocation to be considered. In an effort to remedy the request, the applicant provided an opinion from an engineer which is attached within the application
2 3 4 5	which seeks to demolish a contributing structure. So this would demolish a two-story a two-and-a-half-story residence that's located within the Riverside Avondale	2 3 4 5	appear to have enough structural integrity for relocation to be considered. In an effort to remedy the request, the applicant provided an opinion from an engineer
2 3 4 5 6	which seeks to demolish a contributing structure. So this would demolish a two-story a two-and-a-half-story residence that's located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The property is located on	2 3 4 5 6	appear to have enough structural integrity for relocation to be considered. In an effort to remedy the request, the applicant provided an opinion from an engineer which is attached within the application
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	which seeks to demolish a contributing structure. So this would demolish a two-story a two-and-a-half-story residence that's located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The property is located on a corner lot at Riverside Avenue and James Street. This structure abuts the subject	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	appear to have enough structural integrity for relocation to be considered. In an effort to remedy the request, the applicant provided an opinion from an engineer which is attached within the application itself. The engineering report found that the structure was beyond repair and unsafe.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	which seeks to demolish a contributing structure. So this would demolish a two-story a two-and-a-half-story residence that's located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The property is located on a corner lot at Riverside Avenue and James Street. This structure abuts the subject property to the southwest and a two-story	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	appear to have enough structural integrity for relocation to be considered. In an effort to remedy the request, the applicant provided an opinion from an engineer which is attached within the application itself. The engineering report found that the structure was beyond repair and unsafe. Staff also conducted a site visit on May
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	which seeks to demolish a contributing structure. So this would demolish a two-story a two-and-a-half-story residence that's located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The property is located on a corner lot at Riverside Avenue and James Street. This structure abuts the subject property to the southwest and a two-story multifamily building abuts it to the southeast.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	appear to have enough structural integrity for relocation to be considered. In an effort to remedy the request, the applicant provided an opinion from an engineer which is attached within the application itself. The engineering report found that the structure was beyond repair and unsafe. Staff also conducted a site visit on May 3rd of 2022 and May 16th of this year, but did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	which seeks to demolish a contributing structure. So this would demolish a two-story a two-and-a-half-story residence that's located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The property is located on a corner lot at Riverside Avenue and James Street. This structure abuts the subject property to the southwest and a two-story multifamily building abuts it to the southeast. The City's Municipal Code Compliance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	appear to have enough structural integrity for relocation to be considered. In an effort to remedy the request, the applicant provided an opinion from an engineer which is attached within the application itself. The engineering report found that the structure was beyond repair and unsafe. Staff also conducted a site visit on May 3rd of 2022 and May 16th of this year, but did not go into the structure. From an exterior
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	which seeks to demolish a contributing structure. So this would demolish a two-story a two-and-a-half-story residence that's located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The property is located on a corner lot at Riverside Avenue and James Street. This structure abuts the subject property to the southwest and a two-story multifamily building abuts it to the southeast. The City's Municipal Code Compliance Division, or MCCD for short, has condemned the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	appear to have enough structural integrity for relocation to be considered. In an effort to remedy the request, the applicant provided an opinion from an engineer which is attached within the application itself. The engineering report found that the structure was beyond repair and unsafe. Staff also conducted a site visit on May 3rd of 2022 and May 16th of this year, but did not go into the structure. From an exterior view, the structure appears to be heavily
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	which seeks to demolish a contributing structure. So this would demolish a two-story a two-and-a-half-story residence that's located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The property is located on a corner lot at Riverside Avenue and James Street. This structure abuts the subject property to the southwest and a two-story multifamily building abuts it to the southeast. The City's Municipal Code Compliance Division, or MCCD for short, has condemned the structure and declared it unsafe per Chapter	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	appear to have enough structural integrity for relocation to be considered. In an effort to remedy the request, the applicant provided an opinion from an engineer which is attached within the application itself. The engineering report found that the structure was beyond repair and unsafe. Staff also conducted a site visit on May 3rd of 2022 and May 16th of this year, but did not go into the structure. From an exterior view, the structure appears to be heavily deteriorated, siding and windows are in need of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	which seeks to demolish a contributing structure. So this would demolish a two-story a two-and-a-half-story residence that's located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The property is located on a corner lot at Riverside Avenue and James Street. This structure abuts the subject property to the southwest and a two-story multifamily building abuts it to the southeast. The City's Municipal Code Compliance Division, or MCCD for short, has condemned the structure and declared it unsafe per Chapter 518 of the Ordinance Code. The property has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	appear to have enough structural integrity for relocation to be considered. In an effort to remedy the request, the applicant provided an opinion from an engineer which is attached within the application itself. The engineering report found that the structure was beyond repair and unsafe. Staff also conducted a site visit on May 3rd of 2022 and May 16th of this year, but did not go into the structure. From an exterior view, the structure appears to be heavily deteriorated, siding and windows are in need of repair, and the roof appears to have collapsed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	which seeks to demolish a contributing structure. So this would demolish a two-story a two-and-a-half-story residence that's located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The property is located on a corner lot at Riverside Avenue and James Street. This structure abuts the subject property to the southwest and a two-story multifamily building abuts it to the southeast. The City's Municipal Code Compliance Division, or MCCD for short, has condemned the structure and declared it unsafe per Chapter	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	appear to have enough structural integrity for relocation to be considered. In an effort to remedy the request, the applicant provided an opinion from an engineer which is attached within the application itself. The engineering report found that the structure was beyond repair and unsafe. Staff also conducted a site visit on May 3rd of 2022 and May 16th of this year, but did not go into the structure. From an exterior view, the structure appears to be heavily deteriorated, siding and windows are in need of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	which seeks to demolish a contributing structure. So this would demolish a two-story a two-and-a-half-story residence that's located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The property is located on a corner lot at Riverside Avenue and James Street. This structure abuts the subject property to the southwest and a two-story multifamily building abuts it to the southeast. The City's Municipal Code Compliance Division, or MCCD for short, has condemned the structure and declared it unsafe per Chapter 518 of the Ordinance Code. The property has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	appear to have enough structural integrity for relocation to be considered. In an effort to remedy the request, the applicant provided an opinion from an engineer which is attached within the application itself. The engineering report found that the structure was beyond repair and unsafe. Staff also conducted a site visit on May 3rd of 2022 and May 16th of this year, but did not go into the structure. From an exterior view, the structure appears to be heavily deteriorated, siding and windows are in need of repair, and the roof appears to have collapsed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	which seeks to demolish a contributing structure. So this would demolish a two-story a two-and-a-half-story residence that's located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The property is located on a corner lot at Riverside Avenue and James Street. This structure abuts the subject property to the southwest and a two-story multifamily building abuts it to the southeast. The City's Municipal Code Compliance Division, or MCCD for short, has condemned the structure and declared it unsafe per Chapter 518 of the Ordinance Code. The property has been subject to enforcement activity by MCCD for 12 years with no habitable result.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	appear to have enough structural integrity for relocation to be considered. In an effort to remedy the request, the applicant provided an opinion from an engineer which is attached within the application itself. The engineering report found that the structure was beyond repair and unsafe. Staff also conducted a site visit on May 3rd of 2022 and May 16th of this year, but did not go into the structure. From an exterior view, the structure appears to be heavily deteriorated, siding and windows are in need of repair, and the roof appears to have collapsed in places or has significance damage based on aerial views from Google.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	which seeks to demolish a contributing structure. So this would demolish a two-story a two-and-a-half-story residence that's located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The property is located on a corner lot at Riverside Avenue and James Street. This structure abuts the subject property to the southwest and a two-story multifamily building abuts it to the southeast. The City's Municipal Code Compliance Division, or MCCD for short, has condemned the structure and declared it unsafe per Chapter 518 of the Ordinance Code. The property has been subject to enforcement activity by MCCD for 12 years with no habitable result. In April 2022, the Special Magistrate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	appear to have enough structural integrity for relocation to be considered. In an effort to remedy the request, the applicant provided an opinion from an engineer which is attached within the application itself. The engineering report found that the structure was beyond repair and unsafe. Staff also conducted a site visit on May 3rd of 2022 and May 16th of this year, but did not go into the structure. From an exterior view, the structure appears to be heavily deteriorated, siding and windows are in need of repair, and the roof appears to have collapsed in places or has significance damage based on aerial views from Google. There are some pictures provided within
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	which seeks to demolish a contributing structure. So this would demolish a two-story a two-and-a-half-story residence that's located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The property is located on a corner lot at Riverside Avenue and James Street. This structure abuts the subject property to the southwest and a two-story multifamily building abuts it to the southeast. The City's Municipal Code Compliance Division, or MCCD for short, has condemned the structure and declared it unsafe per Chapter 518 of the Ordinance Code. The property has been subject to enforcement activity by MCCD for 12 years with no habitable result. In April 2022, the Special Magistrate issued an order to abate the demolition.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	appear to have enough structural integrity for relocation to be considered. In an effort to remedy the request, the applicant provided an opinion from an engineer which is attached within the application itself. The engineering report found that the structure was beyond repair and unsafe. Staff also conducted a site visit on May 3rd of 2022 and May 16th of this year, but did not go into the structure. From an exterior view, the structure appears to be heavily deteriorated, siding and windows are in need of repair, and the roof appears to have collapsed in places or has significance damage based on aerial views from Google. There are some pictures provided within the report itself that documents the interior
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	which seeks to demolish a contributing structure. So this would demolish a two-story a two-and-a-half-story residence that's located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The property is located on a corner lot at Riverside Avenue and James Street. This structure abuts the subject property to the southwest and a two-story multifamily building abuts it to the southeast. The City's Municipal Code Compliance Division, or MCCD for short, has condemned the structure and declared it unsafe per Chapter 518 of the Ordinance Code. The property has been subject to enforcement activity by MCCD for 12 years with no habitable result. In April 2022, the Special Magistrate issued an order to abate the demolition. Because this is a unique situation and the City	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	appear to have enough structural integrity for relocation to be considered. In an effort to remedy the request, the applicant provided an opinion from an engineer which is attached within the application itself. The engineering report found that the structure was beyond repair and unsafe. Staff also conducted a site visit on May 3rd of 2022 and May 16th of this year, but did not go into the structure. From an exterior view, the structure appears to be heavily deteriorated, siding and windows are in need of repair, and the roof appears to have collapsed in places or has significance damage based on aerial views from Google. There are some pictures provided within the report itself that documents the interior of the structure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	which seeks to demolish a contributing structure. So this would demolish a two-story a two-and-a-half-story residence that's located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The property is located on a corner lot at Riverside Avenue and James Street. This structure abuts the subject property to the southwest and a two-story multifamily building abuts it to the southeast. The City's Municipal Code Compliance Division, or MCCD for short, has condemned the structure and declared it unsafe per Chapter 518 of the Ordinance Code. The property has been subject to enforcement activity by MCCD for 12 years with no habitable result. In April 2022, the Special Magistrate issued an order to abate the demolition. Because this is a unique situation and the City is acting as the applicant, not the property	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	appear to have enough structural integrity for relocation to be considered. In an effort to remedy the request, the applicant provided an opinion from an engineer which is attached within the application itself. The engineering report found that the structure was beyond repair and unsafe. Staff also conducted a site visit on May 3rd of 2022 and May 16th of this year, but did not go into the structure. From an exterior view, the structure appears to be heavily deteriorated, siding and windows are in need of repair, and the roof appears to have collapsed in places or has significance damage based on aerial views from Google. There are some pictures provided within the report itself that documents the interior of the structure. Staff was not provided any details
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	which seeks to demolish a contributing structure. So this would demolish a two-story a two-and-a-half-story residence that's located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The property is located on a corner lot at Riverside Avenue and James Street. This structure abuts the subject property to the southwest and a two-story multifamily building abuts it to the southeast. The City's Municipal Code Compliance Division, or MCCD for short, has condemned the structure and declared it unsafe per Chapter 518 of the Ordinance Code. The property has been subject to enforcement activity by MCCD for 12 years with no habitable result. In April 2022, the Special Magistrate issued an order to abate the demolition. Because this is a unique situation and the City is acting as the applicant, not the property owner, staff has limited information regarding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	appear to have enough structural integrity for relocation to be considered. In an effort to remedy the request, the applicant provided an opinion from an engineer which is attached within the application itself. The engineering report found that the structure was beyond repair and unsafe. Staff also conducted a site visit on May 3rd of 2022 and May 16th of this year, but did not go into the structure. From an exterior view, the structure appears to be heavily deteriorated, siding and windows are in need of repair, and the roof appears to have collapsed in places or has significance damage based on aerial views from Google. There are some pictures provided within the report itself that documents the interior of the structure. Staff was not provided any details regarding the economic return. However, just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	which seeks to demolish a contributing structure. So this would demolish a two-story a two-and-a-half-story residence that's located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The property is located on a corner lot at Riverside Avenue and James Street. This structure abuts the subject property to the southwest and a two-story multifamily building abuts it to the southeast. The City's Municipal Code Compliance Division, or MCCD for short, has condemned the structure and declared it unsafe per Chapter 518 of the Ordinance Code. The property has been subject to enforcement activity by MCCD for 12 years with no habitable result. In April 2022, the Special Magistrate issued an order to abate the demolition. Because this is a unique situation and the City is acting as the applicant, not the property	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	appear to have enough structural integrity for relocation to be considered. In an effort to remedy the request, the applicant provided an opinion from an engineer which is attached within the application itself. The engineering report found that the structure was beyond repair and unsafe. Staff also conducted a site visit on May 3rd of 2022 and May 16th of this year, but did not go into the structure. From an exterior view, the structure appears to be heavily deteriorated, siding and windows are in need of repair, and the roof appears to have collapsed in places or has significance damage based on aerial views from Google. There are some pictures provided within the report itself that documents the interior of the structure. Staff was not provided any details
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	which seeks to demolish a contributing structure. So this would demolish a two-story a two-and-a-half-story residence that's located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The property is located on a corner lot at Riverside Avenue and James Street. This structure abuts the subject property to the southwest and a two-story multifamily building abuts it to the southeast. The City's Municipal Code Compliance Division, or MCCD for short, has condemned the structure and declared it unsafe per Chapter 518 of the Ordinance Code. The property has been subject to enforcement activity by MCCD for 12 years with no habitable result. In April 2022, the Special Magistrate issued an order to abate the demolition. Because this is a unique situation and the City is acting as the applicant, not the property owner, staff has limited information regarding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	appear to have enough structural integrity for relocation to be considered. In an effort to remedy the request, the applicant provided an opinion from an engineer which is attached within the application itself. The engineering report found that the structure was beyond repair and unsafe. Staff also conducted a site visit on May 3rd of 2022 and May 16th of this year, but did not go into the structure. From an exterior view, the structure appears to be heavily deteriorated, siding and windows are in need of repair, and the roof appears to have collapsed in places or has significance damage based on aerial views from Google. There are some pictures provided within the report itself that documents the interior of the structure. Staff was not provided any details regarding the economic return. However, just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	which seeks to demolish a contributing structure. So this would demolish a two-story a two-and-a-half-story residence that's located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The property is located on a corner lot at Riverside Avenue and James Street. This structure abuts the subject property to the southwest and a two-story multifamily building abuts it to the southeast. The City's Municipal Code Compliance Division, or MCCD for short, has condemned the structure and declared it unsafe per Chapter 518 of the Ordinance Code. The property has been subject to enforcement activity by MCCD for 12 years with no habitable result. In April 2022, the Special Magistrate issued an order to abate the demolition. Because this is a unique situation and the City is acting as the applicant, not the property owner, staff has limited information regarding the condition of the structure. But according to the Florida Master Site	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	appear to have enough structural integrity for relocation to be considered. In an effort to remedy the request, the applicant provided an opinion from an engineer which is attached within the application itself. The engineering report found that the structure was beyond repair and unsafe. Staff also conducted a site visit on May 3rd of 2022 and May 16th of this year, but did not go into the structure. From an exterior view, the structure appears to be heavily deteriorated, siding and windows are in need of repair, and the roof appears to have collapsed in places or has significance damage based on aerial views from Google. There are some pictures provided within the report itself that documents the interior of the structure. Staff was not provided any details regarding the economic return. However, just according to permitting records, the last time a permit was filed for the property was in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	which seeks to demolish a contributing structure. So this would demolish a two-story a two-and-a-half-story residence that's located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The property is located on a corner lot at Riverside Avenue and James Street. This structure abuts the subject property to the southwest and a two-story multifamily building abuts it to the southeast. The City's Municipal Code Compliance Division, or MCCD for short, has condemned the structure and declared it unsafe per Chapter 518 of the Ordinance Code. The property has been subject to enforcement activity by MCCD for 12 years with no habitable result. In April 2022, the Special Magistrate issued an order to abate the demolition. Because this is a unique situation and the City is acting as the applicant, not the property owner, staff has limited information regarding the condition of the structure. But according to the Florida Master Site File for the property, this house is one of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	appear to have enough structural integrity for relocation to be considered. In an effort to remedy the request, the applicant provided an opinion from an engineer which is attached within the application itself. The engineering report found that the structure was beyond repair and unsafe. Staff also conducted a site visit on May 3rd of 2022 and May 16th of this year, but did not go into the structure. From an exterior view, the structure appears to be heavily deteriorated, siding and windows are in need of repair, and the roof appears to have collapsed in places or has significance damage based on aerial views from Google. There are some pictures provided within the report itself that documents the interior of the structure. Staff was not provided any details regarding the economic return. However, just according to permitting records, the last time a permit was filed for the property was in 1996. Prior to that, the last permits were for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	which seeks to demolish a contributing structure. So this would demolish a two-story a two-and-a-half-story residence that's located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The property is located on a corner lot at Riverside Avenue and James Street. This structure abuts the subject property to the southwest and a two-story multifamily building abuts it to the southeast. The City's Municipal Code Compliance Division, or MCCD for short, has condemned the structure and declared it unsafe per Chapter 518 of the Ordinance Code. The property has been subject to enforcement activity by MCCD for 12 years with no habitable result. In April 2022, the Special Magistrate issued an order to abate the demolition. Because this is a unique situation and the City is acting as the applicant, not the property owner, staff has limited information regarding the condition of the structure. But according to the Florida Master Site File for the property, this house is one of the most dominant Colonial Revival styles in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	appear to have enough structural integrity for relocation to be considered. In an effort to remedy the request, the applicant provided an opinion from an engineer which is attached within the application itself. The engineering report found that the structure was beyond repair and unsafe. Staff also conducted a site visit on May 3rd of 2022 and May 16th of this year, but did not go into the structure. From an exterior view, the structure appears to be heavily deteriorated, siding and windows are in need of repair, and the roof appears to have collapsed in places or has significance damage based on aerial views from Google. There are some pictures provided within the report itself that documents the interior of the structure. Staff was not provided any details regarding the economic return. However, just according to permitting records, the last time a permit was filed for the property was in 1996. Prior to that, the last permits were for mechanical and electrical work in 1987. Staff
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	which seeks to demolish a contributing structure. So this would demolish a two-story a two-and-a-half-story residence that's located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The property is located on a corner lot at Riverside Avenue and James Street. This structure abuts the subject property to the southwest and a two-story multifamily building abuts it to the southeast. The City's Municipal Code Compliance Division, or MCCD for short, has condemned the structure and declared it unsafe per Chapter 518 of the Ordinance Code. The property has been subject to enforcement activity by MCCD for 12 years with no habitable result. In April 2022, the Special Magistrate issued an order to abate the demolition. Because this is a unique situation and the City is acting as the applicant, not the property owner, staff has limited information regarding the condition of the structure. But according to the Florida Master Site File for the property, this house is one of the most dominant Colonial Revival styles in Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 appear to have enough structural integrity for relocation to be considered. In an effort to remedy the request, the applicant provided an opinion from an engineer which is attached within the application itself. The engineering report found that the structure was beyond repair and unsafe. Staff also conducted a site visit on May 3rd of 2022 and May 16th of this year, but did not go into the structure. From an exterior view, the structure appears to be heavily deteriorated, siding and windows are in need of repair, and the roof appears to have collapsed in places or has significance damage based on aerial views from Google. There are some pictures provided within the report itself that documents the interior of the structure. Staff was not provided any details regarding the economic return. However, just according to permitting records, the last time a permit was filed for the property was in 1996. Prior to that, the last permits were for mechanical and electrical work in 1987. Staff
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	which seeks to demolish a contributing structure. So this would demolish a two-story a two-and-a-half-story residence that's located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The property is located on a corner lot at Riverside Avenue and James Street. This structure abuts the subject property to the southwest and a two-story multifamily building abuts it to the southeast. The City's Municipal Code Compliance Division, or MCCD for short, has condemned the structure and declared it unsafe per Chapter 518 of the Ordinance Code. The property has been subject to enforcement activity by MCCD for 12 years with no habitable result. In April 2022, the Special Magistrate issued an order to abate the demolition. Because this is a unique situation and the City is acting as the applicant, not the property owner, staff has limited information regarding the condition of the structure. But according to the Florida Master Site File for the property, this house is one of the most dominant Colonial Revival styles in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	appear to have enough structural integrity for relocation to be considered. In an effort to remedy the request, the applicant provided an opinion from an engineer which is attached within the application itself. The engineering report found that the structure was beyond repair and unsafe. Staff also conducted a site visit on May 3rd of 2022 and May 16th of this year, but did not go into the structure. From an exterior view, the structure appears to be heavily deteriorated, siding and windows are in need of repair, and the roof appears to have collapsed in places or has significance damage based on aerial views from Google. There are some pictures provided within the report itself that documents the interior of the structure. Staff was not provided any details regarding the economic return. However, just according to permitting records, the last time a permit was filed for the property was in 1996. Prior to that, the last permits were for mechanical and electrical work in 1987. Staff

City of Jacksonville Historic Preservation Commission

Citv of	f Jacksonville		June 26, 2024
	ic Preservation Commission	1	Uncertified Condensed Copy
	25		
1	cannot also find any COAs ever being filed for	1	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Put your microphone on.
2	the property.	2	MR. WELLS: I apologize, but I'm doing the
3	Therefore, given the lack of routine	3	best I can. The microphone just keeps going in
4	structural maintenance, coupled with the	4	and out.
5	visible degree of deterioration, there's a	5	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting
6	strong possibility that the costs to	6	chair, so the City wants to demolish this because there's so many liens on it and they
7	rehabilitate the structure are significant.	7	
8 9	Staff was also not provided a claim of undue economic hardship. However, we do	8 9	think that the property is dangerous is sort of the understanding, then?
10	believe that the structure, in its current	9 10	MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to
11	existence, appears to have a majority of its	11	Commissioner Epstein and to the Commission, so
12	historical and architectural materials no	12	this house and MCCD is here. I believe
13	longer present, and we are obligated to forward	13	Mr. Murphy is here to talk more on this, but
14	you a recommendation for approval.	14	this house has been under enforcement for over
15	Staff should note that we do have a	15	a decade. And in 2022, the City, through a
16	representative from MCCD here, his name is	16	Special Magistrate, issued an order of
17	Ernest Murphy, and he can speak on the	17	abatement by demolition, meaning to abate the
18	enforcement history and the current balance of	18	liens and the fines that demolition was
19	the administrative liens should you choose.	19	ordered. So that needed to come before you all
20	And with that, end of report.	20	for approval, but they already have an order
21	THE CHAIRMAN: Does the Commission have	21	from a Special Magistrate to demolish this.
22	any questions for staff?	22	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. That's why
23	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Through the acting	23	it has the '22 COA number?
24	chair to staff, you mentioned that you found	24	MS. LOPERA: (Nods head.)
25	that a significant portion of the historic	25	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay.
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	26		28
1	materials were not were no longer there.	1	THE CHAIRMAN: Are there any more
2	Could you describe what you were referring to?	2	questions for staff?
	I'm looking at the photos and I don't see much missing from what I can see.	3	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Yeah. So through the acting chair to staff, so just to be so the
4 5	MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to	4 5	application for demolition is a way to resolve
6	Commissioner Hoff, we are basing our evaluation	6	the fines and liens on the property?
7	solely on the windows, and there is a hole in	7	MS. LOPERA: So in 2011, there was an
8	the roof there's several holes within the	8	order assessing an administrative rolling fine
9	roof itself and some of the siding was removed	9	on the property. The issues that were
10	on certain portions of it. I'll scroll through	10	presented at that time were never resolved, and
11	some of the pictures just to illustrate that.	11	at this point the structure has been condemned
12	(Brief pause in the proceedings.)	12	by MCCD.
13	THE CHAIRMAN: So sorry, I was looking at	13	They brought all that evidence before a
14	the photographs. There was a lot.	14	Special Magistrate in 2022. And the Special
15	Any other questions for staff?	15	Magistrate in April of '22 ordered the
16	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the	16	demolition of the structure to correct the
17	acting chair, you mentioned that the City of	17	condemnation and the various things. And that
18	Jacksonville is the owner of this site. Is	18	would act to halt the daily rolling fines, but
19	that I'm sorry, did I misunderstand that?	19	I will defer to MCCD if they can opine further.
20	MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to	20	THE CHAIRMAN: Any more questions for
21	Commissioner Epstein, the City is the	21	staff?
22	applicant, so the owner because it's been	22	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
23	condemned, they are acting as the applicant on	23	MR. WELLS: Sorry. If I may, through the
24	(inaudible) of the owner.	24	Chair to the Commission, I'm going to pass out
25	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Y'all need to speak up.	25	some letters of statements from the
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300

Theter	ic Preservation Commission	1	Uncertified Condensed Copy
1	29 community. I forgot to mention that in my	1	31 Questions?
2	report.	2	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yes. Through the
3	So we did have so after we published	3	acting chair, can you give us a general
4	our report, we did receive a letter from	4	overview of the attempts to contact the
5	Riverside Avondale Preservation, or RAP for	5	property owner regarding remediating the
	short. They are opposing the demolition. Then	6	violations and then what led to the
6	there's two other letters from adjacent	-	condemnation order?
7	-	7	
8	neighbors who are supportive of the demolition,	8	MR. MURPHY: Initially, we were allowed
9	so I will distribute those letters to you right	9	access in the building, myself, and, at the
10	now.	10	time, one of my officers, who is now the
11	THE CHAIRMAN: So while the commissioners	11	abatement as well. So we were allowed access
12	are reviewing that, we'll go ahead and hear	12	into the property. And at that time, we
13	from the applicant.	13	witnessed violations that would require a
14	Is the applicant here?	14	demolition at that time on a normal structure.
15	MR. MURPHY: (Indicating.)	15	This structure, being in a historic area,
16	THE CHAIRMAN: After the applicant,	16	caused additional processes to be put in place
17	we'll or anyone else that wants to speak on	17	because in any other area this would have been
18	this	18	a demolished structure, regardless, because of
19	(Mr. Murphy approaches the podium.)	19	the safety issue.
20	THE CHAIRMAN: You can begin by stating	20	We actually met with the owner three or
21	your name and address and she'll swear you in.	21	four times since then. In the last meeting
22	MR. MURPHY: Yes, sir.	22	I guess I can have my other cohort speak on
23	THE REPORTER: I need your name and	23	that they were actually allowed access into
24	address, please.	24	the structure again, and the deterioration was
25	MR. MURPHY: Ernest Murphy, Code	25	even greater than it was at that time. This
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	30		32
1	Compliance Division, administrator, 214 North	1	was only a couple more years after we were
2	Compliance Division, administrator, 214 North Hogan Street.	2	was only a couple more years after we were initially allowed into the structure.
	Compliance Division, administrator, 214 North Hogan Street. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your		was only a couple more years after we were initially allowed into the structure. Chris, would you like to speak?
2 3 4	Compliance Division, administrator, 214 North Hogan Street. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please.	2 3 4	was only a couple more years after we were initially allowed into the structure. Chris, would you like to speak? COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair,
2 3	Compliance Division, administrator, 214 North Hogan Street. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MURPHY: (Complies.)	2 3	was only a couple more years after we were initially allowed into the structure. Chris, would you like to speak? COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair, when you say "allowed into the structure," by
2 3 4	Compliance Division, administrator, 214 North Hogan Street. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MURPHY: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the	2 3 4	was only a couple more years after we were initially allowed into the structure. Chris, would you like to speak? COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair, when you say "allowed into the structure," by the by the property owner? You made contact
2 3 4 5	Compliance Division, administrator, 214 North Hogan Street. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MURPHY: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the	2 3 4 5	 was only a couple more years after we were initially allowed into the structure. Chris, would you like to speak? COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair, when you say "allowed into the structure," by the by the property owner? You made contact with the property owner who granted you access
2 3 4 5 6	Compliance Division, administrator, 214 North Hogan Street. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MURPHY: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the	2 3 4 5 6	was only a couple more years after we were initially allowed into the structure. Chris, would you like to speak? COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair, when you say "allowed into the structure," by the by the property owner? You made contact with the property owner who granted you access to the building?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Compliance Division, administrator, 214 North Hogan Street. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MURPHY: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>was only a couple more years after we were initially allowed into the structure. Chris, would you like to speak? COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair, when you say "allowed into the structure," by the by the property owner? You made contact with the property owner who granted you access to the building? MR. MURPHY: Yes, sir.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Compliance Division, administrator, 214 North Hogan Street. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MURPHY: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. MURPHY: I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>was only a couple more years after we were initially allowed into the structure. Chris, would you like to speak? COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair, when you say "allowed into the structure," by the by the property owner? You made contact with the property owner who granted you access to the building? MR. MURPHY: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Okay.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Compliance Division, administrator, 214 North Hogan Street. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MURPHY: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. MURPHY: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 was only a couple more years after we were initially allowed into the structure. Chris, would you like to speak? COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair, when you say "allowed into the structure," by the by the property owner? You made contact with the property owner who granted you access to the building? MR. MURPHY: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Compliance Division, administrator, 214 North Hogan Street. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MURPHY: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. MURPHY: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. MURPHY: Good afternoon.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>was only a couple more years after we were initially allowed into the structure. Chris, would you like to speak? COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair, when you say "allowed into the structure," by the by the property owner? You made contact with the property owner who granted you access to the building? MR. MURPHY: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the speaker?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Compliance Division, administrator, 214 North Hogan Street. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MURPHY: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. MURPHY: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. MURPHY: Good afternoon. The building was actually set out for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>was only a couple more years after we were initially allowed into the structure. Chris, would you like to speak? COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair, when you say "allowed into the structure," by the by the property owner? You made contact with the property owner who granted you access to the building? MR. MURPHY: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the speaker? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Really one other</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Compliance Division, administrator, 214 North Hogan Street. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MURPHY: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. MURPHY: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. MURPHY: Good afternoon. The building was actually set out for demolition and we actually hired an engineer,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>was only a couple more years after we were initially allowed into the structure. Chris, would you like to speak? COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair, when you say "allowed into the structure," by the by the property owner? You made contact with the property owner who granted you access to the building? MR. MURPHY: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the speaker? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Really one other question was, you know, have there been any</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Compliance Division, administrator, 214 North Hogan Street. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MURPHY: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. MURPHY: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. MURPHY: Good afternoon. The building was actually set out for demolition and we actually hired an engineer, outside engineer, to inspect the property. At	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 was only a couple more years after we were initially allowed into the structure. Chris, would you like to speak? COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair, when you say "allowed into the structure," by the by the property owner? You made contact with the property owner who granted you access to the building? MR. MURPHY: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the speaker? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Really one other question was, you know, have there been any attempts and talks with that homeowner to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Compliance Division, administrator, 214 North Hogan Street. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MURPHY: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. MURPHY: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. MURPHY: Good afternoon. The building was actually set out for demolition and we actually hired an engineer, outside engineer, to inspect the property. At that time, they determined that the building	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 was only a couple more years after we were initially allowed into the structure. Chris, would you like to speak? COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair, when you say "allowed into the structure," by the by the property owner? You made contact with the property owner who granted you access to the building? MR. MURPHY: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the speaker? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Really one other question was, you know, have there been any attempts and talks with that homeowner to remediate these items?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Compliance Division, administrator, 214 North Hogan Street. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MURPHY: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. MURPHY: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. MURPHY: Good afternoon. The building was actually set out for demolition and we actually hired an engineer, outside engineer, to inspect the property. At that time, they determined that the building was not able to be restored at all. All parts	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 was only a couple more years after we were initially allowed into the structure. Chris, would you like to speak? COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair, when you say "allowed into the structure," by the by the property owner? You made contact with the property owner who granted you access to the building? MR. MURPHY: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the speaker? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Really one other question was, you know, have there been any attempts and talks with that homeowner to remediate these items? I'm sure you guys have asked them to, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Compliance Division, administrator, 214 North Hogan Street. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MURPHY: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. MURPHY: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. MURPHY: Good afternoon. The building was actually set out for demolition and we actually hired an engineer, outside engineer, to inspect the property. At that time, they determined that the building was not able to be restored at all. All parts of the structural building integrity was gone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 was only a couple more years after we were initially allowed into the structure. Chris, would you like to speak? COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair, when you say "allowed into the structure," by the by the property owner? You made contact with the property owner who granted you access to the building? MR. MURPHY: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the speaker? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Really one other question was, you know, have there been any attempts and talks with that homeowner to remediate these items? I'm sure you guys have asked them to, but has there been any willingness on their part or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Compliance Division, administrator, 214 North Hogan Street. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MURPHY: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. MURPHY: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. MURPHY: Good afternoon. The building was actually set out for demolition and we actually hired an engineer, outside engineer, to inspect the property. At that time, they determined that the building was not able to be restored at all. All parts	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 was only a couple more years after we were initially allowed into the structure. Chris, would you like to speak? COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair, when you say "allowed into the structure," by the by the property owner? You made contact with the property owner who granted you access to the building? MR. MURPHY: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the speaker? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Really one other question was, you know, have there been any attempts and talks with that homeowner to remediate these items? I'm sure you guys have asked them to, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Compliance Division, administrator, 214 North Hogan Street. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MURPHY: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. MURPHY: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. MURPHY: Good afternoon. The building was actually set out for demolition and we actually hired an engineer, outside engineer, to inspect the property. At that time, they determined that the building was not able to be restored at all. All parts of the structural building integrity was gone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 was only a couple more years after we were initially allowed into the structure. Chris, would you like to speak? COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair, when you say "allowed into the structure," by the by the property owner? You made contact with the property owner who granted you access to the building? MR. MURPHY: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the speaker? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Really one other question was, you know, have there been any attempts and talks with that homeowner to remediate these items? I'm sure you guys have asked them to, but has there been any willingness on their part or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Compliance Division, administrator, 214 North Hogan Street. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MURPHY: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. MURPHY: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. MURPHY: Good afternoon. The building was actually set out for demolition and we actually hired an engineer, outside engineer, to inspect the property. At that time, they determined that the building was not able to be restored at all. All parts of the structural building integrity was gone and there was no other recourse but to demolish	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 was only a couple more years after we were initially allowed into the structure. Chris, would you like to speak? COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair, when you say "allowed into the structure," by the by the property owner? You made contact with the property owner who granted you access to the building? MR. MURPHY: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the speaker? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Really one other question was, you know, have there been any attempts and talks with that homeowner to remediate these items? I'm sure you guys have asked them to, but has there been any willingness on their part or any attempts on their part to to do that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Compliance Division, administrator, 214 North Hogan Street. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MURPHY: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. MURPHY: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. MURPHY: Good afternoon. The building was actually set out for demolition and we actually hired an engineer, outside engineer, to inspect the property. At that time, they determined that the building was not able to be restored at all. All parts of the structural building integrity was gone and there was no other recourse but to demolish the structure because it is truly unsafe at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 was only a couple more years after we were initially allowed into the structure. Chris, would you like to speak? COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair, when you say "allowed into the structure," by the by the property owner? You made contact with the property owner who granted you access to the building? MR. MURPHY: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the speaker? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Really one other question was, you know, have there been any attempts and talks with that homeowner to remediate these items? I'm sure you guys have asked them to, but has there been any willingness on their part or any attempts on their part to to do that? MR. MURPHY: Okay. And I'll let Chris
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Compliance Division, administrator, 214 North Hogan Street. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MURPHY: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. MURPHY: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. MURPHY: Good afternoon. The building was actually set out for demolition and we actually hired an engineer, outside engineer, to inspect the property. At that time, they determined that the building was not able to be restored at all. All parts of the structural building integrity was gone and there was no other recourse but to demolish the structure because it is truly unsafe at this time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 was only a couple more years after we were initially allowed into the structure. Chris, would you like to speak? COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair, when you say "allowed into the structure," by the by the property owner? You made contact with the property owner who granted you access to the building? MR. MURPHY: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the speaker? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Really one other question was, you know, have there been any attempts and talks with that homeowner to remediate these items? I'm sure you guys have asked them to, but has there been any willingness on their part or any attempts on their part to to do that? MR. MURPHY: Okay. And I'll let Chris speak on that since he had the last
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Compliance Division, administrator, 214 North Hogan Street. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MURPHY: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. MURPHY: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. MURPHY: Good afternoon. The building was actually set out for demolition and we actually hired an engineer, outside engineer, to inspect the property. At that time, they determined that the building was not able to be restored at all. All parts of the structural building integrity was gone and there was no other recourse but to demolish the structure because it is truly unsafe at this time. The administrative liens will be settled	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 was only a couple more years after we were initially allowed into the structure. Chris, would you like to speak? COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair, when you say "allowed into the structure," by the by the property owner? You made contact with the property owner who granted you access to the building? MR. MURPHY: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the speaker? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Really one other question was, you know, have there been any attempts and talks with that homeowner to remediate these items? I'm sure you guys have asked them to, but has there been any willingness on their part or any attempts on their part to to do that? MR. MURPHY: Okay. And I'll let Chris speak on that since he had the last interactions with the owner.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Compliance Division, administrator, 214 North Hogan Street. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MURPHY: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. MURPHY: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. MURPHY: Good afternoon. The building was actually set out for demolition and we actually hired an engineer, outside engineer, to inspect the property. At that time, they determined that the building was not able to be restored at all. All parts of the structural building integrity was gone and there was no other recourse but to demolish the structure because it is truly unsafe at this time. The administrative liens will be settled once the property is demolished, and that will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>was only a couple more years after we were initially allowed into the structure. Chris, would you like to speak? COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair, when you say "allowed into the structure," by the by the property owner? You made contact with the property owner who granted you access to the building? MR. MURPHY: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the speaker? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Really one other question was, you know, have there been any attempts and talks with that homeowner to remediate these items? I'm sure you guys have asked them to, but has there been any willingness on their part or any attempts on their part to to do that? MR. MURPHY: Okay. And I'll let Chris speak on that since he had the last interactions with the owner. (Mr. Billings approaches the podium.)</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Compliance Division, administrator, 214 North Hogan Street. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MURPHY: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. MURPHY: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. MURPHY: Good afternoon. The building was actually set out for demolition and we actually hired an engineer, outside engineer, to inspect the property. At that time, they determined that the building was not able to be restored at all. All parts of the structural building integrity was gone and there was no other recourse but to demolish the structure because it is truly unsafe at this time. The administrative liens will be settled once the property is demolished, and that will settle the administrative liens that were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 was only a couple more years after we were initially allowed into the structure. Chris, would you like to speak? COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair, when you say "allowed into the structure," by the by the property owner? You made contact with the property owner who granted you access to the building? MR. MURPHY: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the speaker? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Really one other question was, you know, have there been any attempts and talks with that homeowner to remediate these items? I'm sure you guys have asked them to, but has there been any willingness on their part or any attempts on their part to to do that? MR. MURPHY: Okay. And I'll let Chris speak on that since he had the last interactions with the owner. (Mr. Billings approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: She'll swear you in. Give
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Compliance Division, administrator, 214 North Hogan Street. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MURPHY: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. MURPHY: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. MURPHY: Good afternoon. The building was actually set out for demolition and we actually hired an engineer, outside engineer, to inspect the property. At that time, they determined that the building was not able to be restored at all. All parts of the structural building integrity was gone and there was no other recourse but to demolish the structure because it is truly unsafe at this time. The administrative liens will be settled once the property is demolished, and that will settle the administrative liens that were originally cited on the property in 2010.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 was only a couple more years after we were initially allowed into the structure. Chris, would you like to speak? COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair, when you say "allowed into the structure," by the by the property owner? You made contact with the property owner who granted you access to the building? MR. MURPHY: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the speaker? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Really one other question was, you know, have there been any attempts and talks with that homeowner to remediate these items? I'm sure you guys have asked them to, but has there been any willingness on their part or any attempts on their part to to do that? MR. MURPHY: Okay. And I'll let Chris speak on that since he had the last interactions with the owner. (Mr. Billings approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: She'll swear you in. Give her your name and address.

		1	Uncertified Condensed Copy
	33		35
1	MR. BILLINGS: Chris Billings at 214 North	1	The main concern from us was the proximity
2	Hogan Street. I am the contract administrator	2	of this to the side street; and if it was to
3	for Municipal Code Compliance.	3	collapse, how that would endanger the public.
4	(Reporter inquiry.)	4	And we tried to move forward with him on it,
5	MR. BILLINGS: Yes. It's B-i-l-l-i-n-g-s.	5	but there was no leeway with him as far as an
6	THE REPORTER: Thank you so much.	6	attempt to do any kind of abatement or
7	And if you would raise your right hand for	7	restoration as we had the engineer go through,
8	me, please.	8	and he made his determination that there was
9	MR. BILLINGS: (Complies.)	9	not going to be restoration on the property,
10	THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the	10	that it was at his in his words, was not
	testimony you are about to give will be the	11	restorable due to the damage.
11			-
12	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the	12	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Thank you.
13	truth?	13	THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for this
14	MR. BILLINGS: I do.	14	speaker?
15	THE REPORTER: Thank you.	15	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
16	MR. BILLINGS: So we originally went out	16	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. We may call you
17	to this property. We were I met with the	17	back up.
18	neighbors here, who are currently present, and	18	Hold on. Wait. Gentlemen, gentlemen.
19	was able to get ahold of the owner. I believe	19	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Sorry. I should
20	it's Mr. James Con Convoy, Converse? And	20	have
21	so we I had several discussions with him on	21	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
22	every visit when I went out there. His	22	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Sorry. Through the
23	intention in the beginning was that he wanted	23	acting chair, there's mention in here about the
24	to sell the property, he was looking to sell it	24	basement in the write-up and then you mentioned
25	but never made progress on it.	25	the basement as well, but one of these
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	34		36
1		1	
1	He showed up at the Special Magistrate	1	descriptors talks about a pier foundation. So
2	He showed up at the Special Magistrate hearing on several occasions, was trying to	2	descriptors talks about a pier foundation. So when I hear "pier foundation" in an old
2 3	He showed up at the Special Magistrate hearing on several occasions, was trying to give the property away to the City, to let the	2 3	descriptors talks about a pier foundation. So when I hear "pier foundation" in an old historic part of town, I don't think
2 3 4	He showed up at the Special Magistrate hearing on several occasions, was trying to give the property away to the City, to let the City take care of it, or try to donate it to	2 3 4	descriptors talks about a pier foundation. So when I hear "pier foundation" in an old historic part of town, I don't think "basement." I think of two different things.
2 3 4 5	He showed up at the Special Magistrate hearing on several occasions, was trying to give the property away to the City, to let the City take care of it, or try to donate it to St. Vincent's Hospital. St. Vincent's Hospital	2 3 4 5	descriptors talks about a pier foundation. So when I hear "pier foundation" in an old historic part of town, I don't think "basement." I think of two different things. So is there an actual basement to this house or
2 3 4 5 6	He showed up at the Special Magistrate hearing on several occasions, was trying to give the property away to the City, to let the City take care of it, or try to donate it to St. Vincent's Hospital. St. Vincent's Hospital declined on the offer.	2 3 4 5 6	descriptors talks about a pier foundation. So when I hear "pier foundation" in an old historic part of town, I don't think "basement." I think of two different things. So is there an actual basement to this house or is it piers that are built up and it's built
2 3 4 5	He showed up at the Special Magistrate hearing on several occasions, was trying to give the property away to the City, to let the City take care of it, or try to donate it to St. Vincent's Hospital. St. Vincent's Hospital declined on the offer. So, basically, he said he kept on	2 3 4 5 6 7	descriptors talks about a pier foundation. So when I hear "pier foundation" in an old historic part of town, I don't think "basement." I think of two different things. So is there an actual basement to this house or is it piers that are built up and it's built off grade?
2 3 4 5 6	He showed up at the Special Magistrate hearing on several occasions, was trying to give the property away to the City, to let the City take care of it, or try to donate it to St. Vincent's Hospital. St. Vincent's Hospital declined on the offer. So, basically, he said he kept on saying that he wanted to sell the property, and	2 3 4 5 6	descriptors talks about a pier foundation. So when I hear "pier foundation" in an old historic part of town, I don't think "basement." I think of two different things. So is there an actual basement to this house or is it piers that are built up and it's built off grade? MR. BILLINGS: He that is a you're
2 3 4 5 6 7	He showed up at the Special Magistrate hearing on several occasions, was trying to give the property away to the City, to let the City take care of it, or try to donate it to St. Vincent's Hospital. St. Vincent's Hospital declined on the offer. So, basically, he said he kept on saying that he wanted to sell the property, and now here we are in 2024 and nothing has been	2 3 4 5 6 7	descriptors talks about a pier foundation. So when I hear "pier foundation" in an old historic part of town, I don't think "basement." I think of two different things. So is there an actual basement to this house or is it piers that are built up and it's built off grade? MR. BILLINGS: He that is a you're making me recollect my memory on this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	He showed up at the Special Magistrate hearing on several occasions, was trying to give the property away to the City, to let the City take care of it, or try to donate it to St. Vincent's Hospital. St. Vincent's Hospital declined on the offer. So, basically, he said he kept on saying that he wanted to sell the property, and now here we are in 2024 and nothing has been he never presented any kind of listings, never	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	descriptors talks about a pier foundation. So when I hear "pier foundation" in an old historic part of town, I don't think "basement." I think of two different things. So is there an actual basement to this house or is it piers that are built up and it's built off grade? MR. BILLINGS: He that is a you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	He showed up at the Special Magistrate hearing on several occasions, was trying to give the property away to the City, to let the City take care of it, or try to donate it to St. Vincent's Hospital. St. Vincent's Hospital declined on the offer. So, basically, he said he kept on saying that he wanted to sell the property, and now here we are in 2024 and nothing has been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	descriptors talks about a pier foundation. So when I hear "pier foundation" in an old historic part of town, I don't think "basement." I think of two different things. So is there an actual basement to this house or is it piers that are built up and it's built off grade? MR. BILLINGS: He that is a you're making me recollect my memory on this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	He showed up at the Special Magistrate hearing on several occasions, was trying to give the property away to the City, to let the City take care of it, or try to donate it to St. Vincent's Hospital. St. Vincent's Hospital declined on the offer. So, basically, he said he kept on saying that he wanted to sell the property, and now here we are in 2024 and nothing has been he never presented any kind of listings, never	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	descriptors talks about a pier foundation. So when I hear "pier foundation" in an old historic part of town, I don't think "basement." I think of two different things. So is there an actual basement to this house or is it piers that are built up and it's built off grade? MR. BILLINGS: He that is a you're making me recollect my memory on this. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Because I didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	He showed up at the Special Magistrate hearing on several occasions, was trying to give the property away to the City, to let the City take care of it, or try to donate it to St. Vincent's Hospital. St. Vincent's Hospital declined on the offer. So, basically, he said he kept on saying that he wanted to sell the property, and now here we are in 2024 and nothing has been he never presented any kind of listings, never put it on the market, never made progress with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	descriptors talks about a pier foundation. So when I hear "pier foundation" in an old historic part of town, I don't think "basement." I think of two different things. So is there an actual basement to this house or is it piers that are built up and it's built off grade? MR. BILLINGS: He that is a you're making me recollect my memory on this. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Because I didn't see a picture either.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	He showed up at the Special Magistrate hearing on several occasions, was trying to give the property away to the City, to let the City take care of it, or try to donate it to St. Vincent's Hospital. St. Vincent's Hospital declined on the offer. So, basically, he said he kept on saying that he wanted to sell the property, and now here we are in 2024 and nothing has been he never presented any kind of listings, never put it on the market, never made progress with that. The property continually deteriorated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	descriptors talks about a pier foundation. So when I hear "pier foundation" in an old historic part of town, I don't think "basement." I think of two different things. So is there an actual basement to this house or is it piers that are built up and it's built off grade? MR. BILLINGS: He that is a you're making me recollect my memory on this. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Because I didn't see a picture either. MR. BILLINGS: So well, I've got
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	He showed up at the Special Magistrate hearing on several occasions, was trying to give the property away to the City, to let the City take care of it, or try to donate it to St. Vincent's Hospital. St. Vincent's Hospital declined on the offer. So, basically, he said he kept on saying that he wanted to sell the property, and now here we are in 2024 and nothing has been he never presented any kind of listings, never put it on the market, never made progress with that. The property continually deteriorated. And when I first went out there because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	descriptors talks about a pier foundation. So when I hear "pier foundation" in an old historic part of town, I don't think "basement." I think of two different things. So is there an actual basement to this house or is it piers that are built up and it's built off grade? MR. BILLINGS: He that is a you're making me recollect my memory on this. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Because I didn't see a picture either. MR. BILLINGS: So well, I've got hundreds of them. So he has an actual ground
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	He showed up at the Special Magistrate hearing on several occasions, was trying to give the property away to the City, to let the City take care of it, or try to donate it to St. Vincent's Hospital. St. Vincent's Hospital declined on the offer. So, basically, he said he kept on saying that he wanted to sell the property, and now here we are in 2024 and nothing has been he never presented any kind of listings, never put it on the market, never made progress with that. The property continually deteriorated. And when I first went out there because the case from 2011 was a residential case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	descriptors talks about a pier foundation. So when I hear "pier foundation" in an old historic part of town, I don't think "basement." I think of two different things. So is there an actual basement to this house or is it piers that are built up and it's built off grade? MR. BILLINGS: He that is a you're making me recollect my memory on this. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Because I didn't see a picture either. MR. BILLINGS: So well, I've got hundreds of them. So he has an actual ground floor, first floor through the front door, and there's actual sub-floor area down there where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	He showed up at the Special Magistrate hearing on several occasions, was trying to give the property away to the City, to let the City take care of it, or try to donate it to St. Vincent's Hospital. St. Vincent's Hospital declined on the offer. So, basically, he said he kept on saying that he wanted to sell the property, and now here we are in 2024 and nothing has been he never presented any kind of listings, never put it on the market, never made progress with that. The property continually deteriorated. And when I first went out there because the case from 2011 was a residential case. When I went out there, it was already an administrative fine. I noticed the extensive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	descriptors talks about a pier foundation. So when I hear "pier foundation" in an old historic part of town, I don't think "basement." I think of two different things. So is there an actual basement to this house or is it piers that are built up and it's built off grade? MR. BILLINGS: He that is a you're making me recollect my memory on this. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Because I didn't see a picture either. MR. BILLINGS: So well, I've got hundreds of them. So he has an actual ground floor, first floor through the front door, and there's actual sub-floor area down there where they had storage down there. So it's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	He showed up at the Special Magistrate hearing on several occasions, was trying to give the property away to the City, to let the City take care of it, or try to donate it to St. Vincent's Hospital. St. Vincent's Hospital declined on the offer. So, basically, he said he kept on saying that he wanted to sell the property, and now here we are in 2024 and nothing has been he never presented any kind of listings, never put it on the market, never made progress with that. The property continually deteriorated. And when I first went out there because the case from 2011 was a residential case. When I went out there, it was already an administrative fine. I noticed the extensive damage to the roof, so we did condemn the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	descriptors talks about a pier foundation. So when I hear "pier foundation" in an old historic part of town, I don't think "basement." I think of two different things. So is there an actual basement to this house or is it piers that are built up and it's built off grade? MR. BILLINGS: He that is a you're making me recollect my memory on this. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Because I didn't see a picture either. MR. BILLINGS: So well, I've got hundreds of them. So he has an actual ground floor, first floor through the front door, and there's actual sub-floor area down there where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	He showed up at the Special Magistrate hearing on several occasions, was trying to give the property away to the City, to let the City take care of it, or try to donate it to St. Vincent's Hospital. St. Vincent's Hospital declined on the offer. So, basically, he said he kept on saying that he wanted to sell the property, and now here we are in 2024 and nothing has been he never presented any kind of listings, never put it on the market, never made progress with that. The property continually deteriorated. And when I first went out there because the case from 2011 was a residential case. When I went out there, it was already an administrative fine. I noticed the extensive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	descriptors talks about a pier foundation. So when I hear "pier foundation" in an old historic part of town, I don't think "basement." I think of two different things. So is there an actual basement to this house or is it piers that are built up and it's built off grade? MR. BILLINGS: He that is a you're making me recollect my memory on this. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Because I didn't see a picture either. MR. BILLINGS: So well, I've got hundreds of them. So he has an actual ground floor, first floor through the front door, and there's actual sub-floor area down there where they had storage down there. So it's a concrete, basement-style COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's not a crawl
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	He showed up at the Special Magistrate hearing on several occasions, was trying to give the property away to the City, to let the City take care of it, or try to donate it to St. Vincent's Hospital. St. Vincent's Hospital declined on the offer. So, basically, he said he kept on saying that he wanted to sell the property, and now here we are in 2024 and nothing has been he never presented any kind of listings, never put it on the market, never made progress with that. The property continually deteriorated. And when I first went out there because the case from 2011 was a residential case. When I went out there, it was already an administrative fine. I noticed the extensive damage to the roof, so we did condemn the structure, notified him, had him meet me on site.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	descriptors talks about a pier foundation. So when I hear "pier foundation" in an old historic part of town, I don't think "basement." I think of two different things. So is there an actual basement to this house or is it piers that are built up and it's built off grade? MR. BILLINGS: He that is a you're making me recollect my memory on this. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Because I didn't see a picture either. MR. BILLINGS: So well, I've got hundreds of them. So he has an actual ground floor, first floor through the front door, and there's actual sub-floor area down there where they had storage down there. So it's a concrete, basement-style COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's not a crawl space?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	He showed up at the Special Magistrate hearing on several occasions, was trying to give the property away to the City, to let the City take care of it, or try to donate it to St. Vincent's Hospital. St. Vincent's Hospital declined on the offer. So, basically, he said he kept on saying that he wanted to sell the property, and now here we are in 2024 and nothing has been he never presented any kind of listings, never put it on the market, never made progress with that. The property continually deteriorated. And when I first went out there because the case from 2011 was a residential case. When I went out there, it was already an administrative fine. I noticed the extensive damage to the roof, so we did condemn the structure, notified him, had him meet me on site. I've walked through the house personally	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	descriptors talks about a pier foundation. So when I hear "pier foundation" in an old historic part of town, I don't think "basement." I think of two different things. So is there an actual basement to this house or is it piers that are built up and it's built off grade? MR. BILLINGS: He that is a you're making me recollect my memory on this. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Because I didn't see a picture either. MR. BILLINGS: So well, I've got hundreds of them. So he has an actual ground floor, first floor through the front door, and there's actual sub-floor area down there where they had storage down there. So it's a concrete, basement-style COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's not a crawl space? MR. BILLINGS: No. It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	He showed up at the Special Magistrate hearing on several occasions, was trying to give the property away to the City, to let the City take care of it, or try to donate it to St. Vincent's Hospital. St. Vincent's Hospital declined on the offer. So, basically, he said he kept on saying that he wanted to sell the property, and now here we are in 2024 and nothing has been he never presented any kind of listings, never put it on the market, never made progress with that. The property continually deteriorated. And when I first went out there because the case from 2011 was a residential case. When I went out there, it was already an administrative fine. I noticed the extensive damage to the roof, so we did condemn the structure, notified him, had him meet me on site. I've walked through the house personally three different times, from the ground floor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	descriptors talks about a pier foundation. So when I hear "pier foundation" in an old historic part of town, I don't think "basement." I think of two different things. So is there an actual basement to this house or is it piers that are built up and it's built off grade? MR. BILLINGS: He that is a you're making me recollect my memory on this. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Because I didn't see a picture either. MR. BILLINGS: So well, I've got hundreds of them. So he has an actual ground floor, first floor through the front door, and there's actual sub-floor area down there where they had storage down there. So it's a concrete, basement-style COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's not a crawl space? MR. BILLINGS: No. It COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It is a basement?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	He showed up at the Special Magistrate hearing on several occasions, was trying to give the property away to the City, to let the City take care of it, or try to donate it to St. Vincent's Hospital. St. Vincent's Hospital declined on the offer. So, basically, he said he kept on saying that he wanted to sell the property, and now here we are in 2024 and nothing has been he never presented any kind of listings, never put it on the market, never made progress with that. The property continually deteriorated. And when I first went out there because the case from 2011 was a residential case. When I went out there, it was already an administrative fine. I noticed the extensive damage to the roof, so we did condemn the structure, notified him, had him meet me on site. I've walked through the house personally three different times, from the ground floor all the way up to the attic. The basement is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	descriptors talks about a pier foundation. So when I hear "pier foundation" in an old historic part of town, I don't think "basement." I think of two different things. So is there an actual basement to this house or is it piers that are built up and it's built off grade? MR. BILLINGS: He that is a you're making me recollect my memory on this. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Because I didn't see a picture either. MR. BILLINGS: So well, I've got hundreds of them. So he has an actual ground floor, first floor through the front door, and there's actual sub-floor area down there where they had storage down there. So it's a concrete, basement-style COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's not a crawl space? MR. BILLINGS: No. It COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It is a basement? MR. BILLINGS: It is a full room that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	He showed up at the Special Magistrate hearing on several occasions, was trying to give the property away to the City, to let the City take care of it, or try to donate it to St. Vincent's Hospital. St. Vincent's Hospital declined on the offer. So, basically, he said he kept on saying that he wanted to sell the property, and now here we are in 2024 and nothing has been he never presented any kind of listings, never put it on the market, never made progress with that. The property continually deteriorated. And when I first went out there because the case from 2011 was a residential case. When I went out there, it was already an administrative fine. I noticed the extensive damage to the roof, so we did condemn the structure, notified him, had him meet me on site. I've walked through the house personally three different times, from the ground floor all the way up to the attic. The basement is completely flooded with about 2-and-a-half	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	descriptors talks about a pier foundation. So when I hear "pier foundation" in an old historic part of town, I don't think "basement." I think of two different things. So is there an actual basement to this house or is it piers that are built up and it's built off grade? MR. BILLINGS: He that is a you're making me recollect my memory on this. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Because I didn't see a picture either. MR. BILLINGS: So well, I've got hundreds of them. So he has an actual ground floor, first floor through the front door, and there's actual sub-floor area down there where they had storage down there. So it's a concrete, basement-style COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's not a crawl space? MR. BILLINGS: No. It COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It is a basement? MR. BILLINGS: It is a full room that's flooded down there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	He showed up at the Special Magistrate hearing on several occasions, was trying to give the property away to the City, to let the City take care of it, or try to donate it to St. Vincent's Hospital. St. Vincent's Hospital declined on the offer. So, basically, he said he kept on saying that he wanted to sell the property, and now here we are in 2024 and nothing has been he never presented any kind of listings, never put it on the market, never made progress with that. The property continually deteriorated. And when I first went out there because the case from 2011 was a residential case. When I went out there, it was already an administrative fine. I noticed the extensive damage to the roof, so we did condemn the structure, notified him, had him meet me on site. I've walked through the house personally three different times, from the ground floor all the way up to the attic. The basement is completely flooded with about 2-and-a-half inches 2-and-a-half feet of water. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	descriptors talks about a pier foundation. So when I hear "pier foundation" in an old historic part of town, I don't think "basement." I think of two different things. So is there an actual basement to this house or is it piers that are built up and it's built off grade? MR. BILLINGS: He that is a you're making me recollect my memory on this. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Because I didn't see a picture either. MR. BILLINGS: So well, I've got hundreds of them. So he has an actual ground floor, first floor through the front door, and there's actual sub-floor area down there where they had storage down there. So it's a concrete, basement-style COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's not a crawl space? MR. BILLINGS: No. It COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It is a basement? MR. BILLINGS: It is a full room that's flooded down there. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I have a follow-up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	He showed up at the Special Magistrate hearing on several occasions, was trying to give the property away to the City, to let the City take care of it, or try to donate it to St. Vincent's Hospital. St. Vincent's Hospital declined on the offer. So, basically, he said he kept on saying that he wanted to sell the property, and now here we are in 2024 and nothing has been he never presented any kind of listings, never put it on the market, never made progress with that. The property continually deteriorated. And when I first went out there because the case from 2011 was a residential case. When I went out there, it was already an administrative fine. I noticed the extensive damage to the roof, so we did condemn the structure, notified him, had him meet me on site. I've walked through the house personally three different times, from the ground floor all the way up to the attic. The basement is completely flooded with about 2-and-a-half inches 2-and-a-half feet of water. The roof, you can see skylight.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	descriptors talks about a pier foundation. So when I hear "pier foundation" in an old historic part of town, I don't think "basement." I think of two different things. So is there an actual basement to this house or is it piers that are built up and it's built off grade? MR. BILLINGS: He that is a you're making me recollect my memory on this. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Because I didn't see a picture either. MR. BILLINGS: So well, I've got hundreds of them. So he has an actual ground floor, first floor through the front door, and there's actual sub-floor area down there where they had storage down there. So it's a concrete, basement-style COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's not a crawl space? MR. BILLINGS: No. It COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It is a basement? MR. BILLINGS: It is a full room that's flooded down there. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I have a follow-up question regarding the lien process. So if the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	He showed up at the Special Magistrate hearing on several occasions, was trying to give the property away to the City, to let the City take care of it, or try to donate it to St. Vincent's Hospital. St. Vincent's Hospital declined on the offer. So, basically, he said he kept on saying that he wanted to sell the property, and now here we are in 2024 and nothing has been he never presented any kind of listings, never put it on the market, never made progress with that. The property continually deteriorated. And when I first went out there because the case from 2011 was a residential case. When I went out there, it was already an administrative fine. I noticed the extensive damage to the roof, so we did condemn the structure, notified him, had him meet me on site. I've walked through the house personally three different times, from the ground floor all the way up to the attic. The basement is completely flooded with about 2-and-a-half inches 2-and-a-half feet of water. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	descriptors talks about a pier foundation. So when I hear "pier foundation" in an old historic part of town, I don't think "basement." I think of two different things. So is there an actual basement to this house or is it piers that are built up and it's built off grade? MR. BILLINGS: He that is a you're making me recollect my memory on this. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Because I didn't see a picture either. MR. BILLINGS: So well, I've got hundreds of them. So he has an actual ground floor, first floor through the front door, and there's actual sub-floor area down there where they had storage down there. So it's a concrete, basement-style COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's not a crawl space? MR. BILLINGS: No. It COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It is a basement? MR. BILLINGS: It is a full room that's flooded down there. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I have a follow-up

-	Jacksonville		June 26, 2024
Histor	ic Preservation Commission 37		Uncertified Condensed Copy 39
1	property is demolished, there's a lien placed	1	Commission, so I just want to touch on a couple
2	on the property; is that correct, for the cost	2	of things. So there is a current daily rolling
3	of demolition?	3	fine. And if MCCD could you give me do
4	MR. BILLINGS: So the current rolling	4	you have the fine as of today? Did you
5	fines, the daily fines that have been approved	5	MR. MURPHY: Yes. As of today, it's
6	accruing on both cases will stop, but the fines	6	\$244,350.
7	will still be in place. And we can discuss	7	MS. LOPERA: That's a daily rolling fine
8	that, but it will stop the occurring [sic]	8	that continues to accrue. Should you approve
9	fines from keep	9	this today and the City will have permission to
10	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: In my experience,	10	demolish the structure they are not
11	when those fines stop, you can remediate them	11	compelled to do so. They will just be
12	or reduce them two different processes with	12	permitted to do so. And if they decide to go
13	the City; is that correct?	13	that route to demolish the structure, whatever
14	MR. BILLINGS: That is correct.	14	costs are incurred in that endeavor will be
15	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting	15	added to as, like, a hard cost that the City
16	chair, one more question. When this if this	16	will attempt to recoup at another time.
17	is demolished and the fines are stopped, does	17	THE CHAIRMAN: This may be more of a
18	the property owner maintain ownership of this	18	question, Counsel. If we make a decision if
19	property or does it go up to auction? What is	19	it's decided today if we approve this
20	the status of ownership?	20	demolition, do the rolling fines stop today or
21	MR. BILLINGS: We would not be taking the	21	do they go on until it's actually demolished?
22	property from him. He would still retain	22	MS. LOPERA: To the Chair, my
23	ownership of the property. If he wanted to	23	understanding and MCC can confirm is that
24	settle the fines, that would be something that	24	the fines will continue to accrue until the
25	he could do or have a discussion with our	25	demolition is complete.
23	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	23	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	38		40
1		1	40 Is that correct?
1	³⁸ office, but we're not taking possession of it at this time.	1	
	office, but we're not taking possession of it		Is that correct?
2	office, but we're not taking possession of it at this time.	2	Is that correct? MR. MURPHY: That is correct.
2	office, but we're not taking possession of it at this time. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: And an additional	2 3	Is that correct? MR. MURPHY: That is correct. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for
2 3 4	office, but we're not taking possession of it at this time. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: And an additional question. Who is paying for the demolish? Is	2 3 4	Is that correct? MR. MURPHY: That is correct. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? They have been standing a
2 3 4 5	office, but we're not taking possession of it at this time. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: And an additional question. Who is paying for the demolish? Is the City paying for the demolition?	2 3 4 5	Is that correct? MR. MURPHY: That is correct. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? They have been standing a long time.
2 3 4 5 6	office, but we're not taking possession of it at this time. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: And an additional question. Who is paying for the demolish? Is the City paying for the demolition? MR. BILLINGS: We would sub that out for a	2 3 4 5 6	Is that correct? MR. MURPHY: That is correct. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? They have been standing a long time. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7	office, but we're not taking possession of it at this time. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: And an additional question. Who is paying for the demolish? Is the City paying for the demolition? MR. BILLINGS: We would sub that out for a bid as far as the if he's not going to pay	2 3 4 5 6 7	Is that correct? MR. MURPHY: That is correct. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? They have been standing a long time. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	office, but we're not taking possession of it at this time. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: And an additional question. Who is paying for the demolish? Is the City paying for the demolition? MR. BILLINGS: We would sub that out for a bid as far as the if he's not going to pay to do the demolition, then another lien would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Is that correct? MR. MURPHY: That is correct. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? They have been standing a long time. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: (Indicating.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	office, but we're not taking possession of it at this time. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: And an additional question. Who is paying for the demolish? Is the City paying for the demolition? MR. BILLINGS: We would sub that out for a bid as far as the if he's not going to pay to do the demolition, then another lien would be assessed for that demolition as well. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. So it's not just like he's maintaining ownership, you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Is that correct? MR. MURPHY: That is correct. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? They have been standing a long time. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Go ahead,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	office, but we're not taking possession of it at this time. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: And an additional question. Who is paying for the demolish? Is the City paying for the demolition? MR. BILLINGS: We would sub that out for a bid as far as the if he's not going to pay to do the demolition, then another lien would be assessed for that demolition as well. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. So it's not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Is that correct? MR. MURPHY: That is correct. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? They have been standing a long time. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Go ahead, Commissioner.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	office, but we're not taking possession of it at this time. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: And an additional question. Who is paying for the demolish? Is the City paying for the demolition? MR. BILLINGS: We would sub that out for a bid as far as the if he's not going to pay to do the demolition, then another lien would be assessed for that demolition as well. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. So it's not just like he's maintaining ownership, you're tearing down this troubled house, and then he's going to come to you and be like, "I still want	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Is that correct? MR. MURPHY: That is correct. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? They have been standing a long time. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Go ahead, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, reading here a letter from RAP about foreclosure and wanted to understand what that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	office, but we're not taking possession of it at this time. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: And an additional question. Who is paying for the demolish? Is the City paying for the demolition? MR. BILLINGS: We would sub that out for a bid as far as the if he's not going to pay to do the demolition, then another lien would be assessed for that demolition as well. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. So it's not just like he's maintaining ownership, you're tearing down this troubled house, and then he's going to come to you and be like, "I still want this land. How much can I pay you to pay off	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Is that correct? MR. MURPHY: That is correct. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? They have been standing a long time. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Go ahead, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, reading here a letter from RAP about foreclosure and wanted to understand what that process would be like and if that would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	office, but we're not taking possession of it at this time. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: And an additional question. Who is paying for the demolish? Is the City paying for the demolition? MR. BILLINGS: We would sub that out for a bid as far as the if he's not going to pay to do the demolition, then another lien would be assessed for that demolition as well. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. So it's not just like he's maintaining ownership, you're tearing down this troubled house, and then he's going to come to you and be like, "I still want this land. How much can I pay you to pay off liens?" And he's left with a clean property in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Is that correct? MR. MURPHY: That is correct. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? They have been standing a long time. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Go ahead, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, reading here a letter from RAP about foreclosure and wanted to understand what that process would be like and if that would be possible, or if it's just demolition.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	office, but we're not taking possession of it at this time. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: And an additional question. Who is paying for the demolish? Is the City paying for the demolition? MR. BILLINGS: We would sub that out for a bid as far as the if he's not going to pay to do the demolition, then another lien would be assessed for that demolition as well. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. So it's not just like he's maintaining ownership, you're tearing down this troubled house, and then he's going to come to you and be like, "I still want this land. How much can I pay you to pay off liens?" And he's left with a clean property in a highly desirable part of town? (Inaudible)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Is that correct? MR. MURPHY: That is correct. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? They have been standing a long time. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Go ahead, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, reading here a letter from RAP about foreclosure and wanted to understand what that process would be like and if that would be possible, or if it's just demolition. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	office, but we're not taking possession of it at this time. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: And an additional question. Who is paying for the demolish? Is the City paying for the demolition? MR. BILLINGS: We would sub that out for a bid as far as the if he's not going to pay to do the demolition, then another lien would be assessed for that demolition as well. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. So it's not just like he's maintaining ownership, you're tearing down this troubled house, and then he's going to come to you and be like, "I still want this land. How much can I pay you to pay off liens?" And he's left with a clean property in a highly desirable part of town? (Inaudible) property?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Is that correct? MR. MURPHY: That is correct. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? They have been standing a long time. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Go ahead, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, reading here a letter from RAP about foreclosure and wanted to understand what that process would be like and if that would be possible, or if it's just demolition. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Morgan and the Commission, so the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	office, but we're not taking possession of it at this time. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: And an additional question. Who is paying for the demolish? Is the City paying for the demolition? MR. BILLINGS: We would sub that out for a bid as far as the if he's not going to pay to do the demolition, then another lien would be assessed for that demolition as well. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. So it's not just like he's maintaining ownership, you're tearing down this troubled house, and then he's going to come to you and be like, "I still want this land. How much can I pay you to pay off liens?" And he's left with a clean property in a highly desirable part of town? (Inaudible) property? MR. BILLINGS: There would be a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Is that correct? MR. MURPHY: That is correct. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? They have been standing a long time. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Go ahead, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, reading here a letter from RAP about foreclosure and wanted to understand what that process would be like and if that would be possible, or if it's just demolition. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Morgan and the Commission, so the foreclosure process is an option the City has.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	office, but we're not taking possession of it at this time. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: And an additional question. Who is paying for the demolish? Is the City paying for the demolition? MR. BILLINGS: We would sub that out for a bid as far as the if he's not going to pay to do the demolition, then another lien would be assessed for that demolition as well. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. So it's not just like he's maintaining ownership, you're tearing down this troubled house, and then he's going to come to you and be like, "I still want this land. How much can I pay you to pay off liens?" And he's left with a clean property in a highly desirable part of town? (Inaudible) property? MR. BILLINGS: There would be a substantial fine and liens still placed on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Is that correct? MR. MURPHY: That is correct. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? They have been standing a long time. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Go ahead, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, reading here a letter from RAP about foreclosure and wanted to understand what that process would be like and if that would be possible, or if it's just demolition. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Morgan and the Commission, so the foreclosure process is an option the City has. MCCD and the Planning Department are charged
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	office, but we're not taking possession of it at this time. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: And an additional question. Who is paying for the demolish? Is the City paying for the demolition? MR. BILLINGS: We would sub that out for a bid as far as the if he's not going to pay to do the demolition, then another lien would be assessed for that demolition as well. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. So it's not just like he's maintaining ownership, you're tearing down this troubled house, and then he's going to come to you and be like, "I still want this land. How much can I pay you to pay off liens?" And he's left with a clean property in a highly desirable part of town? (Inaudible) property? MR. BILLINGS: There would be a substantial fine and liens still placed on the property for the demolition and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Is that correct? MR. MURPHY: That is correct. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? They have been standing a long time. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Go ahead, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, reading here a letter from RAP about foreclosure and wanted to understand what that process would be like and if that would be possible, or if it's just demolition. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Morgan and the Commission, so the foreclosure process is an option the City has. MCCD and the Planning Department are charged with enforcing these provisions for our Code.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	office, but we're not taking possession of it at this time. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: And an additional question. Who is paying for the demolish? Is the City paying for the demolition? MR. BILLINGS: We would sub that out for a bid as far as the if he's not going to pay to do the demolition, then another lien would be assessed for that demolition as well. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. So it's not just like he's maintaining ownership, you're tearing down this troubled house, and then he's going to come to you and be like, "I still want this land. How much can I pay you to pay off liens?" And he's left with a clean property in a highly desirable part of town? (Inaudible) property? MR. BILLINGS: There would be a substantial fine and liens still placed on the property for the demolition and the administrative fines.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Is that correct? MR. MURPHY: That is correct. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? They have been standing a long time. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Go ahead, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, reading here a letter from RAP about foreclosure and wanted to understand what that process would be like and if that would be possible, or if it's just demolition. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Morgan and the Commission, so the foreclosure process is an option the City has. MCCD and the Planning Department are charged with enforcing these provisions for our Code. And foreclosure is an option. That is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	office, but we're not taking possession of it at this time. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: And an additional question. Who is paying for the demolish? Is the City paying for the demolition? MR. BILLINGS: We would sub that out for a bid as far as the if he's not going to pay to do the demolition, then another lien would be assessed for that demolition as well. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. So it's not just like he's maintaining ownership, you're tearing down this troubled house, and then he's going to come to you and be like, "I still want this land. How much can I pay you to pay off liens?" And he's left with a clean property in a highly desirable part of town? (Inaudible) property? MR. BILLINGS: There would be a substantial fine and liens still placed on the property for the demolition and the administrative fines. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Is that correct? MR. MURPHY: That is correct. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? They have been standing a long time. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Go ahead, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, reading here a letter from RAP about foreclosure and wanted to understand what that process would be like and if that would be possible, or if it's just demolition. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Morgan and the Commission, so the foreclosure process is an option the City has. MCCD and the Planning Department are charged with enforcing these provisions for our Code. And foreclosure is an option. That is a separate procedure from what we're discussing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	office, but we're not taking possession of it at this time. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: And an additional question. Who is paying for the demolish? Is the City paying for the demolition? MR. BILLINGS: We would sub that out for a bid as far as the if he's not going to pay to do the demolition, then another lien would be assessed for that demolition as well. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. So it's not just like he's maintaining ownership, you're tearing down this troubled house, and then he's going to come to you and be like, "I still want this land. How much can I pay you to pay off liens?" And he's left with a clean property in a highly desirable part of town? (Inaudible) property? MR. BILLINGS: There would be a substantial fine and liens still placed on the property for the demolition and the administrative fines. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. MS. LOPERA: Mr. Chair, may I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Is that correct? MR. MURPHY: That is correct. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? They have been standing a long time. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Go ahead, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, reading here a letter from RAP about foreclosure and wanted to understand what that process would be like and if that would be possible, or if it's just demolition. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Morgan and the Commission, so the foreclosure process is an option the City has. MCCD and the Planning Department are charged with enforcing these provisions for our Code. And foreclosure is an option. That is a separate procedure from what we're discussing here today. This is just the request to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	office, but we're not taking possession of it at this time. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: And an additional question. Who is paying for the demolish? Is the City paying for the demolition? MR. BILLINGS: We would sub that out for a bid as far as the if he's not going to pay to do the demolition, then another lien would be assessed for that demolition as well. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. So it's not just like he's maintaining ownership, you're tearing down this troubled house, and then he's going to come to you and be like, "I still want this land. How much can I pay you to pay off liens?" And he's left with a clean property in a highly desirable part of town? (Inaudible) property? MR. BILLINGS: There would be a substantial fine and liens still placed on the property for the demolition and the administrative fines. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. MS. LOPERA: Mr. Chair, may I THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Is that correct? MR. MURPHY: That is correct. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? They have been standing a long time. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Go ahead, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, reading here a letter from RAP about foreclosure and wanted to understand what that process would be like and if that would be possible, or if it's just demolition. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Morgan and the Commission, so the foreclosure process is an option the City has. MCCD and the Planning Department are charged with enforcing these provisions for our Code. And foreclosure is an option. That is a separate procedure from what we're discussing here today. This is just the request to approve the demolition.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	office, but we're not taking possession of it at this time. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: And an additional question. Who is paying for the demolish? Is the City paying for the demolition? MR. BILLINGS: We would sub that out for a bid as far as the if he's not going to pay to do the demolition, then another lien would be assessed for that demolition as well. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. So it's not just like he's maintaining ownership, you're tearing down this troubled house, and then he's going to come to you and be like, "I still want this land. How much can I pay you to pay off liens?" And he's left with a clean property in a highly desirable part of town? (Inaudible) property? MR. BILLINGS: There would be a substantial fine and liens still placed on the property for the demolition and the administrative fines. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. MS. LOPERA: Mr. Chair, may I THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Is that correct? MR. MURPHY: That is correct. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? They have been standing a long time. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Go ahead, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, reading here a letter from RAP about foreclosure and wanted to understand what that process would be like and if that would be possible, or if it's just demolition. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Morgan and the Commission, so the foreclosure process is an option the City has. MCCD and the Planning Department are charged with enforcing these provisions for our Code. And foreclosure is an option. That is a separate procedure from what we're discussing here today. This is just the request to approve the demolition. The MCCD has made a request to my office,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	office, but we're not taking possession of it at this time. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: And an additional question. Who is paying for the demolish? Is the City paying for the demolition? MR. BILLINGS: We would sub that out for a bid as far as the if he's not going to pay to do the demolition, then another lien would be assessed for that demolition as well. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. So it's not just like he's maintaining ownership, you're tearing down this troubled house, and then he's going to come to you and be like, "I still want this land. How much can I pay you to pay off liens?" And he's left with a clean property in a highly desirable part of town? (Inaudible) property? MR. BILLINGS: There would be a substantial fine and liens still placed on the property for the demolition and the administrative fines. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. MS. LOPERA: Mr. Chair, may I THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Is that correct? MR. MURPHY: That is correct. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? They have been standing a long time. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Go ahead, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, reading here a letter from RAP about foreclosure and wanted to understand what that process would be like and if that would be possible, or if it's just demolition. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Morgan and the Commission, so the foreclosure process is an option the City has. MCCD and the Planning Department are charged with enforcing these provisions for our Code. And foreclosure is an option. That is a separate procedure from what we're discussing here today. This is just the request to approve the demolition.

	41		43
1	to OGC, to move forward with the foreclosure,	1	to swear you in.
2	but that's not something that's approved by you	2	MS. McCRARY: Pardon?
	, ,		
3	or any part of this today.	3	THE REPORTER: I have to swear you in.
4	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting	4	I'm sorry.
5	chair to Counsel I'm sorry, I think I'm loud	5	If you would raise your right hand for me,
6	enough.	6	please.
7	Through the acting chair to Counsel, if we	7	MS. McCRARY: (Complies.)
8	denied this demolition today, is that something	8	THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the
9	that you would you and the City would seek,	9	testimony you are about to give will be the
10	would be foreclosure, to sell to somebody else	10	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
11	who may want I mean, that's you know, I'm	11	truth?
12	just coming up with ideas here, but I just	12	MS. McCRARY: Yes, ma'am.
13	don't know what the process would be if we	13	THE REPORTER: Thank you.
	•		
14	denied this.	14	MS. McCRARY: As I started to say, I've
15	MS. LOPERA: So to the commissioner	15	lived across this the street from this house
16	through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, the	16	for almost 42 years. I'm very familiar with
17	foreclosure process is completely separate. So	17	this house. I'm very familiar with the owners
18	whether demolition is approved today or not,	18	of the property. The house has been vacant for
19	the foreclosure process can continue should	19	almost 20 years, and the owner has failed to
20	that be the will of MCCD and the	20	maintain the property. It is now a blighted
21	administration.	21	property, it's a fire hazard, and in a
22	And like I said, even if you do approve	22	condition of significant deterioration and
23	demolition, they may elect not to go forward	23	disrepair. As a result, the health and safety
24	with that for one reason or another and to	24	of the neighbors and the neighborhood have been
25	pursue foreclosure without a demolition with	25	impacted.
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	42		44
	42		44
1	the current structure on the narcel	4	The property is not secure, the gates are
1	the current structure on the parcel.	1	The property is not secure, the gates are
2	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for	2	open, fences have been knocked down. The
2 3	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen?	2 3	open, fences have been knocked down. The windows most of the windows in the house are
2 3 4	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)	2 3 4	open, fences have been knocked down. The windows most of the windows in the house are missing. This has attracted vagrants that have
2 3	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We may call you	2 3	open, fences have been knocked down. The windows most of the windows in the house are missing. This has attracted vagrants that have littered the property and used it as a public
2 3 4	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We may call you back up. Thank you.	2 3 4	open, fences have been knocked down. The windows most of the windows in the house are missing. This has attracted vagrants that have littered the property and used it as a public toilet.
2 3 4 5	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We may call you back up. Thank you. Now I'm going to call people up with the	2 3 4 5	open, fences have been knocked down. The windows most of the windows in the house are missing. This has attracted vagrants that have littered the property and used it as a public toilet. There is there are huge gaping holes in
2 3 4 5 6	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We may call you back up. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6	open, fences have been knocked down. The windows most of the windows in the house are missing. This has attracted vagrants that have littered the property and used it as a public toilet.
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We may call you back up. Thank you. Now I'm going to call people up with the	2 3 4 5 6 7	open, fences have been knocked down. The windows most of the windows in the house are missing. This has attracted vagrants that have littered the property and used it as a public toilet. There is there are huge gaping holes in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We may call you back up. Thank you. Now I'm going to call people up with the cards that have been turned in. At the end, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	open, fences have been knocked down. The windows most of the windows in the house are missing. This has attracted vagrants that have littered the property and used it as a public toilet. There is there are huge gaping holes in the roof. During windy and stormy weather,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We may call you back up. Thank you. Now I'm going to call people up with the cards that have been turned in. At the end, if you if you want to speak on this and you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	open, fences have been knocked down. The windows most of the windows in the house are missing. This has attracted vagrants that have littered the property and used it as a public toilet. There is there are huge gaping holes in the roof. During windy and stormy weather, debris, shingles fall off the roof. They come
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We may call you back up. Thank you. Now I'm going to call people up with the cards that have been turned in. At the end, if you if you want to speak on this and you I haven't called your name, either fill out a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	open, fences have been knocked down. The windows most of the windows in the house are missing. This has attracted vagrants that have littered the property and used it as a public toilet. There is there are huge gaping holes in the roof. During windy and stormy weather, debris, shingles fall off the roof. They come over on my property, so I'm cleaning up James Street, his sidewalk, my sidewalk, my property,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We may call you back up. Thank you. Now I'm going to call people up with the cards that have been turned in. At the end, if you if you want to speak on this and you I haven't called your name, either fill out a card or come up and I can look for it, but I think I have all of them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	open, fences have been knocked down. The windows most of the windows in the house are missing. This has attracted vagrants that have littered the property and used it as a public toilet. There is there are huge gaping holes in the roof. During windy and stormy weather, debris, shingles fall off the roof. They come over on my property, so I'm cleaning up James Street, his sidewalk, my sidewalk, my property, with my time and expense.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We may call you back up. Thank you. Now I'm going to call people up with the cards that have been turned in. At the end, if you if you want to speak on this and you I haven't called your name, either fill out a card or come up and I can look for it, but I think I have all of them. We'll start with Suzanne McCrary.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	open, fences have been knocked down. The windows most of the windows in the house are missing. This has attracted vagrants that have littered the property and used it as a public toilet. There is there are huge gaping holes in the roof. During windy and stormy weather, debris, shingles fall off the roof. They come over on my property, so I'm cleaning up James Street, his sidewalk, my sidewalk, my property, with my time and expense. Vermin have been living in this house for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We may call you back up. Thank you. Now I'm going to call people up with the cards that have been turned in. At the end, if you if you want to speak on this and you I haven't called your name, either fill out a card or come up and I can look for it, but I think I have all of them. We'll start with Suzanne McCrary. (Audience member approaches the podium.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	open, fences have been knocked down. The windows most of the windows in the house are missing. This has attracted vagrants that have littered the property and used it as a public toilet. There is there are huge gaping holes in the roof. During windy and stormy weather, debris, shingles fall off the roof. They come over on my property, so I'm cleaning up James Street, his sidewalk, my sidewalk, my property, with my time and expense. Vermin have been living in this house for years, and they promote the spread of disease
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We may call you back up. Thank you. Now I'm going to call people up with the cards that have been turned in. At the end, if you if you want to speak on this and you I haven't called your name, either fill out a card or come up and I can look for it, but I think I have all of them. We'll start with Suzanne McCrary. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: You'll have three minutes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	open, fences have been knocked down. The windows most of the windows in the house are missing. This has attracted vagrants that have littered the property and used it as a public toilet. There is there are huge gaping holes in the roof. During windy and stormy weather, debris, shingles fall off the roof. They come over on my property, so I'm cleaning up James Street, his sidewalk, my sidewalk, my property, with my time and expense. Vermin have been living in this house for years, and they promote the spread of disease to other animals and humans.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We may call you back up. Thank you. Now I'm going to call people up with the cards that have been turned in. At the end, if you if you want to speak on this and you I haven't called your name, either fill out a card or come up and I can look for it, but I think I have all of them. We'll start with Suzanne McCrary. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: You'll have three minutes each to speak, and start by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	open, fences have been knocked down. The windows most of the windows in the house are missing. This has attracted vagrants that have littered the property and used it as a public toilet. There is there are huge gaping holes in the roof. During windy and stormy weather, debris, shingles fall off the roof. They come over on my property, so I'm cleaning up James Street, his sidewalk, my sidewalk, my property, with my time and expense. Vermin have been living in this house for years, and they promote the spread of disease to other animals and humans. There is significant vegetation overgrowth
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We may call you back up. Thank you. Now I'm going to call people up with the cards that have been turned in. At the end, if you if you want to speak on this and you I haven't called your name, either fill out a card or come up and I can look for it, but I think I have all of them. We'll start with Suzanne McCrary. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: You'll have three minutes each to speak, and start by AUDIENCE MEMBER: No problem.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	open, fences have been knocked down. The windows most of the windows in the house are missing. This has attracted vagrants that have littered the property and used it as a public toilet. There is there are huge gaping holes in the roof. During windy and stormy weather, debris, shingles fall off the roof. They come over on my property, so I'm cleaning up James Street, his sidewalk, my sidewalk, my property, with my time and expense. Vermin have been living in this house for years, and they promote the spread of disease to other animals and humans. There is significant vegetation overgrowth on the property and on the City right-of-way.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We may call you back up. Thank you. Now I'm going to call people up with the cards that have been turned in. At the end, if you if you want to speak on this and you I haven't called your name, either fill out a card or come up and I can look for it, but I think I have all of them. We'll start with Suzanne McCrary. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: You'll have three minutes each to speak, and start by AUDIENCE MEMBER: No problem. THE CHAIRMAN: giving your name and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	open, fences have been knocked down. The windows most of the windows in the house are missing. This has attracted vagrants that have littered the property and used it as a public toilet. There is there are huge gaping holes in the roof. During windy and stormy weather, debris, shingles fall off the roof. They come over on my property, so I'm cleaning up James Street, his sidewalk, my sidewalk, my property, with my time and expense. Vermin have been living in this house for years, and they promote the spread of disease to other animals and humans. There is significant vegetation overgrowth on the property and on the City right-of-way. Over the years, I have raked and bagged the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We may call you back up. Thank you. Now I'm going to call people up with the cards that have been turned in. At the end, if you if you want to speak on this and you I haven't called your name, either fill out a card or come up and I can look for it, but I think I have all of them. We'll start with Suzanne McCrary. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: You'll have three minutes each to speak, and start by AUDIENCE MEMBER: No problem. THE CHAIRMAN: giving your name and address and she'll swear you in.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	open, fences have been knocked down. The windows most of the windows in the house are missing. This has attracted vagrants that have littered the property and used it as a public toilet. There is there are huge gaping holes in the roof. During windy and stormy weather, debris, shingles fall off the roof. They come over on my property, so I'm cleaning up James Street, his sidewalk, my sidewalk, my property, with my time and expense. Vermin have been living in this house for years, and they promote the spread of disease to other animals and humans. There is significant vegetation overgrowth on the property and on the City right-of-way. Over the years, I have raked and bagged the leaves and trash that has accumulated on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We may call you back up. Thank you. Now I'm going to call people up with the cards that have been turned in. At the end, if you if you want to speak on this and you I haven't called your name, either fill out a card or come up and I can look for it, but I think I have all of them. We'll start with Suzanne McCrary. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: You'll have three minutes each to speak, and start by AUDIENCE MEMBER: No problem. THE CHAIRMAN: giving your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Suzanne	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	open, fences have been knocked down. The windows most of the windows in the house are missing. This has attracted vagrants that have littered the property and used it as a public toilet. There is there are huge gaping holes in the roof. During windy and stormy weather, debris, shingles fall off the roof. They come over on my property, so I'm cleaning up James Street, his sidewalk, my sidewalk, my property, with my time and expense. Vermin have been living in this house for years, and they promote the spread of disease to other animals and humans. There is significant vegetation overgrowth on the property and on the City right-of-way. Over the years, I have raked and bagged the leaves and trash that has accumulated on the city sidewalks and on James Street. The leaves
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We may call you back up. Thank you. Now I'm going to call people up with the cards that have been turned in. At the end, if you if you want to speak on this and you I haven't called your name, either fill out a card or come up and I can look for it, but I think I have all of them. We'll start with Suzanne McCrary. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: You'll have three minutes each to speak, and start by AUDIENCE MEMBER: No problem. THE CHAIRMAN: giving your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Suzanne McCrary. I live at 2756 Riverside Avenue,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	open, fences have been knocked down. The windows most of the windows in the house are missing. This has attracted vagrants that have littered the property and used it as a public toilet. There is there are huge gaping holes in the roof. During windy and stormy weather, debris, shingles fall off the roof. They come over on my property, so I'm cleaning up James Street, his sidewalk, my sidewalk, my property, with my time and expense. Vermin have been living in this house for years, and they promote the spread of disease to other animals and humans. There is significant vegetation overgrowth on the property and on the City right-of-way. Over the years, I have raked and bagged the leaves and trash that has accumulated on the city sidewalks and on James Street. The leaves are so piled up in the driveway of this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We may call you back up. Thank you. Now I'm going to call people up with the cards that have been turned in. At the end, if you if you want to speak on this and you I haven't called your name, either fill out a card or come up and I can look for it, but I think I have all of them. We'll start with Suzanne McCrary. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: You'll have three minutes each to speak, and start by AUDIENCE MEMBER: No problem. THE CHAIRMAN: giving your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Suzanne McCrary. I live at 2756 Riverside Avenue, 32205.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	open, fences have been knocked down. The windows most of the windows in the house are missing. This has attracted vagrants that have littered the property and used it as a public toilet. There is there are huge gaping holes in the roof. During windy and stormy weather, debris, shingles fall off the roof. They come over on my property, so I'm cleaning up James Street, his sidewalk, my sidewalk, my property, with my time and expense. Vermin have been living in this house for years, and they promote the spread of disease to other animals and humans. There is significant vegetation overgrowth on the property and on the City right-of-way. Over the years, I have raked and bagged the leaves and trash that has accumulated on the city sidewalks and on James Street. The leaves are so piled up in the driveway of this property that they are obstructing pedestrian
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We may call you back up. Thank you. Now I'm going to call people up with the cards that have been turned in. At the end, if you if you want to speak on this and you I haven't called your name, either fill out a card or come up and I can look for it, but I think I have all of them. We'll start with Suzanne McCrary. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: You'll have three minutes each to speak, and start by AUDIENCE MEMBER: No problem. THE CHAIRMAN: giving your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Suzanne McCrary. I live at 2756 Riverside Avenue, 32205. I have lived across the street from this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	open, fences have been knocked down. The windows most of the windows in the house are missing. This has attracted vagrants that have littered the property and used it as a public toilet. There is there are huge gaping holes in the roof. During windy and stormy weather, debris, shingles fall off the roof. They come over on my property, so I'm cleaning up James Street, his sidewalk, my sidewalk, my property, with my time and expense. Vermin have been living in this house for years, and they promote the spread of disease to other animals and humans. There is significant vegetation overgrowth on the property and on the City right-of-way. Over the years, I have raked and bagged the leaves and trash that has accumulated on the city sidewalks and on James Street. The leaves are so piled up in the driveway of this property that they are obstructing pedestrian traffic. They can't walk by that driveway.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We may call you back up. Thank you. Now I'm going to call people up with the cards that have been turned in. At the end, if you if you want to speak on this and you I haven't called your name, either fill out a card or come up and I can look for it, but I think I have all of them. We'll start with Suzanne McCrary. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: You'll have three minutes each to speak, and start by AUDIENCE MEMBER: No problem. THE CHAIRMAN: giving your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Suzanne McCrary. I live at 2756 Riverside Avenue, 32205. I have lived across the street from this house	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	open, fences have been knocked down. The windows most of the windows in the house are missing. This has attracted vagrants that have littered the property and used it as a public toilet. There is there are huge gaping holes in the roof. During windy and stormy weather, debris, shingles fall off the roof. They come over on my property, so I'm cleaning up James Street, his sidewalk, my sidewalk, my property, with my time and expense. Vermin have been living in this house for years, and they promote the spread of disease to other animals and humans. There is significant vegetation overgrowth on the property and on the City right-of-way. Over the years, I have raked and bagged the leaves and trash that has accumulated on the city sidewalks and on James Street. The leaves are so piled up in the driveway of this property that they are obstructing pedestrian traffic. They can't walk by that driveway. When I asked the last company contracted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We may call you back up. Thank you. Now I'm going to call people up with the cards that have been turned in. At the end, if you if you want to speak on this and you I haven't called your name, either fill out a card or come up and I can look for it, but I think I have all of them. We'll start with Suzanne McCrary. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: You'll have three minutes each to speak, and start by AUDIENCE MEMBER: No problem. THE CHAIRMAN: giving your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Suzanne McCrary. I live at 2756 Riverside Avenue, 32205. I have lived across the street from this house THE REPORTER: Excuse me, ma'am. I have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	open, fences have been knocked down. The windows most of the windows in the house are missing. This has attracted vagrants that have littered the property and used it as a public toilet. There is there are huge gaping holes in the roof. During windy and stormy weather, debris, shingles fall off the roof. They come over on my property, so I'm cleaning up James Street, his sidewalk, my sidewalk, my property, with my time and expense. Vermin have been living in this house for years, and they promote the spread of disease to other animals and humans. There is significant vegetation overgrowth on the property and on the City right-of-way. Over the years, I have raked and bagged the leaves and trash that has accumulated on the city sidewalks and on James Street. The leaves are so piled up in the driveway of this property that they are obstructing pedestrian traffic. They can't walk by that driveway. When I asked the last company contracted by the City if they could please clear those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We may call you back up. Thank you. Now I'm going to call people up with the cards that have been turned in. At the end, if you if you want to speak on this and you I haven't called your name, either fill out a card or come up and I can look for it, but I think I have all of them. We'll start with Suzanne McCrary. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: You'll have three minutes each to speak, and start by AUDIENCE MEMBER: No problem. THE CHAIRMAN: giving your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Suzanne McCrary. I live at 2756 Riverside Avenue, 32205. I have lived across the street from this house	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	open, fences have been knocked down. The windows most of the windows in the house are missing. This has attracted vagrants that have littered the property and used it as a public toilet. There is there are huge gaping holes in the roof. During windy and stormy weather, debris, shingles fall off the roof. They come over on my property, so I'm cleaning up James Street, his sidewalk, my sidewalk, my property, with my time and expense. Vermin have been living in this house for years, and they promote the spread of disease to other animals and humans. There is significant vegetation overgrowth on the property and on the City right-of-way. Over the years, I have raked and bagged the leaves and trash that has accumulated on the city sidewalks and on James Street. The leaves are so piled up in the driveway of this property that they are obstructing pedestrian traffic. They can't walk by that driveway. When I asked the last company contracted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for these gentlemen? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We may call you back up. Thank you. Now I'm going to call people up with the cards that have been turned in. At the end, if you if you want to speak on this and you I haven't called your name, either fill out a card or come up and I can look for it, but I think I have all of them. We'll start with Suzanne McCrary. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: You'll have three minutes each to speak, and start by AUDIENCE MEMBER: No problem. THE CHAIRMAN: giving your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Suzanne McCrary. I live at 2756 Riverside Avenue, 32205. I have lived across the street from this house THE REPORTER: Excuse me, ma'am. I have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	open, fences have been knocked down. The windows most of the windows in the house are missing. This has attracted vagrants that have littered the property and used it as a public toilet. There is there are huge gaping holes in the roof. During windy and stormy weather, debris, shingles fall off the roof. They come over on my property, so I'm cleaning up James Street, his sidewalk, my sidewalk, my property, with my time and expense. Vermin have been living in this house for years, and they promote the spread of disease to other animals and humans. There is significant vegetation overgrowth on the property and on the City right-of-way. Over the years, I have raked and bagged the leaves and trash that has accumulated on the city sidewalks and on James Street. The leaves are so piled up in the driveway of this property that they are obstructing pedestrian traffic. They can't walk by that driveway. When I asked the last company contracted by the City if they could please clear those

	c Preservation Commission	1	Uncertified Condensed Copy
1	45 leaves, I was told, "No, we only do the work	1	47 house was subject to vermin and disrepair. So
2	inside the fenced area," but if I paid for it,	2	in the span of my child's lifetime of turning
3	they would do it. I'm not doing that.	3	into [sic] a toddler to a man, nothing has ever
4	This property negatively impacts the	4	been done to the structure of this property.
5	property values of the neighborhood and it	5	I do want to make it very clear, my
6	definitely fails to enhance the heritage and	6	concerns about the ownership of the real estate
7	character of what we say is a historic	7	has nothing to do with the condition of the
8	district.	8	property and the structure.
9	The property has been neglected for so	9	I do want to thank Mr. Murphy and
10	long, it is well beyond repair and needs to be	10	Mr. Billings for being here. They have been so
11	abolished demolished, excuse me, abolished		helpful over the last 10 to 15 years at helping
		11	
12	and demolished.	12	me keep my sanity through this process.
13	But anyway, thank you for your time and	13	I understand that the fines are rolling.
14	for listening to me.	14	I understand that's a concern. But as a
15	Do you have any questions?	15	citizen of this neighborhood, as this is my
16	THE CHAIRMAN: No, ma'am. Thank you.	16	home. Those are of no concern to me.
17	MS. McCRARY: Okay.	17	What is a concern to me are some of the
18	THE CHAIRMAN: Next we have Jane I	18	things that Ms. McCrary mentioned, and that is
19	believe it's I can't tell if it's a "u" or	19	vagrancy. Vagrancy is not just a word thrown
20	an "n", but Chefan?	20	out there; it's something I have to deal with,
21	AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm going to pass my	21	that I have to call the police when I'm home
22	turn until after the seller next to the	22	with my children and I hear people in the home
23	property, please.	23	at night. That's been a very unpleasant
24	THE CHAIRMAN: Do you want to come on up?	24	situation and it's made us feel unsafe in our
25	(Audience member approaches the podium.)	25	home.
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	46		48
1	46 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Shelley Eckels.	1	48 There is vermin. I'll give you exact
2	46 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Shelley Eckels. THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address	2	48 There is vermin. I'll give you exact examples. There are raccoons living in this
2 3	46 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Shelley Eckels. THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in.	2 3	48 There is vermin. I'll give you exact examples. There are raccoons living in this house. There are rats. And those rats are
2 3 4	46 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Shelley Eckels. THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MS. ECKELS: Thank you.	2 3 4	48 There is vermin. I'll give you exact examples. There are raccoons living in this house. There are rats. And those rats are 10 feet from my home. So that's a very
2 3 4 5	46 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Shelley Eckels. THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MS. ECKELS: Thank you. Shelley Eckels. I reside at 2772	2 3 4 5	48 There is vermin. I'll give you exact examples. There are raccoons living in this house. There are rats. And those rats are 10 feet from my home. So that's a very unpleasant situation.
2 3 4 5 6	46 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Shelley Eckels. THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MS. ECKELS: Thank you. Shelley Eckels. I reside at 2772 Riverside Avenue. That's Jacksonville,	2 3 4 5 6	48 There is vermin. I'll give you exact examples. There are raccoons living in this house. There are rats. And those rats are 10 feet from my home. So that's a very unpleasant situation. I'll just show you. This is my home
2 3 4 5 6 7	46 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Shelley Eckels. THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MS. ECKELS: Thank you. Shelley Eckels. I reside at 2772 Riverside Avenue. That's Jacksonville, Florida.	2 3 4 5 6 7	48 There is vermin. I'll give you exact examples. There are raccoons living in this house. There are rats. And those rats are 10 feet from my home. So that's a very unpleasant situation. I'll just show you. This is my home (indicating) next door. You see right here,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	46 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Shelley Eckels. THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MS. ECKELS: Thank you. Shelley Eckels. I reside at 2772 Riverside Avenue. That's Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	48 There is vermin. I'll give you exact examples. There are raccoons living in this house. There are rats. And those rats are 10 feet from my home. So that's a very unpleasant situation. I'll just show you. This is my home (indicating) next door. You see right here, the Riverside Avondale Preservation sign? I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	46 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Shelley Eckels. THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MS. ECKELS: Thank you. Shelley Eckels. I reside at 2772 Riverside Avenue. That's Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	48 There is vermin. I'll give you exact examples. There are raccoons living in this house. There are rats. And those rats are 10 feet from my home. So that's a very unpleasant situation. I'll just show you. This is my home (indicating) next door. You see right here, the Riverside Avondale Preservation sign? I take that seriously. I value historic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	46 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Shelley Eckels. THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MS. ECKELS: Thank you. Shelley Eckels. I reside at 2772 Riverside Avenue. That's Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. ECKELS: (Complies.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	48 There is vermin. I'll give you exact examples. There are raccoons living in this house. There are rats. And those rats are 10 feet from my home. So that's a very unpleasant situation. I'll just show you. This is my home (indicating) next door. You see right here, the Riverside Avondale Preservation sign? I take that seriously. I value historic preservation, and I've employed that in my home
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	46 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Shelley Eckels. THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MS. ECKELS: Thank you. Shelley Eckels. I reside at 2772 Riverside Avenue. That's Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. ECKELS: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	48 There is vermin. I'll give you exact examples. There are raccoons living in this house. There are rats. And those rats are 10 feet from my home. So that's a very unpleasant situation. I'll just show you. This is my home (indicating) next door. You see right here, the Riverside Avondale Preservation sign? I take that seriously. I value historic preservation, and I've employed that in my home for two decades. But my home's value has been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	46 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Shelley Eckels. THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MS. ECKELS: Thank you. Shelley Eckels. I reside at 2772 Riverside Avenue. That's Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. ECKELS: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	48 There is vermin. I'll give you exact examples. There are raccoons living in this house. There are rats. And those rats are 10 feet from my home. So that's a very unpleasant situation. I'll just show you. This is my home (indicating) next door. You see right here, the Riverside Avondale Preservation sign? I take that seriously. I value historic preservation, and I've employed that in my home for two decades. But my home's value has been affected, my family's safety and well-being is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	46 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Shelley Eckels. THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MS. ECKELS: Thank you. Shelley Eckels. I reside at 2772 Riverside Avenue. That's Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. ECKELS: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	48 There is vermin. I'll give you exact examples. There are raccoons living in this house. There are rats. And those rats are 10 feet from my home. So that's a very unpleasant situation. I'll just show you. This is my home (indicating) next door. You see right here, the Riverside Avondale Preservation sign? I take that seriously. I value historic preservation, and I've employed that in my home for two decades. But my home's value has been affected, my family's safety and well-being is affected.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	46 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Shelley Eckels. THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MS. ECKELS: Thank you. Shelley Eckels. I reside at 2772 Riverside Avenue. That's Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. ECKELS: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	48 There is vermin. I'll give you exact examples. There are raccoons living in this house. There are rats. And those rats are 10 feet from my home. So that's a very unpleasant situation. I'll just show you. This is my home (indicating) next door. You see right here, the Riverside Avondale Preservation sign? I take that seriously. I value historic preservation, and I've employed that in my home for two decades. But my home's value has been affected, my family's safety and well-being is affected. The engineer hired by the City not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	46 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Shelley Eckels. THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MS. ECKELS: Thank you. Shelley Eckels. I reside at 2772 Riverside Avenue. That's Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. ECKELS: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. ECKELS: I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	48 There is vermin. I'll give you exact examples. There are raccoons living in this house. There are rats. And those rats are 10 feet from my home. So that's a very unpleasant situation. I'll just show you. This is my home (indicating) next door. You see right here, the Riverside Avondale Preservation sign? I take that seriously. I value historic preservation, and I've employed that in my home for two decades. But my home's value has been affected, my family's safety and well-being is affected. The engineer hired by the City not hired by me, not hired by my neighbors made
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	46 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Shelley Eckels. THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MS. ECKELS: Thank you. Shelley Eckels. I reside at 2772 Riverside Avenue. That's Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. ECKELS: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. ECKELS: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	48 There is vermin. I'll give you exact examples. There are raccoons living in this house. There are rats. And those rats are 10 feet from my home. So that's a very unpleasant situation. I'll just show you. This is my home (indicating) next door. You see right here, the Riverside Avondale Preservation sign? I take that seriously. I value historic preservation, and I've employed that in my home for two decades. But my home's value has been affected, my family's safety and well-being is affected. The engineer hired by the City not hired by me, not hired by my neighbors made it clear, abundantly and numerous times, it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	46 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Shelley Eckels. THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MS. ECKELS: Thank you. Shelley Eckels. I reside at 2772 Riverside Avenue. That's Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. ECKELS: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. ECKELS: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. ECKELS: First of all, I'd like to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	48 There is vermin. I'll give you exact examples. There are raccoons living in this house. There are rats. And those rats are 10 feet from my home. So that's a very unpleasant situation. I'll just show you. This is my home (indicating) next door. You see right here, the Riverside Avondale Preservation sign? I take that seriously. I value historic preservation, and I've employed that in my home for two decades. But my home's value has been affected, my family's safety and well-being is affected. The engineer hired by the City not hired by me, not hired by my neighbors made it clear, abundantly and numerous times, it's not that this problem this property is just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	46 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Shelley Eckels. THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MS. ECKELS: Thank you. Shelley Eckels. I reside at 2772 Riverside Avenue. That's Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. ECKELS: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. ECKELS: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. ECKELS: First of all, I'd like to thank everyone for allowing us the opportunity	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	⁴⁸ There is vermin. I'll give you exact examples. There are raccoons living in this house. There are rats. And those rats are 10 feet from my home. So that's a very unpleasant situation. I'll just show you. This is my home (indicating) next door. You see right here, the Riverside Avondale Preservation sign? I take that seriously. I value historic preservation, and I've employed that in my home for two decades. But my home's value has been affected, my family's safety and well-being is affected. The engineer hired by the City not hired by me, not hired by my neighbors made it clear, abundantly and numerous times, it's not that this problem this property is just an eyesore, it's not just that it's a detriment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	46 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Shelley Eckels. THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MS. ECKELS: Thank you. Shelley Eckels. I reside at 2772 Riverside Avenue. That's Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. ECKELS: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. ECKELS: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. ECKELS: First of all, I'd like to thank everyone for allowing us the opportunity to be here and participate in this hearing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	48 There is vermin. I'll give you exact examples. There are raccoons living in this house. There are rats. And those rats are 10 feet from my home. So that's a very unpleasant situation. I'll just show you. This is my home (indicating) next door. You see right here, the Riverside Avondale Preservation sign? I take that seriously. I value historic preservation, and I've employed that in my home for two decades. But my home's value has been affected, my family's safety and well-being is affected. The engineer hired by the City not hired by me, not hired by my neighbors made it clear, abundantly and numerous times, it's not that this problem this property is just an eyesore, it's not just that it's a detriment to the community, it is structurally unsound
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	46 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Shelley Eckels. THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MS. ECKELS: Thank you. Shelley Eckels. I reside at 2772 Riverside Avenue. That's Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. ECKELS: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. ECKELS: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. ECKELS: First of all, I'd like to thank everyone for allowing us the opportunity to be here and participate in this hearing today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	48 There is vermin. I'll give you exact examples. There are raccoons living in this house. There are rats. And those rats are 10 feet from my home. So that's a very unpleasant situation. I'll just show you. This is my home (indicating) next door. You see right here, the Riverside Avondale Preservation sign? I take that seriously. I value historic preservation, and I've employed that in my home for two decades. But my home's value has been affected, my family's safety and well-being is affected. The engineer hired by the City not hired by me, not hired by my neighbors made it clear, abundantly and numerous times, it's not that this problem this property is just an eyesore, it's not just that it's a detriment to the community, it is structurally unsound and unsafe. Like Ms. McCrary, when the wind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	46 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Shelley Eckels. THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MS. ECKELS: Thank you. Shelley Eckels. I reside at 2772 Riverside Avenue. That's Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. ECKELS: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. ECKELS: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. ECKELS: First of all, I'd like to thank everyone for allowing us the opportunity to be here and participate in this hearing today. I presently reside next door to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	48 There is vermin. I'll give you exact examples. There are raccoons living in this house. There are rats. And those rats are 10 feet from my home. So that's a very unpleasant situation. I'll just show you. This is my home (indicating) next door. You see right here, the Riverside Avondale Preservation sign? I take that seriously. I value historic preservation, and I've employed that in my home for two decades. But my home's value has been affected, my family's safety and well-being is affected. The engineer hired by the City not hired by me, not hired by my neighbors made it clear, abundantly and numerous times, it's not that this problem this property is just an eyesore, it's not just that it's a detriment to the community, it is structurally unsound and unsafe. Like Ms. McCrary, when the wind blows, I have debris fall on my property.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	46 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Shelley Eckels. THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MS. ECKELS: Thank you. Shelley Eckels. I reside at 2772 Riverside Avenue. That's Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. ECKELS: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. ECKELS: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. ECKELS: First of all, I'd like to thank everyone for allowing us the opportunity to be here and participate in this hearing today. I presently reside next door to the subject property. I've lived there since	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	48 There is vermin. I'll give you exact examples. There are raccoons living in this house. There are rats. And those rats are 10 feet from my home. So that's a very unpleasant situation. I'll just show you. This is my home (indicating) next door. You see right here, the Riverside Avondale Preservation sign? I take that seriously. I value historic preservation, and I've employed that in my home for two decades. But my home's value has been affected, my family's safety and well-being is affected. The engineer hired by the City not hired by me, not hired by my neighbors made it clear, abundantly and numerous times, it's not that this problem this property is just an eyesore, it's not just that it's a detriment to the community, it is structurally unsound and unsafe. Like Ms. McCrary, when the wind blows, I have debris fall on my property. It is bowing and it is in imminent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	46 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Shelley Eckels. THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MS. ECKELS: Thank you. Shelley Eckels. I reside at 2772 Riverside Avenue. That's Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. ECKELS: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. ECKELS: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. ECKELS: First of all, I'd like to thank everyone for allowing us the opportunity to be here and participate in this hearing today. I presently reside next door to the subject property. I've lived there since 200 the summer of 2000. The time I moved	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	48 There is vermin. I'll give you exact examples. There are raccoons living in this house. There are rats. And those rats are 10 feet from my home. So that's a very unpleasant situation. I'll just show you. This is my home (indicating) next door. You see right here, the Riverside Avondale Preservation sign? I take that seriously. I value historic preservation, and I've employed that in my home for two decades. But my home's value has been affected, my family's safety and well-being is affected. The engineer hired by the City not hired by me, not hired by my neighbors made it clear, abundantly and numerous times, it's not that this problem this property is just an eyesore, it's not just that it's a detriment to the community, it is structurally unsound and unsafe. Like Ms. McCrary, when the wind blows, I have debris fall on my property. It is bowing and it is in imminent collapse. This is not something that I've made
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	46 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Shelley Eckels. THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MS. ECKELS: Thank you. Shelley Eckels. I reside at 2772 Riverside Avenue. That's Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. ECKELS: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. ECKELS: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. ECKELS: First of all, I'd like to thank everyone for allowing us the opportunity to be here and participate in this hearing today. I presently reside next door to the subject property. I've lived there since 200 the summer of 2000. The time I moved in, my son Davis, who's now 27, was 3 years	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	48 There is vermin. I'll give you exact examples. There are raccoons living in this house. There are rats. And those rats are 10 feet from my home. So that's a very unpleasant situation. I'll just show you. This is my home (indicating) next door. You see right here, the Riverside Avondale Preservation sign? I take that seriously. I value historic preservation, and I've employed that in my home for two decades. But my home's value has been affected, my family's safety and well-being is affected. The engineer hired by the City not hired by me, not hired by my neighbors made it clear, abundantly and numerous times, it's not that this problem this property is just an eyesore, it's not just that it's a detriment to the community, it is structurally unsound and unsafe. Like Ms. McCrary, when the wind blows, I have debris fall on my property. It is bowing and it is in imminent collapse. This is not something that I've made up. I haven't been in the property because it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	46 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Shelley Eckels. THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MS. ECKELS: Thank you. Shelley Eckels. I reside at 2772 Riverside Avenue. That's Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. ECKELS: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. ECKELS: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. ECKELS: First of all, I'd like to thank everyone for allowing us the opportunity to be here and participate in this hearing today. I presently reside next door to the subject property. I've lived there since 200 the summer of 2000. The time I moved in, my son Davis, who's now 27, was 3 years old. The house was falling down in 2000. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	⁴⁸ There is vermin. I'll give you exact examples. There are raccoons living in this house. There are rats. And those rats are 10 feet from my home. So that's a very unpleasant situation. I'll just show you. This is my home (indicating) next door. You see right here, the Riverside Avondale Preservation sign? I take that seriously. I value historic preservation, and I've employed that in my home for two decades. But my home's value has been affected, my family's safety and well-being is affected. The engineer hired by the City not hired by me, not hired by my neighbors made it clear, abundantly and numerous times, it's not that this problem this property is just an eyesore, it's not just that it's a detriment to the community, it is structurally unsound and unsafe. Like Ms. McCrary, when the wind blows, I have debris fall on my property. It is bowing and it is in imminent collapse. This is not something that I've made up. I haven't been in the property because it is unsafe. Even though the case number we're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	46 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Shelley Eckels. THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MS. ECKELS: Thank you. Shelley Eckels. I reside at 2772 Riverside Avenue. That's Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. ECKELS: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. ECKELS: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. ECKELS: First of all, I'd like to thank everyone for allowing us the opportunity to be here and participate in this hearing today. I presently reside next door to the subject property. I've lived there since 200 the summer of 2000. The time I moved in, my son Davis, who's now 27, was 3 years	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	48 There is vermin. I'll give you exact examples. There are raccoons living in this house. There are rats. And those rats are 10 feet from my home. So that's a very unpleasant situation. I'll just show you. This is my home (indicating) next door. You see right here, the Riverside Avondale Preservation sign? I take that seriously. I value historic preservation, and I've employed that in my home for two decades. But my home's value has been affected, my family's safety and well-being is affected. The engineer hired by the City not hired by me, not hired by my neighbors made it clear, abundantly and numerous times, it's not that this problem this property is just an eyesore, it's not just that it's a detriment to the community, it is structurally unsound and unsafe. Like Ms. McCrary, when the wind blows, I have debris fall on my property. It is bowing and it is in imminent collapse. This is not something that I've made up. I haven't been in the property because it

City of Jacksonville Historic Preservation Commission

	ric Preservation Commission	1	Uncertified Condensed Copy
	49		51
1	here on today is '22	1	So I was in the military for 33 years,
2	(Timer notification.)	2	chose to make my home there, and it it's
3	THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead and finish	3	atrocious. You can see and you've seen the
		-	•
4	MS. ECKELS: Okay. Even though it's	4	pictures of it. You can see from the floor all
5	'22	5	the way to the sky. You can see all the way up
6	THE CHAIRMAN: the sentence.	6	from the bottom floor. There's an owl that's
7	MS. ECKELS: this actually started in	7	also taken up residence that swoops down,
8	2010.	8	catches vermin. It's horrible.
-		-	
9	I know that Riverside Avondale	9	I'd just like to say, yeah, it needs to
10	Preservation has an interest in foreclosure,	10	come down. It's just a it's just a terrible
11	and that's a whole separate proceeding. That	11	plight on the town. The bushes come over,
12	has nothing to do with the demolition of the	12	through, onto our side of the property, so I
13	structure and the protection of myself and my	13	have to come through and chop bushes. The
		-	e .
14	neighbors and our health and well-being.	14	bushes are on the opposite side, on the City
15	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.	15	sidewalk, you can't even walk down the sidewalk
16	MS. ECKELS: My	16	down there. If you get a chance, go down there
17	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry.	17	and check it out because you can't walk down
18	MS. ECKELS: That's okay.	18	the sidewalk. It's insane.
	THE CHAIRMAN: I have to stop you there.	-	
19	· · ·	19	I'd like to say that the so our
20	MS. ECKELS: I do have my husband here; I	20	property is negatively impacted by it. It's
21	think he was going to cede his time.	21	terrible. So we tried to sell our house at
22	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Do I have his card?	22	a few years ago. The main reason people were
23	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Absolutely.	23	like "We're not interested" is because of that
24	THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead and speak. And	24	disaster that is next door. People call it the
	make sure you turn in a card. Okay?	25	"haunted mansion" because it just looks like a
25		25	-
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	50		52
			52
1		1	haunted mansion. It's terrible.
	(Audience member approaches the podium.)	-	haunted mansion. It's terrible.
2	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do?	2	haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand.
2 3	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do? THE REPORTER: State your name and	2 3	haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: I'm sorry, I'm not as
2 3 4	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do? THE REPORTER: State your name and address, please.	2 3 4	haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: I'm sorry, I'm not as eloquent as my wife speaking publicly.
2 3 4 5	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do? THE REPORTER: State your name and address, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Anthony Gerber, 2772	2 3 4 5	haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: I'm sorry, I'm not as eloquent as my wife speaking publicly. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand.
2 3 4	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do? THE REPORTER: State your name and address, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Anthony Gerber, 2772 Riverside, Jacksonville, Florida.	2 3 4	haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: I'm sorry, I'm not as eloquent as my wife speaking publicly. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: But, yeah, you get it. The
2 3 4 5	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do? THE REPORTER: State your name and address, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Anthony Gerber, 2772	2 3 4 5	haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: I'm sorry, I'm not as eloquent as my wife speaking publicly. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand.
2 3 4 5 6	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do? THE REPORTER: State your name and address, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Anthony Gerber, 2772 Riverside, Jacksonville, Florida.	2 3 4 5 6	haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: I'm sorry, I'm not as eloquent as my wife speaking publicly. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: But, yeah, you get it. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do? THE REPORTER: State your name and address, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Anthony Gerber, 2772 Riverside, Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7	haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: I'm sorry, I'm not as eloquent as my wife speaking publicly. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: But, yeah, you get it. The place is a disaster, it needs to go away. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do? THE REPORTER: State your name and address, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Anthony Gerber, 2772 Riverside, Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. GERBER: (Complies.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: I'm sorry, I'm not as eloquent as my wife speaking publicly. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: But, yeah, you get it. The place is a disaster, it needs to go away. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do? THE REPORTER: State your name and address, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Anthony Gerber, 2772 Riverside, Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. GERBER: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: I'm sorry, I'm not as eloquent as my wife speaking publicly. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: But, yeah, you get it. The place is a disaster, it needs to go away. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Okay. Next, Anthony Gerber.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do? THE REPORTER: State your name and address, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Anthony Gerber, 2772 Riverside, Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. GERBER: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: I'm sorry, I'm not as eloquent as my wife speaking publicly. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: But, yeah, you get it. The place is a disaster, it needs to go away. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Okay. Next, Anthony Gerber. MR. GERBER: That was me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do? THE REPORTER: State your name and address, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Anthony Gerber, 2772 Riverside, Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. GERBER: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: I'm sorry, I'm not as eloquent as my wife speaking publicly. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: But, yeah, you get it. The place is a disaster, it needs to go away. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Okay. Next, Anthony Gerber. MR. GERBER: That was me. THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do? THE REPORTER: State your name and address, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Anthony Gerber, 2772 Riverside, Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. GERBER: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: I'm sorry, I'm not as eloquent as my wife speaking publicly. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: But, yeah, you get it. The place is a disaster, it needs to go away. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Okay. Next, Anthony Gerber. MR. GERBER: That was me. THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, okay. MS. ECKELS: I'm Anthony Gerber.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do? THE REPORTER: State your name and address, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Anthony Gerber, 2772 Riverside, Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. GERBER: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GERBER: Yes, ma'am.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: I'm sorry, I'm not as eloquent as my wife speaking publicly. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: But, yeah, you get it. The place is a disaster, it needs to go away. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Okay. Next, Anthony Gerber. MR. GERBER: That was me. THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, okay. MS. ECKELS: I'm Anthony Gerber. (Laughter.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do? THE REPORTER: State your name and address, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Anthony Gerber, 2772 Riverside, Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. GERBER: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: I'm sorry, I'm not as eloquent as my wife speaking publicly. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: But, yeah, you get it. The place is a disaster, it needs to go away. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Okay. Next, Anthony Gerber. MR. GERBER: That was me. THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, okay. MS. ECKELS: I'm Anthony Gerber.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do? THE REPORTER: State your name and address, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Anthony Gerber, 2772 Riverside, Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. GERBER: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GERBER: Yes, ma'am. THE REPORTER: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: I'm sorry, I'm not as eloquent as my wife speaking publicly. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: But, yeah, you get it. The place is a disaster, it needs to go away. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Okay. Next, Anthony Gerber. MR. GERBER: That was me. THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, okay. MS. ECKELS: I'm Anthony Gerber. (Laughter.) THE CHAIRMAN: Davis Wiggins.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do? THE REPORTER: State your name and address, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Anthony Gerber, 2772 Riverside, Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. GERBER: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GERBER: Yes, ma'am. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GERBER: I'd like to cede my time to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: I'm sorry, I'm not as eloquent as my wife speaking publicly. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: But, yeah, you get it. The place is a disaster, it needs to go away. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Okay. Next, Anthony Gerber. MR. GERBER: That was me. THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, okay. MS. ECKELS: I'm Anthony Gerber. (Laughter.) THE CHAIRMAN: Davis Wiggins. AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm going to pass.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do? THE REPORTER: State your name and address, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Anthony Gerber, 2772 Riverside, Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. GERBER: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GERBER: Yes, ma'am. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GERBER: I'd like to cede my time to my spouse.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: I'm sorry, I'm not as eloquent as my wife speaking publicly. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: But, yeah, you get it. The place is a disaster, it needs to go away. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Okay. Next, Anthony Gerber. MR. GERBER: That was me. THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, okay. MS. ECKELS: I'm Anthony Gerber. (Laughter.) THE CHAIRMAN: Davis Wiggins. AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm going to pass. THE CHAIRMAN: You'll pass?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do? THE REPORTER: State your name and address, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Anthony Gerber, 2772 Riverside, Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. GERBER: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GERBER: Yes, ma'am. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GERBER: I'd like to cede my time to my spouse. MS. LOPERA: Sorry. Through the Chair,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: I'm sorry, I'm not as eloquent as my wife speaking publicly. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: But, yeah, you get it. The place is a disaster, it needs to go away. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Okay. Next, Anthony Gerber. MR. GERBER: That was me. THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, okay. MS. ECKELS: I'm Anthony Gerber. (Laughter.) THE CHAIRMAN: Davis Wiggins. AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm going to pass. THE CHAIRMAN: You'll pass? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Nods head.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do? THE REPORTER: State your name and address, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Anthony Gerber, 2772 Riverside, Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. GERBER: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GERBER: Yes, ma'am. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GERBER: I'd like to cede my time to my spouse. MS. LOPERA: Sorry. Through the Chair, I'm sorry, but you can't give your time to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: I'm sorry, I'm not as eloquent as my wife speaking publicly. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: But, yeah, you get it. The place is a disaster, it needs to go away. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Okay. Next, Anthony Gerber. MR. GERBER: That was me. THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, okay. MS. ECKELS: I'm Anthony Gerber. (Laughter.) THE CHAIRMAN: Davis Wiggins. AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm going to pass. THE CHAIRMAN: You'll pass? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Nods head.) THE CHAIRMAN: Are you in opposition, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do? THE REPORTER: State your name and address, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Anthony Gerber, 2772 Riverside, Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. GERBER: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GERBER: Yes, ma'am. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GERBER: I'd like to cede my time to my spouse. MS. LOPERA: Sorry. Through the Chair, I'm sorry, but you can't give your time to other people. If you want to speak, you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: I'm sorry, I'm not as eloquent as my wife speaking publicly. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: But, yeah, you get it. The place is a disaster, it needs to go away. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Okay. Next, Anthony Gerber. MR. GERBER: That was me. THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, okay. MS. ECKELS: I'm Anthony Gerber. (Laughter.) THE CHAIRMAN: Davis Wiggins. AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm going to pass. THE CHAIRMAN: You'll pass? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Nods head.) THE CHAIRMAN: Are you in opposition, I presume?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do? THE REPORTER: State your name and address, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Anthony Gerber, 2772 Riverside, Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. GERBER: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GERBER: Yes, ma'am. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GERBER: I'd like to cede my time to my spouse. MS. LOPERA: Sorry. Through the Chair, I'm sorry, but you can't give your time to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: I'm sorry, I'm not as eloquent as my wife speaking publicly. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: But, yeah, you get it. The place is a disaster, it needs to go away. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Okay. Next, Anthony Gerber. MR. GERBER: That was me. THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, okay. MS. ECKELS: I'm Anthony Gerber. (Laughter.) THE CHAIRMAN: Davis Wiggins. AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm going to pass. THE CHAIRMAN: You'll pass? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Nods head.) THE CHAIRMAN: Are you in opposition, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do? THE REPORTER: State your name and address, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Anthony Gerber, 2772 Riverside, Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. GERBER: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GERBER: Yes, ma'am. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GERBER: I'd like to cede my time to my spouse. MS. LOPERA: Sorry. Through the Chair, I'm sorry, but you can't give your time to other people. If you want to speak, you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: I'm sorry, I'm not as eloquent as my wife speaking publicly. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: But, yeah, you get it. The place is a disaster, it needs to go away. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Okay. Next, Anthony Gerber. MR. GERBER: That was me. THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, okay. MS. ECKELS: I'm Anthony Gerber. (Laughter.) THE CHAIRMAN: Davis Wiggins. AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm going to pass. THE CHAIRMAN: You'll pass? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Nods head.) THE CHAIRMAN: Are you in opposition, I presume?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do? THE REPORTER: State your name and address, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Anthony Gerber, 2772 Riverside, Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. GERBER: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GERBER: Yes, ma'am. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GERBER: I'd like to cede my time to my spouse. MS. LOPERA: Sorry. Through the Chair, I'm sorry, but you can't give your time to other people. If you want to speak, you're welcome to, but three minutes is the cutoff. THE CHAIRMAN: There are a lot of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: I'm sorry, I'm not as eloquent as my wife speaking publicly. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: But, yeah, you get it. The place is a disaster, it needs to go away. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Okay. Next, Anthony Gerber. MR. GERBER: That was me. THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, okay. MS. ECKELS: I'm Anthony Gerber. (Laughter.) THE CHAIRMAN: Davis Wiggins. AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm going to pass. THE CHAIRMAN: You'll pass? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Nods head.) THE CHAIRMAN: Are you in opposition, I presume? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Shakes head.) THE CHAIRMAN: Or you're in support or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do? THE REPORTER: State your name and address, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Anthony Gerber, 2772 Riverside, Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. GERBER: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GERBER: Yes, ma'am. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GERBER: I'd like to cede my time to my spouse. MS. LOPERA: Sorry. Through the Chair, I'm sorry, but you can't give your time to other people. If you want to speak, you're welcome to, but three minutes is the cutoff. THE CHAIRMAN: There are a lot of people I have a lot cards, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: I'm sorry, I'm not as eloquent as my wife speaking publicly. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: But, yeah, you get it. The place is a disaster, it needs to go away. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Okay. Next, Anthony Gerber. MR. GERBER: That was me. THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, okay. MS. ECKELS: I'm Anthony Gerber. (Laughter.) THE CHAIRMAN: Davis Wiggins. AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm going to pass. THE CHAIRMAN: You'll pass? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Nods head.) THE CHAIRMAN: Are you in opposition, I presume? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Shakes head.) THE CHAIRMAN: Or you're in support or you're in support of the demolition, yes? Just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do? THE REPORTER: State your name and address, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Anthony Gerber, 2772 Riverside, Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. GERBER: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GERBER: Yes, ma'am. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GERBER: I'd like to cede my time to my spouse. MS. LOPERA: Sorry. Through the Chair, I'm sorry, but you can't give your time to other people. If you want to speak, you're welcome to, but three minutes is the cutoff. THE CHAIRMAN: There are a lot of people I have a lot cards, so MR. GERBER: Okay. I don't know how to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: I'm sorry, I'm not as eloquent as my wife speaking publicly. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: But, yeah, you get it. The place is a disaster, it needs to go away. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Okay. Next, Anthony Gerber. MR. GERBER: That was me. THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, okay. MS. ECKELS: I'm Anthony Gerber. (Laughter.) THE CHAIRMAN: Davis Wiggins. AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm going to pass. THE CHAIRMAN: You'll pass? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Nods head.) THE CHAIRMAN: Are you in opposition, I presume? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Shakes head.) THE CHAIRMAN: Or you're in support or you're in support of the demolition, yes? Just for the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do? THE REPORTER: State your name and address, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Anthony Gerber, 2772 Riverside, Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. GERBER: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GERBER: Yes, ma'am. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GERBER: I'd like to cede my time to my spouse. MS. LOPERA: Sorry. Through the Chair, I'm sorry, but you can't give your time to other people. If you want to speak, you're welcome to, but three minutes is the cutoff. THE CHAIRMAN: There are a lot of people I have a lot cards, so MR. GERBER: Okay. I don't know how to it's not court, it's council members.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: I'm sorry, I'm not as eloquent as my wife speaking publicly. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: But, yeah, you get it. The place is a disaster, it needs to go away. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Okay. Next, Anthony Gerber. MR. GERBER: That was me. THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, okay. MS. ECKELS: I'm Anthony Gerber. (Laughter.) THE CHAIRMAN: Davis Wiggins. AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm going to pass. THE CHAIRMAN: You'll pass? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Nods head.) THE CHAIRMAN: Are you in opposition, I presume? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Shakes head.) THE CHAIRMAN: Or you're in support or you're in support of the demolition, yes? Just for the record. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do? THE REPORTER: State your name and address, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Anthony Gerber, 2772 Riverside, Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. GERBER: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GERBER: Yes, ma'am. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GERBER: I'd like to cede my time to my spouse. MS. LOPERA: Sorry. Through the Chair, I'm sorry, but you can't give your time to other people. If you want to speak, you're welcome to, but three minutes is the cutoff. THE CHAIRMAN: There are a lot of people I have a lot cards, so MR. GERBER: Okay. I don't know how to it's not court, it's council members. Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: I'm sorry, I'm not as eloquent as my wife speaking publicly. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: But, yeah, you get it. The place is a disaster, it needs to go away. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Okay. Next, Anthony Gerber. MR. GERBER: That was me. THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, okay. MS. ECKELS: I'm Anthony Gerber. (Laughter.) THE CHAIRMAN: Davis Wiggins. AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm going to pass. THE CHAIRMAN: You'll pass? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Nods head.) THE CHAIRMAN: Are you in opposition, I presume? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Shakes head.) THE CHAIRMAN: Or you're in support or you're in support of the demolition, yes? Just for the record. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes. Correct. Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: What do I need to do? THE REPORTER: State your name and address, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Anthony Gerber, 2772 Riverside, Jacksonville, Florida. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. GERBER: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GERBER: Yes, ma'am. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GERBER: I'd like to cede my time to my spouse. MS. LOPERA: Sorry. Through the Chair, I'm sorry, but you can't give your time to other people. If you want to speak, you're welcome to, but three minutes is the cutoff. THE CHAIRMAN: There are a lot of people I have a lot cards, so MR. GERBER: Okay. I don't know how to it's not court, it's council members.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	haunted mansion. It's terrible. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: I'm sorry, I'm not as eloquent as my wife speaking publicly. THE CHAIRMAN: I think we understand. MR. GERBER: But, yeah, you get it. The place is a disaster, it needs to go away. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Okay. Next, Anthony Gerber. MR. GERBER: That was me. THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, okay. MS. ECKELS: I'm Anthony Gerber. (Laughter.) THE CHAIRMAN: Davis Wiggins. AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm going to pass. THE CHAIRMAN: You'll pass? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Nods head.) THE CHAIRMAN: Are you in opposition, I presume? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Shakes head.) THE CHAIRMAN: Or you're in support or you're in support of the demolition, yes? Just for the record. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes. Correct.

Histor	c Preservation Commission		Uncertified Condensed Cop
	53		55
1	THE CHAIRMAN: Shannon Blankinship.	1	cost of demolition and then the remaining
2	(Audience member approaches the podium.)	2	vacant land until these liens get settled.
3	THE CHAIRMAN: So if you don't mind, state	3	Thank you.
4	your name and address, and then go ahead and	4	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
5	state whether you're in agreement with the	5	Jane is it Chefan (pronouncing)?
6	demolition or opposed to the demolition after	6	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Chefan, yes.
7	she swears you in.	7	THE CHAIRMAN: Chefan, I'm sorry. I
8	THE REPORTER: I need your name and	8	couldn't read your handwriting.
9	address, please, for the record.	9	(Audience member approaches the podium.)
10	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Shannon Blankinship,	10	THE CHAIRMAN: Name and address.
11	1071 Talbot Avenue.	11	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Jane Chefan, 8834
12	THE REPORTER: If you would raise your	12	Walbrook Road.
13	right hand for me, please.	13	THE REPORTER: If you would raise your
14	MS. BLANKINSHIP: (Complies.)	14	right hand for me, please.
15	THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the	15	MS. CHEFAN: (Complies.)
16	testimony you are about to give will be the	16	THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the
17	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the	17	testimony you are about to give will be the
18	truth?	18	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
19	MS. BLANKINSHIP: I do.	19	truth?
20	THE REPORTER: Thank you.	20	MS. CHEFAN: I do.
21	MS. BLANKINSHIP: Hi.	21	THE REPORTER: Thank you.
22	My name is Shannon Blankinship. I'm the	22	MS. CHEFAN: Yes, I'm here on behalf of
23	executive director of Riverside Avondale	23	the sellers, who you just heard from. I am
24	Preservation.	24	currently listing their property. We do have
25	We're not here in disagreement with the	25	showings, and I will tell you, with every
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	54		56
1	impact of this building on the neighbors. And	1	single showing that we have at this property,
2	impact of this building on the neighbors. And also just want to say a huge thank you to the	1 2	single showing that we have at this property, the potential buyer is very, very concerned
	impact of this building on the neighbors. And also just want to say a huge thank you to the Municipal Code Division that has been dealing	1 2 3	single showing that we have at this property, the potential buyer is very, very concerned about the condition of this property. And I do
2	impact of this building on the neighbors. And also just want to say a huge thank you to the Municipal Code Division that has been dealing with the impact of the failure to maintain this		single showing that we have at this property, the potential buyer is very, very concerned about the condition of this property. And I do think it's creating a besides being an
2 3	impact of this building on the neighbors. And also just want to say a huge thank you to the Municipal Code Division that has been dealing with the impact of the failure to maintain this historic contributing structure for over a		single showing that we have at this property, the potential buyer is very, very concerned about the condition of this property. And I do think it's creating a besides being an eyesore and I think a tremendous danger if
2 3 4	impact of this building on the neighbors. And also just want to say a huge thank you to the Municipal Code Division that has been dealing with the impact of the failure to maintain this historic contributing structure for over a decade.	3 4	single showing that we have at this property, the potential buyer is very, very concerned about the condition of this property. And I do think it's creating a besides being an eyesore and I think a tremendous danger if any of you have not stood outside of this
2 3 4 5	impact of this building on the neighbors. And also just want to say a huge thank you to the Municipal Code Division that has been dealing with the impact of the failure to maintain this historic contributing structure for over a decade. The reason that we're here today in	3 4 5	single showing that we have at this property, the potential buyer is very, very concerned about the condition of this property. And I do think it's creating a besides being an eyesore and I think a tremendous danger if any of you have not stood outside of this house, you need to know it's very dangerous,
2 3 4 5 6	impact of this building on the neighbors. And also just want to say a huge thank you to the Municipal Code Division that has been dealing with the impact of the failure to maintain this historic contributing structure for over a decade. The reason that we're here today in opposition or not wanting to see this property	3 4 5 6	single showing that we have at this property, the potential buyer is very, very concerned about the condition of this property. And I do think it's creating a besides being an eyesore and I think a tremendous danger if any of you have not stood outside of this house, you need to know it's very dangerous, but I get nervous in front of people, but I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	impact of this building on the neighbors. And also just want to say a huge thank you to the Municipal Code Division that has been dealing with the impact of the failure to maintain this historic contributing structure for over a decade. The reason that we're here today in opposition or not wanting to see this property demolished is because foreclosure would be the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	single showing that we have at this property, the potential buyer is very, very concerned about the condition of this property. And I do think it's creating a besides being an eyesore and I think a tremendous danger if any of you have not stood outside of this house, you need to know it's very dangerous, but I get nervous in front of people, but I just want to make sure I was here on their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	impact of this building on the neighbors. And also just want to say a huge thank you to the Municipal Code Division that has been dealing with the impact of the failure to maintain this historic contributing structure for over a decade. The reason that we're here today in opposition or not wanting to see this property demolished is because foreclosure would be the right remedy for what's happening here, having	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	single showing that we have at this property, the potential buyer is very, very concerned about the condition of this property. And I do think it's creating a besides being an eyesore and I think a tremendous danger if any of you have not stood outside of this house, you need to know it's very dangerous, but I get nervous in front of people, but I just want to make sure I was here on their behalf because it is posing a problem for these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	impact of this building on the neighbors. And also just want to say a huge thank you to the Municipal Code Division that has been dealing with the impact of the failure to maintain this historic contributing structure for over a decade. The reason that we're here today in opposition or not wanting to see this property demolished is because foreclosure would be the right remedy for what's happening here, having a new property owner be able to actually	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	single showing that we have at this property, the potential buyer is very, very concerned about the condition of this property. And I do think it's creating a besides being an eyesore and I think a tremendous danger if any of you have not stood outside of this house, you need to know it's very dangerous, but I get nervous in front of people, but I just want to make sure I was here on their behalf because it is posing a problem for these folks to sell their house.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	impact of this building on the neighbors. And also just want to say a huge thank you to the Municipal Code Division that has been dealing with the impact of the failure to maintain this historic contributing structure for over a decade. The reason that we're here today in opposition or not wanting to see this property demolished is because foreclosure would be the right remedy for what's happening here, having a new property owner be able to actually undertake and assess the real viability of the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	single showing that we have at this property, the potential buyer is very, very concerned about the condition of this property. And I do think it's creating a besides being an eyesore and I think a tremendous danger if any of you have not stood outside of this house, you need to know it's very dangerous, but I get nervous in front of people, but I just want to make sure I was here on their behalf because it is posing a problem for these folks to sell their house. You know, you think about yourself having
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	impact of this building on the neighbors. And also just want to say a huge thank you to the Municipal Code Division that has been dealing with the impact of the failure to maintain this historic contributing structure for over a decade. The reason that we're here today in opposition or not wanting to see this property demolished is because foreclosure would be the right remedy for what's happening here, having a new property owner be able to actually undertake and assess the real viability of the remaining structure here. What historic	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	single showing that we have at this property, the potential buyer is very, very concerned about the condition of this property. And I do think it's creating a besides being an eyesore and I think a tremendous danger if any of you have not stood outside of this house, you need to know it's very dangerous, but I get nervous in front of people, but I just want to make sure I was here on their behalf because it is posing a problem for these folks to sell their house. You know, you think about yourself having a neighbor neighboring property like this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	impact of this building on the neighbors. And also just want to say a huge thank you to the Municipal Code Division that has been dealing with the impact of the failure to maintain this historic contributing structure for over a decade. The reason that we're here today in opposition or not wanting to see this property demolished is because foreclosure would be the right remedy for what's happening here, having a new property owner be able to actually undertake and assess the real viability of the remaining structure here. What historic elements could be retained, what the available	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	single showing that we have at this property, the potential buyer is very, very concerned about the condition of this property. And I do think it's creating a besides being an eyesore and I think a tremendous danger if any of you have not stood outside of this house, you need to know it's very dangerous, but I get nervous in front of people, but I just want to make sure I was here on their behalf because it is posing a problem for these folks to sell their house. You know, you think about yourself having a neighbor neighboring property like this next to your house, and you're it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	impact of this building on the neighbors. And also just want to say a huge thank you to the Municipal Code Division that has been dealing with the impact of the failure to maintain this historic contributing structure for over a decade. The reason that we're here today in opposition or not wanting to see this property demolished is because foreclosure would be the right remedy for what's happening here, having a new property owner be able to actually undertake and assess the real viability of the remaining structure here. What historic elements could be retained, what the available solutions are, having multiple structural	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	single showing that we have at this property, the potential buyer is very, very concerned about the condition of this property. And I do think it's creating a besides being an eyesore and I think a tremendous danger if any of you have not stood outside of this house, you need to know it's very dangerous, but I get nervous in front of people, but I just want to make sure I was here on their behalf because it is posing a problem for these folks to sell their house. You know, you think about yourself having a neighbor neighboring property like this next to your house, and you're it's affecting the actual you know, they're not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	impact of this building on the neighbors. And also just want to say a huge thank you to the Municipal Code Division that has been dealing with the impact of the failure to maintain this historic contributing structure for over a decade. The reason that we're here today in opposition or not wanting to see this property demolished is because foreclosure would be the right remedy for what's happening here, having a new property owner be able to actually undertake and assess the real viability of the remaining structure here. What historic elements could be retained, what the available solutions are, having multiple structural engineer reports, as well as an undertaking of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	single showing that we have at this property, the potential buyer is very, very concerned about the condition of this property. And I do think it's creating a besides being an eyesore and I think a tremendous danger if any of you have not stood outside of this house, you need to know it's very dangerous, but I get nervous in front of people, but I just want to make sure I was here on their behalf because it is posing a problem for these folks to sell their house. You know, you think about yourself having a neighbor neighboring property like this next to your house, and you're it's affecting the actual you know, they're not able to sell their house. You know, everybody
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	impact of this building on the neighbors. And also just want to say a huge thank you to the Municipal Code Division that has been dealing with the impact of the failure to maintain this historic contributing structure for over a decade. The reason that we're here today in opposition or not wanting to see this property demolished is because foreclosure would be the right remedy for what's happening here, having a new property owner be able to actually undertake and assess the real viability of the remaining structure here. What historic elements could be retained, what the available solutions are, having multiple structural engineer reports, as well as an undertaking of the historic character and value and how that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	single showing that we have at this property, the potential buyer is very, very concerned about the condition of this property. And I do think it's creating a besides being an eyesore and I think a tremendous danger if any of you have not stood outside of this house, you need to know it's very dangerous, but I get nervous in front of people, but I just want to make sure I was here on their behalf because it is posing a problem for these folks to sell their house. You know, you think about yourself having a neighbor neighboring property like this next to your house, and you're it's affecting the actual you know, they're not able to sell their house. You know, everybody that comes through is saying, you know, "What
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	impact of this building on the neighbors. And also just want to say a huge thank you to the Municipal Code Division that has been dealing with the impact of the failure to maintain this historic contributing structure for over a decade. The reason that we're here today in opposition or not wanting to see this property demolished is because foreclosure would be the right remedy for what's happening here, having a new property owner be able to actually undertake and assess the real viability of the remaining structure here. What historic elements could be retained, what the available solutions are, having multiple structural engineer reports, as well as an undertaking of the historic character and value and how that could be put into whatever sort of potential	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	single showing that we have at this property, the potential buyer is very, very concerned about the condition of this property. And I do think it's creating a besides being an eyesore and I think a tremendous danger if any of you have not stood outside of this house, you need to know it's very dangerous, but I get nervous in front of people, but I just want to make sure I was here on their behalf because it is posing a problem for these folks to sell their house. You know, you think about yourself having a neighbor neighboring property like this next to your house, and you're it's affecting the actual you know, they're not able to sell their house. You know, everybody that comes through is saying, you know, "What about this house, what about this house?"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	impact of this building on the neighbors. And also just want to say a huge thank you to the Municipal Code Division that has been dealing with the impact of the failure to maintain this historic contributing structure for over a decade. The reason that we're here today in opposition or not wanting to see this property demolished is because foreclosure would be the right remedy for what's happening here, having a new property owner be able to actually undertake and assess the real viability of the remaining structure here. What historic elements could be retained, what the available solutions are, having multiple structural engineer reports, as well as an undertaking of the historic character and value and how that could be put into whatever sort of potential restoration, rehabilitation, or new	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	single showing that we have at this property, the potential buyer is very, very concerned about the condition of this property. And I do think it's creating a besides being an eyesore and I think a tremendous danger if any of you have not stood outside of this house, you need to know it's very dangerous, but I get nervous in front of people, but I just want to make sure I was here on their behalf because it is posing a problem for these folks to sell their house. You know, you think about yourself having a neighbor neighboring property like this next to your house, and you're it's affecting the actual you know, they're not able to sell their house. You know, everybody that comes through is saying, you know, "What about this house, what about this house?" There's no clear answer, and we're hoping today
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	impact of this building on the neighbors. And also just want to say a huge thank you to the Municipal Code Division that has been dealing with the impact of the failure to maintain this historic contributing structure for over a decade. The reason that we're here today in opposition or not wanting to see this property demolished is because foreclosure would be the right remedy for what's happening here, having a new property owner be able to actually undertake and assess the real viability of the remaining structure here. What historic elements could be retained, what the available solutions are, having multiple structural engineer reports, as well as an undertaking of the historic character and value and how that could be put into whatever sort of potential restoration, rehabilitation, or new construction on this site would be the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	single showing that we have at this property, the potential buyer is very, very concerned about the condition of this property. And I do think it's creating a besides being an eyesore and I think a tremendous danger if any of you have not stood outside of this house, you need to know it's very dangerous, but I get nervous in front of people, but I just want to make sure I was here on their behalf because it is posing a problem for these folks to sell their house. You know, you think about yourself having a neighbor neighboring property like this next to your house, and you're it's affecting the actual you know, they're not able to sell their house. You know, everybody that comes through is saying, you know, "What about this house, what about this house?" There's no clear answer, and we're hoping today that we'll have a clear answer so that they can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	impact of this building on the neighbors. And also just want to say a huge thank you to the Municipal Code Division that has been dealing with the impact of the failure to maintain this historic contributing structure for over a decade. The reason that we're here today in opposition or not wanting to see this property demolished is because foreclosure would be the right remedy for what's happening here, having a new property owner be able to actually undertake and assess the real viability of the remaining structure here. What historic elements could be retained, what the available solutions are, having multiple structural engineer reports, as well as an undertaking of the historic character and value and how that could be put into whatever sort of potential restoration, rehabilitation, or new construction on this site would be the best-case scenario for this prime corner lot in	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	single showing that we have at this property, the potential buyer is very, very concerned about the condition of this property. And I do think it's creating a besides being an eyesore and I think a tremendous danger if any of you have not stood outside of this house, you need to know it's very dangerous, but I get nervous in front of people, but I just want to make sure I was here on their behalf because it is posing a problem for these folks to sell their house. You know, you think about yourself having a neighbor neighboring property like this next to your house, and you're it's affecting the actual you know, they're not able to sell their house. You know, everybody that comes through is saying, you know, "What about this house, what about this house?" There's no clear answer, and we're hoping today that we'll have a clear answer so that they can move on and sell their home. They've lived
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	impact of this building on the neighbors. And also just want to say a huge thank you to the Municipal Code Division that has been dealing with the impact of the failure to maintain this historic contributing structure for over a decade. The reason that we're here today in opposition or not wanting to see this property demolished is because foreclosure would be the right remedy for what's happening here, having a new property owner be able to actually undertake and assess the real viability of the remaining structure here. What historic elements could be retained, what the available solutions are, having multiple structural engineer reports, as well as an undertaking of the historic character and value and how that could be put into whatever sort of potential restoration, rehabilitation, or new construction on this site would be the best-case scenario for this prime corner lot in the neighborhood.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	single showing that we have at this property, the potential buyer is very, very concerned about the condition of this property. And I do think it's creating a besides being an eyesore and I think a tremendous danger if any of you have not stood outside of this house, you need to know it's very dangerous, but I get nervous in front of people, but I just want to make sure I was here on their behalf because it is posing a problem for these folks to sell their house. You know, you think about yourself having a neighbor neighboring property like this next to your house, and you're it's affecting the actual you know, they're not able to sell their house. You know, everybody that comes through is saying, you know, "What about this house, what about this house?" There's no clear answer, and we're hoping today that we'll have a clear answer so that they can move on and sell their home. They've lived next to this property for 20 years and I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	impact of this building on the neighbors. And also just want to say a huge thank you to the Municipal Code Division that has been dealing with the impact of the failure to maintain this historic contributing structure for over a decade. The reason that we're here today in opposition or not wanting to see this property demolished is because foreclosure would be the right remedy for what's happening here, having a new property owner be able to actually undertake and assess the real viability of the remaining structure here. What historic elements could be retained, what the available solutions are, having multiple structural engineer reports, as well as an undertaking of the historic character and value and how that could be put into whatever sort of potential restoration, rehabilitation, or new construction on this site would be the best-case scenario for this prime corner lot in the neighborhood. Without the ability to transfer possession	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	single showing that we have at this property, the potential buyer is very, very concerned about the condition of this property. And I do think it's creating a besides being an eyesore and I think a tremendous danger if any of you have not stood outside of this house, you need to know it's very dangerous, but I get nervous in front of people, but I just want to make sure I was here on their behalf because it is posing a problem for these folks to sell their house. You know, you think about yourself having a neighbor neighboring property like this next to your house, and you're it's affecting the actual you know, they're not able to sell their house. You know, everybody that comes through is saying, you know, "What about this house, what about this house?" There's no clear answer, and we're hoping today that we'll have a clear answer so that they can move on and sell their home. They've lived next to this property for 20 years and I think that's been long enough.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	impact of this building on the neighbors. And also just want to say a huge thank you to the Municipal Code Division that has been dealing with the impact of the failure to maintain this historic contributing structure for over a decade. The reason that we're here today in opposition or not wanting to see this property demolished is because foreclosure would be the right remedy for what's happening here, having a new property owner be able to actually undertake and assess the real viability of the remaining structure here. What historic elements could be retained, what the available solutions are, having multiple structural engineer reports, as well as an undertaking of the historic character and value and how that could be put into whatever sort of potential restoration, rehabilitation, or new construction on this site would be the best-case scenario for this prime corner lot in the neighborhood. Without the ability to transfer possession of the current building into someone else's	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	single showing that we have at this property, the potential buyer is very, very concerned about the condition of this property. And I do think it's creating a besides being an eyesore and I think a tremendous danger if any of you have not stood outside of this house, you need to know it's very dangerous, but I get nervous in front of people, but I just want to make sure I was here on their behalf because it is posing a problem for these folks to sell their house. You know, you think about yourself having a neighbor neighboring property like this next to your house, and you're it's affecting the actual you know, they're not able to sell their house. You know, everybody that comes through is saying, you know, "What about this house, what about this house?" There's no clear answer, and we're hoping today that we'll have a clear answer so that they can move on and sell their home. They've lived next to this property for 20 years and I think that's been long enough. That's it. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	impact of this building on the neighbors. And also just want to say a huge thank you to the Municipal Code Division that has been dealing with the impact of the failure to maintain this historic contributing structure for over a decade. The reason that we're here today in opposition or not wanting to see this property demolished is because foreclosure would be the right remedy for what's happening here, having a new property owner be able to actually undertake and assess the real viability of the remaining structure here. What historic elements could be retained, what the available solutions are, having multiple structural engineer reports, as well as an undertaking of the historic character and value and how that could be put into whatever sort of potential restoration, rehabilitation, or new construction on this site would be the best-case scenario for this prime corner lot in the neighborhood. Without the ability to transfer possession	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	single showing that we have at this property, the potential buyer is very, very concerned about the condition of this property. And I do think it's creating a besides being an eyesore and I think a tremendous danger if any of you have not stood outside of this house, you need to know it's very dangerous, but I get nervous in front of people, but I just want to make sure I was here on their behalf because it is posing a problem for these folks to sell their house. You know, you think about yourself having a neighbor neighboring property like this next to your house, and you're it's affecting the actual you know, they're not able to sell their house. You know, everybody that comes through is saying, you know, "What about this house, what about this house?" There's no clear answer, and we're hoping today that we'll have a clear answer so that they can move on and sell their home. They've lived next to this property for 20 years and I think that's been long enough.

	ic Preservation Commission		Uncertified Condensed Copy
	57		59
1	I don't have any more cards for this item	1	from from college in a new house they've
2	on the agenda. Is there anyone else here who	2	never lived in. That wasn't possible for us,
3	wishes to speak that hasn't spoken already?	3	to give them a new home for their high school
		-	
4	AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)	4	years because of this property.
5	MS. ECKELS: Mr. Commissioner, I don't	5	I'm not moving because of this property.
6	want any more time, but if I could just ask the	6	I was trying to four years ago, but now I'm
7	members of our neighborhood that live adjacent	7	moving because I would like to take my entire
	5		-
8	to this property that have shown up here today	8	life savings and pay for my kids to go to
9	and that are in favor of demolition if they	9	college. But I respect what RAP has a voice
10	could just stand so that you could have an	10	here today, so I understand that.
11	accounting.	11	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
12	MS. LOPERA: No, ma'am, I'm sorry, we	12	I do have one question for you, just
13	can't do that. This is a quasi-judicial	13	quickly. The statement that you made about
14	procedure	14	2000 the compelling statement that you made
15	MR. GERBER: (Stands.)	15	about 2000 and your son sitting there, how
16	MS. LOPERA: and displays in support or	16	would you in 2000, was it restorable, in
17	against are not allowed by our bylaws.	17	your opinion? I just for the record, I'm
	•		
18	MS. ECKELS: I understand.	18	interested to know that.
19	Thank you.	19	MS. ECKELS: I believe in 2000, it was. I
20	MS. LOPERA: But anyone else who wishes to	20	believe
21	speak could fill out a card or, at this time,	21	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
22	identify yourselves.	22	MS. ECKELS: it could have been.
23	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Are you I'm	23	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you.
24	sorry, are you moving because you live next to	24	Thank you very much.
25	this house? Like, if this house was demolished	25	MS. ECKELS: Thank you, sir.
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
-	58		60
1		1	
1	and something nice was built there, would you	1	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here
2	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move?	2	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card?
	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move? MS. ECKELS: Moving		THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.)
2	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move?	2	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card?
2 3	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move? MS. ECKELS: Moving	2 3	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.)
2 3 4 5	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move? MS. ECKELS: Moving COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's important because you're leaving the neighborhood, you're	2 3 4 5	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: You need to fill out a card, but
2 3 4 5 6	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move? MS. ECKELS: Moving COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's important because you're leaving the neighborhood, you're here telling me, you know, you want this taken	2 3 4 5 6	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: You need to fill out a card, but AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.)
2 3 4 5 6 7	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move? MS. ECKELS: Moving COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's important because you're leaving the neighborhood, you're here telling me, you know, you want this taken down, but you're leaving the neighborhood, so	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: You need to fill out a card, but AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just make sure you fill it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move? MS. ECKELS: Moving COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's important because you're leaving the neighborhood, you're here telling me, you know, you want this taken down, but you're leaving the neighborhood, so just a little bit more information.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: You need to fill out a card, but AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just make sure you fill it out after and give it to Diane, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move? MS. ECKELS: Moving COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's important because you're leaving the neighborhood, you're here telling me, you know, you want this taken down, but you're leaving the neighborhood, so just a little bit more information. MS. ECKELS: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: You need to fill out a card, but AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just make sure you fill it out after and give it to Diane, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Do it after?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move? MS. ECKELS: Moving COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's important because you're leaving the neighborhood, you're here telling me, you know, you want this taken down, but you're leaving the neighborhood, so just a little bit more information. MS. ECKELS: Sure. So I moved in this home when like I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: You need to fill out a card, but AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just make sure you fill it out after and give it to Diane, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Do it after? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Just go ahead for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move? MS. ECKELS: Moving COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's important because you're leaving the neighborhood, you're here telling me, you know, you want this taken down, but you're leaving the neighborhood, so just a little bit more information. MS. ECKELS: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: You need to fill out a card, but AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just make sure you fill it out after and give it to Diane, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Do it after?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move? MS. ECKELS: Moving COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's important because you're leaving the neighborhood, you're here telling me, you know, you want this taken down, but you're leaving the neighborhood, so just a little bit more information. MS. ECKELS: Sure. So I moved in this home when like I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: You need to fill out a card, but AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just make sure you fill it out after and give it to Diane, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Do it after? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Just go ahead for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move? MS. ECKELS: Moving COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's important because you're leaving the neighborhood, you're here telling me, you know, you want this taken down, but you're leaving the neighborhood, so just a little bit more information. MS. ECKELS: Sure. So I moved in this home when like I said, when my son was young, and raised my family there. Our two children went to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: You need to fill out a card, but AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just make sure you fill it out after and give it to Diane, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Do it after? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Just go ahead for the sake of time, go ahead and state your name and address, and you have three minutes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move? MS. ECKELS: Moving COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's important because you're leaving the neighborhood, you're here telling me, you know, you want this taken down, but you're leaving the neighborhood, so just a little bit more information. MS. ECKELS: Sure. So I moved in this home when like I said, when my son was young, and raised my family there. Our two children went to university this week. Okay? So this is my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: You need to fill out a card, but AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just make sure you fill it out after and give it to Diane, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Do it after? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Just go ahead for the sake of time, go ahead and state your name and address, and you have three minutes. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Murphy Michaels, 2775
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move? MS. ECKELS: Moving COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's important because you're leaving the neighborhood, you're here telling me, you know, you want this taken down, but you're leaving the neighborhood, so just a little bit more information. MS. ECKELS: Sure. So I moved in this home when like I said, when my son was young, and raised my family there. Our two children went to university this week. Okay? So this is my life. It's not just a week or a month or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: You need to fill out a card, but AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just make sure you fill it out after and give it to Diane, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Do it after? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Just go ahead for the sake of time, go ahead and state your name and address, and you have three minutes. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Murphy Michaels, 2775 Riverside Avenue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move? MS. ECKELS: Moving COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's important because you're leaving the neighborhood, you're here telling me, you know, you want this taken down, but you're leaving the neighborhood, so just a little bit more information. MS. ECKELS: Sure. So I moved in this home when like I said, when my son was young, and raised my family there. Our two children went to university this week. Okay? So this is my life. It's not just a week or a month or I've never heard from RAP before. Honestly, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: You need to fill out a card, but AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just make sure you fill it out after and give it to Diane, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Do it after? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Just go ahead for the sake of time, go ahead and state your name and address, and you have three minutes. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Murphy Michaels, 2775 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move? MS. ECKELS: Moving COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's important because you're leaving the neighborhood, you're here telling me, you know, you want this taken down, but you're leaving the neighborhood, so just a little bit more information. MS. ECKELS: Sure. So I moved in this home when like I said, when my son was young, and raised my family there. Our two children went to university this week. Okay? So this is my life. It's not just a week or a month or I've never heard from RAP before. Honestly, in 10 years that this has been going on, this is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: You need to fill out a card, but AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just make sure you fill it out after and give it to Diane, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Do it after? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Just go ahead for the sake of time, go ahead and state your name and address, and you have three minutes. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Murphy Michaels, 2775 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move? MS. ECKELS: Moving COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's important because you're leaving the neighborhood, you're here telling me, you know, you want this taken down, but you're leaving the neighborhood, so just a little bit more information. MS. ECKELS: Sure. So I moved in this home when like I said, when my son was young, and raised my family there. Our two children went to university this week. Okay? So this is my life. It's not just a week or a month or I've never heard from RAP before. Honestly, in 10 years that this has been going on, this is the first time I've had any sense of their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: You need to fill out a card, but AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just make sure you fill it out after and give it to Diane, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Do it after? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Just go ahead for the sake of time, go ahead and state your name and address, and you have three minutes. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Murphy Michaels, 2775 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MICHAELS: (Complies.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move? MS. ECKELS: Moving COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's important because you're leaving the neighborhood, you're here telling me, you know, you want this taken down, but you're leaving the neighborhood, so just a little bit more information. MS. ECKELS: Sure. So I moved in this home when like I said, when my son was young, and raised my family there. Our two children went to university this week. Okay? So this is my life. It's not just a week or a month or I've never heard from RAP before. Honestly, in 10 years that this has been going on, this is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: You need to fill out a card, but AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just make sure you fill it out after and give it to Diane, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Do it after? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Just go ahead for the sake of time, go ahead and state your name and address, and you have three minutes. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Murphy Michaels, 2775 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move? MS. ECKELS: Moving COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's important because you're leaving the neighborhood, you're here telling me, you know, you want this taken down, but you're leaving the neighborhood, so just a little bit more information. MS. ECKELS: Sure. So I moved in this home when like I said, when my son was young, and raised my family there. Our two children went to university this week. Okay? So this is my life. It's not just a week or a month or I've never heard from RAP before. Honestly, in 10 years that this has been going on, this is the first time I've had any sense of their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: You need to fill out a card, but AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just make sure you fill it out after and give it to Diane, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Do it after? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Just go ahead for the sake of time, go ahead and state your name and address, and you have three minutes. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Murphy Michaels, 2775 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MICHAELS: (Complies.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move? MS. ECKELS: Moving COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's important because you're leaving the neighborhood, you're here telling me, you know, you want this taken down, but you're leaving the neighborhood, so just a little bit more information. MS. ECKELS: Sure. So I moved in this home when like I said, when my son was young, and raised my family there. Our two children went to university this week. Okay? So this is my life. It's not just a week or a month or I've never heard from RAP before. Honestly, in 10 years that this has been going on, this is the first time I've had any sense of their impressions about anything. But we lived here and we grew our family	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: You need to fill out a card, but AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just make sure you fill it out after and give it to Diane, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Do it after? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Just go ahead for the sake of time, go ahead and state your name and address, and you have three minutes. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Murphy Michaels, 2775 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MICHAELS: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move? MS. ECKELS: Moving COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's important because you're leaving the neighborhood, you're here telling me, you know, you want this taken down, but you're leaving the neighborhood, so just a little bit more information. MS. ECKELS: Sure. So I moved in this home when like I said, when my son was young, and raised my family there. Our two children went to university this week. Okay? So this is my life. It's not just a week or a month or I've never heard from RAP before. Honestly, in 10 years that this has been going on, this is the first time I've had any sense of their impressions about anything. But we lived here and we grew our family here, and now our kids have gone to college and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: You need to fill out a card, but AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just make sure you fill it out after and give it to Diane, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Do it after? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Just go ahead for the sake of time, go ahead and state your name and address, and you have three minutes. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Murphy Michaels, 2775 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MICHAELS: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move? MS. ECKELS: Moving COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's important because you're leaving the neighborhood, you're here telling me, you know, you want this taken down, but you're leaving the neighborhood, so just a little bit more information. MS. ECKELS: Sure. So I moved in this home when like I said, when my son was young, and raised my family there. Our two children went to university this week. Okay? So this is my life. It's not just a week or a month or I've never heard from RAP before. Honestly, in 10 years that this has been going on, this is the first time I've had any sense of their impressions about anything. But we lived here and we grew our family here, and now our kids have gone to college and we'd like to pay for them to go to college. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: You need to fill out a card, but AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just make sure you fill it out after and give it to Diane, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Do it after? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Just go ahead for the sake of time, go ahead and state your name and address, and you have three minutes. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Murphy Michaels, 2775 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MICHAELS: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move? MS. ECKELS: Moving COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's important because you're leaving the neighborhood, you're here telling me, you know, you want this taken down, but you're leaving the neighborhood, so just a little bit more information. MS. ECKELS: Sure. So I moved in this home when like I said, when my son was young, and raised my family there. Our two children went to university this week. Okay? So this is my life. It's not just a week or a month or I've never heard from RAP before. Honestly, in 10 years that this has been going on, this is the first time I've had any sense of their impressions about anything. But we lived here and we grew our family here, and now our kids have gone to college and we'd like to pay for them to go to college. So my house is pretty much paid off and it's my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: You need to fill out a card, but AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just make sure you fill it out after and give it to Diane, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Do it after? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Just go ahead for the sake of time, go ahead and state your name and address, and you have three minutes. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Murphy Michaels, 2775 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MICHAELS: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. MICHAELS: I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move? MS. ECKELS: Moving COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's important because you're leaving the neighborhood, you're here telling me, you know, you want this taken down, but you're leaving the neighborhood, so just a little bit more information. MS. ECKELS: Sure. So I moved in this home when like I said, when my son was young, and raised my family there. Our two children went to university this week. Okay? So this is my life. It's not just a week or a month or I've never heard from RAP before. Honestly, in 10 years that this has been going on, this is the first time I've had any sense of their impressions about anything. But we lived here and we grew our family here, and now our kids have gone to college and we'd like to pay for them to go to college. So my house is pretty much paid off and it's my life savings. We tried to sell the home four	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: You need to fill out a card, but AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just make sure you fill it out after and give it to Diane, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Do it after? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Just go ahead for the sake of time, go ahead and state your name and address, and you have three minutes. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Murphy Michaels, 2775 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MICHAELS: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. MICHAELS: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move? MS. ECKELS: Moving COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's important because you're leaving the neighborhood, you're here telling me, you know, you want this taken down, but you're leaving the neighborhood, so just a little bit more information. MS. ECKELS: Sure. So I moved in this home when like I said, when my son was young, and raised my family there. Our two children went to university this week. Okay? So this is my life. It's not just a week or a month or I've never heard from RAP before. Honestly, in 10 years that this has been going on, this is the first time I've had any sense of their impressions about anything. But we lived here and we grew our family here, and now our kids have gone to college and we'd like to pay for them to go to college. So my house is pretty much paid off and it's my life savings. We tried to sell the home four years ago when our kids started high school	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: You need to fill out a card, but AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just make sure you fill it out after and give it to Diane, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Do it after? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Just go ahead for the sake of time, go ahead and state your name and address, and you have three minutes. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Murphy Michaels, 2775 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MICHAELS: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. MICHAELS: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. MICHAELS: Just to hear from a new
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move? MS. ECKELS: Moving COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's important because you're leaving the neighborhood, you're here telling me, you know, you want this taken down, but you're leaving the neighborhood, so just a little bit more information. MS. ECKELS: Sure. So I moved in this home when like I said, when my son was young, and raised my family there. Our two children went to university this week. Okay? So this is my life. It's not just a week or a month or I've never heard from RAP before. Honestly, in 10 years that this has been going on, this is the first time I've had any sense of their impressions about anything. But we lived here and we grew our family here, and now our kids have gone to college and we'd like to pay for them to go to college. So my house is pretty much paid off and it's my life savings. We tried to sell the home four	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: You need to fill out a card, but AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just make sure you fill it out after and give it to Diane, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Do it after? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Just go ahead for the sake of time, go ahead and state your name and address, and you have three minutes. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Murphy Michaels, 2775 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MICHAELS: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. MICHAELS: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move? MS. ECKELS: Moving COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's important because you're leaving the neighborhood, you're here telling me, you know, you want this taken down, but you're leaving the neighborhood, so just a little bit more information. MS. ECKELS: Sure. So I moved in this home when like I said, when my son was young, and raised my family there. Our two children went to university this week. Okay? So this is my life. It's not just a week or a month or I've never heard from RAP before. Honestly, in 10 years that this has been going on, this is the first time I've had any sense of their impressions about anything. But we lived here and we grew our family here, and now our kids have gone to college and we'd like to pay for them to go to college. So my house is pretty much paid off and it's my life savings. We tried to sell the home four years ago when our kids started high school	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: You need to fill out a card, but AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just make sure you fill it out after and give it to Diane, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Do it after? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Just go ahead for the sake of time, go ahead and state your name and address, and you have three minutes. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Murphy Michaels, 2775 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MICHAELS: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. MICHAELS: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. MICHAELS: Just to hear from a new
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and something nice was built there, would you stay or are you just moving to move? MS. ECKELS: Moving COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's important because you're leaving the neighborhood, you're here telling me, you know, you want this taken down, but you're leaving the neighborhood, so just a little bit more information. MS. ECKELS: Sure. So I moved in this home when like I said, when my son was young, and raised my family there. Our two children went to university this week. Okay? So this is my life. It's not just a week or a month or I've never heard from RAP before. Honestly, in 10 years that this has been going on, this is the first time I've had any sense of their impressions about anything. But we lived here and we grew our family here, and now our kids have gone to college and we'd like to pay for them to go to college. So my house is pretty much paid off and it's my life savings. We tried to sell the home four years ago when our kids started high school because we didn't want them to come home	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here who hasn't filled out a card? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: You need to fill out a card, but AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just make sure you fill it out after and give it to Diane, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Do it after? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Just go ahead for the sake of time, go ahead and state your name and address, and you have three minutes. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Murphy Michaels, 2775 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. MICHAELS: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. MICHAELS: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. MICHAELS: Just to hear from a new member of the neighborhood, we bought and

	61		63
1	renovated our house directly across the street	1	take for that to happen, so
2	from this one in 2022, so a couple of years	2	So after hearing everything and I'm
3	ago. I plan to be here a long time. I think	3	coming in late to this argument, and but I
4	at the time we were told by our Realtor that	4	have to go on the side of demolishing it. I
5	the house was already set to be demolished. We	5	think that's quickest, best thing for the
6	were concerned about the house.	6	neighborhood. And I wish somebody could take
7	I've been in the house. You can stand on	7	it on, but I'm not very clear on the process of
8	the bottom and see the sky above. The whole	8	what happens and how that would take place. It
9	there's nothing in that house that's	9	sounds to me like there's just more steps in
10	restorable. There's nothing in the house that	10	the process to make that happen, and it's very
11	is of any worth historically.	11	unsure, it's very unclear that that could ever
12	Our home is a historic home. I understand	12	happen.
13	and appreciate the desire to try to, you know,	13	I'm also unclear on the steps to get to
14	retain that, but I can't imagine anyone that	14	actual demolish the property. This board
15	would buy this house or find anything in there	15	will vote on this today. And if you vote to
16	that isn't rotted and and just of no use. I	16	allow the demolition, then it goes, I presume,
17	mean, it it's just going to be mowed over is	17	back to the City where funds are allocated and
18	what someone is going to do, so that's it.	18	something happens. I guess I'm asking the
19	Thank you.	19	question. I would like to know what is the
20	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	20	process and how long will this be. Will we be
	•		
21	Anyone else? Is there anyone else?	21	back here in a couple of years talking about
22	AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.)	22	this same thing?
23	THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward.	23	THE CHAIRMAN: I'll defer to Counsel on
24	(Audience member approaches the podium.)	24	that.
25	THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address	25	MS. LOPERA: Okay. Through the Chair to
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	67)		64
	62		
1	and she'll swear you in.	1	Mr. LaDew, so at this point, the MCCD, if they
2	and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Chris LaDew.	2	Mr. LaDew, so at this point, the MCCD, if they get permission from the Historic Preservation
2 3	and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Chris LaDew. I'm at 2800 Riverside Avenue.	2 3	Mr. LaDew, so at this point, the MCCD, if they get permission from the Historic Preservation Commission today to demolish the structure,
2 3 4	and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Chris LaDew. I'm at 2800 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your	2 3 4	Mr. LaDew, so at this point, the MCCD, if they get permission from the Historic Preservation Commission today to demolish the structure, that clears a hurdle for them to get a building
2 3 4 5	and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Chris LaDew. I'm at 2800 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please.	2 3 4 5	Mr. LaDew, so at this point, the MCCD, if they get permission from the Historic Preservation Commission today to demolish the structure, that clears a hurdle for them to get a building permit or a permit to demolish the
2 3 4 5 6	and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Chris LaDew. I'm at 2800 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LaDEW: (Complies.)	2 3 4	Mr. LaDew, so at this point, the MCCD, if they get permission from the Historic Preservation Commission today to demolish the structure, that clears a hurdle for them to get a building permit or a permit to demolish the structure. They do not have to. They're not
2 3 4 5	and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Chris LaDew. I'm at 2800 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LaDEW: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the	2 3 4 5	Mr. LaDew, so at this point, the MCCD, if they get permission from the Historic Preservation Commission today to demolish the structure, that clears a hurdle for them to get a building permit or a permit to demolish the structure. They do not have to. They're not compelled to do so. It just clears that for
2 3 4 5 6	and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Chris LaDew. I'm at 2800 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LaDEW: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the	2 3 4 5 6	Mr. LaDew, so at this point, the MCCD, if they get permission from the Historic Preservation Commission today to demolish the structure, that clears a hurdle for them to get a building permit or a permit to demolish the structure. They do not have to. They're not compelled to do so. It just clears that for a historic structure, there's that one extra
2 3 4 5 6 7	and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Chris LaDew. I'm at 2800 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LaDEW: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the	2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr. LaDew, so at this point, the MCCD, if they get permission from the Historic Preservation Commission today to demolish the structure, that clears a hurdle for them to get a building permit or a permit to demolish the structure. They do not have to. They're not compelled to do so. It just clears that for a historic structure, there's that one extra step before demolishing, and that's approval by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Chris LaDew. I'm at 2800 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LaDEW: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr. LaDew, so at this point, the MCCD, if they get permission from the Historic Preservation Commission today to demolish the structure, that clears a hurdle for them to get a building permit or a permit to demolish the structure. They do not have to. They're not compelled to do so. It just clears that for a historic structure, there's that one extra step before demolishing, and that's approval by this body. And so they can, at any time, then,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Chris LaDew. I'm at 2800 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LaDEW: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr. LaDew, so at this point, the MCCD, if they get permission from the Historic Preservation Commission today to demolish the structure, that clears a hurdle for them to get a building permit or a permit to demolish the structure. They do not have to. They're not compelled to do so. It just clears that for a historic structure, there's that one extra step before demolishing, and that's approval by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Chris LaDew. I'm at 2800 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LaDEW: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. LaDEW: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr. LaDew, so at this point, the MCCD, if they get permission from the Historic Preservation Commission today to demolish the structure, that clears a hurdle for them to get a building permit or a permit to demolish the structure. They do not have to. They're not compelled to do so. It just clears that for a historic structure, there's that one extra step before demolishing, and that's approval by this body. And so they can, at any time, then, get a permit to demolish. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Respectfully, I'd like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Chris LaDew. I'm at 2800 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LaDEW: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. LaDEW: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Mr. LaDew, so at this point, the MCCD, if they get permission from the Historic Preservation Commission today to demolish the structure, that clears a hurdle for them to get a building permit or a permit to demolish the structure. They do not have to. They're not compelled to do so. It just clears that for a historic structure, there's that one extra step before demolishing, and that's approval by this body. And so they can, at any time, then, get a permit to demolish. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Respectfully, I'd like if they could come up later and talk about that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Chris LaDew. I'm at 2800 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LaDEW: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. LaDEW: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr. LaDew, so at this point, the MCCD, if they get permission from the Historic Preservation Commission today to demolish the structure, that clears a hurdle for them to get a building permit or a permit to demolish the structure. They do not have to. They're not compelled to do so. It just clears that for a historic structure, there's that one extra step before demolishing, and that's approval by this body. And so they can, at any time, then, get a permit to demolish. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Respectfully, I'd like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Chris LaDew. I'm at 2800 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LaDEW: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. LaDEW: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr. LaDew, so at this point, the MCCD, if they get permission from the Historic Preservation Commission today to demolish the structure, that clears a hurdle for them to get a building permit or a permit to demolish the structure. They do not have to. They're not compelled to do so. It just clears that for a historic structure, there's that one extra step before demolishing, and that's approval by this body. And so they can, at any time, then, get a permit to demolish. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Respectfully, I'd like if they could come up later and talk about that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Chris LaDew. I'm at 2800 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LaDEW: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. LaDEW: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Thank you. Full disclosure, I'm a City employee. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr. LaDew, so at this point, the MCCD, if they get permission from the Historic Preservation Commission today to demolish the structure, that clears a hurdle for them to get a building permit or a permit to demolish the structure. They do not have to. They're not compelled to do so. It just clears that for a historic structure, there's that one extra step before demolishing, and that's approval by this body. And so they can, at any time, then, get a permit to demolish. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Respectfully, I'd like if they could come up later and talk about that process and and how that would happen, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Chris LaDew. I'm at 2800 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LaDEW: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. LaDEW: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Thank you. Full disclosure, I'm a City employee. I'm the chief of Traffic Engineering, but I'm here	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr. LaDew, so at this point, the MCCD, if they get permission from the Historic Preservation Commission today to demolish the structure, that clears a hurdle for them to get a building permit or a permit to demolish the structure. They do not have to. They're not compelled to do so. It just clears that for a historic structure, there's that one extra step before demolishing, and that's approval by this body. And so they can, at any time, then, get a permit to demolish. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Respectfully, I'd like if they could come up later and talk about that process and and how that would happen, and how long it typically takes. I would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Chris LaDew. I'm at 2800 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LaDEW: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. LaDEW: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Thank you. Full disclosure, I'm a City employee. I'm the chief of Traffic Engineering, but I'm here today as a resident. And I, too, moved into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr. LaDew, so at this point, the MCCD, if they get permission from the Historic Preservation Commission today to demolish the structure, that clears a hurdle for them to get a building permit or a permit to demolish the structure. They do not have to. They're not compelled to do so. It just clears that for a historic structure, there's that one extra step before demolishing, and that's approval by this body. And so they can, at any time, then, get a permit to demolish. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Respectfully, I'd like if they could come up later and talk about that process and and how that would happen, and how long it typically takes. I would appreciate that, but I'll take that off the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Chris LaDew. I'm at 2800 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LaDEW: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. LaDEW: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Thank you. Full disclosure, I'm a City employee. I'm the chief of Traffic Engineering, but I'm here today as a resident. And I, too, moved into the neighborhood about two years ago. And I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr. LaDew, so at this point, the MCCD, if they get permission from the Historic Preservation Commission today to demolish the structure, that clears a hurdle for them to get a building permit or a permit to demolish the structure. They do not have to. They're not compelled to do so. It just clears that for a historic structure, there's that one extra step before demolishing, and that's approval by this body. And so they can, at any time, then, get a permit to demolish. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Respectfully, I'd like if they could come up later and talk about that process and and how that would happen, and how long it typically takes. I would appreciate that, but I'll take that off the air.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Chris LaDew. I'm at 2800 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LaDEW: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. LaDEW: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Thank you. Full disclosure, I'm a City employee. I'm the chief of Traffic Engineering, but I'm here today as a resident. And I, too, moved into the neighborhood about two years ago. And I empathize with my neighbors who have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr. LaDew, so at this point, the MCCD, if they get permission from the Historic Preservation Commission today to demolish the structure, that clears a hurdle for them to get a building permit or a permit to demolish the structure. They do not have to. They're not compelled to do so. It just clears that for a historic structure, there's that one extra step before demolishing, and that's approval by this body. And so they can, at any time, then, get a permit to demolish. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Respectfully, I'd like if they could come up later and talk about that process and and how that would happen, and how long it typically takes. I would appreciate that, but I'll take that off the air. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Chris LaDew. I'm at 2800 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LaDEW: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. LaDEW: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Thank you. Full disclosure, I'm a City employee. I'm the chief of Traffic Engineering, but I'm here today as a resident. And I, too, moved into the neighborhood about two years ago. And I empathize with my neighbors who have been dealing with this problem for two decades, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr. LaDew, so at this point, the MCCD, if they get permission from the Historic Preservation Commission today to demolish the structure, that clears a hurdle for them to get a building permit or a permit to demolish the structure. They do not have to. They're not compelled to do so. It just clears that for a historic structure, there's that one extra step before demolishing, and that's approval by this body. And so they can, at any time, then, get a permit to demolish. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Respectfully, I'd like if they could come up later and talk about that process and and how that would happen, and how long it typically takes. I would appreciate that, but I'll take that off the air. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR. LaDEW: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Chris LaDew. I'm at 2800 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LaDEW: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. LaDEW: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Thank you. Full disclosure, I'm a City employee. I'm the chief of Traffic Engineering, but I'm here today as a resident. And I, too, moved into the neighborhood about two years ago. And I empathize with my neighbors who have been dealing with this problem for two decades, and I've only been dealing with it for two years. Shannon Blankinship gives a very good	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr. LaDew, so at this point, the MCCD, if they get permission from the Historic Preservation Commission today to demolish the structure, that clears a hurdle for them to get a building permit or a permit to demolish the structure. They do not have to. They're not compelled to do so. It just clears that for a historic structure, there's that one extra step before demolishing, and that's approval by this body. And so they can, at any time, then, get a permit to demolish. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Respectfully, I'd like if they could come up later and talk about that process and and how that would happen, and how long it typically takes. I would appreciate that, but I'll take that off the air. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR. LaDEW: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else? Is there anyone else?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Chris LaDew. I'm at 2800 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LaDEW: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. LaDEW: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Thank you. Full disclosure, I'm a City employee. I'm the chief of Traffic Engineering, but I'm here today as a resident. And I, too, moved into the neighborhood about two years ago. And I empathize with my neighbors who have been dealing with this problem for two decades, and I've only been dealing with it for two years. Shannon Blankinship gives a very good argument. I would prefer a somebody to take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. LaDew, so at this point, the MCCD, if they get permission from the Historic Preservation Commission today to demolish the structure, that clears a hurdle for them to get a building permit or a permit to demolish the structure. They do not have to. They're not compelled to do so. It just clears that for a historic structure, there's that one extra step before demolishing, and that's approval by this body. And so they can, at any time, then, get a permit to demolish. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Respectfully, I'd like if they could come up later and talk about that process and and how that would happen, and how long it typically takes. I would appreciate that, but I'll take that off the air. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR. LaDEW: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else? Is there anyone else? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Chris LaDew. I'm at 2800 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LaDEW: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. LaDEW: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Thank you. Full disclosure, I'm a City employee. I'm the chief of Traffic Engineering, but I'm here today as a resident. And I, too, moved into the neighborhood about two years ago. And I empathize with my neighbors who have been dealing with this problem for two decades, and I've only been dealing with it for two years. Shannon Blankinship gives a very good argument. I would prefer a somebody to take on this property and restore it. However, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. LaDew, so at this point, the MCCD, if they get permission from the Historic Preservation Commission today to demolish the structure, that clears a hurdle for them to get a building permit or a permit to demolish the structure. They do not have to. They're not compelled to do so. It just clears that for a historic structure, there's that one extra step before demolishing, and that's approval by this body. And so they can, at any time, then, get a permit to demolish. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Respectfully, I'd like if they could come up later and talk about that process and and how that would happen, and how long it typically takes. I would appreciate that, but I'll take that off the air. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR. LaDEW: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else? Is there anyone else? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I'm going to close the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Chris LaDew. I'm at 2800 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LaDEW: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. LaDEW: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Thank you. Full disclosure, I'm a City employee. I'm the chief of Traffic Engineering, but I'm here today as a resident. And I, too, moved into the neighborhood about two years ago. And I empathize with my neighbors who have been dealing with this problem for two decades, and I've only been dealing with it for two years. Shannon Blankinship gives a very good argument. I would prefer a somebody to take on this property and restore it. However, I don't think that's economically viable, and I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr. LaDew, so at this point, the MCCD, if they get permission from the Historic Preservation Commission today to demolish the structure, that clears a hurdle for them to get a building permit or a permit to demolish the structure. They do not have to. They're not compelled to do so. It just clears that for a historic structure, there's that one extra step before demolishing, and that's approval by this body. And so they can, at any time, then, get a permit to demolish. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Respectfully, I'd like if they could come up later and talk about that process and and how that would happen, and how long it typically takes. I would appreciate that, but I'll take that off the air. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR. LaDEW: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else? Is there anyone else? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I'm going to close the public comment on this public hearing on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Chris LaDew. I'm at 2800 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LaDEW: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. LaDEW: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Thank you. Full disclosure, I'm a City employee. I'm the chief of Traffic Engineering, but I'm here today as a resident. And I, too, moved into the neighborhood about two years ago. And I empathize with my neighbors who have been dealing with this problem for two decades, and I've only been dealing with it for two years. Shannon Blankinship gives a very good argument. I would prefer a somebody to take on this property and restore it. However, I don't think that's economically viable, and I'm very concerned about the time that it would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. LaDew, so at this point, the MCCD, if they get permission from the Historic Preservation Commission today to demolish the structure, that clears a hurdle for them to get a building permit or a permit to demolish the structure. They do not have to. They're not compelled to do so. It just clears that for a historic structure, there's that one extra step before demolishing, and that's approval by this body. And so they can, at any time, then, get a permit to demolish. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Respectfully, I'd like if they could come up later and talk about that process and and how that would happen, and how long it typically takes. I would appreciate that, but I'll take that off the air. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR. LaDEW: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else? Is there anyone else? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I'm going to close the public comment on this public hearing on this. We have a we have an application for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Chris LaDew. I'm at 2800 Riverside Avenue. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LaDEW: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. LaDEW: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Thank you. Full disclosure, I'm a City employee. I'm the chief of Traffic Engineering, but I'm here today as a resident. And I, too, moved into the neighborhood about two years ago. And I empathize with my neighbors who have been dealing with this problem for two decades, and I've only been dealing with it for two years. Shannon Blankinship gives a very good argument. I would prefer a somebody to take on this property and restore it. However, I don't think that's economically viable, and I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr. LaDew, so at this point, the MCCD, if they get permission from the Historic Preservation Commission today to demolish the structure, that clears a hurdle for them to get a building permit or a permit to demolish the structure. They do not have to. They're not compelled to do so. It just clears that for a historic structure, there's that one extra step before demolishing, and that's approval by this body. And so they can, at any time, then, get a permit to demolish. MR. LaDEW: Okay. Respectfully, I'd like if they could come up later and talk about that process and and how that would happen, and how long it typically takes. I would appreciate that, but I'll take that off the air. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR. LaDEW: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else? Is there anyone else? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I'm going to close the public comment on this public hearing on

City of Jacksonville Historic Preservation Commission June 26, 2024 Uncertified Condensed Copy

63

Histor	ic Preservation Commission	r	Uncertified Condensed Copy
	65		67
1	demolition. Do I hear a motion based on the	1	through neglect, and I feel that this case has
2	staff report?	2	been going on for so long that that's kind of
3	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Motion to approve	3	what's happening here, but I I don't see a
4	demolition, COA-22-27451, at 2768 Riverside	4	whole lot of options.
5	Avenue.	5	The condition of the home, it does seem
6	COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Second.	6	unsafe, maybe not as urgent as an emergency
7	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion?	7	order that the City would need to tear it down,
8	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Through the acting	8	but it does seem to be unsafe for the neighbors
9	chair, so I am very sympathetic to this	9	and residents walking down the street.
-	situation. There are a number of I'm not	-	-
10		10	I understand it would be a lien on the
11	sure how I would vote at this moment in time,	11	property if the home is demolished that either
12	but there are a number of things I think that	12	the owner would have to take care of or a
13	kind of need to be said or I'm trying to	13	future owner would have to take care of, and
14	think about these things out loud.	14	the administrative fines would have to be
15	So two various comments about this	15	settled, and there is a process whereby an
16	property. Selling the house next to a vacant	16	the owner or a future owner can reduce those
17	house that is in bad shape, that is a concern,	17	fines.
18	but I don't think it is necessarily a concern	18	I'm really not happy that we have to make
19	for this commission and the position of this	19	a decision like this to tear down this historic
20	commission.	20	structure that is a beautiful home, but I'm not
21	I live in a historic neighborhood that has	21	sure we're left with a whole lot of options
22	had many vacant houses in poor condition over	22	here, that it's so far beyond saving by view of
23	the years and whether someone can get more for	23	the MCCD and multiple reports we have in our
24	the house next door has not been one of the	24	the book here, so I I hate to say it, but I
25	factors to consider when thinking about	25	don't see much option but to demolish the home
20	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	20	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	(304) 021-0300		(304) 02 1-0300
	22		69
	66		68
1	demolishing a house. Demolishing the house	1	at this point.
2	demolishing a house. Demolishing the house would not solve the overgrowth and the leaves.	2	at this point. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting
2 3	demolishing a house. Demolishing the house would not solve the overgrowth and the leaves. Those are going to be there whether there's a	2 3	at this point. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, this is tough. Every architect will
2 3 4	demolishing a house. Demolishing the house would not solve the overgrowth and the leaves. Those are going to be there whether there's a house there or not. It won't solve any animals	2 3 4	at this point. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, this is tough. Every architect will probably you know, their heart kind of hurts
2 3 4 5	demolishing a house. Demolishing the house would not solve the overgrowth and the leaves. Those are going to be there whether there's a house there or not. It won't solve any animals that are living in that overgrowth next door.	2 3 4 5	at this point. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, this is tough. Every architect will probably you know, their heart kind of hurts when they see something like this because
2 3 4	demolishing a house. Demolishing the house would not solve the overgrowth and the leaves. Those are going to be there whether there's a house there or not. It won't solve any animals that are living in that overgrowth next door. I just have a hard time finding the	2 3 4	at this point. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, this is tough. Every architect will probably you know, their heart kind of hurts when they see something like this because truthfully, I've been in buildings that look
2 3 4 5	demolishing a house. Demolishing the house would not solve the overgrowth and the leaves. Those are going to be there whether there's a house there or not. It won't solve any animals that are living in that overgrowth next door. I just have a hard time finding the rationale in my head that removing a historic	2 3 4 5	at this point. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, this is tough. Every architect will probably you know, their heart kind of hurts when they see something like this because truthfully, I've been in buildings that look far worse than this that have been restored,
2 3 4 5 6	demolishing a house. Demolishing the house would not solve the overgrowth and the leaves. Those are going to be there whether there's a house there or not. It won't solve any animals that are living in that overgrowth next door. I just have a hard time finding the rationale in my head that removing a historic structure is the solution to a property owner	2 3 4 5 6	at this point. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, this is tough. Every architect will probably you know, their heart kind of hurts when they see something like this because truthfully, I've been in buildings that look far worse than this that have been restored, but they have people who want to restore them
2 3 4 5 6 7	demolishing a house. Demolishing the house would not solve the overgrowth and the leaves. Those are going to be there whether there's a house there or not. It won't solve any animals that are living in that overgrowth next door. I just have a hard time finding the rationale in my head that removing a historic structure is the solution to a property owner not taking care of it unless, unless it is in	2 3 4 5 6 7	at this point. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, this is tough. Every architect will probably you know, their heart kind of hurts when they see something like this because truthfully, I've been in buildings that look far worse than this that have been restored, but they have people who want to restore them and they have that energy and everything. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	demolishing a house. Demolishing the house would not solve the overgrowth and the leaves. Those are going to be there whether there's a house there or not. It won't solve any animals that are living in that overgrowth next door. I just have a hard time finding the rationale in my head that removing a historic structure is the solution to a property owner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	at this point. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, this is tough. Every architect will probably you know, their heart kind of hurts when they see something like this because truthfully, I've been in buildings that look far worse than this that have been restored, but they have people who want to restore them
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	demolishing a house. Demolishing the house would not solve the overgrowth and the leaves. Those are going to be there whether there's a house there or not. It won't solve any animals that are living in that overgrowth next door. I just have a hard time finding the rationale in my head that removing a historic structure is the solution to a property owner not taking care of it unless, unless it is in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	at this point. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, this is tough. Every architect will probably you know, their heart kind of hurts when they see something like this because truthfully, I've been in buildings that look far worse than this that have been restored, but they have people who want to restore them and they have that energy and everything. And it seems like with this, there's a whole other step that's involved to find somebody with that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	demolishing a house. Demolishing the house would not solve the overgrowth and the leaves. Those are going to be there whether there's a house there or not. It won't solve any animals that are living in that overgrowth next door. I just have a hard time finding the rationale in my head that removing a historic structure is the solution to a property owner not taking care of it unless, unless it is in immediate danger of failing or renovation is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	at this point. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, this is tough. Every architect will probably you know, their heart kind of hurts when they see something like this because truthfully, I've been in buildings that look far worse than this that have been restored, but they have people who want to restore them and they have that energy and everything. And it seems like with this, there's a whole other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	demolishing a house. Demolishing the house would not solve the overgrowth and the leaves. Those are going to be there whether there's a house there or not. It won't solve any animals that are living in that overgrowth next door. I just have a hard time finding the rationale in my head that removing a historic structure is the solution to a property owner not taking care of it unless, unless it is in immediate danger of failing or renovation is just not realistic in keeping the historic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	at this point. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, this is tough. Every architect will probably you know, their heart kind of hurts when they see something like this because truthfully, I've been in buildings that look far worse than this that have been restored, but they have people who want to restore them and they have that energy and everything. And it seems like with this, there's a whole other step that's involved to find somebody with that energy and clear the liens and everything. But also as an architect, you're asked to,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	demolishing a house. Demolishing the house would not solve the overgrowth and the leaves. Those are going to be there whether there's a house there or not. It won't solve any animals that are living in that overgrowth next door. I just have a hard time finding the rationale in my head that removing a historic structure is the solution to a property owner not taking care of it unless, unless it is in immediate danger of failing or renovation is just not realistic in keeping the historic fabric of the house.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	at this point. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, this is tough. Every architect will probably you know, their heart kind of hurts when they see something like this because truthfully, I've been in buildings that look far worse than this that have been restored, but they have people who want to restore them and they have that energy and everything. And it seems like with this, there's a whole other step that's involved to find somebody with that energy and clear the liens and everything.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	demolishing a house. Demolishing the house would not solve the overgrowth and the leaves. Those are going to be there whether there's a house there or not. It won't solve any animals that are living in that overgrowth next door. I just have a hard time finding the rationale in my head that removing a historic structure is the solution to a property owner not taking care of it unless, unless it is in immediate danger of failing or renovation is just not realistic in keeping the historic fabric of the house. From experience and, thankfully, the City	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	at this point. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, this is tough. Every architect will probably you know, their heart kind of hurts when they see something like this because truthfully, I've been in buildings that look far worse than this that have been restored, but they have people who want to restore them and they have that energy and everything. And it seems like with this, there's a whole other step that's involved to find somebody with that energy and clear the liens and everything. But also as an architect, you're asked to,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	demolishing a house. Demolishing the house would not solve the overgrowth and the leaves. Those are going to be there whether there's a house there or not. It won't solve any animals that are living in that overgrowth next door. I just have a hard time finding the rationale in my head that removing a historic structure is the solution to a property owner not taking care of it unless, unless it is in immediate danger of failing or renovation is just not realistic in keeping the historic fabric of the house. From experience and, thankfully, the City does not need a COA approved beforehand to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	at this point. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, this is tough. Every architect will probably you know, their heart kind of hurts when they see something like this because truthfully, I've been in buildings that look far worse than this that have been restored, but they have people who want to restore them and they have that energy and everything. And it seems like with this, there's a whole other step that's involved to find somebody with that energy and clear the liens and everything. But also as an architect, you're asked to, you know, look at the health and safety and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	demolishing a house. Demolishing the house would not solve the overgrowth and the leaves. Those are going to be there whether there's a house there or not. It won't solve any animals that are living in that overgrowth next door. I just have a hard time finding the rationale in my head that removing a historic structure is the solution to a property owner not taking care of it unless, unless it is in immediate danger of failing or renovation is just not realistic in keeping the historic fabric of the house. From experience and, thankfully, the City does not need a COA approved beforehand to demolish a house in a historic district if it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	at this point. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, this is tough. Every architect will probably you know, their heart kind of hurts when they see something like this because truthfully, I've been in buildings that look far worse than this that have been restored, but they have people who want to restore them and they have that energy and everything. And it seems like with this, there's a whole other step that's involved to find somebody with that energy and clear the liens and everything. But also as an architect, you're asked to, you know, look at the health and safety and welfare of the people around you, not just your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	demolishing a house. Demolishing the house would not solve the overgrowth and the leaves. Those are going to be there whether there's a house there or not. It won't solve any animals that are living in that overgrowth next door. I just have a hard time finding the rationale in my head that removing a historic structure is the solution to a property owner not taking care of it unless, unless it is in immediate danger of failing or renovation is just not realistic in keeping the historic fabric of the house. From experience and, thankfully, the City does not need a COA approved beforehand to demolish a house in a historic district if it is an urgent situation. They can demolish a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	at this point. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, this is tough. Every architect will probably you know, their heart kind of hurts when they see something like this because truthfully, I've been in buildings that look far worse than this that have been restored, but they have people who want to restore them and they have that energy and everything. And it seems like with this, there's a whole other step that's involved to find somebody with that energy and clear the liens and everything. But also as an architect, you're asked to, you know, look at the health and safety and welfare of the people around you, not just your clients, but the community around you. So this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	demolishing a house. Demolishing the house would not solve the overgrowth and the leaves. Those are going to be there whether there's a house there or not. It won't solve any animals that are living in that overgrowth next door. I just have a hard time finding the rationale in my head that removing a historic structure is the solution to a property owner not taking care of it unless, unless it is in immediate danger of failing or renovation is just not realistic in keeping the historic fabric of the house. From experience and, thankfully, the City does not need a COA approved beforehand to demolish a house in a historic district if it is an urgent situation. They can demolish a house if they deem it an emergency. They will then come back after the fact to get a COA	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	at this point. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, this is tough. Every architect will probably you know, their heart kind of hurts when they see something like this because truthfully, I've been in buildings that look far worse than this that have been restored, but they have people who want to restore them and they have that energy and everything. And it seems like with this, there's a whole other step that's involved to find somebody with that energy and clear the liens and everything. But also as an architect, you're asked to, you know, look at the health and safety and welfare of the people around you, not just your clients, but the community around you. So this sort of falls into that realm where we have this wonderful historic building that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	demolishing a house. Demolishing the house would not solve the overgrowth and the leaves. Those are going to be there whether there's a house there or not. It won't solve any animals that are living in that overgrowth next door. I just have a hard time finding the rationale in my head that removing a historic structure is the solution to a property owner not taking care of it unless, unless it is in immediate danger of failing or renovation is just not realistic in keeping the historic fabric of the house. From experience and, thankfully, the City does not need a COA approved beforehand to demolish a house in a historic district if it is an urgent situation. They can demolish a house if they deem it an emergency. They will then come back after the fact to get a COA approval. I've seen it happen multiple times.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	at this point. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, this is tough. Every architect will probably you know, their heart kind of hurts when they see something like this because truthfully, I've been in buildings that look far worse than this that have been restored, but they have people who want to restore them and they have that energy and everything. And it seems like with this, there's a whole other step that's involved to find somebody with that energy and clear the liens and everything. But also as an architect, you're asked to, you know, look at the health and safety and welfare of the people around you, not just your clients, but the community around you. So this sort of falls into that realm where we have this wonderful historic building that, truthfully, if somebody really wanted to could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	demolishing a house. Demolishing the house would not solve the overgrowth and the leaves. Those are going to be there whether there's a house there or not. It won't solve any animals that are living in that overgrowth next door. I just have a hard time finding the rationale in my head that removing a historic structure is the solution to a property owner not taking care of it unless, unless it is in immediate danger of failing or renovation is just not realistic in keeping the historic fabric of the house. From experience and, thankfully, the City does not need a COA approved beforehand to demolish a house in a historic district if it is an urgent situation. They can demolish a house if they deem it an emergency. They will then come back after the fact to get a COA approval. I've seen it happen multiple times. So those are the things that I'm kind of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	at this point. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, this is tough. Every architect will probably you know, their heart kind of hurts when they see something like this because truthfully, I've been in buildings that look far worse than this that have been restored, but they have people who want to restore them and they have that energy and everything. And it seems like with this, there's a whole other step that's involved to find somebody with that energy and clear the liens and everything. But also as an architect, you're asked to, you know, look at the health and safety and welfare of the people around you, not just your clients, but the community around you. So this sort of falls into that realm where we have this wonderful historic building that, truthfully, if somebody really wanted to could definitely salvage this, repair it. It would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	demolishing a house. Demolishing the house would not solve the overgrowth and the leaves. Those are going to be there whether there's a house there or not. It won't solve any animals that are living in that overgrowth next door. I just have a hard time finding the rationale in my head that removing a historic structure is the solution to a property owner not taking care of it unless, unless it is in immediate danger of failing or renovation is just not realistic in keeping the historic fabric of the house. From experience and, thankfully, the City does not need a COA approved beforehand to demolish a house in a historic district if it is an urgent situation. They can demolish a house if they deem it an emergency. They will then come back after the fact to get a COA approval. I've seen it happen multiple times. So those are the things that I'm kind of wrestling with in my mind at this time, and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	at this point. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, this is tough. Every architect will probably you know, their heart kind of hurts when they see something like this because truthfully, I've been in buildings that look far worse than this that have been restored, but they have people who want to restore them and they have that energy and everything. And it seems like with this, there's a whole other step that's involved to find somebody with that energy and clear the liens and everything. But also as an architect, you're asked to, you know, look at the health and safety and welfare of the people around you, not just your clients, but the community around you. So this sort of falls into that realm where we have this wonderful historic building that, truthfully, if somebody really wanted to could definitely salvage this, repair it. It would take a lot of money, it would take a lot of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	demolishing a house. Demolishing the house would not solve the overgrowth and the leaves. Those are going to be there whether there's a house there or not. It won't solve any animals that are living in that overgrowth next door. I just have a hard time finding the rationale in my head that removing a historic structure is the solution to a property owner not taking care of it unless, unless it is in immediate danger of failing or renovation is just not realistic in keeping the historic fabric of the house. From experience and, thankfully, the City does not need a COA approved beforehand to demolish a house in a historic district if it is an urgent situation. They can demolish a house if they deem it an emergency. They will then come back after the fact to get a COA approval. I've seen it happen multiple times. So those are the things that I'm kind of wrestling with in my mind at this time, and I would love to hear what the other commissioners	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	at this point. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, this is tough. Every architect will probably you know, their heart kind of hurts when they see something like this because truthfully, I've been in buildings that look far worse than this that have been restored, but they have people who want to restore them and they have that energy and everything. And it seems like with this, there's a whole other step that's involved to find somebody with that energy and clear the liens and everything. But also as an architect, you're asked to, you know, look at the health and safety and welfare of the people around you, not just your clients, but the community around you. So this sort of falls into that realm where we have this wonderful historic building that, truthfully, if somebody really wanted to could definitely salvage this, repair it. It would take a lot of money, it would take a lot of effort. Somebody would really want to to do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	demolishing a house. Demolishing the house would not solve the overgrowth and the leaves. Those are going to be there whether there's a house there or not. It won't solve any animals that are living in that overgrowth next door. I just have a hard time finding the rationale in my head that removing a historic structure is the solution to a property owner not taking care of it unless, unless it is in immediate danger of failing or renovation is just not realistic in keeping the historic fabric of the house. From experience and, thankfully, the City does not need a COA approved beforehand to demolish a house in a historic district if it is an urgent situation. They can demolish a house if they deem it an emergency. They will then come back after the fact to get a COA approval. I've seen it happen multiple times. So those are the things that I'm kind of wrestling with in my mind at this time, and I would love to hear what the other commissioners think.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	at this point. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, this is tough. Every architect will probably you know, their heart kind of hurts when they see something like this because truthfully, I've been in buildings that look far worse than this that have been restored, but they have people who want to restore them and they have that energy and everything. And it seems like with this, there's a whole other step that's involved to find somebody with that energy and clear the liens and everything. But also as an architect, you're asked to, you know, look at the health and safety and welfare of the people around you, not just your clients, but the community around you. So this sort of falls into that realm where we have this wonderful historic building that, truthfully, if somebody really wanted to could definitely salvage this, repair it. It would take a lot of money, it would take a lot of effort. Somebody would really want to to do that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	demolishing a house. Demolishing the house would not solve the overgrowth and the leaves. Those are going to be there whether there's a house there or not. It won't solve any animals that are living in that overgrowth next door. I just have a hard time finding the rationale in my head that removing a historic structure is the solution to a property owner not taking care of it unless, unless it is in immediate danger of failing or renovation is just not realistic in keeping the historic fabric of the house. From experience and, thankfully, the City does not need a COA approved beforehand to demolish a house in a historic district if it is an urgent situation. They can demolish a house if they deem it an emergency. They will then come back after the fact to get a COA approval. I've seen it happen multiple times. So those are the things that I'm kind of wrestling with in my mind at this time, and I would love to hear what the other commissioners think. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	at this point. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, this is tough. Every architect will probably you know, their heart kind of hurts when they see something like this because truthfully, I've been in buildings that look far worse than this that have been restored, but they have people who want to restore them and they have that energy and everything. And it seems like with this, there's a whole other step that's involved to find somebody with that energy and clear the liens and everything. But also as an architect, you're asked to, you know, look at the health and safety and welfare of the people around you, not just your clients, but the community around you. So this sort of falls into that realm where we have this wonderful historic building that, truthfully, if somebody really wanted to could definitely salvage this, repair it. It would take a lot of money, it would take a lot of effort. Somebody would really want to to do that. But we are left with that question of what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	demolishing a house. Demolishing the house would not solve the overgrowth and the leaves. Those are going to be there whether there's a house there or not. It won't solve any animals that are living in that overgrowth next door. I just have a hard time finding the rationale in my head that removing a historic structure is the solution to a property owner not taking care of it unless, unless it is in immediate danger of failing or renovation is just not realistic in keeping the historic fabric of the house. From experience and, thankfully, the City does not need a COA approved beforehand to demolish a house in a historic district if it is an urgent situation. They can demolish a house if they deem it an emergency. They will then come back after the fact to get a COA approval. I've seen it happen multiple times. So those are the things that I'm kind of wrestling with in my mind at this time, and I would love to hear what the other commissioners think.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	at this point. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, this is tough. Every architect will probably you know, their heart kind of hurts when they see something like this because truthfully, I've been in buildings that look far worse than this that have been restored, but they have people who want to restore them and they have that energy and everything. And it seems like with this, there's a whole other step that's involved to find somebody with that energy and clear the liens and everything. But also as an architect, you're asked to, you know, look at the health and safety and welfare of the people around you, not just your clients, but the community around you. So this sort of falls into that realm where we have this wonderful historic building that, truthfully, if somebody really wanted to could definitely salvage this, repair it. It would take a lot of money, it would take a lot of effort. Somebody would really want to to do that.

HISLO			Oncertified Condensed Cop
	69		71
1	quandary, and I don't I'm not a hundred	1	here today, but we've heard from people that
2	percent sure how I feel about it right now.	2	this started at least in 2000. So I don't
3	I'm still thinking, mainly because I this	3	think this is an expedient process by any
4	is a big thing with me is, when there are	4	means. This has been going on for a long time,
5	structural engineer reports that are given to	5	and I think that's the real failure here, that
6	us and they talk about, oh, this building is	6	something like this could go on for such a long
7	going to fall down any second. And then I look	7	time without resolution.
8	at the pictures, I don't see and maybe some	8	And here we are today being asked to give
9	of these pictures are older, and maybe there	9	permission to set things in motion that we
10	are more pictures that should have been given,	10	we're here for a reason. As Max, as you
11	but a lot of times I'm always left thinking,	11	said earlier, we're here for a reason. This is
12	they're not really showing me	12	the Historic Preservation Commission, and these
13	You know, I mean, I'm looking at sheathing	13	are the worst, this is the worst thing that we
14	that that's not deteriorated. I know that's	14	have to do, but I think it just needs to it
15	holding the walls up here. I know there's a	15	needs to be stated. This has been going on for
16	hole in the roof, talking about flooding in the	16	a very long time. Since that young man was a
	basement and everything, but I'm not seeing the		
17		17	child. It's very compelling. It's a very
18	structure looking like it's leaning. You know,	18	compelling argument, so I think there are
19	there's piers in the front that look good to	19	things in play here that we really need to
20	me, so it's	20	consider as commissioners, and, frankly, beyond
21	It's hard. I feel like a lot of times	21	the vote on this COA.
22	these reports need to come with better photos	22	This has happened multiple times. Like,
	that show depth and (inaudible). It might just		we have got to this has got to be a
23	,	23	
24	be because I've been in buildings that are	24	discussion we have about some recourse with
25	sorry. I've been in buildings that have been	25	City Council or City government, about actions
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	70		72
1	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably	1	72 that can be taken beyond the great job that
1	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably my optimism as well.	1 2	72 that can be taken beyond the great job that these gentlemen have done in assessing and
	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably		72 that can be taken beyond the great job that
2	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably my optimism as well.	2	72 that can be taken beyond the great job that these gentlemen have done in assessing and
2 3 4	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably my optimism as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I understand. Max.	2 3 4	72 that can be taken beyond the great job that these gentlemen have done in assessing and letting us know what's happening. We need to be more proactive about our fabric if we really
2 3 4 5	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably my optimism as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I understand. Max. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the acting	2 3 4 5	72 that can be taken beyond the great job that these gentlemen have done in assessing and letting us know what's happening. We need to be more proactive about our fabric if we really care about it.
2 3 4 5 6	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably my optimism as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I understand. Max. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the acting chair, I agree with a lot of the sentiments of	2 3 4 5 6	72 that can be taken beyond the great job that these gentlemen have done in assessing and letting us know what's happening. We need to be more proactive about our fabric if we really care about it. I'm not sure that helps you with making a
2 3 4 5 6 7	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably my optimism as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I understand. Max. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the acting chair, I agree with a lot of the sentiments of my fellow commissioners here. We take we	2 3 4 5 6 7	72 that can be taken beyond the great job that these gentlemen have done in assessing and letting us know what's happening. We need to be more proactive about our fabric if we really care about it. I'm not sure that helps you with making a decision, but I want to recognize how tough it
2 3 4 5 6	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably my optimism as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I understand. Max. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the acting chair, I agree with a lot of the sentiments of my fellow commissioners here. We take we don't take these matters lightly. This one,	2 3 4 5 6	72 that can be taken beyond the great job that these gentlemen have done in assessing and letting us know what's happening. We need to be more proactive about our fabric if we really care about it. I'm not sure that helps you with making a decision, but I want to recognize how tough it is.
2 3 4 5 6 7	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably my optimism as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I understand. Max. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the acting chair, I agree with a lot of the sentiments of my fellow commissioners here. We take we	2 3 4 5 6 7	72 that can be taken beyond the great job that these gentlemen have done in assessing and letting us know what's happening. We need to be more proactive about our fabric if we really care about it. I'm not sure that helps you with making a decision, but I want to recognize how tough it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably my optimism as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I understand. Max. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the acting chair, I agree with a lot of the sentiments of my fellow commissioners here. We take we don't take these matters lightly. This one, you know, it feels like it might have slipped	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	72 that can be taken beyond the great job that these gentlemen have done in assessing and letting us know what's happening. We need to be more proactive about our fabric if we really care about it. I'm not sure that helps you with making a decision, but I want to recognize how tough it is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably my optimism as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I understand. Max. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the acting chair, I agree with a lot of the sentiments of my fellow commissioners here. We take we don't take these matters lightly. This one, you know, it feels like it might have slipped between the cracks a bit at one point. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	72 that can be taken beyond the great job that these gentlemen have done in assessing and letting us know what's happening. We need to be more proactive about our fabric if we really care about it. I'm not sure that helps you with making a decision, but I want to recognize how tough it is. We have a motion, so we either need to have more discussion or we need to call it to a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably my optimism as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I understand. Max. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the acting chair, I agree with a lot of the sentiments of my fellow commissioners here. We take we don't take these matters lightly. This one, you know, it feels like it might have slipped between the cracks a bit at one point. The owner was trying to give it away and could not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	72 that can be taken beyond the great job that these gentlemen have done in assessing and letting us know what's happening. We need to be more proactive about our fabric if we really care about it. I'm not sure that helps you with making a decision, but I want to recognize how tough it is. We have a motion, so we either need to have more discussion or we need to call it to a vote.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably my optimism as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I understand. Max. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the acting chair, I agree with a lot of the sentiments of my fellow commissioners here. We take we don't take these matters lightly. This one, you know, it feels like it might have slipped between the cracks a bit at one point. The owner was trying to give it away and could not successfully do that. That was a little bit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	72 that can be taken beyond the great job that these gentlemen have done in assessing and letting us know what's happening. We need to be more proactive about our fabric if we really care about it. I'm not sure that helps you with making a decision, but I want to recognize how tough it is. We have a motion, so we either need to have more discussion or we need to call it to a vote. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably my optimism as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I understand. Max. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the acting chair, I agree with a lot of the sentiments of my fellow commissioners here. We take we don't take these matters lightly. This one, you know, it feels like it might have slipped between the cracks a bit at one point. The owner was trying to give it away and could not successfully do that. That was a little bit surprising to me. And if you look at some of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	72 that can be taken beyond the great job that these gentlemen have done in assessing and letting us know what's happening. We need to be more proactive about our fabric if we really care about it. I'm not sure that helps you with making a decision, but I want to recognize how tough it is. We have a motion, so we either need to have more discussion or we need to call it to a vote. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So let's call a vote.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably my optimism as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I understand. Max. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the acting chair, I agree with a lot of the sentiments of my fellow commissioners here. We take we don't take these matters lightly. This one, you know, it feels like it might have slipped between the cracks a bit at one point. The owner was trying to give it away and could not successfully do that. That was a little bit surprising to me. And if you look at some of these pictures, it really must have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	72 that can be taken beyond the great job that these gentlemen have done in assessing and letting us know what's happening. We need to be more proactive about our fabric if we really care about it. I'm not sure that helps you with making a decision, but I want to recognize how tough it is. We have a motion, so we either need to have more discussion or we need to call it to a vote. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So let's call a vote. All those in favor?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably my optimism as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I understand. Max. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the acting chair, I agree with a lot of the sentiments of my fellow commissioners here. We take we don't take these matters lightly. This one, you know, it feels like it might have slipped between the cracks a bit at one point. The owner was trying to give it away and could not successfully do that. That was a little bit surprising to me. And if you look at some of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	72 that can be taken beyond the great job that these gentlemen have done in assessing and letting us know what's happening. We need to be more proactive about our fabric if we really care about it. I'm not sure that helps you with making a decision, but I want to recognize how tough it is. We have a motion, so we either need to have more discussion or we need to call it to a vote. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So let's call a vote.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably my optimism as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I understand. Max. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the acting chair, I agree with a lot of the sentiments of my fellow commissioners here. We take we don't take these matters lightly. This one, you know, it feels like it might have slipped between the cracks a bit at one point. The owner was trying to give it away and could not successfully do that. That was a little bit surprising to me. And if you look at some of these pictures, it really must have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	72 that can be taken beyond the great job that these gentlemen have done in assessing and letting us know what's happening. We need to be more proactive about our fabric if we really care about it. I'm not sure that helps you with making a decision, but I want to recognize how tough it is. We have a motion, so we either need to have more discussion or we need to call it to a vote. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So let's call a vote. All those in favor?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably my optimism as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I understand. Max. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the acting chair, I agree with a lot of the sentiments of my fellow commissioners here. We take we don't take these matters lightly. This one, you know, it feels like it might have slipped between the cracks a bit at one point. The owner was trying to give it away and could not successfully do that. That was a little bit surprising to me. And if you look at some of these pictures, it really must have been beautiful in its heyday. I plan to support the demolition, and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	72 that can be taken beyond the great job that these gentlemen have done in assessing and letting us know what's happening. We need to be more proactive about our fabric if we really care about it. I'm not sure that helps you with making a decision, but I want to recognize how tough it is. We have a motion, so we either need to have more discussion or we need to call it to a vote. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So let's call a vote. All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably my optimism as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I understand. Max. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the acting chair, I agree with a lot of the sentiments of my fellow commissioners here. We take we don't take these matters lightly. This one, you know, it feels like it might have slipped between the cracks a bit at one point. The owner was trying to give it away and could not successfully do that. That was a little bit surprising to me. And if you look at some of these pictures, it really must have been beautiful in its heyday. I plan to support the demolition, and I thank the staff and the applicant for their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	72 that can be taken beyond the great job that these gentlemen have done in assessing and letting us know what's happening. We need to be more proactive about our fabric if we really care about it. I'm not sure that helps you with making a decision, but I want to recognize how tough it is. We have a motion, so we either need to have more discussion or we need to call it to a vote. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So let's call a vote. All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably my optimism as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I understand. Max. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the acting chair, I agree with a lot of the sentiments of my fellow commissioners here. We take we don't take these matters lightly. This one, you know, it feels like it might have slipped between the cracks a bit at one point. The owner was trying to give it away and could not successfully do that. That was a little bit surprising to me. And if you look at some of these pictures, it really must have been beautiful in its heyday. I plan to support the demolition, and I thank the staff and the applicant for their diligence in this process. And, you know, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	72 that can be taken beyond the great job that these gentlemen have done in assessing and letting us know what's happening. We need to be more proactive about our fabric if we really care about it. I'm not sure that helps you with making a decision, but I want to recognize how tough it is. We have a motion, so we either need to have more discussion or we need to call it to a vote. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So let's call a vote. All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Motion is approved, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably my optimism as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I understand. Max. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the acting chair, I agree with a lot of the sentiments of my fellow commissioners here. We take we don't take these matters lightly. This one, you know, it feels like it might have slipped between the cracks a bit at one point. The owner was trying to give it away and could not successfully do that. That was a little bit surprising to me. And if you look at some of these pictures, it really must have been beautiful in its heyday. I plan to support the demolition, and I thank the staff and the applicant for their diligence in this process. And, you know, I agree with what the previous commissioner said.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	72 that can be taken beyond the great job that these gentlemen have done in assessing and letting us know what's happening. We need to be more proactive about our fabric if we really care about it. I'm not sure that helps you with making a decision, but I want to recognize how tough it is. We have a motion, so we either need to have more discussion or we need to call it to a vote. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So let's call a vote. All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Motion is approved, the demolition is approved.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably my optimism as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I understand. Max. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the acting chair, I agree with a lot of the sentiments of my fellow commissioners here. We take we don't take these matters lightly. This one, you know, it feels like it might have slipped between the cracks a bit at one point. The owner was trying to give it away and could not successfully do that. That was a little bit surprising to me. And if you look at some of these pictures, it really must have been beautiful in its heyday. I plan to support the demolition, and I thank the staff and the applicant for their diligence in this process. And, you know, I agree with what the previous commissioner said. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	72 that can be taken beyond the great job that these gentlemen have done in assessing and letting us know what's happening. We need to be more proactive about our fabric if we really care about it. I'm not sure that helps you with making a decision, but I want to recognize how tough it is. We have a motion, so we either need to have more discussion or we need to call it to a vote. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So let's call a vote. All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Motion is approved, the demolition is approved. (Reporter inquiry.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably my optimism as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I understand. Max. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the acting chair, I agree with a lot of the sentiments of my fellow commissioners here. We take we don't take these matters lightly. This one, you know, it feels like it might have slipped between the cracks a bit at one point. The owner was trying to give it away and could not successfully do that. That was a little bit surprising to me. And if you look at some of these pictures, it really must have been beautiful in its heyday. I plan to support the demolition, and I thank the staff and the applicant for their diligence in this process. And, you know, I agree with what the previous commissioner said.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	72 that can be taken beyond the great job that these gentlemen have done in assessing and letting us know what's happening. We need to be more proactive about our fabric if we really care about it. I'm not sure that helps you with making a decision, but I want to recognize how tough it is. We have a motion, so we either need to have more discussion or we need to call it to a vote. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So let's call a vote. All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Motion is approved, the demolition is approved.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably my optimism as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I understand. Max. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the acting chair, I agree with a lot of the sentiments of my fellow commissioners here. We take we don't take these matters lightly. This one, you know, it feels like it might have slipped between the cracks a bit at one point. The owner was trying to give it away and could not successfully do that. That was a little bit surprising to me. And if you look at some of these pictures, it really must have been beautiful in its heyday. I plan to support the demolition, and I thank the staff and the applicant for their diligence in this process. And, you know, I agree with what the previous commissioner said. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	72 that can be taken beyond the great job that these gentlemen have done in assessing and letting us know what's happening. We need to be more proactive about our fabric if we really care about it. I'm not sure that helps you with making a decision, but I want to recognize how tough it is. We have a motion, so we either need to have more discussion or we need to call it to a vote. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So let's call a vote. All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Motion is approved, the demolition is approved. (Reporter inquiry.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably my optimism as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I understand. Max. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the acting chair, I agree with a lot of the sentiments of my fellow commissioners here. We take we don't take these matters lightly. This one, you know, it feels like it might have slipped between the cracks a bit at one point. The owner was trying to give it away and could not successfully do that. That was a little bit surprising to me. And if you look at some of these pictures, it really must have been beautiful in its heyday. I plan to support the demolition, and I thank the staff and the applicant for their diligence in this process. And, you know, I agree with what the previous commissioner said. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other comments? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	72 that can be taken beyond the great job that these gentlemen have done in assessing and letting us know what's happening. We need to be more proactive about our fabric if we really care about it. I'm not sure that helps you with making a decision, but I want to recognize how tough it is. We have a motion, so we either need to have more discussion or we need to call it to a vote. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So let's call a vote. All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Motion is approved, the demolition is approved. (Reporter inquiry.) MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair, yes, it was unanimous.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably my optimism as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I understand. Max. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the acting chair, I agree with a lot of the sentiments of my fellow commissioners here. We take we don't take these matters lightly. This one, you know, it feels like it might have slipped between the cracks a bit at one point. The owner was trying to give it away and could not successfully do that. That was a little bit surprising to me. And if you look at some of these pictures, it really must have been beautiful in its heyday. I plan to support the demolition, and I thank the staff and the applicant for their diligence in this process. And, you know, I agree with what the previous commissioner said. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other comments? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: You know, I think that one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	72 that can be taken beyond the great job that these gentlemen have done in assessing and letting us know what's happening. We need to be more proactive about our fabric if we really care about it. I'm not sure that helps you with making a decision, but I want to recognize how tough it is. We have a motion, so we either need to have more discussion or we need to call it to a vote. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So let's call a vote. All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Motion is approved, the demolition is approved. (Reporter inquiry.) MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair, yes, it was unanimous. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry, unanimous.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably my optimism as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I understand. Max. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the acting chair, I agree with a lot of the sentiments of my fellow commissioners here. We take we don't take these matters lightly. This one, you know, it feels like it might have slipped between the cracks a bit at one point. The owner was trying to give it away and could not successfully do that. That was a little bit surprising to me. And if you look at some of these pictures, it really must have been beautiful in its heyday. I plan to support the demolition, and I thank the staff and the applicant for their diligence in this process. And, you know, I agree with what the previous commissioner said. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other comments? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: You know, I think that one of the people who spoke earlier spoke to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	72 that can be taken beyond the great job that these gentlemen have done in assessing and letting us know what's happening. We need to be more proactive about our fabric if we really care about it. I'm not sure that helps you with making a decision, but I want to recognize how tough it is. We have a motion, so we either need to have more discussion or we need to call it to a vote. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So let's call a vote. All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Motion is approved, the demolition is approved. (Reporter inquiry.) MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair, yes, it was unanimous. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry, unanimous. Thank you, everyone.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	70 in very, very bad condition, so it's probably my optimism as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I understand. Max. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the acting chair, I agree with a lot of the sentiments of my fellow commissioners here. We take we don't take these matters lightly. This one, you know, it feels like it might have slipped between the cracks a bit at one point. The owner was trying to give it away and could not successfully do that. That was a little bit surprising to me. And if you look at some of these pictures, it really must have been beautiful in its heyday. I plan to support the demolition, and I thank the staff and the applicant for their diligence in this process. And, you know, I agree with what the previous commissioner said. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other comments? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: You know, I think that one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	72 that can be taken beyond the great job that these gentlemen have done in assessing and letting us know what's happening. We need to be more proactive about our fabric if we really care about it. I'm not sure that helps you with making a decision, but I want to recognize how tough it is. We have a motion, so we either need to have more discussion or we need to call it to a vote. COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So let's call a vote. All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Motion is approved, the demolition is approved. (Reporter inquiry.) MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair, yes, it was unanimous. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry, unanimous.

	- Jacksonville ic Preservation Commission		June 26, 2024 Uncertified Condensed Copy
	73		75
1	that was moved to the COAs. It's COA-24-30132,	1	noncontributing structure.
2	1002 Main Street North.	2	Staff finds the structure unable to
3	Do we have a report?	3	properly convey its architectural significance
4	Pardon me, I need to open the public	4	and importance to the historic district which
5	hearing for this COA.	5	is consistent with our Code criteria.
6	MR. WELLS: All right. This is	6	Once again, we did find that the property
7	application COA-24-30132 for the property	7	is located within the AE flood zone. This AE
8	located at 1002 Main Street North. You should	8	flood zone is, again, identified as a 100-year
9	note that the property has a historical address	9	floodplain where flood insurance is mandatory.
10	of 962 Main Street North, but otherwise the	10	This does not mean that a storm will happen
11	request seeks to demolish a contributing	11	every hundred years, however, there's a 1
12	structure that's located within the Springfield	12	percent chance that a storm of this magnitude
13	Historic District. The structure is located on	13	will occur in any given year. Nonetheless, the
14	a through lot between Laura Street and Main	14	current location of the structure is highly
15	Street. The subject property is bounded by bodies of water on both three sides, so this	15	susceptible to flooding and storm surge. In accordance with our guidelines and Code
16		16 17	5
17 18	includes two retention ponds and Hogan's Creek to the south.	17 18	criteria, it is the opinion of staff that the subject structure does not have design
19	The structure is characterized as a	19	elements, such as building, height, massing,
20	masonry vernacular style building with a hip	20	and production materials, that will make
21	roof, brick sheathing, spiral decorative	21	reproduction difficult or impossible.
22	columns, and a clay tile roof.	22	In an effort to remedy the existing
23	A structural engineer's report was	23	condition of the site, the applicant has
24	found the structure to be unsafe and beyond	24	provided an opinion from an engineer, and that
25	reasonable repair. The subject property is	25	report found that the structure is beyond
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	74		76
1	also located within the AE flood zone. So this	1	reasonable repair.
2	flood zone is defined as areas within the	2	And based upon our own inspection of the
3	100-year floodplain where flood insurance is	3	site on May 8th of this year, staff also found
4	mandatory. This partly stems from Hogan's	4	the interior of the structure reasonably
5	Creek abutting the subject building to the	5	compromised and requires a significant amount of rehabilitation in order to restore it back
6	south. Hogan's Creek has been identified as a high-risk area for flooding and storm surge.	6 7	to a habitable use.
8	Staff does note that since acquiring the	8	During the inspection there were
9			
		-	-
	property in 1998, attempts to rehabilitate the	9	substantial areas of water damage, extensive
10	property in 1998, attempts to rehabilitate the structure have not been made by the applicant.	9 10	substantial areas of water damage, extensive mold and mildew damage and wood rot on the
	property in 1998, attempts to rehabilitate the structure have not been made by the applicant. According to permitting and archival records,	9	substantial areas of water damage, extensive mold and mildew damage and wood rot on the framing piece inside the structure.
10 11	property in 1998, attempts to rehabilitate the structure have not been made by the applicant. According to permitting and archival records, no COAs or permits have been filed for the	9 10 11	substantial areas of water damage, extensive mold and mildew damage and wood rot on the framing piece inside the structure. There does not appear to be feasible
10 11 12	property in 1998, attempts to rehabilitate the structure have not been made by the applicant. According to permitting and archival records,	9 10 11 12	substantial areas of water damage, extensive mold and mildew damage and wood rot on the framing piece inside the structure.
10 11 12 13	property in 1998, attempts to rehabilitate the structure have not been made by the applicant. According to permitting and archival records, no COAs or permits have been filed for the routine maintenance of this particular	9 10 11 12 13	substantial areas of water damage, extensive mold and mildew damage and wood rot on the framing piece inside the structure. There does not appear to be feasible alternatives to demolition, such as relocation,
10 11 12 13 14	property in 1998, attempts to rehabilitate the structure have not been made by the applicant. According to permitting and archival records, no COAs or permits have been filed for the routine maintenance of this particular structure. There are no open violations	9 10 11 12 13 14	substantial areas of water damage, extensive mold and mildew damage and wood rot on the framing piece inside the structure. There does not appear to be feasible alternatives to demolition, such as relocation, rehabilitation, mothballing, or even reuse by
10 11 12 13 14 15	property in 1998, attempts to rehabilitate the structure have not been made by the applicant. According to permitting and archival records, no COAs or permits have been filed for the routine maintenance of this particular structure. There are no open violations associated with this property as well.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	substantial areas of water damage, extensive mold and mildew damage and wood rot on the framing piece inside the structure. There does not appear to be feasible alternatives to demolition, such as relocation, rehabilitation, mothballing, or even reuse by the current owner, prospective owner. The applicant did not provide staff with any feasible alternates given the property's
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	property in 1998, attempts to rehabilitate the structure have not been made by the applicant. According to permitting and archival records, no COAs or permits have been filed for the routine maintenance of this particular structure. There are no open violations associated with this property as well. Staff does find that although demolition was will result in the removal of a contributing structure, its status as a	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	substantial areas of water damage, extensive mold and mildew damage and wood rot on the framing piece inside the structure. There does not appear to be feasible alternatives to demolition, such as relocation, rehabilitation, mothballing, or even reuse by the current owner, prospective owner. The applicant did not provide staff with any
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	property in 1998, attempts to rehabilitate the structure have not been made by the applicant. According to permitting and archival records, no COAs or permits have been filed for the routine maintenance of this particular structure. There are no open violations associated with this property as well. Staff does find that although demolition was will result in the removal of a contributing structure, its status as a contributing structure can be questioned	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	substantial areas of water damage, extensive mold and mildew damage and wood rot on the framing piece inside the structure. There does not appear to be feasible alternatives to demolition, such as relocation, rehabilitation, mothballing, or even reuse by the current owner, prospective owner. The applicant did not provide staff with any feasible alternates given the property's location within the 100-year flood zone. Based on these circumstances, coupled with
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	property in 1998, attempts to rehabilitate the structure have not been made by the applicant. According to permitting and archival records, no COAs or permits have been filed for the routine maintenance of this particular structure. There are no open violations associated with this property as well. Staff does find that although demolition was will result in the removal of a contributing structure, its status as a contributing structure can be questioned considering this particular structure, which,	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	substantial areas of water damage, extensive mold and mildew damage and wood rot on the framing piece inside the structure. There does not appear to be feasible alternatives to demolition, such as relocation, rehabilitation, mothballing, or even reuse by the current owner, prospective owner. The applicant did not provide staff with any feasible alternates given the property's location within the 100-year flood zone. Based on these circumstances, coupled with the fact of its questionable status as a
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	property in 1998, attempts to rehabilitate the structure have not been made by the applicant. According to permitting and archival records, no COAs or permits have been filed for the routine maintenance of this particular structure. There are no open violations associated with this property as well. Staff does find that although demolition was will result in the removal of a contributing structure, its status as a contributing structure can be questioned considering this particular structure, which, again, has a historical address of 962 Main	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	substantial areas of water damage, extensive mold and mildew damage and wood rot on the framing piece inside the structure. There does not appear to be feasible alternatives to demolition, such as relocation, rehabilitation, mothballing, or even reuse by the current owner, prospective owner. The applicant did not provide staff with any feasible alternates given the property's location within the 100-year flood zone. Based on these circumstances, coupled with the fact of its questionable status as a contributing structure, staff forwards to you a
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	property in 1998, attempts to rehabilitate the structure have not been made by the applicant. According to permitting and archival records, no COAs or permits have been filed for the routine maintenance of this particular structure. There are no open violations associated with this property as well. Staff does find that although demolition was will result in the removal of a contributing structure, its status as a contributing structure can be questioned considering this particular structure, which, again, has a historical address of 962 Main Street, is not listed on the contributing	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	substantial areas of water damage, extensive mold and mildew damage and wood rot on the framing piece inside the structure. There does not appear to be feasible alternatives to demolition, such as relocation, rehabilitation, mothballing, or even reuse by the current owner, prospective owner. The applicant did not provide staff with any feasible alternates given the property's location within the 100-year flood zone. Based on these circumstances, coupled with the fact of its questionable status as a contributing structure, staff forwards to you a recommendation for approval.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	property in 1998, attempts to rehabilitate the structure have not been made by the applicant. According to permitting and archival records, no COAs or permits have been filed for the routine maintenance of this particular structure. There are no open violations associated with this property as well. Staff does find that although demolition was will result in the removal of a contributing structure, its status as a contributing structure can be questioned considering this particular structure, which, again, has a historical address of 962 Main Street, is not listed on the contributing structures list for the locally designated	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	substantial areas of water damage, extensive mold and mildew damage and wood rot on the framing piece inside the structure. There does not appear to be feasible alternatives to demolition, such as relocation, rehabilitation, mothballing, or even reuse by the current owner, prospective owner. The applicant did not provide staff with any feasible alternates given the property's location within the 100-year flood zone. Based on these circumstances, coupled with the fact of its questionable status as a contributing structure, staff forwards to you a recommendation for approval. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for staff?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	property in 1998, attempts to rehabilitate the structure have not been made by the applicant. According to permitting and archival records, no COAs or permits have been filed for the routine maintenance of this particular structure. There are no open violations associated with this property as well. Staff does find that although demolition was will result in the removal of a contributing structure, its status as a contributing structure can be questioned considering this particular structure, which, again, has a historical address of 962 Main Street, is not listed on the contributing structures list for the locally designated historic district. However, if you look at the	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	substantial areas of water damage, extensive mold and mildew damage and wood rot on the framing piece inside the structure. There does not appear to be feasible alternatives to demolition, such as relocation, rehabilitation, mothballing, or even reuse by the current owner, prospective owner. The applicant did not provide staff with any feasible alternates given the property's location within the 100-year flood zone. Based on these circumstances, coupled with the fact of its questionable status as a contributing structure, staff forwards to you a recommendation for approval. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	property in 1998, attempts to rehabilitate the structure have not been made by the applicant. According to permitting and archival records, no COAs or permits have been filed for the routine maintenance of this particular structure. There are no open violations associated with this property as well. Staff does find that although demolition was will result in the removal of a contributing structure, its status as a contributing structure can be questioned considering this particular structure, which, again, has a historical address of 962 Main Street, is not listed on the contributing structures list for the locally designated historic district. However, if you look at the National Register District, is it listed as a	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	substantial areas of water damage, extensive mold and mildew damage and wood rot on the framing piece inside the structure. There does not appear to be feasible alternatives to demolition, such as relocation, rehabilitation, mothballing, or even reuse by the current owner, prospective owner. The applicant did not provide staff with any feasible alternates given the property's location within the 100-year flood zone. Based on these circumstances, coupled with the fact of its questionable status as a contributing structure, staff forwards to you a recommendation for approval. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	property in 1998, attempts to rehabilitate the structure have not been made by the applicant. According to permitting and archival records, no COAs or permits have been filed for the routine maintenance of this particular structure. There are no open violations associated with this property as well. Staff does find that although demolition was will result in the removal of a contributing structure, its status as a contributing structure can be questioned considering this particular structure, which, again, has a historical address of 962 Main Street, is not listed on the contributing structures list for the locally designated historic district. However, if you look at the	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	substantial areas of water damage, extensive mold and mildew damage and wood rot on the framing piece inside the structure. There does not appear to be feasible alternatives to demolition, such as relocation, rehabilitation, mothballing, or even reuse by the current owner, prospective owner. The applicant did not provide staff with any feasible alternates given the property's location within the 100-year flood zone. Based on these circumstances, coupled with the fact of its questionable status as a contributing structure, staff forwards to you a recommendation for approval. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)

	77		79
4		4	
1	No? All right. Is the applicant here?	1	So the request is to demolish the
2	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes.	2	building. We will remove the building. We're
3	THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward.	3	going to seed that area. The retention ponds
4	(Audience member approaches the podium.)	4	that are on the north face of the building, the
5	THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address	5	south face of the building are there to control
6	and she'll swear you in.	6	the water that comes both off of Main Street
7	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Jennifer Bacmeister,	7	and for the lab that's right there, which is
8	B-a-c-m-e-i-s-t-e-r, 225 North Pearl Street,	8	the original lab site, the water site for JEA.
9	Jacksonville, Florida.	9	The building immediately adjacent to this
		-	
10	THE REPORTER: If you would raise your	10	building, we know as the conservation center,
11	right hand for me, please.	11	is actually a historical reproduction of a
12	MS. BACMEISTER: (Complies.)	12	building that was part of the original Main
13	THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the	13	Street lab complex that JEA was allowed to
14	testimony you are about to give will be the	14	demolish back in I'm probably getting the
15	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the	15	date wrong; I think it was '96 that was a
16	truth?	16	historic structure that was literally being
17	MS. BACMEISTER: Yes.	17	held together with iron rods through the middle
18	THE REPORTER: Thank you.	18	of it that was untenable, but we we're
19	MS. BACMEISTER: Good afternoon.	19	allowed to recreate that structure with the
		-	
20	Somebody had a question?	20	conservation center.
21	THE CHAIRMAN: Do you want to make a	21	So actually removing this building will
22	statement?	22	allow people who have come down Hogan's Creek
23	MS. BACMEISTER: Oh, JEA owns this	23	as part of the Emerald Trail to see the actual
24	property. It is within the Springfield lab	24	recreation of the conservation center as kind
25	site currently. It is within the fenced	25	of an up-sell, which I didn't realize until we
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
-	78		80
1	confines. The property was initially brought	1	started looking at one of the the up-sells
	to our attention as part of the Emerald Trail	2	for removing this building.
2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
3	improvements. As you come along the Hogan's	3	We have no use for this. There isn't
4	Creek trail, the I guess it would be the	4	enough parking at the Main Street lab site
5	get my ordinal directions correct here. It	5	to even if we could save this building,
6	would be the south end of the building. You	6	which in its current state we cannot, we
_	5		
7	can see from the Hogan's Creek trail, this	7	couldn't park enough people there to be able to
7 8		7 8	
8	can see from the Hogan's Creek trail, this building is in disarray.		couldn't park enough people there to be able to use the site, so we're asking for demolition of
8 9	can see from the Hogan's Creek trail, this building is in disarray. We inherited the building, from what I	8 9	couldn't park enough people there to be able to use the site, so we're asking for demolition of this building. We have a contractor lined up,
8 9 10	can see from the Hogan's Creek trail, this building is in disarray. We inherited the building, from what I understand, from the City. We've never used	8 9 10	couldn't park enough people there to be able to use the site, so we're asking for demolition of this building. We have a contractor lined up, ready to go.
8 9 10 11	can see from the Hogan's Creek trail, this building is in disarray. We inherited the building, from what I understand, from the City. We've never used the building. It has standing water in the	8 9 10 11	couldn't park enough people there to be able to use the site, so we're asking for demolition of this building. We have a contractor lined up, ready to go. The picture of the water in the
8 9 10 11 12	can see from the Hogan's Creek trail, this building is in disarray. We inherited the building, from what I understand, from the City. We've never used the building. It has standing water in the basement. The roof is falling in. It was the	8 9 10 11 12	couldn't park enough people there to be able to use the site, so we're asking for demolition of this building. We have a contractor lined up, ready to go. The picture of the water in the basement Arimus, could you show them that
8 9 10 11 12 13	can see from the Hogan's Creek trail, this building is in disarray. We inherited the building, from what I understand, from the City. We've never used the building. It has standing water in the basement. The roof is falling in. It was the Main Street health building, from what I	8 9 10 11 12 13	couldn't park enough people there to be able to use the site, so we're asking for demolition of this building. We have a contractor lined up, ready to go. The picture of the water in the basement Arimus, could you show them that one?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	can see from the Hogan's Creek trail, this building is in disarray. We inherited the building, from what I understand, from the City. We've never used the building. It has standing water in the basement. The roof is falling in. It was the Main Street health building, from what I understand, or the Duval welfare building.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	couldn't park enough people there to be able to use the site, so we're asking for demolition of this building. We have a contractor lined up, ready to go. The picture of the water in the basement Arimus, could you show them that one? The brown line that's on the wall is
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	can see from the Hogan's Creek trail, this building is in disarray. We inherited the building, from what I understand, from the City. We've never used the building. It has standing water in the basement. The roof is falling in. It was the Main Street health building, from what I understand, or the Duval welfare building. It's still set up as though it was a medical	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	couldn't park enough people there to be able to use the site, so we're asking for demolition of this building. We have a contractor lined up, ready to go. The picture of the water in the basement Arimus, could you show them that one? The brown line that's on the wall is actually how high the water in the basement
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	can see from the Hogan's Creek trail, this building is in disarray. We inherited the building, from what I understand, from the City. We've never used the building. It has standing water in the basement. The roof is falling in. It was the Main Street health building, from what I understand, or the Duval welfare building. It's still set up as though it was a medical clinic. It still has little exam rooms where	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	couldn't park enough people there to be able to use the site, so we're asking for demolition of this building. We have a contractor lined up, ready to go. The picture of the water in the basement Arimus, could you show them that one? The brown line that's on the wall is actually how high the water in the basement gets when Hogan's Creek floods, and it has
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	can see from the Hogan's Creek trail, this building is in disarray. We inherited the building, from what I understand, from the City. We've never used the building. It has standing water in the basement. The roof is falling in. It was the Main Street health building, from what I understand, or the Duval welfare building. It's still set up as though it was a medical clinic. It still has little exam rooms where you can't get into the rooms because the	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	couldn't park enough people there to be able to use the site, so we're asking for demolition of this building. We have a contractor lined up, ready to go. The picture of the water in the basement Arimus, could you show them that one? The brown line that's on the wall is actually how high the water in the basement gets when Hogan's Creek floods, and it has tested positive for arsenic, so we
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	can see from the Hogan's Creek trail, this building is in disarray. We inherited the building, from what I understand, from the City. We've never used the building. It has standing water in the basement. The roof is falling in. It was the Main Street health building, from what I understand, or the Duval welfare building. It's still set up as though it was a medical clinic. It still has little exam rooms where	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	couldn't park enough people there to be able to use the site, so we're asking for demolition of this building. We have a contractor lined up, ready to go. The picture of the water in the basement Arimus, could you show them that one? The brown line that's on the wall is actually how high the water in the basement gets when Hogan's Creek floods, and it has
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	can see from the Hogan's Creek trail, this building is in disarray. We inherited the building, from what I understand, from the City. We've never used the building. It has standing water in the basement. The roof is falling in. It was the Main Street health building, from what I understand, or the Duval welfare building. It's still set up as though it was a medical clinic. It still has little exam rooms where you can't get into the rooms because the	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	couldn't park enough people there to be able to use the site, so we're asking for demolition of this building. We have a contractor lined up, ready to go. The picture of the water in the basement Arimus, could you show them that one? The brown line that's on the wall is actually how high the water in the basement gets when Hogan's Creek floods, and it has tested positive for arsenic, so we
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	can see from the Hogan's Creek trail, this building is in disarray. We inherited the building, from what I understand, from the City. We've never used the building. It has standing water in the basement. The roof is falling in. It was the Main Street health building, from what I understand, or the Duval welfare building. It's still set up as though it was a medical clinic. It still has little exam rooms where you can't get into the rooms because the ceilings have fallen in. We've never used it. The end of the building is very unsightly. The	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	couldn't park enough people there to be able to use the site, so we're asking for demolition of this building. We have a contractor lined up, ready to go. The picture of the water in the basement Arimus, could you show them that one? The brown line that's on the wall is actually how high the water in the basement gets when Hogan's Creek floods, and it has tested positive for arsenic, so we And I believe staff Mr. Wells was in the building, and you have to mask-up to go
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	can see from the Hogan's Creek trail, this building is in disarray. We inherited the building, from what I understand, from the City. We've never used the building. It has standing water in the basement. The roof is falling in. It was the Main Street health building, from what I understand, or the Duval welfare building. It's still set up as though it was a medical clinic. It still has little exam rooms where you can't get into the rooms because the ceilings have fallen in. We've never used it. The end of the building is very unsightly. The earth is pulling away from the building. I	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	couldn't park enough people there to be able to use the site, so we're asking for demolition of this building. We have a contractor lined up, ready to go. The picture of the water in the basement Arimus, could you show them that one? The brown line that's on the wall is actually how high the water in the basement gets when Hogan's Creek floods, and it has tested positive for arsenic, so we And I believe staff Mr. Wells was in the building, and you have to mask-up to go into the building. It's it's pretty
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	can see from the Hogan's Creek trail, this building is in disarray. We inherited the building, from what I understand, from the City. We've never used the building. It has standing water in the basement. The roof is falling in. It was the Main Street health building, from what I understand, or the Duval welfare building. It's still set up as though it was a medical clinic. It still has little exam rooms where you can't get into the rooms because the ceilings have fallen in. We've never used it. The end of the building is very unsightly. The earth is pulling away from the building. I think we've got pictures in the report.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	couldn't park enough people there to be able to use the site, so we're asking for demolition of this building. We have a contractor lined up, ready to go. The picture of the water in the basement Arimus, could you show them that one? The brown line that's on the wall is actually how high the water in the basement gets when Hogan's Creek floods, and it has tested positive for arsenic, so we And I believe staff Mr. Wells was in the building, and you have to mask-up to go into the building. It's it's pretty terrible, so I know he wasn't very impressed
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	can see from the Hogan's Creek trail, this building is in disarray. We inherited the building, from what I understand, from the City. We've never used the building. It has standing water in the basement. The roof is falling in. It was the Main Street health building, from what I understand, or the Duval welfare building. It's still set up as though it was a medical clinic. It still has little exam rooms where you can't get into the rooms because the ceilings have fallen in. We've never used it. The end of the building is very unsightly. The earth is pulling away from the building. I think we've got pictures in the report. And the Emerald Trail folks came to JEA	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	couldn't park enough people there to be able to use the site, so we're asking for demolition of this building. We have a contractor lined up, ready to go. The picture of the water in the basement Arimus, could you show them that one? The brown line that's on the wall is actually how high the water in the basement gets when Hogan's Creek floods, and it has tested positive for arsenic, so we And I believe staff Mr. Wells was in the building, and you have to mask-up to go into the building. It's it's pretty terrible, so I know he wasn't very impressed with me telling him he had to be fully
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	can see from the Hogan's Creek trail, this building is in disarray. We inherited the building, from what I understand, from the City. We've never used the building. It has standing water in the basement. The roof is falling in. It was the Main Street health building, from what I understand, or the Duval welfare building. It's still set up as though it was a medical clinic. It still has little exam rooms where you can't get into the rooms because the ceilings have fallen in. We've never used it. The end of the building is very unsightly. The earth is pulling away from the building. I think we've got pictures in the report. And the Emerald Trail folks came to JEA and asked us to do what we could to make that	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	couldn't park enough people there to be able to use the site, so we're asking for demolition of this building. We have a contractor lined up, ready to go. The picture of the water in the basement Arimus, could you show them that one? The brown line that's on the wall is actually how high the water in the basement gets when Hogan's Creek floods, and it has tested positive for arsenic, so we And I believe staff Mr. Wells was in the building, and you have to mask-up to go into the building. It's it's pretty terrible, so I know he wasn't very impressed with me telling him he had to be fully ventilation protected to go into the building,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	can see from the Hogan's Creek trail, this building is in disarray. We inherited the building, from what I understand, from the City. We've never used the building. It has standing water in the basement. The roof is falling in. It was the Main Street health building, from what I understand, or the Duval welfare building. It's still set up as though it was a medical clinic. It still has little exam rooms where you can't get into the rooms because the ceilings have fallen in. We've never used it. The end of the building is very unsightly. The earth is pulling away from the building. I think we've got pictures in the report. And the Emerald Trail folks came to JEA and asked us to do what we could to make that more appealing because the Trail will be coming	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	couldn't park enough people there to be able to use the site, so we're asking for demolition of this building. We have a contractor lined up, ready to go. The picture of the water in the basement Arimus, could you show them that one? The brown line that's on the wall is actually how high the water in the basement gets when Hogan's Creek floods, and it has tested positive for arsenic, so we And I believe staff Mr. Wells was in the building, and you have to mask-up to go into the building. It's it's pretty terrible, so I know he wasn't very impressed with me telling him he had to be fully ventilation protected to go into the building, so we
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	can see from the Hogan's Creek trail, this building is in disarray. We inherited the building, from what I understand, from the City. We've never used the building. It has standing water in the basement. The roof is falling in. It was the Main Street health building, from what I understand, or the Duval welfare building. It's still set up as though it was a medical clinic. It still has little exam rooms where you can't get into the rooms because the ceilings have fallen in. We've never used it. The end of the building is very unsightly. The earth is pulling away from the building. I think we've got pictures in the report. And the Emerald Trail folks came to JEA and asked us to do what we could to make that more appealing because the Trail will be coming alongside the Main Street lab site.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	couldn't park enough people there to be able to use the site, so we're asking for demolition of this building. We have a contractor lined up, ready to go. The picture of the water in the basement Arimus, could you show them that one? The brown line that's on the wall is actually how high the water in the basement gets when Hogan's Creek floods, and it has tested positive for arsenic, so we And I believe staff Mr. Wells was in the building, and you have to mask-up to go into the building. It's it's pretty terrible, so I know he wasn't very impressed with me telling him he had to be fully ventilation protected to go into the building, so we We would really appreciate an approval on
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	can see from the Hogan's Creek trail, this building is in disarray. We inherited the building, from what I understand, from the City. We've never used the building. It has standing water in the basement. The roof is falling in. It was the Main Street health building, from what I understand, or the Duval welfare building. It's still set up as though it was a medical clinic. It still has little exam rooms where you can't get into the rooms because the ceilings have fallen in. We've never used it. The end of the building is very unsightly. The earth is pulling away from the building. I think we've got pictures in the report. And the Emerald Trail folks came to JEA and asked us to do what we could to make that more appealing because the Trail will be coming alongside the Main Street lab site. Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	couldn't park enough people there to be able to use the site, so we're asking for demolition of this building. We have a contractor lined up, ready to go. The picture of the water in the basement Arimus, could you show them that one? The brown line that's on the wall is actually how high the water in the basement gets when Hogan's Creek floods, and it has tested positive for arsenic, so we And I believe staff Mr. Wells was in the building, and you have to mask-up to go into the building. It's it's pretty terrible, so I know he wasn't very impressed with me telling him he had to be fully ventilation protected to go into the building, so we We would really appreciate an approval on Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	can see from the Hogan's Creek trail, this building is in disarray. We inherited the building, from what I understand, from the City. We've never used the building. It has standing water in the basement. The roof is falling in. It was the Main Street health building, from what I understand, or the Duval welfare building. It's still set up as though it was a medical clinic. It still has little exam rooms where you can't get into the rooms because the ceilings have fallen in. We've never used it. The end of the building is very unsightly. The earth is pulling away from the building. I think we've got pictures in the report. And the Emerald Trail folks came to JEA and asked us to do what we could to make that more appealing because the Trail will be coming alongside the Main Street lab site.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	couldn't park enough people there to be able to use the site, so we're asking for demolition of this building. We have a contractor lined up, ready to go. The picture of the water in the basement Arimus, could you show them that one? The brown line that's on the wall is actually how high the water in the basement gets when Hogan's Creek floods, and it has tested positive for arsenic, so we And I believe staff Mr. Wells was in the building, and you have to mask-up to go into the building. It's it's pretty terrible, so I know he wasn't very impressed with me telling him he had to be fully ventilation protected to go into the building, so we We would really appreciate an approval on

Histor	c Preservation Commission		Uncertified Condensed Copy
	81		83
1	this so that we can make it go away and make it	1	brought up as a main course of action here,
2	better for everybody from the viewpoint of the	2	that you would like to demolish it because the
3	Emerald Trail.	3	Emerald Trail asked you what you were going to
		4	, , , , ,
4	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	-	do to fix it up. So
5	MS. BACMEISTER: Thank you.	5	MS. BACMEISTER: Right.
6	THE CHAIRMAN: Are there any questions for	6	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: was the intent
7	the applicant?	7	just to leave it there abandoned, and then
8	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Through the acting	8	somebody asked you what you were going to do
9	chair to the applicant, how long has JEA owned	9	with it, and you were like, well, I guess we'll
10	this parcel for or this building for?	10	demolish it; was it that sort of scenario?
11	MS. BACMEISTER: I believe we got it as	11	MS. BACMEISTER: It comes up every couple
12	part of the parcel in '96 when we did the	12	of years on our list of things that we need to
13	expansion of the Main Street lab site and we	13	look at, removing this building, but it's JEA,
14	built the conservation center.	14	budget is always a consideration. So in a year
15	COMMISSIONER HOFF: And do you know what	15	that it's not an issue, it's not an issue.
16	the state of it was at that time?	16	So in conjunction with working with the
17	MS. BACMEISTER: Slightly better than	17	Emerald Trail and saying this is something that
18	this, but it was still abandoned. We never	18	we need to look at, it comes to the top of the
19	took any occupancy of the building. It was	19	list to say now is the time to make this right
	abandoned when we got it. It hasn't I don't	20	and make it make it what it's supposed to
20	-	20	be.
21	believe it's been occupied since the mid '80s		
22	by the by Duval County. It was empty when	22	There was a much larger scale plan that I
23	we got it. It was it's still laid out as a	23	only saw a very part of back in the early '90s
24	healthcare clinic.	24	when they were working on the conservation
25	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions?	25	center that the conservation center was part
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	82		0.4
			84
1	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the	1	of a much larger plan that was going to make
1 2	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the acting chair, so when you received this in '96,	1 2	
_	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the		of a much larger plan that was going to make
2	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the acting chair, so when you received this in '96,	2	of a much larger plan that was going to make the Main Street lab part of "tourist" is the
2 3 4	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the acting chair, so when you received this in '96, you're saying it was abandoned, you didn't have	2 3 4	of a much larger plan that was going to make the Main Street lab part of "tourist" is the wrong word, and I only saw one set of drawings
2 3 4	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the acting chair, so when you received this in '96, you're saying it was abandoned, you didn't have any use for it. Was it in this state of	2 3 4	of a much larger plan that was going to make the Main Street lab part of "tourist" is the wrong word, and I only saw one set of drawings that was going to talk about a natural there
2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the acting chair, so when you received this in '96, you're saying it was abandoned, you didn't have any use for it. Was it in this state of disrepair or was it just abandoned?	2 3 4 5	of a much larger plan that was going to make the Main Street lab part of "tourist" is the wrong word, and I only saw one set of drawings that was going to talk about a natural there was going to be a house built here that was
2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the acting chair, so when you received this in '96, you're saying it was abandoned, you didn't have any use for it. Was it in this state of disrepair or was it just abandoned? MS. BACMEISTER: I wasn't here in '96, but I believe it was in some sort of disrepair.	2 3 4 5 6	of a much larger plan that was going to make the Main Street lab part of "tourist" is the wrong word, and I only saw one set of drawings that was going to talk about a natural there was going to be a house built here that was going to be a reproduction that talked about energy-saving methods, it was going to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the acting chair, so when you received this in '96, you're saying it was abandoned, you didn't have any use for it. Was it in this state of disrepair or was it just abandoned? MS. BACMEISTER: I wasn't here in '96, but I believe it was in some sort of disrepair. We've never done any maintenance to it. We've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of a much larger plan that was going to make the Main Street lab part of "tourist" is the wrong word, and I only saw one set of drawings that was going to talk about a natural there was going to be a house built here that was going to be a reproduction that talked about energy-saving methods, it was going to be historically accurate for the neighborhood.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the acting chair, so when you received this in '96, you're saying it was abandoned, you didn't have any use for it. Was it in this state of disrepair or was it just abandoned? MS. BACMEISTER: I wasn't here in '96, but I believe it was in some sort of disrepair. We've never done any maintenance to it. We've never used it for anything. We haven't even	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of a much larger plan that was going to make the Main Street lab part of "tourist" is the wrong word, and I only saw one set of drawings that was going to talk about a natural there was going to be a house built here that was going to be a reproduction that talked about energy-saving methods, it was going to be historically accurate for the neighborhood. And when 9/11 happened and all the rules
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the acting chair, so when you received this in '96, you're saying it was abandoned, you didn't have any use for it. Was it in this state of disrepair or was it just abandoned? MS. BACMEISTER: I wasn't here in '96, but I believe it was in some sort of disrepair. We've never done any maintenance to it. We've never used it for anything. We haven't even used it for storage.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of a much larger plan that was going to make the Main Street lab part of "tourist" is the wrong word, and I only saw one set of drawings that was going to talk about a natural there was going to be a house built here that was going to be a reproduction that talked about energy-saving methods, it was going to be historically accurate for the neighborhood. And when 9/11 happened and all the rules changed about how many people we could bring
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the acting chair, so when you received this in '96, you're saying it was abandoned, you didn't have any use for it. Was it in this state of disrepair or was it just abandoned? MS. BACMEISTER: I wasn't here in '96, but I believe it was in some sort of disrepair. We've never done any maintenance to it. We've never used it for anything. We haven't even used it for storage. There's no electrical panels in it. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of a much larger plan that was going to make the Main Street lab part of "tourist" is the wrong word, and I only saw one set of drawings that was going to talk about a natural there was going to be a house built here that was going to be a reproduction that talked about energy-saving methods, it was going to be historically accurate for the neighborhood. And when 9/11 happened and all the rules changed about how many people we could bring into a water site, because we're a utility
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the acting chair, so when you received this in '96, you're saying it was abandoned, you didn't have any use for it. Was it in this state of disrepair or was it just abandoned? MS. BACMEISTER: I wasn't here in '96, but I believe it was in some sort of disrepair. We've never done any maintenance to it. We've never used it for anything. We haven't even used it for storage. There's no electrical panels in it. The cabinet they're supposed to be in is empty.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of a much larger plan that was going to make the Main Street lab part of "tourist" is the wrong word, and I only saw one set of drawings that was going to talk about a natural there was going to be a house built here that was going to be a reproduction that talked about energy-saving methods, it was going to be historically accurate for the neighborhood. And when 9/11 happened and all the rules changed about how many people we could bring into a water site, because we're a utility company, and all that changed, that plan got
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the acting chair, so when you received this in '96, you're saying it was abandoned, you didn't have any use for it. Was it in this state of disrepair or was it just abandoned? MS. BACMEISTER: I wasn't here in '96, but I believe it was in some sort of disrepair. We've never done any maintenance to it. We've never used it for anything. We haven't even used it for storage. There's no electrical panels in it. The cabinet they're supposed to be in is empty. The HVAC cabinets that are actually on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of a much larger plan that was going to make the Main Street lab part of "tourist" is the wrong word, and I only saw one set of drawings that was going to talk about a natural there was going to be a house built here that was going to be a reproduction that talked about energy-saving methods, it was going to be historically accurate for the neighborhood. And when 9/11 happened and all the rules changed about how many people we could bring into a water site, because we're a utility company, and all that changed, that plan got abandoned.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the acting chair, so when you received this in '96, you're saying it was abandoned, you didn't have any use for it. Was it in this state of disrepair or was it just abandoned? MS. BACMEISTER: I wasn't here in '96, but I believe it was in some sort of disrepair. We've never done any maintenance to it. We've never used it for anything. We haven't even used it for storage. There's no electrical panels in it. The cabinet they're supposed to be in is empty. The HVAC cabinets that are actually on the ground by the retention pond in this picture	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of a much larger plan that was going to make the Main Street lab part of "tourist" is the wrong word, and I only saw one set of drawings that was going to talk about a natural there was going to be a house built here that was going to be a reproduction that talked about energy-saving methods, it was going to be historically accurate for the neighborhood. And when 9/11 happened and all the rules changed about how many people we could bring into a water site, because we're a utility company, and all that changed, that plan got abandoned. So the conservation center got to stay and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the acting chair, so when you received this in '96, you're saying it was abandoned, you didn't have any use for it. Was it in this state of disrepair or was it just abandoned? MS. BACMEISTER: I wasn't here in '96, but I believe it was in some sort of disrepair. We've never done any maintenance to it. We've never used it for anything. We haven't even used it for storage. There's no electrical panels in it. The cabinet they're supposed to be in is empty. The HVAC cabinets that are actually on the ground by the retention pond in this picture they would be on the bottom left corner are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of a much larger plan that was going to make the Main Street lab part of "tourist" is the wrong word, and I only saw one set of drawings that was going to talk about a natural there was going to be a house built here that was going to be a reproduction that talked about energy-saving methods, it was going to be historically accurate for the neighborhood. And when 9/11 happened and all the rules changed about how many people we could bring into a water site, because we're a utility company, and all that changed, that plan got abandoned. So the conservation center got to stay and we used that probably many of you have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the acting chair, so when you received this in '96, you're saying it was abandoned, you didn't have any use for it. Was it in this state of disrepair or was it just abandoned? MS. BACMEISTER: I wasn't here in '96, but I believe it was in some sort of disrepair. We've never done any maintenance to it. We've never used it for anything. We haven't even used it for storage. There's no electrical panels in it. The cabinet they're supposed to be in is empty. The HVAC cabinets that are actually on the ground by the retention pond in this picture they would be on the bottom left corner are under water part of the year. I don't think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of a much larger plan that was going to make the Main Street lab part of "tourist" is the wrong word, and I only saw one set of drawings that was going to talk about a natural there was going to be a house built here that was going to be a reproduction that talked about energy-saving methods, it was going to be historically accurate for the neighborhood. And when 9/11 happened and all the rules changed about how many people we could bring into a water site, because we're a utility company, and all that changed, that plan got abandoned. So the conservation center got to stay and we used that probably many of you have been in that site. We can use that for a meeting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the acting chair, so when you received this in '96, you're saying it was abandoned, you didn't have any use for it. Was it in this state of disrepair or was it just abandoned? MS. BACMEISTER: I wasn't here in '96, but I believe it was in some sort of disrepair. We've never done any maintenance to it. We've never used it for anything. We haven't even used it for storage. There's no electrical panels in it. The cabinet they're supposed to be in is empty. The HVAC cabinets that are actually on the ground by the retention pond in this picture they would be on the bottom left corner are under water part of the year. I don't think they've ever been operational since we've had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of a much larger plan that was going to make the Main Street lab part of "tourist" is the wrong word, and I only saw one set of drawings that was going to talk about a natural there was going to be a house built here that was going to be a reproduction that talked about energy-saving methods, it was going to be historically accurate for the neighborhood. And when 9/11 happened and all the rules changed about how many people we could bring into a water site, because we're a utility company, and all that changed, that plan got abandoned. So the conservation center got to stay and we used that probably many of you have been in that site. We can use that for a meeting space, but all those other plans got abandoned,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the acting chair, so when you received this in '96, you're saying it was abandoned, you didn't have any use for it. Was it in this state of disrepair or was it just abandoned? MS. BACMEISTER: I wasn't here in '96, but I believe it was in some sort of disrepair. We've never done any maintenance to it. We've never used it for anything. We haven't even used it for storage. There's no electrical panels in it. The cabinet they're supposed to be in is empty. The HVAC cabinets that are actually on the ground by the retention pond in this picture they would be on the bottom left corner are under water part of the year. I don't think they've ever been operational since we've had possession of the building, so I don't think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of a much larger plan that was going to make the Main Street lab part of "tourist" is the wrong word, and I only saw one set of drawings that was going to talk about a natural there was going to be a house built here that was going to be a reproduction that talked about energy-saving methods, it was going to be historically accurate for the neighborhood. And when 9/11 happened and all the rules changed about how many people we could bring into a water site, because we're a utility company, and all that changed, that plan got abandoned. So the conservation center got to stay and we used that probably many of you have been in that site. We can use that for a meeting space, but all those other plans got abandoned, and I think the plans for this kind of got set
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the acting chair, so when you received this in '96, you're saying it was abandoned, you didn't have any use for it. Was it in this state of disrepair or was it just abandoned? MS. BACMEISTER: I wasn't here in '96, but I believe it was in some sort of disrepair. We've never done any maintenance to it. We've never used it for anything. We haven't even used it for storage. There's no electrical panels in it. The cabinet they're supposed to be in is empty. The HVAC cabinets that are actually on the ground by the retention pond in this picture they would be on the bottom left corner are under water part of the year. I don't think they've ever been operational since we've had possession of the building, so I don't think this building was functional when we got it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of a much larger plan that was going to make the Main Street lab part of "tourist" is the wrong word, and I only saw one set of drawings that was going to talk about a natural there was going to be a house built here that was going to be a reproduction that talked about energy-saving methods, it was going to be historically accurate for the neighborhood. And when 9/11 happened and all the rules changed about how many people we could bring into a water site, because we're a utility company, and all that changed, that plan got abandoned. So the conservation center got to stay and we used that probably many of you have been in that site. We can use that for a meeting space, but all those other plans got abandoned, and I think the plans for this kind of got set aside. But there was never a plan to leave
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the acting chair, so when you received this in '96, you're saying it was abandoned, you didn't have any use for it. Was it in this state of disrepair or was it just abandoned? MS. BACMEISTER: I wasn't here in '96, but I believe it was in some sort of disrepair. We've never done any maintenance to it. We've never used it for anything. We haven't even used it for storage. There's no electrical panels in it. The cabinet they're supposed to be in is empty. The HVAC cabinets that are actually on the ground by the retention pond in this picture they would be on the bottom left corner are under water part of the year. I don't think they've ever been operational since we've had possession of the building, so I don't think this building was functional when we got it. I've only worked at JEA since 2010, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of a much larger plan that was going to make the Main Street lab part of "tourist" is the wrong word, and I only saw one set of drawings that was going to talk about a natural there was going to be a house built here that was going to be a reproduction that talked about energy-saving methods, it was going to be historically accurate for the neighborhood. And when 9/11 happened and all the rules changed about how many people we could bring into a water site, because we're a utility company, and all that changed, that plan got abandoned. So the conservation center got to stay and we used that probably many of you have been in that site. We can use that for a meeting space, but all those other plans got abandoned, and I think the plans for this kind of got set aside. But there was never a plan to leave this building there or reuse it for anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the acting chair, so when you received this in '96, you're saying it was abandoned, you didn't have any use for it. Was it in this state of disrepair or was it just abandoned? MS. BACMEISTER: I wasn't here in '96, but I believe it was in some sort of disrepair. We've never done any maintenance to it. We've never used it for anything. We haven't even used it for storage. There's no electrical panels in it. The cabinet they're supposed to be in is empty. The HVAC cabinets that are actually on the ground by the retention pond in this picture they would be on the bottom left corner are under water part of the year. I don't think they've ever been operational since we've had possession of the building, so I don't think this building was functional when we got it. I've only worked at JEA since 2010, so I and the records that I know of prior	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of a much larger plan that was going to make the Main Street lab part of "tourist" is the wrong word, and I only saw one set of drawings that was going to talk about a natural there was going to be a house built here that was going to be a reproduction that talked about energy-saving methods, it was going to be historically accurate for the neighborhood. And when 9/11 happened and all the rules changed about how many people we could bring into a water site, because we're a utility company, and all that changed, that plan got abandoned. So the conservation center got to stay and we used that probably many of you have been in that site. We can use that for a meeting space, but all those other plans got abandoned, and I think the plans for this kind of got set aside. But there was never a plan to leave this building there or reuse it for anything else, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the acting chair, so when you received this in '96, you're saying it was abandoned, you didn't have any use for it. Was it in this state of disrepair or was it just abandoned? MS. BACMEISTER: I wasn't here in '96, but I believe it was in some sort of disrepair. We've never done any maintenance to it. We've never used it for anything. We haven't even used it for storage. There's no electrical panels in it. The cabinet they're supposed to be in is empty. The HVAC cabinets that are actually on the ground by the retention pond in this picture they would be on the bottom left corner are under water part of the year. I don't think they've ever been operational since we've had possession of the building, so I don't think this building was functional when we got it. I've only worked at JEA since 2010, so I and the records that I know of prior are are historic are verbal records that,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of a much larger plan that was going to make the Main Street lab part of "tourist" is the wrong word, and I only saw one set of drawings that was going to talk about a natural there was going to be a house built here that was going to be a reproduction that talked about energy-saving methods, it was going to be historically accurate for the neighborhood. And when 9/11 happened and all the rules changed about how many people we could bring into a water site, because we're a utility company, and all that changed, that plan got abandoned. So the conservation center got to stay and we used that probably many of you have been in that site. We can use that for a meeting space, but all those other plans got abandoned, and I think the plans for this kind of got set aside. But there was never a plan to leave this building there or reuse it for anything else, so I think the Emerald Trail coming in,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the acting chair, so when you received this in '96, you're saying it was abandoned, you didn't have any use for it. Was it in this state of disrepair or was it just abandoned? MS. BACMEISTER: I wasn't here in '96, but I believe it was in some sort of disrepair. We've never done any maintenance to it. We've never used it for anything. We haven't even used it for storage. There's no electrical panels in it. The cabinet they're supposed to be in is empty. The HVAC cabinets that are actually on the ground by the retention pond in this picture they would be on the bottom left corner are under water part of the year. I don't think they've ever been operational since we've had possession of the building, so I don't think this building was functional when we got it. I've only worked at JEA since 2010, so I and the records that I know of prior are are historic are verbal records that, you know, this guy knows about it because he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of a much larger plan that was going to make the Main Street lab part of "tourist" is the wrong word, and I only saw one set of drawings that was going to talk about a natural there was going to be a house built here that was going to be a reproduction that talked about energy-saving methods, it was going to be historically accurate for the neighborhood. And when 9/11 happened and all the rules changed about how many people we could bring into a water site, because we're a utility company, and all that changed, that plan got abandoned. So the conservation center got to stay and we used that probably many of you have been in that site. We can use that for a meeting space, but all those other plans got abandoned, and I think the plans for this kind of got set aside. But there was never a plan to leave this building there or reuse it for anything else, so I think the Emerald Trail coming in, asking us, hey, can we make this more appealing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the acting chair, so when you received this in '96, you're saying it was abandoned, you didn't have any use for it. Was it in this state of disrepair or was it just abandoned? MS. BACMEISTER: I wasn't here in '96, but I believe it was in some sort of disrepair. We've never done any maintenance to it. We've never used it for anything. We haven't even used it for storage. There's no electrical panels in it. The cabinet they're supposed to be in is empty. The HVAC cabinets that are actually on the ground by the retention pond in this picture they would be on the bottom left corner are under water part of the year. I don't think they've ever been operational since we've had possession of the building, so I don't think this building was functional when we got it. I've only worked at JEA since 2010, so I and the records that I know of prior are are historic are verbal records that, you know, this guy knows about it because he was here when we got to it, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of a much larger plan that was going to make the Main Street lab part of "tourist" is the wrong word, and I only saw one set of drawings that was going to talk about a natural there was going to be a house built here that was going to be a reproduction that talked about energy-saving methods, it was going to be historically accurate for the neighborhood. And when 9/11 happened and all the rules changed about how many people we could bring into a water site, because we're a utility company, and all that changed, that plan got abandoned. So the conservation center got to stay and we used that probably many of you have been in that site. We can use that for a meeting space, but all those other plans got abandoned, and I think the plans for this kind of got set aside. But there was never a plan to leave this building there or reuse it for anything else, so I think the Emerald Trail coming in, asking us, hey, can we make this more appealing to the public, came back to the you know, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the acting chair, so when you received this in '96, you're saying it was abandoned, you didn't have any use for it. Was it in this state of disrepair or was it just abandoned? MS. BACMEISTER: I wasn't here in '96, but I believe it was in some sort of disrepair. We've never done any maintenance to it. We've never used it for anything. We haven't even used it for storage. There's no electrical panels in it. The cabinet they're supposed to be in is empty. The HVAC cabinets that are actually on the ground by the retention pond in this picture they would be on the bottom left corner are under water part of the year. I don't think they've ever been operational since we've had possession of the building, so I don't think this building was functional when we got it. I've only worked at JEA since 2010, so I and the records that I know of prior are are historic are verbal records that, you know, this guy knows about it because he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of a much larger plan that was going to make the Main Street lab part of "tourist" is the wrong word, and I only saw one set of drawings that was going to talk about a natural there was going to be a house built here that was going to be a reproduction that talked about energy-saving methods, it was going to be historically accurate for the neighborhood. And when 9/11 happened and all the rules changed about how many people we could bring into a water site, because we're a utility company, and all that changed, that plan got abandoned. So the conservation center got to stay and we used that probably many of you have been in that site. We can use that for a meeting space, but all those other plans got abandoned, and I think the plans for this kind of got set aside. But there was never a plan to leave this building there or reuse it for anything else, so I think the Emerald Trail coming in, asking us, hey, can we make this more appealing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the acting chair, so when you received this in '96, you're saying it was abandoned, you didn't have any use for it. Was it in this state of disrepair or was it just abandoned? MS. BACMEISTER: I wasn't here in '96, but I believe it was in some sort of disrepair. We've never done any maintenance to it. We've never used it for anything. We haven't even used it for storage. There's no electrical panels in it. The cabinet they're supposed to be in is empty. The HVAC cabinets that are actually on the ground by the retention pond in this picture they would be on the bottom left corner are under water part of the year. I don't think they've ever been operational since we've had possession of the building, so I don't think this building was functional when we got it. I've only worked at JEA since 2010, so I and the records that I know of prior are are historic are verbal records that, you know, this guy knows about it because he was here when we got to it, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	of a much larger plan that was going to make the Main Street lab part of "tourist" is the wrong word, and I only saw one set of drawings that was going to talk about a natural there was going to be a house built here that was going to be a reproduction that talked about energy-saving methods, it was going to be historically accurate for the neighborhood. And when 9/11 happened and all the rules changed about how many people we could bring into a water site, because we're a utility company, and all that changed, that plan got abandoned. So the conservation center got to stay and we used that probably many of you have been in that site. We can use that for a meeting space, but all those other plans got abandoned, and I think the plans for this kind of got set aside. But there was never a plan to leave this building there or reuse it for anything else, so I think the Emerald Trail coming in, asking us, hey, can we make this more appealing to the public, came back to the you know, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the acting chair, so when you received this in '96, you're saying it was abandoned, you didn't have any use for it. Was it in this state of disrepair or was it just abandoned? MS. BACMEISTER: I wasn't here in '96, but I believe it was in some sort of disrepair. We've never done any maintenance to it. We've never used it for anything. We haven't even used it for storage. There's no electrical panels in it. The cabinet they're supposed to be in is empty. The HVAC cabinets that are actually on the ground by the retention pond in this picture they would be on the bottom left corner are under water part of the year. I don't think they've ever been operational since we've had possession of the building, so I don't think this building was functional when we got it. I've only worked at JEA since 2010, so I and the records that I know of prior are are historic are verbal records that, you know, this guy knows about it because he was here when we got to it, so COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: And it's sort of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	of a much larger plan that was going to make the Main Street lab part of "tourist" is the wrong word, and I only saw one set of drawings that was going to talk about a natural there was going to be a house built here that was going to be a reproduction that talked about energy-saving methods, it was going to be historically accurate for the neighborhood. And when 9/11 happened and all the rules changed about how many people we could bring into a water site, because we're a utility company, and all that changed, that plan got abandoned. So the conservation center got to stay and we used that probably many of you have been in that site. We can use that for a meeting space, but all those other plans got abandoned, and I think the plans for this kind of got set aside. But there was never a plan to leave this building there or reuse it for anything else, so I think the Emerald Trail coming in, asking us, hey, can we make this more appealing to the public, came back to the you know, we had a plan to turn this into something else, we

City of Jacksonville

June 26, 2024

TIISLOI	c Preservation Commission		Uncertified Condensed Copy
	85		87
1	need to get rid of this building and make it	1	when the building was built, there weren't any
2	the greenspace that aligns with the Emerald	2	retention ponds there, and actually Main Street
3	Trail plan because it's not going to be the	3	was about it seems like Main Street was
4	building that we were going to put there that	4	20 feet further away to the whole the
5	aligned with the plan for the overall Main	5	whole geography of that neighborhood has
	Street lab that doesn't exist anymore, so	6	shifted, and just with the amount of
6	• •	-	•
7	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: And so that's why	7	construction and the way the runoff is, I don't
8	no remediation or any kind of repairs or	8	think we're ever going to get away from the
9	anything has ever been done, because	9	water issue in the basement there, and it's
10	MS. BACMEISTER: I believe so.	10	there's just so much water seasonally in there.
11	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: there never was	11	It's bad. It's bad.
12	a plan for any of that	12	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Any other questions?
13	MS. BACMEISTER: Yeah.	13	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
14	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I just thought it	14	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
15	was interesting. It looks very well landscaped	15	MS. BACMEISTER: Thank you.
16	and	16	THE CHAIRMAN: Anyone else from the public
17	MS. BACMEISTER: They did the land and	17	that would like to speak for or against this?
18	I said the same thing. I work in facilities	18	AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)
	-		THE CHAIRMAN: With that, we will close
19	capital, so I'm not way up the food chain on	19	•
20	the big vision plans most of the time, but I	20	the public hearing.
21	asked the same question, if we're not doing	21	And there's a motion on the table or
22	anything, why is there a million dollars worth	22	I'll entertain a motion.
23	of landscaping?	23	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Through the acting
24	And because the view you're seeing here is	24	chair, I would like to make a motion to approve
25	if you're standing at Main Street looking	25	COA-24-30132.
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	86		88
1		1	88 COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second.
	towards the building, all the landscaping had		COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second.
2	towards the building, all the landscaping had to be brought up when they built the	2	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion?
2 3	towards the building, all the landscaping had to be brought up when they built the conservation center because you can see it from	2 3	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER HOFF: So I am going to
2 3 4	towards the building, all the landscaping had to be brought up when they built the conservation center because you can see it from Main Street. So all of this landscaping was	2 3 4	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER HOFF: So I am going to support this for three reasons. One, the staff
2 3 4 5	towards the building, all the landscaping had to be brought up when they built the conservation center because you can see it from Main Street. So all of this landscaping was installed in 1996, so it's very pretty, it's	2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER HOFF: So I am going to support this for three reasons. One, the staff found that this is, like, a that this is on
2 3 4 5 6	towards the building, all the landscaping had to be brought up when they built the conservation center because you can see it from Main Street. So all of this landscaping was installed in 1996, so it's very pretty, it's very lovely, but it came along with the	2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER HOFF: So I am going to support this for three reasons. One, the staff found that this is, like, a that this is on the noncontributing structure list. I think
2 3 4 5 6 7	towards the building, all the landscaping had to be brought up when they built the conservation center because you can see it from Main Street. So all of this landscaping was installed in 1996, so it's very pretty, it's very lovely, but it came along with the conservation center as part of that permit to	2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER HOFF: So I am going to support this for three reasons. One, the staff found that this is, like, a that this is on the noncontributing structure list. I think that that's very important.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	towards the building, all the landscaping had to be brought up when they built the conservation center because you can see it from Main Street. So all of this landscaping was installed in 1996, so it's very pretty, it's very lovely, but it came along with the conservation center as part of that permit to do that building, so she got a lovely new	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER HOFF: So I am going to support this for three reasons. One, the staff found that this is, like, a that this is on the noncontributing structure list. I think that that's very important. Also, it is in a very unique placement,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	towards the building, all the landscaping had to be brought up when they built the conservation center because you can see it from Main Street. So all of this landscaping was installed in 1996, so it's very pretty, it's very lovely, but it came along with the conservation center as part of that permit to do that building, so she got a lovely new dress, but, unfortunately, she's she's been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER HOFF: So I am going to support this for three reasons. One, the staff found that this is, like, a that this is on the noncontributing structure list. I think that that's very important. Also, it is in a very unique placement, virtually surrounded by water. And there is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	towards the building, all the landscaping had to be brought up when they built the conservation center because you can see it from Main Street. So all of this landscaping was installed in 1996, so it's very pretty, it's very lovely, but it came along with the conservation center as part of that permit to do that building, so she got a lovely new dress, but, unfortunately, she's she's been in hospice for a long time, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER HOFF: So I am going to support this for three reasons. One, the staff found that this is, like, a that this is on the noncontributing structure list. I think that that's very important. Also, it is in a very unique placement, virtually surrounded by water. And there is a plan for the greater good use of that space to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	towards the building, all the landscaping had to be brought up when they built the conservation center because you can see it from Main Street. So all of this landscaping was installed in 1996, so it's very pretty, it's very lovely, but it came along with the conservation center as part of that permit to do that building, so she got a lovely new dress, but, unfortunately, she's she's been in hospice for a long time, so THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER HOFF: So I am going to support this for three reasons. One, the staff found that this is, like, a that this is on the noncontributing structure list. I think that that's very important. Also, it is in a very unique placement, virtually surrounded by water. And there is a plan for the greater good use of that space to be incorporated into the Hogan's Creek
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	towards the building, all the landscaping had to be brought up when they built the conservation center because you can see it from Main Street. So all of this landscaping was installed in 1996, so it's very pretty, it's very lovely, but it came along with the conservation center as part of that permit to do that building, so she got a lovely new dress, but, unfortunately, she's she's been in hospice for a long time, so THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the applicant?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER HOFF: So I am going to support this for three reasons. One, the staff found that this is, like, a that this is on the noncontributing structure list. I think that that's very important. Also, it is in a very unique placement, virtually surrounded by water. And there is a plan for the greater good use of that space to be incorporated into the Hogan's Creek renovation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	towards the building, all the landscaping had to be brought up when they built the conservation center because you can see it from Main Street. So all of this landscaping was installed in 1996, so it's very pretty, it's very lovely, but it came along with the conservation center as part of that permit to do that building, so she got a lovely new dress, but, unfortunately, she's she's been in hospice for a long time, so THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the applicant? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER HOFF: So I am going to support this for three reasons. One, the staff found that this is, like, a that this is on the noncontributing structure list. I think that that's very important. Also, it is in a very unique placement, virtually surrounded by water. And there is a plan for the greater good use of that space to be incorporated into the Hogan's Creek renovation. So those are the reasons why I will be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	towards the building, all the landscaping had to be brought up when they built the conservation center because you can see it from Main Street. So all of this landscaping was installed in 1996, so it's very pretty, it's very lovely, but it came along with the conservation center as part of that permit to do that building, so she got a lovely new dress, but, unfortunately, she's she's been in hospice for a long time, so THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the applicant? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, in your conversations with Groundwork, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER HOFF: So I am going to support this for three reasons. One, the staff found that this is, like, a that this is on the noncontributing structure list. I think that that's very important. Also, it is in a very unique placement, virtually surrounded by water. And there is a plan for the greater good use of that space to be incorporated into the Hogan's Creek renovation. So those are the reasons why I will be supporting this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	towards the building, all the landscaping had to be brought up when they built the conservation center because you can see it from Main Street. So all of this landscaping was installed in 1996, so it's very pretty, it's very lovely, but it came along with the conservation center as part of that permit to do that building, so she got a lovely new dress, but, unfortunately, she's she's been in hospice for a long time, so THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the applicant? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, in your conversations with Groundwork, I understand there's some remediation of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER HOFF: So I am going to support this for three reasons. One, the staff found that this is, like, a that this is on the noncontributing structure list. I think that that's very important. Also, it is in a very unique placement, virtually surrounded by water. And there is a plan for the greater good use of that space to be incorporated into the Hogan's Creek renovation. So those are the reasons why I will be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	towards the building, all the landscaping had to be brought up when they built the conservation center because you can see it from Main Street. So all of this landscaping was installed in 1996, so it's very pretty, it's very lovely, but it came along with the conservation center as part of that permit to do that building, so she got a lovely new dress, but, unfortunately, she's she's been in hospice for a long time, so THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the applicant? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, in your conversations with Groundwork, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER HOFF: So I am going to support this for three reasons. One, the staff found that this is, like, a that this is on the noncontributing structure list. I think that that's very important. Also, it is in a very unique placement, virtually surrounded by water. And there is a plan for the greater good use of that space to be incorporated into the Hogan's Creek renovation. So those are the reasons why I will be supporting this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	towards the building, all the landscaping had to be brought up when they built the conservation center because you can see it from Main Street. So all of this landscaping was installed in 1996, so it's very pretty, it's very lovely, but it came along with the conservation center as part of that permit to do that building, so she got a lovely new dress, but, unfortunately, she's she's been in hospice for a long time, so THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the applicant? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, in your conversations with Groundwork, I understand there's some remediation of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER HOFF: So I am going to support this for three reasons. One, the staff found that this is, like, a that this is on the noncontributing structure list. I think that that's very important. Also, it is in a very unique placement, virtually surrounded by water. And there is a plan for the greater good use of that space to be incorporated into the Hogan's Creek renovation. So those are the reasons why I will be supporting this. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	towards the building, all the landscaping had to be brought up when they built the conservation center because you can see it from Main Street. So all of this landscaping was installed in 1996, so it's very pretty, it's very lovely, but it came along with the conservation center as part of that permit to do that building, so she got a lovely new dress, but, unfortunately, she's she's been in hospice for a long time, so THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the applicant? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, in your conversations with Groundwork, I understand there's some remediation of the creek as well, and does that plan would that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER HOFF: So I am going to support this for three reasons. One, the staff found that this is, like, a that this is on the noncontributing structure list. I think that that's very important. Also, it is in a very unique placement, virtually surrounded by water. And there is a plan for the greater good use of that space to be incorporated into the Hogan's Creek renovation. So those are the reasons why I will be supporting this. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, again, obviously, we don't like to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	towards the building, all the landscaping had to be brought up when they built the conservation center because you can see it from Main Street. So all of this landscaping was installed in 1996, so it's very pretty, it's very lovely, but it came along with the conservation center as part of that permit to do that building, so she got a lovely new dress, but, unfortunately, she's she's been in hospice for a long time, so THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the applicant? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, in your conversations with Groundwork, I understand there's some remediation of the creek as well, and does that plan would that resolve any of these flooding issues?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER HOFF: So I am going to support this for three reasons. One, the staff found that this is, like, a that this is on the noncontributing structure list. I think that that's very important. Also, it is in a very unique placement, virtually surrounded by water. And there is a plan for the greater good use of that space to be incorporated into the Hogan's Creek renovation. So those are the reasons why I will be supporting this. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, again, obviously, we don't like to approve any demolitions. We're all here to do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	towards the building, all the landscaping had to be brought up when they built the conservation center because you can see it from Main Street. So all of this landscaping was installed in 1996, so it's very pretty, it's very lovely, but it came along with the conservation center as part of that permit to do that building, so she got a lovely new dress, but, unfortunately, she's she's been in hospice for a long time, so THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the applicant? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, in your conversations with Groundwork, I understand there's some remediation of the creek as well, and does that plan would that resolve any of these flooding issues? MS. BACMEISTER: From my professional opinion, there's nothing going to fix the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER HOFF: So I am going to support this for three reasons. One, the staff found that this is, like, a that this is on the noncontributing structure list. I think that that's very important. Also, it is in a very unique placement, virtually surrounded by water. And there is a plan for the greater good use of that space to be incorporated into the Hogan's Creek renovation. So those are the reasons why I will be supporting this. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, again, obviously, we don't like to approve any demolitions. We're all here to do whatever we can to save historic infrastructure and the fabric of the city, but I think, for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	towards the building, all the landscaping had to be brought up when they built the conservation center because you can see it from Main Street. So all of this landscaping was installed in 1996, so it's very pretty, it's very lovely, but it came along with the conservation center as part of that permit to do that building, so she got a lovely new dress, but, unfortunately, she's she's been in hospice for a long time, so THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the applicant? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, in your conversations with Groundwork, I understand there's some remediation of the creek as well, and does that plan would that resolve any of these flooding issues? MS. BACMEISTER: From my professional opinion, there's nothing going to fix the flooding issues because of the retention ponds	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER HOFF: So I am going to support this for three reasons. One, the staff found that this is, like, a that this is on the noncontributing structure list. I think that that's very important. Also, it is in a very unique placement, virtually surrounded by water. And there is a plan for the greater good use of that space to be incorporated into the Hogan's Creek renovation. So those are the reasons why I will be supporting this. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, again, obviously, we don't like to approve any demolitions. We're all here to do whatever we can to save historic infrastructure and the fabric of the city, but I think, for me, the fact that this is a floodplain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	towards the building, all the landscaping had to be brought up when they built the conservation center because you can see it from Main Street. So all of this landscaping was installed in 1996, so it's very pretty, it's very lovely, but it came along with the conservation center as part of that permit to do that building, so she got a lovely new dress, but, unfortunately, she's she's been in hospice for a long time, so THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the applicant? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, in your conversations with Groundwork, I understand there's some remediation of the creek as well, and does that plan would that resolve any of these flooding issues? MS. BACMEISTER: From my professional opinion, there's nothing going to fix the flooding issues because of the retention ponds on both sides of it, and the the proximity	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER HOFF: So I am going to support this for three reasons. One, the staff found that this is, like, a that this is on the noncontributing structure list. I think that that's very important. Also, it is in a very unique placement, virtually surrounded by water. And there is a plan for the greater good use of that space to be incorporated into the Hogan's Creek renovation. So those are the reasons why I will be supporting this. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, again, obviously, we don't like to approve any demolitions. We're all here to do whatever we can to save historic infrastructure and the fabric of the city, but I think, for me, the fact that this is a floodplain looking at how it seems like climate and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	towards the building, all the landscaping had to be brought up when they built the conservation center because you can see it from Main Street. So all of this landscaping was installed in 1996, so it's very pretty, it's very lovely, but it came along with the conservation center as part of that permit to do that building, so she got a lovely new dress, but, unfortunately, she's she's been in hospice for a long time, so THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the applicant? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, in your conversations with Groundwork, I understand there's some remediation of the creek as well, and does that plan would that resolve any of these flooding issues? MS. BACMEISTER: From my professional opinion, there's nothing going to fix the flooding issues because of the retention ponds on both sides of it, and the the proximity of the creek to the building, we're in a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER HOFF: So I am going to support this for three reasons. One, the staff found that this is, like, a that this is on the noncontributing structure list. I think that that's very important. Also, it is in a very unique placement, virtually surrounded by water. And there is a plan for the greater good use of that space to be incorporated into the Hogan's Creek renovation. So those are the reasons why I will be supporting this. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, again, obviously, we don't like to approve any demolitions. We're all here to do whatever we can to save historic infrastructure and the fabric of the city, but I think, for me, the fact that this is a floodplain looking at how it seems like climate and everything is going, I'm suspecting that it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	towards the building, all the landscaping had to be brought up when they built the conservation center because you can see it from Main Street. So all of this landscaping was installed in 1996, so it's very pretty, it's very lovely, but it came along with the conservation center as part of that permit to do that building, so she got a lovely new dress, but, unfortunately, she's she's been in hospice for a long time, so THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the applicant? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, in your conversations with Groundwork, I understand there's some remediation of the creek as well, and does that plan would that resolve any of these flooding issues? MS. BACMEISTER: From my professional opinion, there's nothing going to fix the flooding issues because of the retention ponds on both sides of it, and the the proximity of the creek to the building, we're in a floodplain. I and I think that's why they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER HOFF: So I am going to support this for three reasons. One, the staff found that this is, like, a that this is on the noncontributing structure list. I think that that's very important. Also, it is in a very unique placement, virtually surrounded by water. And there is a plan for the greater good use of that space to be incorporated into the Hogan's Creek renovation. So those are the reasons why I will be supporting this. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, again, obviously, we don't like to approve any demolitions. We're all here to do whatever we can to save historic infrastructure and the fabric of the city, but I think, for me, the fact that this is a floodplain looking at how it seems like climate and everything is going, I'm suspecting that it's going to get worse.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	towards the building, all the landscaping had to be brought up when they built the conservation center because you can see it from Main Street. So all of this landscaping was installed in 1996, so it's very pretty, it's very lovely, but it came along with the conservation center as part of that permit to do that building, so she got a lovely new dress, but, unfortunately, she's she's been in hospice for a long time, so THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the applicant? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, in your conversations with Groundwork, I understand there's some remediation of the creek as well, and does that plan would that resolve any of these flooding issues? MS. BACMEISTER: From my professional opinion, there's nothing going to fix the flooding issues because of the retention ponds on both sides of it, and the the proximity of the creek to the building, we're in a floodplain. I and I think that's why they put the retention ponds there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER HOFF: So I am going to support this for three reasons. One, the staff found that this is, like, a that this is on the noncontributing structure list. I think that that's very important. Also, it is in a very unique placement, virtually surrounded by water. And there is a plan for the greater good use of that space to be incorporated into the Hogan's Creek renovation. So those are the reasons why I will be supporting this. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, again, obviously, we don't like to approve any demolitions. We're all here to do whatever we can to save historic infrastructure and the fabric of the city, but I think, for me, the fact that this is a floodplain looking at how it seems like climate and everything is going, I'm suspecting that it's going to get worse. I know that the Emerald Trail is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	towards the building, all the landscaping had to be brought up when they built the conservation center because you can see it from Main Street. So all of this landscaping was installed in 1996, so it's very pretty, it's very lovely, but it came along with the conservation center as part of that permit to do that building, so she got a lovely new dress, but, unfortunately, she's she's been in hospice for a long time, so THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the applicant? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, in your conversations with Groundwork, I understand there's some remediation of the creek as well, and does that plan would that resolve any of these flooding issues? MS. BACMEISTER: From my professional opinion, there's nothing going to fix the flooding issues because of the retention ponds on both sides of it, and the the proximity of the creek to the building, we're in a floodplain. I and I think that's why they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER HOFF: So I am going to support this for three reasons. One, the staff found that this is, like, a that this is on the noncontributing structure list. I think that that's very important. Also, it is in a very unique placement, virtually surrounded by water. And there is a plan for the greater good use of that space to be incorporated into the Hogan's Creek renovation. So those are the reasons why I will be supporting this. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, again, obviously, we don't like to approve any demolitions. We're all here to do whatever we can to save historic infrastructure and the fabric of the city, but I think, for me, the fact that this is a floodplain looking at how it seems like climate and everything is going, I'm suspecting that it's going to get worse.

 89 1 with these creeks to create a floodplain so 2 that it doesn't flood buildings. It actually 3 helps that scenario. I think that that's what 4 this would become, would be just a helpful area 5 for flood waters to go so that other buildings 6 don't get put in harm's way. 	91
 2 that it doesn't flood buildings. It actually 3 helps that scenario. I think that that's what 4 this would become, would be just a helpful area 5 for flood waters to go so that other buildings 2 MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to th 3 Commission, if you recall, last month, this 4 application was brought in relation to the 5 construction of a two-story brick addition 	
 3 helps that scenario. I think that that's what 4 this would become, would be just a helpful area 5 for flood waters to go so that other buildings 3 Commission, if you recall, last month, this 4 application was brought in relation to the 5 construction of a two-story brick addition 	
 3 helps that scenario. I think that that's what 4 this would become, would be just a helpful area 5 for flood waters to go so that other buildings 3 Commission, if you recall, last month, this 4 application was brought in relation to the 5 construction of a two-story brick addition 	e
4 this would become, would be just a helpful area4 application was brought in relation to the5 for flood waters to go so that other buildings5 construction of a two-story brick addition	
5for flood waters to go so that other buildings5construction of a two-story brick addition	-
, 5 1	•
8an enormous problem as well, so I would be in8structure, the application of limewash, an	a
9 support of taking this down just because of9 some other scopes of work.	
10 those issues.10There were some points of concern	
11 COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the acting 11 regarding the driveway, the sidewalks, and	
12chair, I agree with Commissioners Hoff and12application of limewash on the brick. So	since
13Epstein. This is a safety issue with the13then, the applicant had provided some	
14 building, it's a flooding issue. I don't see 14 additional evidence, and so that evidence	was
15 much use in saving this building, even 15 presented to staff yesterday. Of course, t	the
16 though I hate to do it, but it's I think 16 book went out last Friday, so we didn't ha	ive an
17 it's, as Commissioner Hoff said, in the greater 17 opportunity to update our staff report or	
18 good. 18 anything of that sort, but we do not have	any
19 COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the acting 19 objections to the evidence that they appli	•
20 chair, I agree with the previous commissioner's 20 or supplied.	
21statements on the matter, and I support the21The first document is some correspondence	Idence
22 demolition because of the kind of unique set of 22 regarding the driveway. They wanted to 2	
 23 circumstances associated with this case. 23 alter the driveway to show brick pavers and the driveway to show brick pavers and	
2324Thanks.24width piece. Again, we don't have any	iu the
25 THE CHAIRMAN: I think I tend to agree 25 objections regarding that. Diam M Taxis Inc. Dark Office Dark 0000 Diam M Taxis Inc. Dark Office Dark 0000 Diam M Taxis Inc. Dark Office Dark 0000	
Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jackson	ville, FL 32203
(904) 821-0300 (904) 821-0300	
90	92
1with the statements made by my fellow1There was also a condition in our state	T
2 commissioners on this one.2 report regarding no alteration of the	
3 I think also if you look at the just 3 sidewalks. Again, we do not have any ob	jection
4the elevation, the exterior of the building,4to that striking that condition as well.	
5it's I know it's subjective of me to say,5Lastly, it's just the limewash, so they	,
6 but I'm not sure it's of real significance in 6 provided some evidence regarding just th	е
7 an historic way. It's sort of a utilitarian 7 history of the limewash and how it's consi	stent
8 building. If it were of a different kind of 8 with the National Park Service's	
9 architectural style or I might be 9 recommendations on using limewash to	- because
10 (inaudible), so I think we I think we've 10 it's a fire retardant, anti-septic,	
11 heard enough comments. We should put it to the 11 anti-fungal, odorless, and nonallergic pair	ıt,
12 vote. 12 and it can help slow the deterioration of	
13 All those in favor? 13 brick, so	
14 COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. 14 Coupled with that, they provided per	mits
15THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed?14Coupled with they provided per15THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed?15from the from 19 I would say this is	
16 COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) 16 Inom the moments of would say this is	
17THE CHAIRMAN: It's unanimous. We have17181923, that shows what the original struct	
18 approved the application, COA-24-30132, for 18 was built as a two-story, frame, stucco	
5,	
21 going to follow the agenda at this point.21 actually original to the structure or was it22 Walke just going to make to D. Drawiewalke	a
22 We're just going to move to D, Previously 22 brick veneer. 22 Defended the second by the base 22	
23 Deferred Items to be Heard. We have 23 So, again, we don't have any objection	
24COA-24-30533. This is 1826 Montgomery Place.24what they presented, and we're happy to	amend
25We'll hear from staff, a report from25our staff report and the conditions	
Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jackson	ville, FL 32203
(904) 821-0300 (904) 821-0300	

	93		95
	1 accordingly.	1	We made a change to the driveway to meet
	2 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus.	2	the condition. And the sidewalks, I just
	3 I'm going to open the public hearing.	3	showed photographs that showed that they were
	4 Do we have any questions for staff?	4	definitely substandard, especially the one that
	-	5	is near the street that's falling apart and
	6 Chair, real quick. Specifically, which items	6	dangerous.
	7 are we amending? Which numbers are we amending		Was 14 the limewash? Are you now striking
	B from their COA here?	8	the limewash or
	9 MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to	9	THE CHAIRMAN: So 14 is the sidewalk. 8
1	0 Commissioner Gregory, that's a good question.	10	and 9
1	1 We didn't get that far yet.	11	MR. LEUTHOLD: Okay.
1	I believe, if anything, Condition Number	12	THE CHAIRMAN: are the limewash.
1	3 14. So that relates to the sidewalks. This is	13	MR. LEUTHOLD: So I think we're down to
1	4 sort of stating how it shall not be altered,	14	the limewash, is the the one issue that we
1	-	15	have. And we're still asking that we be
1	-	16	allowed to put a limewash. It's a very light
1		17	coating. It hardly changes the brick at all,
1		18	but it does give us some protection to that
1		19	brick and it does allow us to tie the new brick
2		20	to the old brick better than before.
2	, , , ,	21	The owner just told me today that they
2		22	removed some of the inside of the house, around
2	3 THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, Arimus was stating	23	the front door, recently and found it rotted
2	4 that 8 and 9 and 14 he believes will be	24	and moisture damaged. So moisture is getting
2	5 stricken, but the applicant can clarify some	25	through the brick.
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	94		96
		1	
	1 others.	1	Where limewash is not a the best
	1 others.2 Any other questions for staff before we	2	Where limewash is not a the best waterproofer in the world, it is a historic
	 others. Any other questions for staff before we hear from the applicant? 	2 3	Where limewash is not a the best waterproofer in the world, it is a historic element that's been used for waterproofing for
	 others. Any other questions for staff before we hear from the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) 	2 3 4	Where limewash is not a the best waterproofer in the world, it is a historic element that's been used for waterproofing for years.
	 others. Any other questions for staff before we hear from the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If the applicant there 	2 3 4 5	Where limewash is not a the best waterproofer in the world, it is a historic element that's been used for waterproofing for years. I had a you'll see in your information
	 others. Any other questions for staff before we hear from the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If the applicant there you are. Will the applicant 	2 3 4 5 6	Where limewash is not a the best waterproofer in the world, it is a historic element that's been used for waterproofing for years. I had a you'll see in your information that you were sent from the National Park
	 others. Any other questions for staff before we hear from the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If the applicant there you are. Will the applicant (Mr. Leuthold approaches the podium.) 	2 3 4 5	Where limewash is not a the best waterproofer in the world, it is a historic element that's been used for waterproofing for years. I had a you'll see in your information that you were sent from the National Park Service stating that it you know, by filling
	 others. Any other questions for staff before we hear from the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If the applicant there you are. Will the applicant (Mr. Leuthold approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address 	2 3 4 5 6	Where limewash is not a the best waterproofer in the world, it is a historic element that's been used for waterproofing for years. I had a you'll see in your information that you were sent from the National Park Service stating that it you know, by filling the holes and making the brick a little bit
	 others. Any other questions for staff before we hear from the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If the applicant there you are. Will the applicant (Mr. Leuthold approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	Where limewash is not a the best waterproofer in the world, it is a historic element that's been used for waterproofing for years. I had a you'll see in your information that you were sent from the National Park Service stating that it you know, by filling the holes and making the brick a little bit more consistent in its in its materials,
	 others. Any other questions for staff before we hear from the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If the applicant there you are. Will the applicant (Mr. Leuthold approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Where limewash is not a the best waterproofer in the world, it is a historic element that's been used for waterproofing for years. I had a you'll see in your information that you were sent from the National Park Service stating that it you know, by filling the holes and making the brick a little bit
	 others. Any other questions for staff before we hear from the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If the applicant there you are. Will the applicant (Mr. Leuthold approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MR. LEUTHOLD: William Leuthold, 2742 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Where limewash is not a the best waterproofer in the world, it is a historic element that's been used for waterproofing for years. I had a you'll see in your information that you were sent from the National Park Service stating that it you know, by filling the holes and making the brick a little bit more consistent in its in its materials,
1	 others. Any other questions for staff before we hear from the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If the applicant there you are. Will the applicant (Mr. Leuthold approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MR. LEUTHOLD: William Leuthold, 2742 Herschel Street. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Where limewash is not a the best waterproofer in the world, it is a historic element that's been used for waterproofing for years. I had a you'll see in your information that you were sent from the National Park Service stating that it you know, by filling the holes and making the brick a little bit more consistent in its in its materials, that the water does not go through it quite as
1	 others. Any other questions for staff before we hear from the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If the applicant there you are. Will the applicant (Mr. Leuthold approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MR. LEUTHOLD: William Leuthold, 2742 Herschel Street. I'm here representing the owners. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Where limewash is not a the best waterproofer in the world, it is a historic element that's been used for waterproofing for years. I had a you'll see in your information that you were sent from the National Park Service stating that it you know, by filling the holes and making the brick a little bit more consistent in its in its materials, that the water does not go through it quite as easily. It still allows it to breathe, though,
1 1 1	 others. Any other questions for staff before we hear from the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If the applicant there you are. Will the applicant (Mr. Leuthold approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MR. LEUTHOLD: William Leuthold, 2742 Herschel Street. I'm here representing the owners. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Where limewash is not a the best waterproofer in the world, it is a historic element that's been used for waterproofing for years. I had a you'll see in your information that you were sent from the National Park Service stating that it you know, by filling the holes and making the brick a little bit more consistent in its in its materials, that the water does not go through it quite as easily. It still allows it to breathe, though, to breathe air out, so so it works.
11 11 11 11	 others. Any other questions for staff before we hear from the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If the applicant there you are. Will the applicant (Mr. Leuthold approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MR. LEUTHOLD: William Leuthold, 2742 Herschel Street. I'm here representing the owners. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Where limewash is not a the best waterproofer in the world, it is a historic element that's been used for waterproofing for years. I had a you'll see in your information that you were sent from the National Park Service stating that it you know, by filling the holes and making the brick a little bit more consistent in its in its materials, that the water does not go through it quite as easily. It still allows it to breathe, though, to breathe air out, so so it works. So we're here, really, just to to try to get you to allow us to put this very light
1 1 1 1	 others. Any other questions for staff before we hear from the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If the applicant there you are. Will the applicant (Mr. Leuthold approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MR. LEUTHOLD: William Leuthold, 2742 Herschel Street. I'm here representing the owners. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LEUTHOLD: (Complies.) 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Where limewash is not a the best waterproofer in the world, it is a historic element that's been used for waterproofing for years. I had a you'll see in your information that you were sent from the National Park Service stating that it you know, by filling the holes and making the brick a little bit more consistent in its in its materials, that the water does not go through it quite as easily. It still allows it to breathe, though, to breathe air out, so so it works. So we're here, really, just to to try to get you to allow us to put this very light lime coating on the building.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 others. Any other questions for staff before we hear from the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If the applicant there you are. Will the applicant (Mr. Leuthold approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MR. LEUTHOLD: William Leuthold, 2742 Herschel Street. I'm here representing the owners. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LEUTHOLD: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Where limewash is not a the best waterproofer in the world, it is a historic element that's been used for waterproofing for years. I had a you'll see in your information that you were sent from the National Park Service stating that it you know, by filling the holes and making the brick a little bit more consistent in its in its materials, that the water does not go through it quite as easily. It still allows it to breathe, though, to breathe air out, so so it works. So we're here, really, just to to try to get you to allow us to put this very light lime coating on the building. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 others. Any other questions for staff before we hear from the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If the applicant there you are. Will the applicant (Mr. Leuthold approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MR. LEUTHOLD: William Leuthold, 2742 Herschel Street. I'm here representing the owners. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LEUTHOLD: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Where limewash is not a the best waterproofer in the world, it is a historic element that's been used for waterproofing for years. I had a you'll see in your information that you were sent from the National Park Service stating that it you know, by filling the holes and making the brick a little bit more consistent in its in its materials, that the water does not go through it quite as easily. It still allows it to breathe, though, to breathe air out, so so it works. So we're here, really, just to to try to get you to allow us to put this very light lime coating on the building. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant?
11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 others. Any other questions for staff before we hear from the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If the applicant there you are. Will the applicant (Mr. Leuthold approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MR. LEUTHOLD: William Leuthold, 2742 Herschel Street. I'm here representing the owners. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LEUTHOLD: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Where limewash is not a the best waterproofer in the world, it is a historic element that's been used for waterproofing for years. I had a you'll see in your information that you were sent from the National Park Service stating that it you know, by filling the holes and making the brick a little bit more consistent in its in its materials, that the water does not go through it quite as easily. It still allows it to breathe, though, to breathe air out, so so it works. So we're here, really, just to to try to get you to allow us to put this very light lime coating on the building. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	 others. Any other questions for staff before we hear from the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If the applicant there you are. Will the applicant (Mr. Leuthold approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MR. LEUTHOLD: William Leuthold, 2742 Herschel Street. I'm here representing the owners. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LEUTHOLD: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Where limewash is not a the best waterproofer in the world, it is a historic element that's been used for waterproofing for years. I had a you'll see in your information that you were sent from the National Park Service stating that it you know, by filling the holes and making the brick a little bit more consistent in its in its materials, that the water does not go through it quite as easily. It still allows it to breathe, though, to breathe air out, so so it works. So we're here, really, just to to try to get you to allow us to put this very light lime coating on the building. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I have a question.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2	 others. Any other questions for staff before we hear from the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If the applicant there you are. Will the applicant (Mr. Leuthold approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MR. LEUTHOLD: William Leuthold, 2742 Herschel Street. I'm here representing the owners. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LEUTHOLD: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. LEUTHOLD: I do. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Where limewash is not a the best waterproofer in the world, it is a historic element that's been used for waterproofing for years. I had a you'll see in your information that you were sent from the National Park Service stating that it you know, by filling the holes and making the brick a little bit more consistent in its in its materials, that the water does not go through it quite as easily. It still allows it to breathe, though, to breathe air out, so so it works. So we're here, really, just to to try to get you to allow us to put this very light lime coating on the building. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I have a question. So number 8 is the limewash that's in
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2	 others. Any other questions for staff before we hear from the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If the applicant there you are. Will the applicant (Mr. Leuthold approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MR. LEUTHOLD: William Leuthold, 2742 Herschel Street. I'm here representing the owners. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LEUTHOLD: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. LEUTHOLD: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Where limewash is not a the best waterproofer in the world, it is a historic element that's been used for waterproofing for years. I had a you'll see in your information that you were sent from the National Park Service stating that it you know, by filling the holes and making the brick a little bit more consistent in its in its materials, that the water does not go through it quite as easily. It still allows it to breathe, though, to breathe air out, so so it works. So we're here, really, just to to try to get you to allow us to put this very light lime coating on the building. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I have a question. So number 8 is the limewash that's in question. Number 9 is any mortar that needs to
11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2	 others. Any other questions for staff before we hear from the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If the applicant there you are. Will the applicant (Mr. Leuthold approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MR. LEUTHOLD: William Leuthold, 2742 Herschel Street. I'm here representing the owners. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LEUTHOLD: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. LEUTHOLD: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. LEUTHOLD: First, I want to thank you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Where limewash is not a the best waterproofer in the world, it is a historic element that's been used for waterproofing for years. I had a you'll see in your information that you were sent from the National Park Service stating that it you know, by filling the holes and making the brick a little bit more consistent in its in its materials, that the water does not go through it quite as easily. It still allows it to breathe, though, to breathe air out, so so it works. So we're here, really, just to to try to get you to allow us to put this very light lime coating on the building. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I have a question. So number 8 is the limewash that's in question. Number 9 is any mortar that needs to be repointed shall adhere to the National Park
11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 12 22 22 2	 others. Any other questions for staff before we hear from the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If the applicant there you are. Will the applicant (Mr. Leuthold approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MR. LEUTHOLD: William Leuthold, 2742 Herschel Street. I'm here representing the owners. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LEUTHOLD: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. LEUTHOLD: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. LEUTHOLD: First, I want to thank you all for looking at the driveway and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Where limewash is not a the best waterproofer in the world, it is a historic element that's been used for waterproofing for years. I had a you'll see in your information that you were sent from the National Park Service stating that it you know, by filling the holes and making the brick a little bit more consistent in its in its materials, that the water does not go through it quite as easily. It still allows it to breathe, though, to breathe air out, so so it works. So we're here, really, just to to try to get you to allow us to put this very light lime coating on the building. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I have a question. So number 8 is the limewash that's in question. Number 9 is any mortar that needs to be repointed shall adhere to the National Park Service. Is there any mortar that needs to be
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2	 others. Any other questions for staff before we hear from the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If the applicant there you are. Will the applicant (Mr. Leuthold approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MR. LEUTHOLD: William Leuthold, 2742 Herschel Street. I'm here representing the owners. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LEUTHOLD: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. LEUTHOLD: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. LEUTHOLD: First, I want to thank you all for looking at the driveway and the sidewalk and allowing us to go ahead and make	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Where limewash is not a the best waterproofer in the world, it is a historic element that's been used for waterproofing for years. I had a you'll see in your information that you were sent from the National Park Service stating that it you know, by filling the holes and making the brick a little bit more consistent in its in its materials, that the water does not go through it quite as easily. It still allows it to breathe, though, to breathe air out, so so it works. So we're here, really, just to to try to get you to allow us to put this very light lime coating on the building. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I have a question. So number 8 is the limewash that's in question. Number 9 is any mortar that needs to be repointed shall adhere to the National Park Service. Is there any mortar that needs to be repointed?
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2	 others. Any other questions for staff before we hear from the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If the applicant there you are. Will the applicant (Mr. Leuthold approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MR. LEUTHOLD: William Leuthold, 2742 Herschel Street. I'm here representing the owners. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LEUTHOLD: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. LEUTHOLD: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. LEUTHOLD: First, I want to thank you all for looking at the driveway and the sidewalk and allowing us to go ahead and make	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Where limewash is not a the best waterproofer in the world, it is a historic element that's been used for waterproofing for years. I had a you'll see in your information that you were sent from the National Park Service stating that it you know, by filling the holes and making the brick a little bit more consistent in its in its materials, that the water does not go through it quite as easily. It still allows it to breathe, though, to breathe air out, so so it works. So we're here, really, just to to try to get you to allow us to put this very light lime coating on the building. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I have a question. So number 8 is the limewash that's in question. Number 9 is any mortar that needs to be repointed shall adhere to the National Park Service. Is there any mortar that needs to be repointed? MR. LEUTHOLD: There are a few areas that
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2	 others. Any other questions for staff before we hear from the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If the applicant there you are. Will the applicant (Mr. Leuthold approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. MR. LEUTHOLD: William Leuthold, 2742 Herschel Street. I'm here representing the owners. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. LEUTHOLD: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. LEUTHOLD: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. LEUTHOLD: First, I want to thank you all for looking at the driveway and the sidewalk and allowing us to go ahead and make	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Where limewash is not a the best waterproofer in the world, it is a historic element that's been used for waterproofing for years. I had a you'll see in your information that you were sent from the National Park Service stating that it you know, by filling the holes and making the brick a little bit more consistent in its in its materials, that the water does not go through it quite as easily. It still allows it to breathe, though, to breathe air out, so so it works. So we're here, really, just to to try to get you to allow us to put this very light lime coating on the building. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I have a question. So number 8 is the limewash that's in question. Number 9 is any mortar that needs to be repointed shall adhere to the National Park Service. Is there any mortar that needs to be repointed?

(904) 821-0300

City of Jacksonville Historic Preservation Commission

(904) 821-0300

June 26, 2024 Uncertified Condensed Copy

95

Histor	ic Preservation Commission	r	Uncertified Condensed Copy
	97		99
1	do, yes. There's some there was an addition	1	years ago and was told the house was originally
2	put on the back of the building that some	2	stucco and that the brick was kind of added
3	holes and some torn up work, and then around	3	haphazardly in a way that there were some
4	the front porch currently, the where water	4	issues the windows are deeply recessed and
5	runs off the roof, it has eroded some of that	5	there's parts of the brick that maybe weren't
6	mortar.	6	put on in a way that keeps the rest of the wood
7	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So then as you	7	elements safe. And there wasn't gutters on the
8	said	8	house for a very long time, so the front of the
9	MR. LEUTHOLD: And there oh, there's	9	house stayed wet for long periods of time. And
10	some other areas where the shutters were	10	some brick masons have said there's been
11	installed, they used little sleeves that are in	11	spalling, which I'm not totally familiar with,
12	the brick permanently that we want to pull out.	12	but I believe is the deterioration of brick in
13	We can	13	spots.
14	You know, we'd like to make the house	14	So there will be a lot of improvement that
15	really nice. This is a really nice addition on	15	we'll have to do on the bricks, and we're a
16	the house. We want to bring it up to real high	16	little bit concerned on matching the brick,
	standards. And if we can pull that stuff out,		especially when we pull off the portico which
17	and if we can put the limewash on it, it helps	17	has inside of our front all around our
18	conceal that and makes it where the the	18	
19		19	front door is rotten, so that will be have to
20	structure will be just the the high quality	20	be pulled off and fixed, and we're a little bit
21	that we want.	21	nervous about it looking like an eyesore by
22	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So I think it's	22	trying to match the bricks or fixing holes.
23	really just 8 and 14 that are in question, to	23	There was a large wood patio in the back
24	be stricken.	24	and a tiki bar that they attached to the house
25	Any questions for the applicant?	25	with huge metal rods that left holes in the
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	98		100
1	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)	1	brick the size of, like, maybe an Oreo. And
2	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No?	2	brick the size of, like, maybe an Oreo. And there's just kind of things all around the
2 3	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? MR. LEUTHOLD: There are	2 3	brick the size of, like, maybe an Oreo. And there's just kind of things all around the house that look like that, so we're hoping that
2 3 4	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? MR. LEUTHOLD: There are THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead.	2 3 4	brick the size of, like, maybe an Oreo. And there's just kind of things all around the house that look like that, so we're hoping that a light coating of limewash would right a
2 3	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? MR. LEUTHOLD: There are THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead. MR. LEUTHOLD: I think the homeowner would	2 3	brick the size of, like, maybe an Oreo. And there's just kind of things all around the house that look like that, so we're hoping that a light coating of limewash would right a wrong, we're hoping, and make it look cohesive
2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? MR. LEUTHOLD: There are THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead. MR. LEUTHOLD: I think the homeowner would like to speak too.	2 3 4	brick the size of, like, maybe an Oreo. And there's just kind of things all around the house that look like that, so we're hoping that a light coating of limewash would right a wrong, we're hoping, and make it look cohesive and kind of we're searching for an
2 3 4 5	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? MR. LEUTHOLD: There are THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead. MR. LEUTHOLD: I think the homeowner would like to speak too. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. We may	2 3 4 5	brick the size of, like, maybe an Oreo. And there's just kind of things all around the house that look like that, so we're hoping that a light coating of limewash would right a wrong, we're hoping, and make it look cohesive and kind of we're searching for an historically accurate way to make the house
2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? MR. LEUTHOLD: There are THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead. MR. LEUTHOLD: I think the homeowner would like to speak too. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. We may call you back up.	2 3 4 5 6	brick the size of, like, maybe an Oreo. And there's just kind of things all around the house that look like that, so we're hoping that a light coating of limewash would right a wrong, we're hoping, and make it look cohesive and kind of we're searching for an historically accurate way to make the house look like it makes sense and kind of not
2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? MR. LEUTHOLD: There are THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead. MR. LEUTHOLD: I think the homeowner would like to speak too. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. We may call you back up. MR. LEUTHOLD: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7	brick the size of, like, maybe an Oreo. And there's just kind of things all around the house that look like that, so we're hoping that a light coating of limewash would right a wrong, we're hoping, and make it look cohesive and kind of we're searching for an historically accurate way to make the house look like it makes sense and kind of not compete with the other historic houses on our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? MR. LEUTHOLD: There are THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead. MR. LEUTHOLD: I think the homeowner would like to speak too. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. We may call you back up. MR. LEUTHOLD: Thank you. (Audience member approaches the podium.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	brick the size of, like, maybe an Oreo. And there's just kind of things all around the house that look like that, so we're hoping that a light coating of limewash would right a wrong, we're hoping, and make it look cohesive and kind of we're searching for an historically accurate way to make the house look like it makes sense and kind of not compete with the other historic houses on our street, but if you do compare our brick to some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? MR. LEUTHOLD: There are THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead. MR. LEUTHOLD: I think the homeowner would like to speak too. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. We may call you back up. MR. LEUTHOLD: Thank you. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	brick the size of, like, maybe an Oreo. And there's just kind of things all around the house that look like that, so we're hoping that a light coating of limewash would right a wrong, we're hoping, and make it look cohesive and kind of we're searching for an historically accurate way to make the house look like it makes sense and kind of not compete with the other historic houses on our street, but if you do compare our brick to some of the other houses there's not a lot of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? MR. LEUTHOLD: There are THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead. MR. LEUTHOLD: I think the homeowner would like to speak too. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. We may call you back up. MR. LEUTHOLD: Thank you. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	brick the size of, like, maybe an Oreo. And there's just kind of things all around the house that look like that, so we're hoping that a light coating of limewash would right a wrong, we're hoping, and make it look cohesive and kind of we're searching for an historically accurate way to make the house look like it makes sense and kind of not compete with the other historic houses on our street, but if you do compare our brick to some of the other houses there's not a lot of the nice, really stonework or archways over the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? MR. LEUTHOLD: There are THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead. MR. LEUTHOLD: I think the homeowner would like to speak too. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. We may call you back up. MR. LEUTHOLD: Thank you. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hi.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	brick the size of, like, maybe an Oreo. And there's just kind of things all around the house that look like that, so we're hoping that a light coating of limewash would right a wrong, we're hoping, and make it look cohesive and kind of we're searching for an historically accurate way to make the house look like it makes sense and kind of not compete with the other historic houses on our street, but if you do compare our brick to some of the other houses there's not a lot of the nice, really stonework or archways over the window or, you know, some of the elements that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? MR. LEUTHOLD: There are THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead. MR. LEUTHOLD: I think the homeowner would like to speak too. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. We may call you back up. MR. LEUTHOLD: Thank you. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hi. I'm Leigh Long, 1826 Montgomery Place.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	brick the size of, like, maybe an Oreo. And there's just kind of things all around the house that look like that, so we're hoping that a light coating of limewash would right a wrong, we're hoping, and make it look cohesive and kind of we're searching for an historically accurate way to make the house look like it makes sense and kind of not compete with the other historic houses on our street, but if you do compare our brick to some of the other houses there's not a lot of the nice, really stonework or archways over the window or, you know, some of the elements that you would expect to find in a hundred-year-old
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? MR. LEUTHOLD: There are THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead. MR. LEUTHOLD: I think the homeowner would like to speak too. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. We may call you back up. MR. LEUTHOLD: Thank you. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hi. I'm Leigh Long, 1826 Montgomery Place. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	brick the size of, like, maybe an Oreo. And there's just kind of things all around the house that look like that, so we're hoping that a light coating of limewash would right a wrong, we're hoping, and make it look cohesive and kind of we're searching for an historically accurate way to make the house look like it makes sense and kind of not compete with the other historic houses on our street, but if you do compare our brick to some of the other houses there's not a lot of the nice, really stonework or archways over the window or, you know, some of the elements that you would expect to find in a hundred-year-old house. So we're kind of hoping this would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? MR. LEUTHOLD: There are THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead. MR. LEUTHOLD: I think the homeowner would like to speak too. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. We may call you back up. MR. LEUTHOLD: Thank you. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hi. I'm Leigh Long, 1826 Montgomery Place. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	brick the size of, like, maybe an Oreo. And there's just kind of things all around the house that look like that, so we're hoping that a light coating of limewash would right a wrong, we're hoping, and make it look cohesive and kind of we're searching for an historically accurate way to make the house look like it makes sense and kind of not compete with the other historic houses on our street, but if you do compare our brick to some of the other houses there's not a lot of the nice, really stonework or archways over the window or, you know, some of the elements that you would expect to find in a hundred-year-old house. So we're kind of hoping this would bring it up to speed with the other houses on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? MR. LEUTHOLD: There are THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead. MR. LEUTHOLD: I think the homeowner would like to speak too. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. We may call you back up. MR. LEUTHOLD: Thank you. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hi. I'm Leigh Long, 1826 Montgomery Place. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. LONG: (Complies.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	brick the size of, like, maybe an Oreo. And there's just kind of things all around the house that look like that, so we're hoping that a light coating of limewash would right a wrong, we're hoping, and make it look cohesive and kind of we're searching for an historically accurate way to make the house look like it makes sense and kind of not compete with the other historic houses on our street, but if you do compare our brick to some of the other houses there's not a lot of the nice, really stonework or archways over the window or, you know, some of the elements that you would expect to find in a hundred-year-old house. So we're kind of hoping this would bring it up to speed with the other houses on our on our street, make it look like it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? MR. LEUTHOLD: There are THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead. MR. LEUTHOLD: I think the homeowner would like to speak too. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. We may call you back up. MR. LEUTHOLD: Thank you. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hi. I'm Leigh Long, 1826 Montgomery Place. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. LONG: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	brick the size of, like, maybe an Oreo. And there's just kind of things all around the house that look like that, so we're hoping that a light coating of limewash would right a wrong, we're hoping, and make it look cohesive and kind of we're searching for an historically accurate way to make the house look like it makes sense and kind of not compete with the other historic houses on our street, but if you do compare our brick to some of the other houses there's not a lot of the nice, really stonework or archways over the window or, you know, some of the elements that you would expect to find in a hundred-year-old house. So we're kind of hoping this would bring it up to speed with the other houses on our on our street, make it look like it belongs a little bit more, I guess.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? MR. LEUTHOLD: There are THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead. MR. LEUTHOLD: I think the homeowner would like to speak too. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. We may call you back up. MR. LEUTHOLD: Thank you. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hi. I'm Leigh Long, 1826 Montgomery Place. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. LONG: (Complies.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	brick the size of, like, maybe an Oreo. And there's just kind of things all around the house that look like that, so we're hoping that a light coating of limewash would right a wrong, we're hoping, and make it look cohesive and kind of we're searching for an historically accurate way to make the house look like it makes sense and kind of not compete with the other historic houses on our street, but if you do compare our brick to some of the other houses there's not a lot of the nice, really stonework or archways over the window or, you know, some of the elements that you would expect to find in a hundred-year-old house. So we're kind of hoping this would bring it up to speed with the other houses on our on our street, make it look like it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? MR. LEUTHOLD: There are THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead. MR. LEUTHOLD: I think the homeowner would like to speak too. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. We may call you back up. MR. LEUTHOLD: Thank you. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hi. I'm Leigh Long, 1826 Montgomery Place. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. LONG: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	brick the size of, like, maybe an Oreo. And there's just kind of things all around the house that look like that, so we're hoping that a light coating of limewash would right a wrong, we're hoping, and make it look cohesive and kind of we're searching for an historically accurate way to make the house look like it makes sense and kind of not compete with the other historic houses on our street, but if you do compare our brick to some of the other houses there's not a lot of the nice, really stonework or archways over the window or, you know, some of the elements that you would expect to find in a hundred-year-old house. So we're kind of hoping this would bring it up to speed with the other houses on our on our street, make it look like it belongs a little bit more, I guess. And there was one house in the historic district that it actually happens to be my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? MR. LEUTHOLD: There are THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead. MR. LEUTHOLD: I think the homeowner would like to speak too. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. We may call you back up. MR. LEUTHOLD: Thank you. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hi. I'm Leigh Long, 1826 Montgomery Place. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. LONG: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	brick the size of, like, maybe an Oreo. And there's just kind of things all around the house that look like that, so we're hoping that a light coating of limewash would right a wrong, we're hoping, and make it look cohesive and kind of we're searching for an historically accurate way to make the house look like it makes sense and kind of not compete with the other historic houses on our street, but if you do compare our brick to some of the other houses there's not a lot of the nice, really stonework or archways over the window or, you know, some of the elements that you would expect to find in a hundred-year-old house. So we're kind of hoping this would bring it up to speed with the other houses on our on our street, make it look like it belongs a little bit more, I guess. And there was one house in the historic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? MR. LEUTHOLD: There are THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead. MR. LEUTHOLD: I think the homeowner would like to speak too. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. We may call you back up. MR. LEUTHOLD: Thank you. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hi. I'm Leigh Long, 1826 Montgomery Place. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. LONG: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	brick the size of, like, maybe an Oreo. And there's just kind of things all around the house that look like that, so we're hoping that a light coating of limewash would right a wrong, we're hoping, and make it look cohesive and kind of we're searching for an historically accurate way to make the house look like it makes sense and kind of not compete with the other historic houses on our street, but if you do compare our brick to some of the other houses there's not a lot of the nice, really stonework or archways over the window or, you know, some of the elements that you would expect to find in a hundred-year-old house. So we're kind of hoping this would bring it up to speed with the other houses on our on our street, make it look like it belongs a little bit more, I guess. And there was one house in the historic district that it actually happens to be my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? MR. LEUTHOLD: There are THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead. MR. LEUTHOLD: I think the homeowner would like to speak too. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. We may call you back up. MR. LEUTHOLD: Thank you. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hi. I'm Leigh Long, 1826 Montgomery Place. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. LONG: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	brick the size of, like, maybe an Oreo. And there's just kind of things all around the house that look like that, so we're hoping that a light coating of limewash would right a wrong, we're hoping, and make it look cohesive and kind of we're searching for an historically accurate way to make the house look like it makes sense and kind of not compete with the other historic houses on our street, but if you do compare our brick to some of the other houses there's not a lot of the nice, really stonework or archways over the window or, you know, some of the elements that you would expect to find in a hundred-year-old house. So we're kind of hoping this would bring it up to speed with the other houses on our on our street, make it look like it belongs a little bit more, I guess. And there was one house in the historic district that it actually happens to be my mother-in-law's house that was approved for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? MR. LEUTHOLD: There are THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead. MR. LEUTHOLD: I think the homeowner would like to speak too. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. We may call you back up. MR. LEUTHOLD: Thank you. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hi. I'm Leigh Long, 1826 Montgomery Place. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. LONG: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. LONG: I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	brick the size of, like, maybe an Oreo. And there's just kind of things all around the house that look like that, so we're hoping that a light coating of limewash would right a wrong, we're hoping, and make it look cohesive and kind of we're searching for an historically accurate way to make the house look like it makes sense and kind of not compete with the other historic houses on our street, but if you do compare our brick to some of the other houses there's not a lot of the nice, really stonework or archways over the window or, you know, some of the elements that you would expect to find in a hundred-year-old house. So we're kind of hoping this would bring it up to speed with the other houses on our on our street, make it look like it belongs a little bit more, I guess. And there was one house in the historic district that it actually happens to be my mother-in-law's house that was approved for limewash. If you're curious about the address,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? MR. LEUTHOLD: There are THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead. MR. LEUTHOLD: I think the homeowner would like to speak too. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. We may call you back up. MR. LEUTHOLD: Thank you. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hi. I'm Leigh Long, 1826 Montgomery Place. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. LONG: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. LONG: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	brick the size of, like, maybe an Oreo. And there's just kind of things all around the house that look like that, so we're hoping that a light coating of limewash would right a wrong, we're hoping, and make it look cohesive and kind of we're searching for an historically accurate way to make the house look like it makes sense and kind of not compete with the other historic houses on our street, but if you do compare our brick to some of the other houses there's not a lot of the nice, really stonework or archways over the window or, you know, some of the elements that you would expect to find in a hundred-year-old house. So we're kind of hoping this would bring it up to speed with the other houses on our on our street, make it look like it belongs a little bit more, I guess. And there was one house in the historic district that it actually happens to be my mother-in-law's house that was approved for limewash. If you're curious about the address, it was 2137 River Boulevard. She also came
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? MR. LEUTHOLD: There are THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead. MR. LEUTHOLD: I think the homeowner would like to speak too. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. We may call you back up. MR. LEUTHOLD: Thank you. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hi. I'm Leigh Long, 1826 Montgomery Place. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. LONG: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. LONG: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. LONG: So I hope I'm not being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	brick the size of, like, maybe an Oreo. And there's just kind of things all around the house that look like that, so we're hoping that a light coating of limewash would right a wrong, we're hoping, and make it look cohesive and kind of we're searching for an historically accurate way to make the house look like it makes sense and kind of not compete with the other historic houses on our street, but if you do compare our brick to some of the other houses there's not a lot of the nice, really stonework or archways over the window or, you know, some of the elements that you would expect to find in a hundred-year-old house. So we're kind of hoping this would bring it up to speed with the other houses on our on our street, make it look like it belongs a little bit more, I guess. And there was one house in the historic district that it actually happens to be my mother-in-law's house that was approved for limewash. If you're curious about the address, it was 2137 River Boulevard. She also came before this committee, and I don't know which

-	Jacksonville c Preservation Commission		June 26, 2024 Uncertified Condensed Copy
	101		103
1	limewash on her house, and it turned out well	1	say, this was originally a stucco house. I
2	and we were hoping to get the same approval.	2	would be okay with even more of a deeper
3	So that's it.	3	limewash instead of a light just in my
4	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	4	opinion, if we're if we're telling them that
5	MS. LONG: You're welcome.	5	they can do a limewash because it was a stucco
6	THE CHAIRMAN: Questions of the speaker?	6	house, then that's just my thoughts.
	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)	-	COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the acting
7		7	u u
8	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	8	chair, I agree with Commissioner Epstein. It
9	MS. LONG: Okay. Thank you.	9	looks like 8 currently reads, "Limewash
10	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here	10	shall not be applied to the exterior of the
11	to speak on this COA?	11	building." You could just eliminate
12	AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)	12	(inaudible).
13	THE CHAIRMAN: I'll close the public	13	(Reporter inquiry.)
14	hearing. I'll entertain a motion.	14	COMMISSIONER GLOBER: And then it would
15	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Before I make a	15	read, "Limewash shall be applied to the
16	motion, I'd like to ask a quick question of	16	exterior of the building." That might be the
17	staff.	17	easiest way to do it.
18	So if we are striking Condition 14 and 8	18	THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. I, frankly, am
19	potentially, do we need to add in an approval	19	I'm impartial to it also because of the history
20	for limewash or	20	of limewash being used by the National Park
21	MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to	21	Service. I mean, it has a kind of historic
22	Commissioner Gregory, yes. So some language	22	nature in its use. And the evidence of the
23	needs to be added in.	23	brick home, the bricks falling, you know, brick
24	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay.	24	chipping off the building because of water
25	THE CHAIRMAN: I think we first need to	25	entering the brick and making it spall, as well
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	· /		
	102		104
1		1	
1 2	102 make a motion on the COA and then we can amend	1	104
	102		104 as the deterioration that's been found by the
2	102 make a motion on the COA and then we can amend it during the discussion.	2	104 as the deterioration that's been found by the owner and the architect, I think it's it seems logical and also appropriate
2 3	102 make a motion on the COA and then we can amend it during the discussion. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure. Motion to approve COA-24-30533 at 1826	2 3	104 as the deterioration that's been found by the owner and the architect, I think it's it seems logical and also appropriate historically.
2 3 4	102 make a motion on the COA and then we can amend it during the discussion. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure. Motion to approve COA-24-30533 at 1826 Montgomery Place, approve with conditions.	2 3 4	104 as the deterioration that's been found by the owner and the architect, I think it's it seems logical and also appropriate historically. Do I hear an amendment?
2 3 4 5	102 make a motion on the COA and then we can amend it during the discussion. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure. Motion to approve COA-24-30533 at 1826	2 3 4 5	104 as the deterioration that's been found by the owner and the architect, I think it's it seems logical and also appropriate historically. Do I hear an amendment? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll amend the
2 3 4 5 6	102 make a motion on the COA and then we can amend it during the discussion. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure. Motion to approve COA-24-30533 at 1826 Montgomery Place, approve with conditions. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion?	2 3 4 5 6	104 as the deterioration that's been found by the owner and the architect, I think it's it seems logical and also appropriate historically. Do I hear an amendment? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll amend the motion, then.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	102 make a motion on the COA and then we can amend it during the discussion. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure. Motion to approve COA-24-30533 at 1826 Montgomery Place, approve with conditions. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'm personally okay	2 3 4 5 6 7	104 as the deterioration that's been found by the owner and the architect, I think it's it seems logical and also appropriate historically. Do I hear an amendment? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll amend the motion, then. Amend the motion, COA-24-30533, for 1826
2 3 4 5 6 7	102 make a motion on the COA and then we can amend it during the discussion. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure. Motion to approve COA-24-30533 at 1826 Montgomery Place, approve with conditions. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'm personally okay with striking 14 and 8 from the conditions and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	104 as the deterioration that's been found by the owner and the architect, I think it's it seems logical and also appropriate historically. Do I hear an amendment? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll amend the motion, then. Amend the motion, COA-24-30533, for 1826 Montgomery Place, striking Condition 14, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	102 make a motion on the COA and then we can amend it during the discussion. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure. Motion to approve COA-24-30533 at 1826 Montgomery Place, approve with conditions. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'm personally okay with striking 14 and 8 from the conditions and adding in the limewash. I think adding in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	104 as the deterioration that's been found by the owner and the architect, I think it's it seems logical and also appropriate historically. Do I hear an amendment? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll amend the motion, then. Amend the motion, COA-24-30533, for 1826 Montgomery Place, striking Condition 14, and revising Condition 8 so that limewash shall be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	102 make a motion on the COA and then we can amend it during the discussion. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure. Motion to approve COA-24-30533 at 1826 Montgomery Place, approve with conditions. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'm personally okay with striking 14 and 8 from the conditions and adding in the limewash. I think adding in the limewash will help the consistency of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	104 as the deterioration that's been found by the owner and the architect, I think it's it seems logical and also appropriate historically. Do I hear an amendment? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll amend the motion, then. Amend the motion, COA-24-30533, for 1826 Montgomery Place, striking Condition 14, and revising Condition 8 so that limewash shall be applied to the exterior of the building, a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	102 make a motion on the COA and then we can amend it during the discussion. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure. Motion to approve COA-24-30533 at 1826 Montgomery Place, approve with conditions. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'm personally okay with striking 14 and 8 from the conditions and adding in the limewash. I think adding in the limewash will help the consistency of the brick. With it not being originally a brick	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	104 as the deterioration that's been found by the owner and the architect, I think it's it seems logical and also appropriate historically. Do I hear an amendment? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll amend the motion, then. Amend the motion, COA-24-30533, for 1826 Montgomery Place, striking Condition 14, and revising Condition 8 so that limewash shall be applied to the exterior of the building, a light limewash we're going to call it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	102 make a motion on the COA and then we can amend it during the discussion. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure. Motion to approve COA-24-30533 at 1826 Montgomery Place, approve with conditions. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'm personally okay with striking 14 and 8 from the conditions and adding in the limewash. I think adding in the limewash will help the consistency of the brick. With it not being originally a brick house, I think, plays some part in it, not a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	104 as the deterioration that's been found by the owner and the architect, I think it's it seems logical and also appropriate historically. Do I hear an amendment? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll amend the motion, then. Amend the motion, COA-24-30533, for 1826 Montgomery Place, striking Condition 14, and revising Condition 8 so that limewash shall be applied to the exterior of the building, a light limewash we're going to call it. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	102 make a motion on the COA and then we can amend it during the discussion. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure. Motion to approve COA-24-30533 at 1826 Montgomery Place, approve with conditions. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'm personally okay with striking 14 and 8 from the conditions and adding in the limewash. I think adding in the limewash will help the consistency of the brick. With it not being originally a brick house, I think, plays some part in it, not a huge part, but I do think having an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	104 as the deterioration that's been found by the owner and the architect, I think it's it seems logical and also appropriate historically. Do I hear an amendment? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll amend the motion, then. Amend the motion, COA-24-30533, for 1826 Montgomery Place, striking Condition 14, and revising Condition 8 so that limewash shall be applied to the exterior of the building, a light limewash we're going to call it. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Gregory, did you say light
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	102 make a motion on the COA and then we can amend it during the discussion. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure. Motion to approve COA-24-30533 at 1826 Montgomery Place, approve with conditions. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'm personally okay with striking 14 and 8 from the conditions and adding in the limewash. I think adding in the limewash will help the consistency of the brick. With it not being originally a brick house, I think, plays some part in it, not a huge part, but I do think having an historically accurate restoration of the brick	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	104 as the deterioration that's been found by the owner and the architect, I think it's it seems logical and also appropriate historically. Do I hear an amendment? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll amend the motion, then. Amend the motion, COA-24-30533, for 1826 Montgomery Place, striking Condition 14, and revising Condition 8 so that limewash shall be applied to the exterior of the building, a light limewash we're going to call it. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Gregory, did you say light limewash? Could you repeat that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	102 make a motion on the COA and then we can amend it during the discussion. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure. Motion to approve COA-24-30533 at 1826 Montgomery Place, approve with conditions. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'm personally okay with striking 14 and 8 from the conditions and adding in the limewash. I think adding in the limewash will help the consistency of the brick. With it not being originally a brick house, I think, plays some part in it, not a huge part, but I do think having an historically accurate restoration of the brick with the limewash as long as we can be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	104 as the deterioration that's been found by the owner and the architect, I think it's it seems logical and also appropriate historically. Do I hear an amendment? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll amend the motion, then. Amend the motion, COA-24-30533, for 1826 Montgomery Place, striking Condition 14, and revising Condition 8 so that limewash shall be applied to the exterior of the building, a light limewash we're going to call it. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Gregory, did you say light limewash? Could you repeat that? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Like a light
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	102 make a motion on the COA and then we can amend it during the discussion. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure. Motion to approve COA-24-30533 at 1826 Montgomery Place, approve with conditions. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'm personally okay with striking 14 and 8 from the conditions and adding in the limewash. I think adding in the limewash will help the consistency of the brick. With it not being originally a brick house, I think, plays some part in it, not a huge part, but I do think having an historically accurate restoration of the brick with the limewash as long as we can be assured it's a light limewash. We don't want a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	104 as the deterioration that's been found by the owner and the architect, I think it's it seems logical and also appropriate historically. Do I hear an amendment? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll amend the motion, then. Amend the motion, COA-24-30533, for 1826 Montgomery Place, striking Condition 14, and revising Condition 8 so that limewash shall be applied to the exterior of the building, a light limewash we're going to call it. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Gregory, did you say light limewash? Could you repeat that? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Like a light coating. I think they they described it in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	102 make a motion on the COA and then we can amend it during the discussion. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure. Motion to approve COA-24-30533 at 1826 Montgomery Place, approve with conditions. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'm personally okay with striking 14 and 8 from the conditions and adding in the limewash. I think adding in the limewash will help the consistency of the brick. With it not being originally a brick house, I think, plays some part in it, not a huge part, but I do think having an historically accurate restoration of the brick with the limewash as long as we can be assured it's a light limewash. We don't want a White House over there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	104 as the deterioration that's been found by the owner and the architect, I think it's it seems logical and also appropriate historically. Do I hear an amendment? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll amend the motion, then. Amend the motion, COA-24-30533, for 1826 Montgomery Place, striking Condition 14, and revising Condition 8 so that limewash shall be applied to the exterior of the building, a light limewash we're going to call it. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Gregory, did you say light limewash? Could you repeat that? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Like a light coating. I think they they described it in their report that they wanted to do a light
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	102 make a motion on the COA and then we can amend it during the discussion. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure. Motion to approve COA-24-30533 at 1826 Montgomery Place, approve with conditions. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'm personally okay with striking 14 and 8 from the conditions and adding in the limewash. I think adding in the limewash will help the consistency of the brick. With it not being originally a brick house, I think, plays some part in it, not a huge part, but I do think having an historically accurate restoration of the brick with the limewash as long as we can be assured it's a light limewash. We don't want a White House over there. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. Pending staff	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	104 as the deterioration that's been found by the owner and the architect, I think it's it seems logical and also appropriate historically. Do I hear an amendment? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll amend the motion, then. Amend the motion, COA-24-30533, for 1826 Montgomery Place, striking Condition 14, and revising Condition 8 so that limewash shall be applied to the exterior of the building, a light limewash we're going to call it. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Gregory, did you say light limewash? Could you repeat that? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Like a light coating. I think they they described it in their report that they wanted to do a light coating, so I'm just reflecting what they're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	102 make a motion on the COA and then we can amend it during the discussion. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure. Motion to approve COA-24-30533 at 1826 Montgomery Place, approve with conditions. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'm personally okay with striking 14 and 8 from the conditions and adding in the limewash. I think adding in the limewash will help the consistency of the brick. With it not being originally a brick house, I think, plays some part in it, not a huge part, but I do think having an historically accurate restoration of the brick with the limewash as long as we can be assured it's a light limewash. We don't want a White House over there. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. Pending staff approval	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	104 as the deterioration that's been found by the owner and the architect, I think it's it seems logical and also appropriate historically. Do I hear an amendment? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll amend the motion, then. Amend the motion, COA-24-30533, for 1826 Montgomery Place, striking Condition 14, and revising Condition 8 so that limewash shall be applied to the exterior of the building, a light limewash we're going to call it. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Gregory, did you say light limewash? Could you repeat that? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Like a light coating. I think they they described it in their report that they wanted to do a light coating, so I'm just reflecting what they're what they've requested, I guess, is my point.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	102 make a motion on the COA and then we can amend it during the discussion. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure. Motion to approve COA-24-30533 at 1826 Montgomery Place, approve with conditions. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'm personally okay with striking 14 and 8 from the conditions and adding in the limewash. I think adding in the limewash will help the consistency of the brick. With it not being originally a brick house, I think, plays some part in it, not a huge part, but I do think having an historically accurate restoration of the brick with the limewash as long as we can be assured it's a light limewash. We don't want a White House over there. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. Pending staff approval (Simultaneous speaking.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	104 as the deterioration that's been found by the owner and the architect, I think it's it seems logical and also appropriate historically. Do I hear an amendment? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll amend the motion, then. Amend the motion, COA-24-30533, for 1826 Montgomery Place, striking Condition 14, and revising Condition 8 so that limewash shall be applied to the exterior of the building, a light limewash we're going to call it. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Gregory, did you say light limewash? Could you repeat that? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Like a light coating. I think they they described it in their report that they wanted to do a light coating, so I'm just reflecting what they're what they've requested, I guess, is my point. THE CHAIRMAN: I think it's the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	102 make a motion on the COA and then we can amend it during the discussion. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure. Motion to approve COA-24-30533 at 1826 Montgomery Place, approve with conditions. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'm personally okay with striking 14 and 8 from the conditions and adding in the limewash. I think adding in the limewash will help the consistency of the brick. With it not being originally a brick house, I think, plays some part in it, not a huge part, but I do think having an historically accurate restoration of the brick with the limewash as long as we can be assured it's a light limewash. We don't want a White House over there. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. Pending staff approval (Simultaneous speaking.) COMMISSIONER GREGORY: That would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	104 as the deterioration that's been found by the owner and the architect, I think it's it seems logical and also appropriate historically. Do I hear an amendment? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll amend the motion, then. Amend the motion, COA-24-30533, for 1826 Montgomery Place, striking Condition 14, and revising Condition 8 so that limewash shall be applied to the exterior of the building, a light limewash we're going to call it. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Gregory, did you say light limewash? Could you repeat that? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Like a light coating. I think they they described it in their report that they wanted to do a light coating, so I'm just reflecting what they're what they've requested, I guess, is my point. THE CHAIRMAN: I think it's the implication is that it not be opaque, that it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	102 make a motion on the COA and then we can amend it during the discussion. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure. Motion to approve COA-24-30533 at 1826 Montgomery Place, approve with conditions. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'm personally okay with striking 14 and 8 from the conditions and adding in the limewash. I think adding in the limewash will help the consistency of the brick. With it not being originally a brick house, I think, plays some part in it, not a huge part, but I do think having an historically accurate restoration of the brick with the limewash as long as we can be assured it's a light limewash. We don't want a White House over there. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. Pending staff approval (Simultaneous speaking.) COMMISSIONER GREGORY: That would be (inaudible)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	104 as the deterioration that's been found by the owner and the architect, I think it's it seems logical and also appropriate historically. Do I hear an amendment? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll amend the motion, then. Amend the motion, COA-24-30533, for 1826 Montgomery Place, striking Condition 14, and revising Condition 8 so that limewash shall be applied to the exterior of the building, a light limewash we're going to call it. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Gregory, did you say light limewash? Could you repeat that? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Like a light coating. I think they they described it in their report that they wanted to do a light coating, so I'm just reflecting what they're what they've requested, I guess, is my point. THE CHAIRMAN: I think it's the implication is that it not be opaque, that it be translucent.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	102 make a motion on the COA and then we can amend it during the discussion. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure. Motion to approve COA-24-30533 at 1826 Montgomery Place, approve with conditions. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'm personally okay with striking 14 and 8 from the conditions and adding in the limewash. I think adding in the limewash will help the consistency of the brick. With it not being originally a brick house, I think, plays some part in it, not a huge part, but I do think having an historically accurate restoration of the brick with the limewash as long as we can be assured it's a light limewash. We don't want a White House over there. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. Pending staff approval (Simultaneous speaking.) COMMISSIONER GREGORY: That would be (inaudible) THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	104 as the deterioration that's been found by the owner and the architect, I think it's it seems logical and also appropriate historically. Do I hear an amendment? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll amend the motion, then. Amend the motion, COA-24-30533, for 1826 Montgomery Place, striking Condition 14, and revising Condition 8 so that limewash shall be applied to the exterior of the building, a light limewash we're going to call it. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Gregory, did you say light limewash? Could you repeat that? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Like a light coating. I think they they described it in their report that they wanted to do a light coating, so I'm just reflecting what they're what they've requested, I guess, is my point. THE CHAIRMAN: I think it's the implication is that it not be opaque, that it be translucent. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah, correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	102 make a motion on the COA and then we can amend it during the discussion. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure. Motion to approve COA-24-30533 at 1826 Montgomery Place, approve with conditions. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'm personally okay with striking 14 and 8 from the conditions and adding in the limewash. I think adding in the limewash will help the consistency of the brick. With it not being originally a brick house, I think, plays some part in it, not a huge part, but I do think having an historically accurate restoration of the brick with the limewash as long as we can be assured it's a light limewash. We don't want a White House over there. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. Pending staff approval (Simultaneous speaking.) COMMISSIONER GREGORY: That would be (inaudible) THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm just going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	104 as the deterioration that's been found by the owner and the architect, I think it's it seems logical and also appropriate historically. Do I hear an amendment? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll amend the motion, then. Amend the motion, COA-24-30533, for 1826 Montgomery Place, striking Condition 14, and revising Condition 8 so that limewash shall be applied to the exterior of the building, a light limewash we're going to call it. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Gregory, did you say light limewash? Could you repeat that? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Like a light coating. I think they they described it in their report that they wanted to do a light coating, so I'm just reflecting what they're what they've requested, I guess, is my point. THE CHAIRMAN: I think it's the implication is that it not be opaque, that it be translucent. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah, correct. You can clearly see the red brick through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	102 make a motion on the COA and then we can amend it during the discussion. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure. Motion to approve COA-24-30533 at 1826 Montgomery Place, approve with conditions. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'm personally okay with striking 14 and 8 from the conditions and adding in the limewash. I think adding in the limewash will help the consistency of the brick. With it not being originally a brick house, I think, plays some part in it, not a huge part, but I do think having an historically accurate restoration of the brick with the limewash as long as we can be assured it's a light limewash. We don't want a White House over there. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. Pending staff approval (Simultaneous speaking.) COMMISSIONER GREGORY: That would be (inaudible) THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	104 as the deterioration that's been found by the owner and the architect, I think it's it seems logical and also appropriate historically. Do I hear an amendment? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll amend the motion, then. Amend the motion, COA-24-30533, for 1826 Montgomery Place, striking Condition 14, and revising Condition 8 so that limewash shall be applied to the exterior of the building, a light limewash we're going to call it. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Gregory, did you say light limewash? Could you repeat that? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Like a light coating. I think they they described it in their report that they wanted to do a light coating, so I'm just reflecting what they're what they've requested, I guess, is my point. THE CHAIRMAN: I think it's the implication is that it not be opaque, that it be translucent. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah, correct.

City of Jacksonville

June 26, 2024

	f Jacksonville		June 26, 2024
HISLOI	ic Preservation Commission 105		Uncertified Condensed Copy 107
1	it. And I believe that's their intention. But	1	We'll open the public hearing and we'll
2	I have seen limewashes where it gets almost	2	hear from staff.
3	it looks almost painted, and that's not what I	3	MR. WELLS: All right. Application
4	think they're after and that's not what we're	4	COA-24-30488 for the property located at 907
5	after, I think, either.	5	Copeland Street seeks to replace 27 wood
6	THE CHAIRMAN: So I guess we first need to	6	windows with a vinyl wood product. This is a
7	vote on amending the motion.	7	partial window replacement on a
8	All those in favor?	8	noncontributing, two-story structure within the
9	COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.	9	Riverside Avondale Historic District.
10	THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed?	10	This property is located on a corner lot.
11	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)	11	It has an addition located on the right side
12	THE CHAIRMAN: That was unanimous.	12	elevation. Again, this is a partial
13	I'll now entertain a motion to approve	13	replacement. The total there's a total of
14	with the amended conditions.	14	38 windows on the property.
15	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Motion to approve	15	Staff conducted a site visit on May 7th
16	with the amended conditions.	16	and noted that there are a total of, once
17	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second.	17	again, 36 windows on the subject structure.
18	THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?	18	The 25 9-over-1 wood sash windows and the two
19	COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.	19	six-grid wood transom windows are being
20	THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed?	20	proposed for replacement by installing what the
21	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)	21	applicant wants as 1-over-1 sash, fixed transom
22	THE CHAIRMAN: So this COA by your	22	windows on the noncontributing structure. We
23	action, you have approved, with the amended	23	do find that that is an historically
24	conditions, COA-24-30533.	24	inappropriate product given the 9-over-1
25	MR. LEUTHOLD: Thank you.	25	historic grid pattern. That is the main point
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	106		108
1	MS. LONG: Thank you.	1	of contention with this application.
2	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	2	So other than that, we are recommending
•			
3	We have pushed to two hours, so we will	3	approval, but we do ask that the applicant meet
4	take a ten-minute break. I'm sorry, a	4	the condition of the 9-over-1 wood grid
4 5	take a ten-minute break. I'm sorry, a five-minute break, and then we'll hear the	4 5	the condition of the 9-over-1 wood grid pattern.
4 5 6	take a ten-minute break. I'm sorry, a five-minute break, and then we'll hear the remaining items on the agenda.	4 5 6	the condition of the 9-over-1 wood grid pattern. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus.
4 5 6 7	take a ten-minute break. I'm sorry, a five-minute break, and then we'll hear the remaining items on the agenda. Thank you.	4 5 6 7	the condition of the 9-over-1 wood grid pattern. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus. Are there questions for staff from the
4 5 6 7 8	take a ten-minute break. I'm sorry, a five-minute break, and then we'll hear the remaining items on the agenda. Thank you. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)	4 5 6 7 8	the condition of the 9-over-1 wood grid pattern. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus. Are there questions for staff from the commissioners?
4 5 6 7 8 9	take a ten-minute break. I'm sorry, a five-minute break, and then we'll hear the remaining items on the agenda. Thank you. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're going to call	4 5 6 7 8 9	the condition of the 9-over-1 wood grid pattern. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus. Are there questions for staff from the commissioners? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
4 5 7 8 9 10	take a ten-minute break. I'm sorry, a five-minute break, and then we'll hear the remaining items on the agenda. Thank you. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're going to call the meeting back to order.	4 5 6 7 8 9	the condition of the 9-over-1 wood grid pattern. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus. Are there questions for staff from the commissioners? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll hear
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	take a ten-minute break. I'm sorry, a five-minute break, and then we'll hear the remaining items on the agenda. Thank you. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're going to call the meeting back to order. We have three we have two COAs left on	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the condition of the 9-over-1 wood grid pattern. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus. Are there questions for staff from the commissioners? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll hear from the applicant.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	take a ten-minute break. I'm sorry, a five-minute break, and then we'll hear the remaining items on the agenda. Thank you. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're going to call the meeting back to order. We have three we have two COAs left on the agenda and we have an LS, a historic	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the condition of the 9-over-1 wood grid pattern. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus. Are there questions for staff from the commissioners? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll hear from the applicant. Is the applicant here?
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	take a ten-minute break. I'm sorry, a five-minute break, and then we'll hear the remaining items on the agenda. Thank you. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're going to call the meeting back to order. We have three we have two COAs left on the agenda and we have an LS, a historic designation. The LS is first on the agenda.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the condition of the 9-over-1 wood grid pattern. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus. Are there questions for staff from the commissioners? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll hear from the applicant. Is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.)
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	take a ten-minute break. I'm sorry, a five-minute break, and then we'll hear the remaining items on the agenda. Thank you. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're going to call the meeting back to order. We have three we have two COAs left on the agenda and we have an LS, a historic designation. The LS is first on the agenda. Is the applicant here for the LS? It is	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the condition of the 9-over-1 wood grid pattern. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus. Are there questions for staff from the commissioners? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll hear from the applicant. Is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	take a ten-minute break. I'm sorry, a five-minute break, and then we'll hear the remaining items on the agenda. Thank you. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're going to call the meeting back to order. We have three we have two COAs left on the agenda and we have an LS, a historic designation. The LS is first on the agenda. Is the applicant here for the LS? It is LS-23-01, 538 Ellis Road South. The applicant	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the condition of the 9-over-1 wood grid pattern. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus. Are there questions for staff from the commissioners? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll hear from the applicant. Is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward. (Audience member approaches the podium.)
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	take a ten-minute break. I'm sorry, a five-minute break, and then we'll hear the remaining items on the agenda. Thank you. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're going to call the meeting back to order. We have three we have two COAs left on the agenda and we have an LS, a historic designation. The LS is first on the agenda. Is the applicant here for the LS? It is LS-23-01, 538 Ellis Road South. The applicant is Sons of Confederate Veterans, Kirby Smith	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the condition of the 9-over-1 wood grid pattern. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus. Are there questions for staff from the commissioners? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll hear from the applicant. Is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just state your name and
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	take a ten-minute break. I'm sorry, a five-minute break, and then we'll hear the remaining items on the agenda. Thank you. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're going to call the meeting back to order. We have three we have two COAs left on the agenda and we have an LS, a historic designation. The LS is first on the agenda. Is the applicant here for the LS? It is LS-23-01, 538 Ellis Road South. The applicant	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the condition of the 9-over-1 wood grid pattern. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus. Are there questions for staff from the commissioners? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll hear from the applicant. Is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward. (Audience member approaches the podium.)
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	take a ten-minute break. I'm sorry, a five-minute break, and then we'll hear the remaining items on the agenda. Thank you. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're going to call the meeting back to order. We have three we have two COAs left on the agenda and we have an LS, a historic designation. The LS is first on the agenda. Is the applicant here for the LS? It is LS-23-01, 538 Ellis Road South. The applicant is Sons of Confederate Veterans, Kirby Smith Camp 1209, and they're requesting a landmark	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the condition of the 9-over-1 wood grid pattern. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus. Are there questions for staff from the commissioners? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll hear from the applicant. Is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just state your name and address and she'll swear you in.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	take a ten-minute break. I'm sorry, a five-minute break, and then we'll hear the remaining items on the agenda. Thank you. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're going to call the meeting back to order. We have three we have two COAs left on the agenda and we have an LS, a historic designation. The LS is first on the agenda. Is the applicant here for the LS? It is LS-23-01, 538 Ellis Road South. The applicant is Sons of Confederate Veterans, Kirby Smith Camp 1209, and they're requesting a landmark designation. Is the applicant here?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the condition of the 9-over-1 wood grid pattern. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus. Are there questions for staff from the commissioners? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll hear from the applicant. Is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Earl Williams, 915
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	take a ten-minute break. I'm sorry, a five-minute break, and then we'll hear the remaining items on the agenda. Thank you. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're going to call the meeting back to order. We have three we have two COAs left on the agenda and we have an LS, a historic designation. The LS is first on the agenda. Is the applicant here for the LS? It is LS-23-01, 538 Ellis Road South. The applicant is Sons of Confederate Veterans, Kirby Smith Camp 1209, and they're requesting a landmark designation.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the condition of the 9-over-1 wood grid pattern. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus. Are there questions for staff from the commissioners? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll hear from the applicant. Is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Earl Williams, 915 Copeland Street, Jacksonville, 32204.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	take a ten-minute break. I'm sorry, a five-minute break, and then we'll hear the remaining items on the agenda. Thank you. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're going to call the meeting back to order. We have three we have two COAs left on the agenda and we have an LS, a historic designation. The LS is first on the agenda. Is the applicant here for the LS? It is LS-23-01, 538 Ellis Road South. The applicant is Sons of Confederate Veterans, Kirby Smith Camp 1209, and they're requesting a landmark designation. Is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the condition of the 9-over-1 wood grid pattern. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus. Are there questions for staff from the commissioners? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll hear from the applicant. Is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Earl Williams, 915 Copeland Street, Jacksonville, 32204. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	take a ten-minute break. I'm sorry, a five-minute break, and then we'll hear the remaining items on the agenda. Thank you. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're going to call the meeting back to order. We have three we have two COAs left on the agenda and we have an LS, a historic designation. The LS is first on the agenda. Is the applicant here for the LS? It is LS-23-01, 538 Ellis Road South. The applicant is Sons of Confederate Veterans, Kirby Smith Camp 1209, and they're requesting a landmark designation. Is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Then we will defer let	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the condition of the 9-over-1 wood grid pattern. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus. Are there questions for staff from the commissioners? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll hear from the applicant. Is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Earl Williams, 915 Copeland Street, Jacksonville, 32204. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	take a ten-minute break. I'm sorry, a five-minute break, and then we'll hear the remaining items on the agenda. Thank you. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're going to call the meeting back to order. We have three we have two COAs left on the agenda and we have an LS, a historic designation. The LS is first on the agenda. Is the applicant here for the LS? It is LS-23-01, 538 Ellis Road South. The applicant is Sons of Confederate Veterans, Kirby Smith Camp 1209, and they're requesting a landmark designation. Is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Then we will defer let the record reflect that the applicant is not	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the condition of the 9-over-1 wood grid pattern. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus. Are there questions for staff from the commissioners? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll hear from the applicant. Is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Earl Williams, 915 Copeland Street, Jacksonville, 32204. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. WILLIAMS: (Complies.)
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	take a ten-minute break. I'm sorry, a five-minute break, and then we'll hear the remaining items on the agenda. Thank you. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're going to call the meeting back to order. We have three we have two COAs left on the agenda and we have an LS, a historic designation. The LS is first on the agenda. Is the applicant here for the LS? It is LS-23-01, 538 Ellis Road South. The applicant is Sons of Confederate Veterans, Kirby Smith Camp 1209, and they're requesting a landmark designation. Is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Then we will defer let the record reflect that the applicant is not here and we will defer to the next meeting.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the condition of the 9-over-1 wood grid pattern. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus. Are there questions for staff from the commissioners? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll hear from the applicant. Is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Earl Williams, 915 Copeland Street, Jacksonville, 32204. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. WILLIAMS: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	take a ten-minute break. I'm sorry, a five-minute break, and then we'll hear the remaining items on the agenda. Thank you. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're going to call the meeting back to order. We have three we have two COAs left on the agenda and we have an LS, a historic designation. The LS is first on the agenda. Is the applicant here for the LS? It is LS-23-01, 538 Ellis Road South. The applicant is Sons of Confederate Veterans, Kirby Smith Camp 1209, and they're requesting a landmark designation. Is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Then we will defer let the record reflect that the applicant is not here and we will defer to the next meeting. So we'll move on to the COAs, Item G on	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the condition of the 9-over-1 wood grid pattern. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus. Are there questions for staff from the commissioners? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll hear from the applicant. Is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Earl Williams, 915 Copeland Street, Jacksonville, 32204. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. WILLIAMS: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	take a ten-minute break. I'm sorry, a five-minute break, and then we'll hear the remaining items on the agenda. Thank you. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're going to call the meeting back to order. We have three we have two COAs left on the agenda and we have an LS, a historic designation. The LS is first on the agenda. Is the applicant here for the LS? It is LS-23-01, 538 Ellis Road South. The applicant is Sons of Confederate Veterans, Kirby Smith Camp 1209, and they're requesting a landmark designation. Is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Then we will defer let the record reflect that the applicant is not here and we will defer to the next meeting. So we'll move on to the COAs, Item G on the agenda, and we'll start with COA-24-30488.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the condition of the 9-over-1 wood grid pattern. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus. Are there questions for staff from the commissioners? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll hear from the applicant. Is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Just state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Earl Williams, 915 Copeland Street, Jacksonville, 32204. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. WILLIAMS: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the

Histor	ic Preservation Commission		Uncertified Condensed Copy
	109		111
1	truth?	1	with conditions, is that you have 1-over-1
2	MR. WILLIAMS: I do.	2	windows, but the conditions require 9-over-1
3	THE REPORTER: Thank you.	3	for a majority of them it looks like. That
4	MR. WILLIAMS: I would like to thank the	4	looks to be the issue?
5	Commission for the time and opportunity to	5	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir.
6 7	request an exception to the windows at 007	6	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I just wanted to
	approved for replacing some windows at 907 Copeland Street.	8	clarify. Thank you. MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir.
8 9	The situation is, I bought this property	9	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting
10	back in September of '23. And upon purchasing	10	chair, have you spoken with Home Depot to see
11	it, I had an inspection done, and the	11	if there's a way to apply the 9-grid on the top
12	inspection pointed out that 27 windows and the	12	portion? I know a lot of times that this is
13	transoms in this particular building needed to	13	something that can just be added to a window as
14	be replaced. Because of inefficiencies, some	14	a, you know, addition.
15	of them are stuck and a lot of things like	15	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, ma'am, I have.
16	that.	16	And for these particular this
17	So I came down in November of '23 from	17	particular manufactured window, that's not
18	Atlanta and I met with Home Depot and I	18	that's not possible. It's not possible. I'm
19	contracted with them to replace these windows,	19	sorry.
20	discussed with the gentleman he said he was	20	I'll be honest with you, I apologize for
21	familiar with the historic site. He showed me	21	being in this situation, but I look around my
22	some 1-over-1 windows in the area that he had	22	neighborhood and I've got pictures on my
23	replaced. And so he told me that this would be	23	phone of houses I took from my backyard that
24	done by the 15th of January, and so I moved	24	have 1-over-1 windows. You know, I can
25	down here on the 15th of January, and come to	25	there's a school on College Street that they
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	110		112
1	110 find out that the approval hadn't worked out,	1	just turned into condos, a real historic
2	110 find out that the approval hadn't worked out, it had been denied or whatever, but in the	1 2	just turned into condos, a real historic building, and they put 1-over-1 windows in
2 3	110 find out that the approval hadn't worked out, it had been denied or whatever, but in the meantime, in November I paid Home Depot \$21,000	3	just turned into condos, a real historic building, and they put 1-over-1 windows in that, and I'm just I'm just amazed that this
2 3 4	110 find out that the approval hadn't worked out, it had been denied or whatever, but in the meantime, in November I paid Home Depot \$21,000 to replace these windows, at which time they	3 4	just turned into condos, a real historic building, and they put 1-over-1 windows in that, and I'm just I'm just amazed that this is even an issue for a noncontributing
2 3 4 5	110 find out that the approval hadn't worked out, it had been denied or whatever, but in the meantime, in November I paid Home Depot \$21,000 to replace these windows, at which time they produced them, and so I Home Depot told me	3 4 5	just turned into condos, a real historic building, and they put 1-over-1 windows in that, and I'm just I'm just amazed that this is even an issue for a noncontributing property. I apologize for it, but I think from
2 3 4 5 6	110 find out that the approval hadn't worked out, it had been denied or whatever, but in the meantime, in November I paid Home Depot \$21,000 to replace these windows, at which time they produced them, and so I Home Depot told me that I could come get the windows, but that	3 4 5 6	just turned into condos, a real historic building, and they put 1-over-1 windows in that, and I'm just I'm just amazed that this is even an issue for a noncontributing property. I apologize for it, but I think from aesthetics, it's going to make the property
2 3 4 5 6 7	110 find out that the approval hadn't worked out, it had been denied or whatever, but in the meantime, in November I paid Home Depot \$21,000 to replace these windows, at which time they produced them, and so I Home Depot told me that I could come get the windows, but that they weren't going to be able to replace them	3 4 5 6 7	just turned into condos, a real historic building, and they put 1-over-1 windows in that, and I'm just I'm just amazed that this is even an issue for a noncontributing property. I apologize for it, but I think from aesthetics, it's going to make the property look a whole lot better. I just I'm trying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	110 find out that the approval hadn't worked out, it had been denied or whatever, but in the meantime, in November I paid Home Depot \$21,000 to replace these windows, at which time they produced them, and so I Home Depot told me that I could come get the windows, but that they weren't going to be able to replace them or whatever.	3 4 5 6 7 8	just turned into condos, a real historic building, and they put 1-over-1 windows in that, and I'm just I'm just amazed that this is even an issue for a noncontributing property. I apologize for it, but I think from aesthetics, it's going to make the property look a whole lot better. I just I'm trying to take a property that has been just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	110 find out that the approval hadn't worked out, it had been denied or whatever, but in the meantime, in November I paid Home Depot \$21,000 to replace these windows, at which time they produced them, and so I Home Depot told me that I could come get the windows, but that they weren't going to be able to replace them or whatever. So anyway, that's the situation I have.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	just turned into condos, a real historic building, and they put 1-over-1 windows in that, and I'm just I'm just amazed that this is even an issue for a noncontributing property. I apologize for it, but I think from aesthetics, it's going to make the property look a whole lot better. I just I'm trying to take a property that has been just demolished and well, not demolished, I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	110 find out that the approval hadn't worked out, it had been denied or whatever, but in the meantime, in November I paid Home Depot \$21,000 to replace these windows, at which time they produced them, and so I Home Depot told me that I could come get the windows, but that they weren't going to be able to replace them or whatever. So anyway, that's the situation I have. The integrity I bought a building, I'm	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	just turned into condos, a real historic building, and they put 1-over-1 windows in that, and I'm just I'm just amazed that this is even an issue for a noncontributing property. I apologize for it, but I think from aesthetics, it's going to make the property look a whole lot better. I just I'm trying to take a property that has been just demolished and well, not demolished, I'm sorry. It's just been lipstick put on a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	110 find out that the approval hadn't worked out, it had been denied or whatever, but in the meantime, in November I paid Home Depot \$21,000 to replace these windows, at which time they produced them, and so I Home Depot told me that I could come get the windows, but that they weren't going to be able to replace them or whatever. So anyway, that's the situation I have. The integrity I bought a building, I'm living there, I'm a resident. And I certainly	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	just turned into condos, a real historic building, and they put 1-over-1 windows in that, and I'm just I'm just amazed that this is even an issue for a noncontributing property. I apologize for it, but I think from aesthetics, it's going to make the property look a whole lot better. I just I'm trying to take a property that has been just demolished and well, not demolished, I'm sorry. It's just been lipstick put on a pig. I'm just trying to improve it, live
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	110 find out that the approval hadn't worked out, it had been denied or whatever, but in the meantime, in November I paid Home Depot \$21,000 to replace these windows, at which time they produced them, and so I Home Depot told me that I could come get the windows, but that they weren't going to be able to replace them or whatever. So anyway, that's the situation I have. The integrity I bought a building, I'm living there, I'm a resident. And I certainly plan on improving I bought it, it was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	just turned into condos, a real historic building, and they put 1-over-1 windows in that, and I'm just I'm just amazed that this is even an issue for a noncontributing property. I apologize for it, but I think from aesthetics, it's going to make the property look a whole lot better. I just I'm trying to take a property that has been just demolished and well, not demolished, I'm sorry. It's just been lipstick put on a pig. I'm just trying to improve it, live there, and enjoy the neighborhood. I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	110 find out that the approval hadn't worked out, it had been denied or whatever, but in the meantime, in November I paid Home Depot \$21,000 to replace these windows, at which time they produced them, and so I Home Depot told me that I could come get the windows, but that they weren't going to be able to replace them or whatever. So anyway, that's the situation I have. The integrity I bought a building, I'm living there, I'm a resident. And I certainly plan on improving I bought it, it was overgrown, trashed up. I put \$50,000 just in	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	just turned into condos, a real historic building, and they put 1-over-1 windows in that, and I'm just I'm just amazed that this is even an issue for a noncontributing property. I apologize for it, but I think from aesthetics, it's going to make the property look a whole lot better. I just I'm trying to take a property that has been just demolished and well, not demolished, I'm sorry. It's just been lipstick put on a pig. I'm just trying to improve it, live there, and enjoy the neighborhood. I'm committed to it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	110 find out that the approval hadn't worked out, it had been denied or whatever, but in the meantime, in November I paid Home Depot \$21,000 to replace these windows, at which time they produced them, and so I Home Depot told me that I could come get the windows, but that they weren't going to be able to replace them or whatever. So anyway, that's the situation I have. The integrity I bought a building, I'm living there, I'm a resident. And I certainly plan on improving I bought it, it was overgrown, trashed up. I put \$50,000 just in improving the aesthetics and the overgrowth on	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	just turned into condos, a real historic building, and they put 1-over-1 windows in that, and I'm just I'm just amazed that this is even an issue for a noncontributing property. I apologize for it, but I think from aesthetics, it's going to make the property look a whole lot better. I just I'm trying to take a property that has been just demolished and well, not demolished, I'm sorry. It's just been lipstick put on a pig. I'm just trying to improve it, live there, and enjoy the neighborhood. I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	110 find out that the approval hadn't worked out, it had been denied or whatever, but in the meantime, in November I paid Home Depot \$21,000 to replace these windows, at which time they produced them, and so I Home Depot told me that I could come get the windows, but that they weren't going to be able to replace them or whatever. So anyway, that's the situation I have. The integrity I bought a building, I'm living there, I'm a resident. And I certainly plan on improving I bought it, it was overgrown, trashed up. I put \$50,000 just in improving the aesthetics and the overgrowth on the lot, and I just hate to be out of \$21,000.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	just turned into condos, a real historic building, and they put 1-over-1 windows in that, and I'm just I'm just amazed that this is even an issue for a noncontributing property. I apologize for it, but I think from aesthetics, it's going to make the property look a whole lot better. I just I'm trying to take a property that has been just demolished and well, not demolished, I'm sorry. It's just been lipstick put on a pig. I'm just trying to improve it, live there, and enjoy the neighborhood. I'm committed to it. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir. Any other questions for the applicant?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	110 find out that the approval hadn't worked out, it had been denied or whatever, but in the meantime, in November I paid Home Depot \$21,000 to replace these windows, at which time they produced them, and so I Home Depot told me that I could come get the windows, but that they weren't going to be able to replace them or whatever. So anyway, that's the situation I have. The integrity I bought a building, I'm living there, I'm a resident. And I certainly plan on improving I bought it, it was overgrown, trashed up. I put \$50,000 just in improving the aesthetics and the overgrowth on	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	just turned into condos, a real historic building, and they put 1-over-1 windows in that, and I'm just I'm just amazed that this is even an issue for a noncontributing property. I apologize for it, but I think from aesthetics, it's going to make the property look a whole lot better. I just I'm trying to take a property that has been just demolished and well, not demolished, I'm sorry. It's just been lipstick put on a pig. I'm just trying to improve it, live there, and enjoy the neighborhood. I'm committed to it. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	110 find out that the approval hadn't worked out, it had been denied or whatever, but in the meantime, in November I paid Home Depot \$21,000 to replace these windows, at which time they produced them, and so I Home Depot told me that I could come get the windows, but that they weren't going to be able to replace them or whatever. So anyway, that's the situation I have. The integrity I bought a building, I'm living there, I'm a resident. And I certainly plan on improving I bought it, it was overgrown, trashed up. I put \$50,000 just in improving the aesthetics and the overgrowth on the lot, and I just hate to be out of \$21,000. I was unaware of the process when I	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	just turned into condos, a real historic building, and they put 1-over-1 windows in that, and I'm just I'm just amazed that this is even an issue for a noncontributing property. I apologize for it, but I think from aesthetics, it's going to make the property look a whole lot better. I just I'm trying to take a property that has been just demolished and well, not demolished, I'm sorry. It's just been lipstick put on a pig. I'm just trying to improve it, live there, and enjoy the neighborhood. I'm committed to it. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir. Any other questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	110 find out that the approval hadn't worked out, it had been denied or whatever, but in the meantime, in November I paid Home Depot \$21,000 to replace these windows, at which time they produced them, and so I Home Depot told me that I could come get the windows, but that they weren't going to be able to replace them or whatever. So anyway, that's the situation I have. The integrity I bought a building, I'm living there, I'm a resident. And I certainly plan on improving I bought it, it was overgrown, trashed up. I put \$50,000 just in improving the aesthetics and the overgrowth on the lot, and I just hate to be out of \$21,000. I was unaware of the process when I purchased the house. I had no idea that this	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	just turned into condos, a real historic building, and they put 1-over-1 windows in that, and I'm just I'm just amazed that this is even an issue for a noncontributing property. I apologize for it, but I think from aesthetics, it's going to make the property look a whole lot better. I just I'm trying to take a property that has been just demolished and well, not demolished, I'm sorry. It's just been lipstick put on a pig. I'm just trying to improve it, live there, and enjoy the neighborhood. I'm committed to it. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir. Any other questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we have more questions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	110 find out that the approval hadn't worked out, it had been denied or whatever, but in the meantime, in November I paid Home Depot \$21,000 to replace these windows, at which time they produced them, and so I Home Depot told me that I could come get the windows, but that they weren't going to be able to replace them or whatever. So anyway, that's the situation I have. The integrity I bought a building, I'm living there, I'm a resident. And I certainly plan on improving I bought it, it was overgrown, trashed up. I put \$50,000 just in improving the aesthetics and the overgrowth on the lot, and I just hate to be out of \$21,000. I was unaware of the process when I purchased the house. I had no idea that this kind of commission ruled over these properties,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	just turned into condos, a real historic building, and they put 1-over-1 windows in that, and I'm just I'm just amazed that this is even an issue for a noncontributing property. I apologize for it, but I think from aesthetics, it's going to make the property look a whole lot better. I just I'm trying to take a property that has been just demolished and well, not demolished, I'm sorry. It's just been lipstick put on a pig. I'm just trying to improve it, live there, and enjoy the neighborhood. I'm committed to it. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir. Any other questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we have more questions for you, we'll ask you to come up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	110 find out that the approval hadn't worked out, it had been denied or whatever, but in the meantime, in November I paid Home Depot \$21,000 to replace these windows, at which time they produced them, and so I Home Depot told me that I could come get the windows, but that they weren't going to be able to replace them or whatever. So anyway, that's the situation I have. The integrity I bought a building, I'm living there, I'm a resident. And I certainly plan on improving I bought it, it was overgrown, trashed up. I put \$50,000 just in improving the aesthetics and the overgrowth on the lot, and I just hate to be out of \$21,000. I was unaware of the process when I purchased the house. I had no idea that this kind of commission ruled over these properties, and yeah, so I Home Depot was supposed to be here, but they didn't show, so that's the situation I'm working with.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	just turned into condos, a real historic building, and they put 1-over-1 windows in that, and I'm just I'm just amazed that this is even an issue for a noncontributing property. I apologize for it, but I think from aesthetics, it's going to make the property look a whole lot better. I just I'm trying to take a property that has been just demolished and well, not demolished, I'm sorry. It's just been lipstick put on a pig. I'm just trying to improve it, live there, and enjoy the neighborhood. I'm committed to it. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir. Any other questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we have more questions for you, we'll ask you to come up. Thank you, sir. MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	110 find out that the approval hadn't worked out, it had been denied or whatever, but in the meantime, in November I paid Home Depot \$21,000 to replace these windows, at which time they produced them, and so I Home Depot told me that I could come get the windows, but that they weren't going to be able to replace them or whatever. So anyway, that's the situation I have. The integrity I bought a building, I'm living there, I'm a resident. And I certainly plan on improving I bought it, it was overgrown, trashed up. I put \$50,000 just in improving the aesthetics and the overgrowth on the lot, and I just hate to be out of \$21,000. I was unaware of the process when I purchased the house. I had no idea that this kind of commission ruled over these properties, and yeah, so I Home Depot was supposed to be here, but they didn't show, so that's the situation I'm working with. THE CHAIRMAN: Do we have any questions	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	just turned into condos, a real historic building, and they put 1-over-1 windows in that, and I'm just I'm just amazed that this is even an issue for a noncontributing property. I apologize for it, but I think from aesthetics, it's going to make the property look a whole lot better. I just I'm trying to take a property that has been just demolished and well, not demolished, I'm sorry. It's just been lipstick put on a pig. I'm just trying to improve it, live there, and enjoy the neighborhood. I'm committed to it. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir. Any other questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we have more questions for you, we'll ask you to come up. Thank you, sir. MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here to speak on this COA?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	110 find out that the approval hadn't worked out, it had been denied or whatever, but in the meantime, in November I paid Home Depot \$21,000 to replace these windows, at which time they produced them, and so I Home Depot told me that I could come get the windows, but that they weren't going to be able to replace them or whatever. So anyway, that's the situation I have. The integrity I bought a building, I'm living there, I'm a resident. And I certainly plan on improving I bought it, it was overgrown, trashed up. I put \$50,000 just in improving the aesthetics and the overgrowth on the lot, and I just hate to be out of \$21,000. I was unaware of the process when I purchased the house. I had no idea that this kind of commission ruled over these properties, and yeah, so I Home Depot was supposed to be here, but they didn't show, so that's the situation I'm working with. THE CHAIRMAN: Do we have any questions for the applicant?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	just turned into condos, a real historic building, and they put 1-over-1 windows in that, and I'm just I'm just amazed that this is even an issue for a noncontributing property. I apologize for it, but I think from aesthetics, it's going to make the property look a whole lot better. I just I'm trying to take a property that has been just demolished and well, not demolished, I'm sorry. It's just been lipstick put on a pig. I'm just trying to improve it, live there, and enjoy the neighborhood. I'm committed to it. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir. Any other questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we have more questions for you, we'll ask you to come up. Thank you, sir. MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	110 find out that the approval hadn't worked out, it had been denied or whatever, but in the meantime, in November I paid Home Depot \$21,000 to replace these windows, at which time they produced them, and so I Home Depot told me that I could come get the windows, but that they weren't going to be able to replace them or whatever. So anyway, that's the situation I have. The integrity I bought a building, I'm living there, I'm a resident. And I certainly plan on improving I bought it, it was overgrown, trashed up. I put \$50,000 just in improving the aesthetics and the overgrowth on the lot, and I just hate to be out of \$21,000. I was unaware of the process when I purchased the house. I had no idea that this kind of commission ruled over these properties, and yeah, so I Home Depot was supposed to be here, but they didn't show, so that's the situation I'm working with. THE CHAIRMAN: Do we have any questions for the applicant? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yes, sir. So your	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	just turned into condos, a real historic building, and they put 1-over-1 windows in that, and I'm just I'm just amazed that this is even an issue for a noncontributing property. I apologize for it, but I think from aesthetics, it's going to make the property look a whole lot better. I just I'm trying to take a property that has been just demolished and well, not demolished, I'm sorry. It's just been lipstick put on a pig. I'm just trying to improve it, live there, and enjoy the neighborhood. I'm committed to it. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir. Any other questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we have more questions for you, we'll ask you to come up. Thank you, sir. MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then I'll a entertain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	110 find out that the approval hadn't worked out, it had been denied or whatever, but in the meantime, in November I paid Home Depot \$21,000 to replace these windows, at which time they produced them, and so I Home Depot told me that I could come get the windows, but that they weren't going to be able to replace them or whatever. So anyway, that's the situation I have. The integrity I bought a building, I'm living there, I'm a resident. And I certainly plan on improving I bought it, it was overgrown, trashed up. I put \$50,000 just in improving the aesthetics and the overgrowth on the lot, and I just hate to be out of \$21,000. I was unaware of the process when I purchased the house. I had no idea that this kind of commission ruled over these properties, and yeah, so I Home Depot was supposed to be here, but they didn't show, so that's the situation I'm working with. THE CHAIRMAN: Do we have any questions for the applicant?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	just turned into condos, a real historic building, and they put 1-over-1 windows in that, and I'm just I'm just amazed that this is even an issue for a noncontributing property. I apologize for it, but I think from aesthetics, it's going to make the property look a whole lot better. I just I'm trying to take a property that has been just demolished and well, not demolished, I'm sorry. It's just been lipstick put on a pig. I'm just trying to improve it, live there, and enjoy the neighborhood. I'm committed to it. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir. Any other questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we have more questions for you, we'll ask you to come up. Thank you, sir. MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)

TIISLOI	ic Preservation Commission		Uncertified Condensed Copy
	113		115
1	I'll close the public hearing. I'll	1	If anything, staff was left to surmise
2	entertain a motion.	2	that it was because of the addition that was
3	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Through the acting	3	the one-story addition to the right of the
4	chair, I will make a motion to deny	4	structure. But other than that, it in
	· · ·	-	
5	COA-24-30488.	5	accordance with our design guidelines and our
6	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Do you want to deny	6	Code criteria, there's an opportunity to bring
7	it or approve it with conditions?	7	noncontributing structures closer to a degree
8	COMMISSIONER HOFF: I apologize.	8	of conformance. So that's what we strive
9	I would like to make a motion to approve	9	towards in our staff reports. And so in terms
-	with conditions 24-30488.	-	•
10		10	of a historic grid pattern, that's something
11	MS. LOPERA: The conditions sorry. One	11	that we thought could be preserved on the
12	moment.	12	structure.
13	Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff,	13	THE CHAIRMAN: Any discussion? Any
14	the conditions as written in the staff report?	14	comments?
15	COMMISSIONER HOFF: The conditions as	15	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Through the Chair to
16	written in the staff report, yes.	16	staff, I'm just kind of talking off the cuff
17			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	MS. LOPERA: Thank you.	17	here, but would there be, hypothetically, an
18	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second.	18	opportunity for how many windows on the
19	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion?	19	house are not visible from the street? Would
20	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)	20	you know off the top of your head?
21	THE CHAIRMAN: So we have a	21	MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to
22	noncontributing structure that is was	22	Commissioner Hoff, we need to count. But just
23	approved for windows, approved with conditions.	23	keep in mind, this is a corner lot, so
24	I understand the staff's report and that the	24	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay.
25	windows that are in the structure are 9-over-1.	25	MR. WELLS: I need to do some math here.
25		25	
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	(004) 021 0000		(304) 02 1-0000
	114		116
1	114	1	116
	114 But as the applicant has stated, there are also		116 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So the majority of the
2	114 But as the applicant has stated, there are also buildings in the district that are contributing	2	116 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So the majority of the windows are highly visible from the street.
2 3	114 But as the applicant has stated, there are also buildings in the district that are contributing that have 1-over-1, and so I think that I	2 3	116 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So the majority of the windows are highly visible from the street. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair to
2 3 4	114 But as the applicant has stated, there are also buildings in the district that are contributing that have 1-over-1, and so I think that I just want to restate that position as we begin	2 3 4	116 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So the majority of the windows are highly visible from the street. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair to staff, does the criteria treat noncontributing
2 3 4 5	114 But as the applicant has stated, there are also buildings in the district that are contributing that have 1-over-1, and so I think that I just want to restate that position as we begin the discussion.	2 3 4 5	116 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So the majority of the windows are highly visible from the street. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair to staff, does the criteria treat noncontributing and contributing structures the same when it
2 3 4	114 But as the applicant has stated, there are also buildings in the district that are contributing that have 1-over-1, and so I think that I just want to restate that position as we begin the discussion. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting	2 3 4	116 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So the majority of the windows are highly visible from the street. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair to staff, does the criteria treat noncontributing and contributing structures the same when it comes to the window regulations?
2 3 4 5	114 But as the applicant has stated, there are also buildings in the district that are contributing that have 1-over-1, and so I think that I just want to restate that position as we begin the discussion.	2 3 4 5	116 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So the majority of the windows are highly visible from the street. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair to staff, does the criteria treat noncontributing and contributing structures the same when it
2 3 4 5 6	114 But as the applicant has stated, there are also buildings in the district that are contributing that have 1-over-1, and so I think that I just want to restate that position as we begin the discussion. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting	2 3 4 5 6	116 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So the majority of the windows are highly visible from the street. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair to staff, does the criteria treat noncontributing and contributing structures the same when it comes to the window regulations?
2 3 4 5 6 7	114 But as the applicant has stated, there are also buildings in the district that are contributing that have 1-over-1, and so I think that I just want to restate that position as we begin the discussion. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to the staff, Arimus, can you talk about and I know we just went through all	2 3 4 5 6 7	116 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So the majority of the windows are highly visible from the street. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair to staff, does the criteria treat noncontributing and contributing structures the same when it comes to the window regulations? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	114 But as the applicant has stated, there are also buildings in the district that are contributing that have 1-over-1, and so I think that I just want to restate that position as we begin the discussion. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to the staff, Arimus, can you talk about and I know we just went through all these window revisions and everything, but talk	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	116 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So the majority of the windows are highly visible from the street. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair to staff, does the criteria treat noncontributing and contributing structures the same when it comes to the window regulations? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Glober, it does in regard to window replacements. It doesn't even specify
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	114 But as the applicant has stated, there are also buildings in the district that are contributing that have 1-over-1, and so I think that I just want to restate that position as we begin the discussion. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to the staff, Arimus, can you talk about and I know we just went through all these window revisions and everything, but talk about it being a noncontributing structure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	116 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So the majority of the windows are highly visible from the street. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair to staff, does the criteria treat noncontributing and contributing structures the same when it comes to the window regulations? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Glober, it does in regard to window replacements. It doesn't even specify in terms of the contributing status. It just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	114 But as the applicant has stated, there are also buildings in the district that are contributing that have 1-over-1, and so I think that I just want to restate that position as we begin the discussion. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to the staff, Arimus, can you talk about and I know we just went through all these window revisions and everything, but talk about it being a noncontributing structure. I'm guessing that's because the (inaudible)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	116 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So the majority of the windows are highly visible from the street. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair to staff, does the criteria treat noncontributing and contributing structures the same when it comes to the window regulations? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Glober, it does in regard to window replacements. It doesn't even specify in terms of the contributing status. It just refers to the material itself and whether or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	114 But as the applicant has stated, there are also buildings in the district that are contributing that have 1-over-1, and so I think that I just want to restate that position as we begin the discussion. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to the staff, Arimus, can you talk about and I know we just went through all these window revisions and everything, but talk about it being a noncontributing structure. I'm guessing that's because the (inaudible) doesn't fit within when they established the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	116 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So the majority of the windows are highly visible from the street. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair to staff, does the criteria treat noncontributing and contributing structures the same when it comes to the window regulations? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Glober, it does in regard to window replacements. It doesn't even specify in terms of the contributing status. It just refers to the material itself and whether or not that can be preserved or replaced.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	114 But as the applicant has stated, there are also buildings in the district that are contributing that have 1-over-1, and so I think that I just want to restate that position as we begin the discussion. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to the staff, Arimus, can you talk about and I know we just went through all these window revisions and everything, but talk about it being a noncontributing structure. I'm guessing that's because the (inaudible) doesn't fit within when they established the overlay, it wasn't 50 years old, being a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	116 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So the majority of the windows are highly visible from the street. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair to staff, does the criteria treat noncontributing and contributing structures the same when it comes to the window regulations? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Glober, it does in regard to window replacements. It doesn't even specify in terms of the contributing status. It just refers to the material itself and whether or not that can be preserved or replaced. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I mean, I will say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	114 But as the applicant has stated, there are also buildings in the district that are contributing that have 1-over-1, and so I think that I just want to restate that position as we begin the discussion. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to the staff, Arimus, can you talk about and I know we just went through all these window revisions and everything, but talk about it being a noncontributing structure. I'm guessing that's because the (inaudible) doesn't fit within when they established the overlay, it wasn't 50 years old, being a noncontributing structure and then asking them	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	116 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So the majority of the windows are highly visible from the street. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair to staff, does the criteria treat noncontributing and contributing structures the same when it comes to the window regulations? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Glober, it does in regard to window replacements. It doesn't even specify in terms of the contributing status. It just refers to the material itself and whether or not that can be preserved or replaced. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I mean, I will say this: I want to be cognizant of our homeowner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	114 But as the applicant has stated, there are also buildings in the district that are contributing that have 1-over-1, and so I think that I just want to restate that position as we begin the discussion. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to the staff, Arimus, can you talk about and I know we just went through all these window revisions and everything, but talk about it being a noncontributing structure. I'm guessing that's because the (inaudible) doesn't fit within when they established the overlay, it wasn't 50 years old, being a noncontributing structure and then asking them to maintain a historic attribute of a house	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	116 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So the majority of the windows are highly visible from the street. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair to staff, does the criteria treat noncontributing and contributing structures the same when it comes to the window regulations? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Glober, it does in regard to window replacements. It doesn't even specify in terms of the contributing status. It just refers to the material itself and whether or not that can be preserved or replaced. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I mean, I will say this: I want to be cognizant of our homeowner here having spent over \$20,000 put into this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	114 But as the applicant has stated, there are also buildings in the district that are contributing that have 1-over-1, and so I think that I just want to restate that position as we begin the discussion. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to the staff, Arimus, can you talk about and I know we just went through all these window revisions and everything, but talk about it being a noncontributing structure. I'm guessing that's because the (inaudible) doesn't fit within when they established the overlay, it wasn't 50 years old, being a noncontributing structure and then asking them to maintain a historic attribute of a house that's noncontributing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	116 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So the majority of the windows are highly visible from the street. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair to staff, does the criteria treat noncontributing and contributing structures the same when it comes to the window regulations? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Glober, it does in regard to window replacements. It doesn't even specify in terms of the contributing status. It just refers to the material itself and whether or not that can be preserved or replaced. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I mean, I will say this: I want to be cognizant of our homeowner here having spent over \$20,000 put into this property or these windows, excuse me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	114 But as the applicant has stated, there are also buildings in the district that are contributing that have 1-over-1, and so I think that I just want to restate that position as we begin the discussion. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to the staff, Arimus, can you talk about and I know we just went through all these window revisions and everything, but talk about it being a noncontributing structure. I'm guessing that's because the (inaudible) doesn't fit within when they established the overlay, it wasn't 50 years old, being a noncontributing structure and then asking them to maintain a historic attribute of a house	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	116 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So the majority of the windows are highly visible from the street. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair to staff, does the criteria treat noncontributing and contributing structures the same when it comes to the window regulations? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Glober, it does in regard to window replacements. It doesn't even specify in terms of the contributing status. It just refers to the material itself and whether or not that can be preserved or replaced. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I mean, I will say this: I want to be cognizant of our homeowner here having spent over \$20,000 put into this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	114 But as the applicant has stated, there are also buildings in the district that are contributing that have 1-over-1, and so I think that I just want to restate that position as we begin the discussion. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to the staff, Arimus, can you talk about and I know we just went through all these window revisions and everything, but talk about it being a noncontributing structure. I'm guessing that's because the (inaudible) doesn't fit within when they established the overlay, it wasn't 50 years old, being a noncontributing structure and then asking them to maintain a historic attribute of a house that's noncontributing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	116 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So the majority of the windows are highly visible from the street. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair to staff, does the criteria treat noncontributing and contributing structures the same when it comes to the window regulations? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Glober, it does in regard to window replacements. It doesn't even specify in terms of the contributing status. It just refers to the material itself and whether or not that can be preserved or replaced. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I mean, I will say this: I want to be cognizant of our homeowner here having spent over \$20,000 put into this property or these windows, excuse me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	114 But as the applicant has stated, there are also buildings in the district that are contributing that have 1-over-1, and so I think that I just want to restate that position as we begin the discussion. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to the staff, Arimus, can you talk about and I know we just went through all these window revisions and everything, but talk about it being a noncontributing structure. I'm guessing that's because the (inaudible) doesn't fit within when they established the overlay, it wasn't 50 years old, being a noncontributing structure and then asking them to maintain a historic attribute of a house that's noncontributing. MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	116 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So the majority of the windows are highly visible from the street. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair to staff, does the criteria treat noncontributing and contributing structures the same when it comes to the window regulations? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Glober, it does in regard to window replacements. It doesn't even specify in terms of the contributing status. It just refers to the material itself and whether or not that can be preserved or replaced. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I mean, I will say this: I want to be cognizant of our homeowner here having spent over \$20,000 put into this property or these windows, excuse me. Maybe the applicant can come back up real
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	114 But as the applicant has stated, there are also buildings in the district that are contributing that have 1-over-1, and so I think that I just want to restate that position as we begin the discussion. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to the staff, Arimus, can you talk about and I know we just went through all these window revisions and everything, but talk about it being a noncontributing structure. I'm guessing that's because the (inaudible) doesn't fit within when they established the overlay, it wasn't 50 years old, being a noncontributing structure and then asking them to maintain a historic attribute of a house that's noncontributing. MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, we as staff, we struggle with the why this was listed as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	116 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So the majority of the windows are highly visible from the street. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair to staff, does the criteria treat noncontributing and contributing structures the same when it comes to the window regulations? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Glober, it does in regard to window replacements. It doesn't even specify in terms of the contributing status. It just refers to the material itself and whether or not that can be preserved or replaced. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I mean, I will say this: I want to be cognizant of our homeowner here having spent over \$20,000 put into this property or these windows, excuse me. Maybe the applicant can come back up real quick. Can you come back up? MR. WILLIAMS: Can I say something real
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	114 But as the applicant has stated, there are also buildings in the district that are contributing that have 1-over-1, and so I think that I just want to restate that position as we begin the discussion. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to the staff, Arimus, can you talk about and I know we just went through all these window revisions and everything, but talk about it being a noncontributing structure. I'm guessing that's because the (inaudible) doesn't fit within when they established the overlay, it wasn't 50 years old, being a noncontributing structure and then asking them to maintain a historic attribute of a house that's noncontributing. MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, we as staff, we struggle with the why this was listed as noncontributing because because it was built	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	116 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So the majority of the windows are highly visible from the street. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair to staff, does the criteria treat noncontributing and contributing structures the same when it comes to the window regulations? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Glober, it does in regard to window replacements. It doesn't even specify in terms of the contributing status. It just refers to the material itself and whether or not that can be preserved or replaced. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I mean, I will say this: I want to be cognizant of our homeowner here having spent over \$20,000 put into this property or these windows, excuse me. Maybe the applicant can come back up real quick. Can you come back up? MR. WILLIAMS: Can I say something real quick? I just want to say something. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	114 But as the applicant has stated, there are also buildings in the district that are contributing that have 1-over-1, and so I think that I just want to restate that position as we begin the discussion. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to the staff, Arimus, can you talk about and I know we just went through all these window revisions and everything, but talk about it being a noncontributing structure. I'm guessing that's because the (inaudible) doesn't fit within when they established the overlay, it wasn't 50 years old, being a noncontributing structure and then asking them to maintain a historic attribute of a house that's noncontributing. MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, we as staff, we struggle with the why this was listed as noncontributing because because it was built within the period of significance, so the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	116 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So the majority of the windows are highly visible from the street. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair to staff, does the criteria treat noncontributing and contributing structures the same when it comes to the window regulations? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Glober, it does in regard to window replacements. It doesn't even specify in terms of the contributing status. It just refers to the material itself and whether or not that can be preserved or replaced. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I mean, I will say this: I want to be cognizant of our homeowner here having spent over \$20,000 put into this property or these windows, excuse me. Maybe the applicant can come back up real quick. Can you come back up? MR. WILLIAMS: Can I say something real quick? I just want to say something. I actually retired. I lived in Atlanta at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	114 But as the applicant has stated, there are also buildings in the district that are contributing that have 1-over-1, and so I think that I just want to restate that position as we begin the discussion. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to the staff, Arimus, can you talk about and I know we just went through all these window revisions and everything, but talk about it being a noncontributing structure. I'm guessing that's because the (inaudible) doesn't fit within when they established the overlay, it wasn't 50 years old, being a noncontributing structure and then asking them to maintain a historic attribute of a house that's noncontributing. MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, we as staff, we struggle with the why this was listed as noncontributing because because it was built within the period of significance, so the master site file that was done for the property	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	116 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So the majority of the windows are highly visible from the street. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair to staff, does the criteria treat noncontributing and contributing structures the same when it comes to the window regulations? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Glober, it does in regard to window replacements. It doesn't even specify in terms of the contributing status. It just refers to the material itself and whether or not that can be preserved or replaced. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I mean, I will say this: I want to be cognizant of our homeowner here having spent over \$20,000 put into this property or these windows, excuse me. Maybe the applicant can come back up real quick. Can you come back up? MR. WILLIAMS: Can I say something real quick? I just want to say something. I actually retired. I lived in Atlanta at the time I bought this house and I retired to move
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	114 But as the applicant has stated, there are also buildings in the district that are contributing that have 1-over-1, and so I think that I just want to restate that position as we begin the discussion. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to the staff, Arimus, can you talk about and I know we just went through all these window revisions and everything, but talk about it being a noncontributing structure. I'm guessing that's because the (inaudible) doesn't fit within when they established the overlay, it wasn't 50 years old, being a noncontributing structure and then asking them to maintain a historic attribute of a house that's noncontributing. MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, we as staff, we struggle with the why this was listed as noncontributing because because it was built within the period of significance, so the master site file that was done for the property in 1985 didn't really give too much detail	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	116 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So the majority of the windows are highly visible from the street. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair to staff, does the criteria treat noncontributing and contributing structures the same when it comes to the window regulations? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Glober, it does in regard to window replacements. It doesn't even specify in terms of the contributing status. It just refers to the material itself and whether or not that can be preserved or replaced. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I mean, I will say this: I want to be cognizant of our homeowner here having spent over \$20,000 put into this property or these windows, excuse me. Maybe the applicant can come back up real quick. Can you come back up? MR. WILLIAMS: Can I say something real quick? I just want to say something. I actually retired. I lived in Atlanta at the time I bought this house and I retired to move down here to be a part of the community. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	114 But as the applicant has stated, there are also buildings in the district that are contributing that have 1-over-1, and so I think that I just want to restate that position as we begin the discussion. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to the staff, Arimus, can you talk about and I know we just went through all these window revisions and everything, but talk about it being a noncontributing structure. I'm guessing that's because the (inaudible) doesn't fit within when they established the overlay, it wasn't 50 years old, being a noncontributing structure and then asking them to maintain a historic attribute of a house that's noncontributing. MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, we as staff, we struggle with the why this was listed as noncontributing because because it was built within the period of significance, so the master site file that was done for the property in 1985 didn't really give too much detail regarding what was done to the property to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	116 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So the majority of the windows are highly visible from the street. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair to staff, does the criteria treat noncontributing and contributing structures the same when it comes to the window regulations? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Glober, it does in regard to window replacements. It doesn't even specify in terms of the contributing status. It just refers to the material itself and whether or not that can be preserved or replaced. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I mean, I will say this: I want to be cognizant of our homeowner here having spent over \$20,000 put into this property or these windows, excuse me. Maybe the applicant can come back up real quick. Can you come back up? MR. WILLIAMS: Can I say something real quick? I just want to say something. I actually retired. I lived in Atlanta at the time I bought this house and I retired to move down here to be a part of the community. And if I had known that this was the situation, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	114 But as the applicant has stated, there are also buildings in the district that are contributing that have 1-over-1, and so I think that I just want to restate that position as we begin the discussion. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to the staff, Arimus, can you talk about and I know we just went through all these window revisions and everything, but talk about it being a noncontributing structure. I'm guessing that's because the (inaudible) doesn't fit within when they established the overlay, it wasn't 50 years old, being a noncontributing structure and then asking them to maintain a historic attribute of a house that's noncontributing. MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, we as staff, we struggle with the why this was listed as noncontributing because because it was built within the period of significance, so the master site file that was done for the property in 1985 didn't really give too much detail regarding what was done to the property to convey its lack of significance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	116 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So the majority of the windows are highly visible from the street. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair to staff, does the criteria treat noncontributing and contributing structures the same when it comes to the window regulations? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Glober, it does in regard to window replacements. It doesn't even specify in terms of the contributing status. It just refers to the material itself and whether or not that can be preserved or replaced. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I mean, I will say this: I want to be cognizant of our homeowner here having spent over \$20,000 put into this property or these windows, excuse me. Maybe the applicant can come back up real quick. Can you come back up? MR. WILLIAMS: Can I say something real quick? I just want to say something. I actually retired. I lived in Atlanta at the time I bought this house and I retired to move down here to be a part of the community. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	114 But as the applicant has stated, there are also buildings in the district that are contributing that have 1-over-1, and so I think that I just want to restate that position as we begin the discussion. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair to the staff, Arimus, can you talk about and I know we just went through all these window revisions and everything, but talk about it being a noncontributing structure. I'm guessing that's because the (inaudible) doesn't fit within when they established the overlay, it wasn't 50 years old, being a noncontributing structure and then asking them to maintain a historic attribute of a house that's noncontributing. MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, we as staff, we struggle with the why this was listed as noncontributing because because it was built within the period of significance, so the master site file that was done for the property in 1985 didn't really give too much detail regarding what was done to the property to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	116 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So the majority of the windows are highly visible from the street. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair to staff, does the criteria treat noncontributing and contributing structures the same when it comes to the window regulations? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Glober, it does in regard to window replacements. It doesn't even specify in terms of the contributing status. It just refers to the material itself and whether or not that can be preserved or replaced. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I mean, I will say this: I want to be cognizant of our homeowner here having spent over \$20,000 put into this property or these windows, excuse me. Maybe the applicant can come back up real quick. Can you come back up? MR. WILLIAMS: Can I say something real quick? I just want to say something. I actually retired. I lived in Atlanta at the time I bought this house and I retired to move down here to be a part of the community. And if I had known that this was the situation, I

	f Jacksonville		June 26, 2024
Histor	ic Preservation Commission 117		Uncertified Condensed Copy 119
1	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I understand that.	1	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Through the acting
2	MR. WILLIAMS: because I'm really	2	chair, I just have to think in the age of 3D
3	trying to I mean, my whole point is to live	3	printing that there is a relatively economic
4	here and improve the area, and I again, from	4	solution to creating the 9 on the top of
5	an aesthetics standpoint, when I look around	5	that that maybe the manufacturer can't do,
6	the neighborhood at what's going on, I still	6	but some other
7	see this as an improvement. And again, I got a	7	THE CHAIRMAN: Third party?
8	lot of money invested in this.	8	COMMISSIONER HOFF: provider could
9	I apologize, but	9	THE CHAIRMAN: You know, I hear what
10	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: A couple of	10	you're saying, but Commissioner Hoff, but
11	questions.	11	that's highly speculative. But following that
12	MR. WILLIAMS: Okay.	12	thread, if we follow that thread, I think that
13	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Home Depot, what	13	a potential amendment might be to put it upon
14	have your efforts been to try to get them to	14	the homeowner/applicant to provide written
15	either return the windows or possibly, like	15	confirmation from Home Depot or the window
16	Commissioner Epstein mentioned, have some sort	16	manufacturer that it's either accepting or not
17	of way to revise the upper part of the windows	17	accepting of such a thing.
18	to include the muntins? Has there been an	18	Right now and I'm I understand the
19	effort on that part?	19	testimony of the applicant, but I think that
20	MR. WILLIAMS: There's been a significant	20	that's a that's something that would
21	effort on that part. And, like I said, I could	21	definitely sway me to not consider that on as a
22	buy some new windows, but these particular	22	condition, right?
23	windows, there's nothing that can be done with	23	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: So maybe we would
24	them in the present state that they're in.	24	defer this one? We'd give him more time to
25	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Were these	25	come back with more information?
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	118		120
1	custom-made windows or	1	THE CHAIRMAN: I think it's I think we
2	MR. WILLIAMS: They were made for the	2	need to talk about it a little bit more. I
3	27 they were made for these I mean, these	3	think we might be able to amend the COA to
4	are not normal windows.	4	provide some conditions, that it wouldn't have
E			
5	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay.	5	to come back before the Commission, that it
5 6	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MR. WILLIAMS: You know, these are	5 6	could work if that couldn't be proven if
		-	
6	MR. WILLIAMS: You know, these are	6	could work if that couldn't be proven if
6 7	MR. WILLIAMS: You know, these are COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah, you can't buy	6 7	could work if that couldn't be proven if we're okay with the 1-over-1 as have been
6 7 8	MR. WILLIAMS: You know, these are COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah, you can't buy them off the shelf at	6 7 8	could work if that couldn't be proven if we're okay with the 1-over-1 as have been purchased, if that can't be proven, I think we
6 7 8 9	MR. WILLIAMS: You know, these are COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah, you can't buy them off the shelf at MR. WILLIAMS: No, no, no. And, again, I went through what I thought was a reputable company in Home Depot. I	6 7 8 9	could work if that couldn't be proven if we're okay with the 1-over-1 as have been purchased, if that can't be proven, I think we could provide that we could make a stipulation in the COA so that he wouldn't have to come back here, he could just do it
6 7 8 9 10	MR. WILLIAMS: You know, these are COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah, you can't buy them off the shelf at MR. WILLIAMS: No, no, no. And, again, I went through what I thought was a reputable company in Home Depot. I followed their advice, their direction,	6 7 8 9 10	could work if that couldn't be proven if we're okay with the 1-over-1 as have been purchased, if that can't be proven, I think we could provide that we could make a stipulation in the COA so that he wouldn't have to come back here, he could just do it administratively.
6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. WILLIAMS: You know, these are COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah, you can't buy them off the shelf at MR. WILLIAMS: No, no, no. And, again, I went through what I thought was a reputable company in Home Depot. I followed their advice, their direction, everything. I didn't it wasn't like I went	6 7 8 9 10 11	could work if that couldn't be proven if we're okay with the 1-over-1 as have been purchased, if that can't be proven, I think we could provide that we could make a stipulation in the COA so that he wouldn't have to come back here, he could just do it administratively. But I think the big question there's
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. WILLIAMS: You know, these are COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah, you can't buy them off the shelf at MR. WILLIAMS: No, no, no. And, again, I went through what I thought was a reputable company in Home Depot. I followed their advice, their direction, everything. I didn't it wasn't like I went to Joe Shmoe and tried to back-door some	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	could work if that couldn't be proven if we're okay with the 1-over-1 as have been purchased, if that can't be proven, I think we could provide that we could make a stipulation in the COA so that he wouldn't have to come back here, he could just do it administratively. But I think the big question there's two things. The big question is, is the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. WILLIAMS: You know, these are COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah, you can't buy them off the shelf at MR. WILLIAMS: No, no, no. And, again, I went through what I thought was a reputable company in Home Depot. I followed their advice, their direction, everything. I didn't it wasn't like I went to Joe Shmoe and tried to back-door some windows.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	could work if that couldn't be proven if we're okay with the 1-over-1 as have been purchased, if that can't be proven, I think we could provide that we could make a stipulation in the COA so that he wouldn't have to come back here, he could just do it administratively. But I think the big question there's two things. The big question is, is the 1-over-1 okay? And we can't I mean, please,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. WILLIAMS: You know, these are COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah, you can't buy them off the shelf at MR. WILLIAMS: No, no, no. And, again, I went through what I thought was a reputable company in Home Depot. I followed their advice, their direction, everything. I didn't it wasn't like I went to Joe Shmoe and tried to back-door some windows. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I understand.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	could work if that couldn't be proven if we're okay with the 1-over-1 as have been purchased, if that can't be proven, I think we could provide that we could make a stipulation in the COA so that he wouldn't have to come back here, he could just do it administratively. But I think the big question there's two things. The big question is, is the 1-over-1 okay? And we can't I mean, please, no disrespect to the owner/applicant, but we
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. WILLIAMS: You know, these are COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah, you can't buy them off the shelf at MR. WILLIAMS: No, no, no. And, again, I went through what I thought was a reputable company in Home Depot. I followed their advice, their direction, everything. I didn't it wasn't like I went to Joe Shmoe and tried to back-door some windows. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I understand. MR. WILLIAMS: I really didn't.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	could work if that couldn't be proven if we're okay with the 1-over-1 as have been purchased, if that can't be proven, I think we could provide that we could make a stipulation in the COA so that he wouldn't have to come back here, he could just do it administratively. But I think the big question there's two things. The big question is, is the 1-over-1 okay? And we can't I mean, please, no disrespect to the owner/applicant, but we really shouldn't be considering it relative to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. WILLIAMS: You know, these are COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah, you can't buy them off the shelf at MR. WILLIAMS: No, no, no. And, again, I went through what I thought was a reputable company in Home Depot. I followed their advice, their direction, everything. I didn't it wasn't like I went to Joe Shmoe and tried to back-door some windows. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I understand. MR. WILLIAMS: I really didn't. THE CHAIRMAN: So there are two things	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	could work if that couldn't be proven if we're okay with the 1-over-1 as have been purchased, if that can't be proven, I think we could provide that we could make a stipulation in the COA so that he wouldn't have to come back here, he could just do it administratively. But I think the big question there's two things. The big question is, is the 1-over-1 okay? And we can't I mean, please, no disrespect to the owner/applicant, but we really shouldn't be considering it relative to what's purchased and in hand. It's really
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. WILLIAMS: You know, these are COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah, you can't buy them off the shelf at MR. WILLIAMS: No, no, no. And, again, I went through what I thought was a reputable company in Home Depot. I followed their advice, their direction, everything. I didn't it wasn't like I went to Joe Shmoe and tried to back-door some windows. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I understand. MR. WILLIAMS: I really didn't. THE CHAIRMAN: So there are two things here, right? I mean, there's the current	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	could work if that couldn't be proven if we're okay with the 1-over-1 as have been purchased, if that can't be proven, I think we could provide that we could make a stipulation in the COA so that he wouldn't have to come back here, he could just do it administratively. But I think the big question there's two things. The big question is, is the 1-over-1 okay? And we can't I mean, please, no disrespect to the owner/applicant, but we really shouldn't be considering it relative to what's purchased and in hand. It's really about what we think is acceptable in the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. WILLIAMS: You know, these are COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah, you can't buy them off the shelf at MR. WILLIAMS: No, no, no. And, again, I went through what I thought was a reputable company in Home Depot. I followed their advice, their direction, everything. I didn't it wasn't like I went to Joe Shmoe and tried to back-door some windows. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I understand. MR. WILLIAMS: I really didn't. THE CHAIRMAN: So there are two things here, right? I mean, there's the current approve with conditions, and there's the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	could work if that couldn't be proven if we're okay with the 1-over-1 as have been purchased, if that can't be proven, I think we could provide that we could make a stipulation in the COA so that he wouldn't have to come back here, he could just do it administratively. But I think the big question there's two things. The big question is, is the 1-over-1 okay? And we can't I mean, please, no disrespect to the owner/applicant, but we really shouldn't be considering it relative to what's purchased and in hand. It's really about what we think is acceptable in the district for a non what is deemed currently
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. WILLIAMS: You know, these are COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah, you can't buy them off the shelf at MR. WILLIAMS: No, no, no. And, again, I went through what I thought was a reputable company in Home Depot. I followed their advice, their direction, everything. I didn't it wasn't like I went to Joe Shmoe and tried to back-door some windows. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I understand. MR. WILLIAMS: I really didn't. THE CHAIRMAN: So there are two things here, right? I mean, there's the current approve with conditions, and there's the request to keep the purchased 1-over-1 windows.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	could work if that couldn't be proven if we're okay with the 1-over-1 as have been purchased, if that can't be proven, I think we could provide that we could make a stipulation in the COA so that he wouldn't have to come back here, he could just do it administratively. But I think the big question there's two things. The big question is, is the 1-over-1 okay? And we can't I mean, please, no disrespect to the owner/applicant, but we really shouldn't be considering it relative to what's purchased and in hand. It's really about what we think is acceptable in the district for a non what is deemed currently as a noncontributing structure in a
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. WILLIAMS: You know, these are COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah, you can't buy them off the shelf at MR. WILLIAMS: No, no, no. And, again, I went through what I thought was a reputable company in Home Depot. I followed their advice, their direction, everything. I didn't it wasn't like I went to Joe Shmoe and tried to back-door some windows. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I understand. MR. WILLIAMS: I really didn't. THE CHAIRMAN: So there are two things here, right? I mean, there's the current approve with conditions, and there's the request to keep the purchased 1-over-1 windows. And so we can have some more discussion or we	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	could work if that couldn't be proven if we're okay with the 1-over-1 as have been purchased, if that can't be proven, I think we could provide that we could make a stipulation in the COA so that he wouldn't have to come back here, he could just do it administratively. But I think the big question there's two things. The big question is, is the 1-over-1 okay? And we can't I mean, please, no disrespect to the owner/applicant, but we really shouldn't be considering it relative to what's purchased and in hand. It's really about what we think is acceptable in the district for a non what is deemed currently as a noncontributing structure in a neighborhood, in a historic district that has
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. WILLIAMS: You know, these are COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah, you can't buy them off the shelf at MR. WILLIAMS: No, no, no. And, again, I went through what I thought was a reputable company in Home Depot. I followed their advice, their direction, everything. I didn't it wasn't like I went to Joe Shmoe and tried to back-door some windows. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I understand. MR. WILLIAMS: I really didn't. THE CHAIRMAN: So there are two things here, right? I mean, there's the current approve with conditions, and there's the request to keep the purchased 1-over-1 windows. And so we can have some more discussion or we can have a propose an amendment to the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	could work if that couldn't be proven if we're okay with the 1-over-1 as have been purchased, if that can't be proven, I think we could provide that we could make a stipulation in the COA so that he wouldn't have to come back here, he could just do it administratively. But I think the big question there's two things. The big question is, is the 1-over-1 okay? And we can't I mean, please, no disrespect to the owner/applicant, but we really shouldn't be considering it relative to what's purchased and in hand. It's really about what we think is acceptable in the district for a non what is deemed currently as a noncontributing structure in a neighborhood, in a historic district that has both 1-over-1, 9-over-1, other window
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. WILLIAMS: You know, these are COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah, you can't buy them off the shelf at MR. WILLIAMS: No, no, no. And, again, I went through what I thought was a reputable company in Home Depot. I followed their advice, their direction, everything. I didn't it wasn't like I went to Joe Shmoe and tried to back-door some windows. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I understand. MR. WILLIAMS: I really didn't. THE CHAIRMAN: So there are two things here, right? I mean, there's the current approve with conditions, and there's the request to keep the purchased 1-over-1 windows. And so we can have some more discussion or we can have a propose an amendment to the condition to vote, or we can vote on it as it	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	could work if that couldn't be proven if we're okay with the 1-over-1 as have been purchased, if that can't be proven, I think we could provide that we could make a stipulation in the COA so that he wouldn't have to come back here, he could just do it administratively. But I think the big question there's two things. The big question is, is the 1-over-1 okay? And we can't I mean, please, no disrespect to the owner/applicant, but we really shouldn't be considering it relative to what's purchased and in hand. It's really about what we think is acceptable in the district for a non what is deemed currently as a noncontributing structure in a neighborhood, in a historic district that has both 1-over-1, 9-over-1, other window arrangements, is that okay or not okay for this
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. WILLIAMS: You know, these are COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah, you can't buy them off the shelf at MR. WILLIAMS: No, no, no. And, again, I went through what I thought was a reputable company in Home Depot. I followed their advice, their direction, everything. I didn't it wasn't like I went to Joe Shmoe and tried to back-door some windows. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I understand. MR. WILLIAMS: I really didn't. THE CHAIRMAN: So there are two things here, right? I mean, there's the current approve with conditions, and there's the request to keep the purchased 1-over-1 windows. And so we can have some more discussion or we can have a propose an amendment to the condition to vote, or we can vote on it as it is.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	could work if that couldn't be proven if we're okay with the 1-over-1 as have been purchased, if that can't be proven, I think we could provide that we could make a stipulation in the COA so that he wouldn't have to come back here, he could just do it administratively. But I think the big question there's two things. The big question is, is the 1-over-1 okay? And we can't I mean, please, no disrespect to the owner/applicant, but we really shouldn't be considering it relative to what's purchased and in hand. It's really about what we think is acceptable in the district for a non what is deemed currently as a noncontributing structure in a neighborhood, in a historic district that has both 1-over-1, 9-over-1, other window arrangements, is that okay or not okay for this noncontributing structure?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. WILLIAMS: You know, these are COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah, you can't buy them off the shelf at MR. WILLIAMS: No, no, no. And, again, I went through what I thought was a reputable company in Home Depot. I followed their advice, their direction, everything. I didn't it wasn't like I went to Joe Shmoe and tried to back-door some windows. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I understand. MR. WILLIAMS: I really didn't. THE CHAIRMAN: So there are two things here, right? I mean, there's the current approve with conditions, and there's the request to keep the purchased 1-over-1 windows. And so we can have some more discussion or we can have a propose an amendment to the condition to vote, or we can vote on it as it	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	could work if that couldn't be proven if we're okay with the 1-over-1 as have been purchased, if that can't be proven, I think we could provide that we could make a stipulation in the COA so that he wouldn't have to come back here, he could just do it administratively. But I think the big question there's two things. The big question is, is the 1-over-1 okay? And we can't I mean, please, no disrespect to the owner/applicant, but we really shouldn't be considering it relative to what's purchased and in hand. It's really about what we think is acceptable in the district for a non what is deemed currently as a noncontributing structure in a neighborhood, in a historic district that has both 1-over-1, 9-over-1, other window arrangements, is that okay or not okay for this

HISTOL	ic Preservation Commission	T	Uncertified Condensed Copy
	121		123
1	And we might stipulate that, if it's	1	different judgment for me.
2	possible, to do something with them, that it's	2	THE CHAIRMAN: More discussion or an
3	mandated to the owner to do it, but if but	3	amendment?
4	if not, then it's okay. I think that's the	4	COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the acting
5	heart of the issue, really.	5	chair, I agree with the commissioners. I'm
6	MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to the	6	kind of surprised that I've been on this
	-	-	•
7	Commission, one recommendation, if it's the	7	commission for a long time, and I'm surprised
8	will of the body to address this, may be	8	that we hold the same standard for contributing
9	amending Condition Number 1 that the lite	9	and noncontributing, and maybe at a later time
10	pattern of the 25 sash windows shall be	10	we can address that if we all agree that that
11	9-over-1 with exterior raised profiled muntins	11	should not be the same standard.
12	or a 1-over-1 lite pattern as approved by the	12	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Through the Chair to
13	Historic Preservation staff.	13	Counsel, can I withdraw my motion?
14	That could be one option where, if this	14	MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to
	• •		
15	body is okay with either of those lite	15	Commissioner Hoff, my recommendation would be
16	patterns, to allow staff to approve it after	16	to amend your motion if you're still wanting to
17	(inaudible) and prevent the applicant from	17	approve with certain conditions.
18	having to return here to you.	18	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. Through the
19	THE CHAIRMAN: What I like about that	19	acting chair, I will amend the motion so that
20	proposal is again, this is not a this is	20	1-over-1 windows could be acceptable with staff
21	not a contributing structure. If this were a	21	approval.
22	contributing structure, I think this would be a	22	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second.
23	-	23	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion?
	very different conversation, at least for me, I		
24	would have a I'm leaning towards voting for	24	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
25	the 1-over-1 being accepted, but if there	25	THE CHAIRMAN: We'll put it to the vote.
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	400		101
	122		124
1	were if this were clearly a historic	1	All those in favor of the amendment?
1	were if this were clearly a historic	1	All those in favor of the amendment?
2	were if this were clearly a historic structure and it was a contributing structure		All those in favor of the amendment? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
2 3	were if this were clearly a historic structure and it was a contributing structure in the district, I would probably be of a	2 3	All those in favor of the amendment? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed?
2 3 4	were if this were clearly a historic structure and it was a contributing structure in the district, I would probably be of a different opinion with the evidence presented.	2 3 4	All those in favor of the amendment? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
2 3 4 5	were if this were clearly a historic structure and it was a contributing structure in the district, I would probably be of a different opinion with the evidence presented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting	2 3 4 5	All those in favor of the amendment? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment is
2 3 4 5 6	were if this were clearly a historic structure and it was a contributing structure in the district, I would probably be of a different opinion with the evidence presented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, I agree with you a hundred percent.	2 3 4 5 6	All those in favor of the amendment? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment is unanimously approved.
2 3 4 5 6 7	were if this were clearly a historic structure and it was a contributing structure in the district, I would probably be of a different opinion with the evidence presented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, I agree with you a hundred percent. This coming up as noncontributing, whether or	2 3 4 5 6 7	All those in favor of the amendment? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment is unanimously approved. Someone move it as amended.
2 3 4 5 6	 were if this were clearly a historic structure and it was a contributing structure in the district, I would probably be of a different opinion with the evidence presented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, I agree with you a hundred percent. This coming up as noncontributing, whether or not that decision was based off of you know, 	2 3 4 5 6	All those in favor of the amendment? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment is unanimously approved. Someone move it as amended. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I move to vote to
2 3 4 5 6 7	were if this were clearly a historic structure and it was a contributing structure in the district, I would probably be of a different opinion with the evidence presented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, I agree with you a hundred percent. This coming up as noncontributing, whether or not that decision was based off of you know, maybe it is a historic building, but at the	2 3 4 5 6 7	All those in favor of the amendment? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment is unanimously approved. Someone move it as amended. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I move to vote to approve the amendment [sic] as amended.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 were if this were clearly a historic structure and it was a contributing structure in the district, I would probably be of a different opinion with the evidence presented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, I agree with you a hundred percent. This coming up as noncontributing, whether or not that decision was based off of you know, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	All those in favor of the amendment? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment is unanimously approved. Someone move it as amended. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I move to vote to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	were if this were clearly a historic structure and it was a contributing structure in the district, I would probably be of a different opinion with the evidence presented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, I agree with you a hundred percent. This coming up as noncontributing, whether or not that decision was based off of you know, maybe it is a historic building, but at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	All those in favor of the amendment? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment is unanimously approved. Someone move it as amended. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I move to vote to approve the amendment [sic] as amended.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	were if this were clearly a historic structure and it was a contributing structure in the district, I would probably be of a different opinion with the evidence presented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, I agree with you a hundred percent. This coming up as noncontributing, whether or not that decision was based off of you know, maybe it is a historic building, but at the time they decided because this is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	All those in favor of the amendment? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment is unanimously approved. Someone move it as amended. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I move to vote to approve the amendment [sic] as amended. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Second.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>were if this were clearly a historic structure and it was a contributing structure in the district, I would probably be of a different opinion with the evidence presented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, I agree with you a hundred percent. This coming up as noncontributing, whether or not that decision was based off of you know, maybe it is a historic building, but at the time they decided because this is a (inaudible) addition, it's not. (Reporter inquiry.)</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	All those in favor of the amendment? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment is unanimously approved. Someone move it as amended. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I move to vote to approve the amendment [sic] as amended. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>were if this were clearly a historic structure and it was a contributing structure in the district, I would probably be of a different opinion with the evidence presented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, I agree with you a hundred percent. This coming up as noncontributing, whether or not that decision was based off of you know, maybe it is a historic building, but at the time they decided because this is a (inaudible) addition, it's not. (Reporter inquiry.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm sorry.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	All those in favor of the amendment? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment is unanimously approved. Someone move it as amended. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I move to vote to approve the amendment [sic] as amended. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>were if this were clearly a historic structure and it was a contributing structure in the district, I would probably be of a different opinion with the evidence presented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, I agree with you a hundred percent. This coming up as noncontributing, whether or not that decision was based off of you know, maybe it is a historic building, but at the time they decided because this is a (inaudible) addition, it's not. (Reporter inquiry.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm sorry. To me, it's listed as a noncontributing</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	All those in favor of the amendment? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment is unanimously approved. Someone move it as amended. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I move to vote to approve the amendment [sic] as amended. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>were if this were clearly a historic structure and it was a contributing structure in the district, I would probably be of a different opinion with the evidence presented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, I agree with you a hundred percent. This coming up as noncontributing, whether or not that decision was based off of you know, maybe it is a historic building, but at the time they decided because this is a (inaudible) addition, it's not. (Reporter inquiry.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm sorry. To me, it's listed as a noncontributing structure, so, in my mind, it opens a little</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	All those in favor of the amendment? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment is unanimously approved. Someone move it as amended. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I move to vote to approve the amendment [sic] as amended. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. By your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>were if this were clearly a historic structure and it was a contributing structure in the district, I would probably be of a different opinion with the evidence presented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, I agree with you a hundred percent. This coming up as noncontributing, whether or not that decision was based off of you know, maybe it is a historic building, but at the time they decided because this is a (inaudible) addition, it's not. (Reporter inquiry.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm sorry. To me, it's listed as a noncontributing structure, so, in my mind, it opens a little bit more possibility for updating and not</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	All those in favor of the amendment? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment is unanimously approved. Someone move it as amended. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I move to vote to approve the amendment [sic] as amended. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. By your actions, you have approved with the amended
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>were if this were clearly a historic structure and it was a contributing structure in the district, I would probably be of a different opinion with the evidence presented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, I agree with you a hundred percent. This coming up as noncontributing, whether or not that decision was based off of you know, maybe it is a historic building, but at the time they decided because this is a (inaudible) addition, it's not. (Reporter inquiry.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm sorry. To me, it's listed as a noncontributing structure, so, in my mind, it opens a little bit more possibility for updating and not exactly matching what's there, but still</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	All those in favor of the amendment? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment is unanimously approved. Someone move it as amended. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I move to vote to approve the amendment [sic] as amended. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. By your actions, you have approved with the amended conditions COA-24-30488, 907 Copeland Street.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>were if this were clearly a historic structure and it was a contributing structure in the district, I would probably be of a different opinion with the evidence presented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, I agree with you a hundred percent. This coming up as noncontributing, whether or not that decision was based off of you know, maybe it is a historic building, but at the time they decided because this is a (inaudible) addition, it's not. (Reporter inquiry.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm sorry. To me, it's listed as a noncontributing structure, so, in my mind, it opens a little bit more possibility for updating and not exactly matching what's there, but still matching an historic guideline for the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	All those in favor of the amendment? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment is unanimously approved. Someone move it as amended. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I move to vote to approve the amendment [sic] as amended. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. By your actions, you have approved with the amended conditions COA-24-30488, 907 Copeland Street. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>were if this were clearly a historic structure and it was a contributing structure in the district, I would probably be of a different opinion with the evidence presented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, I agree with you a hundred percent. This coming up as noncontributing, whether or not that decision was based off of you know, maybe it is a historic building, but at the time they decided because this is a (inaudible) addition, it's not. (Reporter inquiry.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm sorry. To me, it's listed as a noncontributing structure, so, in my mind, it opens a little bit more possibility for updating and not exactly matching what's there, but still matching an historic guideline for the neighborhood, which 1-over-1 is acceptable.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	All those in favor of the amendment? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment is unanimously approved. Someone move it as amended. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I move to vote to approve the amendment [sic] as amended. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. By your actions, you have approved with the amended conditions COA-24-30488, 907 Copeland Street. Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I just want to say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>were if this were clearly a historic structure and it was a contributing structure in the district, I would probably be of a different opinion with the evidence presented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, I agree with you a hundred percent. This coming up as noncontributing, whether or not that decision was based off of you know, maybe it is a historic building, but at the time they decided because this is a (inaudible) addition, it's not. (Reporter inquiry.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm sorry. To me, it's listed as a noncontributing structure, so, in my mind, it opens a little bit more possibility for updating and not exactly matching what's there, but still matching an historic guideline for the neighborhood, which 1-over-1 is acceptable. Looking at some of these photos, there are</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	All those in favor of the amendment? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment is unanimously approved. Someone move it as amended. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I move to vote to approve the amendment [sic] as amended. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. By your actions, you have approved with the amended conditions COA-24-30488, 907 Copeland Street. Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I just want to say as an aside, I'm trying to set up a meeting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>were if this were clearly a historic structure and it was a contributing structure in the district, I would probably be of a different opinion with the evidence presented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, I agree with you a hundred percent. This coming up as noncontributing, whether or not that decision was based off of you know, maybe it is a historic building, but at the time they decided because this is a (inaudible) addition, it's not. (Reporter inquiry.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm sorry. To me, it's listed as a noncontributing structure, so, in my mind, it opens a little bit more possibility for updating and not exactly matching what's there, but still matching an historic guideline for the neighborhood, which 1-over-1 is acceptable.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	All those in favor of the amendment? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment is unanimously approved. Someone move it as amended. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I move to vote to approve the amendment [sic] as amended. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. By your actions, you have approved with the amended conditions COA-24-30488, 907 Copeland Street. Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I just want to say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>were if this were clearly a historic structure and it was a contributing structure in the district, I would probably be of a different opinion with the evidence presented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, I agree with you a hundred percent. This coming up as noncontributing, whether or not that decision was based off of you know, maybe it is a historic building, but at the time they decided because this is a (inaudible) addition, it's not. (Reporter inquiry.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm sorry. To me, it's listed as a noncontributing structure, so, in my mind, it opens a little bit more possibility for updating and not exactly matching what's there, but still matching an historic guideline for the neighborhood, which 1-over-1 is acceptable. Looking at some of these photos, there are other window lite patterns that are on that</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	All those in favor of the amendment? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment is unanimously approved. Someone move it as amended. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I move to vote to approve the amendment [sic] as amended. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. By your actions, you have approved with the amended conditions COA-24-30488, 907 Copeland Street. Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I just want to say as an aside, I'm trying to set up a meeting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>were if this were clearly a historic structure and it was a contributing structure in the district, I would probably be of a different opinion with the evidence presented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, I agree with you a hundred percent. This coming up as noncontributing, whether or not that decision was based off of you know, maybe it is a historic building, but at the time they decided because this is a (inaudible) addition, it's not. (Reporter inquiry.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm sorry. To me, it's listed as a noncontributing structure, so, in my mind, it opens a little bit more possibility for updating and not exactly matching what's there, but still matching an historic guideline for the neighborhood, which 1-over-1 is acceptable. Looking at some of these photos, there are other window lite patterns that are on that little, wonky addition, 6-over-6, 6-over-1 I</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	All those in favor of the amendment? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment is unanimously approved. Someone move it as amended. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I move to vote to approve the amendment [sic] as amended. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. By your actions, you have approved with the amended conditions COA-24-30488, 907 Copeland Street. Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I just want to say as an aside, I'm trying to set up a meeting with Councilman Jimmy Peluso to establish more of what the National Park Service does for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>were if this were clearly a historic structure and it was a contributing structure in the district, I would probably be of a different opinion with the evidence presented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, I agree with you a hundred percent. This coming up as noncontributing, whether or not that decision was based off of you know, maybe it is a historic building, but at the time they decided because this is a (inaudible) addition, it's not. (Reporter inquiry.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm sorry. To me, it's listed as a noncontributing structure, so, in my mind, it opens a little bit more possibility for updating and not exactly matching what's there, but still matching an historic guideline for the neighborhood, which 1-over-1 is acceptable. Looking at some of these photos, there are other window lite patterns that are on that little, wonky addition, 6-over-6, 6-over-1 I believe I saw, so I know none of them are</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	All those in favor of the amendment? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment is unanimously approved. Someone move it as amended. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I move to vote to approve the amendment [sic] as amended. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. By your actions, you have approved with the amended conditions COA-24-30488, 907 Copeland Street. Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I just want to say as an aside, I'm trying to set up a meeting with Councilman Jimmy Peluso to establish more of what the National Park Service does for contributing and noncontributing structures for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>were if this were clearly a historic structure and it was a contributing structure in the district, I would probably be of a different opinion with the evidence presented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, I agree with you a hundred percent. This coming up as noncontributing, whether or not that decision was based off of you know, maybe it is a historic building, but at the time they decided because this is a (inaudible) addition, it's not. (Reporter inquiry.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm sorry. To me, it's listed as a noncontributing structure, so, in my mind, it opens a little bit more possibility for updating and not exactly matching what's there, but still matching an historic guideline for the neighborhood, which 1-over-1 is acceptable. Looking at some of these photos, there are other window lite patterns that are on that little, wonky addition, 6-over-6, 6-over-1 I believe I saw, so I know none of them are 1-over-1, but, in my mind, this coming in as a</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	All those in favor of the amendment? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment is unanimously approved. Someone move it as amended. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I move to vote to approve the amendment [sic] as amended. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. By your actions, you have approved with the amended conditions COA-24-30488, 907 Copeland Street. Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I just want to say as an aside, I'm trying to set up a meeting with Councilman Jimmy Peluso to establish more of what the National Park Service does for contributing and noncontributing structures for Riverside/Avondale, some of these locations
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>were if this were clearly a historic structure and it was a contributing structure in the district, I would probably be of a different opinion with the evidence presented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, I agree with you a hundred percent. This coming up as noncontributing, whether or not that decision was based off of you know, maybe it is a historic building, but at the time they decided because this is a (inaudible) addition, it's not. (Reporter inquiry.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm sorry. To me, it's listed as a noncontributing structure, so, in my mind, it opens a little bit more possibility for updating and not exactly matching what's there, but still matching an historic guideline for the neighborhood, which 1-over-1 is acceptable. Looking at some of these photos, there are other window lite patterns that are on that little, wonky addition, 6-over-6, 6-over-1 I believe I saw, so I know none of them are 1-over-1, but, in my mind, this coming in as a noncontributing structure, it triggers a</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	All those in favor of the amendment? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment is unanimously approved. Someone move it as amended. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I move to vote to approve the amendment [sic] as amended. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. By your actions, you have approved with the amended conditions COA-24-30488, 907 Copeland Street. Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I just want to say as an aside, I'm trying to set up a meeting with Councilman Jimmy Peluso to establish more of what the National Park Service does for contributing and noncontributing structures for Riverside/Avondale, some of these locations where it's a moving timeline and not just, at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>were if this were clearly a historic structure and it was a contributing structure in the district, I would probably be of a different opinion with the evidence presented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the acting chair, I agree with you a hundred percent. This coming up as noncontributing, whether or not that decision was based off of you know, maybe it is a historic building, but at the time they decided because this is a (inaudible) addition, it's not. (Reporter inquiry.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm sorry. To me, it's listed as a noncontributing structure, so, in my mind, it opens a little bit more possibility for updating and not exactly matching what's there, but still matching an historic guideline for the neighborhood, which 1-over-1 is acceptable. Looking at some of these photos, there are other window lite patterns that are on that little, wonky addition, 6-over-6, 6-over-1 I believe I saw, so I know none of them are 1-over-1, but, in my mind, this coming in as a</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	All those in favor of the amendment? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment is unanimously approved. Someone move it as amended. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I move to vote to approve the amendment [sic] as amended. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. By your actions, you have approved with the amended conditions COA-24-30488, 907 Copeland Street. Thank you. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I just want to say as an aside, I'm trying to set up a meeting with Councilman Jimmy Peluso to establish more of what the National Park Service does for contributing and noncontributing structures for Riverside/Avondale, some of these locations

June 26, 2024

Uncertified Condensed Copy

-	fJacksonville		June 26, 2024
Histor	ic Preservation Commission 125		Uncertified Condensed Copy 127
1	this point in time we decide what was historic,	1	left side elevation, towards the front, were
2	because I think that creates a huge problem in	2	already approved under a previous COA, which is
3	all the neighborhoods that come with some	3	COA-20-24258. There are no records indicating
4	things like this.	4	that the remaining 22 windows have been
5	So if any of the other commissioners would	5	replaced or restored.
6	like to join me when I set up that meeting,	6	The 14 windows contemplated for
7	please let me know.	7	replacement are as follows: So that's six
8	THE CHAIRMAN: That's awesome.	8	windows located on the front elevation; two
9	MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair, I'd just	9	windows located on the right side elevation,
10	like to remind you, if you	10	towards the front; six windows on the left side
11	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you all. Have a good	11	elevation.
12	evening.	12	Based on our site visit that was conducted
13	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir.	13	on May 15th, 2024, by staff, we concluded that
14	MS. LOPERA: I just want to caution you	14	multiple we took multiple photographs and
15	that's a great idea, I love the ideas here, but	15	examined the 14 windows proposed for
16	if you are going to meet with other	16	replacement. From our analysis and
17	commissioners to discuss issues that could	17	observation, it was evident that the subject
18	potentially come before the Commission, those	18	windows are in a repairable condition and would
19	meetings do need to be noticed and to properly	19	need minor repairs to those window sashes,
20	comply with the Sunshine Law.	20	cords, rails, stiles, muntins, sills, and the
21	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I will make sure I	21	one glass pane. Staff did not find any
22	do that and tell you about it beforehand.	22	significant damage to the entirety of the
23	MS. LOPERA: Thank you.	23	windows, such as wood rot, broken glass, or
24	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. So moving on,	24	termite damage.
25	the next COA is COA-24-30720, 3575 Riverside	25	Again, staff has come to the determination
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	400		
	126		128
1	Avenue.	1	that, after some reasonable repairs, the
1 2	Avenue. (Audience member approaches the podium.)	1 2	
	Avenue.		that, after some reasonable repairs, the windows could be restored. We also could not find anything in the permitting system of the
2	Avenue. (Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: You saved the best for last.	2	that, after some reasonable repairs, the windows could be restored. We also could not find anything in the permitting system of the 14 windows or wood windows ever being
2 3	Avenue. (Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: You saved the best for	2	that, after some reasonable repairs, the windows could be restored. We also could not find anything in the permitting system of the 14 windows or wood windows ever being replaced; therefore, we find that the
2 3 4	Avenue. (Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: You saved the best for last. THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing.	2 3 4	that, after some reasonable repairs, the windows could be restored. We also could not find anything in the permitting system of the 14 windows or wood windows ever being replaced; therefore, we find that the windows given the condition of the in its
2 3 4 5	Avenue. (Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: You saved the best for last. THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing. And we'll hear the staff report first.	2 3 4 5	that, after some reasonable repairs, the windows could be restored. We also could not find anything in the permitting system of the 14 windows or wood windows ever being replaced; therefore, we find that the windows given the condition of the in its current state as gaining historic significance,
2 3 4 5 6	Avenue. (Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: You saved the best for last. THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing. And we'll hear the staff report first. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6	that, after some reasonable repairs, the windows could be restored. We also could not find anything in the permitting system of the 14 windows or wood windows ever being replaced; therefore, we find that the windows given the condition of the in its current state as gaining historic significance, if not being original to the site itself.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Avenue. (Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: You saved the best for last. THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing. And we'll hear the staff report first. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay. MR. WELLS: All right. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7	that, after some reasonable repairs, the windows could be restored. We also could not find anything in the permitting system of the 14 windows or wood windows ever being replaced; therefore, we find that the windows given the condition of the in its current state as gaining historic significance, if not being original to the site itself. Staff would also like to point out the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Avenue. (Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: You saved the best for last. THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing. And we'll hear the staff report first. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay. MR. WELLS: All right. Thank you. This is application COA-24-30720 for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that, after some reasonable repairs, the windows could be restored. We also could not find anything in the permitting system of the 14 windows or wood windows ever being replaced; therefore, we find that the windows given the condition of the in its current state as gaining historic significance, if not being original to the site itself. Staff would also like to point out the previous COA, which, again, approved three
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Avenue. (Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: You saved the best for last. THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing. And we'll hear the staff report first. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay. MR. WELLS: All right. Thank you. This is application COA-24-30720 for the property located at 3575 Riverside Avenue,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that, after some reasonable repairs, the windows could be restored. We also could not find anything in the permitting system of the 14 windows or wood windows ever being replaced; therefore, we find that the windows given the condition of the in its current state as gaining historic significance, if not being original to the site itself. Staff would also like to point out the previous COA, which, again, approved three windows on the structure for replacement. If
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Avenue. (Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: You saved the best for last. THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing. And we'll hear the staff report first. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay. MR. WELLS: All right. Thank you. This is application COA-24-30720 for the property located at 3575 Riverside Avenue, which seeks to replace 14 wood windows with a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that, after some reasonable repairs, the windows could be restored. We also could not find anything in the permitting system of the 14 windows or wood windows ever being replaced; therefore, we find that the windows given the condition of the in its current state as gaining historic significance, if not being original to the site itself. Staff would also like to point out the previous COA, which, again, approved three windows on the structure for replacement. If you compare the pictures from those with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Avenue. (Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: You saved the best for last. THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing. And we'll hear the staff report first. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay. MR. WELLS: All right. Thank you. This is application COA-24-30720 for the property located at 3575 Riverside Avenue, which seeks to replace 14 wood windows with a Marvin clad wood clad replacement product.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that, after some reasonable repairs, the windows could be restored. We also could not find anything in the permitting system of the 14 windows or wood windows ever being replaced; therefore, we find that the windows given the condition of the in its current state as gaining historic significance, if not being original to the site itself. Staff would also like to point out the previous COA, which, again, approved three windows on the structure for replacement. If you compare the pictures from those with the current COA, the degree of deterioration is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Avenue. (Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: You saved the best for last. THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing. And we'll hear the staff report first. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay. MR. WELLS: All right. Thank you. This is application COA-24-30720 for the property located at 3575 Riverside Avenue, which seeks to replace 14 wood windows with a Marvin clad wood clad replacement product. This property is a contributing structure	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that, after some reasonable repairs, the windows could be restored. We also could not find anything in the permitting system of the 14 windows or wood windows ever being replaced; therefore, we find that the windows given the condition of the in its current state as gaining historic significance, if not being original to the site itself. Staff would also like to point out the previous COA, which, again, approved three windows on the structure for replacement. If you compare the pictures from those with the current COA, the degree of deterioration is substantially different. There is evidence of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Avenue. (Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: You saved the best for last. THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing. And we'll hear the staff report first. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay. MR. WELLS: All right. Thank you. This is application COA-24-30720 for the property located at 3575 Riverside Avenue, which seeks to replace 14 wood windows with a Marvin clad wood clad replacement product. This property is a contributing structure located within the Riverside Avondale Historic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that, after some reasonable repairs, the windows could be restored. We also could not find anything in the permitting system of the 14 windows or wood windows ever being replaced; therefore, we find that the windows given the condition of the in its current state as gaining historic significance, if not being original to the site itself. Staff would also like to point out the previous COA, which, again, approved three windows on the structure for replacement. If you compare the pictures from those with the current COA, the degree of deterioration is substantially different. There is evidence of wood rot for the 2020 COA as compared to this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Avenue. (Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: You saved the best for last. THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing. And we'll hear the staff report first. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay. MR. WELLS: All right. Thank you. This is application COA-24-30720 for the property located at 3575 Riverside Avenue, which seeks to replace 14 wood windows with a Marvin clad wood clad replacement product. This property is a contributing structure located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The structure is a Colonial Revival	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that, after some reasonable repairs, the windows could be restored. We also could not find anything in the permitting system of the 14 windows or wood windows ever being replaced; therefore, we find that the windows given the condition of the in its current state as gaining historic significance, if not being original to the site itself. Staff would also like to point out the previous COA, which, again, approved three windows on the structure for replacement. If you compare the pictures from those with the current COA, the degree of deterioration is substantially different. There is evidence of wood rot for the 2020 COA as compared to this one which staff did not find a suitable
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Avenue. (Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: You saved the best for last. THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing. And we'll hear the staff report first. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay. MR. WELLS: All right. Thank you. This is application COA-24-30720 for the property located at 3575 Riverside Avenue, which seeks to replace 14 wood windows with a Marvin clad wood clad replacement product. This property is a contributing structure located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The structure is a Colonial Revival styled home that could be characterized by its	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that, after some reasonable repairs, the windows could be restored. We also could not find anything in the permitting system of the 14 windows or wood windows ever being replaced; therefore, we find that the windows given the condition of the in its current state as gaining historic significance, if not being original to the site itself. Staff would also like to point out the previous COA, which, again, approved three windows on the structure for replacement. If you compare the pictures from those with the current COA, the degree of deterioration is substantially different. There is evidence of wood rot for the 2020 COA as compared to this one which staff did not find a suitable candidate for replacement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Avenue. (Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: You saved the best for last. THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing. And we'll hear the staff report first. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay. MR. WELLS: All right. Thank you. This is application COA-24-30720 for the property located at 3575 Riverside Avenue, which seeks to replace 14 wood windows with a Marvin clad wood clad replacement product. This property is a contributing structure located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The structure is a Colonial Revival styled home that could be characterized by its gabled roof, portico with the pediment and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that, after some reasonable repairs, the windows could be restored. We also could not find anything in the permitting system of the 14 windows or wood windows ever being replaced; therefore, we find that the windows given the condition of the in its current state as gaining historic significance, if not being original to the site itself. Staff would also like to point out the previous COA, which, again, approved three windows on the structure for replacement. If you compare the pictures from those with the current COA, the degree of deterioration is substantially different. There is evidence of wood rot for the 2020 COA as compared to this one which staff did not find a suitable candidate for replacement. So based on that, we are obligated to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Avenue. (Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: You saved the best for last. THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing. And we'll hear the staff report first. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay. MR. WELLS: All right. Thank you. This is application COA-24-30720 for the property located at 3575 Riverside Avenue, which seeks to replace 14 wood windows with a Marvin clad wood clad replacement product. This property is a contributing structure located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The structure is a Colonial Revival styled home that could be characterized by its gabled roof, portico with the pediment and square columns, wood clapboard siding, 4-over-1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that, after some reasonable repairs, the windows could be restored. We also could not find anything in the permitting system of the 14 windows or wood windows ever being replaced; therefore, we find that the windows given the condition of the in its current state as gaining historic significance, if not being original to the site itself. Staff would also like to point out the previous COA, which, again, approved three windows on the structure for replacement. If you compare the pictures from those with the current COA, the degree of deterioration is substantially different. There is evidence of wood rot for the 2020 COA as compared to this one which staff did not find a suitable candidate for replacement. So based on that, we are obligated to recommend denial for the current COA.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Avenue. (Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: You saved the best for last. THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing. And we'll hear the staff report first. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay. MR. WELLS: All right. Thank you. This is application COA-24-30720 for the property located at 3575 Riverside Avenue, which seeks to replace 14 wood windows with a Marvin clad wood clad replacement product. This property is a contributing structure located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The structure is a Colonial Revival styled home that could be characterized by its gabled roof, portico with the pediment and square columns, wood clapboard siding, 4-over-1 and 3-over-1 windows. Based on our records,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that, after some reasonable repairs, the windows could be restored. We also could not find anything in the permitting system of the 14 windows or wood windows ever being replaced; therefore, we find that the windows given the condition of the in its current state as gaining historic significance, if not being original to the site itself. Staff would also like to point out the previous COA, which, again, approved three windows on the structure for replacement. If you compare the pictures from those with the current COA, the degree of deterioration is substantially different. There is evidence of wood rot for the 2020 COA as compared to this one which staff did not find a suitable candidate for replacement. So based on that, we are obligated to recommend denial for the current COA. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Avenue. (Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: You saved the best for last. THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing. And we'll hear the staff report first. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay. MR. WELLS: All right. Thank you. This is application COA-24-30720 for the property located at 3575 Riverside Avenue, which seeks to replace 14 wood windows with a Marvin clad wood clad replacement product. This property is a contributing structure located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The structure is a Colonial Revival styled home that could be characterized by its gabled roof, portico with the pediment and square columns, wood clapboard siding, 4-over-1 and 3-over-1 windows. Based on our records, few alterations have occurred to the structure	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that, after some reasonable repairs, the windows could be restored. We also could not find anything in the permitting system of the 14 windows or wood windows ever being replaced; therefore, we find that the windows given the condition of the in its current state as gaining historic significance, if not being original to the site itself. Staff would also like to point out the previous COA, which, again, approved three windows on the structure for replacement. If you compare the pictures from those with the current COA, the degree of deterioration is substantially different. There is evidence of wood rot for the 2020 COA as compared to this one which staff did not find a suitable candidate for replacement. So based on that, we are obligated to recommend denial for the current COA. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Avenue. (Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: You saved the best for last. THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing. And we'll hear the staff report first. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay. MR. WELLS: All right. Thank you. This is application COA-24-30720 for the property located at 3575 Riverside Avenue, which seeks to replace 14 wood windows with a Marvin clad wood clad replacement product. This property is a contributing structure located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The structure is a Colonial Revival styled home that could be characterized by its gabled roof, portico with the pediment and square columns, wood clapboard siding, 4-over-1 and 3-over-1 windows. Based on our records, few alterations have occurred to the structure throughout the years.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that, after some reasonable repairs, the windows could be restored. We also could not find anything in the permitting system of the 14 windows or wood windows ever being replaced; therefore, we find that the windows given the condition of the in its current state as gaining historic significance, if not being original to the site itself. Staff would also like to point out the previous COA, which, again, approved three windows on the structure for replacement. If you compare the pictures from those with the current COA, the degree of deterioration is substantially different. There is evidence of wood rot for the 2020 COA as compared to this one which staff did not find a suitable candidate for replacement. So based on that, we are obligated to recommend denial for the current COA. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus. Do we have questions from Commissioners on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Avenue. (Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: You saved the best for last. THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing. And we'll hear the staff report first. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay. MR. WELLS: All right. Thank you. This is application COA-24-30720 for the property located at 3575 Riverside Avenue, which seeks to replace 14 wood windows with a Marvin clad wood clad replacement product. This property is a contributing structure located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The structure is a Colonial Revival styled home that could be characterized by its gabled roof, portico with the pediment and square columns, wood clapboard siding, 4-over-1 and 3-over-1 windows. Based on our records, few alterations have occurred to the structure throughout the years. Again, this existing structure has a total	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that, after some reasonable repairs, the windows could be restored. We also could not find anything in the permitting system of the 14 windows or wood windows ever being replaced; therefore, we find that the windows given the condition of the in its current state as gaining historic significance, if not being original to the site itself. Staff would also like to point out the previous COA, which, again, approved three windows on the structure for replacement. If you compare the pictures from those with the current COA, the degree of deterioration is substantially different. There is evidence of wood rot for the 2020 COA as compared to this one which staff did not find a suitable candidate for replacement. So based on that, we are obligated to recommend denial for the current COA. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus. Do we have questions from Commissioners on this for staff?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Avenue. (Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: You saved the best for last. THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing. And we'll hear the staff report first. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay. MR. WELLS: All right. Thank you. This is application COA-24-30720 for the property located at 3575 Riverside Avenue, which seeks to replace 14 wood windows with a Marvin clad wood clad replacement product. This property is a contributing structure located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The structure is a Colonial Revival styled home that could be characterized by its gabled roof, portico with the pediment and square columns, wood clapboard siding, 4-over-1 and 3-over-1 windows. Based on our records, few alterations have occurred to the structure throughout the years. Again, this existing structure has a total of 25 windows, so this a partial window	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that, after some reasonable repairs, the windows could be restored. We also could not find anything in the permitting system of the 14 windows or wood windows ever being replaced; therefore, we find that the windows given the condition of the in its current state as gaining historic significance, if not being original to the site itself. Staff would also like to point out the previous COA, which, again, approved three windows on the structure for replacement. If you compare the pictures from those with the current COA, the degree of deterioration is substantially different. There is evidence of wood rot for the 2020 COA as compared to this one which staff did not find a suitable candidate for replacement. So based on that, we are obligated to recommend denial for the current COA. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus. Do we have questions from Commissioners on this for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Avenue. (Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: You saved the best for last. THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing. And we'll hear the staff report first. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay. MR. WELLS: All right. Thank you. This is application COA-24-30720 for the property located at 3575 Riverside Avenue, which seeks to replace 14 wood windows with a Marvin clad wood clad replacement product. This property is a contributing structure located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The structure is a Colonial Revival styled home that could be characterized by its gabled roof, portico with the pediment and square columns, wood clapboard siding, 4-over-1 and 3-over-1 windows. Based on our records, few alterations have occurred to the structure throughout the years. Again, this existing structure has a total of 25 windows, so this a partial window replacement. Three windows, located on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that, after some reasonable repairs, the windows could be restored. We also could not find anything in the permitting system of the 14 windows or wood windows ever being replaced; therefore, we find that the windows given the condition of the in its current state as gaining historic significance, if not being original to the site itself. Staff would also like to point out the previous COA, which, again, approved three windows on the structure for replacement. If you compare the pictures from those with the current COA, the degree of deterioration is substantially different. There is evidence of wood rot for the 2020 COA as compared to this one which staff did not find a suitable candidate for replacement. So based on that, we are obligated to recommend denial for the current COA. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus. Do we have questions from Commissioners on this for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then the applicant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Avenue. (Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: You saved the best for last. THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing. And we'll hear the staff report first. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay. MR. WELLS: All right. Thank you. This is application COA-24-30720 for the property located at 3575 Riverside Avenue, which seeks to replace 14 wood windows with a Marvin clad wood clad replacement product. This property is a contributing structure located within the Riverside Avondale Historic District. The structure is a Colonial Revival styled home that could be characterized by its gabled roof, portico with the pediment and square columns, wood clapboard siding, 4-over-1 and 3-over-1 windows. Based on our records, few alterations have occurred to the structure throughout the years. Again, this existing structure has a total of 25 windows, so this a partial window	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that, after some reasonable repairs, the windows could be restored. We also could not find anything in the permitting system of the 14 windows or wood windows ever being replaced; therefore, we find that the windows given the condition of the in its current state as gaining historic significance, if not being original to the site itself. Staff would also like to point out the previous COA, which, again, approved three windows on the structure for replacement. If you compare the pictures from those with the current COA, the degree of deterioration is substantially different. There is evidence of wood rot for the 2020 COA as compared to this one which staff did not find a suitable candidate for replacement. So based on that, we are obligated to recommend denial for the current COA. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Arimus. Do we have questions from Commissioners on this for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)

			Uncertified Condensed Copy
1 -	129	1	131
1	could come up.	1	recently. That window was has been
2	(Audience member approaches the podium.)	2	replaced. That's a replacement window.
3	THE CHAIRMAN: And state your name and	3	And if you go around the house to the next
4	address and she'll swear you in.	4	page, on 7, that is actually a false window
5	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Alicia Grant, 3575	5	that's now behind an oven, but that was
6	Riverside Avenue, Jacksonville, Florida 32205.	6	originally a jalousie window, but it was
7	THE REPORTER: If you would raise your	7	replaced with a 4-over-1. Those two have been
	· · ·	-	•
8	right hand for me, please.	8	rebuilt and replaced and they were replaced
9	MS. GRANT: (Complies.)	9	with the 3-over-1s. Those have never been
10	THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the	10	replaced, to my knowledge, and the house is a
11	testimony you are about to give will be the	11	hundred years old. These are part three of
12	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the	12	the six windows remaining on that side of the
	· · · · ·		-
13	truth?	13	house which would be replaced, and they do have
14	MS. GRANT: I do.	14	damage to them.
15	THE REPORTER: Thank you.	15	I was not at the house when was inspector
16	MS. GRANT: So there are 14 windows. I	16	came by. I was actually in Anchorage, Alaska
17	have as the application said, there are 25	17	when she went by the house.
	••	18	•
18	windows, three had been replaced with the		So those windows along this whole side are
19	Marvin windows, which I am proposing. They're	19	not visible from the right-of-way. And then
20	high-end Marvin windows which I'm proposing to	20	that's two on that same side. So those are the
21	replace these windows with.	21	six windows on that side of the house. And
22	There are in spite of what the	22	then there are two around the front corner of
23	inspector said, there are four windows that	23	the house, the right corner of the house, which
			-
24	indeed have already been replaced at some point	24	are also partially visible but not totally
25	in time. There are three in the kitchen, two	25	because there's a chimney there and there's a
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	130		132
1	are 3-over-1s, one is a 4-over-1, and then	1	brick wall and there's also shrubbery. So
			those are part of the eight that are not
2	there was a jalousie window at one time that	2	LINOSE ARE DARL OF LINE EIGHT LINAL ARE NOT
3		-	
	was part of a porch that was replaced, and it	3	(Timer notification.)
4	was part of a porch that was replaced, and it was also replaced with a 4-over-1, so that	3 4	
4 5			(Timer notification.)
5	was also replaced with a 4-over-1, so that remains of the 22 windows, 18 that would	4 5	(Timer notification.) MS. GRANT: totally visible. When I bought this house in 1993, I
5 6	was also replaced with a 4-over-1, so that remains of the 22 windows, 18 that would need to be replaced.	4 5 6	(Timer notification.) MS. GRANT: totally visible. When I bought this house in 1993, I totally removed all of the windows, had them
5 6 7	was also replaced with a 4-over-1, so that remains of the 22 windows, 18 that would need to be replaced. Two of the windows are recessed onto a	4 5 6 7	(Timer notification.) MS. GRANT: totally visible. When I bought this house in 1993, I totally removed all of the windows, had them stripped, glazing redone, rehung. There is
5 6 7 8	was also replaced with a 4-over-1, so that remains of the 22 windows, 18 that would need to be replaced. Two of the windows are recessed onto a side porch where the windows are protected, so	4 5 6 7 8	(Timer notification.) MS. GRANT: totally visible. When I bought this house in 1993, I totally removed all of the windows, had them stripped, glazing redone, rehung. There is contrary to the staff report, there is
5 6 7	was also replaced with a 4-over-1, so that remains of the 22 windows, 18 that would need to be replaced. Two of the windows are recessed onto a side porch where the windows are protected, so there's not been any significant damage to	4 5 6 7	(Timer notification.) MS. GRANT: totally visible. When I bought this house in 1993, I totally removed all of the windows, had them stripped, glazing redone, rehung. There is contrary to the staff report, there is weather-stripping inside the windows. I had
5 6 7 8	was also replaced with a 4-over-1, so that remains of the 22 windows, 18 that would need to be replaced. Two of the windows are recessed onto a side porch where the windows are protected, so	4 5 6 7 8	(Timer notification.) MS. GRANT: totally visible. When I bought this house in 1993, I totally removed all of the windows, had them stripped, glazing redone, rehung. There is contrary to the staff report, there is
5 6 7 8 9	was also replaced with a 4-over-1, so that remains of the 22 windows, 18 that would need to be replaced. Two of the windows are recessed onto a side porch where the windows are protected, so there's not been any significant damage to them, but 75 percent, or 8, of those windows of	4 5 6 7 8 9	(Timer notification.) MS. GRANT: totally visible. When I bought this house in 1993, I totally removed all of the windows, had them stripped, glazing redone, rehung. There is contrary to the staff report, there is weather-stripping inside the windows. I had the metal stripping put in for both the sash
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	was also replaced with a 4-over-1, so that remains of the 22 windows, 18 that would need to be replaced. Two of the windows are recessed onto a side porch where the windows are protected, so there's not been any significant damage to them, but 75 percent, or 8, of those windows of the remaining 14 are not visible from the	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	(Timer notification.) MS. GRANT: totally visible. When I bought this house in 1993, I totally removed all of the windows, had them stripped, glazing redone, rehung. There is contrary to the staff report, there is weather-stripping inside the windows. I had the metal stripping put in for both the sash the lower and the upper sash.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	was also replaced with a 4-over-1, so that remains of the 22 windows, 18 that would need to be replaced. Two of the windows are recessed onto a side porch where the windows are protected, so there's not been any significant damage to them, but 75 percent, or 8, of those windows of the remaining 14 are not visible from the right-of-way. Six are along the same side of	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	(Timer notification.) MS. GRANT: totally visible. When I bought this house in 1993, I totally removed all of the windows, had them stripped, glazing redone, rehung. There is contrary to the staff report, there is weather-stripping inside the windows. I had the metal stripping put in for both the sash the lower and the upper sash. The ropes are starting to wear and to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	was also replaced with a 4-over-1, so that remains of the 22 windows, 18 that would need to be replaced. Two of the windows are recessed onto a side porch where the windows are protected, so there's not been any significant damage to them, but 75 percent, or 8, of those windows of the remaining 14 are not visible from the right-of-way. Six are along the same side of the house that the original three windows were	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Timer notification.) MS. GRANT: totally visible. When I bought this house in 1993, I totally removed all of the windows, had them stripped, glazing redone, rehung. There is contrary to the staff report, there is weather-stripping inside the windows. I had the metal stripping put in for both the sash the lower and the upper sash. The ropes are starting to wear and to break and I'm having to take plumbing shims and
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	was also replaced with a 4-over-1, so that remains of the 22 windows, 18 that would need to be replaced. Two of the windows are recessed onto a side porch where the windows are protected, so there's not been any significant damage to them, but 75 percent, or 8, of those windows of the remaining 14 are not visible from the right-of-way. Six are along the same side of the house that the original three windows were replaced under the COA in '20, and there are	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(Timer notification.) MS. GRANT: totally visible. When I bought this house in 1993, I totally removed all of the windows, had them stripped, glazing redone, rehung. There is contrary to the staff report, there is weather-stripping inside the windows. I had the metal stripping put in for both the sash the lower and the upper sash. The ropes are starting to wear and to break and I'm having to take plumbing shims and shove them up the side of the windows on the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	was also replaced with a 4-over-1, so that remains of the 22 windows, 18 that would need to be replaced. Two of the windows are recessed onto a side porch where the windows are protected, so there's not been any significant damage to them, but 75 percent, or 8, of those windows of the remaining 14 are not visible from the right-of-way. Six are along the same side of the house that the original three windows were replaced under the COA in '20, and there are two that and they're not visible because of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Timer notification.) MS. GRANT: totally visible. When I bought this house in 1993, I totally removed all of the windows, had them stripped, glazing redone, rehung. There is contrary to the staff report, there is weather-stripping inside the windows. I had the metal stripping put in for both the sash the lower and the upper sash. The ropes are starting to wear and to break and I'm having to take plumbing shims and shove them up the side of the windows on the top sashes to keep them from dropping down,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	was also replaced with a 4-over-1, so that remains of the 22 windows, 18 that would need to be replaced. Two of the windows are recessed onto a side porch where the windows are protected, so there's not been any significant damage to them, but 75 percent, or 8, of those windows of the remaining 14 are not visible from the right-of-way. Six are along the same side of the house that the original three windows were replaced under the COA in '20, and there are two that and they're not visible because of the close setback of the houses, a brick wall,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(Timer notification.) MS. GRANT: totally visible. When I bought this house in 1993, I totally removed all of the windows, had them stripped, glazing redone, rehung. There is contrary to the staff report, there is weather-stripping inside the windows. I had the metal stripping put in for both the sash the lower and the upper sash. The ropes are starting to wear and to break and I'm having to take plumbing shims and shove them up the side of the windows on the top sashes to keep them from dropping down, which they're breaking the locks on the windows
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	was also replaced with a 4-over-1, so that remains of the 22 windows, 18 that would need to be replaced. Two of the windows are recessed onto a side porch where the windows are protected, so there's not been any significant damage to them, but 75 percent, or 8, of those windows of the remaining 14 are not visible from the right-of-way. Six are along the same side of the house that the original three windows were replaced under the COA in '20, and there are two that and they're not visible because of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Timer notification.) MS. GRANT: totally visible. When I bought this house in 1993, I totally removed all of the windows, had them stripped, glazing redone, rehung. There is contrary to the staff report, there is weather-stripping inside the windows. I had the metal stripping put in for both the sash the lower and the upper sash. The ropes are starting to wear and to break and I'm having to take plumbing shims and shove them up the side of the windows on the top sashes to keep them from dropping down,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	was also replaced with a 4-over-1, so that remains of the 22 windows, 18 that would need to be replaced. Two of the windows are recessed onto a side porch where the windows are protected, so there's not been any significant damage to them, but 75 percent, or 8, of those windows of the remaining 14 are not visible from the right-of-way. Six are along the same side of the house that the original three windows were replaced under the COA in '20, and there are two that and they're not visible because of the close setback of the houses, a brick wall,	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(Timer notification.) MS. GRANT: totally visible. When I bought this house in 1993, I totally removed all of the windows, had them stripped, glazing redone, rehung. There is contrary to the staff report, there is weather-stripping inside the windows. I had the metal stripping put in for both the sash the lower and the upper sash. The ropes are starting to wear and to break and I'm having to take plumbing shims and shove them up the side of the windows on the top sashes to keep them from dropping down, which they're breaking the locks on the windows and creating air space.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	was also replaced with a 4-over-1, so that remains of the 22 windows, 18 that would need to be replaced. Two of the windows are recessed onto a side porch where the windows are protected, so there's not been any significant damage to them, but 75 percent, or 8, of those windows of the remaining 14 are not visible from the right-of-way. Six are along the same side of the house that the original three windows were replaced under the COA in '20, and there are two that and they're not visible because of the close setback of the houses, a brick wall, and shrubbery. And they're at the back corner of the house from where the original three	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Timer notification.) MS. GRANT: totally visible. When I bought this house in 1993, I totally removed all of the windows, had them stripped, glazing redone, rehung. There is contrary to the staff report, there is weather-stripping inside the windows. I had the metal stripping put in for both the sash the lower and the upper sash. The ropes are starting to wear and to break and I'm having to take plumbing shims and shove them up the side of the windows on the top sashes to keep them from dropping down, which they're breaking the locks on the windows and creating air space. There is no room, because I've got over
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	was also replaced with a 4-over-1, so that remains of the 22 windows, 18 that would need to be replaced. Two of the windows are recessed onto a side porch where the windows are protected, so there's not been any significant damage to them, but 75 percent, or 8, of those windows of the remaining 14 are not visible from the right-of-way. Six are along the same side of the house that the original three windows were replaced under the COA in '20, and there are two that and they're not visible because of the close setback of the houses, a brick wall, and shrubbery. And they're at the back corner of the house from where the original three windows were replaced in '20. They're the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	(Timer notification.) MS. GRANT: totally visible. When I bought this house in 1993, I totally removed all of the windows, had them stripped, glazing redone, rehung. There is contrary to the staff report, there is weather-stripping inside the windows. I had the metal stripping put in for both the sash the lower and the upper sash. The ropes are starting to wear and to break and I'm having to take plumbing shims and shove them up the side of the windows on the top sashes to keep them from dropping down, which they're breaking the locks on the windows and creating air space. There is no room, because I've got over \$20,000 on plantation custom-made plantation
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	was also replaced with a 4-over-1, so that remains of the 22 windows, 18 that would need to be replaced. Two of the windows are recessed onto a side porch where the windows are protected, so there's not been any significant damage to them, but 75 percent, or 8, of those windows of the remaining 14 are not visible from the right-of-way. Six are along the same side of the house that the original three windows were replaced under the COA in '20, and there are two that and they're not visible because of the close setback of the houses, a brick wall, and shrubbery. And they're at the back corner of the house from where the original three windows were replaced in '20. They're the remaining six windows on that side of the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Timer notification.) MS. GRANT: totally visible. When I bought this house in 1993, I totally removed all of the windows, had them stripped, glazing redone, rehung. There is contrary to the staff report, there is weather-stripping inside the windows. I had the metal stripping put in for both the sash the lower and the upper sash. The ropes are starting to wear and to break and I'm having to take plumbing shims and shove them up the side of the windows on the top sashes to keep them from dropping down, which they're breaking the locks on the windows and creating air space. There is no room, because I've got over \$20,000 on plantation custom-made plantation shutters on the inside of the house, which you
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	was also replaced with a 4-over-1, so that remains of the 22 windows, 18 that would need to be replaced. Two of the windows are recessed onto a side porch where the windows are protected, so there's not been any significant damage to them, but 75 percent, or 8, of those windows of the remaining 14 are not visible from the right-of-way. Six are along the same side of the house that the original three windows were replaced under the COA in '20, and there are two that and they're not visible because of the close setback of the houses, a brick wall, and shrubbery. And they're at the back corner of the house from where the original three windows were replaced in '20. They're the remaining six windows on that side of the house.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Timer notification.) MS. GRANT: totally visible. When I bought this house in 1993, I totally removed all of the windows, had them stripped, glazing redone, rehung. There is contrary to the staff report, there is weather-stripping inside the windows. I had the metal stripping put in for both the sash the lower and the upper sash. The ropes are starting to wear and to break and I'm having to take plumbing shims and shove them up the side of the windows on the top sashes to keep them from dropping down, which they're breaking the locks on the windows and creating air space. There is no room, because I've got over \$20,000 on plantation custom-made plantation shutters on the inside of the house, which you can see in some of these pictures, that that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	was also replaced with a 4-over-1, so that remains of the 22 windows, 18 that would need to be replaced. Two of the windows are recessed onto a side porch where the windows are protected, so there's not been any significant damage to them, but 75 percent, or 8, of those windows of the remaining 14 are not visible from the right-of-way. Six are along the same side of the house that the original three windows were replaced under the COA in '20, and there are two that and they're not visible because of the close setback of the houses, a brick wall, and shrubbery. And they're at the back corner of the house from where the original three windows were replaced in '20. They're the remaining six windows on that side of the house. There are two other windows around the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Timer notification.) MS. GRANT: totally visible. When I bought this house in 1993, I totally removed all of the windows, had them stripped, glazing redone, rehung. There is contrary to the staff report, there is weather-stripping inside the windows. I had the metal stripping put in for both the sash the lower and the upper sash. The ropes are starting to wear and to break and I'm having to take plumbing shims and shove them up the side of the windows on the top sashes to keep them from dropping down, which they're breaking the locks on the windows and creating air space. There is no room, because I've got over \$20,000 on plantation custom-made plantation shutters on the inside of the house, which you can see in some of these pictures, that that allow only an eighth of an inch to remain
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	was also replaced with a 4-over-1, so that remains of the 22 windows, 18 that would need to be replaced. Two of the windows are recessed onto a side porch where the windows are protected, so there's not been any significant damage to them, but 75 percent, or 8, of those windows of the remaining 14 are not visible from the right-of-way. Six are along the same side of the house that the original three windows were replaced under the COA in '20, and there are two that and they're not visible because of the close setback of the houses, a brick wall, and shrubbery. And they're at the back corner of the house from where the original three windows were replaced in '20. They're the remaining six windows on that side of the house.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Timer notification.) MS. GRANT: totally visible. When I bought this house in 1993, I totally removed all of the windows, had them stripped, glazing redone, rehung. There is contrary to the staff report, there is weather-stripping inside the windows. I had the metal stripping put in for both the sash the lower and the upper sash. The ropes are starting to wear and to break and I'm having to take plumbing shims and shove them up the side of the windows on the top sashes to keep them from dropping down, which they're breaking the locks on the windows and creating air space. There is no room, because I've got over \$20,000 on plantation custom-made plantation shutters on the inside of the house, which you can see in some of these pictures, that that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 was also replaced with a 4-over-1, so that remains of the 22 windows, 18 that would need to be replaced. Two of the windows are recessed onto a side porch where the windows are protected, so there's not been any significant damage to them, but 75 percent, or 8, of those windows of the remaining 14 are not visible from the right-of-way. Six are along the same side of the house that the original three windows were replaced under the COA in '20, and there are two that and they're not visible because of the close setback of the houses, a brick wall, and shrubbery. And they're at the back corner of the house from where the original three windows were replaced in '20. They're the remaining six windows on that side of the house. There are two other windows around the corner of the house. You can see the windows 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Timer notification.) MS. GRANT: totally visible. When I bought this house in 1993, I totally removed all of the windows, had them stripped, glazing redone, rehung. There is contrary to the staff report, there is weather-stripping inside the windows. I had the metal stripping put in for both the sash the lower and the upper sash. The ropes are starting to wear and to break and I'm having to take plumbing shims and shove them up the side of the windows on the top sashes to keep them from dropping down, which they're breaking the locks on the windows and creating air space. There is no room, because I've got over \$20,000 on plantation custom-made plantation shutters on the inside of the house, which you can see in some of these pictures, that that allow only an eighth of an inch to remain
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	was also replaced with a 4-over-1, so that remains of the 22 windows, 18 that would need to be replaced. Two of the windows are recessed onto a side porch where the windows are protected, so there's not been any significant damage to them, but 75 percent, or 8, of those windows of the remaining 14 are not visible from the right-of-way. Six are along the same side of the house that the original three windows were replaced under the COA in '20, and there are two that and they're not visible because of the close setback of the houses, a brick wall, and shrubbery. And they're at the back corner of the house from where the original three windows were replaced in '20. They're the remaining six windows on that side of the house. There are two other windows around the corner of the house. You can see the windows there that are on that porch that are not being	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(Timer notification.) MS. GRANT: totally visible. When I bought this house in 1993, I totally removed all of the windows, had them stripped, glazing redone, rehung. There is contrary to the staff report, there is weather-stripping inside the windows. I had the metal stripping put in for both the sash the lower and the upper sash. The ropes are starting to wear and to break and I'm having to take plumbing shims and shove them up the side of the windows on the top sashes to keep them from dropping down, which they're breaking the locks on the windows and creating air space. There is no room, because I've got over \$20,000 on plantation custom-made plantation shutters on the inside of the house, which you can see in some of these pictures, that that allow only an eighth of an inch to remain between the bottom sash and the framing for the shutters, so there's no room to put an interior
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	was also replaced with a 4-over-1, so that remains of the 22 windows, 18 that would need to be replaced. Two of the windows are recessed onto a side porch where the windows are protected, so there's not been any significant damage to them, but 75 percent, or 8, of those windows of the remaining 14 are not visible from the right-of-way. Six are along the same side of the house that the original three windows were replaced under the COA in '20, and there are two that and they're not visible because of the close setback of the houses, a brick wall, and shrubbery. And they're at the back corner of the house from where the original three windows were replaced in '20. They're the remaining six windows on that side of the house. There are two other windows around the corner of the house. You can see the windows there that are on that porch that are not being replaced. They had been repainted and reglazed	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Timer notification.) MS. GRANT: totally visible. When I bought this house in 1993, I totally removed all of the windows, had them stripped, glazing redone, rehung. There is contrary to the staff report, there is weather-stripping inside the windows. I had the metal stripping put in for both the sash the lower and the upper sash. The ropes are starting to wear and to break and I'm having to take plumbing shims and shove them up the side of the windows on the top sashes to keep them from dropping down, which they're breaking the locks on the windows and creating air space. There is no room, because I've got over \$20,000 on plantation custom-made plantation shutters on the inside of the house, which you can see in some of these pictures, that that allow only an eighth of an inch to remain between the bottom sash and the framing for the shutters, so there's no room to put an interior storm window.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	was also replaced with a 4-over-1, so that remains of the 22 windows, 18 that would need to be replaced. Two of the windows are recessed onto a side porch where the windows are protected, so there's not been any significant damage to them, but 75 percent, or 8, of those windows of the remaining 14 are not visible from the right-of-way. Six are along the same side of the house that the original three windows were replaced under the COA in '20, and there are two that and they're not visible because of the close setback of the houses, a brick wall, and shrubbery. And they're at the back corner of the house from where the original three windows were replaced in '20. They're the remaining six windows on that side of the house. There are two other windows around the corner of the house. You can see the windows there that are on that porch that are not being	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(Timer notification.) MS. GRANT: totally visible. When I bought this house in 1993, I totally removed all of the windows, had them stripped, glazing redone, rehung. There is contrary to the staff report, there is weather-stripping inside the windows. I had the metal stripping put in for both the sash the lower and the upper sash. The ropes are starting to wear and to break and I'm having to take plumbing shims and shove them up the side of the windows on the top sashes to keep them from dropping down, which they're breaking the locks on the windows and creating air space. There is no room, because I've got over \$20,000 on plantation custom-made plantation shutters on the inside of the house, which you can see in some of these pictures, that that allow only an eighth of an inch to remain between the bottom sash and the framing for the shutters, so there's no room to put an interior

-	f Jacksonville		June 26, 2024
Histor	ic Preservation Commission 133	[Uncertified Condensed Copy 135
1	Putting an exterior storm window, in my	1	discussion. We'll have some discussion. We
2	estimation, would be tacky. And I've done that	2	may ask you some more questions.
3	on the first house. This is the third house	3	COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the acting
4	I've owned in the district. The first house, I	4	chair, we see cases like this all the time.
5	had to put storm windows because of the traffic	5	Appreciate the staff's diligence in putting
6	along Park Street. It was impossible to sleep	6	this report together, and I agree with their
7	at night, and that was before all of this	7	recommendation.
8	changed.	8	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any more
9	THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry, ma'am, but	9	discussion?
10	you're over your three minutes, so could you	10	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm not sure what
11	conclude or a couple more sentences.	11	else to say. We've been given a report that
12	MS. GRANT: Okay. So you've got the	12	the windows are repairable, and it seems like
13	pictures. The windows that can be replaced, 75	13	they have been repaired previously in the past.
14	percent, they're not visible. The ones that we	14	And although that doesn't seem like it lasts
15	would that would remain would be the six on	15	forever, which you know, those (inaudible)
16	the front. I think, personally, that the	16	clad windows would last a long time. That's
17	Marvin windows are a high-end product. And	17	that's the guideline we're given for the
18	you've got a picture on Page 13 of the Marvin	18	neighborhood. If a window is repairable, then
19	windows that were replaced in '20, and they are	19	we are supposed to act on that.
20	very crisp looking, they match the exact	20	I would ask, if we do want to break this
21	profile of the original windows, and then	21	into maybe the ones that aren't visible, can we
22	I mean, I've replaced the windows, I've	22	replace those? I don't know if that's
23	repaired the windows. I'm not replacing, but	23	something you want to talk about deferring and
24	I've totally renovated those windows when I	24	then work with staff on changing the wording of
25	first got the house. I've had multiple repairs	25	your request.
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300	-	(904) 821-0300
1	¹³⁴ done over the years. I'm tired of replacing	1	136 THE CHAIRMAN: Before asking that question
2	and repairing. I just want to get it done in	2	directly, I think it should be a question for
3		-	
•		3	
	my lifetime. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	3	staff, if the if the applicant/owner does
4	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	3 4 5	staff, if the if the applicant/owner does have options in that regard or and also
4 5	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Do we have any questions for the	4 5	staff, if the if the applicant/owner does have options in that regard or and also recognizing that if this COA is denied, the
4	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Do we have any questions for the owner/applicant?	4	staff, if the if the applicant/owner does have options in that regard or and also recognizing that if this COA is denied, the applicant does have the right to appeal it,
4 5 6	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Do we have any questions for the owner/applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)	4 5 6	staff, if the if the applicant/owner does have options in that regard or and also recognizing that if this COA is denied, the
4 5 6 7	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Do we have any questions for the owner/applicant?	4 5 6 7	staff, if the if the applicant/owner does have options in that regard or and also recognizing that if this COA is denied, the applicant does have the right to appeal it, yes?
4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Do we have any questions for the owner/applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we have more questions,	4 5 6 7 8	staff, if the if the applicant/owner does have options in that regard or and also recognizing that if this COA is denied, the applicant does have the right to appeal it, yes? MS. LOPERA: Yes.
4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Do we have any questions for the owner/applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we have more questions, we'll call you back up.	4 5 6 7 8 9	staff, if the if the applicant/owner does have options in that regard or and also recognizing that if this COA is denied, the applicant does have the right to appeal it, yes? MS. LOPERA: Yes. MR. WELLS: Yes. In terms of the through the Chair, in terms of breaking up the request to include
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Do we have any questions for the owner/applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we have more questions, we'll call you back up. Thank you. Is there anyone else here to speak on this COA?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	staff, if the if the applicant/owner does have options in that regard or and also recognizing that if this COA is denied, the applicant does have the right to appeal it, yes? MS. LOPERA: Yes. MR. WELLS: Yes. In terms of the through the Chair, in terms of breaking up the request to include or to specify which windows can be replaced on
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Do we have any questions for the owner/applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we have more questions, we'll call you back up. Thank you. Is there anyone else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>staff, if the if the applicant/owner does have options in that regard or and also recognizing that if this COA is denied, the applicant does have the right to appeal it, yes? MS. LOPERA: Yes. MR. WELLS: Yes. In terms of the through the Chair, in terms of breaking up the request to include or to specify which windows can be replaced on visible elevations, that can certainly be</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Do we have any questions for the owner/applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we have more questions, we'll call you back up. Thank you. Is there anyone else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll close	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	staff, if the if the applicant/owner does have options in that regard or and also recognizing that if this COA is denied, the applicant does have the right to appeal it, yes? MS. LOPERA: Yes. MR. WELLS: Yes. In terms of the through the Chair, in terms of breaking up the request to include or to specify which windows can be replaced on visible elevations, that can certainly be something we can entertain. It doesn't happen
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Do we have any questions for the owner/applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we have more questions, we'll call you back up. Thank you. Is there anyone else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll close the public hearing.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	staff, if the if the applicant/owner does have options in that regard or and also recognizing that if this COA is denied, the applicant does have the right to appeal it, yes? MS. LOPERA: Yes. MR. WELLS: Yes. In terms of the through the Chair, in terms of breaking up the request to include or to specify which windows can be replaced on visible elevations, that can certainly be something we can entertain. It doesn't happen that often, so that's something we would need
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Do we have any questions for the owner/applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we have more questions, we'll call you back up. Thank you. Is there anyone else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll close the public hearing. I'll entertain a motion.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	staff, if the if the applicant/owner does have options in that regard or and also recognizing that if this COA is denied, the applicant does have the right to appeal it, yes? MS. LOPERA: Yes. MR. WELLS: Yes. In terms of the through the Chair, in terms of breaking up the request to include or to specify which windows can be replaced on visible elevations, that can certainly be something we can entertain. It doesn't happen that often, so that's something we would need to look into. That's all I can say at this
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Do we have any questions for the owner/applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we have more questions, we'll call you back up. Thank you. Is there anyone else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll close the public hearing. I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Motion to deny	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	staff, if the if the applicant/owner does have options in that regard or and also recognizing that if this COA is denied, the applicant does have the right to appeal it, yes? MS. LOPERA: Yes. MR. WELLS: Yes. In terms of the through the Chair, in terms of breaking up the request to include or to specify which windows can be replaced on visible elevations, that can certainly be something we can entertain. It doesn't happen that often, so that's something we would need to look into. That's all I can say at this point right now.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Do we have any questions for the owner/applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we have more questions, we'll call you back up. Thank you. Is there anyone else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll close the public hearing. I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Motion to deny COA-24-30720.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	staff, if the if the applicant/owner does have options in that regard or and also recognizing that if this COA is denied, the applicant does have the right to appeal it, yes? MS. LOPERA: Yes. MR. WELLS: Yes. In terms of the through the Chair, in terms of breaking up the request to include or to specify which windows can be replaced on visible elevations, that can certainly be something we can entertain. It doesn't happen that often, so that's something we would need to look into. That's all I can say at this point right now. THE CHAIRMAN: If this COA is denied and
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Do we have any questions for the owner/applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we have more questions, we'll call you back up. Thank you. Is there anyone else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll close the public hearing. I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Motion to deny COA-24-30720. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	staff, if the if the applicant/owner does have options in that regard or and also recognizing that if this COA is denied, the applicant does have the right to appeal it, yes? MS. LOPERA: Yes. MR. WELLS: Yes. In terms of the through the Chair, in terms of breaking up the request to include or to specify which windows can be replaced on visible elevations, that can certainly be something we can entertain. It doesn't happen that often, so that's something we would need to look into. That's all I can say at this point right now. THE CHAIRMAN: If this COA is denied and the denial is voted, does the applicant have
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Do we have any questions for the owner/applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we have more questions, we'll call you back up. Thank you. Is there anyone else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll close the public hearing. I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Motion to deny COA-24-30720. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	staff, if the if the applicant/owner does have options in that regard or and also recognizing that if this COA is denied, the applicant does have the right to appeal it, yes? MS. LOPERA: Yes. MR. WELLS: Yes. In terms of the through the Chair, in terms of breaking up the request to include or to specify which windows can be replaced on visible elevations, that can certainly be something we can entertain. It doesn't happen that often, so that's something we would need to look into. That's all I can say at this point right now. THE CHAIRMAN: If this COA is denied and the denial is voted, does the applicant have the ability to come back and apply again in
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Do we have any questions for the owner/applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we have more questions, we'll call you back up. Thank you. Is there anyone else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll close the public hearing. I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Motion to deny COA-24-30720. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	staff, if the if the applicant/owner does have options in that regard or and also recognizing that if this COA is denied, the applicant does have the right to appeal it, yes? MS. LOPERA: Yes. MR. WELLS: Yes. In terms of the through the Chair, in terms of breaking up the request to include or to specify which windows can be replaced on visible elevations, that can certainly be something we can entertain. It doesn't happen that often, so that's something we would need to look into. That's all I can say at this point right now. THE CHAIRMAN: If this COA is denied and the denial is voted, does the applicant have the ability to come back and apply again in that regard that you just stated or is this
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Do we have any questions for the owner/applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we have more questions, we'll call you back up. Thank you. Is there anyone else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll close the public hearing. I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Motion to deny COA-24-30720. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) MS. GRANT: Is there any way to break up	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>staff, if the if the applicant/owner does have options in that regard or and also recognizing that if this COA is denied, the applicant does have the right to appeal it, yes? MS. LOPERA: Yes. MR. WELLS: Yes. In terms of the through the Chair, in terms of breaking up the request to include or to specify which windows can be replaced on visible elevations, that can certainly be something we can entertain. It doesn't happen that often, so that's something we would need to look into. That's all I can say at this point right now. THE CHAIRMAN: If this COA is denied and the denial is voted, does the applicant have the ability to come back and apply again in that regard that you just stated or is this over? So I'm asking should we defer so there</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Do we have any questions for the owner/applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we have more questions, we'll call you back up. Thank you. Is there anyone else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll close the public hearing. I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Motion to deny COA-24-30720. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) MS. GRANT: Is there any way to break up the 14 windows so that those that are not	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	staff, if the if the applicant/owner does have options in that regard or and also recognizing that if this COA is denied, the applicant does have the right to appeal it, yes? MS. LOPERA: Yes. MR. WELLS: Yes. In terms of the through the Chair, in terms of breaking up the request to include or to specify which windows can be replaced on visible elevations, that can certainly be something we can entertain. It doesn't happen that often, so that's something we would need to look into. That's all I can say at this point right now. THE CHAIRMAN: If this COA is denied and the denial is voted, does the applicant have the ability to come back and apply again in that regard that you just stated or is this over? So I'm asking should we defer so there should be a discussion with staff about such a
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Do we have any questions for the owner/applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we have more questions, we'll call you back up. Thank you. Is there anyone else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll close the public hearing. I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Motion to deny COA-24-30720. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) MS. GRANT: Is there any way to break up the 14 windows so that those that are not visible will be approved?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>staff, if the if the applicant/owner does have options in that regard or and also recognizing that if this COA is denied, the applicant does have the right to appeal it, yes? MS. LOPERA: Yes. MR. WELLS: Yes. In terms of the through the Chair, in terms of breaking up the request to include or to specify which windows can be replaced on visible elevations, that can certainly be something we can entertain. It doesn't happen that often, so that's something we would need to look into. That's all I can say at this point right now. THE CHAIRMAN: If this COA is denied and the denial is voted, does the applicant have the ability to come back and apply again in that regard that you just stated or is this over? So I'm asking should we defer so there should be a discussion with staff about such a scenario before we vote on this.</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Do we have any questions for the owner/applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we have more questions, we'll call you back up. Thank you. Is there anyone else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll close the public hearing. I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Motion to deny COA-24-30720. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) MS. GRANT: Is there any way to break up the 14 windows so that those that are not visible will be approved? THE CHAIRMAN: Ma'am, they will have some	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	staff, if the if the applicant/owner does have options in that regard or and also recognizing that if this COA is denied, the applicant does have the right to appeal it, yes? MS. LOPERA: Yes. MR. WELLS: Yes. In terms of the through the Chair, in terms of breaking up the request to include or to specify which windows can be replaced on visible elevations, that can certainly be something we can entertain. It doesn't happen that often, so that's something we would need to look into. That's all I can say at this point right now. THE CHAIRMAN: If this COA is denied and the denial is voted, does the applicant have the ability to come back and apply again in that regard that you just stated or is this over? So I'm asking should we defer so there should be a discussion with staff about such a scenario before we vote on this. MR. WELLS: Through the acting chair, I
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Do we have any questions for the owner/applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we have more questions, we'll call you back up. Thank you. Is there anyone else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If not, then we'll close the public hearing. I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Motion to deny COA-24-30720. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) MS. GRANT: Is there any way to break up the 14 windows so that those that are not visible will be approved?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>staff, if the if the applicant/owner does have options in that regard or and also recognizing that if this COA is denied, the applicant does have the right to appeal it, yes? MS. LOPERA: Yes. MR. WELLS: Yes. In terms of the through the Chair, in terms of breaking up the request to include or to specify which windows can be replaced on visible elevations, that can certainly be something we can entertain. It doesn't happen that often, so that's something we would need to look into. That's all I can say at this point right now. THE CHAIRMAN: If this COA is denied and the denial is voted, does the applicant have the ability to come back and apply again in that regard that you just stated or is this over? So I'm asking should we defer so there should be a discussion with staff about such a scenario before we vote on this.</pre>

		r	Uncertined Condensed Copy
	137		139
1	may have to defer to General Counsel on this,	1	Is there any more discussion?
2	but from my understanding, as long as the	2	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
3	request is different, they can apply for a	3	THE CHAIRMAN: No? Hearing no more
4	different COA to include maybe just the	4	discussion, all those in favor?
5	street-visible or non-street-visible window	5	COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
6	replacements.	6	THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed?
_	•	-	
7	THE CHAIRMAN: I see your thinking cap on,	7	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
8	Counsel.	8	THE CHAIRMAN: So we have unanimously
9	MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to the	9	denied COA-24-30720.
10	Commission, that's correct. I mean, if you	10	On the agenda, we I see elections as
11	were to deny this today, the applicant would be	11	the next item, but should we do information
12	precluded from making an identical request for	12	first and then hold that to the end or
13	one year. However, should the applicant wish	13	MS. LOPERA: It's up to you. We could do
14	to change the request and alter it in some way,	14	it now.
		15	THE CHAIRMAN: We could also
15	which might result in something different, she		
16	would be able to do that.	16	COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Through the Chair,
17	THE CHAIRMAN: So it may be if the	17	we've got one more COA.
18	applicant is willing, it may be best to defer	18	(Simultaneous speaking.)
19	this and have a at least a bit more	19	COMMISSIONER GLOBER: No?
20	discussion before a final vote is made on the	20	THE CHAIRMAN: We did that at the
21	situation.	21	beginning.
22	MR. WELLS: Through the acting chair to	22	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I will point out as
23	the Commission, just one thing to note too, the	23	a matter of order, I think we missed approving
24	reason why we brought this to you all is not	24	the May minutes. We didn't ever do that.
25	because of the proposed replacement product,	25	MS. LOPERA: You are correct, Commissioner
25		25	
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	138		140
1	it's because we are we are supportive of the	1	Gregory. We did not approve the minutes.
1 2	it's because we are we are supportive of the material itself, but the last time you all	1 2	Gregory. We did not approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The task master
	it's because we are we are supportive of the		Gregory. We did not approve the minutes.
2	it's because we are we are supportive of the material itself, but the last time you all	2	Gregory. We did not approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The task master
2 3	it's because we are we are supportive of the material itself, but the last time you all updated the matrix you stated that you can do	2 3	Gregory. We did not approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The task master over here, so
2 3 4	it's because we are we are supportive of the material itself, but the last time you all updated the matrix you stated that you can do like for like or a wood-blend product as long as there's evidence of some reasonable it	2 3 4 5	Gregory. We did not approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The task master over here, so THE CHAIRMAN: So before moving forward COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll make a motion
2 3 4 5 6	it's because we are we are supportive of the material itself, but the last time you all updated the matrix you stated that you can do like for like or a wood-blend product as long as there's evidence of some reasonable it has to be reasonable beyond reasonable	2 3 4 5 6	Gregory. We did not approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The task master over here, so THE CHAIRMAN: So before moving forward COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll make a motion to approve the minutes from the May 22nd
2 3 4 5 6 7	it's because we are we are supportive of the material itself, but the last time you all updated the matrix you stated that you can do like for like or a wood-blend product as long as there's evidence of some reasonable it has to be reasonable beyond reasonable repair, so that's just something to note if we	2 3 4 5 6 7	Gregory. We did not approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The task master over here, so THE CHAIRMAN: So before moving forward COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll make a motion to approve the minutes from the May 22nd meeting, 2024.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	it's because we are we are supportive of the material itself, but the last time you all updated the matrix you stated that you can do like for like or a wood-blend product as long as there's evidence of some reasonable it has to be reasonable beyond reasonable repair, so that's just something to note if we do decide to replace just the windows on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Gregory. We did not approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The task master over here, so THE CHAIRMAN: So before moving forward COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll make a motion to approve the minutes from the May 22nd meeting, 2024. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it's because we are we are supportive of the material itself, but the last time you all updated the matrix you stated that you can do like for like or a wood-blend product as long as there's evidence of some reasonable it has to be reasonable beyond reasonable repair, so that's just something to note if we do decide to replace just the windows on the non-street-visible locations.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Gregory. We did not approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The task master over here, so THE CHAIRMAN: So before moving forward COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll make a motion to approve the minutes from the May 22nd meeting, 2024. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	it's because we are we are supportive of the material itself, but the last time you all updated the matrix you stated that you can do like for like or a wood-blend product as long as there's evidence of some reasonable it has to be reasonable beyond reasonable repair, so that's just something to note if we do decide to replace just the windows on the non-street-visible locations. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the acting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Gregory. We did not approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The task master over here, so THE CHAIRMAN: So before moving forward COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll make a motion to approve the minutes from the May 22nd meeting, 2024. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	it's because we are we are supportive of the material itself, but the last time you all updated the matrix you stated that you can do like for like or a wood-blend product as long as there's evidence of some reasonable it has to be reasonable beyond reasonable repair, so that's just something to note if we do decide to replace just the windows on the non-street-visible locations. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the acting chair, I mean, I in the staff's report, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Gregory. We did not approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The task master over here, so THE CHAIRMAN: So before moving forward COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll make a motion to approve the minutes from the May 22nd meeting, 2024. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	it's because we are we are supportive of the material itself, but the last time you all updated the matrix you stated that you can do like for like or a wood-blend product as long as there's evidence of some reasonable it has to be reasonable beyond reasonable repair, so that's just something to note if we do decide to replace just the windows on the non-street-visible locations. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the acting chair, I mean, I in the staff's report, it says they're repairable and they're not so far	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Gregory. We did not approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The task master over here, so THE CHAIRMAN: So before moving forward COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll make a motion to approve the minutes from the May 22nd meeting, 2024. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	it's because we are we are supportive of the material itself, but the last time you all updated the matrix you stated that you can do like for like or a wood-blend product as long as there's evidence of some reasonable it has to be reasonable beyond reasonable repair, so that's just something to note if we do decide to replace just the windows on the non-street-visible locations. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the acting chair, I mean, I in the staff's report, it says they're repairable and they're not so far beyond that they can't be repaired, so I'm not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Gregory. We did not approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The task master over here, so THE CHAIRMAN: So before moving forward COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll make a motion to approve the minutes from the May 22nd meeting, 2024. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Let's do elections.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	it's because we are we are supportive of the material itself, but the last time you all updated the matrix you stated that you can do like for like or a wood-blend product as long as there's evidence of some reasonable it has to be reasonable beyond reasonable repair, so that's just something to note if we do decide to replace just the windows on the non-street-visible locations. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the acting chair, I mean, I in the staff's report, it says they're repairable and they're not so far	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Gregory. We did not approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The task master over here, so THE CHAIRMAN: So before moving forward COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll make a motion to approve the minutes from the May 22nd meeting, 2024. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	it's because we are we are supportive of the material itself, but the last time you all updated the matrix you stated that you can do like for like or a wood-blend product as long as there's evidence of some reasonable it has to be reasonable beyond reasonable repair, so that's just something to note if we do decide to replace just the windows on the non-street-visible locations. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the acting chair, I mean, I in the staff's report, it says they're repairable and they're not so far beyond that they can't be repaired, so I'm not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Gregory. We did not approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The task master over here, so THE CHAIRMAN: So before moving forward COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll make a motion to approve the minutes from the May 22nd meeting, 2024. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Let's do elections.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	it's because we are we are supportive of the material itself, but the last time you all updated the matrix you stated that you can do like for like or a wood-blend product as long as there's evidence of some reasonable it has to be reasonable beyond reasonable repair, so that's just something to note if we do decide to replace just the windows on the non-street-visible locations. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the acting chair, I mean, I in the staff's report, it says they're repairable and they're not so far beyond that they can't be repaired, so I'm not sure if we defer it for a short amount of time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Gregory. We did not approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The task master over here, so THE CHAIRMAN: So before moving forward COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll make a motion to approve the minutes from the May 22nd meeting, 2024. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Let's do elections. I'll make a motion (inaudible).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	it's because we are we are supportive of the material itself, but the last time you all updated the matrix you stated that you can do like for like or a wood-blend product as long as there's evidence of some reasonable it has to be reasonable beyond reasonable repair, so that's just something to note if we do decide to replace just the windows on the non-street-visible locations. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the acting chair, I mean, I in the staff's report, it says they're repairable and they're not so far beyond that they can't be repaired, so I'm not sure if we defer it for a short amount of time that's going to change. And that's the main issue here. Again, it's not the material that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Gregory. We did not approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The task master over here, so THE CHAIRMAN: So before moving forward COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll make a motion to approve the minutes from the May 22nd meeting, 2024. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Let's do elections. I'll make a motion (inaudible). (Reporter inquiry.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it's because we are we are supportive of the material itself, but the last time you all updated the matrix you stated that you can do like for like or a wood-blend product as long as there's evidence of some reasonable it has to be reasonable beyond reasonable repair, so that's just something to note if we do decide to replace just the windows on the non-street-visible locations. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the acting chair, I mean, I in the staff's report, it says they're repairable and they're not so far beyond that they can't be repaired, so I'm not sure if we defer it for a short amount of time that's going to change. And that's the main issue here. Again, it's not the material that she selected; it sounds like a fine product.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Gregory. We did not approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The task master over here, so THE CHAIRMAN: So before moving forward COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll make a motion to approve the minutes from the May 22nd meeting, 2024. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Let's do elections. I'll make a motion (inaudible). (Reporter inquiry.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm sorry. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry. Sir, are you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it's because we are we are supportive of the material itself, but the last time you all updated the matrix you stated that you can do like for like or a wood-blend product as long as there's evidence of some reasonable it has to be reasonable beyond reasonable repair, so that's just something to note if we do decide to replace just the windows on the non-street-visible locations. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the acting chair, I mean, I in the staff's report, it says they're repairable and they're not so far beyond that they can't be repaired, so I'm not sure if we defer it for a short amount of time that's going to change. And that's the main issue here. Again, it's not the material that she selected; it sounds like a fine product. THE CHAIRMAN: And that report, a hundred	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Gregory. We did not approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The task master over here, so THE CHAIRMAN: So before moving forward COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll make a motion to approve the minutes from the May 22nd meeting, 2024. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Let's do elections. I'll make a motion (inaudible). (Reporter inquiry.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm sorry. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry. Sir, are you hear for public comment?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	it's because we are we are supportive of the material itself, but the last time you all updated the matrix you stated that you can do like for like or a wood-blend product as long as there's evidence of some reasonable it has to be reasonable beyond reasonable repair, so that's just something to note if we do decide to replace just the windows on the non-street-visible locations. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the acting chair, I mean, I in the staff's report, it says they're repairable and they're not so far beyond that they can't be repaired, so I'm not sure if we defer it for a short amount of time that's going to change. And that's the main issue here. Again, it's not the material that she selected; it sounds like a fine product. THE CHAIRMAN: And that report, a hundred percent of the windows that are in question,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Gregory. We did not approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The task master over here, so THE CHAIRMAN: So before moving forward COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll make a motion to approve the minutes from the May 22nd meeting, 2024. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Let's do elections. I'll make a motion (inaudible). (Reporter inquiry.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm sorry. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry. Sir, are you hear for public comment? AUDIENCE MEMBER: Well, I was asked to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it's because we are we are supportive of the material itself, but the last time you all updated the matrix you stated that you can do like for like or a wood-blend product as long as there's evidence of some reasonable it has to be reasonable beyond reasonable repair, so that's just something to note if we do decide to replace just the windows on the non-street-visible locations. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the acting chair, I mean, I in the staff's report, it says they're repairable and they're not so far beyond that they can't be repaired, so I'm not sure if we defer it for a short amount of time that's going to change. And that's the main issue here. Again, it's not the material that she selected; it sounds like a fine product. THE CHAIRMAN: And that report, a hundred percent of the windows that are in question, the staff believe are repairable?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Gregory. We did not approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The task master over here, so THE CHAIRMAN: So before moving forward COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll make a motion to approve the minutes from the May 22nd meeting, 2024. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Let's do elections. I'll make a motion (inaudible). (Reporter inquiry.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm sorry. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry. Sir, are you hear for public comment? AUDIENCE MEMBER: Well, I was asked to come on behalf of A Plus Construction, to if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it's because we are we are supportive of the material itself, but the last time you all updated the matrix you stated that you can do like for like or a wood-blend product as long as there's evidence of some reasonable it has to be reasonable beyond reasonable repair, so that's just something to note if we do decide to replace just the windows on the non-street-visible locations. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the acting chair, I mean, I in the staff's report, it says they're repairable and they're not so far beyond that they can't be repaired, so I'm not sure if we defer it for a short amount of time that's going to change. And that's the main issue here. Again, it's not the material that she selected; it sounds like a fine product. THE CHAIRMAN: And that report, a hundred percent of the windows that are in question, the staff believe are repairable? MR. WELLS: Through the or to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Gregory. We did not approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The task master over here, so THE CHAIRMAN: So before moving forward COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll make a motion to approve the minutes from the May 22nd meeting, 2024. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) COMMISSION REPSTEIN: Let's do elections. I'll make a motion (inaudible). (Reporter inquiry.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm sorry. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry. Sir, are you hear for public comment? AUDIENCE MEMBER: Well, I was asked to come on behalf of A Plus Construction, to if they had any questions on our COA.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it's because we are we are supportive of the material itself, but the last time you all updated the matrix you stated that you can do like for like or a wood-blend product as long as there's evidence of some reasonable it has to be reasonable beyond reasonable repair, so that's just something to note if we do decide to replace just the windows on the non-street-visible locations. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the acting chair, I mean, I in the staff's report, it says they're repairable and they're not so far beyond that they can't be repaired, so I'm not sure if we defer it for a short amount of time that's going to change. And that's the main issue here. Again, it's not the material that she selected; it sounds like a fine product. THE CHAIRMAN: And that report, a hundred percent of the windows that are in question, the staff believe are repairable? MR. WELLS: Through the or to the acting chair, correct. That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Gregory. We did not approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The task master over here, so THE CHAIRMAN: So before moving forward COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll make a motion to approve the minutes from the May 22nd meeting, 2024. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Let's do elections. I'll make a motion (inaudible). (Reporter inquiry.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm sorry. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry. Sir, are you hear for public comment? AUDIENCE MEMBER: Well, I was asked to come on behalf of A Plus Construction, to if they had any questions on our COA. THE CHAIRMAN: Which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it's because we are we are supportive of the material itself, but the last time you all updated the matrix you stated that you can do like for like or a wood-blend product as long as there's evidence of some reasonable it has to be reasonable beyond reasonable repair, so that's just something to note if we do decide to replace just the windows on the non-street-visible locations. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the acting chair, I mean, I in the staff's report, it says they're repairable and they're not so far beyond that they can't be repaired, so I'm not sure if we defer it for a short amount of time that's going to change. And that's the main issue here. Again, it's not the material that she selected; it sounds like a fine product. THE CHAIRMAN: And that report, a hundred percent of the windows that are in question, the staff believe are repairable? MR. WELLS: Through the or to the acting chair, correct. That is correct. THE CHAIRMAN: That would tell me that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Gregory. We did not approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The task master over here, so THE CHAIRMAN: So before moving forward COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll make a motion to approve the minutes from the May 22nd meeting, 2024. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Let's do elections. I'll make a motion (inaudible). (Reporter inquiry.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm sorry. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry. Sir, are you hear for public comment? AUDIENCE MEMBER: Well, I was asked to come on behalf of A Plus Construction, to if they had any questions on our COA. THE CHAIRMAN: Which AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it's because we are we are supportive of the material itself, but the last time you all updated the matrix you stated that you can do like for like or a wood-blend product as long as there's evidence of some reasonable it has to be reasonable beyond reasonable repair, so that's just something to note if we do decide to replace just the windows on the non-street-visible locations. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the acting chair, I mean, I in the staff's report, it says they're repairable and they're not so far beyond that they can't be repaired, so I'm not sure if we defer it for a short amount of time that's going to change. And that's the main issue here. Again, it's not the material that she selected; it sounds like a fine product. THE CHAIRMAN: And that report, a hundred percent of the windows that are in question, the staff believe are repairable? MR. WELLS: Through the or to the acting chair, correct. That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Gregory. We did not approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The task master over here, so THE CHAIRMAN: So before moving forward COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll make a motion to approve the minutes from the May 22nd meeting, 2024. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Let's do elections. I'll make a motion (inaudible). (Reporter inquiry.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm sorry. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry. Sir, are you hear for public comment? AUDIENCE MEMBER: Well, I was asked to come on behalf of A Plus Construction, to if they had any questions on our COA. THE CHAIRMAN: Which AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.) THE CHAIRMAN: Could you please come up to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it's because we are we are supportive of the material itself, but the last time you all updated the matrix you stated that you can do like for like or a wood-blend product as long as there's evidence of some reasonable it has to be reasonable beyond reasonable repair, so that's just something to note if we do decide to replace just the windows on the non-street-visible locations. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the acting chair, I mean, I in the staff's report, it says they're repairable and they're not so far beyond that they can't be repaired, so I'm not sure if we defer it for a short amount of time that's going to change. And that's the main issue here. Again, it's not the material that she selected; it sounds like a fine product. THE CHAIRMAN: And that report, a hundred percent of the windows that are in question, the staff believe are repairable? MR. WELLS: Through the or to the acting chair, correct. That is correct. THE CHAIRMAN: That would tell me that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Gregory. We did not approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The task master over here, so THE CHAIRMAN: So before moving forward COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll make a motion to approve the minutes from the May 22nd meeting, 2024. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Let's do elections. I'll make a motion (inaudible). (Reporter inquiry.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm sorry. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry. Sir, are you hear for public comment? AUDIENCE MEMBER: Well, I was asked to come on behalf of A Plus Construction, to if they had any questions on our COA. THE CHAIRMAN: Which AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	it's because we are we are supportive of the material itself, but the last time you all updated the matrix you stated that you can do like for like or a wood-blend product as long as there's evidence of some reasonable it has to be reasonable beyond reasonable repair, so that's just something to note if we do decide to replace just the windows on the non-street-visible locations. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the acting chair, I mean, I in the staff's report, it says they're repairable and they're not so far beyond that they can't be repaired, so I'm not sure if we defer it for a short amount of time that's going to change. And that's the main issue here. Again, it's not the material that she selected; it sounds like a fine product. THE CHAIRMAN: And that report, a hundred percent of the windows that are in question, the staff believe are repairable? MR. WELLS: Through the or to the acting chair, correct. That is correct. THE CHAIRMAN: That would tell me that we should vote, but I'm open to hear more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Gregory. We did not approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The task master over here, so THE CHAIRMAN: So before moving forward COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll make a motion to approve the minutes from the May 22nd meeting, 2024. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Let's do elections. I'll make a motion (inaudible). (Reporter inquiry.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm sorry. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry. Sir, are you hear for public comment? AUDIENCE MEMBER: Well, I was asked to come on behalf of A Plus Construction, to if they had any questions on our COA. THE CHAIRMAN: Which AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.) THE CHAIRMAN: Could you please come up to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	it's because we are we are supportive of the material itself, but the last time you all updated the matrix you stated that you can do like for like or a wood-blend product as long as there's evidence of some reasonable it has to be reasonable beyond reasonable repair, so that's just something to note if we do decide to replace just the windows on the non-street-visible locations. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the acting chair, I mean, I in the staff's report, it says they're repairable and they're not so far beyond that they can't be repaired, so I'm not sure if we defer it for a short amount of time that's going to change. And that's the main issue here. Again, it's not the material that she selected; it sounds like a fine product. THE CHAIRMAN: And that report, a hundred percent of the windows that are in question, the staff believe are repairable? MR. WELLS: Through the or to the acting chair, correct. That is correct. THE CHAIRMAN: That would tell me that we should vote, but I'm open to hear more discussion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Gregory. We did not approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The task master over here, so THE CHAIRMAN: So before moving forward COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'll make a motion to approve the minutes from the May 22nd meeting, 2024. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Let's do elections. I'll make a motion (inaudible). (Reporter inquiry.) COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm sorry. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry. Sir, are you hear for public comment? AUDIENCE MEMBER: Well, I was asked to come on behalf of A Plus Construction, to if they had any questions on our COA. THE CHAIRMAN: Which AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible.) THE CHAIRMAN: Could you please come up to the podium?

Histor	Dacksonville		Uncertified Condensed Copy
	141		143
1	(Audience member approaches the podium.)	1	on this commission, I wouldn't mind taking on
2	(Discussion held off the record.)	2	more of a role, but I'm already the AIA
3	THE CHAIRMAN: Robert White?	3	president and
4	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes, that's correct.	4	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah, I'm happy to
5	MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair, it was	5	be vice chair, and I fully support Commissioner
	4850 Moncrief Road; is that the address?	-	
6		6	Montoya as the chair.
7	MR. WHITE: Could you	7	THE CHAIRMAN: If there's no further
8	MS. LOPERA: 4850 Moncrief Road?	8	discussion
9	MR. WHITE: Yes.	9	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Bill, you're okay
10	MS. LOPERA: That was approved on the	10	with secretary?
11	consent agenda, so it was approved as	11	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Yes.
12	MR. WHITE: Okay. They had asked me to	12	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. The current
13	come and entertain any questions that you may	13	ballot proposed by Commissioner Epstein, all
14	have, and so I said, okay, I'll come, and	14	those in favor?
15	MS. LOPERA: They didn't have any	15	COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
16	questions and you're all good.	16	THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed?
17	MR. WHITE: Okay.	17	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
18	COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Sorry, sir. We	18	THE CHAIRMAN: The ayes have it.
19	could have saved you a couple of hours.	19	Okay. All that's left now is Section M,
20	MR. WHITE: I three hours listening to	20	information, pending legislation, and Public
21	people talk about windows.	21	Works improvement projects.
22	Story of my life. Thank you.	22	Arimus.
23	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir.	23	MR. WELLS: And design issues.
24	MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to the	24	THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, and design issues. I'm
25	Commission, as you know J.C. was replaced by	25	sorry.
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	142		144
1	our new Commissioner Morgan. As such, we don't	1	MR. WELLS: I'll be quick here with the
1 2	our new Commissioner Morgan. As such, we don't have a chair. That position is vacant. Our	1 2	MR. WELLS: I'll be quick here with the last two items for information.
_	have a chair. That position is vacant. Our		last two items for information.
2	have a chair. That position is vacant. Our current we have vice chair, Commissioner	2	last two items for information. So we have pending legislation. So
2 3 4	have a chair. That position is vacant. Our current we have vice chair, Commissioner Montoya, and a secretary, Commissioner Gregory,	2 3	last two items for information. So we have pending legislation. So nothing moved at the last Commission meeting.
2 3 4 5	have a chair. That position is vacant. Our current we have vice chair, Commissioner Montoya, and a secretary, Commissioner Gregory, but if you would like to discuss a chair	2 3 4	last two items for information. So we have pending legislation. So nothing moved at the last Commission meeting. We do have three pending ordinances. The
2 3 4 5 6	have a chair. That position is vacant. Our current we have vice chair, Commissioner Montoya, and a secretary, Commissioner Gregory, but if you would like to discuss a chair COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I would like to	2 3 4 5 6	last two items for information. So we have pending legislation. So nothing moved at the last Commission meeting. We do have three pending ordinances. The standing one, which is 2023-0876, that hasn't
2 3 4 5 6 7	have a chair. That position is vacant. Our current we have vice chair, Commissioner Montoya, and a secretary, Commissioner Gregory, but if you would like to discuss a chair COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I would like to propose a ballot of for election, for	2 3 4 5 6 7	last two items for information. So we have pending legislation. So nothing moved at the last Commission meeting. We do have three pending ordinances. The standing one, which is 2023-0876, that hasn't really changed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	have a chair. That position is vacant. Our current we have vice chair, Commissioner Montoya, and a secretary, Commissioner Gregory, but if you would like to discuss a chair COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I would like to propose a ballot of for election, for Michael Montoya to be the chair, Ethan Gregory	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	last two items for information. So we have pending legislation. So nothing moved at the last Commission meeting. We do have three pending ordinances. The standing one, which is 2023-0876, that hasn't really changed. We have Ordinance 2024-0420, so this is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	have a chair. That position is vacant. Our current we have vice chair, Commissioner Montoya, and a secretary, Commissioner Gregory, but if you would like to discuss a chair COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I would like to propose a ballot of for election, for Michael Montoya to be the chair, Ethan Gregory to be the vice chair, and William Hoff to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	last two items for information. So we have pending legislation. So nothing moved at the last Commission meeting. We do have three pending ordinances. The standing one, which is 2023-0876, that hasn't really changed. We have Ordinance 2024-0420, so this is for the landmarking of that nonresidential
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	have a chair. That position is vacant. Our current we have vice chair, Commissioner Montoya, and a secretary, Commissioner Gregory, but if you would like to discuss a chair COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I would like to propose a ballot of for election, for Michael Montoya to be the chair, Ethan Gregory to be the vice chair, and William Hoff to be the secretary.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	last two items for information. So we have pending legislation. So nothing moved at the last Commission meeting. We do have three pending ordinances. The standing one, which is 2023-0876, that hasn't really changed. We have Ordinance 2024-0420, so this is for the landmarking of that nonresidential building in the Grand Park neighborhood as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	have a chair. That position is vacant. Our current we have vice chair, Commissioner Montoya, and a secretary, Commissioner Gregory, but if you would like to discuss a chair COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I would like to propose a ballot of for election, for Michael Montoya to be the chair, Ethan Gregory to be the vice chair, and William Hoff to be the secretary. Anybody have any thoughts on that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	last two items for information. So we have pending legislation. So nothing moved at the last Commission meeting. We do have three pending ordinances. The standing one, which is 2023-0876, that hasn't really changed. We have Ordinance 2024-0420, so this is for the landmarking of that nonresidential building in the Grand Park neighborhood as a local landmark. That will be going to the Land
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 have a chair. That position is vacant. Our current we have vice chair, Commissioner Montoya, and a secretary, Commissioner Gregory, but if you would like to discuss a chair COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I would like to propose a ballot of for election, for Michael Montoya to be the chair, Ethan Gregory to be the vice chair, and William Hoff to be the secretary. Anybody have any thoughts on that? COMMISSIONER HOFF: What is the role of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	last two items for information. So we have pending legislation. So nothing moved at the last Commission meeting. We do have three pending ordinances. The standing one, which is 2023-0876, that hasn't really changed. We have Ordinance 2024-0420, so this is for the landmarking of that nonresidential building in the Grand Park neighborhood as a local landmark. That will be going to the Land Use and Zoning Committee on July 16th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have a chair. That position is vacant. Our current we have vice chair, Commissioner Montoya, and a secretary, Commissioner Gregory, but if you would like to discuss a chair COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I would like to propose a ballot of for election, for Michael Montoya to be the chair, Ethan Gregory to be the vice chair, and William Hoff to be the secretary. Anybody have any thoughts on that? COMMISSIONER HOFF: What is the role of the secretary exactly?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	last two items for information. So we have pending legislation. So nothing moved at the last Commission meeting. We do have three pending ordinances. The standing one, which is 2023-0876, that hasn't really changed. We have Ordinance 2024-0420, so this is for the landmarking of that nonresidential building in the Grand Park neighborhood as a local landmark. That will be going to the Land Use and Zoning Committee on July 16th. Then we have Ordinance 2024-0471. This is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	have a chair. That position is vacant. Our current we have vice chair, Commissioner Montoya, and a secretary, Commissioner Gregory, but if you would like to discuss a chair COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I would like to propose a ballot of for election, for Michael Montoya to be the chair, Ethan Gregory to be the vice chair, and William Hoff to be the secretary. Anybody have any thoughts on that? COMMISSIONER HOFF: What is the role of the secretary exactly? MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	last two items for information. So we have pending legislation. So nothing moved at the last Commission meeting. We do have three pending ordinances. The standing one, which is 2023-0876, that hasn't really changed. We have Ordinance 2024-0420, so this is for the landmarking of that nonresidential building in the Grand Park neighborhood as a local landmark. That will be going to the Land Use and Zoning Committee on July 16th. Then we have Ordinance 2024-0471. This is an appeal that's been filed by Springfield SOS.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	have a chair. That position is vacant. Our current we have vice chair, Commissioner Montoya, and a secretary, Commissioner Gregory, but if you would like to discuss a chair COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I would like to propose a ballot of for election, for Michael Montoya to be the chair, Ethan Gregory to be the vice chair, and William Hoff to be the secretary. Anybody have any thoughts on that? COMMISSIONER HOFF: What is the role of the secretary exactly? MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, the secretary would act as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	last two items for information. So we have pending legislation. So nothing moved at the last Commission meeting. We do have three pending ordinances. The standing one, which is 2023-0876, that hasn't really changed. We have Ordinance 2024-0420, so this is for the landmarking of that nonresidential building in the Grand Park neighborhood as a local landmark. That will be going to the Land Use and Zoning Committee on July 16th. Then we have Ordinance 2024-0471. This is an appeal that's been filed by Springfield SOS. I believe in April at the April JHPC
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 have a chair. That position is vacant. Our current we have vice chair, Commissioner Montoya, and a secretary, Commissioner Gregory, but if you would like to discuss a chair COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I would like to propose a ballot of for election, for Michael Montoya to be the chair, Ethan Gregory to be the vice chair, and William Hoff to be the secretary. Anybody have any thoughts on that? COMMISSIONER HOFF: What is the role of the secretary exactly? MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, the secretary would act as chair in the event the chair and the vice chair 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	last two items for information. So we have pending legislation. So nothing moved at the last Commission meeting. We do have three pending ordinances. The standing one, which is 2023-0876, that hasn't really changed. We have Ordinance 2024-0420, so this is for the landmarking of that nonresidential building in the Grand Park neighborhood as a local landmark. That will be going to the Land Use and Zoning Committee on July 16th. Then we have Ordinance 2024-0471. This is an appeal that's been filed by Springfield SOS. I believe in April at the April JHPC meeting, you all approved the demolition of 125
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	have a chair. That position is vacant. Our current we have vice chair, Commissioner Montoya, and a secretary, Commissioner Gregory, but if you would like to discuss a chair COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I would like to propose a ballot of for election, for Michael Montoya to be the chair, Ethan Gregory to be the vice chair, and William Hoff to be the secretary. Anybody have any thoughts on that? COMMISSIONER HOFF: What is the role of the secretary exactly? MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, the secretary would act as chair in the event the chair and the vice chair are not present at the meeting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	last two items for information. So we have pending legislation. So nothing moved at the last Commission meeting. We do have three pending ordinances. The standing one, which is 2023-0876, that hasn't really changed. We have Ordinance 2024-0420, so this is for the landmarking of that nonresidential building in the Grand Park neighborhood as a local landmark. That will be going to the Land Use and Zoning Committee on July 16th. Then we have Ordinance 2024-0471. This is an appeal that's been filed by Springfield SOS. I believe in April at the April JHPC meeting, you all approved the demolition of 125 3rd Street East, so that will be going to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have a chair. That position is vacant. Our current we have vice chair, Commissioner Montoya, and a secretary, Commissioner Gregory, but if you would like to discuss a chair COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I would like to propose a ballot of for election, for Michael Montoya to be the chair, Ethan Gregory to be the vice chair, and William Hoff to be the secretary. Anybody have any thoughts on that? COMMISSIONER HOFF: What is the role of the secretary exactly? MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, the secretary would act as chair in the event the chair and the vice chair are not present at the meeting. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Very important role.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	last two items for information. So we have pending legislation. So nothing moved at the last Commission meeting. We do have three pending ordinances. The standing one, which is 2023-0876, that hasn't really changed. We have Ordinance 2024-0420, so this is for the landmarking of that nonresidential building in the Grand Park neighborhood as a local landmark. That will be going to the Land Use and Zoning Committee on July 16th. Then we have Ordinance 2024-0471. This is an appeal that's been filed by Springfield SOS. I believe in April at the April JHPC meeting, you all approved the demolition of 125 3rd Street East, so that will be going to the Land Use and Zoning Committee and City Council
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	have a chair. That position is vacant. Our current we have vice chair, Commissioner Montoya, and a secretary, Commissioner Gregory, but if you would like to discuss a chair COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I would like to propose a ballot of for election, for Michael Montoya to be the chair, Ethan Gregory to be the vice chair, and William Hoff to be the secretary. Anybody have any thoughts on that? COMMISSIONER HOFF: What is the role of the secretary exactly? MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, the secretary would act as chair in the event the chair and the vice chair are not present at the meeting. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Very important role. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	last two items for information. So we have pending legislation. So nothing moved at the last Commission meeting. We do have three pending ordinances. The standing one, which is 2023-0876, that hasn't really changed. We have Ordinance 2024-0420, so this is for the landmarking of that nonresidential building in the Grand Park neighborhood as a local landmark. That will be going to the Land Use and Zoning Committee on July 16th. Then we have Ordinance 2024-0471. This is an appeal that's been filed by Springfield SOS. I believe in April at the April JHPC meeting, you all approved the demolition of 125 3rd Street East, so that will be going to the Land Use and Zoning Committee and City Council for final action. The date has not been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	have a chair. That position is vacant. Our current we have vice chair, Commissioner Montoya, and a secretary, Commissioner Gregory, but if you would like to discuss a chair COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I would like to propose a ballot of for election, for Michael Montoya to be the chair, Ethan Gregory to be the vice chair, and William Hoff to be the secretary. Anybody have any thoughts on that? COMMISSIONER HOFF: What is the role of the secretary exactly? MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, the secretary would act as chair in the event the chair and the vice chair are not present at the meeting. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Very important role. Okay. MS. LOPERA: So third in line to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	last two items for information. So we have pending legislation. So nothing moved at the last Commission meeting. We do have three pending ordinances. The standing one, which is 2023-0876, that hasn't really changed. We have Ordinance 2024-0420, so this is for the landmarking of that nonresidential building in the Grand Park neighborhood as a local landmark. That will be going to the Land Use and Zoning Committee on July 16th. Then we have Ordinance 2024-0471. This is an appeal that's been filed by Springfield SOS. I believe in April at the April JHPC meeting, you all approved the demolition of 125 3rd Street East, so that will be going to the Land Use and Zoning Committee and City Council for final action. The date has not been scheduled for that, but just be on the lookout.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	have a chair. That position is vacant. Our current we have vice chair, Commissioner Montoya, and a secretary, Commissioner Gregory, but if you would like to discuss a chair COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I would like to propose a ballot of for election, for Michael Montoya to be the chair, Ethan Gregory to be the vice chair, and William Hoff to be the secretary. Anybody have any thoughts on that? COMMISSIONER HOFF: What is the role of the secretary exactly? MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, the secretary would act as chair in the event the chair and the vice chair are not present at the meeting. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Very important role. Okay. MS. LOPERA: So third in line to succession, essentially.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	last two items for information. So we have pending legislation. So nothing moved at the last Commission meeting. We do have three pending ordinances. The standing one, which is 2023-0876, that hasn't really changed. We have Ordinance 2024-0420, so this is for the landmarking of that nonresidential building in the Grand Park neighborhood as a local landmark. That will be going to the Land Use and Zoning Committee on July 16th. Then we have Ordinance 2024-0471. This is an appeal that's been filed by Springfield SOS. I believe in April at the April JHPC meeting, you all approved the demolition of 125 3rd Street East, so that will be going to the Land Use and Zoning Committee and City Council for final action. The date has not been scheduled for that, but just be on the lookout. That should be occurring in the next City
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have a chair. That position is vacant. Our current we have vice chair, Commissioner Montoya, and a secretary, Commissioner Gregory, but if you would like to discuss a chair COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I would like to propose a ballot of for election, for Michael Montoya to be the chair, Ethan Gregory to be the vice chair, and William Hoff to be the secretary. Anybody have any thoughts on that? COMMISSIONER HOFF: What is the role of the secretary exactly? MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to COMMISSIONER HOFF: Very important role. Okay. MS. LOPERA: So third in line to succession, essentially. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'm flattered you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	last two items for information. So we have pending legislation. So nothing moved at the last Commission meeting. We do have three pending ordinances. The standing one, which is 2023-0876, that hasn't really changed. We have Ordinance 2024-0420, so this is for the landmarking of that nonresidential building in the Grand Park neighborhood as a local landmark. That will be going to the Land Use and Zoning Committee on July 16th. Then we have Ordinance 2024-0471. This is an appeal that's been filed by Springfield SOS. I believe in April at the April JHPC meeting, you all approved the demolition of 125 3rd Street East, so that will be going to the Land Use and Zoning Committee and City Council for final action. The date has not been scheduled for that, but just be on the lookout. That should be occurring in the next City Council cycle.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have a chair. That position is vacant. Our current we have vice chair, Commissioner Montoya, and a secretary, Commissioner Gregory, but if you would like to discuss a chair COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I would like to propose a ballot of for election, for Michael Montoya to be the chair, Ethan Gregory to be the vice chair, and William Hoff to be the secretary. Anybody have any thoughts on that? COMMISSIONER HOFF: What is the role of the secretary exactly? MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, the secretary would act as chair in the event the chair and the vice chair are not present at the meeting. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Very important role. Okay. MS. LOPERA: So third in line to succession, essentially. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'm flattered you think of me so highly, Julia.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	last two items for information. So we have pending legislation. So nothing moved at the last Commission meeting. We do have three pending ordinances. The standing one, which is 2023-0876, that hasn't really changed. We have Ordinance 2024-0420, so this is for the landmarking of that nonresidential building in the Grand Park neighborhood as a local landmark. That will be going to the Land Use and Zoning Committee on July 16th. Then we have Ordinance 2024-0471. This is an appeal that's been filed by Springfield SOS. I believe in April at the April JHPC meeting, you all approved the demolition of 125 3rd Street East, so that will be going to the Land Use and Zoning Committee and City Council for final action. The date has not been scheduled for that, but just be on the lookout. That should be occurring in the next City Council cycle. (Ms. Lopera exits the proceedings.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	have a chair. That position is vacant. Our current we have vice chair, Commissioner Montoya, and a secretary, Commissioner Gregory, but if you would like to discuss a chair COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I would like to propose a ballot of for election, for Michael Montoya to be the chair, Ethan Gregory to be the vice chair, and William Hoff to be the secretary. Anybody have any thoughts on that? COMMISSIONER HOFF: What is the role of the secretary exactly? MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, the secretary would act as chair in the event the chair and the vice chair are not present at the meeting. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Very important role. Okay. MS. LOPERA: So third in line to succession, essentially. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'm flattered you think of me so highly, Julia. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I just have a lot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	last two items for information. So we have pending legislation. So nothing moved at the last Commission meeting. We do have three pending ordinances. The standing one, which is 2023-0876, that hasn't really changed. We have Ordinance 2024-0420, so this is for the landmarking of that nonresidential building in the Grand Park neighborhood as a local landmark. That will be going to the Land Use and Zoning Committee on July 16th. Then we have Ordinance 2024-0471. This is an appeal that's been filed by Springfield SOS. I believe in April at the April JHPC meeting, you all approved the demolition of 125 3rd Street East, so that will be going to the Land Use and Zoning Committee and City Council for final action. The date has not been scheduled for that, but just be on the lookout. That should be occurring in the next City Council cycle. (Ms. Lopera exits the proceedings.) MR. WELLS: For Public Works improvement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have a chair. That position is vacant. Our current we have vice chair, Commissioner Montoya, and a secretary, Commissioner Gregory, but if you would like to discuss a chair COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I would like to propose a ballot of for election, for Michael Montoya to be the chair, Ethan Gregory to be the vice chair, and William Hoff to be the secretary. Anybody have any thoughts on that? COMMISSIONER HOFF: What is the role of the secretary exactly? MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, the secretary would act as chair in the event the chair and the vice chair are not present at the meeting. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Very important role. Okay. MS. LOPERA: So third in line to succession, essentially. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'm flattered you think of me so highly, Julia. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I just have a lot on my plate. I wouldn't mind I love being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	last two items for information. So we have pending legislation. So nothing moved at the last Commission meeting. We do have three pending ordinances. The standing one, which is 2023-0876, that hasn't really changed. We have Ordinance 2024-0420, so this is for the landmarking of that nonresidential building in the Grand Park neighborhood as a local landmark. That will be going to the Land Use and Zoning Committee on July 16th. Then we have Ordinance 2024-0471. This is an appeal that's been filed by Springfield SOS. I believe in April at the April JHPC meeting, you all approved the demolition of 125 3rd Street East, so that will be going to the Land Use and Zoning Committee and City Council for final action. The date has not been scheduled for that, but just be on the lookout. That should be occurring in the next City Council cycle. (Ms. Lopera exits the proceedings.) MR. WELLS: For Public Works improvement projects, they have submitted a list of pending
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	have a chair. That position is vacant. Our current we have vice chair, Commissioner Montoya, and a secretary, Commissioner Gregory, but if you would like to discuss a chair COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I would like to propose a ballot of for election, for Michael Montoya to be the chair, Ethan Gregory to be the vice chair, and William Hoff to be the secretary. Anybody have any thoughts on that? COMMISSIONER HOFF: What is the role of the secretary exactly? MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, the secretary would act as chair in the event the chair and the vice chair are not present at the meeting. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Very important role. Okay. MS. LOPERA: So third in line to succession, essentially. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I'm flattered you think of me so highly, Julia. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I just have a lot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	last two items for information. So we have pending legislation. So nothing moved at the last Commission meeting. We do have three pending ordinances. The standing one, which is 2023-0876, that hasn't really changed. We have Ordinance 2024-0420, so this is for the landmarking of that nonresidential building in the Grand Park neighborhood as a local landmark. That will be going to the Land Use and Zoning Committee on July 16th. Then we have Ordinance 2024-0471. This is an appeal that's been filed by Springfield SOS. I believe in April at the April JHPC meeting, you all approved the demolition of 125 3rd Street East, so that will be going to the Land Use and Zoning Committee and City Council for final action. The date has not been scheduled for that, but just be on the lookout. That should be occurring in the next City Council cycle. (Ms. Lopera exits the proceedings.) MR. WELLS: For Public Works improvement

City of Jacksonville

June 26, 2024

-	f Jacksonville ic Preservation Commission		June 26, 2024 Uncertified Condensed Copy
Thistor	145		147
1	projects, so it mainly pertains to hardscape,	1	front on the right-of-way there,
2	pavers, sidewalk repairs within the Springfield	2	essentially, into a parking lot, I think that
3	and Riverside Avondale Historic Districts.	3	would be bad for the community and the
4	They're also doing some stormwater system	4	character of the neighborhood.
5	repairs, so this is just mainly point repairs.	5	COMMISSIONER GLOBER: While we're on the
6	And so those are expected to be completed	6	subject, you know, you're going down Pine
7	by the fall of 2024 with a variety of start and	7	Street here, I think we have an enforcement
8	ending dates.	8	issue as well because all of these driveways
9	And the last thing we had is just	9	are full concrete driveways as opposed to the
10	something that I know this is something we	10	ones with the ribbon pattern with the either
11	don't get too often, but we staff was having	11	grass or paver or brick going down the middle.
12	some challenges with driveway expansions and	12	So it's just it's tough for me to sit
13	mainly apron expansions. So we have a couple	13	on this commission and tell people no or
14	of COAs that are coming in the pipeline, but we	14	penalize people that have done certain things
15	just want to get your thoughts and feedback in	15	and I live one block away from here and I
16	terms of whether this is something we should be	16	would like to redo my own driveway, and I just
17	entertaining for approval.	17	think about I'm walking around and
18	So right now, again, we have applicants	18	everyone's got a concrete driveway, so
19	that are looking to expand their apron	19	And I agree with what Commissioner Gregory
20	driveways. So as we know I mean, the	20	was saying, but just while we're at it, I mean,
21	historic districts necessarily weren't	21	we're not very consistent at all when it comes
22	contemplated for automobiles and whatnot, but	22	to driveways.
23	we have had some requests come in to expand	23	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair,
24	where my cursor is right now to expand the	24	as you are now officially the Chair, I think
25	apron so they could park a car here and then	25	allowing someone to make their apron wider to
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	146		148
1	just not really mess with their driveway.	1	allow an additional parking space is a terrible
2	So from an initial standpoint, staff was	2	idea, absolutely terrible. I'll say that
3	pushing back and saying you need to preserve	3	louder so you can hear.
4	the historic pattern, the setting, and	4	Using a multifamily building as a
5	et cetera, but we are seeing an uptick in these	5	precedent for the fabric that is more of
6	requests, so we just wanted to get your	6	single-family residential, single driveways is
7	feedback and especially when there's nothing	7	a terrible idea. I don't think we should allow
8	really within the area.	8	anybody to use that as a precedent to set here.
9	Some applicants are they're trying	9	Just allowing people to start parking cars
10	to they're referencing other structures and	10	perpendicularly to their homes is just icky.
11	other properties that mainly multifamily	11	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Is that a technical
12	that have that wider driveway of some sort, but	12	term, "icky"?
13	we just wanted some thoughts on it.	13	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair,	14	THE CHAIRMAN: I think the key word was
15	if you're from the picture you're showing us	15	from Commissioner Epstein was "terrible," I
16	here, I don't want to see people's front yards	16	think that was
17	turn into parking lots or just driveways that	17	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Terrible, yeah.
18	lead into their house. I'm not sure what's	18	COMMISSIONER HOFF: I recall being at an
19	being proposed by some of these people, but	19	HPC meeting a long time ago where the
20	that would be out of character.	20	possibility of parking pads was also discussed
21	And multifamily is a little different.	21	and ultimately, you know, thought that that was
22	Who knows what was done in the past at some of	22	not a good idea for the same reasons.
23	these homes before it was designated a historic	23	These historic areas just kind of
24	district, but just from what you're showing	24	naturally emphasize pedestrian-friendly
25	me right here, like if you turn that	25	infrastructure and streetscapes, and widening
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300

	f Jacksonville		June 26, 2024
HISLOF	ic Preservation Commission 149		Uncertified Condensed Copy 151
1	driveways is not typically a part of that.	1	attention things are shifting. ADUs are
2	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. The more cars	2	becoming much more prominent. There are a
3	that you present in an area, the more people	3	lot of these single-family homes are really,
4	aren't going to feel comfortable with walking.	4	essentially, multifamily, at least, you know, a
5	Everybody lives in these urban areas to walk	5	mother-in-law suite or like accessory
6	around. I know this is America; everybody has	6	dwelling units, things are shifting, the
7	got, like, 10 cars and 10 trucks and	7	patterns are shifting. There are more cars in
8	everything, but that's one of the joys of	8	the neighborhoods because of that.
9	living in a historic district, is that	9	And it is a it is something that
10	there's the walkability, and I think to apply	10	ultimately, I think it's going to have to be
11	more parking spaces, more availability for	11	addressed, especially in Riverside and Avondale
12	people to drive and park around these areas is	12	where the streets are so narrow. We basically
13	just going to take away the pedestrian-friendly	13	have to drive (inaudible). I think that's a
14	feel of the neighborhood.	14	if Chris LaDew were still here, I think that
15	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And if you want	15	would be something he could chime in on.
16	some more clarification, if they're adding,	16	MR. WELLS: The (inaudible) part about it
17	like, a foot wider, I mean, that's something	17	is (inaudible) recommended approval.
18	that could be considered. You know, we're not	18	(Reporter inquiry.)
19	so too hard on that, I guess I would say.	19	MR. WELLS: You recommended approval.
20	But if you're asking for a whole other parking	20	Sorry.
21	space, that's a different thing.	21	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: That's interesting.
22	THE CHAIRMAN: It's turning the	22	I wouldn't think the City would want the
23	(inaudible) street parking.	23	easement of this adjusted that much. I
24	MR. WELLS: Exactly.	24	wouldn't have thought that they would have
25	So there was a this isn't the exact	25	allowed that approval.
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	150		152
1	property, but there was a parking space here	1	THE CHAIRMAN: From a traffic engineer's
2	that was clearly delineated and marked and they	2	standpoint, you could understand it, addressing
3	wanted to remove that and add expand their	3	a problem with a solution, but I don't think
4	apron so they could park literally right here	4	that's considering the urban nature of the
5	instead of queuing their cars and all that,	5	historic district. (Inaudible.) That's our
6	stacking them	6	charge.
7	THE CHAIRMAN: I mean, it ultimately comes	7	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I think this
8	down to a consensus on what we consider the	8	especially because in you know, this happens
9	urban fabric to be in each particular district,	9	so close to the Riverside/Avondale, you get
10	historic district. And I think that's part of	10	flooding with a lot of rain, and I would think
11	our this is part of our charge, to steward	11 12	maintaining the curb as much as you can to get
12	that, right? We have to as commissioners and Historic preservation, the staff, we have	12 12	flood waters out and away from homes and away
13		13 14	from parking spaces and everything would be a main priority here. And changing the easement,
14	to protect that. It's about the integrity of our historic districts.	14 15	
15 16	I think it's legitimate to consider these	15 16	changing the curb, allowing a lot more curb-cuts for water to kind of rest and find
10	kinds of things, but I think that it comes down	16	its home away from the drainage would be a
17	to the what we consider the real structure	17	terrible idea also.
19	of the urban fabric.	10	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I think we're all
20	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I have no problem	20	in agreement here.
20	considering anything anybody wants to bring to	20 21	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: (Inaudible.)
21	us. I think we're all reasonable people, but	22	MR. WELLS: Well, that helps a lot, so
22	no.	23	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: (Inaudible) about
24	THE CHAIRMAN: I mean, the other thing	24	how terrible (inaudible).
25	is I think this does bring to our	24 25	MR. WELLS: All right. Thank you all.
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
1	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300

City of Jacksonville
Historic Preservation Commission

Historic Pre	eservation Commission	-	Uncertified Condensed Copy
1 2	¹⁵³ THE CHAIRMAN:Okay.I think that's it, Arimus?	1 2	155 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Real fast, could I ask	_	
4	Commissioner Morgan to introduce herself to	3	STATE OF FLORIDA)
5	everyone? Because I'm not sure everyone)
6	THE CHAIRMAN: Great idea.	4	COUNTY OF DUVAL)
7	COMMISSIONER HOFF: knows her.	5	
8	COMMISSIONER MORGAN: My name is Becky	6	I Diana M Trania, Flarida Professional
9	Morgan. I am a pretty longtime resident of	7	I, Diane M. Tropia, Florida Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did
10	Jacksonville. I (inaudible) in Springfield for	9	stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and
11	about 12 years.	10	that the transcript is a true and complete record of my
12	(Reporter inquiry.)	11	stenographic notes.
13	THE CHAIRMAN: You've already blown it,	12	
14	Morgan. Get on the microphone.	13 14	
15	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: This is the	14	DATED this 7th day of July 2024.
16	initiation, when Diane yells at you.	16	
17	COMMISSIONER MORGAN: So I've got some	17	
18	friends here already, so	18	Diane M. Tropia
19	Thank you, Bill.	40	Florida Professional Reporter
20	My name is Becky Morgan. I've been a	19 20	
21	resident of Jacksonville for 30 years, 35	20	
22 23	years. I grew up in Jacksonville Beach. I	22	
23 24	lived a long time in Springfield, which is where I met Bill. I know Julia through AIA.	23	
24	And Mike Montoya was my first boss when I	24	
25	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	25	
	(904) 821-0300		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300
	154		(304) 82 1-0300
1	graduated and became an interior designer.		
2	But yeah, I'm very passionate about		
3	historic buildings. I've done a lot of work		
4	renovating interiors and worked with small		
5	business owners and homeowners to bring		
6	dilapidated buildings back to life with new		
7	purpose. It's something I'm very passionate		
8	about and learn more about every day, so thank		
9	you for having me.		
10	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Welcome.		
11	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Welcome.		
12	THE CHAIRMAN: Meeting adjourned.		
13	(The foregoing proceedings were adjourned		
14	at 6:06 p.m.)		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	Diana M Trania Ing Dagt Office Dev 0075 Jackson III. 51 00000		
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		
L	(904) 821-0300	J	

-				
^	130:11, 134:23	24-30488 [1] - 113:10	538 [2] - 6:15, 106:15	54:23, 136:20
\$	15 [1] - 47:11	25 [4] - 107:18,	5th [1] - 6:5	able [9] - 19:21, 30:17,
\$20,000 [2] - 116:15,	15th [3] - 109:24,	121:10, 126:24,	Jui [i] - 0.5	33:19, 54:11, 56:16,
132:19	109:25, 127:13	129:17	6	80:7, 110:7, 120:3,
\$21,000 [2] - 110:3,	16th [2] - 24:10,	26 [2] - 1:6, 2:1	0	137:16
110:15	144:12	26th [1] - 2:4	6 [1] - 9:20	abolished [2] - 45:11
\$244,350 [1] - 39:6	18 [1] - 130:5	27 [4] - 46:24, 107:5,	6-over-1 [9] - 10:9,	absolutely [2] - 49:23,
\$50,000 [1] - 110:13	1826 [5] - 6:13, 90:24,	109:12, 118:3	10:17, 12:23, 13:7,	148:2
····	98:14, 102:4, 104:8	2742 [1] - 94:10	13:9, 15:25, 16:2,	abundance [1] - 13:7
•	19 [1] - 92:15	2756 [1] - 42:21	122:22	abundantly [1] - 48:16
	1900 [1] - 23:1	2768 [5] - 6:10, 7:1,	6-over-6 [1] - 122:22	abuts [2] - 22:8, 22:10
'20 [3] - 130:14,	1920 [6] - 6:19, 10:1,	21:20, 22:1, 65:4	6:06 [1] - 154:14	abutting [1] - 74:5
130:19, 133:19	10:7, 17:6, 17:10,	2772 [2] - 46:5, 50:5		accept [1] - 17:7
'22 [4] - 27:23, 28:15,	23:1	2775 [1] - 60:13	7	acceptable [3] -
49:1, 49:5	1920s [1] - 16:2	2799 [1] - 6:1	7 m 0:00 01:10	120:19, 122:19,
'23 [2] - 109:10,	1922 [1] - 10:11	2800 [1] - 62:3	7 [3] - 6:23, 21:19, 131:4	123:20
109:17	1925 [1] - 92:16		75 [2] - 130:10, 133:13	accepted [1] - 121:25
'60s [4] - 14:19, 14:20,	1926 [1] - 15:7	3	75 [2] - 130.10, 133.13 7th [2] - 107:15,	accepting [2] -
18:12, 18:18 '70s [1] - 18:18	1928 [1] - 6:8	2 (4) 46.24	155:15	119:16, 119:17
705 [1] - 18:17	1942 [1] - 13:24	3 [1] - 46:24 3-over-1 [1] - 126:20		access [4] - 31:9, 31:11, 31:23, 32:7
'80s [1] - 81:21	1960s [1] - 15:9 1970s [2] - 10:22,	3-over-1s [2] - 130:1,	8	accessory [1] - 151:5
'90s [1] - 83:23	18:11	131:9		accordance [7] - 12:1,
'96 [4] - 79:15, 81:12,	1985 [1] - 114:23	30 [1] - 153:21	8 [13] - 6:23, 93:17,	12:14, 18:1, 23:4,
82:2, 82:6	1987 [1] - 24:25	3115 [1] - 6:9	93:19, 93:20, 93:24,	23:16, 75:16, 115:5
	1993 [1] - 132:5	32204 [1] - 108:19	95:9, 96:20, 97:23,	according [6] - 10:19,
1	1996 [2] - 24:24, 86:5	32205 [2] - 42:22,	101:18, 102:9,	18:10, 22:23, 23:22,
	1998 [1] - 74:9	129:6	103:9, 104:10, 130:10	24:22, 74:11
1 [4] - 5:25, 9:20,		32206 [1] - 8:9	801 [1] - 6:2	accordingly [1] - 93:1
75:11, 121:9	2	33 [1] - 51:1	8834 [1] - 55:11	accounting [1] - 57:11
1-over-1 [19] - 10:8, 10:15, 10:17, 13:5,		35 [1] - 153:21	8th [1] - 76:3	accrue [2] - 39:8,
107:21, 109:22,	2-and-a-half [2] -	3557 [1] - 6:3	[-]	39:24
111:1, 111:24,	34:23, 34:24 20 [6] - 10:8, 10:16,	3575 [4] - 6:18,	9	accruing [1] - 37:6
112:2, 114:3,	10:20, 43:19, 56:22,	125:25, 126:11, 129:5		accumulated [1] - 44:19
118:21, 120:7,	87:4	36 [1] - 107:17	9 [6] - 93:17, 93:21,	accurate [3] - 84:8,
120:15, 120:23,	200 [1] - 46:23	3664 [1] - 5:25	93:24, 95:10, 96:21,	100:7, 102:15
121:12, 121:25,	2000 [7] - 46:23,	38 [1] - 107:14	119:4	acquire [1] - 17:22
122:19, 122:24,	46:25, 59:14, 59:15,	3:02 [3] - 1:7, 2:1, 2:9	9-grid [1] - 111:11	acquired [2] - 12:13,
123:20	59:16, 59:19, 71:2	3D [1] - 119:2	9-over-1 [7] - 107:18,	20:5
10 [5] - 47:11, 48:4,	2010 [3] - 30:25, 49:8,	3rd [4] - 6:7, 8:9,	107:24, 108:4,	acquiring [1] - 74:8
58:16, 149:7	82:20	24:10, 144:17	111:2, 113:25, 120:23, 121:11	act [3] - 28:18, 135:19,
100-year [3] - 74:3,	2011 [2] - 28:7, 34:14		9/11 [1] - 84:9	142:15
75:8, 76:18 1002 (51 - 1:8, 6:11	2017 [2] - 3:16, 4:7	4	907 [4] - 6:17, 107:4,	acting [37] - 2:23,
1002 [5] - 1:8, 6:11, 7:2, 73:2, 73:8	2020 [1] - 128:15		109:7, 124:17	18:4, 19:23, 22:20,
1044 [1] - 6:7	2022 [5] - 22:17,	4-over-1 [4] - 126:19,	915 [1] - 108:18	25:23, 26:17, 26:23,
1071 [1] - 53:11	24:10, 27:15, 28:14,	130:1, 130:4, 131:7	962 [2] - 73:10, 74:21	27:5, 28:4, 31:3,
12 [3] - 22:16, 23:20,	61:2	40 [2] - 15:13, 16:5 42 [1] - 43:16		35:23, 37:15, 41:4, 41:7, 65:8, 68:2,
153:11	2023-0876 [1] - 144:6 2024 [7] - 1:6, 2:1,	42 [1] - 43.16 45 [2] - 14:24, 15:18	Α	41.7, 65.6, 66.2, 70:5, 81:8, 82:2,
1209 [1] - 106:17	34:9, 127:13, 140:7,	450 [1] - 6:5	ahandana di	87:23, 88:15, 88:25,
125 [1] - 144:16	145:7, 155:15	4850 [3] - 6:6, 141:6,	abandoned [7] -	89:11, 89:19, 103:7,
13 [1] - 133:18	2024-0420 [1] - 144:8	141:8	81:18, 81:20, 82:3, 82:5, 83:7, 84:13	111:9, 113:3, 114:6,
133 [2] - 6:7, 8:9	2024-0471 [1] - 144:13	-	82:5, 83:7, 84:13, 84:17	119:1, 122:5, 123:4,
14 [15] - 93:13, 93:24,	2137 [1] - 100:23	5	abate [2] - 22:18,	123:19, 135:3,
95:7, 95:9, 97:23,	214 [3] - 1:8, 30:1,		27:17	136:25, 137:22,
101:18, 102:9,	33:1	50 [6] - 15:13, 16:5,	abatement [3] - 27:17,	138:10, 138:22
104:9, 126:12, 127:6, 127:15	22 [2] - 127:4, 130:5	17:25, 18:5, 18:16,	31:11, 35:6	Acting [1] - 1:13
127:6, 127:15, 128:4, 129:16,	225 [1] - 77:8	114:13 519 (4) 22:14	ability [3] - 19:19,	action [4] - 21:4, 83:1,
120.7, 120.10,	22nd [1] - 140:6	518 [1] - 22:14		105:23, 144:19
			-	

actions [2] - 71:25,	62:7, 77:13, 94:16,	137:14	applicant [58] - 10:16,	66:19, 76:22, 80:25,
actions [2] - 71:25, 124:16	98:18, 108:23,	alteration [1] - 92:2	10:19, 11:5, 11:10,	101:2, 101:19,
activity [1] - 22:15	98.18, 108.23, 129:10	alteration [1] - 92.2 alterations [2] - 13:4,	12:4, 13:15, 13:16,	101.2, 101.19, 102:20, 108:3,
actual [6] - 36:5,	afternoon [3] - 2:12,	126:21	12.4, 13.15, 13.16, 16:11, 17:15, 18:10,	102.20, 108.3, 110:1, 110:25,
36:13, 36:15, 56:15,	30:12, 77:19	altered [1] - 93:14	19:8, 19:19, 20:10,	123:21, 145:17,
63:14, 79:23	age [2] - 19:9, 119:2	alternates [1] - 76:17	21:14, 22:20, 23:22,	151:17, 151:19,
add [2] - 101:19, 150:3	agenda [28] - 3:7,	alternatives [3] -	24:5, 26:22, 26:23,	151:25
added [4] - 39:15,	4:14, 5:17, 5:20,	23:18, 23:25, 76:13	29:13, 29:14, 29:16,	approve [20] - 9:12,
99:2, 101:23, 111:13	5:24, 6:4, 6:21, 6:24,	aluminum [2] - 10:22,	70:17, 74:10, 75:23,	39:8, 39:19, 40:24,
adding [3] - 102:10,	7:13, 7:19, 7:24, 9:7,	12:8	76:16, 77:1, 81:7,	41:22, 65:3, 87:24,
149:16	9:11, 9:13, 9:20,	amazed [1] - 112:3	81:9, 86:12, 91:13,	88:17, 102:4, 102:5,
addition [12] - 91:5,	21:16, 21:17, 21:20,	amend [7] - 92:24,	93:16, 93:25, 94:3,	105:13, 105:15,
91:6, 91:7, 97:1,	57:2, 72:25, 90:21,	102:1, 104:6, 104:8,	94:5, 94:6, 96:17,	113:7, 113:9,
97:15, 107:11,	106:6, 106:12,	120:3, 123:16,	97:25, 106:14,	118:20, 121:16,
111:14, 115:2,	106:13, 106:25,	123:19	106:15, 106:19,	123:17, 124:9,
115:3, 122:11,	139:10, 141:11	amended [7] - 9:13,	106:22, 107:21,	140:1, 140:6
122:22	ago [8] - 8:23, 51:22,	105:14, 105:16,	108:3, 108:11,	approved [23] - 9:20,
additional [4] - 31:16,	58:24, 59:6, 61:3,	105:23, 124:7,	108:12, 110:23,	11:23, 37:5, 41:2,
38:3, 91:14, 148:1	62:17, 99:1, 148:19	124:9, 124:16	112:15, 114:1,	41:18, 66:14, 72:18,
address [25] - 8:4, 8:7,	agree [11] - 70:6,	amending [4] - 93:7,	116:17, 119:19,	72:19, 90:18,
13:22, 29:21, 29:24,	70:19, 89:12, 89:20,	105:7, 121:9	121:17, 128:25,	100:21, 105:23,
32:25, 42:19, 46:2,	89:25, 103:8, 122:6,	amendment [7] -	136:6, 136:19,	109:7, 113:23,
50:4, 53:4, 53:9,	123:5, 123:10,	104:5, 118:23,	137:11, 137:13,	121:12, 124:6,
55:10, 60:12, 61:25,	135:6, 147:19	119:13, 123:3,	137:18	124:16, 127:2,
73:9, 74:21, 77:5,	agreement [2] - 53:5,	124:1, 124:5, 124:9	applicant's [2] - 12:6,	128:10, 134:24,
94:8, 98:11, 100:22,	152:20	America [1] - 149:6	19:3	141:10, 141:11,
108:17, 121:8,	ahead [11] - 6:22,	amount [3] - 76:5,	applicant/owner [1] -	144:16
123:10, 129:4, 141:6	7:13, 29:12, 40:9,	87:6, 138:14	136:3	approving [1] - 139:23
addressed [1] -	49:3, 49:24, 53:4, 60:10, 60:11, 04:24	analysis [1] - 127:16	applicants [2] - 145:18, 146:9	April [4] - 22:17, 28:15, 144:15
151:11	60:10, 60:11, 94:24,	Anchorage [1] -		
addressing [1] - 152:2	98:4	131:16	application [16] - 10:6, 12:16, 17:5,	apron [5] - 145:13, 145:19, 145:25,
adhere [1] - 96:22	ahold [1] - 33:19	Andersen [2] - 15:24,	10:6, 12:16, 17:5, 21:25, 24:6, 28:5,	145:19, 145:25, 147:25, 150:4
adjacent [3] - 29:7,	AIA [2] - 143:2, 153:24	16:7	21:25, 24:6, 28:5, 64:25, 73:7, 90:18,	architect [3] - 68:3,
57:7, 79:9	air [3] - 64:17, 96:12,	animals [2] - 44:15,	04.20, 10.1, 00.10,	di Ci ilicori ai - 00.0.
adjourned [2] -		••	01·4 01·8 01:12.	
154 40 454.40	132:17 Alaska (1) - 131:16	66:4	91:4, 91:8, 91:12, 107:3, 108:1.	68:13, 104:2
154:12, 154:13	Alaska [1] - 131:16	66:4 answer [2] - 56:19,	107:3, 108:1,	68:13, 104:2 architectural [4] -
adjusted [1] - 151:23	Alaska [1] - 131:16 Alicia [1] - 129:5	66:4 answer [2] - 56:19, 56:20	107:3, 108:1, 126:10, 129:17	68:13, 104:2
adjusted [1] - 151:23 administration [1] -	Alaska [1] - 131:16 Alicia [1] - 129:5 aligned [1] - 85:5	66:4 answer [2] - 56:19, 56:20 Anthony [3] - 50:5,	107:3, 108:1, 126:10, 129:17 applied [4] - 91:19,	68:13, 104:2 architectural [4] - 12:2, 25:12, 75:3, 90:9
adjusted [1] - 151:23 administration [1] - 41:21	Alaska [1] - 131:16 Alicia [1] - 129:5 aligned [1] - 85:5 aligns [1] - 85:2	66:4 answer [2] - 56:19, 56:20 Anthony [3] - 50:5, 52:10, 52:13	107:3, 108:1, 126:10, 129:17	68:13, 104:2 architectural [4] - 12:2, 25:12, 75:3, 90:9 archival [1] - 74:11
adjusted [1] - 151:23 administration [1] - 41:21 administrative [7] -	Alaska [1] - 131:16 Alicia [1] - 129:5 aligned [1] - 85:5 aligns [1] - 85:2 allocated [1] - 63:17	66:4 answer [2] - 56:19, 56:20 Anthony [3] - 50:5, 52:10, 52:13 anti [2] - 92:10, 92:11	107:3, 108:1, 126:10, 129:17 applied [4] - 91:19, 103:10, 103:15, 104:11	68:13, 104:2 architectural [4] - 12:2, 25:12, 75:3, 90:9 archival [1] - 74:11 archways [1] - 100:12
adjusted [1] - 151:23 administration [1] - 41:21 administrative [7] - 25:19, 28:8, 30:22,	Alaska [1] - 131:16 Alicia [1] - 129:5 aligned [1] - 85:5 aligns [1] - 85:2 allocated [1] - 63:17 allow [9] - 7:8, 63:16,	66:4 answer [2] - 56:19, 56:20 Anthony [3] - 50:5, 52:10, 52:13 anti [2] - 92:10, 92:11 anti-fungal [1] - 92:11	107:3, 108:1, 126:10, 129:17 applied [4] - 91:19, 103:10, 103:15,	68:13, 104:2 architectural [4] - 12:2, 25:12, 75:3, 90:9 archival [1] - 74:11
adjusted [1] - 151:23 administration [1] - 41:21 administrative [7] - 25:19, 28:8, 30:22, 30:24, 34:16, 38:21,	Alaska [1] - 131:16 Alicia [1] - 129:5 aligned [1] - 85:5 aligns [1] - 85:2 allocated [1] - 63:17 allow [9] - 7:8, 63:16, 79:22, 95:19, 96:14,	66:4 answer [2] - 56:19, 56:20 Anthony [3] - 50:5, 52:10, 52:13 anti [2] - 92:10, 92:11 anti-fungal [1] - 92:11 anti-septic [1] - 92:10	107:3, 108:1, 126:10, 129:17 applied [4] - 91:19, 103:10, 103:15, 104:11 apply [5] - 20:21,	68:13, 104:2 architectural [4] - 12:2, 25:12, 75:3, 90:9 archival [1] - 74:11 archways [1] - 100:12 area [11] - 31:15,
adjusted [1] - 151:23 administration [1] - 41:21 administrative [7] - 25:19, 28:8, 30:22, 30:24, 34:16, 38:21, 67:14	Alaska [1] - 131:16 Alicia [1] - 129:5 aligned [1] - 85:5 aligns [1] - 85:2 allocated [1] - 63:17 allow [9] - 7:8, 63:16, 79:22, 95:19, 96:14, 121:16, 132:22,	66:4 answer [2] - 56:19, 56:20 Anthony [3] - 50:5, 52:10, 52:13 anti [2] - 92:10, 92:11 anti-fungal [1] - 92:11 anti-septic [1] - 92:10 anyhow [1] - 9:3	107:3, 108:1, 126:10, 129:17 applied [4] - 91:19, 103:10, 103:15, 104:11 apply [5] - 20:21, 111:11, 136:20,	68:13, 104:2 architectural [4] - 12:2, 25:12, 75:3, 90:9 archival [1] - 74:11 archways [1] - 100:12 area [11] - 31:15, 31:17, 36:15, 45:2,
adjusted [1] - 151:23 administration [1] - 41:21 administrative [7] - 25:19, 28:8, 30:22, 30:24, 34:16, 38:21, 67:14 administratively [2] -	Alaska [1] - 131:16 Alicia [1] - 129:5 aligned [1] - 85:5 aligns [1] - 85:2 allocated [1] - 63:17 allow [9] - 7:8, 63:16, 79:22, 95:19, 96:14, 121:16, 132:22, 148:1, 148:7	66:4 answer [2] - 56:19, 56:20 Anthony [3] - 50:5, 52:10, 52:13 anti [2] - 92:10, 92:11 anti-fungal [1] - 92:11 anti-septic [1] - 92:10 anyhow [1] - 9:3 anyway [2] - 45:13,	107:3, 108:1, 126:10, 129:17 applied [4] - 91:19, 103:10, 103:15, 104:11 apply [5] - 20:21, 111:11, 136:20, 137:3, 149:10	68:13, 104:2 architectural [4] - 12:2, 25:12, 75:3, 90:9 archival [1] - 74:11 archways [1] - 100:12 area [11] - 31:15, 31:17, 36:15, 45:2, 74:7, 79:3, 89:4,
adjusted [1] - 151:23 administration [1] - 41:21 administrative [7] - 25:19, 28:8, 30:22, 30:24, 34:16, 38:21, 67:14	Alaska [1] - 131:16 Alicia [1] - 129:5 aligned [1] - 85:5 aligns [1] - 85:2 allocated [1] - 63:17 allow [9] - 7:8, 63:16, 79:22, 95:19, 96:14, 121:16, 132:22,	66:4 answer [2] - 56:19, 56:20 Anthony [3] - 50:5, 52:10, 52:13 anti [2] - 92:10, 92:11 anti-fungal [1] - 92:11 anti-septic [1] - 92:10 anyhow [1] - 9:3 anyway [2] - 45:13, 110:9	107:3, 108:1, 126:10, 129:17 applied [4] - 91:19, 103:10, 103:15, 104:11 apply [5] - 20:21, 111:11, 136:20, 137:3, 149:10 appreciate [6] - 4:3,	68:13, 104:2 architectural [4] - 12:2, 25:12, 75:3, 90:9 archival [1] - 74:11 archways [1] - 100:12 area [11] - 31:15, 31:17, 36:15, 45:2, 74:7, 79:3, 89:4, 109:22, 117:4,
adjusted [1] - 151:23 administration [1] - 41:21 administrative [7] - 25:19, 28:8, 30:22, 30:24, 34:16, 38:21, 67:14 administratively [2] - 11:23, 120:12 administrator [2] -	Alaska [1] - 131:16 Alicia [1] - 129:5 aligned [1] - 85:5 aligns [1] - 85:2 allocated [1] - 63:17 allow [9] - 7:8, 63:16, 79:22, 95:19, 96:14, 121:16, 132:22, 148:1, 148:7 allowed [11] - 31:8,	66:4 answer [2] - 56:19, 56:20 Anthony [3] - 50:5, 52:10, 52:13 anti [2] - 92:10, 92:11 anti-fungal [1] - 92:11 anti-septic [1] - 92:10 anyhow [1] - 9:3 anyway [2] - 45:13, 110:9 apart [1] - 95:5	107:3, 108:1, 126:10, 129:17 applied [4] - 91:19, 103:10, 103:15, 104:11 apply [5] - 20:21, 111:11, 136:20, 137:3, 149:10 appreciate [6] - 4:3, 4:16, 61:13, 64:16,	68:13, 104:2 architectural [4] - 12:2, 25:12, 75:3, 90:9 archival [1] - 74:11 archways [1] - 100:12 area [11] - 31:15, 31:17, 36:15, 45:2, 74:7, 79:3, 89:4, 109:22, 117:4, 146:8, 149:3
adjusted [1] - 151:23 administration [1] - 41:21 administrative [7] - 25:19, 28:8, 30:22, 30:24, 34:16, 38:21, 67:14 administratively [2] - 11:23, 120:12	Alaska [1] - 131:16 Alicia [1] - 129:5 aligned [1] - 85:5 aligns [1] - 85:2 allocated [1] - 63:17 allow [9] - 7:8, 63:16, 79:22, 95:19, 96:14, 121:16, 132:22, 148:1, 148:7 allowed [11] - 31:8, 31:11, 31:23, 32:2,	66:4 answer [2] - 56:19, 56:20 Anthony [3] - 50:5, 52:10, 52:13 anti [2] - 92:10, 92:11 anti-fungal [1] - 92:11 anti-septic [1] - 92:10 anyhow [1] - 9:3 anyway [2] - 45:13, 110:9 apart [1] - 95:5 apologies [1] - 12:17	107:3, 108:1, 126:10, 129:17 applied [4] - 91:19, 103:10, 103:15, 104:11 apply [5] - 20:21, 111:11, 136:20, 137:3, 149:10 appreciate [6] - 4:3, 4:16, 61:13, 64:16, 80:25, 135:5 approache [1] - 13:18 approaches [17] - 8:2,	68:13, 104:2 architectural [4] - 12:2, 25:12, 75:3, 90:9 archival [1] - 74:11 archways [1] - 100:12 area [11] - 31:15, 31:17, 36:15, 45:2, 74:7, 79:3, 89:4, 109:22, 117:4, 146:8, 149:3 areas [7] - 74:2, 76:9,
adjusted [1] - 151:23 administration [1] - 41:21 administrative [7] - 25:19, 28:8, 30:22, 30:24, 34:16, 38:21, 67:14 administratively [2] - 11:23, 120:12 administrator [2] - 30:1, 33:2	Alaska [1] - 131:16 Alicia [1] - 129:5 aligned [1] - 85:5 aligns [1] - 85:2 allocated [1] - 63:17 allow [9] - 7:8, 63:16, 79:22, 95:19, 96:14, 121:16, 132:22, 148:1, 148:7 allowed [11] - 31:8, 31:11, 31:23, 32:2, 32:5, 57:17, 79:13,	66:4 answer [2] - 56:19, 56:20 Anthony [3] - 50:5, 52:10, 52:13 anti [2] - 92:10, 92:11 anti-fungal [1] - 92:10 anyhow [1] - 9:3 anyhow [1] - 9:3 anyway [2] - 45:13, 110:9 apart [1] - 95:5 apologies [1] - 12:17 apologize [7] - 20:17,	107:3, 108:1, 126:10, 129:17 applied [4] - 91:19, 103:10, 103:15, 104:11 apply [5] - 20:21, 111:11, 136:20, 137:3, 149:10 appreciate [6] - 4:3, 4:16, 61:13, 64:16, 80:25, 135:5 approache [1] - 13:18 approaches [17] - 8:2, 13:20, 29:19, 32:23,	68:13, 104:2 architectural [4] - 12:2, 25:12, 75:3, 90:9 archival [1] - 74:11 archways [1] - 100:12 area [11] - 31:15, 31:17, 36:15, 45:2, 74:7, 79:3, 89:4, 109:22, 117:4, 146:8, 149:3 areas [7] - 74:2, 76:9, 96:25, 97:10, 148:23, 149:5, 149:12
adjusted [1] - 151:23 administration [1] - 41:21 administrative [7] - 25:19, 28:8, 30:22, 30:24, 34:16, 38:21, 67:14 administratively [2] - 11:23, 120:12 administrator [2] - 30:1, 33:2 aDUs [1] - 151:1	Alaska [1] - 131:16 Alicia [1] - 129:5 aligned [1] - 85:5 aligns [1] - 85:2 allocated [1] - 63:17 allow [9] - 7:8, 63:16, 79:22, 95:19, 96:14, 121:16, 132:22, 148:1, 148:7 allowed [11] - 31:8, 31:11, 31:23, 32:2, 32:5, 57:17, 79:13, 79:19, 95:16,	$\begin{array}{c} 66:4\\ \textbf{answer}\left[2\right]-56:19,\\ 56:20\\ \textbf{Anthony}\left[3\right]-50:5,\\ 52:10, 52:13\\ \textbf{anti}\left[2\right]-92:10, 92:11\\ \textbf{anti-fungal}\left[1\right]-92:11\\ \textbf{anti-fungal}\left[1\right]-92:10\\ \textbf{anyhow}\left[1\right]-92:10\\ \textbf{anyhow}\left[1\right]-9:3\\ \textbf{anyway}\left[2\right]-45:13,\\ 110:9\\ \textbf{apart}\left[1\right]-95:5\\ \textbf{apologies}\left[1\right]-12:17\\ \textbf{apologize}\left[7\right]-20:17,\\ 27:2, 111:20, 112:5, \end{array}$	107:3, 108:1, 126:10, 129:17 applied [4] - 91:19, 103:10, 103:15, 104:11 apply [5] - 20:21, 111:11, 136:20, 137:3, 149:10 appreciate [6] - 4:3, 4:16, 61:13, 64:16, 80:25, 135:5 approache [17] - 8:2, 13:20, 29:19, 32:23, 42:14, 45:25, 50:1,	68:13, 104:2 architectural [4] - 12:2, 25:12, 75:3, 90:9 archival [1] - 74:11 archways [1] - 100:12 area [11] - 31:15, 31:17, 36:15, 45:2, 74:7, 79:3, 89:4, 109:22, 117:4, 146:8, 149:3 areas [7] - 74:2, 76:9, 96:25, 97:10, 148:23, 149:5, 149:12 argument [3] - 62:22,
adjusted [1] - 151:23 administration [1] - 41:21 administrative [7] - 25:19, 28:8, 30:22, 30:24, 34:16, 38:21, 67:14 administratively [2] - 11:23, 120:12 administrator [2] - 30:1, 33:2 aDUs [1] - 151:1 advice [1] - 118:12	Alaska [1] - 131:16 Alicia [1] - 129:5 aligned [1] - 85:5 aligns [1] - 85:2 allocated [1] - 63:17 allow [9] - 7:8, 63:16, 79:22, 95:19, 96:14, 121:16, 132:22, 148:1, 148:7 allowed [11] - 31:8, 31:11, 31:23, 32:2, 32:5, 57:17, 79:13, 79:19, 95:16, 100:25, 151:25 allowing [5] - 46:18, 94:24, 147:25,	$\begin{array}{c} 66:4\\ \textbf{answer}\left[2\right]-56:19,\\ 56:20\\ \textbf{Anthony}\left[3\right]-50:5,\\ 52:10,\ 52:13\\ \textbf{anti}\left[2\right]-92:10,\ 92:11\\ \textbf{anti-fungal}\left[1\right]-92:11\\ \textbf{anti-fungal}\left[1\right]-92:10\\ \textbf{anyhow}\left[1\right]-92:10\\ \textbf{anyhow}\left[1\right]-93\\ \textbf{anyway}\left[2\right]-45:13,\\ 110:9\\ \textbf{apart}\left[1\right]-95:5\\ \textbf{apologies}\left[1\right]-12:17\\ \textbf{apologize}\left[7\right]-20:17,\\ 27:2,\ 111:20,\ 112:5,\\ 113:8,\ 116:25,\ 117:9\\ \end{array}$	107:3, 108:1, 126:10, 129:17 applied [4] - 91:19, 103:10, 103:15, 104:11 apply [5] - 20:21, 111:11, 136:20, 137:3, 149:10 appreciate [6] - 4:3, 4:16, 61:13, 64:16, 80:25, 135:5 approach [1] - 13:18 approaches [17] - 8:2, 13:20, 29:19, 32:23, 42:14, 45:25, 50:1, 53:2, 55:9, 61:24,	68:13, 104:2 architectural [4] - 12:2, 25:12, 75:3, 90:9 archival [1] - 74:11 archways [1] - 100:12 area [11] - 31:15, 31:17, 36:15, 45:2, 74:7, 79:3, 89:4, 109:22, 117:4, 146:8, 149:3 areas [7] - 74:2, 76:9, 96:25, 97:10, 148:23, 149:5, 149:12 argument [3] - 62:22, 63:3, 71:18
adjusted [1] - 151:23 administration [1] - 41:21 administrative [7] - 25:19, 28:8, 30:22, 30:24, 34:16, 38:21, 67:14 administratively [2] - 11:23, 120:12 administrator [2] - 30:1, 33:2 aDUs [1] - 151:1 advice [1] - 118:12 AE [3] - 74:1, 75:7	Alaska [1] - 131:16 Alicia [1] - 129:5 aligned [1] - 85:5 aligns [1] - 85:2 allocated [1] - 63:17 allow [9] - 7:8, 63:16, 79:22, 95:19, 96:14, 121:16, 132:22, 148:1, 148:7 allowed [11] - 31:8, 31:11, 31:23, 32:2, 32:5, 57:17, 79:13, 79:19, 95:16, 100:25, 151:25 allowing [5] - 46:18,	$\begin{array}{c} 66:4\\ \textbf{answer}\left[2\right]-56:19,\\ 56:20\\ \textbf{Anthony}\left[3\right]-50:5,\\ 52:10, 52:13\\ \textbf{anti}\left[2\right]-92:10, 92:11\\ \textbf{anti-fungal}\left[1\right]-92:11\\ \textbf{anti-fungal}\left[1\right]-92:10\\ \textbf{anyhow}\left[1\right]-92:10\\ \textbf{anyhow}\left[1\right]-9:3\\ \textbf{anyway}\left[2\right]-45:13,\\ 110:9\\ \textbf{apart}\left[1\right]-95:5\\ \textbf{apologies}\left[1\right]-12:17\\ \textbf{apologize}\left[7\right]-20:17,\\ 27:2, 111:20, 112:5, \end{array}$	107:3, 108:1, 126:10, 129:17 applied [4] - 91:19, 103:10, 103:15, 104:11 apply [5] - 20:21, 111:11, 136:20, 137:3, 149:10 appreciate [6] - 4:3, 4:16, 61:13, 64:16, 80:25, 135:5 approache [17] - 8:2, 13:20, 29:19, 32:23, 42:14, 45:25, 50:1, 53:2, 55:9, 61:24, 77:4, 94:7, 98:10,	68:13, 104:2 architectural [4] - 12:2, 25:12, 75:3, 90:9 archival [1] - 74:11 archways [1] - 100:12 area [11] - 31:15, 31:17, 36:15, 45:2, 74:7, 79:3, 89:4, 109:22, 117:4, 146:8, 149:3 areas [7] - 74:2, 76:9, 96:25, 97:10, 148:23, 149:5, 149:12 argument [3] - 62:22, 63:3, 71:18 Arimus [10] - 3:1,
adjusted [1] - 151:23 administration [1] - 41:21 administrative [7] - 25:19, 28:8, 30:22, 30:24, 34:16, 38:21, 67:14 administratively [2] - 11:23, 120:12 administrator [2] - 30:1, 33:2 aDUs [1] - 151:1 advice [1] - 118:12 AE [3] - 74:1, 75:7 aerial [1] - 24:16	Alaska [1] - 131:16 Alicia [1] - 129:5 aligned [1] - 85:5 aligns [1] - 85:2 allocated [1] - 63:17 allow [9] - 7:8, 63:16, 79:22, 95:19, 96:14, 121:16, 132:22, 148:1, 148:7 allowed [11] - 31:8, 31:11, 31:23, 32:2, 32:5, 57:17, 79:13, 79:19, 95:16, 100:25, 151:25 allowing [5] - 46:18, 94:24, 147:25, 148:9, 152:15 allows [2] - 16:14,	66:4 answer [2] - 56:19, 56:20 Anthony [3] - 50:5, 52:10, 52:13 anti [2] - 92:10, 92:11 anti-fungal [1] - 92:11 anti-septic [1] - 92:10 anyhow [1] - 9:3 anyway [2] - 45:13, 110:9 apart [1] - 95:5 apologies [1] - 12:17 apologize [7] - 20:17, 27:2, 111:20, 112:5, 113:8, 116:25, 117:9 apparent [1] - 24:1	107:3, 108:1, 126:10, 129:17 applied [4] - 91:19, 103:10, 103:15, 104:11 apply [5] - 20:21, 111:11, 136:20, 137:3, 149:10 appreciate [6] - 4:3, 4:16, 61:13, 64:16, 80:25, 135:5 approache [17] - 8:2, 13:20, 29:19, 32:23, 42:14, 45:25, 50:1, 53:2, 55:9, 61:24, 77:4, 94:7, 98:10, 108:15, 126:2,	$\begin{array}{c} 68:13, 104:2\\ \textbf{architectural [4] -}\\ 12:2, 25:12, 75:3,\\ 90:9\\ \textbf{archival [1] - 74:11}\\ \textbf{archways [1] - 100:12}\\ \textbf{area [11] - 31:15,}\\ 31:17, 36:15, 45:2,\\ 74:7, 79:3, 89:4,\\ 109:22, 117:4,\\ 146:8, 149:3\\ \textbf{areas [7] - 74:2, 76:9,}\\ 96:25, 97:10,\\ 148:23, 149:5,\\ 149:12\\ \textbf{argument [3] - 62:22,}\\ 63:3, 71:18\\ \textbf{Arimus [10] - 3:1,}\\ 76:25, 80:12, 93:2,\\ \end{array}$
adjusted [1] - 151:23 administration [1] - 41:21 administrative [7] - 25:19, 28:8, 30:22, 30:24, 34:16, 38:21, 67:14 administratively [2] - 11:23, 120:12 administrator [2] - 30:1, 33:2 aDUs [1] - 151:1 advice [1] - 118:12 AE [3] - 74:1, 75:7 aerial [1] - 24:16 aesthetics [3] -	Alaska [1] - 131:16 Alicia [1] - 129:5 aligned [1] - 85:5 aligns [1] - 85:2 allocated [1] - 63:17 allow [9] - 7:8, 63:16, 79:22, 95:19, 96:14, 121:16, 132:22, 148:1, 148:7 allowed [11] - 31:8, 31:11, 31:23, 32:2, 32:5, 57:17, 79:13, 79:19, 95:16, 100:25, 151:25 allowing [5] - 46:18, 94:24, 147:25, 148:9, 152:15 allows [2] - 16:14, 96:11	$\begin{array}{c} 66:4\\ \textbf{answer}\left[2\right]-56:19,\\ 56:20\\ \textbf{Anthony}\left[3\right]-50:5,\\ 52:10,\ 52:13\\ \textbf{anti}\left[2\right]-92:10,\ 92:11\\ \textbf{anti-fungal}\left[1\right]-92:11\\ \textbf{anti-fungal}\left[1\right]-92:10\\ \textbf{anyhow}\left[1\right]-92:10\\ \textbf{anyhow}\left[1\right]-9:3\\ \textbf{anyway}\left[2\right]-45:13,\\ 110:9\\ \textbf{apart}\left[1\right]-95:5\\ \textbf{apologies}\left[1\right]-12:17\\ \textbf{apologize}\left[7\right]-20:17,\\ 27:2,\ 111:20,\ 112:5,\\ 113:8,\ 116:25,\ 117:9\\ \textbf{apparent}\left[1\right]-24:1\\ \textbf{appeal}\left[2\right]-136:6,\\ \end{array}$	107:3, 108:1, 126:10, 129:17 applied [4] - 91:19, 103:10, 103:15, 104:11 apply [5] - 20:21, 111:11, 136:20, 137:3, 149:10 appreciate [6] - 4:3, 4:16, 61:13, 64:16, 80:25, 135:5 approaches [17] - 8:2, 13:20, 29:19, 32:23, 42:14, 45:25, 50:1, 53:2, 55:9, 61:24, 77:4, 94:7, 98:10, 108:15, 126:2, 129:2, 141:1	68:13, 104:2 architectural [4] - 12:2, 25:12, 75:3, 90:9 archival [1] - 74:11 archways [1] - 100:12 area [11] - 31:15, 31:17, 36:15, 45:2, 74:7, 79:3, 89:4, 109:22, 117:4, 146:8, 149:3 areas [7] - 74:2, 76:9, 96:25, 97:10, 148:23, 149:5, 149:12 argument [3] - 62:22, 63:3, 71:18 Arimus [10] - 3:1, 76:25, 80:12, 93:2, 93:23, 108:6, 114:7,
adjusted [1] - 151:23 administration [1] - 41:21 administrative [7] - 25:19, 28:8, 30:22, 30:24, 34:16, 38:21, 67:14 administratively [2] - 11:23, 120:12 administrator [2] - 30:1, 33:2 aDUs [1] - 151:1 advice [1] - 118:12 AE [3] - 74:1, 75:7 aerial [1] - 24:16 aesthetics [3] - 110:14, 112:6, 117:5 affected [2] - 48:12, 48:13	Alaska [1] - 131:16 Alicia [1] - 129:5 aligned [1] - 85:5 aligns [1] - 85:2 allocated [1] - 63:17 allow [9] - 7:8, 63:16, 79:22, 95:19, 96:14, 121:16, 132:22, 148:1, 148:7 allowed [11] - 31:8, 31:11, 31:23, 32:2, 32:5, 57:17, 79:13, 79:19, 95:16, 100:25, 151:25 allowing [5] - 46:18, 94:24, 147:25, 148:9, 152:15 allows [2] - 16:14, 96:11 almost [5] - 8:24,	$\begin{array}{l} 66:4\\ \textbf{answer}[2]-56:19,\\ 56:20\\ \textbf{Anthony}[3]-50:5,\\ 52:10,52:13\\ \textbf{anti}[2]-92:10,92:11\\ \textbf{anti-fungal}[1]-92:11\\ \textbf{anti-fungal}[1]-92:10\\ \textbf{anyhow}[1]-9:3\\ \textbf{anyway}[2]-45:13,\\ 110:9\\ \textbf{apart}[1]-95:5\\ \textbf{apologies}[1]-12:17\\ \textbf{apologize}[7]-20:17,\\ 27:2,111:20,112:5,\\ 113:8,116:25,117:9\\ \textbf{apparent}[1]-24:1\\ \textbf{appeal}[2]-136:6,\\ 144:14\\ \end{array}$	107:3, 108:1, 126:10, 129:17 applied [4] - 91:19, 103:10, 103:15, 104:11 apply [5] - 20:21, 111:11, 136:20, 137:3, 149:10 appreciate [6] - 4:3, 4:16, 61:13, 64:16, 80:25, 135:5 approache [1] - 13:18 approaches [17] - 8:2, 13:20, 29:19, 32:23, 42:14, 45:25, 50:1, 53:2, 55:9, 61:24, 77:4, 94:7, 98:10, 108:15, 126:2, 129:2, 141:1 appropriate [1] -	68:13, 104:2 architectural [4] - 12:2, 25:12, 75:3, 90:9 archival [1] - 74:11 archways [1] - 100:12 area [11] - 31:15, 31:17, 36:15, 45:2, 74:7, 79:3, 89:4, 109:22, 117:4, 146:8, 149:3 areas [7] - 74:2, 76:9, 96:25, 97:10, 148:23, 149:5, 149:12 argument [3] - 62:22, 63:3, 71:18 Arimus [10] - 3:1, 76:25, 80:12, 93:2, 93:23, 108:6, 114:7, 128:21, 143:22,
adjusted $[1] - 151:23$ administration $[1] - 41:21$ administrative $[7] - 25:19, 28:8, 30:22, 30:24, 34:16, 38:21, 67:14$ administratively $[2] - 11:23, 120:12$ administrator $[2] - 30:1, 33:2$ aDUs $[1] - 151:1$ advice $[1] - 118:12$ AE $[3] - 74:1, 75:7$ aerial $[1] - 24:16$ aesthetics $[3] - 110:14, 112:6, 117:5$ affected $[2] - 48:12, 48:13$ affecting $[1] - 56:15$	Alaska [1] - 131:16 Alicia [1] - 129:5 aligned [1] - 85:5 aligns [1] - 85:2 allocated [1] - 63:17 allow [9] - 7:8, 63:16, 79:22, 95:19, 96:14, 121:16, 132:22, 148:1, 148:7 allowed [11] - 31:8, 31:11, 31:23, 32:2, 32:5, 57:17, 79:13, 79:19, 95:16, 100:25, 151:25 allowing [5] - 46:18, 94:24, 147:25, 148:9, 152:15 allows [2] - 16:14, 96:11 almost [5] - 8:24, 43:16, 43:19, 105:2,	$\begin{array}{c} 66:4\\ \textbf{answer}[2]-56:19,\\ 56:20\\ \textbf{Anthony}[3]-50:5,\\ 52:10,52:13\\ \textbf{anti}[2]-92:10,92:11\\ \textbf{anti-fungal}[1]-92:11\\ \textbf{anti-septic}[1]-92:10\\ \textbf{anyhow}[1]-9:3\\ \textbf{anyway}[2]-45:13,\\ 110:9\\ \textbf{apart}[1]-95:5\\ \textbf{apologies}[1]-12:17\\ \textbf{apologize}[7]-20:17,\\ 27:2,111:20,112:5,\\ 113:8,116:25,117:9\\ \textbf{apparent}[1]-24:1\\ \textbf{appeal}[2]-136:6,\\ 144:14\\ \textbf{appealing}[2]-78:24,\\ \end{array}$	107:3, 108:1, 126:10, 129:17 applied [4] - 91:19, 103:10, 103:15, 104:11 apply [5] - 20:21, 111:11, 136:20, 137:3, 149:10 appreciate [6] - 4:3, 4:16, 61:13, 64:16, 80:25, 135:5 approache [1] - 13:18 approaches [17] - 8:2, 13:20, 29:19, 32:23, 42:14, 45:25, 50:1, 53:2, 55:9, 61:24, 77:4, 94:7, 98:10, 108:15, 126:2, 129:2, 141:1 appropriate [1] - 104:3	68:13, 104:2 architectural [4] - 12:2, 25:12, 75:3, 90:9 archival [1] - 74:11 archways [1] - 100:12 area [11] - 31:15, 31:17, 36:15, 45:2, 74:7, 79:3, 89:4, 109:22, 117:4, 146:8, 149:3 areas [7] - 74:2, 76:9, 96:25, 97:10, 148:23, 149:5, 149:12 argument [3] - 62:22, 63:3, 71:18 Arimus [10] - 3:1, 76:25, 80:12, 93:2, 93:23, 108:6, 114:7, 128:21, 143:22, 153:2
adjusted $[1] - 151:23$ administration $[1] - 41:21$ administrative $[7] - 25:19, 28:8, 30:22, 30:24, 34:16, 38:21, 67:14$ administratively $[2] - 11:23, 120:12$ administrator $[2] - 30:1, 33:2$ aDUs $[1] - 151:1$ advice $[1] - 118:12$ AE $[3] - 74:1, 75:7$ aerial $[1] - 24:16$ aesthetics $[3] - 110:14, 112:6, 117:5$ affected $[2] - 48:12, 48:13$ affecting $[1] - 56:15$ affirm $[16] - 8:14$,	Alaska [1] - 131:16 Alicia [1] - 129:5 aligned [1] - 85:5 aligns [1] - 85:2 allocated [1] - 63:17 allow [9] - 7:8, 63:16, 79:22, 95:19, 96:14, 121:16, 132:22, 148:1, 148:7 allowed [11] - 31:8, 31:11, 31:23, 32:2, 32:5, 57:17, 79:13, 79:19, 95:16, 100:25, 151:25 allowing [5] - 46:18, 94:24, 147:25, 148:9, 152:15 allows [2] - 16:14, 96:11 almost [5] - 8:24, 43:16, 43:19, 105:2, 105:3	$\begin{array}{l} 66:4\\ \textbf{answer}[2]-56:19,\\ 56:20\\ \textbf{Anthony}[3]-50:5,\\ 52:10,52:13\\ \textbf{anti}[2]-92:10,92:11\\ \textbf{anti-fungal}[1]-92:11\\ \textbf{anti-fungal}[1]-92:10\\ \textbf{anyhow}[1]-9:3\\ \textbf{anyway}[2]-45:13,\\ 110:9\\ \textbf{apart}[1]-95:5\\ \textbf{apologies}[1]-12:17\\ \textbf{apologies}[1]-12:17\\ \textbf{apologize}[7]-20:17,\\ 27:2,111:20,112:5,\\ 113:8,116:25,117:9\\ \textbf{apparent}[1]-24:1\\ \textbf{appeal}[2]-136:6,\\ 144:14\\ \textbf{appealing}[2]-78:24,\\ 84:23\\ \end{array}$	107:3, 108:1, 126:10, 129:17 applied [4] - 91:19, 103:10, 103:15, 104:11 apply [5] - 20:21, 111:11, 136:20, 137:3, 149:10 appreciate [6] - 4:3, 4:16, 61:13, 64:16, 80:25, 135:5 approache [1] - 13:18 approaches [17] - 8:2, 13:20, 29:19, 32:23, 42:14, 45:25, 50:1, 53:2, 55:9, 61:24, 77:4, 94:7, 98:10, 108:15, 126:2, 129:2, 141:1 appropriate [1] - 104:3 Appropriateness [2] -	68:13, 104:2 architectural [4] - 12:2, 25:12, 75:3, 90:9 archival [1] - 74:11 archways [1] - 100:12 area [11] - 31:15, 31:17, 36:15, 45:2, 74:7, 79:3, 89:4, 109:22, 117:4, 146:8, 149:3 areas [7] - 74:2, 76:9, 96:25, 97:10, 148:23, 149:5, 149:12 argument [3] - 62:22, 63:3, 71:18 Arimus [10] - 3:1, 76:25, 80:12, 93:2, 93:23, 108:6, 114:7, 128:21, 143:22, 153:2 ARIMUS [1] - 1:18
adjusted $[1] - 151:23$ administration $[1] - 41:21$ administrative $[7] - 25:19, 28:8, 30:22, 30:24, 34:16, 38:21, 67:14$ administratively $[2] - 11:23, 120:12$ administrator $[2] - 30:1, 33:2$ aDUs $[1] - 151:1$ advice $[1] - 118:12$ AE $[3] - 74:1, 75:7$ aerial $[1] - 24:16$ aesthetics $[3] - 110:14, 112:6, 117:5$ affected $[2] - 48:12, 48:13$ affecting $[1] - 56:15$ affirm $[16] - 8:14, 14:11, 30:6, 33:10, 120$	Alaska [1] - 131:16 Alicia [1] - 129:5 aligned [1] - 85:5 aligns [1] - 85:2 allocated [1] - 63:17 allow [9] - 7:8, 63:16, 79:22, 95:19, 96:14, 121:16, 132:22, 148:1, 148:7 allowed [11] - 31:8, 31:11, 31:23, 32:2, 32:5, 57:17, 79:13, 79:19, 95:16, 100:25, 151:25 allowing [5] - 46:18, 94:24, 147:25, 148:9, 152:15 allows [2] - 16:14, 96:11 almost [5] - 8:24, 43:16, 43:19, 105:2, 105:3 alongside [1] - 78:25	$\begin{array}{c} 66:4\\ \textbf{answer}[2]-56:19,\\ 56:20\\ \textbf{Anthony}[3]-50:5,\\ 52:10,52:13\\ \textbf{anti}[2]-92:10,92:11\\ \textbf{anti-fungal}[1]-92:11\\ \textbf{anti-fungal}[1]-92:10\\ \textbf{anyhow}[1]-9:3\\ \textbf{anyway}[2]-45:13,\\ 110:9\\ \textbf{apart}[1]-95:5\\ \textbf{apologies}[1]-12:17\\ \textbf{apologize}[7]-20:17,\\ 27:2,111:20,112:5,\\ 113:8,116:25,117:9\\ \textbf{apparent}[1]-24:1\\ \textbf{appeal}[2]-136:6,\\ 144:14\\ \textbf{appealing}[2]-78:24,\\ 84:23\\ \textbf{appear}[5]-11:16,\\ \end{array}$	107:3, 108:1, 126:10, 129:17 applied [4] - 91:19, 103:10, 103:15, 104:11 apply [5] - 20:21, 111:11, 136:20, 137:3, 149:10 appreciate [6] - 4:3, 4:16, 61:13, 64:16, 80:25, 135:5 approach [1] - 13:18 approaches [17] - 8:2, 13:20, 29:19, 32:23, 42:14, 45:25, 50:1, 53:2, 55:9, 61:24, 77:4, 94:7, 98:10, 108:15, 126:2, 129:2, 141:1 appropriate [1] - 104:3 Appropriateness [2] - 6:17, 9:25	68:13, 104:2 architectural [4] - 12:2, 25:12, 75:3, 90:9 archival [1] - 74:11 archways [1] - 100:12 area [11] - 31:15, 31:17, 36:15, 45:2, 74:7, 79:3, 89:4, 109:22, 117:4, 146:8, 149:3 areas [7] - 74:2, 76:9, 96:25, 97:10, 148:23, 149:5, 149:12 argument [3] - 62:22, 63:3, 71:18 Arimus [10] - 3:1, 76:25, 80:12, 93:2, 93:23, 108:6, 114:7, 128:21, 143:22, 153:2 ARIMUS [1] - 1:18 arrangements [1] -
adjusted $[1] - 151:23$ administration $[1] - 41:21$ administrative $[7] - 25:19, 28:8, 30:22, 30:24, 34:16, 38:21, 67:14$ administratively $[2] - 11:23, 120:12$ administrator $[2] - 30:1, 33:2$ aDUs $[1] - 151:1$ advice $[1] - 118:12$ AE $[3] - 74:1, 75:7$ aerial $[1] - 24:16$ aesthetics $[3] - 110:14, 112:6, 117:5$ affected $[2] - 48:12, 48:13$ affecting $[1] - 56:15$ affirm $[16] - 8:14, 14:11, 30:6, 33:10, 43:8, 46:11, 50:10, 12$	Alaska [1] - 131:16 Alicia [1] - 129:5 aligned [1] - 85:5 aligns [1] - 85:2 allocated [1] - 63:17 allow [9] - 7:8, 63:16, 79:22, 95:19, 96:14, 121:16, 132:22, 148:1, 148:7 allowed [11] - 31:8, 31:11, 31:23, 32:2, 32:5, 57:17, 79:13, 79:19, 95:16, 100:25, 151:25 allowing [5] - 46:18, 94:24, 147:25, 148:9, 152:15 allows [2] - 16:14, 96:11 almost [5] - 8:24, 43:16, 43:19, 105:2, 105:3 alongside [1] - 78:25 ALSO [1] - 1:17	66:4 answer [2] - 56:19, 56:20 Anthony [3] - 50:5, 52:10, 52:13 anti [2] - 92:10, 92:11 anti-fungal [1] - 92:11 anti-septic [1] - 92:10 anyhow [1] - 9:3 anyway [2] - 45:13, 110:9 apart [1] - 95:5 apologies [1] - 12:17 apologize [7] - 20:17, 27:2, 111:20, 112:5, 113:8, 116:25, 117:9 apparent [1] - 24:1 appeal [2] - 136:6, 144:14 appealing [2] - 78:24, 84:23 appear [5] - 11:16, 12:7, 13:5, 24:2, 76:12 applause [3] - 3:25,	107:3, 108:1, 126:10, 129:17 applied [4] - 91:19, 103:10, 103:15, 104:11 apply [5] - 20:21, 111:11, 136:20, 137:3, 149:10 appreciate [6] - 4:3, 4:16, 61:13, 64:16, 80:25, 135:5 approaches [17] - 8:2, 13:20, 29:19, 32:23, 42:14, 45:25, 50:1, 53:2, 55:9, 61:24, 77:4, 94:7, 98:10, 108:15, 126:2, 129:2, 141:1 appropriate [1] - 104:3 Appropriateness [2] - 6:17, 9:25 approval [18] - 12:15,	68:13, 104:2 architectural [4] - 12:2, 25:12, 75:3, 90:9 archival [1] - 74:11 archways [1] - 100:12 area [11] - 31:15, 31:17, 36:15, 45:2, 74:7, 79:3, 89:4, 109:22, 117:4, 146:8, 149:3 areas [7] - 74:2, 76:9, 96:25, 97:10, 148:23, 149:5, 149:12 argument [3] - 62:22, 63:3, 71:18 Arimus [10] - 3:1, 76:25, 80:12, 93:2, 93:23, 108:6, 114:7, 128:21, 143:22, 153:2 ARIMUS [1] - 1:18 arrangements [1] - 120:24
adjusted $[1] - 151:23$ administration $[1] - 41:21$ administrative $[7] - 25:19, 28:8, 30:22, 30:24, 34:16, 38:21, 67:14$ administratively $[2] - 11:23, 120:12$ administrator $[2] - 30:1, 33:2$ aDUs $[1] - 151:1$ advice $[1] - 118:12$ AE $[3] - 74:1, 75:7$ aerial $[1] - 24:16$ aesthetics $[3] - 110:14, 112:6, 117:5$ affected $[2] - 48:12, 48:13$ affecting $[1] - 56:15$ affirm $[16] - 8:14, 14:11, 30:6, 33:10, 120$	Alaska [1] - 131:16 Alicia [1] - 129:5 aligned [1] - 85:5 aligns [1] - 85:2 allocated [1] - 63:17 allow [9] - 7:8, 63:16, 79:22, 95:19, 96:14, 121:16, 132:22, 148:1, 148:7 allowed [11] - 31:8, 31:11, 31:23, 32:2, 32:5, 57:17, 79:13, 79:19, 95:16, 100:25, 151:25 allowing [5] - 46:18, 94:24, 147:25, 148:9, 152:15 allows [2] - 16:14, 96:11 almost [5] - 8:24, 43:16, 43:19, 105:2, 105:3 alongside [1] - 78:25	$\begin{array}{l} 66:4\\ \textbf{answer}[2]-56:19,\\ 56:20\\ \textbf{Anthony}[3]-50:5,\\ 52:10,52:13\\ \textbf{anti}[2]-92:10,92:11\\ \textbf{anti-fungal}[1]-92:11\\ \textbf{anti-fungal}[1]-92:10\\ \textbf{anyhow}[1]-9:3\\ \textbf{anyway}[2]-45:13,\\ 110:9\\ \textbf{apart}[1]-95:5\\ \textbf{apologies}[1]-12:17\\ \textbf{apologize}[7]-20:17,\\ 27:2,111:20,112:5,\\ 113:8,116:25,117:9\\ \textbf{appeal}[2]-136:6,\\ 144:14\\ \textbf{appealing}[2]-78:24,\\ 84:23\\ \textbf{appear}[5]-11:16,\\ 12:7,13:5,24:2,\\ 76:12\\ \end{array}$	107:3, 108:1, 126:10, 129:17 applied [4] - 91:19, 103:10, 103:15, 104:11 apply [5] - 20:21, 111:11, 136:20, 137:3, 149:10 appreciate [6] - 4:3, 4:16, 61:13, 64:16, 80:25, 135:5 approach [1] - 13:18 approaches [17] - 8:2, 13:20, 29:19, 32:23, 42:14, 45:25, 50:1, 53:2, 55:9, 61:24, 77:4, 94:7, 98:10, 108:15, 126:2, 129:2, 141:1 appropriate [1] - 104:3 Appropriateness [2] - 6:17, 9:25	68:13, 104:2 architectural [4] - 12:2, 25:12, 75:3, 90:9 archival [1] - 74:11 archways [1] - 100:12 area [11] - 31:15, 31:17, 36:15, 45:2, 74:7, 79:3, 89:4, 109:22, 117:4, 146:8, 149:3 areas [7] - 74:2, 76:9, 96:25, 97:10, 148:23, 149:5, 149:12 argument [3] - 62:22, 63:3, 71:18 Arimus [10] - 3:1, 76:25, 80:12, 93:2, 93:23, 108:6, 114:7, 128:21, 143:22, 153:2 ARIMUS [1] - 1:18 arrangements [1] -

89:7	available [3] - 19:7,	basement-style [1] -	BLANKINSHIP [3] -	28:13, 78:1, 83:1,
aside [2] - 84:19,	19:18, 54:14	36:17	53:14, 53:19, 53:21	86:2, 91:4, 137:24
124:20	Avenue [16] - 6:9,	basing [1] - 26:6	Blankinship [4] - 53:1,	brown [1] - 80:14
assess [1] - 54:12	6:10, 6:19, 7:1,	Beach [1] - 153:22	53:10, 53:22, 62:21	budget [1] - 83:14
assessed [1] - 38:9	21:21, 22:1, 22:7,	beautiful [2] - 67:20,	blend [1] - 138:4	building [65] - 22:10,
assessing [2] - 28:8,	42:21, 46:6, 53:11,	70:15	blight [1] - 23:7	30:13, 30:16, 30:18,
72:2	60:14, 62:3, 65:5,	became [1] - 154:1	blighted [1] - 43:20	31:9, 32:8, 54:1,
associated [2] -	126:1, 126:11, 129:6	Becky [3] - 2:13,	block [1] - 147:15	54:24, 64:4, 68:18,
74:15, 89:23	average [1] - 4:8	153:8, 153:20	blown [1] - 153:13	69:6, 73:20, 74:5,
assured [1] - 102:17	Avondale [10] - 14:23,	BECKY [1] - 1:14	blows [1] - 48:21	75:19, 78:6, 78:8,
Atlanta [2] - 109:18,	22:5, 29:5, 48:8,	become [4] - 15:12,		78:9, 78:11, 78:13,
116:21	49:9, 53:23, 107:9,	,	board [1] - 63:14	78:14, 78:19, 78:20,
	126:15, 145:3,	15:14, 17:17, 89:4	boarded [1] - 23:10	79:2, 79:4, 79:5,
atrocious [1] - 51:3	151:11	becoming [1] - 151:2	bodies [1] - 73:16	79:9, 79:10, 79:12,
attached [2] - 24:6,	awesome [2] - 4:17,	beforehand [2] -	body [3] - 64:10,	79:21, 80:2, 80:5,
99:24	125:8	66:14, 125:22	121:8, 121:15	80:9, 80:19, 80:20,
attempt [3] - 18:21,	Aye [9] - 9:18, 72:15,	begin [3] - 2:10,	book [2] - 67:24,	80:23, 81:10, 81:19,
35:6, 39:16	Aye [9] - 9.16, 72.15, 105:9, 105:19,	29:20, 114:4	91:16	82:18, 82:19, 83:13,
attempts [6] - 11:11,	105:9, 105:19, 124:2, 124:12,	beginning [2] - 33:23,	boss [1] - 153:25	84:20, 85:1, 85:4,
12:5, 31:4, 32:15,		139:21	bottom [4] - 51:6,	86:1, 86:8, 86:22,
32:19, 74:9	139:5, 140:10, 143:15	behalf [4] - 9:21,	61:8, 82:15, 132:23	87:1, 89:14, 89:15,
attend [1] - 3:8		55:22, 56:10, 140:20	bought [7] - 14:19,	90:4, 90:8, 92:19,
attending [1] - 2:7	aye [2] - 21:11, 90:14	behind [1] - 131:5	60:25, 109:9,	96:15, 97:2, 103:11,
attention [2] - 78:2,	ayes [1] - 143:18	believes [2] - 20:19,	110:10, 110:12,	103:16, 103:24,
151:1		93:24	116:22, 132:5	104:11, 109:13,
attic [1] - 34:22	В	belongs [1] - 100:18	Boulevard [1] - 100:23	110:10, 112:2,
attracted [1] - 44:4	Billing out 22.5	best [8] - 20:10, 20:22,	bounded [1] - 73:15	122:9, 144:10, 148:4
attribute [1] - 114:15	B-i-l-i-n-g-s [1] - 33:5	27:3, 54:21, 63:5,	bowing [1] - 48:22	Building [1] - 1:7
auction [1] - 37:19	back-door [1] - 118:14	96:1, 126:3, 137:18	break [6] - 5:16,	••••
AUDIENCE [56] - 7:4,	backyard [1] - 111:23	best-case [1] - 54:21	106:4, 106:5,	buildings [8] - 68:6, 69:24, 69:25, 89:2,
7:11, 7:15, 7:17,	Bacmeister [1] - 77:7	better [8] - 11:2,	132:13, 134:22,	
7:25, 8:5, 8:8, 9:8,	BACMEISTER [16] -	16:21, 20:18, 69:22,	135:20	89:5, 114:2, 154:3,
10:24, 10:25, 13:17,	77:8, 77:12, 77:17,	81:2, 81:17, 95:20,	breaking [2] - 132:16,	154:6
13:23, 17:1, 20:2,	77:19, 77:23, 81:5,	112:7	136:11	built [11] - 10:11, 36:6,
20:7, 20:14, 26:25,	81:11, 81:17, 82:6,	between [5] - 11:8,	breathe [2] - 96:11,	58:1, 81:14, 84:5,
27:1, 42:17, 42:20,	83:5, 83:11, 85:10,	19:6, 70:10, 73:14,	96:12	86:2, 87:1, 92:17,
45:21, 46:1, 49:23,	85:13, 85:17, 86:18,	132:23	brick [32] - 73:21,	92:18, 114:20
50:2, 50:5, 52:16,	87:15	beyond [10] - 21:6,	91:5, 91:6, 91:12,	bushes [3] - 51:11,
52:18, 52:21, 52:25,	bad [6] - 15:23, 65:17,	24:8, 45:10, 67:22,	91:23, 92:13, 92:20,	51:13, 51:14
53:10, 55:6, 55:11,	70:1, 87:11, 147:3	71:20, 72:1, 73:24,	92:22, 95:17, 95:19,	business [2] - 3:8,
57:4, 60:3, 60:6,	bagged [1] - 44:18	75:25, 138:6, 138:13	95:20, 95:25, 96:8,	154:5
60:9, 60:13, 61:22,	balance [1] - 25:18	bid [1] - 38:7	97:12, 99:2, 99:5,	buy [3] - 61:15,
62:2, 64:22, 77:2,	Ball [1] - 1:7	big [4] - 69:4, 85:20,	99:10, 99:12, 99:16,	117:22, 118:7
77:7, 87:18, 98:13,	Ballentine [1] - 8:9	120:13, 120:14	100:1, 100:10,	buyer [1] - 56:2
101:12, 106:20,	BALLENTINE [3] -	Bill [3] - 2:16, 153:19,	102:12, 102:15,	bylaws [1] - 57:17
108:13, 108:18,	8:13, 8:18, 8:20	153:24	103:23, 103:25,	
112:23, 126:3,	ballot [2] - 142:7,	bill [1] - 143:9	104:25, 130:16,	С
126:8, 129:5,	143:13	Billings [2] - 32:23,	132:1, 147:11	
134:13, 140:19,	bar [1] - 99:24	33:1	bricks [3] - 99:15,	cabinet [1] - 82:12
140:23, 141:4	based [15] - 12:5,	billings [1] - 47:10	99:22, 103:23	cabinets [1] - 82:13
Audience [14] - 8:2,	13:2, 19:3, 19:6,	BILLINGS [14] - 33:1,	Brief [1] - 26:12	Camp [1] - 106:17
13:20, 42:14, 45:25,	19:17, 23:10, 23:24,	33:5, 33:9, 33:14,	brief [1] - 106:8	candidate [1] - 128:17
50:1, 53:2, 55:9,	24:15, 65:1, 76:2,	33:16, 36:8, 36:12,	bring [7] - 84:10,	cannot [2] - 25:1, 80:6
61:24, 77:4, 98:10,	76:19, 122:8,	36:20, 36:22, 37:4,	97:16, 100:16,	cap [1] - 137:7
108:15, 126:2,	126:20, 127:12,	37:14, 37:21, 38:6,	115:6, 150:21,	capacity [1] - 5:10
129:2, 141:1	128:18	38:18	150:25, 154:5	capital [1] - 85:19
authorized [1] - 155:8	basement [12] - 34:22,	bit [12] - 18:13, 20:18,	Brittany [1] - 3:3	car [1] - 145:25
automobiles [1] -	35:24, 35:25, 36:4,	58:8, 70:10, 70:12,	BRITTANY [1] - 1:18	card [7] - 5:22, 42:11,
145:22	36:5, 36:17, 36:21,	96:8, 99:16, 99:20,	broken [1] - 127:23	49:22, 49:25, 57:21,
availability [1] -	69:17, 78:12, 80:12,	100:18, 120:2,	brother [1] - 5:11	60:2, 60:5
149:11	80:15, 87:9	122:16, 137:19	brought [7] - 5:23,	cards [3] - 42:8,
			5.00gin [1] - 0.20,	

50:23, 57:1	110.10 114.17	105.10 105.12	oberged w 40:10	81:24
care [5] - 34:4, 66:9,	113:13, 114:17, 115:15, 115:21,	105:10, 105:12, 105:18, 105:20,	charged [1] - 40:19 check [1] - 51:17	close [8] - 17:3, 64:23,
67:12, 67:13, 72:5	116:3, 116:7, 121:6,	105:22, 106:2,	CHEFAN [3] - 55:15,	87:19, 101:13,
Carla [1] - 2:24	123:12, 123:14,	106:9, 106:21,	55:20, 55:22	113:1, 130:16,
CARLA [1] - 1:19	125:9, 136:10,	108:6, 108:10,	Chefan [5] - 45:20,	134:14, 152:9
cars [5] - 148:9, 149:2,	137:9, 139:16,	108:14, 108:16,	55:5, 55:6, 55:7,	closer [1] - 115:7
149:7, 150:5, 151:7	141:5, 141:24,	110:22, 112:14,	55:11	COA [27] - 5:18, 6:24,
case [6] - 34:14,	142:14, 146:14,	112:17, 112:21,	chief [1] - 62:15	27:23, 66:14, 66:18,
48:25, 54:21, 67:1,	147:23, 147:24	112:24, 113:19,	child [1] - 71:17	71:21, 73:5, 93:8,
89:23	chaired [1] - 4:9	113:21, 115:13,	child's [1] - 47:2	101:11, 102:1,
cases [2] - 37:6, 135:4	CHAIRMAN [231] - 2:3,	118:18, 119:7,	children [2] - 47:22,	105:22, 112:22,
catches [1] - 51:8	2:22, 3:5, 3:12, 3:18,	119:9, 120:1,	58:12	120:3, 120:10,
caused [1] - 31:16	4:4, 4:20, 5:13, 5:16,	121:19, 123:2,	chime [1] - 151:15	125:25, 127:2,
caution [1] - 125:14	7:7, 7:12, 7:16, 7:18,	123:23, 123:25,	chimney [1] - 131:25	128:10, 128:13,
cede [2] - 49:21, 50:16	7:22, 8:1, 8:3, 9:5,	124:3, 124:5,	chipping [1] - 103:24	128:15, 128:19,
ceilings [1] - 78:18	9:9, 9:15, 9:17, 9:19,	124:11, 124:13,	choose [1] - 25:19	130:14, 134:12,
celebrating [1] - 9:2	12:19, 13:10, 13:13,	124:15, 125:8,	chop [1] - 51:13	136:5, 136:18,
center [9] - 79:10,	13:18, 13:21, 14:1,	125:13, 125:24,	chose [1] - 51:2	137:4, 139:17,
79:20, 79:24, 81:14,	14:4, 16:10, 16:24,	126:5, 128:21,	Chris [5] - 32:3, 32:20,	140:21
83:25, 84:14, 86:3,	17:2, 17:12, 19:2,	128:25, 129:3,	33:1, 62:2, 151:14	COA-20-24258 [1] -
86:7	20:13, 20:24, 21:8,	133:9, 134:4, 134:8,	circumstances [2] -	127:3
certain [3] - 26:10,	21:12, 21:14, 25:21,	134:14, 134:20,	76:19, 89:23	COA-22-27451 [5] -
123:17, 147:14	26:13, 28:1, 28:20,	134:25, 135:8,	cited [1] - 30:25	6:10, 6:25, 21:20,
certainly [2] - 110:11,	29:11, 29:16, 29:20,	136:1, 136:18,	citizen [1] - 47:15	21:25, 65:4
136:13	32:11, 32:24, 35:13,	137:7, 137:17,	City [31] - 3:21, 4:24,	COA-23-28339 [1] -
CERTIFICATE [1] -	35:16, 35:21, 38:24,	138:18, 138:23,	5:10, 22:19, 26:17,	5:25
155:1	39:17, 40:3, 40:7,	139:3, 139:6, 139:8,	26:21, 27:6, 27:15,	COA-23-29186 [1] -
Certificates [2] - 6:16,	40:9, 42:2, 42:5,	139:15, 139:20,	34:3, 34:4, 37:13,	6:1
9:24	42:15, 42:18, 45:16, 45:18, 45:24, 46:2,	140:4, 140:9, 140:11, 140:17,	38:5, 39:9, 39:15,	COA-24-30034 [1] -
certify [1] - 155:8	49:3, 49:6, 49:15,	140:22, 140:24,	40:18, 41:9, 44:17,	6:5
cetera [1] - 146:5	49:17, 49:19, 49:22,	141:3, 141:23,	44:25, 48:14, 51:14,	COA-24-30132 [6] -
chain [1] - 85:19	49:24, 50:22, 52:2,	143:7, 143:12,	54:25, 62:14, 63:17,	6:11, 7:1, 73:1, 73:7, 87:25, 00:18
chair [48] - 2:23, 4:24,	52:5, 52:9, 52:12,	143:16, 143:18,	66:13, 67:7, 71:25,	87:25, 90:18
5:9, 17:15, 18:5,	52:15, 52:17, 52:19,	143:24, 148:14,	78:10, 144:18,	COA-24-30140 [5] - 6:19, 10:1, 10:6,
19:23, 25:24, 26:17,	52:22, 53:1, 53:3,	149:22, 150:7,	144:21, 151:22	17:6, 17:10
27:6, 28:4, 31:3,	55:4, 55:7, 55:10,	150:24, 152:1,	city [2] - 44:20, 88:19	COA-24-30285 [1] -
35:23, 37:16, 41:5,	56:25, 59:11, 59:21,	153:1, 153:6,	CITY [1] - 1:1	6:6
41:7, 65:9, 68:3,	59:23, 60:1, 60:4,	153:13, 154:12	City's [1] - 22:11	COA-24-30488 [5] -
70:6, 81:9, 82:2,	60:7, 60:10, 61:20,	Chairman [1] - 5:4	clad [4] - 10:9, 126:13,	6:17, 106:25, 107:4,
87:24, 88:16, 89:12,	61:23, 61:25, 63:23,	challenges [1] -	135:16	113:5, 124:17
89:20, 103:8, 111:10, 113:4,	64:18, 64:20, 64:23,	145:12	claim [2] - 12:8, 25:8	COA-24-30533 [5] -
114:7, 119:2, 122:6,	65:7, 70:3, 70:21,	chance [2] - 51:16,	clapboard [1] - 126:19 clarification [1] -	6:13, 90:24, 102:4,
123:5, 123:19,	70:23, 72:13, 72:16,	75:12	149:16	104:8, 105:24
135:4, 136:25,	72:18, 72:23, 76:23,	change [3] - 95:1,		COA-24-30587 [1] -
137:22, 138:11,	76:25, 77:3, 77:5,	137:14, 138:15	clarify [3] - 93:22, 93:25, 111:7	6:6
138:22, 142:2,	77:21, 81:4, 81:6,	changed [4] - 84:10,	classify [1] - 21:3	COA-24-30633 [1] -
142:3, 142:5, 142:8,	81:25, 86:11, 87:12,	84:12, 133:8, 144:7	clay [1] - 73:22	6:7
142:9, 142:16,	87:14, 87:16, 87:19,	changes [2] - 94:25,	clean [1] - 38:15	COA-24-30674 [1] -
143:5, 143:6	88:2, 89:25, 90:15,	95:17	cleaning [3] - 11:20,	6:8
Chair [46] - 1:13,	90:17, 93:2, 93:19, 93:23, 94:5, 94:8,	changing [3] - 135:24,	11:21, 44:10	COA-24-30688 [1] -
12:22, 13:1, 17:19,	95:23, 94:5, 94:8, 95:9, 95:12, 96:16,	152:14, 152:15	clear [7] - 44:25, 47:5,	6:3
18:8, 18:20, 26:5,	96:19, 97:7, 97:22,	Chapter [1] - 22:13 character [4] - 45:7,	48:16, 56:19, 56:20,	COA-24-30720 [5] -
26:20, 27:10, 28:24,	98:2, 98:4, 98:7,	54:17, 146:20, 147:4	63:7, 68:12	6:18, 125:25,
32:4, 38:23, 38:25,	98:11, 101:4, 101:6,	characterized [4] -	clearly [3] - 104:25,	126:10, 134:18,
39:22, 40:11, 40:16,	101:8, 101:10,	10:12, 23:2, 73:19,	122:1, 150:2	139:9
41:16, 50:18, 63:25,	101:13, 101:25,	126:17	clears [2] - 64:4, 64:7	COA-24-30830 [1] -
66:24, 72:21, 86:13,	102:7, 102:19,	charge [2] - 150:11,	clients [1] - 68:16	6:9
91:2, 93:6, 93:9, 101:21, 104:12	102:24, 103:18,	152:6	climate [1] - 88:21	COAs [7] - 21:18, 25:1, 73:1, 74:12,
101:21, 104:13,	104:21, 105:6,		clinic [2] - 78:16,	20.1, 10.1, 14.12,

106.11 106.24	1.15 0.5 0.15 0.00	117.12 117.25	compolling (2)	conduct in 11.2
106:11, 106:24, 145:14	1:15, 2:5, 3:15, 3:22, 25:21, 27:11, 28:24,	117:13, 117:25, 118:5, 118:7,	compelling [3] - 59:14, 71:17, 71:18	conduct [1] - 11:3 conducted [3] - 24:9,
coating [5] - 95:17,	39:1, 40:17, 64:3,	118:16, 119:1,	compete [1] - 100:9	107:15, 127:12
96:15, 100:4,	71:12, 91:3, 109:5,	119:8, 119:23,	complete [1] - 100.9	Confederate [1] -
104:17, 104:19	120:5, 121:7,	122:5, 122:13,	155:10	106:16
Code [12] - 12:1,	125:18, 137:10,	123:4, 123:12,	completed [1] - 145:6	confines [1] - 78:1
12:14, 22:11, 22:14,	137:23, 141:25,	123:18, 123:22,	completely [3] -	confirm [1] - 39:23
23:17, 29:25, 33:3,	144:4	124:8, 124:10,	14:21, 34:23, 41:17	confirmation [1] -
40:20, 54:3, 75:5,	Commissioner [31] -	124:19, 125:21,	complex [1] - 79:13	119:15
75:16, 115:6	13:2, 17:20, 18:9,	134:17, 134:19,	Compliance [3] -	conformance [1] -
cognizant [1] - 116:14	26:6, 26:21, 27:11,	135:3, 135:10,	22:11, 30:1, 33:3	115:8
cohesive [1] - 100:5	40:10, 40:17, 41:16,	138:10, 139:16,	Complies [16] - 8:13,	conjunction [1] -
cohort [1] - 31:22	89:17, 93:10,	139:19, 139:22,	14:10, 30:5, 33:9,	83:16
collapse [2] - 35:3,	101:22, 103:8,	140:2, 140:5, 140:8,	43:7, 46:10, 50:9,	consensus [1] - 150:8
48:23	104:14, 113:13,	140:13, 140:16,	53:14, 55:15, 60:17,	consent [14] - 6:4,
collapsed [1] - 24:14	114:18, 115:22,	141:18, 142:6,	62:6, 77:12, 94:15,	6:21, 6:23, 7:13,
College [1] - 111:25	116:8, 117:16,	142:12, 142:18,	98:17, 108:22, 129:9	7:19, 7:24, 9:7, 9:11,
college [4] - 58:20,	119:10, 123:15,	142:22, 142:24,	comply [1] - 125:20	9:13, 9:19, 21:17,
58:21, 59:1, 59:9	139:25, 142:1,	143:4, 143:9,	compromised [1] -	21:20, 72:25, 141:11
Colonial [2] - 22:25,	142:3, 142:4,	143:11, 146:14,	76:5	conservation [9] -
126:16	142:15, 143:5,	147:5, 147:23,	Con [1] - 33:20	79:10, 79:20, 79:24,
columns [2] - 73:22,	143:13, 147:19,	148:11, 148:13,	conceal [1] - 97:19	81:14, 83:24, 83:25,
126:19	148:15, 153:4	148:17, 148:18,	concern [7] - 35:1,	84:14, 86:3, 86:7
combination [1] - 13:4	COMMISSIONER [160]	149:2, 149:15,	47:14, 47:16, 47:17,	consider [6] - 65:25,
comfortable [1] -	- 2:12, 2:14, 2:16,	150:20, 151:21,	65:17, 65:18, 91:10	71:20, 119:21,
149:4	2:18, 2:20, 4:22, 5:4,	152:7, 152:19,	concerned [4] - 56:2,	150:8, 150:16,
coming [8] - 3:6,	9:12, 9:14, 12:21,	152:21, 152:23,	61:6, 62:25, 99:16	150:18
41:12, 63:3, 78:24,	17:9, 17:11, 17:14,	153:3, 153:7, 153:8,	concerns [1] - 47:6	consideration [1] -
84:22, 122:7,	18:3, 18:4, 18:16,	153:15, 153:17,	conclude [1] - 133:11	83:14
122:24, 145:14	18:20, 20:25, 25:23,	154:10, 154:11		a a mai da ma dura - 04.0
,			concluded [1] -	considered [2] - 24:3,
commencing [1] - 1:7	26:16, 27:5, 27:22,	commissioner [8] -	concluded [1] - 127:13	149:18
	27:25, 28:3, 31:2,	commissioner [8] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19,		
commencing [1] - 1:7	27:25, 28:3, 31:2, 32:4, 32:10, 32:13,	commissioner [8] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 3:14, 41:15,	127:13	149:18
commencing [1] - 1:7 comment [2] - 64:24,	27:25, 28:3, 31:2, 32:4, 32:10, 32:13, 35:12, 35:19, 35:22,	commissioner [8] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 3:14, 41:15, 57:5, 70:19	127:13 concrete [3] - 36:17,	149:18 considering [4] -
commencing [1] - 1:7 comment [2] - 64:24, 140:18	27:25, 28:3, 31:2, 32:4, 32:10, 32:13, 35:12, 35:19, 35:22, 36:10, 36:18, 36:21,	commissioner [8] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 3:14, 41:15, 57:5, 70:19 commissioner's [1] -	127:13 concrete [3] - 36:17, 147:9, 147:18	149:18 considering [4] - 74:20, 120:17,
commencing [1] - 1:7 comment [2] - 64:24, 140:18 comments [4] - 65:15,	27:25, 28:3, 31:2, 32:4, 32:10, 32:13, 35:12, 35:19, 35:22, 36:10, 36:18, 36:21, 36:24, 37:10, 37:15,	commissioner [8] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 3:14, 41:15, 57:5, 70:19 commissioner's [1] - 89:20	127:13 concrete [3] - 36:17, 147:9, 147:18 condemn [1] - 34:17	149:18 considering [4] - 74:20, 120:17, 150:21, 152:4
commencing [1] - 1:7 comment [2] - 64:24, 140:18 comments [4] - 65:15, 70:21, 90:11, 115:14 commission [7] - 4:6, 65:19, 65:20,	27:25, 28:3, 31:2, 32:4, 32:10, 32:13, 35:12, 35:19, 35:22, 36:10, 36:18, 36:21, 36:24, 37:10, 37:15, 38:3, 38:10, 38:22,	commissioner [8] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 3:14, 41:15, 57:5, 70:19 commissioner's [1] - 89:20 commissioners [13] -	127:13 concrete [3] - 36:17, 147:9, 147:18 condemn [1] - 34:17 condemnation [2] -	149:18 considering [4] - 74:20, 120:17, 150:21, 152:4 consistency [1] - 102:11 consistent [4] - 75:5,
commencing [1] - 1:7 comment [2] - 64:24, 140:18 comments [4] - 65:15, 70:21, 90:11, 115:14 commission [7] - 4:6, 65:19, 65:20, 110:18, 123:7,	27:25, 28:3, 31:2, 32:4, 32:10, 32:13, 35:12, 35:19, 35:22, 36:10, 36:18, 36:21, 36:24, 37:10, 37:15, 38:3, 38:10, 38:22, 40:8, 40:11, 41:4,	commissioner [8] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 3:14, 41:15, 57:5, 70:19 commissioner's [1] - 89:20 commissioners [13] - 7:18, 12:19, 16:11,	127:13 concrete [3] - 36:17, 147:9, 147:18 condemn [1] - 34:17 condemnation [2] - 28:17, 31:7	149:18 considering [4] - 74:20, 120:17, 150:21, 152:4 consistency [1] - 102:11 consistent [4] - 75:5, 92:7, 96:9, 147:21
commencing [1] - 1:7 comment [2] - 64:24, 140:18 comments [4] - 65:15, 70:21, 90:11, 115:14 commission [7] - 4:6, 65:19, 65:20, 110:18, 123:7, 143:1, 147:13	27:25, 28:3, 31:2, 32:4, 32:10, 32:13, 35:12, 35:19, 35:22, 36:10, 36:18, 36:21, 36:24, 37:10, 37:15, 38:3, 38:10, 38:22, 40:8, 40:11, 41:4, 57:23, 58:4, 65:3,	commissioner [8] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 3:14, 41:15, 57:5, 70:19 commissioner's [1] - 89:20 commissioners [13] - 7:18, 12:19, 16:11, 29:11, 66:22, 70:7,	127:13 concrete [3] - 36:17, 147:9, 147:18 condemn [1] - 34:17 condemnation [2] - 28:17, 31:7 condemned [3] - 22:12, 26:23, 28:11 Condition [5] - 93:12,	149:18 considering [4] - 74:20, 120:17, 150:21, 152:4 consistency [1] - 102:11 consistent [4] - 75:5, 92:7, 96:9, 147:21 construction [3] -
commencing [1] - 1:7 comment [2] - 64:24, 140:18 comments [4] - 65:15, 70:21, 90:11, 115:14 commission [7] - 4:6, 65:19, 65:20, 110:18, 123:7, 143:1, 147:13 COMMISSION [46] -	27:25, 28:3, 31:2, 32:4, 32:10, 32:13, 35:12, 35:19, 35:22, 36:10, 36:18, 36:21, 36:24, 37:10, 37:15, 38:3, 38:10, 38:22, 40:8, 40:11, 41:4, 57:23, 58:4, 65:3, 65:6, 65:8, 66:24,	commissioner [8] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 3:14, 41:15, 57:5, 70:19 commissioner's [1] - 89:20 commissioners [13] - 7:18, 12:19, 16:11, 29:11, 66:22, 70:7, 71:20, 90:2, 108:8,	127:13 concrete [3] - 36:17, 147:9, 147:18 condemn [1] - 34:17 condemnation [2] - 28:17, 31:7 condemned [3] - 22:12, 26:23, 28:11 Condition [5] - 93:12, 101:18, 104:9,	149:18 considering [4] - 74:20, 120:17, 150:21, 152:4 consistency [1] - 102:11 consistent [4] - 75:5, 92:7, 96:9, 147:21 construction [3] - 54:20, 87:7, 91:5
commencing [1] - 1:7 comment [2] - 64:24, 140:18 comments [4] - 65:15, 70:21, 90:11, 115:14 commission [7] - 4:6, 65:19, 65:20, 110:18, 123:7, 143:1, 147:13 COMMISSION [46] - 1:3, 7:21, 9:16, 9:18,	27:25, 28:3, 31:2, 32:4, 32:10, 32:13, 35:12, 35:19, 35:22, 36:10, 36:18, 36:21, 36:24, 37:10, 37:15, 38:3, 38:10, 38:22, 40:8, 40:11, 41:4, 57:23, 58:4, 65:3, 65:6, 65:8, 66:24, 68:2, 70:5, 81:8,	commissioner [8] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 3:14, 41:15, 57:5, 70:19 commissioner's [1] - 89:20 commissioners [13] - 7:18, 12:19, 16:11, 29:11, 66:22, 70:7, 71:20, 90:2, 108:8, 123:5, 125:5,	127:13 concrete [3] - 36:17, 147:9, 147:18 condemn [1] - 34:17 condemnation [2] - 28:17, 31:7 condemned [3] - 22:12, 26:23, 28:11 Condition [5] - 93:12, 101:18, 104:9, 104:10, 121:9	149:18 considering [4] - 74:20, 120:17, 150:21, 152:4 consistency [1] - 102:11 consistent [4] - 75:5, 92:7, 96:9, 147:21 construction [3] - 54:20, 87:7, 91:5 Construction [1] -
commencing [1] - 1:7 comment [2] - 64:24, 140:18 comments [4] - 65:15, 70:21, 90:11, 115:14 commission [7] - 4:6, 65:19, 65:20, 110:18, 123:7, 143:1, 147:13 COMMISSION [46] - 1:3, 7:21, 9:16, 9:18, 13:12, 16:12, 21:11,	27:25, 28:3, 31:2, 32:4, 32:10, 32:13, 35:12, 35:19, 35:22, 36:10, 36:18, 36:21, 36:24, 37:10, 37:15, 38:3, 38:10, 38:22, 40:8, 40:11, 41:4, 57:23, 58:4, 65:3, 65:6, 65:8, 66:24,	commissioner [8] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 3:14, 41:15, 57:5, 70:19 commissioner's [1] - 89:20 commissioners [13] - 7:18, 12:19, 16:11, 29:11, 66:22, 70:7, 71:20, 90:2, 108:8, 123:5, 125:5, 125:17, 150:12	127:13 concrete [3] - 36:17, 147:9, 147:18 condemn [1] - 34:17 condemnation [2] - 28:17, 31:7 condemned [3] - 22:12, 26:23, 28:11 Condition [5] - 93:12, 101:18, 104:9, 104:10, 121:9 condition [18] - 12:7,	149:18 considering [4] - 74:20, 120:17, 150:21, 152:4 consistency [1] - 102:11 consistent [4] - 75:5, 92:7, 96:9, 147:21 construction [3] - 54:20, 87:7, 91:5 Construction [1] - 140:20
commencing [1] - 1:7 comment [2] - 64:24, 140:18 comments [4] - 65:15, 70:21, 90:11, 115:14 commission [7] - 4:6, 65:19, 65:20, 110:18, 123:7, 143:1, 147:13 COMMISSION [46] - 1:3, 7:21, 9:16, 9:18, 13:12, 16:12, 21:11, 21:13, 28:22, 35:15,	27:25, 28:3, 31:2, 32:4, 32:10, 32:13, 35:12, 35:19, 35:22, 36:10, 36:18, 36:21, 36:24, 37:10, 37:15, 38:3, 38:10, 38:22, 40:8, 40:11, 41:4, 57:23, 58:4, 65:3, 65:6, 65:8, 66:24, 68:2, 70:5, 81:8, 81:15, 82:1, 82:25,	commissioner [8] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 3:14, 41:15, 57:5, 70:19 commissioner's [1] - 89:20 commissioners [13] - 7:18, 12:19, 16:11, 29:11, 66:22, 70:7, 71:20, 90:2, 108:8, 123:5, 125:5, 125:17, 150:12 Commissioners [2] -	127:13 concrete [3] - 36:17, 147:9, 147:18 condemn [1] - 34:17 condemnation [2] - 28:17, 31:7 condemned [3] - 22:12, 26:23, 28:11 Condition [5] - 93:12, 101:18, 104:9, 104:10, 121:9 condition [18] - 12:7, 12:12, 22:22, 43:22,	149:18 considering [4] - 74:20, 120:17, 150:21, 152:4 consistency [1] - 102:11 consistent [4] - 75:5, 92:7, 96:9, 147:21 construction [3] - 54:20, 87:7, 91:5 Construction [1] - 140:20 contact [2] - 31:4,
commencing [1] - 1:7 comment [2] - 64:24, 140:18 comments [4] - 65:15, 70:21, 90:11, 115:14 commission [7] - 4:6, 65:19, 65:20, 110:18, 123:7, 143:1, 147:13 COMMISSION [46] - 1:3, 7:21, 9:16, 9:18, 13:12, 16:12, 21:11, 21:13, 28:22, 35:15, 40:6, 42:4, 70:22,	27:25, 28:3, 31:2, 32:4, 32:10, 32:13, 35:12, 35:19, 35:22, 36:10, 36:18, 36:21, 36:24, 37:10, 37:15, 38:3, 38:10, 38:22, 40:8, 40:11, 41:4, 57:23, 58:4, 65:3, 65:6, 65:8, 66:24, 68:2, 70:5, 81:8, 81:15, 82:1, 82:25, 83:6, 85:7, 85:11,	commissioner [8] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 3:14, 41:15, 57:5, 70:19 commissioner's [1] - 89:20 commissioners [13] - 7:18, 12:19, 16:11, 29:11, 66:22, 70:7, 71:20, 90:2, 108:8, 123:5, 125:5, 125:17, 150:12	127:13 concrete [3] - 36:17, 147:9, 147:18 condemn [1] - 34:17 condemnation [2] - 28:17, 31:7 condemned [3] - 22:12, 26:23, 28:11 Condition [5] - 93:12, 101:18, 104:9, 104:10, 121:9 condition [18] - 12:7, 12:12, 22:22, 43:22, 47:7, 56:3, 65:22,	149:18 considering [4] - 74:20, 120:17, 150:21, 152:4 consistency [1] - 102:11 consistent [4] - 75:5, 92:7, 96:9, 147:21 construction [3] - 54:20, 87:7, 91:5 Construction [1] - 140:20 contact [2] - 31:4, 32:6
commencing [1] - 1:7 comment [2] - 64:24, 140:18 comments [4] - 65:15, 70:21, 90:11, 115:14 commission [7] - 4:6, 65:19, 65:20, 110:18, 123:7, 143:1, 147:13 COMMISSION [46] - 1:3, 7:21, 9:16, 9:18, 13:12, 16:12, 21:11, 21:13, 28:22, 35:15, 40:6, 42:4, 70:22, 72:12, 72:15, 72:17,	27:25, 28:3, 31:2, 32:4, 32:10, 32:13, 35:12, 35:19, 35:22, 36:10, 36:18, 36:21, 36:24, 37:10, 37:15, 38:3, 38:10, 38:22, 40:8, 40:11, 41:4, 57:23, 58:4, 65:3, 65:6, 65:8, 66:24, 68:2, 70:5, 81:8, 81:15, 82:1, 82:25, 83:6, 85:7, 85:11, 85:14, 86:13, 87:23,	commissioner [8] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 3:14, 41:15, 57:5, 70:19 commissioner's [1] - 89:20 commissioners [13] - 7:18, 12:19, 16:11, 29:11, 66:22, 70:7, 71:20, 90:2, 108:8, 123:5, 125:5, 125:17, 150:12 Commissioners [2] - 89:12, 128:22	127:13 concrete [3] - 36:17, 147:9, 147:18 condemn [1] - 34:17 condemnation [2] - 28:17, 31:7 condemned [3] - 22:12, 26:23, 28:11 Condition [5] - 93:12, 101:18, 104:9, 104:10, 121:9 condition [18] - 12:7, 12:12, 22:22, 43:22, 47:7, 56:3, 65:22, 67:5, 70:1, 75:23,	149:18 considering [4] - 74:20, 120:17, 150:21, 152:4 consistency [1] - 102:11 consistent [4] - 75:5, 92:7, 96:9, 147:21 construction [3] - 54:20, 87:7, 91:5 Construction [1] - 140:20 contact [2] - 31:4, 32:6 contemplated [2] -
commencing [1] - 1:7 comment [2] - 64:24, 140:18 comments [4] - 65:15, 70:21, 90:11, 115:14 commission [7] - 4:6, 65:19, 65:20, 110:18, 123:7, 143:1, 147:13 COMMISSION [46] - 1:3, 7:21, 9:16, 9:18, 13:12, 16:12, 21:11, 21:13, 28:22, 35:15, 40:6, 42:4, 70:22, 72:12, 72:15, 72:17, 76:24, 87:13, 90:14,	27:25, 28:3, 31:2, 32:4, 32:10, 32:13, 35:12, 35:19, 35:22, 36:10, 36:18, 36:21, 36:24, 37:10, 37:15, 38:3, 38:10, 38:22, 40:8, 40:11, 41:4, 57:23, 58:4, 65:3, 65:6, 65:8, 66:24, 68:2, 70:5, 81:8, 81:15, 82:1, 82:25, 83:6, 85:7, 85:11, 85:14, 86:13, 87:23, 88:1, 88:3, 88:15,	commissioner [8] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 3:14, 41:15, 57:5, 70:19 commissioner's [1] - 89:20 commissioners [13] - 7:18, 12:19, 16:11, 29:11, 66:22, 70:7, 71:20, 90:2, 108:8, 123:5, 125:5, 125:17, 150:12 Commissioners [2] - 89:12, 128:22 committed [1] - 112:13	127:13 concrete [3] - 36:17, 147:9, 147:18 condemn [1] - 34:17 condemnation [2] - 28:17, 31:7 condemned [3] - 22:12, 26:23, 28:11 Condition [5] - 93:12, 101:18, 104:9, 104:10, 121:9 condition [18] - 12:7, 12:12, 22:22, 43:22, 47:7, 56:3, 65:22, 67:5, 70:1, 75:23, 92:1, 92:4, 95:2,	149:18 considering [4] - 74:20, 120:17, 150:21, 152:4 consistency [1] - 102:11 consistent [4] - 75:5, 92:7, 96:9, 147:21 construction [3] - 54:20, 87:7, 91:5 Construction [1] - 140:20 contact [2] - 31:4, 32:6 contemplated [2] - 127:6, 145:22
commencing [1] - 1:7 comment [2] - 64:24, 140:18 comments [4] - 65:15, 70:21, 90:11, 115:14 commission [7] - 4:6, 65:19, 65:20, 110:18, 123:7, 143:1, 147:13 COMMISSION [46] - 1:3, 7:21, 9:16, 9:18, 13:12, 16:12, 21:11, 21:13, 28:22, 35:15, 40:6, 42:4, 70:22, 72:12, 72:15, 72:17, 76:24, 87:13, 90:14, 90:16, 94:4, 96:18,	27:25, 28:3, 31:2, 32:4, 32:10, 32:13, 35:12, 35:19, 35:22, 36:10, 36:18, 36:21, 36:24, 37:10, 37:15, 38:3, 38:10, 38:22, 40:8, 40:11, 41:4, 57:23, 58:4, 65:3, 65:6, 65:8, 66:24, 68:2, 70:5, 81:8, 81:15, 82:1, 82:25, 83:6, 85:7, 85:11, 85:14, 86:13, 87:23, 88:1, 88:3, 88:15, 89:11, 89:19, 93:5,	commissioner [8] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 3:14, 41:15, 57:5, 70:19 commissioner's [1] - 89:20 commissioners [13] - 7:18, 12:19, 16:11, 29:11, 66:22, 70:7, 71:20, 90:2, 108:8, 123:5, 125:5, 125:17, 150:12 Commissioners [2] - 89:12, 128:22 committed [1] -	127:13 concrete $[3] - 36:17,$ 147:9, 147:18 condemn $[1] - 34:17$ condemnation $[2] -$ 28:17, 31:7 condemned $[3] -$ 22:12, 26:23, 28:11 Condition $[5] - 93:12,$ 101:18, 104:9, 104:10, 121:9 condition $[18] - 12:7,$ 12:12, 22:22, 43:22, 47:7, 56:3, 65:22, 67:5, 70:1, 75:23, 92:1, 92:4, 95:2, 108:4, 118:24,	149:18 considering [4] - 74:20, 120:17, 150:21, 152:4 consistency [1] - 102:11 consistent [4] - 75:5, 92:7, 96:9, 147:21 construction [3] - 54:20, 87:7, 91:5 Construction [1] - 140:20 contact [2] - 31:4, 32:6 contemplated [2] - 127:6, 145:22 contention [1] - 108:1
commencing [1] - 1:7 comment [2] - 64:24, 140:18 comments [4] - 65:15, 70:21, 90:11, 115:14 commission [7] - 4:6, 65:19, 65:20, 110:18, 123:7, 143:1, 147:13 COMMISSION [46] - 1:3, 7:21, 9:16, 9:18, 13:12, 16:12, 21:11, 21:13, 28:22, 35:15, 40:6, 42:4, 70:22, 72:12, 72:15, 72:17, 76:24, 87:13, 90:14, 90:16, 94:4, 96:18, 98:1, 101:7, 105:9,	27:25, 28:3, 31:2, 32:4, 32:10, 32:13, 35:12, 35:19, 35:22, 36:10, 36:18, 36:21, 36:24, 37:10, 37:15, 38:3, 38:10, 38:22, 40:8, 40:11, 41:4, 57:23, 58:4, 65:3, 65:6, 65:8, 66:24, 68:2, 70:5, 81:8, 81:15, 82:1, 82:25, 83:6, 85:7, 85:11, 85:14, 86:13, 87:23, 88:1, 88:3, 88:15, 89:11, 89:19, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3, 102:6, 102:8,	commissioner [8] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 3:14, 41:15, 57:5, 70:19 commissioner's [1] - 89:20 commissioners [13] - 7:18, 12:19, 16:11, 29:11, 66:22, 70:7, 71:20, 90:2, 108:8, 123:5, 125:5, 125:17, 150:12 Commissioners [2] - 89:12, 128:22 committed [1] - 112:13 Committee [2] -	127:13 concrete $[3] - 36:17,$ 147:9, 147:18 condemn $[1] - 34:17$ condemnation $[2] -$ 28:17, 31:7 condemned $[3] -$ 22:12, 26:23, 28:11 Condition $[5] - 93:12,$ 101:18, 104:9, 104:10, 121:9 condition $[18] - 12:7,$ 12:12, 22:22, 43:22, 47:7, 56:3, 65:22, 67:5, 70:1, 75:23, 92:1, 92:4, 95:2, 108:4, 118:24, 119:22, 127:18,	149:18 considering [4] - 74:20, 120:17, 150:21, 152:4 consistency [1] - 102:11 consistent [4] - 75:5, 92:7, 96:9, 147:21 construction [3] - 54:20, 87:7, 91:5 Construction [1] - 140:20 contact [2] - 31:4, 32:6 contemplated [2] - 127:6, 145:22 contention [1] - 108:1 contesting [1] -
commencing [1] - 1:7 comment [2] - 64:24, 140:18 comments [4] - 65:15, 70:21, 90:11, 115:14 commission [7] - 4:6, 65:19, 65:20, 110:18, 123:7, 143:1, 147:13 COMMISSION [46] - 1:3, 7:21, 9:16, 9:18, 13:12, 16:12, 21:11, 21:13, 28:22, 35:15, 40:6, 42:4, 70:22, 72:12, 72:15, 72:17, 76:24, 87:13, 90:14, 90:16, 94:4, 96:18, 98:1, 101:7, 105:9, 105:11, 105:19,	27:25, 28:3, 31:2, 32:4, 32:10, 32:13, 35:12, 35:19, 35:22, 36:10, 36:18, 36:21, 36:24, 37:10, 37:15, 38:3, 38:10, 38:22, 40:8, 40:11, 41:4, 57:23, 58:4, 65:3, 65:6, 65:8, 66:24, 68:2, 70:5, 81:8, 81:15, 82:1, 82:25, 83:6, 85:7, 85:11, 85:14, 86:13, 87:23, 88:1, 88:3, 88:15, 89:11, 89:19, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3,	commissioner [8] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 3:14, 41:15, 57:5, 70:19 commissioner's [1] - 89:20 commissioners [13] - 7:18, 12:19, 16:11, 29:11, 66:22, 70:7, 71:20, 90:2, 108:8, 123:5, 125:5, 125:17, 150:12 Commissioners [2] - 89:12, 128:22 committed [1] - 112:13 Committee [2] - 144:12, 144:18	127:13 concrete [3] - 36:17, 147:9, 147:18 condemn [1] - 34:17 condemnation [2] - 28:17, 31:7 condemned [3] - 22:12, 26:23, 28:11 Condition [5] - 93:12, 101:18, 104:9, 104:10, 121:9 condition [18] - 12:7, 12:12, 22:22, 43:22, 47:7, 56:3, 65:22, 67:5, 70:1, 75:23, 92:1, 92:4, 95:2, 108:4, 118:24, 119:22, 127:18, 128:6	149:18 considering [4] - 74:20, 120:17, 150:21, 152:4 consistency [1] - 102:11 consistent [4] - 75:5, 92:7, 96:9, 147:21 construction [3] - 54:20, 87:7, 91:5 Construction [1] - 140:20 contact [2] - 31:4, 32:6 contemplated [2] - 127:6, 145:22 contention [1] - 108:1 contesting [1] - 110:25
commencing [1] - 1:7 comment [2] - 64:24, 140:18 comments [4] - 65:15, 70:21, 90:11, 115:14 commission [7] - 4:6, 65:19, 65:20, 110:18, 123:7, 143:1, 147:13 COMMISSION [46] - 1:3, 7:21, 9:16, 9:18, 13:12, 16:12, 21:11, 21:13, 28:22, 35:15, 40:6, 42:4, 70:22, 72:12, 72:15, 72:17, 76:24, 87:13, 90:14, 90:16, 94:4, 96:18, 98:1, 101:7, 105:9, 105:11, 105:19, 105:21, 108:9,	27:25, 28:3, 31:2, 32:4, 32:10, 32:13, 35:12, 35:19, 35:22, 36:10, 36:18, 36:21, 36:24, 37:10, 37:15, 38:3, 38:10, 38:22, 40:8, 40:11, 41:4, 57:23, 58:4, 65:3, 65:6, 65:8, 66:24, 68:2, 70:5, 81:8, 81:15, 82:1, 82:25, 83:6, 85:7, 85:11, 85:14, 86:13, 87:23, 88:1, 88:3, 88:15, 89:11, 89:19, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3, 102:6, 102:8, 102:22, 102:25, 103:7, 103:14,	commissioner [8] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 3:14, 41:15, 57:5, 70:19 commissioner's [1] - 89:20 commissioners [13] - 7:18, 12:19, 16:11, 29:11, 66:22, 70:7, 71:20, 90:2, 108:8, 123:5, 125:5, 125:17, 150:12 Commissioners [2] - 89:12, 128:22 committed [1] - 112:13 Committee [2] - 144:12, 144:18 committee [1] -	127:13 concrete $[3] - 36:17, 147:9, 147:18$ condemn $[1] - 34:17$ condemnation $[2] - 28:17, 31:7$ condemned $[3] - 22:12, 26:23, 28:11$ Condition $[5] - 93:12, 101:18, 104:9, 104:10, 121:9$ condition $[18] - 12:7, 12:12, 22:22, 43:22, 47:7, 56:3, 65:22, 67:5, 70:1, 75:23, 92:1, 92:4, 95:2, 108:4, 118:24, 119:22, 127:18, 128:6 conditions [18] - 18] - 18$	149:18 considering [4] - 74:20, 120:17, 150:21, 152:4 consistency [1] - 102:11 consistent [4] - 75:5, 92:7, 96:9, 147:21 construction [3] - 54:20, 87:7, 91:5 Construction [1] - 140:20 contact [2] - 31:4, 32:6 contemplated [2] - 127:6, 145:22 contention [1] - 110:25 continually [1] - 34:12
commencing [1] - 1:7 comment [2] - 64:24, 140:18 comments [4] - 65:15, 70:21, 90:11, 115:14 commission [7] - 4:6, 65:19, 65:20, 110:18, 123:7, 143:1, 147:13 COMMISSION [46] - 1:3, 7:21, 9:16, 9:18, 13:12, 16:12, 21:11, 21:13, 28:22, 35:15, 40:6, 42:4, 70:22, 72:12, 72:15, 72:17, 76:24, 87:13, 90:14, 90:16, 94:4, 96:18, 98:1, 101:7, 105:9, 105:11, 105:19, 105:21, 108:9, 112:16, 113:20,	27:25, 28:3, 31:2, 32:4, 32:10, 32:13, 35:12, 35:19, 35:22, 36:10, 36:18, 36:21, 36:24, 37:10, 37:15, 38:3, 38:10, 38:22, 40:8, 40:11, 41:4, 57:23, 58:4, 65:3, 65:6, 65:8, 66:24, 68:2, 70:5, 81:8, 81:15, 82:1, 82:25, 83:6, 85:7, 85:11, 85:14, 86:13, 87:23, 88:1, 88:3, 88:15, 89:11, 89:19, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3, 102:6, 102:8, 102:22, 102:25, 103:7, 103:14, 104:6, 104:16,	commissioner [8] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 3:14, 41:15, 57:5, 70:19 commissioner's [1] - 89:20 commissioners [13] - 7:18, 12:19, 16:11, 29:11, 66:22, 70:7, 71:20, 90:2, 108:8, 123:5, 125:5, 125:17, 150:12 Commissioners [2] - 89:12, 128:22 committed [1] - 112:13 Committee [2] - 144:12, 144:18 committee [1] - 100:24	127:13 concrete $[3] - 36:17,$ 147:9, 147:18 condemn $[1] - 34:17$ condemnation $[2] -$ 28:17, 31:7 condemned $[3] -$ 22:12, 26:23, 28:11 Condition $[5] - 93:12,$ 101:18, 104:9, 104:10, 121:9 condition $[18] - 12:7,$ 12:12, 22:22, 43:22, 47:7, 56:3, 65:22, 67:5, 70:1, 75:23, 92:1, 92:4, 95:2, 108:4, 118:24, 119:22, 127:18, 128:6 conditions $[18] -$ 92:25, 102:5, 102:9,	149:18 considering [4] - 74:20, 120:17, 150:21, 152:4 consistency [1] - 102:11 consistent [4] - 75:5, 92:7, 96:9, 147:21 construction [3] - 54:20, 87:7, 91:5 Construction [1] - 140:20 contact [2] - 31:4, 32:6 contemplated [2] - 127:6, 145:22 contention [1] - 108:1 contesting [1] - 110:25 continually [1] - 34:12 continue [2] - 39:24,
commencing [1] - 1:7 comment [2] - 64:24, 140:18 comments [4] - 65:15, 70:21, 90:11, 115:14 commission [7] - 4:6, 65:19, 65:20, 110:18, 123:7, 143:1, 147:13 COMMISSION [46] - 1:3, 7:21, 9:16, 9:18, 13:12, 16:12, 21:11, 21:13, 28:22, 35:15, 40:6, 42:4, 70:22, 72:12, 72:15, 72:17, 76:24, 87:13, 90:14, 90:16, 94:4, 96:18, 98:1, 101:7, 105:9, 105:11, 105:19, 105:21, 108:9, 112:16, 113:20, 123:24, 124:2,	27:25, 28:3, 31:2, 32:4, 32:10, 32:13, 35:12, 35:19, 35:22, 36:10, 36:18, 36:21, 36:24, 37:10, 37:15, 38:3, 38:10, 38:22, 40:8, 40:11, 41:4, 57:23, 58:4, 65:3, 65:6, 65:8, 66:24, 68:2, 70:5, 81:8, 81:15, 82:1, 82:25, 83:6, 85:7, 85:11, 85:14, 86:13, 87:23, 88:1, 88:3, 88:15, 89:11, 89:19, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3, 102:6, 102:8, 102:22, 102:25, 103:7, 103:14, 104:6, 104:16, 104:24, 105:15,	commissioner [8] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 3:14, 41:15, 57:5, 70:19 commissioner's [1] - 89:20 commissioners [1] - 7:18, 12:19, 16:11, 29:11, 66:22, 70:7, 71:20, 90:2, 108:8, 123:5, 125:5, 125:17, 150:12 Commissioners [2] - 89:12, 128:22 committed [1] - 112:13 Committee [2] - 144:12, 144:18 committee [1] - 100:24 community [5] - 29:1,	127:13 concrete $[3] - 36:17, 147:9, 147:18$ condemn $[1] - 34:17$ condemnation $[2] - 28:17, 31:7$ condemned $[3] - 22:12, 26:23, 28:11$ Condition $[5] - 93:12, 101:18, 104:9, 104:10, 121:9$ condition $[18] - 12:7, 12:12, 22:22, 43:22, 47:7, 56:3, 65:22, 67:5, 70:1, 75:23, 92:1, 92:4, 95:2, 108:4, 118:24, 119:22, 127:18, 128:6$ conditions $[18] - 92:25, 102:5, 102:9, 105:14, 105:16, 1000$	149:18 considering [4] - 74:20, 120:17, 150:21, 152:4 consistency [1] - 102:11 consistent [4] - 75:5, 92:7, 96:9, 147:21 construction [3] - 54:20, 87:7, 91:5 Construction [1] - 140:20 contact [2] - 31:4, 32:6 contemplated [2] - 127:6, 145:22 contention [1] - 108:1 contesting [1] - 110:25 continually [1] - 34:12 continue [2] - 39:24, 41:19
commencing [1] - 1:7 comment [2] - 64:24, 140:18 comments [4] - 65:15, 70:21, 90:11, 115:14 commission [7] - 4:6, 65:19, 65:20, 110:18, 123:7, 143:1, 147:13 COMMISSION [46] - 1:3, 7:21, 9:16, 9:18, 13:12, 16:12, 21:11, 21:13, 28:22, 35:15, 40:6, 42:4, 70:22, 72:12, 72:15, 72:17, 76:24, 87:13, 90:14, 90:16, 94:4, 96:18, 98:1, 101:7, 105:9, 105:11, 105:19, 105:21, 108:9, 112:16, 113:20, 123:24, 124:2, 124:4, 124:12,	27:25, 28:3, 31:2, 32:4, 32:10, 32:13, 35:12, 35:19, 35:22, 36:10, 36:18, 36:21, 36:24, 37:10, 37:15, 38:3, 38:10, 38:22, 40:8, 40:11, 41:4, 57:23, 58:4, 65:3, 65:6, 65:8, 66:24, 68:2, 70:5, 81:8, 81:15, 82:1, 82:25, 83:6, 85:7, 85:11, 85:14, 86:13, 87:23, 88:1, 88:3, 88:15, 89:11, 89:19, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3, 102:6, 102:8, 102:22, 102:25, 103:7, 103:14, 104:6, 104:16, 104:24, 105:15, 105:17, 110:24,	commissioner [8] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 3:14, 41:15, 57:5, 70:19 commissioner's [1] - 89:20 commissioners [13] - 7:18, 12:19, 16:11, 29:11, 66:22, 70:7, 71:20, 90:2, 108:8, 123:5, 125:5, 125:17, 150:12 Commissioners [2] - 89:12, 128:22 committed [1] - 112:13 Committee [2] - 144:12, 144:18 committee [1] - 100:24 community [5] - 29:1, 48:19, 68:16,	127:13 concrete $[3] - 36:17, 147:9, 147:18$ condemn $[1] - 34:17$ condemnation $[2] - 28:17, 31:7$ condemned $[3] - 22:12, 26:23, 28:11$ Condition $[5] - 93:12, 101:18, 104:9, 104:10, 121:9$ condition $[18] - 12:7, 12:12, 22:22, 43:22, 47:7, 56:3, 65:22, 67:5, 70:1, 75:23, 92:1, 92:4, 95:2, 108:4, 118:24, 119:22, 127:18, 128:6$ conditions $[18] - 92:25, 102:5, 102:9, 105:14, 105:16, 105:24, 111:1, 1000, 10$	149:18 considering [4] - 74:20, 120:17, 150:21, 152:4 consistency [1] - 102:11 consistent [4] - 75:5, 92:7, 96:9, 147:21 construction [3] - 54:20, 87:7, 91:5 Construction [1] - 140:20 contact [2] - 31:4, 32:6 contemplated [2] - 127:6, 145:22 contention [1] - 108:1 contesting [1] - 110:25 continually [1] - 34:12 continue [2] - 39:24, 41:19 continues [1] - 39:8
commencing [1] - 1:7 comment [2] - 64:24, 140:18 comments [4] - 65:15, 70:21, 90:11, 115:14 commission [7] - 4:6, 65:19, 65:20, 110:18, 123:7, 143:1, 147:13 COMMISSION [46] - 1:3, 7:21, 9:16, 9:18, 13:12, 16:12, 21:11, 21:13, 28:22, 35:15, 40:6, 42:4, 70:22, 72:12, 72:15, 72:17, 76:24, 87:13, 90:14, 90:16, 94:4, 96:18, 98:1, 101:7, 105:9, 105:11, 105:19, 105:21, 108:9, 112:16, 113:20, 123:24, 124:2, 124:4, 128:24,	27:25, 28:3, 31:2, 32:4, 32:10, 32:13, 35:12, 35:19, 35:22, 36:10, 36:18, 36:21, 36:24, 37:10, 37:15, 38:3, 38:10, 38:22, 40:8, 40:11, 41:4, 57:23, 58:4, 65:3, 65:6, 65:8, 66:24, 68:2, 70:5, 81:8, 81:15, 82:1, 82:25, 83:6, 85:7, 85:11, 85:14, 86:13, 87:23, 88:1, 88:3, 88:15, 89:11, 89:19, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3, 102:6, 102:8, 102:22, 102:25, 103:7, 103:14, 104:6, 104:16, 104:24, 105:15, 105:17, 110:24, 111:6, 111:9, 113:3,	commissioner [8] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 3:14, 41:15, 57:5, 70:19 commissioner's [1] - 89:20 commissioners [13] - 7:18, 12:19, 16:11, 29:11, 66:22, 70:7, 71:20, 90:2, 108:8, 123:5, 125:5, 125:17, 150:12 Commissioners [2] - 89:12, 128:22 committed [1] - 112:13 Committee [2] - 144:12, 144:18 committee [1] - 100:24 community [5] - 29:1, 48:19, 68:16, 116:23, 147:3	127:13 concrete $[3] - 36:17, 147:9, 147:18 condemn [1] - 34:17condemnation [2] - 28:17, 31:7condemned [3] - 22:12, 26:23, 28:11Condition [5] - 93:12, 101:18, 104:9, 104:10, 121:9condition [18] - 12:7, 12:12, 22:22, 43:22, 47:7, 56:3, 65:22, 67:5, 70:1, 75:23, 92:1, 92:4, 95:2, 108:4, 118:24, 119:22, 127:18, 128:6conditions [18] - 92:25, 102:5, 102:9, 105:14, 105:16, 105:24, 111:1, 111:2, 113:7, 1000000000000000000000000000000000000$	149:18 considering [4] - 74:20, 120:17, 150:21, 152:4 consistency [1] - 102:11 consistent [4] - 75:5, 92:7, 96:9, 147:21 construction [3] - 54:20, 87:7, 91:5 Construction [1] - 140:20 contact [2] - 31:4, 32:6 contemplated [2] - 127:6, 145:22 contention [1] - 108:1 contesting [1] - 110:25 continually [1] - 34:12 continue [2] - 39:24, 41:19 continues [1] - 39:8 contract [1] - 33:2
commencing [1] - 1:7 comment [2] - 64:24, 140:18 comments [4] - 65:15, 70:21, 90:11, 115:14 commission [7] - 4:6, 65:19, 65:20, 110:18, 123:7, 143:1, 147:13 COMMISSION [46] - 1:3, 7:21, 9:16, 9:18, 13:12, 16:12, 21:11, 21:13, 28:22, 35:15, 40:6, 42:4, 70:22, 72:12, 72:15, 72:17, 76:24, 87:13, 90:14, 90:16, 94:4, 96:18, 98:1, 101:7, 105:9, 105:11, 105:19, 105:21, 108:9, 112:16, 113:20, 123:24, 124:2, 124:4, 124:12,	27:25, 28:3, 31:2, 32:4, 32:10, 32:13, 35:12, 35:19, 35:22, 36:10, 36:18, 36:21, 36:24, 37:10, 37:15, 38:3, 38:10, 38:22, 40:8, 40:11, 41:4, 57:23, 58:4, 65:3, 65:6, 65:8, 66:24, 68:2, 70:5, 81:8, 81:15, 82:1, 82:25, 83:6, 85:7, 85:11, 85:14, 86:13, 87:23, 88:1, 88:3, 88:15, 89:11, 89:19, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3, 102:6, 102:8, 102:22, 102:25, 103:7, 103:14, 104:6, 104:16, 104:24, 105:15, 105:17, 110:24, 111:6, 111:9, 113:3, 113:6, 113:8,	commissioner [8] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 3:14, 41:15, 57:5, 70:19 commissioner's [1] - 89:20 commissioners [1] - 7:18, 12:19, 16:11, 29:11, 66:22, 70:7, 71:20, 90:2, 108:8, 123:5, 125:5, 125:17, 150:12 Commissioners [2] - 89:12, 128:22 committed [1] - 112:13 Committee [2] - 144:12, 144:18 committee [1] - 100:24 community [5] - 29:1, 48:19, 68:16, 116:23, 147:3 company [3] - 44:24,	127:13 concrete $[3] - 36:17, 147:9, 147:18 condemn [1] - 34:17condemnation [2] - 28:17, 31:7condemned [3] - 22:12, 26:23, 28:11Condition [5] - 93:12, 101:18, 104:9, 104:10, 121:9condition [18] - 12:7, 12:12, 22:22, 43:22, 47:7, 56:3, 65:22, 67:5, 70:1, 75:23, 92:1, 92:4, 95:2, 108:4, 118:24, 119:22, 127:18, 128:6conditions [18] - 92:25, 102:5, 102:9, 105:14, 105:16, 105:24, 111:1, 111:2, 113:7, 113:10, 113:11, 10000000000000000000000000000000000$	149:18 considering [4] - 74:20, 120:17, 150:21, 152:4 consistency [1] - 102:11 consistent [4] - 75:5, 92:7, 96:9, 147:21 construction [3] - 54:20, 87:7, 91:5 Construction [1] - 140:20 contact [2] - 31:4, 32:6 contemplated [2] - 127:6, 145:22 contention [1] - 108:1 contesting [1] - 110:25 continually [1] - 34:12 continue [2] - 39:24, 41:19 continues [1] - 39:8 contract [1] - 33:2 contracted [2] - 44:24,
commencing [1] - 1:7 comment [2] - 64:24, 140:18 comments [4] - 65:15, 70:21, 90:11, 115:14 commission [7] - 4:6, 65:19, 65:20, 110:18, 123:7, 143:1, 147:13 COMMISSION [46] - 1:3, 7:21, 9:16, 9:18, 13:12, 16:12, 21:11, 21:13, 28:22, 35:15, 40:6, 42:4, 70:22, 72:12, 72:15, 72:17, 76:24, 87:13, 90:14, 90:16, 94:4, 96:18, 98:1, 101:7, 105:9, 105:11, 105:19, 105:21, 108:9, 112:16, 113:20, 123:24, 124:2, 124:4, 128:24, 134:7, 134:21, 139:2, 139:5, 139:7,	27:25, 28:3, 31:2, 32:4, 32:10, 32:13, 35:12, 35:19, 35:22, 36:10, 36:18, 36:21, 36:24, 37:10, 37:15, 38:3, 38:10, 38:22, 40:8, 40:11, 41:4, 57:23, 58:4, 65:3, 65:6, 65:8, 66:24, 68:2, 70:5, 81:8, 81:15, 82:1, 82:25, 83:6, 85:7, 85:11, 85:14, 86:13, 87:23, 88:1, 88:3, 88:15, 89:11, 89:19, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3, 102:6, 102:8, 102:22, 102:25, 103:7, 103:14, 104:6, 104:16, 104:24, 105:15, 105:17, 110:24, 111:6, 111:9, 113:3, 113:6, 113:8, 113:15, 113:18,	commissioner [8] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 3:14, 41:15, 57:5, 70:19 commissioner's [1] - 89:20 commissioners [13] - 7:18, 12:19, 16:11, 29:11, 66:22, 70:7, 71:20, 90:2, 108:8, 123:5, 125:5, 125:17, 150:12 Commissioners [2] - 89:12, 128:22 committed [1] - 112:13 Committee [2] - 144:12, 144:18 committee [1] - 100:24 community [5] - 29:1, 48:19, 68:16, 116:23, 147:3 company [3] - 44:24, 84:12, 118:11	127:13 concrete $[3] - 36:17, 147:9, 147:18 condemn [1] - 34:17condemnation [2] - 28:17, 31:7condemned [3] - 22:12, 26:23, 28:11Condition [5] - 93:12, 101:18, 104:9, 104:10, 121:9condition [18] - 12:7, 12:12, 22:22, 43:22, 47:7, 56:3, 65:22, 67:5, 70:1, 75:23, 92:1, 92:4, 95:2, 108:4, 118:24, 119:22, 127:18, 128:6conditions [18] - 92:25, 102:5, 102:9, 105:14, 105:16, 105:24, 111:1, 111:2, 113:7, 113:10, 113:11, 113:14, 113:15, 100000000000000000000000000000000000$	149:18 considering [4] - 74:20, 120:17, 150:21, 152:4 consistency [1] - 102:11 consistent [4] - 75:5, 92:7, 96:9, 147:21 construction [3] - 54:20, 87:7, 91:5 Construction [1] - 140:20 contact [2] - 31:4, 32:6 contemplated [2] - 127:6, 145:22 contention [1] - 108:1 contesting [1] - 110:25 continually [1] - 34:12 continues [1] - 39:8 contract [1] - 33:2 contracted [2] - 44:24, 109:19
commencing [1] - 1:7 comment [2] - 64:24, 140:18 comments [4] - 65:15, 70:21, 90:11, 115:14 commission [7] - 4:6, 65:19, 65:20, 110:18, 123:7, 143:1, 147:13 COMMISSION [46] - 1:3, 7:21, 9:16, 9:18, 13:12, 16:12, 21:11, 21:13, 28:22, 35:15, 40:6, 42:4, 70:22, 72:12, 72:15, 72:17, 76:24, 87:13, 90:14, 90:16, 94:4, 96:18, 98:1, 101:7, 105:9, 105:21, 108:9, 112:16, 113:20, 123:24, 124:2, 124:4, 128:24, 134:7, 134:21,	27:25, 28:3, 31:2, 32:4, 32:10, 32:13, 35:12, 35:19, 35:22, 36:10, 36:18, 36:21, 36:24, 37:10, 37:15, 38:3, 38:10, 38:22, 40:8, 40:11, 41:4, 57:23, 58:4, 65:3, 65:6, 65:8, 66:24, 68:2, 70:5, 81:8, 81:15, 82:1, 82:25, 83:6, 85:7, 85:11, 85:14, 86:13, 87:23, 88:1, 88:3, 88:15, 89:11, 89:19, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 102:22, 102:25, 103:7, 103:14, 104:6, 104:16, 104:24, 105:15, 105:17, 110:24, 113:6, 113:8, 113:15, 113:18, 114:6, 115:15,	commissioner [8] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 3:14, 41:15, 57:5, 70:19 commissioner's [1] - 89:20 commissioners [13] - 7:18, 12:19, 16:11, 29:11, 66:22, 70:7, 71:20, 90:2, 108:8, 123:5, 125:5, 125:17, 150:12 Commissioners [2] - 89:12, 128:22 committed [1] - 112:13 Committee [2] - 144:12, 144:18 committee [1] - 100:24 community [5] - 29:1, 48:19, 68:16, 116:23, 147:3 company [3] - 44:24, 84:12, 118:11 compare [2] - 100:10, 128:12 compared [1] - 128:15	127:13 concrete $[3] - 36:17, 147:9, 147:18 condemn [1] - 34:17condemnation [2] - 28:17, 31:7condemned [3] - 22:12, 26:23, 28:11Condition [5] - 93:12, 101:18, 104:9, 104:10, 121:9condition [18] - 12:7, 12:12, 22:22, 43:22, 47:7, 56:3, 65:22, 67:5, 70:1, 75:23, 92:1, 92:4, 95:2, 108:4, 118:24, 119:22, 127:18, 128:6conditions [18] - 92:25, 102:5, 102:9, 105:14, 105:16, 105:24, 111:1, 111:2, 113:7, 113:10, 113:11, 113:14, 113:15, 113:23, 118:20, 100000000000000000000000000000000000$	149:18 considering [4] - 74:20, 120:17, 150:21, 152:4 consistency [1] - 102:11 consistent [4] - 75:5, 92:7, 96:9, 147:21 construction [3] - 54:20, 87:7, 91:5 Construction [1] - 140:20 contact [2] - 31:4, 32:6 contemplated [2] - 127:6, 145:22 contention [1] - 108:1 contesting [1] - 110:25 continually [1] - 34:12 continue [1] - 39:8 contract [1] - 33:2 contracted [2] - 44:24, 109:19 contracting [1] -
commencing [1] - 1:7 comment [2] - 64:24, 140:18 comments [4] - 65:15, 70:21, 90:11, 115:14 commission [7] - 4:6, 65:19, 65:20, 110:18, 123:7, 143:1, 147:13 COMMISSION [46] - 1:3, 7:21, 9:16, 9:18, 13:12, 16:12, 21:11, 21:13, 28:22, 35:15, 40:6, 42:4, 70:22, 72:12, 72:15, 72:17, 76:24, 87:13, 90:14, 90:16, 94:4, 96:18, 98:1, 101:7, 105:9, 105:21, 108:9, 112:16, 113:20, 123:24, 124:2, 124:4, 128:24, 134:7, 134:21, 139:2, 139:5, 139:7, 140:10, 140:12,	27:25, 28:3, 31:2, 32:4, 32:10, 32:13, 35:12, 35:19, 35:22, 36:10, 36:18, 36:21, 36:24, 37:10, 37:15, 38:3, 38:10, 38:22, 40:8, 40:11, 41:4, 57:23, 58:4, 65:3, 65:6, 65:8, 66:24, 68:2, 70:5, 81:8, 81:15, 82:1, 82:25, 83:6, 85:7, 85:11, 85:14, 86:13, 87:23, 88:1, 88:3, 88:15, 89:11, 89:19, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 102:22, 102:25, 103:7, 103:14, 104:6, 104:16, 104:24, 105:15, 105:17, 110:24, 113:6, 113:8, 113:15, 113:18, 114:6, 115:15, 115:24, 116:1,	commissioner [8] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 3:14, 41:15, 57:5, 70:19 commissioner's [1] - 89:20 commissioners [13] - 7:18, 12:19, 16:11, 29:11, 66:22, 70:7, 71:20, 90:2, 108:8, 123:5, 125:5, 125:17, 150:12 Commissioners [2] - 89:12, 128:22 committed [1] - 112:13 Committee [2] - 144:12, 144:18 committee [1] - 100:24 community [5] - 29:1, 48:19, 68:16, 116:23, 147:3 company [3] - 44:24, 84:12, 118:11 compare [2] - 100:10, 128:12 compared [1] - 128:15 compelled [2] - 39:11,	127:13 concrete $[3] - 36:17, 147:9, 147:18 condemn [1] - 34:17condemnation [2] - 28:17, 31:7condemned [3] - 22:12, 26:23, 28:11Condition [5] - 93:12, 101:18, 104:9, 104:10, 121:9condition [18] - 12:7, 12:12, 22:22, 43:22, 47:7, 56:3, 65:22, 67:5, 70:1, 75:23, 92:1, 92:4, 95:2, 108:4, 118:24, 119:22, 127:18, 128:6conditions [18] - 92:25, 102:5, 102:9, 105:14, 105:16, 105:24, 111:1, 111:2, 113:7, 113:10, 113:11, 113:14, 113:15, 100000000000000000000000000000000000$	149:18 considering [4] - 74:20, 120:17, 150:21, 152:4 consistency [1] - 102:11 consistent [4] - 75:5, 92:7, 96:9, 147:21 construction [3] - 54:20, 87:7, 91:5 Construction [1] - 140:20 contact [2] - 31:4, 32:6 contemplated [2] - 127:6, 145:22 contention [1] - 108:1 contesting [1] - 110:25 continually [1] - 34:12 continues [1] - 39:8 contract [2] - 44:24, 109:19 contracting [1] - 14:23
commencing [1] - 1:7 comment [2] - 64:24, 140:18 comments [4] - 65:15, 70:21, 90:11, 115:14 commission [7] - 4:6, 65:19, 65:20, 110:18, 123:7, 143:1, 147:13 COMMISSION [46] - 1:3, 7:21, 9:16, 9:18, 13:12, 16:12, 21:11, 21:13, 28:22, 35:15, 40:6, 42:4, 70:22, 72:12, 72:15, 72:17, 76:24, 87:13, 90:14, 90:16, 94:4, 96:18, 98:1, 101:7, 105:9, 105:21, 108:9, 112:16, 113:20, 123:24, 124:2, 124:4, 128:24, 134:7, 134:21, 139:2, 139:5, 139:7, 140:10, 140:12, 143:15, 143:17	27:25, 28:3, 31:2, 32:4, 32:10, 32:13, 35:12, 35:19, 35:22, 36:10, 36:18, 36:21, 36:24, 37:10, 37:15, 38:3, 38:10, 38:22, 40:8, 40:11, 41:4, 57:23, 58:4, 65:3, 65:6, 65:8, 66:24, 68:2, 70:5, 81:8, 81:15, 82:1, 82:25, 83:6, 85:7, 85:11, 85:14, 86:13, 87:23, 88:1, 88:3, 88:15, 89:11, 89:19, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 102:22, 102:25, 103:7, 103:14, 104:6, 104:16, 104:24, 105:15, 105:17, 110:24, 113:6, 113:8, 113:15, 113:18, 114:6, 115:15,	commissioner [8] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 3:14, 41:15, 57:5, 70:19 commissioner's [1] - 89:20 commissioners [13] - 7:18, 12:19, 16:11, 29:11, 66:22, 70:7, 71:20, 90:2, 108:8, 123:5, 125:5, 125:17, 150:12 Commissioners [2] - 89:12, 128:22 committed [1] - 112:13 Committee [2] - 144:12, 144:18 committee [1] - 100:24 community [5] - 29:1, 48:19, 68:16, 116:23, 147:3 company [3] - 44:24, 84:12, 118:11 compare [2] - 100:10, 128:12 compared [1] - 128:15	127:13 concrete $[3] - 36:17, 147:9, 147:18 condemn [1] - 34:17condemnation [2] - 28:17, 31:7condemned [3] - 22:12, 26:23, 28:11Condition [5] - 93:12, 101:18, 104:9, 104:10, 121:9condition [18] - 12:7, 12:12, 22:22, 43:22, 47:7, 56:3, 65:22, 67:5, 70:1, 75:23, 92:1, 92:4, 95:2, 108:4, 118:24, 119:22, 127:18, 128:6conditions [18] - 92:25, 102:5, 102:9, 105:14, 105:16, 105:24, 111:1, 111:2, 113:7, 113:10, 113:11, 113:14, 113:15, 113:23, 118:20, 120:4, 123:17, 103:10, 113:17, 113:14, 113:15, 113:23, 118:20, 120:4, 123:17, 113:10, 113:17, 113:10, 113:17, 113:14, 113:15, 113:23, 118:20, 120:4, 123:17, 113:10, 113:17, 113:10, 113:17, 113:23, 118:20, 120:4, 123:17, 113:10, 113:17, 113:14, 113:15, 113:23, 118:20, 120:4, 123:17, 113:10, 113:17, 113:10, 113:17, 113:10, 113:17, 113:23, 118:20, 120:4, 123:17, 113:10, 113:17, 113:23, 118:20, 120:4, 123:17, 113:10, 113:17, 113:10, 113:17, 113:23, 118:20, 120:4, 123:17, 113:23, 118:20, 120:4, 123:17, 113:10, 113:17, 113:14, 113:15, 113:23, 118:20, 120:4, 123:17, 113:10, 113:17, 113:10, 113:17, 113:10, 113:17, 113:10, 113:17, 113:23, 118:20, 120:4, 123:17, 113:23, 118:20, 120:4, 123:17, 113:10, 113:17, 113:10, 113:17, 113:10, 113:17, 113:10, 113:17, 113:23, 118:20, 120:4, 123:17, 113:10, 113:17, 113:10, 113:17, 113:11, 113:14, 113:15, 113:23, 118:20, 120:4, 123:17, 113:10, 113:113:11, 113:113:11, 113:113:11, 113:113:113:113:113:113:113:113:113:113$	149:18 considering [4] - 74:20, 120:17, 150:21, 152:4 consistency [1] - 102:11 consistent [4] - 75:5, 92:7, 96:9, 147:21 construction [3] - 54:20, 87:7, 91:5 Construction [1] - 140:20 contact [2] - 31:4, 32:6 contemplated [2] - 127:6, 145:22 contention [1] - 108:1 contesting [1] - 110:25 continually [1] - 34:12 continue [1] - 39:8 contract [1] - 33:2 contracted [2] - 44:24, 109:19 contracting [1] -

contributing [16] -	crawl [1] - 36:18	debris [2] - 44:9,	66:1	determination [2] -
22:2, 54:5, 73:11,	create [1] - 89:1	48:21	demolition [44] - 6:25,	35:8, 127:25
74:18, 74:19, 74:22,	creates [1] - 125:2	decade [2] - 27:15,	7:2, 22:18, 23:5,	determined [1] - 30:16
76:21, 114:2, 116:5,	creating [3] - 56:4,	54:6	23:25, 27:17, 27:18,	detriment [1] - 48:18
116:10, 121:21,	119:4, 132:17	decades [2] - 48:11,	28:5, 28:16, 29:6,	develop [1] - 5:8
121:22, 122:2,	Creek [8] - 73:17,	62:19	29:8, 30:14, 31:14,	Development [4] -
123:8, 124:23,	74:5, 74:6, 78:4,	decide [3] - 39:12,	37:3, 38:5, 38:8,	1:18, 1:18, 3:2, 3:4
126:14	78:7, 79:22, 80:16,	125:1, 138:8	38:9, 38:20, 39:20,	Diane [6] - 1:9, 8:4,
control [1] - 79:5	88:11	decided [2] - 39:19,	39:25, 40:15, 40:24,	60:8, 153:16, 155:7,
conversation [1] -	creek [2] - 86:16,	122:10	41:8, 41:18, 41:23,	155:18
121:23	86:22	decision [6] - 19:17,	41:25, 49:12, 52:23,	different [13] - 34:21,
conversations [1] -	creeks [1] - 89:1	39:18, 67:19, 70:25,	53:6, 55:1, 57:9,	36:4, 37:12, 90:8,
86:14	crisp [1] - 133:20	72:7, 122:8	63:16, 65:1, 65:4,	121:23, 122:4,
Converse [1] - 33:20	criteria [7] - 12:1,	decisions [1] - 21:3	66:25, 70:16, 72:19,	123:1, 128:14,
convey [2] - 75:3,	12:14, 23:17, 75:5,	declared [1] - 22:13	74:16, 76:13, 80:8,	137:3, 137:4,
114:25	75:17, 115:6, 116:4	declined [1] - 34:6	89:22, 90:19, 144:16	137:15, 146:21,
Convoy [1] - 33:20	cuff [1] - 115:16	decorative [2] - 10:14,	demolitions [1] -	149:21
Copeland [5] - 6:18,	curb [3] - 152:11,	73:21	88:17	difficult [1] - 75:21
107:5, 108:19,	152:15, 152:16	deem [1] - 66:17	denial [3] - 12:16,	dilapidated [1] - 154:6
109:8, 124:17	curb-cuts [1] - 152:16	deemed [1] - 120:20	128:19, 136:19	diligence [2] - 70:18,
cords [1] - 127:20	curious [1] - 100:22	deeper [1] - 103:2	denied [7] - 21:14, 41:8, 41:14, 110:2,	135:5
corner [9] - 22:7,	current [15] - 25:10,	deeply [1] - 99:4	136:5, 136:18, 139:9	direction [1] - 118:12
54:21, 82:15,	25:18, 37:4, 39:2,	defer [10] - 28:19,	deny [5] - 17:9, 113:4,	directions [1] - 78:5
107:10, 115:23,	42:1, 54:24, 75:14,	63:23, 93:15,	113:6, 134:17,	directly [2] - 61:1,
130:17, 130:23,	76:15, 80:6, 118:19,	106:21, 106:23,	137:11	136:2
131:22, 131:23 correct [14] - 28:16,	128:7, 128:13,	119:24, 136:22,	Department [3] - 3:2,	director [1] - 53:23
37:2, 37:13, 37:14,	128:19, 142:3, 143:12	137:1, 137:18,	3:4, 40:19	dirt [1] - 11:8
40:1, 40:2, 52:25,	cursor [1] - 145:24	138:14 Deferred [1] - 90:23	Depot [8] - 109:18,	dirty [1] - 11:15
78:5, 104:24,	custom [2] - 118:1,	deferred [2] - 5:24,	110:3, 110:5,	disagreement [1] - 53:25
137:10, 138:22,	132:19	6:12	110:19, 111:10,	disarray [1] - 78:8
139:25, 141:4	custom-made [2] -	deferring [1] - 135:23	117:13, 118:11,	disaster [2] - 51:24,
correspondence [2] -	118:1, 132:19	defined [1] - 74:2	119:15	52:7
11:10, 91:21	cutoff [1] - 50:21	definitely [5] - 45:6,	Dept [1] - 1:18	disclosure [1] - 62:14
cost [3] - 37:2, 39:15,	cuts [1] - 152:16	68:20, 68:25, 95:4,	depth [1] - 69:23	discrepancy [1] - 19:5
55:1	cycle [1] - 144:22	119:21	describe [1] - 26:2	discuss [3] - 37:7,
costs [2] - 25:6, 39:14	•,••••••••	degree [3] - 25:5,	described [1] - 104:17	125:17, 142:5
Council [3] - 71:25,	D	115:7, 128:13	descriptors [1] - 36:1	discussed [2] -
144:18, 144:22		delays [1] - 8:25	design [10] - 12:15,	109:20, 148:20
council [1] - 50:25	daily [4] - 28:18, 37:5,	delineated [1] - 150:2	17:21, 18:2, 20:9,	discussing [1] - 40:22
Councilman [1] -	39:2, 39:7	DEMETREE [8] - 3:11,	23:4, 23:16, 75:18,	Discussion [1] - 141:2
124:21	damage [9] - 24:15,	3:17, 3:24, 4:2, 4:5,	115:5, 143:23,	discussion [27] -
Counsel [9] - 1:19,	34:17, 35:11, 76:9,	5:2, 5:12, 5:15	143:24	17:13, 20:24, 21:9,
2:25, 39:18, 41:5,	76:10, 127:22,	Demetree [2] - 3:13,	designated [2] -	37:25, 65:7, 71:24,
41:7, 63:23, 123:13,	127:24, 130:9,	5:5	74:23, 146:23	72:10, 88:2, 92:20,
137:1, 137:8	131:14	demolish [20] - 22:2,	designation [3] - 6:14, 106:13, 106:18	102:2, 102:7,
count [1] - 115:22	damaged [1] - 95:24	22:3, 27:6, 27:21,	designer [1] - 154:1	113:19, 114:5,
County [1] - 81:22 COUNTY [1] - 155:4	danger [2] - 56:5,	30:19, 38:4, 39:10,	desirable [1] - 38:16	115:13, 118:22,
couple [9] - 32:1,	66:10 dangerous [3] - 27:8,	39:13, 63:14, 64:3,	desire [1] - 61:13	123:2, 123:23,
39:1, 61:2, 63:21,	56:7, 95:6	64:5, 64:11, 66:15,	destroying [1] - 23:15	134:20, 135:1,
83:11, 117:10,	date [3] - 18:6, 79:15,	66:16, 67:25, 73:11, 79:1, 79:14, 83:2,	detail [1] - 114:23	135:9, 136:23, 137:20, 138:25,
133:11, 141:19,	144:19	83:10	details [1] - 24:20	139:1, 139:4, 143:8
145:13	DATED [1] - 155:15	demolished [13] -	deteriorated [6] -	discussions [1] -
coupled [3] - 25:4,	dates [1] - 145:8	30:23, 31:18, 37:1,	11:8, 12:1, 21:5,	33:21
76:19, 92:14	Davis [2] - 46:24,	37:17, 39:21, 45:11,	24:13, 34:12, 69:14	disease [1] - 44:14
course [3] - 21:4,	52:15	45:12, 54:9, 57:25,	deterioration [8] -	displays [1] - 57:16
83:1, 91:15	deal [1] - 47:20	61:5, 67:11, 112:9	11:18, 25:5, 31:24,	disrepair [4] - 43:23,
court [1] - 50:25	dealing [3] - 54:3,	demolishing [5] -	43:22, 92:12, 99:12,	47:1, 82:5, 82:7
cracks [1] - 70:10	62:19, 62:20	23:19, 63:4, 64:9,	104:1, 128:13	disrespect [1] -

120:16 distribute [1] - 29:9 district [15] - 45:8,	147:18 driveways [7] - 145:20, 146:17,	elevation [6] - 90:4, 107:12, 127:1, 127:8, 127:9, 127:11	2:20, 9:12, 17:11, 18:4, 18:16, 20:25, 26:16, 27:5, 27:22,	examples [1] - 48:2 exception [1] - 109:6 excited [1] - 8:21
66:15, 74:24, 75:4,	147:8, 147:9,	elevations [1] - 136:13	27:25, 35:19, 35:22,	excuse [4] - 7:4,
100:20, 114:2,	147:22, 148:6, 149:1	eliminate [1] - 103:11	36:10, 36:18, 36:21,	42:25, 45:11, 116:16
120:20, 120:22,	drop [1] - 23:3	Ellis [2] - 6:15, 106:15	37:15, 38:3, 38:10,	executive [1] - 53:23
122:3, 133:4,	dropping [1] - 132:15	eloquent [1] - 52:4	38:22, 41:4, 57:23,	exist [1] - 85:6
146:24, 149:9,	due [2] - 5:17, 35:11	Emerald [9] - 78:2,	58:4, 68:2, 82:1,	existence [1] - 25:11
150:9, 150:10, 152:5	during [4] - 11:3, 44:8,	78:22, 79:23, 81:3,	82:25, 83:6, 85:7,	existing [4] - 12:12,
District [5] - 22:6,	76:8, 102:2	83:3, 83:17, 84:22,	85:11, 85:14, 88:1,	19:10, 75:22, 126:23
73:13, 74:25, 107:9,	Duval [2] - 78:14,	85:2, 88:24	88:15, 102:6,	exits [1] - 144:23
126:16	81:22	emergency [2] -	102:25, 105:17,	expand [4] - 145:19,
Districts [1] - 145:3	DUVAL [1] - 155:4	66:17, 67:6	111:9, 113:6, 114:6,	145:23, 145:24,
districts [2] - 145:21,	dwelling [1] - 151:6	empathize [1] - 62:18	122:5, 122:13,	150:3
150:15		emphasize [1] -	123:22, 124:8,	expansion [1] - 81:13
Division [3] - 22:12,	Е	148:24	124:19, 125:21,	expansions [2] -
30:1, 54:3	–	employed [1] - 48:10	134:19, 135:10,	145:12, 145:13
document [1] - 91:21	earl [1] - 108:18	employee [1] - 62:14	140:8, 140:13,	expect [1] - 100:14
documents [1] - 24:18	early [2] - 14:20, 83:23	empty [2] - 81:22,	140:16, 142:6,	expected [1] - 145:6
dollars [1] - 85:22	earth [1] - 78:20	82:12	142:24, 143:9,	expecting [1] - 7:9
dominant [1] - 22:25	easement [2] - 151:23,	encourage [1] - 4:12	147:23, 148:13,	expediency [1] - 70:25
donate [1] - 34:4	152:14	end [8] - 12:18, 25:20,	148:17, 149:2,	expedient [1] - 71:3
done [20] - 4:17, 8:22,	easiest [1] - 103:17	42:8, 78:6, 78:19,	150:20, 151:21,	expense [1] - 44:12
8:24, 8:25, 47:4,	easily [1] - 96:11	129:20, 133:17,	152:7, 152:21,	experience [2] -
72:2, 82:8, 85:9,	East [2] - 6:5, 144:17	139:12	152:23, 154:10	37:10, 66:13
109:11, 109:24,	Eckels [2] - 46:1, 46:5	endanger [1] - 35:3	Epstein [11] - 2:20,	extensive [3] - 23:13,
114:22, 114:24,	ECKELS [17] - 46:4,	endeavor [1] - 39:14	18:9, 26:21, 27:11,	34:16, 76:9
116:25, 117:23,	46:10, 46:15, 46:17,	ending [1] - 145:8	41:16, 89:13, 103:8,	exterior [12] - 10:14,
133:2, 134:1, 134:2,	49:4, 49:7, 49:16,	energy [3] - 68:9,	114:18, 117:16, 143:13, 148:15	11:7, 11:9, 11:19,
146:22, 147:14,	49:18, 49:20, 52:13,	68:12, 84:7	Ernest [2] - 25:17,	11:20, 24:11, 90:4,
154:3	E7.E E7.10 E0.2			
	57:5, 57:18, 58:3,	energy-saving [1] -		103:10, 103:16,
door [10] - 15:2, 36:14,	58:9, 59:19, 59:22,	84:7	29:25	104:11, 121:11,
door [10] - 15:2, 36:14, 46:21, 48:7, 51:24,	58:9, 59:19, 59:22, 59:25	84:7 enforcement [4] -	29:25 eroded [1] - 97:5	104:11, 121:11, 133:1
door [10] - 15:2, 36:14, 46:21, 48:7, 51:24, 65:24, 66:5, 95:23,	58:9, 59:19, 59:22, 59:25 economic [3] - 24:21,	84:7 enforcement [4] - 22:15, 25:18, 27:14,	29:25	104:11, 121:11, 133:1 extra [1] - 64:8
door [10] - 15:2, 36:14, 46:21, 48:7, 51:24, 65:24, 66:5, 95:23, 99:19, 118:14	58:9, 59:19, 59:22, 59:25 economic [3] - 24:21, 25:9, 119:3	84:7 enforcement [4] - 22:15, 25:18, 27:14, 147:7	29:25 eroded [1] - 97:5 especially [6] - 17:23,	104:11, 121:11, 133:1 extra [1] - 64:8 eyesore [3] - 48:18,
door [10] - 15:2, 36:14, 46:21, 48:7, 51:24, 65:24, 66:5, 95:23, 99:19, 118:14 Dora [1] - 14:19	58:9, 59:19, 59:22, 59:25 economic [3] - 24:21, 25:9, 119:3 economically [1] -	84:7 enforcement [4] - 22:15, 25:18, 27:14, 147:7 enforcing [1] - 40:20	29:25 eroded [1] - 97:5 especially [6] - 17:23, 95:4, 99:17, 146:7,	104:11, 121:11, 133:1 extra [1] - 64:8
door [10] - 15:2, 36:14, 46:21, 48:7, 51:24, 65:24, 66:5, 95:23, 99:19, 118:14 Dora [1] - 14:19 dormer [1] - 23:3	58:9, 59:19, 59:22, 59:25 economic [3] - 24:21, 25:9, 119:3 economically [1] - 62:24	84:7 enforcement [4] - 22:15, 25:18, 27:14, 147:7 enforcing [1] - 40:20 engineer [8] - 24:5,	29:25 eroded [1] - 97:5 especially [6] - 17:23, 95:4, 99:17, 146:7, 151:11, 152:8	104:11, 121:11, 133:1 extra [1] - 64:8 eyesore [3] - 48:18, 56:5, 99:21
door [10] - 15:2, 36:14, 46:21, 48:7, 51:24, 65:24, 66:5, 95:23, 99:19, 118:14 Dora [1] - 14:19 dormer [1] - 23:3 down [29] - 4:10,	58:9, 59:19, 59:22, 59:25 economic [3] - 24:21, 25:9, 119:3 economically [1] - 62:24 Ed [1] - 1:7	84:7 enforcement [4] - 22:15, 25:18, 27:14, 147:7 enforcing [1] - 40:20 engineer [8] - 24:5, 30:14, 30:15, 35:7,	29:25 eroded [1] - 97:5 especially [6] - 17:23, 95:4, 99:17, 146:7, 151:11, 152:8 essentially [3] - 142:21, 147:2, 151:4 establish [1] - 124:21	104:11, 121:11, 133:1 extra [1] - 64:8 eyesore [3] - 48:18,
door [10] - 15:2, 36:14, 46:21, 48:7, 51:24, 65:24, 66:5, 95:23, 99:19, 118:14 Dora [1] - 14:19 dormer [1] - 23:3 down [29] - 4:10, 36:15, 36:16, 36:23,	58:9, 59:19, 59:22, 59:25 economic [3] - 24:21, 25:9, 119:3 economically [1] - 62:24 Ed [1] - 1:7 effort [5] - 24:4, 68:22,	84:7 enforcement [4] - 22:15, 25:18, 27:14, 147:7 enforcing [1] - 40:20 engineer [8] - 24:5, 30:14, 30:15, 35:7, 48:14, 54:16, 69:5,	29:25 eroded [1] - 97:5 especially [6] - 17:23, 95:4, 99:17, 146:7, 151:11, 152:8 essentially [3] - 142:21, 147:2, 151:4	104:11, 121:11, 133:1 extra [1] - 64:8 eyesore [3] - 48:18, 56:5, 99:21 F
door [10] - 15:2, 36:14, 46:21, 48:7, 51:24, 65:24, 66:5, 95:23, 99:19, 118:14 Dora [1] - 14:19 dormer [1] - 23:3 down [29] - 4:10,	58:9, 59:19, 59:22, 59:25 economic [3] - 24:21, 25:9, 119:3 economically [1] - 62:24 Ed [1] - 1:7	84:7 enforcement [4] - 22:15, 25:18, 27:14, 147:7 enforcing [1] - 40:20 engineer [8] - 24:5, 30:14, 30:15, 35:7, 48:14, 54:16, 69:5, 75:24	29:25 eroded [1] - 97:5 especially [6] - 17:23, 95:4, 99:17, 146:7, 151:11, 152:8 essentially [3] - 142:21, 147:2, 151:4 establish [1] - 124:21 established [1] - 114:12	104:11, 121:11, 133:1 extra [1] - 64:8 eyesore [3] - 48:18, 56:5, 99:21 F fabric [6] - 66:12,
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{door} \ [10] - 15:2, \ 36:14, \\ 46:21, \ 48:7, \ 51:24, \\ 65:24, \ 66:5, \ 95:23, \\ 99:19, \ 118:14 \\ \textbf{Dora} \ [1] - 14:19 \\ \textbf{dormer} \ [1] - 23:3 \\ \textbf{down} \ [29] - 4:10, \\ 36:15, \ 36:16, \ 36:23, \\ 38:12, \ 44:2, \ 46:25, \\ \end{array}$	58:9, 59:19, 59:22, 59:25 economic [3] - 24:21, 25:9, 119:3 economically [1] - 62:24 Ed [1] - 1:7 effort [5] - 24:4, 68:22, 75:22, 117:19,	84:7 enforcement [4] - 22:15, 25:18, 27:14, 147:7 enforcing [1] - 40:20 engineer [8] - 24:5, 30:14, 30:15, 35:7, 48:14, 54:16, 69:5, 75:24 engineer's [2] - 73:23,	29:25 eroded [1] - 97:5 especially [6] - 17:23, 95:4, 99:17, 146:7, 151:11, 152:8 essentially [3] - 142:21, 147:2, 151:4 establish [1] - 124:21 established [1] - 114:12 estate [1] - 47:6	104:11, 121:11, 133:1 extra [1] - 64:8 eyesore [3] - 48:18, 56:5, 99:21 F fabric [6] - 66:12, 72:4, 88:19, 148:5,
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{door} \ [10] - 15:2, \ 36:14, \\ 46:21, \ 48:7, \ 51:24, \\ 65:24, \ 66:5, \ 95:23, \\ 99:19, \ 118:14 \\ \textbf{Dora} \ [1] - 14:19 \\ \textbf{dormer} \ [1] - 23:3 \\ \textbf{down} \ [29] - 4:10, \\ 36:15, \ 36:16, \ 36:23, \\ 38:12, \ 44:2, \ 46:25, \\ 51:7, \ 51:10, \ 51:15, \end{array}$	58:9, 59:19, 59:22, 59:25 economic [3] - 24:21, 25:9, 119:3 economically [1] - 62:24 Ed [1] - 1:7 effort [5] - 24:4, 68:22, 75:22, 117:19, 117:21 efforts [1] - 117:14	84:7 enforcement [4] - 22:15, 25:18, 27:14, 147:7 enforcing [1] - 40:20 engineer [8] - 24:5, 30:14, 30:15, 35:7, 48:14, 54:16, 69:5, 75:24 engineer's [2] - 73:23, 152:1	29:25 eroded [1] - 97:5 especially [6] - 17:23, 95:4, 99:17, 146:7, 151:11, 152:8 essentially [3] - 142:21, 147:2, 151:4 establish [1] - 124:21 established [1] - 114:12 estate [1] - 47:6 estimation [1] - 133:2	104:11, 121:11, 133:1 extra [1] - 64:8 eyesore [3] - 48:18, 56:5, 99:21 F fabric [6] - 66:12,
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{door} [10] - 15:2, 36:14, \\ 46:21, 48:7, 51:24, \\ 65:24, 66:5, 95:23, \\ 99:19, 118:14 \\ \textbf{Dora} [1] - 14:19 \\ \textbf{dormer} [1] - 23:3 \\ \textbf{down} [29] - 4:10, \\ 36:15, 36:16, 36:23, \\ 38:12, 44:2, 46:25, \\ 51:7, 51:10, 51:15, \\ 51:16, 51:17, 58:7, \\ \end{array}$	58:9, 59:19, 59:22, 59:25 economic [3] - 24:21, 25:9, 119:3 economically [1] - 62:24 Ed [1] - 1:7 effort [5] - 24:4, 68:22, 75:22, 117:19, 117:21	84:7 enforcement [4] - 22:15, 25:18, 27:14, 147:7 enforcing [1] - 40:20 engineer [8] - 24:5, 30:14, 30:15, 35:7, 48:14, 54:16, 69:5, 75:24 engineer's [2] - 73:23, 152:1 engineering [1] - 24:7	29:25 eroded [1] - 97:5 especially [6] - 17:23, 95:4, 99:17, 146:7, 151:11, 152:8 essentially [3] - 142:21, 147:2, 151:4 establish [1] - 124:21 established [1] - 114:12 estate [1] - 47:6 estimation [1] - 133:2 et [1] - 146:5	104:11, 121:11, 133:1 extra [1] - 64:8 eyesore [3] - 48:18, 56:5, 99:21 F fabric [6] - 66:12, 72:4, 88:19, 148:5, 150:9, 150:19
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{door} [10] - 15:2, 36:14, \\ 46:21, 48:7, 51:24, \\ 65:24, 66:5, 95:23, \\ 99:19, 118:14 \\ \textbf{Dora} [1] - 14:19 \\ \textbf{dormer} [1] - 23:3 \\ \textbf{down} [29] - 4:10, \\ 36:15, 36:16, 36:23, \\ 38:12, 44:2, 46:25, \\ 51:7, 51:10, 51:15, \\ 51:16, 51:17, 58:7, \\ 67:7, 67:9, 67:19, \\ \end{array}$	58:9, 59:19, 59:22, 59:25 economic [3] - 24:21, 25:9, 119:3 economically [1] - 62:24 Ed [1] - 1:7 effort [5] - 24:4, 68:22, 75:22, 117:19, 117:21 efforts [1] - 117:14 ego [1] - 4:11	84:7 enforcement [4] - 22:15, 25:18, 27:14, 147:7 enforcing [1] - 40:20 engineer [8] - 24:5, 30:14, 30:15, 35:7, 48:14, 54:16, 69:5, 75:24 engineer's [2] - 73:23, 152:1	29:25 eroded [1] - 97:5 especially [6] - 17:23, 95:4, 99:17, 146:7, 151:11, 152:8 essentially [3] - 142:21, 147:2, 151:4 establish [1] - 124:21 established [1] - 114:12 estate [1] - 47:6 estimation [1] - 133:2 et [1] - 146:5 ETHAN [1] - 1:13	104:11, 121:11, 133:1 extra [1] - 64:8 eyesore [3] - 48:18, 56:5, 99:21 F fabric [6] - 66:12, 72:4, 88:19, 148:5, 150:9, 150:19 face [2] - 79:4, 79:5
door [10] - 15:2, 36:14, 46:21, 48:7, 51:24, 65:24, 66:5, 95:23, 99:19, 118:14 Dora [1] - 14:19 dormer [1] - 23:3 down [29] - 4:10, 36:15, 36:16, 36:23, 38:12, 44:2, 46:25, 51:7, 51:10, 51:15, 51:16, 51:17, 58:7, 67:7, 67:9, 67:19, 69:7, 79:22, 89:9, 95:13, 109:17, 109:25, 116:23,	$58:9, 59:19, 59:22, \\59:25$ economic [3] - 24:21, 25:9, 119:3 economically [1] - 62:24 Ed [1] - 1:7 effort [5] - 24:4, 68:22, 75:22, 117:19, 117:21 efforts [1] - 117:14 ego [1] - 4:11 egos [1] - 4:14	84:7 enforcement [4] - 22:15, 25:18, 27:14, 147:7 enforcing [1] - 40:20 engineer [8] - 24:5, 30:14, 30:15, 35:7, 48:14, 54:16, 69:5, 75:24 engineer's [2] - 73:23, 152:1 engineering [1] - 24:7 Engineering [1] -	$\begin{array}{c} 29:25\\ \textbf{eroded} [1] - 97:5\\ \textbf{especially} [6] - 17:23,\\ 95:4, 99:17, 146:7,\\ 151:11, 152:8\\ \textbf{essentially} [3] -\\ 142:21, 147:2, 151:4\\ \textbf{establish} [1] - 124:21\\ \textbf{establish} [1] - 124:21\\ \textbf{established} [1] -\\ 114:12\\ \textbf{estate} [1] - 47:6\\ \textbf{estimation} [1] - 133:2\\ \textbf{et} [1] - 146:5\\ \textbf{ETHAN} [1] - 1:13\\ \textbf{Ethan} [2] - 2:18, 142:8\\ \end{array}$	104:11, 121:11, 133:1 extra [1] - 64:8 eyesore [3] - 48:18, 56:5, 99:21 F fabric [6] - 66:12, 72:4, 88:19, 148:5, 150:9, 150:19 face [2] - 79:4, 79:5 facilities [1] - 85:18
door [10] - 15:2, 36:14, 46:21, 48:7, 51:24, 65:24, 66:5, 95:23, 99:19, 118:14 Dora [1] - 14:19 dormer [1] - 23:3 down [29] - 4:10, 36:15, 36:16, 36:23, 38:12, 44:2, 46:25, 51:7, 51:10, 51:15, 51:16, 51:17, 58:7, 67:7, 67:9, 67:19, 69:7, 79:22, 89:9, 95:13, 109:17, 109:25, 116:23, 132:15, 147:6,	$58:9, 59:19, 59:22, \\59:25$ economic [3] - 24:21, 25:9, 119:3 economically [1] - 62:24 Ed [1] - 1:7 effort [5] - 24:4, 68:22, 75:22, 117:19, 117:21 efforts [1] - 117:14 ego [1] - 4:11 egos [1] - 4:14 eight [1] - 132:2	84:7 enforcement [4] - 22:15, 25:18, 27:14, 147:7 enforcing [1] - 40:20 engineer [8] - 24:5, 30:14, 30:15, 35:7, 48:14, 54:16, 69:5, 75:24 engineer's [2] - 73:23, 152:1 engineering [1] - 24:7 Engineering [1] - 62:15	29:25 eroded [1] - 97:5 especially [6] - 17:23, 95:4, 99:17, 146:7, 151:11, 152:8 essentially [3] - 142:21, 147:2, 151:4 establish [1] - 124:21 established [1] - 114:12 estate [1] - 47:6 estimation [1] - 133:2 et [1] - 146:5 ETHAN [1] - 1:13 Ethan [2] - 2:18, 142:8 evaluation [1] - 26:6	104:11, 121:11, 133:1 extra [1] - 64:8 eyesore [3] - 48:18, 56:5, 99:21 F fabric [6] - 66:12, 72:4, 88:19, 148:5, 150:9, 150:19 face [2] - 79:4, 79:5 facilities [1] - 85:18 fact [3] - 66:18, 76:20,
door [10] - 15:2, 36:14, 46:21, 48:7, 51:24, 65:24, 66:5, 95:23, 99:19, 118:14 Dora [1] - 14:19 dormer [1] - 23:3 down [29] - 4:10, 36:15, 36:16, 36:23, 38:12, 44:2, 46:25, 51:7, 51:10, 51:15, 51:16, 51:17, 58:7, 67:7, 67:9, 67:19, 69:7, 79:22, 89:9, 95:13, 109:17, 109:25, 116:23, 132:15, 147:6, 147:11, 150:8,	$58:9, 59:19, 59:22, \\59:25$ economic [3] - 24:21, 25:9, 119:3 economically [1] - 62:24 Ed [1] - 1:7 effort [5] - 24:4, 68:22, 75:22, 117:19, 117:21 efforts [1] - 117:14 ego [1] - 4:11 egos [1] - 4:14 eight [1] - 132:22 eighth [1] - 132:22	84:7 enforcement [4] - 22:15, 25:18, 27:14, 147:7 enforcing [1] - 40:20 engineer [8] - 24:5, 30:14, 30:15, 35:7, 48:14, 54:16, 69:5, 75:24 engineer's [2] - 73:23, 152:1 engineering [1] - 24:7 Engineering [1] - 62:15 enhance [1] - 45:6	$\begin{array}{c} 29:25\\ \textbf{eroded} [1] - 97:5\\ \textbf{especially} [6] - 17:23,\\ 95:4, 99:17, 146:7,\\ 151:11, 152:8\\ \textbf{essentially} [3] -\\ 142:21, 147:2, 151:4\\ \textbf{establish} [1] - 124:21\\ \textbf{established} [1] -\\ 114:12\\ \textbf{estate} [1] - 47:6\\ \textbf{estimation} [1] - 133:2\\ \textbf{et} [1] - 146:5\\ \textbf{ETHAN} [1] - 1:13\\ \textbf{Ethan} [2] - 2:18, 142:8\\ \textbf{evaluation} [1] - 26:6\\ \textbf{evening} [1] - 125:12\\ \end{array}$	104:11, 121:11, 133:1 extra [1] - 64:8 eyesore [3] - 48:18, 56:5, 99:21 F fabric [6] - 66:12, 72:4, 88:19, 148:5, 150:9, 150:19 face [2] - 79:4, 79:5 facilities [1] - 85:18 fact [3] - 66:18, 76:20, 88:20
door [10] - 15:2, 36:14, 46:21, 48:7, 51:24, 65:24, 66:5, 95:23, 99:19, 118:14 Dora [1] - 14:19 dormer [1] - 23:3 down [29] - 4:10, 36:15, 36:16, 36:23, 38:12, 44:2, 46:25, 51:7, 51:10, 51:15, 51:16, 51:17, 58:7, 67:7, 67:9, 67:19, 69:7, 79:22, 89:9, 95:13, 109:17, 109:25, 116:23, 132:15, 147:6, 147:11, 150:8, 150:17	$58:9, 59:19, 59:22, \\59:25$ economic [3] - 24:21, 25:9, 119:3 economically [1] - 62:24 Ed [1] - 1:7 effort [5] - 24:4, 68:22, 75:22, 117:19, 117:21 efforts [1] - 117:14 ego [1] - 4:11 egos [1] - 4:14 eight [1] - 132:22 eighth [1] - 132:22 eighth [1] - 132:22 either [9] - 36:11, 42:10, 67:11, 72:9, 105:5, 117:15,	84:7 enforcement [4] - 22:15, 25:18, 27:14, 147:7 enforcing [1] - 40:20 engineer [8] - 24:5, 30:14, 30:15, 35:7, 48:14, 54:16, 69:5, 75:24 engineer's [2] - 73:23, 152:1 engineering [1] - 24:7 Engineering [1] - 62:15 enhance [1] - 45:6 enjoy [1] - 112:12	29:25 eroded [1] - 97:5 especially [6] - 17:23, 95:4, 99:17, 146:7, 151:11, 152:8 essentially [3] - 142:21, 147:2, 151:4 establish [1] - 124:21 established [1] - 114:12 estate [1] - 47:6 estimation [1] - 133:2 et [1] - 146:5 ETHAN [1] - 1:13 Ethan [2] - 2:18, 142:8 evaluation [1] - 26:6 evening [1] - 125:12 event [1] - 142:16	104:11, 121:11, 133:1 extra [1] - 64:8 eyesore [3] - 48:18, 56:5, 99:21 F fabric [6] - 66:12, 72:4, 88:19, 148:5, 150:9, 150:19 face [2] - 79:4, 79:5 facilities [1] - 85:18 fact [3] - 66:18, 76:20, 88:20 factors [1] - 65:25
door [10] - 15:2, 36:14, 46:21, 48:7, 51:24, 65:24, 66:5, 95:23, 99:19, 118:14 Dora [1] - 14:19 dormer [1] - 23:3 down [29] - 4:10, 36:15, 36:16, 36:23, 38:12, 44:2, 46:25, 51:7, 51:10, 51:15, 51:16, 51:17, 58:7, 67:7, 67:9, 67:19, 69:7, 79:22, 89:9, 95:13, 109:17, 109:25, 116:23, 132:15, 147:6, 147:11, 150:8, 150:17 drainage [1] - 152:17	$58:9, 59:19, 59:22, \\59:25 \\ economic [3] - 24:21, \\25:9, 119:3 \\ economically [1] - \\62:24 \\ Ed [1] - 1:7 \\ effort [5] - 24:4, 68:22, \\75:22, 117:19, \\117:21 \\ efforts [1] - 117:14 \\ ego [1] - 4:11 \\ egos [1] - 4:14 \\ eight [1] - 132:22 \\ eighth [1] - 132:22 \\ either [9] - 36:11, \\42:10, 67:11, 72:9, \\105:5, 117:15, \\119:16, 121:15, \\ 119:16, 121:15, \\ \end{bmatrix}$	84:7 enforcement [4] - 22:15, 25:18, 27:14, 147:7 enforcing [1] - 40:20 engineer [8] - 24:5, 30:14, 30:15, 35:7, 48:14, 54:16, 69:5, 75:24 engineer's [2] - 73:23, 152:1 engineering [1] - 24:7 Engineering [1] - 62:15 enhance [1] - 45:6 enjoy [1] - 112:12 enjoyed [1] - 4:6	29:25 eroded [1] - 97:5 especially [6] - 17:23, 95:4, 99:17, 146:7, 151:11, 152:8 essentially [3] - 142:21, 147:2, 151:4 establish [1] - 124:21 established [1] - 114:12 estate [1] - 47:6 estimation [1] - 133:2 et [1] - 146:5 ETHAN [1] - 1:13 Ethan [2] - 2:18, 142:8 evaluation [1] - 26:6 evening [1] - 125:12 event [1] - 142:16 evidence [11] - 19:7,	$\begin{array}{c} 104:11,\ 121:11,\\ 133:1\\ \textbf{extra}\ [1]-64:8\\ \textbf{eyesore}\ [3]-48:18,\\ 56:5,\ 99:21\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{fabric}\ [6]-66:12,\\ 72:4,\ 88:19,\ 148:5,\\ 150:9,\ 150:19\\ \textbf{face}\ [2]-79:4,\ 79:5\\ \textbf{facilities}\ [1]-85:18\\ \textbf{fact}\ [3]-66:18,\ 76:20,\\ 88:20\\ \textbf{factors}\ [1]-65:25\\ \textbf{failed}\ [1]-43:19\\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{door} [10] - 15:2, 36:14, \\ 46:21, 48:7, 51:24, \\ 65:24, 66:5, 95:23, \\ 99:19, 118:14 \\ \textbf{Dora} [1] - 14:19 \\ \textbf{dormer} [1] - 23:3 \\ \textbf{down} [29] - 4:10, \\ 36:15, 36:16, 36:23, \\ 38:12, 44:2, 46:25, \\ 51:7, 51:10, 51:15, \\ 51:16, 51:17, 58:7, \\ 67:7, 67:9, 67:19, \\ 69:7, 79:22, 89:9, \\ 95:13, 109:17, \\ 109:25, 116:23, \\ 132:15, 147:6, \\ 147:11, 150:8, \\ 150:17 \\ \textbf{drainage} [1] - 152:17 \\ \textbf{drawings} [2] - 84:3, \\ \end{array}$	$58:9, 59:19, 59:22, \\59:25 \\ economic [3] - 24:21, \\25:9, 119:3 \\ economically [1] - \\62:24 \\ Ed [1] - 1:7 \\ effort [5] - 24:4, 68:22, \\75:22, 117:19, \\117:21 \\ efforts [1] - 117:14 \\ ego [1] - 4:11 \\ egos [1] - 4:14 \\ eight [1] - 132:22 \\ eighth [1] - 132:22 \\ eighth [1] - 132:22 \\ either [9] - 36:11, \\42:10, 67:11, 72:9, \\105:5, 117:15, \\119:16, 121:15, \\147:10 \\ \end{bmatrix}$	84:7 enforcement [4] - 22:15, 25:18, 27:14, 147:7 enforcing [1] - 40:20 engineer [8] - 24:5, 30:14, 30:15, 35:7, 48:14, 54:16, 69:5, 75:24 engineer's [2] - 73:23, 152:1 engineering [1] - 24:7 Engineering [1] - 62:15 enhance [1] - 45:6 enjoy [1] - 112:12 enjoyed [1] - 4:6 enormous [1] - 89:8	29:25 eroded [1] - 97:5 especially [6] - 17:23, 95:4, 99:17, 146:7, 151:11, 152:8 essentially [3] - 142:21, 147:2, 151:4 establish [1] - 124:21 established [1] - 114:12 estate [1] - 47:6 estimation [1] - 133:2 et [1] - 146:5 ETHAN [1] - 1:13 Ethan [2] - 2:18, 142:8 evaluation [1] - 26:6 evening [1] - 125:12 event [1] - 142:16 evidence [11] - 19:7, 19:16, 28:13, 91:14,	$\begin{array}{c} 104:11,\ 121:11,\\ 133:1\\ \textbf{extra}\ [1]-64:8\\ \textbf{eyesore}\ [3]-48:18,\\ 56:5,\ 99:21\\ \hline \\ \hline$
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{door} [10] - 15:2, 36:14, \\ 46:21, 48:7, 51:24, \\ 65:24, 66:5, 95:23, \\ 99:19, 118:14 \\ \textbf{Dora} [1] - 14:19 \\ \textbf{dormer} [1] - 23:3 \\ \textbf{down} [29] - 4:10, \\ 36:15, 36:16, 36:23, \\ 38:12, 44:2, 46:25, \\ 51:7, 51:10, 51:15, \\ 51:16, 51:17, 58:7, \\ 67:7, 67:9, 67:19, \\ 69:7, 79:22, 89:9, \\ 95:13, 109:17, \\ 109:25, 116:23, \\ 132:15, 147:6, \\ 147:11, 150:8, \\ 150:17 \\ \textbf{drainage} [1] - 152:17 \\ \textbf{drawings} [2] - 84:3, \\ 86:25 \end{array}$	$58:9, 59:19, 59:22, \\59:25 \\ economic [3] - 24:21, \\25:9, 119:3 \\ economically [1] - \\62:24 \\ Ed [1] - 1:7 \\ effort [5] - 24:4, 68:22, \\75:22, 117:19, \\117:21 \\ efforts [1] - 117:14 \\ ego [1] - 4:11 \\ egos [1] - 4:14 \\ eight [1] - 132:2 \\ eighth [1] - 132:22 \\ either [9] - 36:11, \\42:10, 67:11, 72:9, \\105:5, 117:15, \\119:16, 121:15, \\147:10 \\ elect [1] - 41:23 \\ \end{bmatrix}$	84:7 enforcement [4] - 22:15, 25:18, 27:14, 147:7 enforcing [1] - 40:20 engineer [8] - 24:5, 30:14, 30:15, 35:7, 48:14, 54:16, 69:5, 75:24 engineer's [2] - 73:23, 152:1 engineering [1] - 24:7 Engineering [1] - 24:7 Engineering [1] - 45:6 enjoy [1] - 112:12 enjoyed [1] - 45:6 enormous [1] - 89:8 entering [1] - 103:25 entertain [8] - 87:22, 101:14, 105:13,	29:25 eroded [1] - 97:5 especially [6] - 17:23, 95:4, 99:17, 146:7, 151:11, 152:8 essentially [3] - 142:21, 147:2, 151:4 establish [1] - 124:21 established [1] - 114:12 estate [1] - 47:6 estimation [1] - 133:2 et [1] - 146:5 ETHAN [1] - 1:13 Ethan [2] - 2:18, 142:8 evaluation [1] - 26:6 evening [1] - 125:12 event [1] - 142:16 evidence [11] - 19:7, 19:16, 28:13, 91:14, 91:19, 92:6, 103:22,	$\begin{array}{c} 104:11,\ 121:11,\\ 133:1\\ \textbf{extra}\ [1]-64:8\\ \textbf{eyesore}\ [3]-48:18,\\ 56:5,\ 99:21\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{F}\\ \hline \\ \textbf{fabric}\ [6]-66:12,\\ 72:4,\ 88:19,\ 148:5,\\ 150:9,\ 150:19\\ \textbf{face}\ [2]-79:4,\ 79:5\\ \textbf{facilities}\ [1]-85:18\\ \textbf{fact}\ [3]-66:18,\ 76:20,\\ 88:20\\ \hline \textbf{factors}\ [1]-65:25\\ \textbf{failed}\ [1]-43:19\\ \textbf{failing}\ [1]-66:10\\ \textbf{fails}\ [1]-45:6\\ \textbf{failure}\ [2]-54:4,\ 71:5\\ \textbf{fair}\ [1]-12:7\\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{door} [10] - 15:2, 36:14, \\ 46:21, 48:7, 51:24, \\ 65:24, 66:5, 95:23, \\ 99:19, 118:14 \\ \textbf{Dora} [1] - 14:19 \\ \textbf{dormer} [1] - 23:3 \\ \textbf{down} [29] - 4:10, \\ 36:15, 36:16, 36:23, \\ 38:12, 44:2, 46:25, \\ 51:7, 51:10, 51:15, \\ 51:16, 51:17, 58:7, \\ 67:7, 67:9, 67:19, \\ 69:7, 79:22, 89:9, \\ 95:13, 109:17, \\ 109:25, 116:23, \\ 132:15, 147:6, \\ 147:11, 150:8, \\ 150:17 \\ \textbf{drainage} [1] - 152:17 \\ \textbf{drawings} [2] - 84:3, \\ 86:25 \\ \textbf{dress} [1] - 86:9 \\ \end{array}$	58:9, 59:19, 59:22, 59:25 economic [3] - 24:21, 25:9, 119:3 economically [1] - 62:24 Ed [1] - 1:7 effort [5] - 24:4, 68:22, 75:22, 117:19, 117:21 efforts [1] - 117:14 ego [1] - 4:11 egos [1] - 4:14 eight [1] - 132:22 eighth [1] - 132:22 eighth [1] - 132:22 either [9] - 36:11, 42:10, 67:11, 72:9, 105:5, 117:15, 119:16, 121:15, 147:10 elect [1] - 41:23 election [1] - 142:7	84:7 enforcement [4] - 22:15, 25:18, 27:14, 147:7 enforcing [1] - 40:20 engineer [8] - 24:5, 30:14, 30:15, 35:7, 48:14, 54:16, 69:5, 75:24 engineer's [2] - 73:23, 152:1 engineering [1] - 24:7 Engineering [1] - 24:7 Engineering [1] - 45:6 enjoy [1] - 112:12 enjoyed [1] - 45:6 enormous [1] - 89:8 entering [1] - 103:25 entertain [8] - 87:22, 101:14, 105:13, 112:24, 113:2,	29:25 eroded [1] - 97:5 especially [6] - 17:23, 95:4, 99:17, 146:7, 151:11, 152:8 essentially [3] - 142:21, 147:2, 151:4 establishe [1] - 114:12 established [1] - 114:12 estate [1] - 47:6 estimation [1] - 133:2 et [1] - 146:5 ETHAN [1] - 1:13 Ethan [2] - 2:18, 142:8 evaluation [1] - 26:6 evening [1] - 125:12 event [1] - 142:16 evidence [11] - 19:7, 19:16, 28:13, 91:14, 91:19, 92:6, 103:22, 122:4, 128:14, 138:5	$104:11, 121:11, \\133:1 \\extra [1] - 64:8 \\eyesore [3] - 48:18, \\56:5, 99:21 \\\hline F \\fabric [6] - 66:12, \\72:4, 88:19, 148:5, \\150:9, 150:19 \\face [2] - 79:4, 79:5 \\facilities [1] - 85:18 \\fact [3] - 66:18, 76:20, \\88:20 \\factors [1] - 65:25 \\failed [1] - 43:19 \\failing [1] - 66:10 \\fails [1] - 45:6 \\failure [2] - 54:4, 71:5 \\fair [1] - 12:7 \\fall [4] - 44:9, 48:21, \\ \end{bmatrix}$
door [10] - 15:2, 36:14, 46:21, 48:7, 51:24, 65:24, 66:5, 95:23, 99:19, 118:14 Dora [1] - 14:19 dormer [1] - 23:3 down [29] - 4:10, 36:15, 36:16, 36:23, 38:12, 44:2, 46:25, 51:7, 51:10, 51:15, 51:16, 51:17, 58:7, 67:7, 67:9, 67:19, 69:7, 79:22, 89:9, 95:13, 109:17, 109:25, 116:23, 132:15, 147:6, 147:11, 150:8, 150:17 drainage [1] - 152:17 drawings [2] - 84:3, 86:25 dress [1] - 86:9 drive [2] - 149:12,	58:9, 59:19, 59:22, 59:25 economic [3] - 24:21, 25:9, 119:3 economically [1] - 62:24 Ed [1] - 1:7 effort [5] - 24:4, 68:22, 75:22, 117:19, 117:21 efforts [1] - 117:14 ego [1] - 4:11 egos [1] - 4:14 eight [1] - 132:22 eighth [1] - 132:22 eighth [1] - 132:22 either [9] - 36:11, 42:10, 67:11, 72:9, 105:5, 117:15, 119:16, 121:15, 147:10 elect [1] - 41:23 election [1] - 142:7 elections [2] - 139:10,	84:7 enforcement [4] - 22:15, 25:18, 27:14, 147:7 enforcing [1] - 40:20 engineer [8] - 24:5, 30:14, 30:15, 35:7, 48:14, 54:16, 69:5, 75:24 engineer's [2] - 73:23, 152:1 engineering [1] - 24:7 Engineering [1] - 24:7 Engineering [1] - 45:6 enjoy [1] - 112:12 enjoyed [1] - 4:6 enormous [1] - 89:8 entering [1] - 103:25 entertain [8] - 87:22, 101:14, 105:13, 112:24, 113:2, 134:16, 136:14,	29:25 eroded [1] - 97:5 especially [6] - 17:23, 95:4, 99:17, 146:7, 151:11, 152:8 essentially [3] - 142:21, 147:2, 151:4 establishe [1] - 114:12 established [1] - 114:12 estate [1] - 47:6 estimation [1] - 133:2 et [1] - 146:5 ETHAN [1] - 1:13 Ethan [2] - 2:18, 142:8 evaluation [1] - 26:6 evening [1] - 125:12 event [1] - 142:16 evidence [11] - 19:7, 19:16, 28:13, 91:14, 91:19, 92:6, 103:22, 122:4, 128:14, 138:5 evident [1] - 127:17	$\begin{array}{c} 104:11,\ 121:11,\\ 133:1\\ \textbf{extra}\ [1]-64:8\\ \textbf{eyesore}\ [3]-48:18,\\ 56:5,\ 99:21\\ \hline \\ \hline$
door [10] - 15:2, 36:14, 46:21, 48:7, 51:24, 65:24, 66:5, 95:23, 99:19, 118:14 Dora [1] - 14:19 dormer [1] - 23:3 down [29] - 4:10, 36:15, 36:16, 36:23, 38:12, 44:2, 46:25, 51:7, 51:10, 51:15, 51:16, 51:17, 58:7, 67:7, 67:9, 67:19, 69:7, 79:22, 89:9, 95:13, 109:17, 109:25, 116:23, 132:15, 147:6, 147:11, 150:8, 150:17 drainage [1] - 152:17 drawings [2] - 84:3, 86:25 dress [1] - 86:9 drive [2] - 149:12, 151:13	58:9, 59:19, 59:22, 59:25 economic [3] - 24:21, 25:9, 119:3 economically [1] - 62:24 Ed [1] - 1:7 effort [5] - 24:4, 68:22, 75:22, 117:19, 117:21 efforts [1] - 117:14 ego [1] - 4:11 egos [1] - 4:14 eight [1] - 132:22 eighth [1] - 132:23 eighth [1] - 132:23 eighth [1] - 132:24 eighth [1] - 132:25 eighth [1] - 132:25 eighth [1] - 132:25 eighth [1] - 132:26 eighth [1] - 132:26 eighth [1] - 132:26 eighth [1] - 132:26 eighth [1] - 132:27 eighth [1] - 132:27 eighth [1] - 132:27 eighth	84:7 enforcement [4] - 22:15, 25:18, 27:14, 147:7 enforcing [1] - 40:20 engineer [8] - 24:5, 30:14, 30:15, 35:7, 48:14, 54:16, 69:5, 75:24 engineer's [2] - 73:23, 152:1 engineering [1] - 24:7 Engineering [1] - 24:7 Engineering [1] - 45:6 enjoy [1] - 112:12 enjoyed [1] - 4:6 enormous [1] - 89:8 entering [1] - 103:25 entertain [8] - 87:22, 101:14, 105:13, 112:24, 113:2, 134:16, 136:14, 141:13	$\begin{array}{l} 29:25\\ \textbf{eroded} [1] - 97:5\\ \textbf{especially} [6] - 17:23,\\ 95:4, 99:17, 146:7,\\ 151:11, 152:8\\ \textbf{essentially} [3] -\\ 142:21, 147:2, 151:4\\ \textbf{establish} [1] - 124:21\\ \textbf{established} [1] -\\ 114:12\\ \textbf{estate} [1] - 47:6\\ \textbf{estimation} [1] - 133:2\\ \textbf{et} [1] - 146:5\\ \textbf{ETHAN} [1] - 1:13\\ \textbf{Ethan} [2] - 2:18, 142:8\\ \textbf{evaluation} [1] - 26:6\\ \textbf{evening} [1] - 125:12\\ \textbf{evidence} [11] - 19:7,\\ 19:16, 28:13, 91:14,\\ 91:19, 92:6, 103:22,\\ 122:4, 128:14, 138:5\\ \textbf{evident} [1] - 127:17\\ \textbf{exact} [4] - 18:6, 48:1,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 104:11,\ 121:11,\\ 133:1\\ \textbf{extra}\ [1]\ -\ 64:8\\ \textbf{eyesore}\ [3]\ -\ 48:18,\\ 56:5,\ 99:21\\ \hline \\ \hline$
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{door} [10] - 15:2, 36:14, \\ 46:21, 48:7, 51:24, \\ 65:24, 66:5, 95:23, \\ 99:19, 118:14 \\ \textbf{Dora} [1] - 14:19 \\ \textbf{dormer} [1] - 23:3 \\ \textbf{down} [29] - 4:10, \\ 36:15, 36:16, 36:23, \\ 38:12, 44:2, 46:25, \\ 51:7, 51:10, 51:15, \\ 51:16, 51:17, 58:7, \\ 67:7, 67:9, 67:19, \\ 69:7, 79:22, 89:9, \\ 95:13, 109:17, \\ 109:25, 116:23, \\ 132:15, 147:6, \\ 147:11, 150:8, \\ 150:17 \\ \textbf{drainage} [1] - 152:17 \\ \textbf{drawings} [2] - 84:3, \\ 86:25 \\ \textbf{dress} [1] - 86:9 \\ \textbf{drive} [2] - 149:12, \\ 151:13 \\ \textbf{driveway} [12] - 44:21, \\ \end{array}$	58:9, 59:19, 59:22, 59:25 economic [3] - 24:21, 25:9, 119:3 economically [1] - 62:24 Ed [1] - 1:7 effort [5] - 24:4, 68:22, 75:22, 117:19, 117:21 efforts [1] - 117:14 ego [1] - 4:11 egos [1] - 4:14 eight [1] - 132:22 eighth [1] - 132:22 either [9] - 36:11, 42:10, 67:11, 72:9, 105:5, 117:15, 119:16, 121:15, 147:10 elect [1] - 41:23 election [1] - 142:7 elections [2] - 139:10, 140:13 electrical [2] - 24:25,	84:7 enforcement [4] - 22:15, 25:18, 27:14, 147:7 enforcing [1] - 40:20 engineer [8] - 24:5, 30:14, 30:15, 35:7, 48:14, 54:16, 69:5, 75:24 engineer's [2] - 73:23, 152:1 engineering [1] - 24:7 Engineering [1] - 24:7 Engineering [1] - 45:6 enjoy [1] - 112:12 enjoyed [1] - 45:6 enormous [1] - 89:8 entering [1] - 103:25 entertain [8] - 87:22, 101:14, 105:13, 112:24, 113:2, 134:16, 136:14, 141:13 entertaining [2] - 7:5,	29:25 eroded [1] - 97:5 especially [6] - 17:23, 95:4, 99:17, 146:7, 151:11, 152:8 essentially [3] - 142:21, 147:2, 151:4 establish [1] - 124:21 established [1] - 114:12 estate [1] - 47:6 estimation [1] - 133:2 et [1] - 146:5 ETHAN [1] - 1:13 Ethan [2] - 2:18, 142:8 evaluation [1] - 26:6 evening [1] - 125:12 event [1] - 142:16 evidence [11] - 19:7, 19:16, 28:13, 91:14, 91:19, 92:6, 103:22, 122:4, 128:14, 138:5 evident [1] - 127:17 exact [4] - 18:6, 48:1, 133:20, 149:25	$\begin{array}{c} 104:11,\ 121:11,\\ 133:1\\ \textbf{extra}\ [1]\ -\ 64:8\\ \textbf{eyesore}\ [3]\ -\ 48:18,\\ 56:5,\ 99:21\\ \hline \\ \hline$
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{door} [10] - 15:2, 36:14, \\ 46:21, 48:7, 51:24, \\ 65:24, 66:5, 95:23, \\ 99:19, 118:14 \\ \textbf{Dora} [1] - 14:19 \\ \textbf{dormer} [1] - 23:3 \\ \textbf{down} [29] - 4:10, \\ 36:15, 36:16, 36:23, \\ 38:12, 44:2, 46:25, \\ 51:7, 51:10, 51:15, \\ 51:16, 51:17, 58:7, \\ 67:7, 67:9, 67:19, \\ 69:7, 79:22, 89:9, \\ 95:13, 109:17, \\ 109:25, 116:23, \\ 132:15, 147:6, \\ 147:11, 150:8, \\ 150:17 \\ \textbf{drainage} [1] - 152:17 \\ \textbf{drawings} [2] - 84:3, \\ 86:25 \\ \textbf{dress} [1] - 86:9 \\ \textbf{drive} [2] - 149:12, \\ 151:13 \\ \textbf{driveway} [12] - 44:21, \\ 44:23, 91:11, 91:22, \\ \end{array}$	58:9, 59:19, 59:22, 59:25 economic [3] - 24:21, 25:9, 119:3 economically [1] - 62:24 Ed [1] - 1:7 effort [5] - 24:4, 68:22, 75:22, 117:19, 117:21 efforts [1] - 117:14 ego [1] - 4:11 egos [1] - 4:14 eight [1] - 132:22 eighth [1] - 132:22 either [9] - 36:11, 42:10, 67:11, 72:9, 105:5, 117:15, 119:16, 121:15, 147:10 elect [1] - 41:23 election [1] - 142:7 elections [2] - 139:10, 140:13 electrical [2] - 24:25, 82:11	84:7 enforcement [4] - 22:15, 25:18, 27:14, 147:7 enforcing [1] - 40:20 engineer [8] - 24:5, 30:14, 30:15, 35:7, 48:14, 54:16, 69:5, 75:24 engineer's [2] - 73:23, 152:1 engineering [1] - 24:7 Engineering [1] - 45:6 enjoy [1] - 112:12 enjoyed [1] - 45:6 enormous [1] - 89:8 entering [1] - 103:25 entertain [8] - 87:22, 101:14, 105:13, 112:24, 113:2, 134:16, 136:14, 141:13 entertaining [2] - 7:5, 145:17	29:25 eroded [1] - 97:5 especially [6] - 17:23, 95:4, 99:17, 146:7, 151:11, 152:8 essentially [3] - 142:21, 147:2, 151:4 establish [1] - 124:21 established [1] - 114:12 estate [1] - 47:6 estimation [1] - 133:2 et [1] - 146:5 ETHAN [1] - 1:13 Ethan [2] - 2:18, 142:8 evaluation [1] - 26:6 evening [1] - 125:12 event [1] - 142:16 evidence [11] - 19:7, 19:16, 28:13, 91:14, 91:19, 92:6, 103:22, 122:4, 128:14, 138:5 evident [1] - 127:17 exact [4] - 18:6, 48:1, 133:20, 149:25 exactly [3] - 122:17,	$104:11, 121:11, \\133:1 \\extra [1] - 64:8 \\eyesore [3] - 48:18, \\56:5, 99:21 \\\hline F \\fabric [6] - 66:12, \\72:4, 88:19, 148:5, \\150:9, 150:19 \\face [2] - 79:4, 79:5 \\facilities [1] - 85:18 \\fact [3] - 66:18, 76:20, \\88:20 \\factors [1] - 65:25 \\failed [1] - 43:19 \\fails [1] - 45:6 \\failure [2] - 54:4, 71:5 \\fail [4] - 44:9, 48:21, \\69:7, 145:7 \\fallen [1] - 78:18 \\falling [4] - 46:25, \\78:12, 95:5, 103:23 \\\\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{door} [10] - 15:2, 36:14, \\ 46:21, 48:7, 51:24, \\ 65:24, 66:5, 95:23, \\ 99:19, 118:14 \\ \textbf{Dora} [1] - 14:19 \\ \textbf{dormer} [1] - 23:3 \\ \textbf{down} [29] - 4:10, \\ 36:15, 36:16, 36:23, \\ 38:12, 44:2, 46:25, \\ 51:7, 51:10, 51:15, \\ 51:16, 51:17, 58:7, \\ 67:7, 67:9, 67:19, \\ 69:7, 79:22, 89:9, \\ 95:13, 109:17, \\ 109:25, 116:23, \\ 132:15, 147:6, \\ 147:11, 150:8, \\ 150:17 \\ \textbf{drawings} [2] - 84:3, \\ 86:25 \\ \textbf{dress} [1] - 86:9 \\ \textbf{drive} [2] - 149:12, \\ 151:13 \\ \textbf{driveway} [12] - 44:21, \\ 44:23, 91:11, 91:22, \\ 91:23, 94:23, 95:1, \\ \end{array}$	58:9, 59:19, 59:22, 59:25 economic [3] - 24:21, 25:9, 119:3 economically [1] - 62:24 Ed [1] - 1:7 effort [5] - 24:4, 68:22, 75:22, 117:19, 117:21 efforts [1] - 117:14 ego [1] - 4:11 egos [1] - 4:14 eight [1] - 132:22 eighth [1] - 132:22 either [9] - 36:11, 42:10, 67:11, 72:9, 105:5, 117:15, 119:16, 121:15, 147:10 elect [1] - 41:23 election [1] - 142:7 elections [2] - 139:10, 140:13 electrical [2] - 24:25, 82:11 element [1] - 96:3	84:7 enforcement [4] - 22:15, 25:18, 27:14, 147:7 enforcing [1] - 40:20 engineer [8] - 24:5, 30:14, 30:15, 35:7, 48:14, 54:16, 69:5, 75:24 engineer's [2] - 73:23, 152:1 engineering [1] - 24:7 Engineering [1] - 42:7 Engineering [1] - 45:6 enjoy [1] - 112:12 enjoyed [1] - 45:6 enormous [1] - 89:8 entering [1] - 103:25 entertain [8] - 87:22, 101:14, 105:13, 112:24, 113:2, 134:16, 136:14, 141:13 entertaining [2] - 7:5, 145:17 entire [2] - 5:20, 59:7	29:25 eroded [1] - 97:5 especially [6] - 17:23, 95:4, 99:17, 146:7, 151:11, 152:8 essentially [3] - 142:21, 147:2, 151:4 establish [1] - 124:21 established [1] - 114:12 estate [1] - 47:6 estimation [1] - 133:2 et [1] - 146:5 ETHAN [1] - 1:13 Ethan [2] - 2:18, 142:8 evaluation [1] - 26:6 evening [1] - 125:12 event [1] - 142:16 evidence [11] - 19:7, 19:16, 28:13, 91:14, 91:19, 92:6, 103:22, 122:4, 128:14, 138:5 evident [1] - 127:17 exact [4] - 18:6, 48:1, 133:20, 149:25	$\begin{array}{c} 104:11,\ 121:11,\\ 133:1\\ \textbf{extra}\ [1]\ -\ 64:8\\ \textbf{eyesore}\ [3]\ -\ 48:18,\\ 56:5,\ 99:21\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{fabric}\ [6]\ -\ 66:12,\\ 72:4,\ 88:19,\ 148:5,\\ 150:9,\ 150:19\\ \textbf{face}\ [2]\ -\ 79:4,\ 79:5\\ \textbf{facilities}\ [1]\ -\ 85:18\\ \textbf{fact}\ [3]\ -\ 66:18,\ 76:20,\\ 88:20\\ \textbf{factors}\ [1]\ -\ 65:25\\ \textbf{failed}\ [1]\ -\ 43:19\\ \textbf{failing}\ [1]\ -\ 66:10\\ \textbf{fails}\ [1]\ -\ 45:6\\ \textbf{failure}\ [2]\ -\ 54:4,\ 71:5\\ \textbf{fair}\ [1]\ -\ 12:7\\ \textbf{fail}\ [4]\ -\ 44:9,\ 48:21,\\ 69:7,\ 145:7\\ \textbf{failen}\ [1]\ -\ 78:18\\ \textbf{falling}\ [4]\ -\ 46:25,\\ 78:12,\ 95:5,\ 103:23\\ \textbf{fails}\ [1]\ -\ 68:17\\ \hline \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{door} [10] - 15:2, 36:14, \\ 46:21, 48:7, 51:24, \\ 65:24, 66:5, 95:23, \\ 99:19, 118:14 \\ \textbf{Dora} [1] - 14:19 \\ \textbf{dormer} [1] - 23:3 \\ \textbf{down} [29] - 4:10, \\ 36:15, 36:16, 36:23, \\ 38:12, 44:2, 46:25, \\ 51:7, 51:10, 51:15, \\ 51:16, 51:17, 58:7, \\ 67:7, 67:9, 67:19, \\ 69:7, 79:22, 89:9, \\ 95:13, 109:17, \\ 109:25, 116:23, \\ 132:15, 147:6, \\ 147:11, 150:8, \\ 150:17 \\ \textbf{drainage} [1] - 152:17 \\ \textbf{drawings} [2] - 84:3, \\ 86:25 \\ \textbf{dress} [1] - 86:9 \\ \textbf{drive} [2] - 149:12, \\ 151:13 \\ \textbf{driveway} [12] - 44:21, \\ 44:23, 91:11, 91:22, \\ \end{array}$	58:9, 59:19, 59:22, 59:25 economic [3] - 24:21, 25:9, 119:3 economically [1] - 62:24 Ed [1] - 1:7 effort [5] - 24:4, 68:22, 75:22, 117:19, 117:21 efforts [1] - 117:14 ego [1] - 4:11 egos [1] - 4:14 eight [1] - 132:22 eighth [1] - 132:22 either [9] - 36:11, 42:10, 67:11, 72:9, 105:5, 117:15, 119:16, 121:15, 147:10 elect [1] - 41:23 election [1] - 142:7 elections [2] - 139:10, 140:13 electrical [2] - 24:25, 82:11	84:7 enforcement [4] - 22:15, 25:18, 27:14, 147:7 enforcing [1] - 40:20 engineer [8] - 24:5, 30:14, 30:15, 35:7, 48:14, 54:16, 69:5, 75:24 engineer's [2] - 73:23, 152:1 engineering [1] - 24:7 Engineering [1] - 45:6 enjoy [1] - 112:12 enjoyed [1] - 45:6 enormous [1] - 89:8 entering [1] - 103:25 entertain [8] - 87:22, 101:14, 105:13, 112:24, 113:2, 134:16, 136:14, 141:13 entertaining [2] - 7:5, 145:17	29:25 eroded [1] - 97:5 especially [6] - 17:23, 95:4, 99:17, 146:7, 151:11, 152:8 essentially [3] - 142:21, 147:2, 151:4 establish [1] - 124:21 established [1] - 114:12 estate [1] - 47:6 estimation [1] - 133:2 et [1] - 146:5 ETHAN [1] - 1:13 Ethan [2] - 2:18, 142:8 evaluation [1] - 26:6 evening [1] - 125:12 event [1] - 142:16 evidence [11] - 19:7, 19:16, 28:13, 91:14, 91:19, 92:6, 103:22, 122:4, 128:14, 138:5 evident [1] - 127:17 exact [4] - 18:6, 48:1, 133:20, 149:25 exactly [3] - 122:17, 142:13, 149:24	$104:11, 121:11, \\133:1 \\extra [1] - 64:8 \\eyesore [3] - 48:18, \\56:5, 99:21 \\\hline F \\fabric [6] - 66:12, \\72:4, 88:19, 148:5, \\150:9, 150:19 \\face [2] - 79:4, 79:5 \\facilities [1] - 85:18 \\fact [3] - 66:18, 76:20, \\88:20 \\factors [1] - 65:25 \\failed [1] - 43:19 \\fails [1] - 45:6 \\failure [2] - 54:4, 71:5 \\fail [4] - 44:9, 48:21, \\69:7, 145:7 \\fallen [1] - 78:18 \\falling [4] - 46:25, \\78:12, 95:5, 103:23 \\\\ \end{array}$

43:17, 99:11, 109:21	153:25	four [5] - 4:8, 31:21,	Glober [2] - 2:14,	108:4, 115:10
family [4] - 58:12,	fit [1] - 114:12	58:23, 59:6, 129:23	116:8	
58:19, 148:6, 151:3	five [3] - 8:23, 98:25,	FPR [1] - 1:9	GLOBER [19] - 1:15,	ground [3] - 34:21, 36:13, 82:14
family's [1] - 48:12	106:5	frame [1] - 92:18	2:14, 5:4, 32:4,	Groundwork [1] -
far [7] - 12:24, 35:5,	five-minute [1] - 106:5	framing [2] - 76:11,	32:10, 65:6, 70:5,	86:14
38:7, 67:22, 68:7,	fix [2] - 83:4, 86:19	132:23	89:19, 103:7,	guess [8] - 31:22,
93:11, 138:12	fixed [2] - 99:20,	frankly [2] - 71:20,	103:14, 116:3,	63:18, 78:4, 83:9,
fast [1] - 153:3	107:21	103:18	123:4, 124:10,	100:18, 104:20,
favor [12] - 9:17,	fixing [1] - 99:22	free [1] - 9:22	134:17, 135:3,	105:6, 149:19
21:10, 57:9, 72:14,	-		139:16, 139:19,	guessing [1] - 114:11
	flat [1] - 15:2	Friday [1] - 91:16	141:18, 147:5	guideline [2] - 1122:18,
90:13, 105:8, 105:18, 124:1,	flattered [1] - 142:22	friendly [2] - 148:24,	Google [1] - 24:16	135:17
124:11, 139:4,	flood [10] - 74:1, 74:2,	149:13	government [1] -	guidelines [9] - 12:15,
140:9, 143:14	74:3, 75:7, 75:8,	friends [2] - 5:5,	71:25	17:21, 18:2, 20:9,
	75:9, 76:18, 89:2,	153:18	grade [1] - 36:7	
feasible [4] - 23:18,	89:5, 152:12	front [17] - 3:12, 5:23,	_	21:2, 23:4, 23:16, 75:16, 115:5
23:24, 76:12, 76:17	flooded [2] - 34:23,	36:14, 56:8, 69:19,	graduated [1] - 154:1 Grand [1] - 144:10	75:16, 115:5
features [1] - 12:2	36:23	95:23, 97:4, 99:8, 00:18, 00:10, 127:1	Grand [1] - 144:10 Grant [1] - 129:5	gutters [1] - 99:7
feedback [2] - 145:15,	flooding [7] - 69:16,	99:18, 99:19, 127:1,		guy [1] - 82:23
146:7	74:7, 75:15, 86:17,	127:8, 127:10,	GRANT [6] - 129:9,	guys [2] - 4:16, 32:17
feet [3] - 34:24, 48:4,	86:20, 89:14, 152:10	131:22, 133:16,	129:14, 129:16,	
87:4	floodplain [5] - 74:3,	146:16, 147:1	132:4, 133:12,	Н
fellow [2] - 70:7, 90:1	75:9, 86:23, 88:20,	full [3] - 36:22, 62:14,	134:22	habitable or 00:16
fenced [2] - 45:2,	89:1	147:9	granted [1] - 32:7	habitable [3] - 22:16, 23:21, 76:7
77:25	floods [1] - 80:16	fully [2] - 80:22, 143:5	grass [1] - 147:11	<i>'</i>
fences [1] - 44:2	floor [6] - 34:21,	functional [1] - 82:19	great [4] - 5:7, 72:1,	half [1] - 22:4
few [3] - 51:22, 96:25,	36:14, 36:15, 51:4,	funds [1] - 63:17	125:15, 153:6	halt [1] - 28:18
126:21	51:6	fungal [1] - 92:11	greater [3] - 31:25,	Hamilton [1] - 13:24
Figueroa [1] - 3:3	FLORIDA [1] - 155:3	furthermore [1] -	88:10, 89:17	hand [18] - 8:12,
FIGUEROA [2] - 1:18,	Florida [9] - 1:9, 1:10,	23:10	greenspace [1] - 85:2	13:25, 14:9, 30:4,
3:3	22:23, 46:7, 50:6,	future [2] - 67:13,	Gregory [9] - 2:18,	33:7, 43:5, 46:9,
File [1] - 22:24	77:9, 129:6, 155:7,	67:16	13:2, 93:10, 101:22,	50:8, 53:13, 55:14,
file [1] - 114:22	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18	67:16	13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1,	60:16, 62:5, 77:11,
file [1] - 114:22 filed [4] - 24:23, 25:1,	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18 folks [2] - 56:11, 78:22		13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1, 142:4, 142:8, 147:19	60:16, 62:5, 77:11, 94:14, 98:16,
file [1] - 114:22 filed [4] - 24:23, 25:1, 74:12, 144:14	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18 folks [2] - 56:11, 78:22 follow [3] - 36:24,	67:16 G	13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1, 142:4, 142:8, 147:19 GREGORY [50] - 1:13,	60:16, 62:5, 77:11, 94:14, 98:16, 108:21, 120:18,
file [1] - 114:22 filed [4] - 24:23, 25:1, 74:12, 144:14 fill [4] - 42:10, 57:21,	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18 folks [2] - 56:11, 78:22 follow [3] - 36:24, 90:21, 119:12	67:16 G gabled [1] - 126:18	13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1, 142:4, 142:8, 147:19 GREGORY [50] - 1:13, 2:18, 4:22, 9:14,	60:16, 62:5, 77:11, 94:14, 98:16, 108:21, 120:18, 129:8
file [1] - 114:22 filed [4] - 24:23, 25:1, 74:12, 144:14 fill [4] - 42:10, 57:21, 60:4, 60:7	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18 folks [2] - 56:11, 78:22 follow [3] - 36:24, 90:21, 119:12 follow-up [1] - 36:24	67:16 G gabled [1] - 126:18 gaining [1] - 128:7	13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1, 142:4, 142:8, 147:19 GREGORY [50] - 1:13, 2:18, 4:22, 9:14, 12:21, 17:9, 18:20,	60:16, 62:5, 77:11, 94:14, 98:16, 108:21, 120:18, 129:8 hands [1] - 54:25
file [1] - 114:22 filed [4] - 24:23, 25:1, 74:12, 144:14 fill [4] - 42:10, 57:21,	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18 folks [2] - 56:11, 78:22 follow [3] - 36:24, 90:21, 119:12	67:16 G gabled [1] - 126:18 gaining [1] - 128:7 gaping [1] - 44:7	13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1, 142:4, 142:8, 147:19 GREGORY [50] - 1:13, 2:18, 4:22, 9:14, 12:21, 17:9, 18:20, 31:2, 32:13, 35:12,	60:16, 62:5, 77:11, 94:14, 98:16, 108:21, 120:18, 129:8 hands [1] - 54:25 handwriting [1] - 55:8
file [1] - 114:22 filed [4] - 24:23, 25:1, 74:12, 144:14 fill [4] - 42:10, 57:21, 60:4, 60:7 filled [2] - 5:22, 60:2 filling [1] - 96:7	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18 folks [2] - 56:11, 78:22 follow [3] - 36:24, 90:21, 119:12 follow-up [1] - 36:24 followed [1] - 118:12 following [1] - 119:11	67:16 G gabled [1] - 126:18 gaining [1] - 128:7 gaping [1] - 44:7 gates [1] - 44:1	13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1, 142:4, 142:8, 147:19 GREGORY [50] - 1:13, 2:18, 4:22, 9:14, 12:21, 17:9, 18:20, 31:2, 32:13, 35:12, 36:24, 37:10, 65:3,	60:16, 62:5, 77:11, 94:14, 98:16, 108:21, 120:18, 129:8 hands [1] - 54:25 handwriting [1] - 55:8 haphazardly [1] - 99:3
file [1] - 114:22 filed [4] - 24:23, 25:1, 74:12, 144:14 fill [4] - 42:10, 57:21, 60:4, 60:7 filled [2] - 5:22, 60:2 filling [1] - 96:7 final [2] - 137:20,	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18 folks [2] - 56:11, 78:22 follow [3] - 36:24, 90:21, 119:12 follow-up [1] - 36:24 followed [1] - 118:12 following [1] - 119:11 follows [1] - 127:7	67:16 G gabled [1] - 126:18 gaining [1] - 128:7 gaping [1] - 44:7 gates [1] - 44:1 General [3] - 1:19,	13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1, 142:4, 142:8, 147:19 GREGORY [50] - 1:13, 2:18, 4:22, 9:14, 12:21, 17:9, 18:20, 31:2, 32:13, 35:12, 36:24, 37:10, 65:3, 66:24, 89:11, 93:5,	60:16, 62:5, 77:11, 94:14, 98:16, 108:21, 120:18, 129:8 hands [1] - 54:25 handwriting [1] - 55:8 haphazardly [1] - 99:3 happy [3] - 67:18,
file [1] - 114:22 filed [4] - 24:23, 25:1, 74:12, 144:14 fill [4] - 42:10, 57:21, 60:4, 60:7 filled [2] - 5:22, 60:2 filling [1] - 96:7	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18 folks [2] - 56:11, 78:22 follow [3] - 36:24, 90:21, 119:12 follow-up [1] - 36:24 followed [1] - 118:12 following [1] - 119:11	67:16 G gabled [1] - 126:18 gaining [1] - 128:7 gaping [1] - 44:7 gates [1] - 44:1 General [3] - 1:19, 2:25, 137:1	13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1, 142:4, 142:8, 147:19 GREGORY [50] - 1:13, 2:18, 4:22, 9:14, 12:21, 17:9, 18:20, 31:2, 32:13, 35:12, 36:24, 37:10, 65:3, 66:24, 89:11, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15,	60:16, 62:5, 77:11, 94:14, 98:16, 108:21, 120:18, 129:8 hands [1] - 54:25 handwriting [1] - 55:8 haphazardly [1] - 99:3 happy [3] - 67:18, 92:24, 143:4
file [1] - 114:22 filed [4] - 24:23, 25:1, 74:12, 144:14 fill [4] - 42:10, 57:21, 60:4, 60:7 filled [2] - 5:22, 60:2 filling [1] - 96:7 final [2] - 137:20,	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18 folks [2] - 56:11, 78:22 follow [3] - 36:24, 90:21, 119:12 follow-up [1] - 36:24 followed [1] - 118:12 following [1] - 119:11 follows [1] - 127:7 food [1] - 85:19 foot [1] - 149:17	67:16 G gabled [1] - 126:18 gaining [1] - 128:7 gaping [1] - 44:7 gates [1] - 44:1 General [3] - 1:19, 2:25, 137:1 general [1] - 31:3	13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1, 142:4, 142:8, 147:19 GREGORY [50] - 1:13, 2:18, 4:22, 9:14, 12:21, 17:9, 18:20, 31:2, 32:13, 35:12, 36:24, 37:10, 65:3, 66:24, 89:11, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3,	60:16, 62:5, 77:11, 94:14, 98:16, 108:21, 120:18, 129:8 hands [1] - 54:25 handwriting [1] - 55:8 haphazardly [1] - 99:3 happy [3] - 67:18, 92:24, 143:4 hard [4] - 39:15, 66:6,
$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{file} [1] - 114:22 \\ \textbf{filed} [4] - 24:23, 25:1, \\ 74:12, 144:14 \\ \textbf{fill} [4] - 42:10, 57:21, \\ 60:4, 60:7 \\ \textbf{filled} [2] - 5:22, 60:2 \\ \textbf{filling} [1] - 96:7 \\ \textbf{final} [2] - 137:20, \\ 144:19 \end{array}$	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18 folks [2] - 56:11, 78:22 follow [3] - 36:24, 90:21, 119:12 follow-up [1] - 36:24 followed [1] - 118:12 following [1] - 119:11 follows [1] - 127:7 food [1] - 85:19 foot [1] - 149:17 foreclosure [10] -	67:16 G gabled [1] - 126:18 gaining [1] - 128:7 gaping [1] - 44:7 gates [1] - 44:1 General [3] - 1:19, 2:25, 137:1 general [1] - 31:3 gentleman [1] -	13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1, 142:4, 142:8, 147:19 GREGORY [50] - 1:13, 2:18, 4:22, 9:14, 12:21, 17:9, 18:20, 31:2, 32:13, 35:12, 36:24, 37:10, 65:3, 66:24, 89:11, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3, 102:8, 102:22,	60:16, 62:5, 77:11, 94:14, 98:16, 108:21, 120:18, 129:8 hands [1] - 54:25 handwriting [1] - 55:8 haphazardly [1] - 99:3 happy [3] - 67:18, 92:24, 143:4 hard [4] - 39:15, 66:6, 69:21, 149:19
$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{file} [1] - 114:22 \\ \textbf{filed} [4] - 24:23, 25:1, \\ 74:12, 144:14 \\ \textbf{fill} [4] - 42:10, 57:21, \\ 60:4, 60:7 \\ \textbf{filled} [2] - 5:22, 60:2 \\ \textbf{filling} [1] - 96:7 \\ \textbf{final} [2] - 137:20, \\ 144:19 \\ \textbf{findings} [2] - 19:7, \end{array}$	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18 folks [2] - 56:11, 78:22 follow [3] - 36:24, 90:21, 119:12 follow-up [1] - 36:24 followed [1] - 118:12 following [1] - 119:11 follows [1] - 127:7 food [1] - 85:19 foot [1] - 149:17	67:16 G gabled [1] - 126:18 gaining [1] - 128:7 gaping [1] - 44:7 gates [1] - 44:1 General [3] - 1:19, 2:25, 137:1 general [1] - 31:3 gentleman [1] - 109:20	13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1, 142:4, 142:8, 147:19 GREGORY [50] - 1:13, 2:18, 4:22, 9:14, 12:21, 17:9, 18:20, 31:2, 32:13, 35:12, 36:24, 37:10, 65:3, 66:24, 89:11, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3, 102:8, 102:22, 104:6, 104:16,	60:16, 62:5, 77:11, 94:14, 98:16, 108:21, 120:18, 129:8 hands [1] - 54:25 handwriting [1] - 55:8 haphazardly [1] - 99:3 happy [3] - 67:18, 92:24, 143:4 hard [4] - 39:15, 66:6, 69:21, 149:19 hardly [1] - 95:17
$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{file} [1] - 114:22 \\ \textbf{filed} [4] - 24:23, 25:1, \\ 74:12, 144:14 \\ \textbf{fill} [4] - 42:10, 57:21, \\ 60:4, 60:7 \\ \textbf{filled} [2] - 5:22, 60:2 \\ \textbf{filling} [1] - 96:7 \\ \textbf{final} [2] - 137:20, \\ 144:19 \\ \textbf{findings} [2] - 19:7, \\ 23:24 \end{array}$	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18 folks [2] - 56:11, 78:22 follow [3] - 36:24, 90:21, 119:12 follow-up [1] - 36:24 followed [1] - 118:12 following [1] - 119:11 follows [1] - 127:7 food [1] - 85:19 foot [1] - 149:17 foreclosure [10] -	67:16 G gabled [1] - 126:18 gaining [1] - 128:7 gaping [1] - 44:7 gates [1] - 44:1 General [3] - 1:19, 2:25, 137:1 general [1] - 31:3 gentleman [1] - 109:20 gentlemen [5] - 35:18,	13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1, 142:4, 142:8, 147:19 GREGORY [50] - 1:13, 2:18, 4:22, 9:14, 12:21, 17:9, 18:20, 31:2, 32:13, 35:12, 36:24, 37:10, 65:3, 66:24, 89:11, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3, 102:8, 102:22, 104:6, 104:16, 104:24, 105:15,	60:16, 62:5, 77:11, 94:14, 98:16, 108:21, 120:18, 129:8 hands [1] - 54:25 handwriting [1] - 55:8 haphazardly [1] - 99:3 happy [3] - 67:18, 92:24, 143:4 hard [4] - 39:15, 66:6, 69:21, 149:19 hardly [1] - 95:17 hardscape [1] - 145:1
$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{file} [1] - 114:22\\ \textbf{filed} [4] - 24:23, 25:1,\\ 74:12, 144:14\\ \textbf{fill} [4] - 42:10, 57:21,\\ 60:4, 60:7\\ \textbf{filled} [2] - 5:22, 60:2\\ \textbf{filling} [1] - 96:7\\ \textbf{final} [2] - 137:20,\\ 144:19\\ \textbf{findings} [2] - 19:7,\\ 23:24\\ \textbf{fine} [8] - 13:8, 28:8, \end{array}$	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18 folks $[2] - 56:11$, 78:22 follow $[3] - 36:24$, 90:21, 119:12 follow-up $[1] - 36:24$ followed $[1] - 118:12$ following $[1] - 119:11$ follows $[1] - 127:7$ food $[1] - 85:19$ foot $[1] - 149:17$ foreclosure $[10] -$ 40:13, 40:18, 40:21,	67:16 G gabled [1] - 126:18 gaining [1] - 128:7 gaping [1] - 44:7 gates [1] - 44:1 General [3] - 1:19, 2:25, 137:1 general [1] - 31:3 gentleman [1] - 109:20	13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1, 142:4, 142:8, 147:19 GREGORY [50] - 1:13, 2:18, 4:22, 9:14, 12:21, 17:9, 18:20, 31:2, 32:13, 35:12, 36:24, 37:10, 65:3, 66:24, 89:11, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3, 102:8, 102:22, 104:6, 104:16, 104:24, 105:15, 110:24, 111:6,	60:16, 62:5, 77:11, 94:14, 98:16, 108:21, 120:18, 129:8 hands [1] - 54:25 handwriting [1] - 55:8 haphazardly [1] - 99:3 happy [3] - 67:18, 92:24, 143:4 hard [4] - 39:15, 66:6, 69:21, 149:19 hardly [1] - 95:17 hardscape [1] - 145:1 hardship [1] - 25:9
$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{file} [1] - 114:22\\ \textbf{filed} [4] - 24:23, 25:1,\\ 74:12, 144:14\\ \textbf{fill} [4] - 42:10, 57:21,\\ 60:4, 60:7\\ \textbf{filled} [2] - 5:22, 60:2\\ \textbf{filling} [1] - 96:7\\ \textbf{final} [2] - 137:20,\\ 144:19\\ \textbf{findings} [2] - 19:7,\\ 23:24\\ \textbf{fine} [8] - 13:8, 28:8,\\ 34:16, 38:19, 39:3,\\ \end{array}$	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18 folks $[2] - 56:11$, 78:22 follow $[3] - 36:24$, 90:21, 119:12 follow-up $[1] - 36:24$ followed $[1] - 118:12$ following $[1] - 119:11$ follows $[1] - 127:7$ food $[1] - 85:19$ foot $[1] - 149:17$ foreclosure $[10] -$ 40:13, 40:18, 40:21, 41:1, 41:10, 41:17,	67:16 G gabled [1] - 126:18 gaining [1] - 128:7 gaping [1] - 44:7 gates [1] - 44:1 General [3] - 1:19, 2:25, 137:1 general [1] - 31:3 gentleman [1] - 109:20 gentlemen [5] - 35:18,	13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1, 142:4, 142:8, 147:19 GREGORY [50] - 1:13, 2:18, 4:22, 9:14, 12:21, 17:9, 18:20, 31:2, 32:13, 35:12, 36:24, 37:10, 65:3, 66:24, 89:11, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3, 102:8, 102:22, 104:6, 104:16, 104:24, 105:15, 110:24, 111:6, 113:18, 116:13,	$\begin{array}{c} 60:16, \ 62:5, \ 77:11, \\ 94:14, \ 98:16, \\ 108:21, \ 120:18, \\ 129:8 \\ \textbf{hands} \ [1] - 54:25 \\ \textbf{handwriting} \ [1] - 55:8 \\ \textbf{haphazardly} \ [1] - 99:3 \\ \textbf{happy} \ [3] - 67:18, \\ 92:24, \ 143:4 \\ \textbf{hard} \ [4] - 39:15, \ 66:6, \\ 69:21, \ 149:19 \\ \textbf{hardly} \ [1] - 95:17 \\ \textbf{hardscape} \ [1] - 145:1 \\ \textbf{hardship} \ [1] - 25:9 \\ \textbf{harm's} \ [1] - 89:6 \\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{file} [1] - 114:22\\ \textbf{filed} [4] - 24:23, 25:1,\\ 74:12, 144:14\\ \textbf{fill} [4] - 42:10, 57:21,\\ 60:4, 60:7\\ \textbf{filled} [2] - 5:22, 60:2\\ \textbf{filling} [1] - 96:7\\ \textbf{final} [2] - 137:20,\\ 144:19\\ \textbf{findings} [2] - 19:7,\\ 23:24\\ \textbf{fine} [8] - 13:8, 28:8,\\ 34:16, 38:19, 39:3,\\ 39:4, 39:7, 138:17\\ \end{array}$	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18 folks $[2] - 56:11$, 78:22 follow $[3] - 36:24$, 90:21, 119:12 follow-up $[1] - 36:24$ followed $[1] - 118:12$ following $[1] - 119:11$ follows $[1] - 127:7$ food $[1] - 85:19$ foot $[1] - 149:17$ foreclosure $[10] -$ 40:13, 40:18, 40:21, 41:1, 41:10, 41:17, 41:19, 41:25, 49:10,	67:16 G gabled [1] - 126:18 gaining [1] - 128:7 gaping [1] - 44:7 gates [1] - 44:1 General [3] - 1:19, 2:25, 137:1 general [1] - 31:3 gentleman [1] - 109:20 gentlemen [5] - 35:18, 40:4, 42:3, 72:2	13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1, 142:4, 142:8, 147:19 GREGORY [50] - 1:13, 2:18, 4:22, 9:14, 12:21, 17:9, 18:20, 31:2, 32:13, 35:12, 36:24, 37:10, 65:3, 66:24, 89:11, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3, 102:8, 102:22, 104:6, 104:16, 104:24, 105:15, 110:24, 111:6, 113:18, 116:13, 117:1, 117:10,	$\begin{array}{l} 60:16, 62:5, 77:11,\\ 94:14, 98:16,\\ 108:21, 120:18,\\ 129:8\\ \textbf{hands} [1] - 54:25\\ \textbf{handwriting} [1] - 55:8\\ \textbf{haphazardly} [1] - 99:3\\ \textbf{happy} [3] - 67:18,\\ 92:24, 143:4\\ \textbf{hard} [4] - 39:15, 66:6,\\ 69:21, 149:19\\ \textbf{hardly} [1] - 95:17\\ \textbf{hardscape} [1] - 145:1\\ \textbf{hardship} [1] - 25:9\\ \textbf{hard} [4] - 89:6\\ \textbf{hate} [4] - 66:25, 67:24,\\ \end{array}$
file $[1] - 114:22$ filed $[4] - 24:23, 25:1, 74:12, 144:14$ fill $[4] - 42:10, 57:21, 60:4, 60:7$ filled $[2] - 5:22, 60:2$ filling $[1] - 96:7$ final $[2] - 137:20, 144:19$ findings $[2] - 19:7, 23:24$ fine $[8] - 13:8, 28:8, 34:16, 38:19, 39:3, 39:4, 39:7, 138:17$ fines $[16] - 27:18, 38.19, 39:3, 39:4, 39:7, 138:17$	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18 folks $[2] - 56:11$, 78:22 follow $[3] - 36:24$, 90:21, 119:12 follow-up $[1] - 36:24$ followed $[1] - 118:12$ following $[1] - 119:11$ follows $[1] - 127:7$ food $[1] - 85:19$ foot $[1] - 149:17$ foreclosure $[10] -$ 40:13, 40:18, 40:21, 41:1, 41:10, 41:17, 41:19, 41:25, 49:10, 54:9	67:16 G gabled [1] - 126:18 gaining [1] - 128:7 gaping [1] - 44:7 gates [1] - 44:1 General [3] - 1:19, 2:25, 137:1 general [1] - 31:3 gentleman [1] - 109:20 gentlemen [5] - 35:18, 40:4, 42:3, 72:2 geography [1] - 87:5	13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1, 142:4, 142:8, 147:19 GREGORY [50] - 1:13, 2:18, 4:22, 9:14, 12:21, 17:9, 18:20, 31:2, 32:13, 35:12, 36:24, 37:10, 65:3, 66:24, 89:11, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3, 102:8, 102:22, 104:6, 104:16, 104:24, 105:15, 110:24, 111:6, 113:18, 116:13, 117:1, 117:10, 117:13, 117:25,	$\begin{array}{c} 60:16,\ 62:5,\ 77:11,\\ 94:14,\ 98:16,\\ 108:21,\ 120:18,\\ 129:8\\ \textbf{hands}\ [1]-54:25\\ \textbf{handwriting}\ [1]-55:8\\ \textbf{haphazardly}\ [1]-55:8\\ \textbf{haphazardly}\ [1]-99:3\\ \textbf{happy}\ [3]-67:18,\\ 92:24,\ 143:4\\ \textbf{hard}\ [4]-39:15,\ 66:6,\\ 69:21,\ 149:19\\ \textbf{hardly}\ [1]-95:17\\ \textbf{hardscape}\ [1]-145:1\\ \textbf{hardship}\ [1]-25:9\\ \textbf{harm's}\ [1]-89:6\\ \textbf{hate}\ [4]-66:25,\ 67:24,\\ 89:16,\ 110:15\\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{file} [1] - 114:22 \\ \textbf{filed} [4] - 24:23, 25:1, \\ 74:12, 144:14 \\ \textbf{fill} [4] - 42:10, 57:21, \\ 60:4, 60:7 \\ \textbf{filled} [2] - 5:22, 60:2 \\ \textbf{filling} [1] - 96:7 \\ \textbf{final} [2] - 137:20, \\ 144:19 \\ \textbf{findings} [2] - 19:7, \\ 23:24 \\ \textbf{fine} [8] - 13:8, 28:8, \\ 34:16, 38:19, 39:3, \\ 39:4, 39:7, 138:17 \\ \textbf{fines} [16] - 27:18, \\ 28:6, 28:18, 37:5, \\ \end{array}$	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18 folks $[2] - 56:11$, 78:22 follow $[3] - 36:24$, 90:21, 119:12 follow-up $[1] - 36:24$ followed $[1] - 118:12$ following $[1] - 119:11$ follows $[1] - 127:7$ food $[1] - 85:19$ foot $[1] - 149:17$ foreclosure $[10] -$ 40:13, 40:18, 40:21, 41:1, 41:10, 41:17, 41:19, 41:25, 49:10, 54:9 foregoing $[2] -$	67:16 G gabled [1] - 126:18 gaining [1] - 128:7 gaping [1] - 44:7 gates [1] - 44:1 General [3] - 1:19, 2:25, 137:1 general [1] - 31:3 gentleman [1] - 109:20 gentlemen [5] - 35:18, 40:4, 42:3, 72:2 geography [1] - 87:5 Gerber [3] - 50:5, 52:10, 52:13 GERBER [8] - 50:9,	13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1, 142:4, 142:8, 147:19 GREGORY [50] - 1:13, 2:18, 4:22, 9:14, 12:21, 17:9, 18:20, 31:2, 32:13, 35:12, 36:24, 37:10, 65:3, 66:24, 89:11, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3, 102:8, 102:22, 104:6, 104:16, 104:24, 105:15, 110:24, 111:6, 113:18, 116:13, 117:1, 117:10, 117:13, 117:25, 118:5, 118:7,	$\begin{array}{c} 60:16,\ 62:5,\ 77:11,\\ 94:14,\ 98:16,\\ 108:21,\ 120:18,\\ 129:8\\ \textbf{hands}\ [1]-54:25\\ \textbf{handwriting}\ [1]-55:8\\ \textbf{haphazardly}\ [1]-55:8\\ \textbf{haphazardly}\ [1]-95:3\\ \textbf{happy}\ [3]-67:18,\\ 92:24,\ 143:4\\ \textbf{hard}\ [4]-39:15,\ 66:6,\\ 69:21,\ 149:19\\ \textbf{hardly}\ [1]-95:17\\ \textbf{hardscape}\ [1]-145:1\\ \textbf{hardship}\ [1]-25:9\\ \textbf{hard}\ [1]-89:6\\ \textbf{hate}\ [4]-66:25,\ 67:24,\\ 89:16,\ 110:15\\ \textbf{haunted}\ [2]-51:25,\\ \end{array}$
file [1] - 114:22 filed [4] - 24:23, 25:1, 74:12, 144:14 fill [4] - 42:10, 57:21, 60:4, 60:7 filled [2] - 5:22, $60:2$ filling [1] - 96:7 final [2] - 137:20, 144:19 findings [2] - 19:7, 23:24 fine [8] - 13:8, 28:8, 34:16, 38:19, 39:3, 39:4, 39:7, 138:17 fines [16] - 27:18, 28:6, 28:18, 37:5, 37:6, 37:9, 37:11,	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18 folks $[2]$ - 56:11, 78:22 follow $[3]$ - 36:24, 90:21, 119:12 follow-up $[1]$ - 36:24 followed $[1]$ - 118:12 following $[1]$ - 119:11 follows $[1]$ - 127:7 food $[1]$ - 85:19 foot $[1]$ - 149:17 foreclosure $[10]$ - 40:13, 40:18, 40:21, 41:1, 41:10, 41:17, 41:19, 41:25, 49:10, 54:9 foregoing $[2]$ - 154:13, 155:9	67:16 G gabled [1] - 126:18 gaining [1] - 128:7 gaping [1] - 44:7 gates [1] - 44:1 General [3] - 1:19, 2:25, 137:1 general [1] - 31:3 gentleman [1] - 109:20 gentlemen [5] - 35:18, 40:4, 42:3, 72:2 geography [1] - 87:5 Gerber [3] - 50:5, 52:10, 52:13	13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1, 142:4, 142:8, 147:19 GREGORY [50] - 1:13, 2:18, 4:22, 9:14, 12:21, 17:9, 18:20, 31:2, 32:13, 35:12, 36:24, 37:10, 65:3, 66:24, 89:11, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3, 102:8, 102:22, 104:6, 104:16, 104:24, 105:15, 110:24, 111:6, 113:18, 116:13, 117:1, 117:10, 117:13, 117:25, 118:5, 118:7, 118:16, 119:23,	$\begin{array}{l} 60:16, 62:5, 77:11,\\ 94:14, 98:16,\\ 108:21, 120:18,\\ 129:8\\ \textbf{hands} [1] - 54:25\\ \textbf{handwriting} [1] - 55:8\\ \textbf{haphazardly} [1] - 99:3\\ \textbf{happy} [3] - 67:18,\\ 92:24, 143:4\\ \textbf{hard} [4] - 39:15, 66:6,\\ 69:21, 149:19\\ \textbf{hardly} [1] - 95:17\\ \textbf{hardscape} [1] - 145:1\\ \textbf{hardship} [1] - 25:9\\ \textbf{hart}'s [1] - 89:6\\ \textbf{hate} [4] - 66:25, 67:24,\\ 89:16, 110:15\\ \textbf{haunted} [2] - 51:25,\\ 52:1\\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{file} [1] - 114:22\\ \textbf{filed} [4] - 24:23, 25:1,\\ 74:12, 144:14\\ \textbf{fill} [4] - 42:10, 57:21,\\ 60:4, 60:7\\ \textbf{filled} [2] - 5:22, 60:2\\ \textbf{filling} [1] - 96:7\\ \textbf{final} [2] - 137:20,\\ 144:19\\ \textbf{findings} [2] - 19:7,\\ 23:24\\ \textbf{fine} [8] - 13:8, 28:8,\\ 34:16, 38:19, 39:3,\\ 39:4, 39:7, 138:17\\ \textbf{fines} [16] - 27:18,\\ 28:6, 28:18, 37:5,\\ 37:6, 37:9, 37:11,\\ 37:17, 37:24, 38:21,\\ \end{array}$	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18 folks $[2]$ - 56:11, 78:22 follow $[3]$ - 36:24, 90:21, 119:12 follow-up $[1]$ - 36:24 followed $[1]$ - 118:12 following $[1]$ - 119:11 follows $[1]$ - 127:7 food $[1]$ - 85:19 foot $[1]$ - 149:17 foreclosure $[10]$ - 40:13, 40:18, 40:21, 41:1, 41:10, 41:17, 41:19, 41:25, 49:10, 54:9 foregoing $[2]$ - 154:13, 155:9 forever $[2]$ - 8:24,	67:16 G gabled [1] - 126:18 gaining [1] - 128:7 gaping [1] - 44:7 gates [1] - 44:1 General [3] - 1:19, 2:25, 137:1 general [1] - 31:3 gentleman [1] - 109:20 gentlemen [5] - 35:18, 40:4, 42:3, 72:2 geography [1] - 87:5 Gerber [3] - 50:5, 52:10, 52:13 GERBER [8] - 50:9,	13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1, 142:4, 142:8, 147:19 GREGORY [50] - 1:13, 2:18, 4:22, 9:14, 12:21, 17:9, 18:20, 31:2, 32:13, 35:12, 36:24, 37:10, 65:3, 66:24, 89:11, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3, 102:8, 102:22, 104:6, 104:16, 104:24, 105:15, 110:24, 111:6, 113:18, 116:13, 117:1, 117:10, 117:13, 117:25, 118:5, 118:7, 118:16, 119:23, 138:10, 139:22,	$\begin{array}{c} 60:16,\ 62:5,\ 77:11,\\ 94:14,\ 98:16,\\ 108:21,\ 120:18,\\ 129:8\\ \textbf{hands}\ [1]-54:25\\ \textbf{handwriting}\ [1]-55:8\\ \textbf{haphazardly}\ [1]-55:8\\ \textbf{haphazardly}\ [1]-95:3\\ \textbf{happy}\ [3]-67:18,\\ 92:24,\ 143:4\\ \textbf{hard}\ [4]-39:15,\ 66:6,\\ 69:21,\ 149:19\\ \textbf{hardly}\ [1]-95:17\\ \textbf{hardscape}\ [1]-145:1\\ \textbf{hardship}\ [1]-25:9\\ \textbf{hard}\ [1]-89:6\\ \textbf{hate}\ [4]-66:25,\ 67:24,\\ 89:16,\ 110:15\\ \textbf{haunted}\ [2]-51:25,\\ \end{array}$
file [1] - 114:22 filed [4] - 24:23, 25:1, 74:12, 144:14 fill [4] - 42:10, 57:21, 60:4, 60:7 filled [2] - 5:22, 60:2 filling [1] - 96:7 final [2] - 137:20, 144:19 findings [2] - 19:7, 23:24 fine [8] - 13:8, 28:8, 34:16, 38:19, 39:3, 39:4, 39:7, 138:17 fines [16] - 27:18, 28:6, 28:18, 37:5, 37:6, 37:9, 37:11, 37:17, 37:24, 38:21, 39:20, 39:24, 47:13,	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18 folks $[2]$ - 56:11, 78:22 follow $[3]$ - 36:24, 90:21, 119:12 follow-up $[1]$ - 36:24 followed $[1]$ - 118:12 following $[1]$ - 119:11 follows $[1]$ - 127:7 food $[1]$ - 85:19 foot $[1]$ - 149:17 foreclosure $[10]$ - 40:13, 40:18, 40:21, 41:1, 41:10, 41:17, 41:19, 41:25, 49:10, 54:9 foregoing $[2]$ - 154:13, 155:9 forever $[2]$ - 8:24, 135:15	67:16 G gabled [1] - 126:18 gaining [1] - 128:7 gaping [1] - 44:7 gates [1] - 44:1 General [3] - 1:19, 2:25, 137:1 general [1] - 31:3 gentleman [1] - 109:20 gentlemen [5] - 35:18, 40:4, 42:3, 72:2 geography [1] - 87:5 Gerber [3] - 50:5, 52:10, 52:13 GERBER [8] - 50:9, 50:14, 50:16, 50:24,	13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1, 142:4, 142:8, 147:19 GREGORY [50] - 1:13, 2:18, 4:22, 9:14, 12:21, 17:9, 18:20, 31:2, 32:13, 35:12, 36:24, 37:10, 65:3, 66:24, 89:11, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3, 102:8, 102:22, 104:6, 104:16, 104:24, 105:15, 110:24, 111:6, 113:18, 116:13, 117:1, 117:10, 117:13, 117:25, 118:5, 118:7, 118:16, 119:23, 138:10, 139:22, 140:2, 140:5,	$\begin{array}{l} 60:16, 62:5, 77:11,\\ 94:14, 98:16,\\ 108:21, 120:18,\\ 129:8\\ \textbf{hands} [1] - 54:25\\ \textbf{handwriting} [1] - 55:8\\ \textbf{haphazardly} [1] - 99:3\\ \textbf{happy} [3] - 67:18,\\ 92:24, 143:4\\ \textbf{hard} [4] - 39:15, 66:6,\\ 69:21, 149:19\\ \textbf{hardly} [1] - 95:17\\ \textbf{hardscape} [1] - 145:1\\ \textbf{hardship} [1] - 25:9\\ \textbf{hart}'s [1] - 89:6\\ \textbf{hate} [4] - 66:25, 67:24,\\ 89:16, 110:15\\ \textbf{haunted} [2] - 51:25,\\ 52:1\\ \end{array}$
file [1] - 114:22 filed [4] - 24:23, 25:1, 74:12, 144:14 fill [4] - 42:10, 57:21, 60:4, 60:7 filled [2] - 5:22, 60:2 filling [1] - 96:7 final [2] - 137:20, 144:19 findings [2] - 19:7, 23:24 fine [8] - 13:8, 28:8, 34:16, 38:19, 39:3, 39:4, 39:7, 138:17 fines [16] - 27:18, 28:6, 28:18, 37:5, 37:6, 37:9, 37:11, 37:17, 37:24, 38:21, 39:20, 39:24, 47:13, 67:14, 67:17	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18 folks $[2]$ - 56:11, 78:22 follow $[3]$ - 36:24, 90:21, 119:12 follow-up $[1]$ - 36:24 followed $[1]$ - 118:12 following $[1]$ - 119:11 follows $[1]$ - 127:7 food $[1]$ - 85:19 foot $[1]$ - 149:17 foreclosure $[10]$ - 40:13, 40:18, 40:21, 41:1, 41:10, 41:17, 41:19, 41:25, 49:10, 54:9 foregoing $[2]$ - 154:13, 155:9 forever $[2]$ - 8:24, 135:15 forgot $[1]$ - 29:1	67:16 G gabled [1] - 126:18 gaining [1] - 128:7 gaping [1] - 44:7 gates [1] - 44:1 General [3] - 1:19, 2:25, 137:1 general [1] - 31:3 gentleman [1] - 109:20 gentlemen [5] - 35:18, 40:4, 42:3, 72:2 geography [1] - 87:5 Gerber [3] - 50:5, 52:10, 52:13 GERBER [8] - 50:9, 50:14, 50:16, 50:24, 52:3, 52:6, 52:11,	13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1, 142:4, 142:8, 147:19 GREGORY [50] - 1:13, 2:18, 4:22, 9:14, 12:21, 17:9, 18:20, 31:2, 32:13, 35:12, 36:24, 37:10, 65:3, 66:24, 89:11, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3, 102:8, 102:22, 104:6, 104:16, 104:24, 105:15, 110:24, 111:6, 113:18, 116:13, 117:1, 117:10, 117:13, 117:25, 118:5, 118:7, 118:16, 119:23, 138:10, 139:22, 140:2, 140:5, 142:22, 143:4,	$\begin{array}{l} 60:16, 62:5, 77:11,\\ 94:14, 98:16,\\ 108:21, 120:18,\\ 129:8\\ \textbf{hands} [1] - 54:25\\ \textbf{handwriting} [1] - 55:8\\ \textbf{haphazardly} [1] - 99:3\\ \textbf{happy} [3] - 67:18,\\ 92:24, 143:4\\ \textbf{hard} [4] - 39:15, 66:6,\\ 69:21, 149:19\\ \textbf{hardly} [1] - 95:17\\ \textbf{hardscape} [1] - 145:1\\ \textbf{hardship} [1] - 25:9\\ \textbf{hare} [4] - 66:25, 67:24,\\ 89:16, 110:15\\ \textbf{haunted} [2] - 51:25,\\ 52:1\\ \textbf{hazard} [1] - 43:21\\ \end{array}$
file [1] - 114:22 filed [4] - 24:23, 25:1, 74:12, 144:14 fill [4] - 42:10, 57:21, 60:4, 60:7 filled [2] - 5:22, 60:2 filling [1] - 96:7 final [2] - 137:20, 144:19 findings [2] - 19:7, 23:24 fine [8] - 13:8, 28:8, 34:16, 38:19, 39:3, 39:4, 39:7, 138:17 fines [16] - 27:18, 28:6, 28:18, 37:5, 37:6, 37:9, 37:11, 37:17, 37:24, 38:21, 39:20, 39:24, 47:13, 67:14, 67:17 finish [1] - 49:3	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18 folks $[2]$ - 56:11, 78:22 follow $[3]$ - 36:24, 90:21, 119:12 follow-up $[1]$ - 36:24 followed $[1]$ - 118:12 following $[1]$ - 119:11 follows $[1]$ - 127:7 food $[1]$ - 85:19 foot $[1]$ - 149:17 foreclosure $[10]$ - 40:13, 40:18, 40:21, 41:1, 41:10, 41:17, 41:19, 41:25, 49:10, 54:9 foregoing $[2]$ - 154:13, 155:9 forever $[2]$ - 8:24, 135:15 forgot $[1]$ - 29:1 form $[2]$ - 10:13, 12:6 former $[1]$ - 5:4	67:16 G gabled [1] - 126:18 gaining [1] - 128:7 gaping [1] - 44:7 gates [1] - 44:1 General [3] - 1:19, 2:25, 137:1 general [1] - 31:3 gentleman [1] - 109:20 gentlemen [5] - 35:18, 40:4, 42:3, 72:2 geography [1] - 87:5 Gerber [3] - 50:5, 52:10, 52:13 GERBER [8] - 50:9, 50:14, 50:16, 50:24, 52:3, 52:6, 52:11, 57:15	13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1, 142:4, 142:8, 147:19 GREGORY [50] - 1:13, 2:18, 4:22, 9:14, 12:21, 17:9, 18:20, 31:2, 32:13, 35:12, 36:24, 37:10, 65:3, 66:24, 89:11, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3, 102:8, 102:22, 104:6, 104:16, 104:24, 105:15, 110:24, 111:6, 113:18, 116:13, 117:1, 117:10, 117:13, 117:25, 118:5, 118:7, 118:16, 119:23, 138:10, 139:22, 140:2, 140:5, 142:22, 143:4, 146:14, 148:11,	$\begin{array}{l} 60:16, 62:5, 77:11,\\ 94:14, 98:16,\\ 108:21, 120:18,\\ 129:8\\ \textbf{hands} [1] - 54:25\\ \textbf{handwriting} [1] - 55:8\\ \textbf{haphazardly} [1] - 99:3\\ \textbf{happy} [3] - 67:18,\\ 92:24, 143:4\\ \textbf{hard} [4] - 39:15, 66:6,\\ 69:21, 149:19\\ \textbf{hardly} [1] - 95:17\\ \textbf{hardscape} [1] - 145:1\\ \textbf{hardship} [1] - 25:9\\ \textbf{hare} [4] - 66:25, 67:24,\\ 89:16, 110:15\\ \textbf{haunted} [2] - 51:25,\\ 52:1\\ \textbf{hazard} [1] - 43:21\\ \textbf{head} [5] - 27:24,\\ \end{array}$
	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18 folks $[2]$ - 56:11, 78:22 follow $[3]$ - 36:24, 90:21, 119:12 follow-up $[1]$ - 36:24 followed $[1]$ - 118:12 following $[1]$ - 119:11 follows $[1]$ - 127:7 food $[1]$ - 85:19 foot $[1]$ - 149:17 foreclosure $[10]$ - 40:13, 40:18, 40:21, 41:1, 41:10, 41:17, 41:19, 41:25, 49:10, 54:9 foregoing $[2]$ - 154:13, 155:9 forever $[2]$ - 8:24, 135:15 forgot $[1]$ - 29:1 form $[2]$ - 10:13, 12:6 former $[1]$ - 5:4 forward $[10]$ - 8:1, 9:1,	67:16 G gabled [1] - 126:18 gaining [1] - 128:7 gaping [1] - 44:7 gates [1] - 44:1 General [3] - 1:19, 2:25, 137:1 general [1] - 31:3 gentleman [1] - 109:20 gentlemen [5] - 35:18, 40:4, 42:3, 72:2 geography [1] - 87:5 Gerber [3] - 50:5, 52:10, 52:13 GERBER [8] - 50:9, 50:14, 50:16, 50:24, 52:3, 52:6, 52:11, 57:15 given [10] - 21:2, 25:3,	13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1, 142:4, 142:8, 147:19 GREGORY [50] - 1:13, 2:18, 4:22, 9:14, 12:21, 17:9, 18:20, 31:2, 32:13, 35:12, 36:24, 37:10, 65:3, 66:24, 89:11, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3, 102:8, 102:22, 104:6, 104:16, 104:24, 105:15, 110:24, 111:6, 113:18, 116:13, 117:1, 117:10, 117:13, 117:25, 118:5, 118:7, 118:16, 119:23, 138:10, 139:22, 140:2, 140:5, 142:22, 143:4, 146:14, 148:11, 149:15, 152:19,	$\begin{array}{l} 60:16, 62:5, 77:11,\\ 94:14, 98:16,\\ 108:21, 120:18,\\ 129:8\\ \textbf{hands} [1] - 54:25\\ \textbf{handwriting} [1] - 55:8\\ \textbf{haphazardly} [1] - 99:3\\ \textbf{happy} [3] - 67:18,\\ 92:24, 143:4\\ \textbf{hard} [4] - 39:15, 66:6,\\ 69:21, 149:19\\ \textbf{hardly} [1] - 95:17\\ \textbf{hardscape} [1] - 145:1\\ \textbf{hardship} [1] - 25:9\\ \textbf{hard} spi [1] - 89:6\\ \textbf{hate} [4] - 66:25, 67:24,\\ 89:16, 110:15\\ \textbf{haunted} [2] - 51:25,\\ 52:1\\ \textbf{hazard} [1] - 43:21\\ \textbf{head} [5] - 27:24,\\ 52:18, 52:21, 66:7,\\ \end{array}$
file [1] - 114:22 filed [4] - 24:23, 25:1, 74:12, 144:14 fill [4] - 42:10, 57:21, 60:4, 60:7 filled [2] - 5:22, 60:2 filling [1] - 96:7 final [2] - 137:20, 144:19 findings [2] - 19:7, 23:24 fine [8] - 13:8, 28:8, 34:16, 38:19, 39:3, 39:4, 39:7, 138:17 fines [16] - 27:18, 28:6, 28:18, 37:5, 37:6, 37:9, 37:11, 37:17, 37:24, 38:21, 39:20, 39:24, 47:13, 67:14, 67:17 finish [1] - 49:3 fire [2] - 43:21, 92:10 first [18] - 4:9, 14:2,	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18 folks $[2]$ - 56:11, 78:22 follow $[3]$ - 36:24, 90:21, 119:12 follow-up $[1]$ - 36:24 followed $[1]$ - 118:12 following $[1]$ - 119:11 follows $[1]$ - 127:7 food $[1]$ - 85:19 foot $[1]$ - 149:17 foreclosure $[10]$ - 40:13, 40:18, 40:21, 41:1, 41:10, 41:17, 41:19, 41:25, 49:10, 54:9 foregoing $[2]$ - 154:13, 155:9 forever $[2]$ - 8:24, 135:15 forgot $[1]$ - 29:1 form $[2]$ - 10:13, 12:6 former $[1]$ - 5:4 forward $[10]$ - 8:1, 9:1, 25:13, 35:4, 41:1,	67:16 G gabled [1] - 126:18 gaining [1] - 128:7 gaping [1] - 44:7 gates [1] - 44:1 General [3] - 1:19, 2:25, 137:1 general [1] - 31:3 gentleman [1] - 109:20 gentlemen [5] - 35:18, 40:4, 42:3, 72:2 geography [1] - 87:5 Gerber [3] - 50:5, 52:10, 52:13 GERBER [8] - 50:9, 50:14, 50:16, 50:24, 52:3, 52:6, 52:11, 57:15 given [10] - 21:2, 25:3, 69:5, 69:10, 75:13,	13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1, 142:4, 142:8, 147:19 GREGORY [50] - 1:13, 2:18, 4:22, 9:14, 12:21, 17:9, 18:20, 31:2, 32:13, 35:12, 36:24, 37:10, 65:3, 66:24, 89:11, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3, 102:8, 102:22, 104:6, 104:16, 104:24, 105:15, 110:24, 111:6, 113:18, 116:13, 117:1, 117:10, 117:13, 117:25, 118:5, 118:7, 118:16, 119:23, 138:10, 139:22, 140:2, 140:5, 142:22, 143:4, 146:14, 148:11, 149:15, 152:19, 153:15, 154:11	$\begin{array}{l} 60:16, 62:5, 77:11,\\ 94:14, 98:16,\\ 108:21, 120:18,\\ 129:8\\ \textbf{hands} [1] - 54:25\\ \textbf{handwriting} [1] - 55:8\\ \textbf{haphazardly} [1] - 99:3\\ \textbf{happy} [3] - 67:18,\\ 92:24, 143:4\\ \textbf{hard} [4] - 39:15, 66:6,\\ 69:21, 149:19\\ \textbf{hardly} [1] - 95:17\\ \textbf{hardscape} [1] - 145:1\\ \textbf{hardship} [1] - 25:9\\ \textbf{hard's} [1] - 89:6\\ \textbf{hate} [4] - 66:25, 67:24,\\ 89:16, 110:15\\ \textbf{haunted} [2] - 51:25,\\ 52:1\\ \textbf{hazard} [1] - 43:21\\ \textbf{head} [5] - 27:24,\\ 52:18, 52:21, 66:7,\\ 115:20\\ \end{array}$
file [1] - 114:22 filed [4] - 24:23, 25:1, 74:12, 144:14 fill [4] - 42:10, 57:21, 60:4, 60:7 filled [2] - 5:22, 60:2 filling [1] - 96:7 final [2] - 137:20, 144:19 findings [2] - 19:7, 23:24 fine [8] - 13:8, 28:8, 34:16, 38:19, 39:3, 39:4, 39:7, 138:17 fines [16] - 27:18, 28:6, 28:18, 37:5, 37:6, 37:9, 37:11, 37:17, 37:24, 38:21, 39:20, 39:24, 47:13, 67:14, 67:17 finish [1] - 49:3 fire [2] - 43:21, 92:10 first [18] - 4:9, 14:2, 14:5, 34:13, 36:14,	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18 folks [2] - 56:11, 78:22 follow [3] - 36:24, 90:21, 119:12 follow-up [1] - 36:24 followed [1] - 118:12 following [1] - 119:11 follows [1] - 127:7 food [1] - 85:19 foot [1] - 149:17 foreclosure [10] - 40:13, 40:18, 40:21, $41:1, 41:10, 41:17,$ $41:19, 41:25, 49:10,$ $54:9foregoing [2] -154:13, 155:9forever [2] - 8:24,135:15forgot [1] - 29:1form [2] - 10:13, 12:6former [1] - 5:4forward [10] - 8:1, 9:1,25:13, 35:4, 41:1,$ $41:23, 61:23, 77:3,$	67:16 G gabled [1] - 126:18 gaining [1] - 128:7 gaping [1] - 44:7 gates [1] - 44:1 General [3] - 1:19, 2:25, 137:1 general [1] - 31:3 gentleman [1] - 109:20 gentlemen [5] - 35:18, 40:4, 42:3, 72:2 geography [1] - 87:5 Gerber [3] - 50:5, 52:10, 52:13 GERBER [8] - 50:9, 50:14, 50:16, 50:24, 52:3, 52:6, 52:11, 57:15 given [10] - 21:2, 25:3, 69:5, 69:10, 75:13, 76:17, 107:24,	13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1, 142:4, 142:8, 147:19 GREGORY [50] - 1:13, 2:18, 4:22, 9:14, 12:21, 17:9, 18:20, 31:2, 32:13, 35:12, 36:24, 37:10, 65:3, 66:24, 89:11, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3, 102:8, 102:22, 104:6, 104:16, 104:24, 105:15, 110:24, 111:6, 113:18, 116:13, 117:1, 117:10, 117:13, 117:25, 118:5, 118:7, 118:16, 119:23, 138:10, 139:22, 140:2, 140:5, 142:22, 143:4, 146:14, 148:11, 149:15, 152:19, 153:15, 154:11 grew [2] - 58:19,	$\begin{array}{l} 60:16,\ 62:5,\ 77:11,\\ 94:14,\ 98:16,\\ 108:21,\ 120:18,\\ 129:8\\ \textbf{hands}\ [1]-54:25\\ \textbf{handwriting}\ [1]-55:8\\ \textbf{haphazardly}\ [1]-95:3\\ \textbf{happy}\ [3]-67:18,\\ 92:24,\ 143:4\\ \textbf{hard}\ [4]-39:15,\ 66:6,\\ 69:21,\ 149:19\\ \textbf{hardly}\ [1]-95:17\\ \textbf{hardscape}\ [1]-145:1\\ \textbf{hardship}\ [1]-25:9\\ \textbf{hard}\ [4]-66:25,\ 67:24,\\ 89:16,\ 110:15\\ \textbf{haunted}\ [2]-51:25,\\ 52:1\\ \textbf{hazard}\ [1]-43:21\\ \textbf{head}\ [5]-27:24,\\ 52:18,\ 52:21,\ 66:7,\\ 115:20\\ \textbf{health}\ [4]-43:23,\\ \end{array}$
file [1] - 114:22 filed [4] - 24:23, 25:1, 74:12, 144:14 fill [4] - 42:10, 57:21, 60:4, 60:7 filled [2] - 5:22, 60:2 filling [1] - 96:7 final [2] - 137:20, 144:19 findings [2] - 19:7, 23:24 fine [8] - 13:8, 28:8, 34:16, 38:19, 39:3, 39:4, 39:7, 138:17 fines [16] - 27:18, 28:6, 28:18, 37:5, 37:6, 37:9, 37:11, 37:17, 37:24, 38:21, 39:20, 39:24, 47:13, 67:14, 67:17 finish [1] - 49:3 fire [2] - 43:21, 92:10 first [18] - 4:9, 14:2, 14:5, 34:13, 36:14, 46:17, 58:17, 91:21,	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18 folks [2] - 56:11, 78:22 follow [3] - 36:24, 90:21, 119:12 follow-up [1] - 36:24 followed [1] - 118:12 following [1] - 119:11 follows [1] - 127:7 food [1] - 85:19 foot [1] - 149:17 foreclosure [10] - 40:13, 40:18, 40:21, $41:1, 41:10, 41:17,$ $41:19, 41:25, 49:10,$ $54:9foregoing [2] -154:13, 155:9forever [2] - 8:24,135:15forgot [1] - 29:1form [2] - 10:13, 12:6former [1] - 5:4forward [10] - 8:1, 9:1,25:13, 35:4, 41:1,$ $41:23, 61:23, 77:3,$ $108:14, 140:4$	67:16 G gabled [1] - 126:18 gaining [1] - 128:7 gaping [1] - 44:7 gates [1] - 44:1 General [3] - 1:19, 2:25, 137:1 general [1] - 31:3 gentleman [1] - 109:20 gentlemen [5] - 35:18, 40:4, 42:3, 72:2 geography [1] - 87:5 Gerber [3] - 50:5, 52:10, 52:13 GERBER [8] - 50:9, 50:14, 50:16, 50:24, 52:3, 52:6, 52:11, 57:15 given [10] - 21:2, 25:3, 69:5, 69:10, 75:13, 76:17, 107:24, 128:6, 135:11,	13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1, 142:4, 142:8, 147:19 GREGORY [50] - 1:13, 2:18, 4:22, 9:14, 12:21, 17:9, 18:20, 31:2, 32:13, 35:12, 36:24, 37:10, 65:3, 66:24, 89:11, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3, 102:8, 102:22, 104:6, 104:16, 104:24, 105:15, 110:24, 111:6, 113:18, 116:13, 117:1, 117:10, 117:13, 117:25, 118:5, 118:7, 118:16, 119:23, 138:10, 139:22, 140:2, 140:5, 142:22, 143:4, 146:14, 148:11, 149:15, 152:19, 153:15, 154:11 grew [2] - 58:19, 153:22	$\begin{array}{l} 60:16, 62:5, 77:11,\\ 94:14, 98:16,\\ 108:21, 120:18,\\ 129:8\\ \textbf{hands [1] - 54:25}\\ \textbf{handwriting [1] - 55:8}\\ \textbf{haphazardly [1] - 99:3}\\ \textbf{happy [3] - 67:18,}\\ 92:24, 143:4\\ \textbf{hard [4] - 39:15, 66:6,}\\ 69:21, 149:19\\ \textbf{hardly [1] - 95:17}\\ \textbf{hardscape [1] - 145:1}\\ \textbf{hardship [1] - 25:9}\\ \textbf{hart [4] - 66:25, 67:24,}\\ 89:16, 110:15\\ \textbf{haunted [2] - 51:25,}\\ 52:1\\ \textbf{hazard [1] - 43:21}\\ \textbf{head [5] - 27:24,}\\ 52:18, 52:21, 66:7,\\ 115:20\\ \textbf{health [4] - 43:23,}\\ 49:14, 68:14, 78:13\\ \end{array}$
file [1] - 114:22 filed [4] - 24:23, 25:1, 74:12, 144:14 fill [4] - 42:10, 57:21, 60:4, 60:7 filled [2] - 5:22, 60:2 filling [1] - 96:7 final [2] - 137:20, 144:19 findings [2] - 19:7, 23:24 fine [8] - 13:8, 28:8, 34:16, 38:19, 39:3, 39:4, 39:7, 138:17 fines [16] - 27:18, 28:6, 28:18, 37:5, 37:6, 37:9, 37:11, 37:17, 37:24, 38:21, 39:20, 39:24, 47:13, 67:14, 67:17 finish [1] - 49:3 fire [2] - 43:21, 92:10 first [18] - 4:9, 14:2, 14:5, 34:13, 36:14, 46:17, 58:17, 91:21, 94:22, 101:25,	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18 folks [2] - 56:11, 78:22 follow [3] - 36:24, 90:21, 119:12 follow-up [1] - 36:24 followed [1] - 118:12 following [1] - 119:11 follows [1] - 127:7 food [1] - 85:19 foot [1] - 149:17 foreclosure [10] - 40:13, 40:18, 40:21, $41:1, 41:10, 41:17,$ $41:19, 41:25, 49:10,$ $54:9foregoing [2] -154:13, 155:9forever [2] - 8:24,135:15forgot [1] - 29:1form [2] - 10:13, 12:6former [1] - 5:4forward [10] - 8:1, 9:1,25:13, 35:4, 41:1,$ $41:23, 61:23, 77:3,$ $108:14, 140:4forwards [1] - 76:21$	67:16 G gabled [1] - 126:18 gaining [1] - 128:7 gaping [1] - 44:7 gates [1] - 44:1 General [3] - 1:19, 2:25, 137:1 general [1] - 31:3 gentleman [1] - 109:20 gentlemen [5] - 35:18, 40:4, 42:3, 72:2 geography [1] - 87:5 Gerber [3] - 50:5, 52:10, 52:13 GERBER [8] - 50:9, 50:14, 50:16, 50:24, 52:3, 52:6, 52:11, 57:15 given [10] - 21:2, 25:3, 69:5, 69:10, 75:13, 76:17, 107:24, 128:6, 135:11, 135:17	13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1, 142:4, 142:8, 147:19 GREGORY [50] - 1:13, 2:18, 4:22, 9:14, 12:21, 17:9, 18:20, 31:2, 32:13, 35:12, 36:24, 37:10, 65:3, 66:24, 89:11, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3, 102:8, 102:22, 104:6, 104:16, 104:24, 105:15, 110:24, 111:6, 113:18, 116:13, 117:1, 117:10, 117:13, 117:25, 118:5, 118:7, 118:16, 119:23, 138:10, 139:22, 140:2, 140:5, 142:22, 143:4, 146:14, 148:11, 149:15, 152:19, 153:15, 154:11 grew [2] - 58:19, 153:22 grid [6] - 10:17, 13:9,	$\begin{array}{l} 60:16, 62:5, 77:11,\\ 94:14, 98:16,\\ 108:21, 120:18,\\ 129:8\\ \textbf{hands [1] - 54:25}\\ \textbf{handwriting [1] - 55:8}\\ \textbf{haphazardly [1] - 99:3}\\ \textbf{happy [3] - 67:18,}\\ 92:24, 143:4\\ \textbf{hard [4] - 39:15, 66:6,}\\ 69:21, 149:19\\ \textbf{hardly [1] - 95:17}\\ \textbf{hardscape [1] - 145:1}\\ \textbf{hardship [1] - 25:9}\\ \textbf{hart [4] - 66:25, 67:24,}\\ 89:16, 110:15\\ \textbf{haunted [2] - 51:25,}\\ 52:1\\ \textbf{hazard [1] - 43:21}\\ \textbf{head [5] - 27:24,}\\ 52:18, 52:21, 66:7,\\ 115:20\\ \textbf{health [4] - 43:23,}\\ 49:14, 68:14, 78:13\\ \textbf{healthcare [1] - 81:24}\\ \end{array}$
file [1] - 114:22 filed [4] - 24:23, 25:1, 74:12, 144:14 fill [4] - 42:10, 57:21, 60:4, 60:7 filled [2] - 5:22, 60:2 filling [1] - 96:7 final [2] - 137:20, 144:19 findings [2] - 19:7, 23:24 fine [8] - 13:8, 28:8, 34:16, 38:19, 39:3, 39:4, 39:7, 138:17 fines [16] - 27:18, 28:6, 28:18, 37:5, 37:6, 37:9, 37:11, 37:17, 37:24, 38:21, 39:20, 39:24, 47:13, 67:14, 67:17 finish [1] - 49:3 fire [2] - 43:21, 92:10 first [18] - 4:9, 14:2, 14:5, 34:13, 36:14, 46:17, 58:17, 91:21, 94:22, 101:25, 105:6, 106:13,	77:9, 129:6, 155:7, 155:18 folks [2] - 56:11, 78:22 follow [3] - 36:24, 90:21, 119:12 follow-up [1] - 36:24 followed [1] - 118:12 following [1] - 119:11 follows [1] - 127:7 food [1] - 85:19 foot [1] - 149:17 foreclosure [10] - 40:13, 40:18, 40:21, $41:1, 41:10, 41:17,$ $41:19, 41:25, 49:10,$ $54:9foregoing [2] -154:13, 155:9forever [2] - 8:24,135:15forgot [1] - 29:1form [2] - 10:13, 12:6former [1] - 5:4forward [10] - 8:1, 9:1,25:13, 35:4, 41:1,$ $41:23, 61:23, 77:3,$ $108:14, 140:4$	67:16 G gabled [1] - 126:18 gaining [1] - 128:7 gaping [1] - 44:7 gates [1] - 44:1 General [3] - 1:19, 2:25, 137:1 general [1] - 31:3 gentleman [1] - 109:20 gentlemen [5] - 35:18, 40:4, 42:3, 72:2 geography [1] - 87:5 Gerber [3] - 50:5, 52:10, 52:13 GERBER [8] - 50:9, 50:14, 50:16, 50:24, 52:3, 52:6, 52:11, 57:15 given [10] - 21:2, 25:3, 69:5, 69:10, 75:13, 76:17, 107:24, 128:6, 135:11, 135:17 glass [4] - 15:2, 15:3,	13:2, 93:10, 101:22, 104:14, 140:1, 142:4, 142:8, 147:19 GREGORY [50] - 1:13, 2:18, 4:22, 9:14, 12:21, 17:9, 18:20, 31:2, 32:13, 35:12, 36:24, 37:10, 65:3, 66:24, 89:11, 93:5, 93:20, 101:15, 101:24, 102:3, 102:8, 102:22, 104:6, 104:16, 104:24, 105:15, 110:24, 111:6, 113:18, 116:13, 117:1, 117:10, 117:13, 117:25, 118:5, 118:7, 118:16, 119:23, 138:10, 139:22, 140:2, 140:5, 142:22, 143:4, 146:14, 148:11, 149:15, 152:19, 153:15, 154:11 grew [2] - 58:19, 153:22	$\begin{array}{l} 60:16, 62:5, 77:11,\\ 94:14, 98:16,\\ 108:21, 120:18,\\ 129:8\\ \textbf{hands [1] - 54:25}\\ \textbf{handwriting [1] - 55:8}\\ \textbf{haphazardly [1] - 99:3}\\ \textbf{happy [3] - 67:18,}\\ 92:24, 143:4\\ \textbf{hard [4] - 39:15, 66:6,}\\ 69:21, 149:19\\ \textbf{hardly [1] - 95:17}\\ \textbf{hardscape [1] - 145:1}\\ \textbf{hardship [1] - 25:9}\\ \textbf{hart [4] - 66:25, 67:24,}\\ 89:16, 110:15\\ \textbf{haunted [2] - 51:25,}\\ 52:1\\ \textbf{hazard [1] - 43:21}\\ \textbf{head [5] - 27:24,}\\ 52:18, 52:21, 66:7,\\ 115:20\\ \textbf{health [4] - 43:23,}\\ 49:14, 68:14, 78:13\\ \textbf{healthcare [1] - 81:24}\\ \textbf{hear [24] - 3:19, 7:8,} \end{array}$

26.2 47.22 60.24	6.14 10.10 17.17	00.25	100.7 100.15	importo (4) AE-A
36:2, 47:22, 60:24,	6:14, 12:13, 17:17,	99:25	100:7, 100:15,	impacts [1] - 45:4
65:1, 66:22, 90:25,	17:23, 20:6, 21:3,	home [23] - 10:12,	100:19, 100:21,	impartial [1] - 103:19
94:3, 104:5, 106:5,	25:25, 31:15, 36:3,	47:16, 47:21, 47:22,	101:1, 102:13,	implication [1] -
107:2, 108:10,	45:7, 48:9, 54:5,	47:25, 48:4, 48:6,	103:1, 103:6,	104:22
119:9, 126:7,	54:13, 54:17, 61:12,	48:10, 51:2, 56:21,	110:17, 114:15,	importance [1] - 75:4
138:24, 140:18,	64:8, 65:21, 66:7,	58:10, 58:23, 58:25,	115:19, 116:22,	important [3] - 58:4,
148:3	66:11, 66:15, 67:19,	59:3, 61:12, 67:5,	130:13, 130:18,	88:7, 142:18
heard [11] - 6:13, 6:15,	68:18, 74:24, 75:4,	67:11, 67:20, 67:25,	130:21, 130:23,	impossible [2] -
6:17, 6:25, 9:9,	79:16, 82:22, 88:18,	103:23, 126:17,	131:3, 131:10,	75:21, 133:6
15:15, 15:18, 55:23,	90:7, 96:2, 100:9,	152:17	131:13, 131:15,	impressed [1] - 80:21
58:15, 71:1, 90:11	100:19, 103:21, 106:12, 107:25,	Home [8] - 109:18,	131:17, 131:21,	impressions [1] -
Heard [1] - 90:23		110:3, 110:5,	131:23, 132:5,	58:18
Hearing [1] - 1:8	109:21, 112:1,	110:19, 111:10,	132:20, 133:3,	improve [2] - 112:11,
hearing [17] - 10:4,	114:15, 115:10,	117:13, 118:11,	133:4, 133:25,	117:4
17:3, 17:4, 21:22,	120:22, 122:1,	119:15	146:18	improvement [4] -
34:2, 46:19, 63:2,	122:9, 122:18,	home's [1] - 48:11	houses [8] - 16:2,	99:14, 117:7,
64:24, 73:5, 87:20,	125:1, 128:7, 145:21, 146:4,	homeowner [3] -	65:22, 100:9, 100:11, 100:16	143:21, 144:24
93:3, 101:14, 107:1,		32:15, 98:5, 116:14	100:11, 100:16,	improvements [1] -
113:1, 126:6,	146:23, 148:23, 140:0, 150:10	homeowner/	111:23, 130:16	78:3
134:15, 139:3	149:9, 150:10, 150:15, 152:5, 154:3	applicant [1] -	HPC [1] - 148:19	improving [2] -
heart [2] - 68:4, 121:5	150:15, 152:5, 154:3 HISTORIC [1] - 1:2	119:14	huge [5] - 44:7, 54:2,	110:12, 110:14
heavily [1] - 24:12		homeowners [1] -	99:25, 102:14, 125:2	inappropriate [1] -
height [1] - 75:19	historical [6] - 15:12,	154:5	humans [1] - 44:15	107:24
held [3] - 1:6, 79:17,	15:14, 25:12, 73:9,	homes [4] - 146:23,	hundred [6] - 69:1,	inaudible [21] - 9:22,
141:2	74:21, 79:11	148:10, 151:3,	75:11, 100:14,	10:21, 10:23, 15:1,
help [2] - 92:12,	historically [6] -	152:12	122:6, 131:11,	26:24, 38:16, 60:6,
102:11	61:11, 84:8, 100:7,	honest [1] - 111:20	138:18	90:10, 102:23,
helpful [2] - 47:11,	102:15, 104:4,	honestly [1] - 58:15	hundred-year-old [1] -	114:11, 121:17,
89:4	107:23	honor [1] - 4:25	100:14	122:11, 135:15,
helping [2] - 4:24,	history [3] - 25:18,	hook [1] - 54:25	hundreds [1] - 36:13	140:23, 149:23,
47:11	92:7, 103:19	hope [1] - 98:24	hurdle [1] - 64:4	151:16, 151:17,
helps [4] - 72:6, 89:3,	Hoff [11] - 2:16, 17:20,	hoping [5] - 56:19,	hurts [1] - 68:4	152:5, 152:21,
97:18, 152:22	26:6, 89:12, 89:17,	100:3, 100:5,	husband [1] - 49:20	152:23, 153:10
hereby [1] - 9:20	113:13, 115:22,	100:15, 101:2	HVAC [1] - 82:13	inaudible) [6] - 7:16,
heritage [1] - 45:6	119:10, 123:15,	horrible [2] - 15:20,	hypothetically [1] -	69:23, 103:12,
Herschel [1] - 94:11	142:9, 142:15	51:8	115:17	140:14, 151:13,
herself [1] - 153:4	HOFF [27] - 1:15, 2:16,	hospice [1] - 86:10	_	152:24
heyday [1] - 70:15	17:14, 18:3, 25:23,	Hospital [2] - 34:5		inch [1] - 132:22
hi [2] - 53:21, 98:13	28:3, 65:8, 81:8,	hours [5] - 4:8, 4:10,		inches [1] - 34:24
high [8] - 58:24, 59:3,	81:15, 87:23, 88:3,	106:3, 141:19,	icky [2] - 148:10,	include [3] - 117:18,
74:7, 80:15, 97:16,	113:3, 113:8,	141:20	148:12	136:11, 137:4
97:20, 129:20,	113:15, 115:15, 115:24, 116:1	House [1] - 102:18	idea [7] - 110:17,	includes [1] - 73:17
133:17	115:24, 116:1, 110:1_110:8	house [86] - 14:19,	125:15, 148:2,	incorporated [1] -
high-end [2] - 129:20,	119:1, 119:8, 123:12, 123:18	14:21, 15:7, 22:24,	148:7, 148:22,	88:11
133:17	123:12, 123:18, 142:12, 142:18	27:12, 27:14, 34:20,	152:18, 153:6	incurred [1] - 39:14
high-risk [1] - 74:7	142:12, 142:18, 143:11, 148:18	36:5, 38:12, 42:24,	ideas [2] - 41:12,	indeed [1] - 129:24
higher [1] - 18:13	143:11, 148:18, 153:3, 153:7	43:15, 43:17, 43:18,	125:15	indicates [1] - 92:17
highly [5] - 38:16,	153:3, 153:7	44:3, 44:13, 46:25,	identical [1] - 137:12	indicating [10] - 3:11,
75:14, 116:2,	Hogan [3] - 1:8, 30:2, 33:2	47:1, 48:3, 51:21,	identified [2] - 74:6,	7:25, 13:17, 29:15,
119:11, 142:23		56:7, 56:11, 56:14,	75:8	40:8, 48:7, 60:3,
hip [4] - 10:13, 23:2,	Hogan's [8] - 73:17, 74:4, 74:6, 78:3,	56:16, 56:18, 57:25,	identify [1] - 57:22	61:22, 108:13, 127:3
73:20		58:22, 59:1, 61:1,	illustrate [1] - 26:11	inefficiencies [1] -
hired [4] - 30:14,	78:7, 79:22, 80:16, 88:11	61:5, 61:6, 61:7,	imagine [1] - 61:14	109:14
48:14, 48:15	88:11 bold rat 35:18	61:9, 61:10, 61:15,	immediate [1] - 66:10	infiltration [1] - 23:14
Historic [11] - 2:5,	hold [3] - 35:18,	65:16, 65:17, 65:24,	immediately [1] - 79:9	information [8] -
3:14, 22:6, 64:2,	123:8, 139:12	66:1, 66:4, 66:12,	imminent [1] - 48:22	22:21, 23:17, 58:8,
71:12, 73:13, 107:9,	holding [1] - 69:15	66:15, 66:17, 84:5,	impact [3] - 23:6,	96:5, 119:25,
121:13, 126:15,	hole [2] - 26:7, 69:16	95:22, 97:14, 97:16,	54:1, 54:4	139:11, 143:20,
145:3, 150:13	holes [6] - 26:8, 44:7,	98:25, 99:1, 99:8,	impacted [2] - 43:25,	144:2
historic [54] - 4:25,	96:8, 97:3, 99:22,	99:9, 99:24, 100:3,	51:20	infrastructure [2] -

88:18, 148:25	31:19, 83:15, 87:9,	joys [1] - 149:8	144:18	94:22, 95:11, 95:13,
inherited [1] - 78:9	89:7, 89:13, 89:14,	judgment [1] - 123:1	landmark [2] - 106:17,	96:25, 97:9, 98:3,
initial [1] - 146:2	95:14, 111:4, 112:4,	judicial [1] - 57:13	144:11	98:5, 98:9, 105:25
initiating [1] - 11:13	121:5, 138:16, 147:8	JULIA [1] - 1:14	landmarking [1] -	lien [4] - 36:25, 37:1,
initiation [1] - 153:16	issued [2] - 22:18,	Julia [3] - 2:20,	144:9	38:8, 67:10
inoperability [1] -	27:16	142:23, 153:24	landscaped [1] -	liens [10] - 25:19,
11:18	issues [9] - 11:14,	July [2] - 144:12,	85:15	27:7, 27:18, 28:6,
inoperable [2] - 11:6,	28:9, 86:17, 86:20,	155:15	landscaping [3] -	30:22, 30:24, 38:15,
11:15	89:10, 99:4, 125:17,	jump [1] - 9:24	85:23, 86:1, 86:4	38:19, 55:2, 68:12
inquiry [8] - 11:1,	143:23, 143:24	June [3] - 1:6, 2:1, 2:4	language [1] - 101:22	life [5] - 58:14, 58:23,
33:4, 72:20, 103:13,	Item [3] - 6:23, 21:19,		Large [1] - 1:10	59:8, 141:22, 154:6
122:12, 140:15,	106:24	К	large [1] - 99:23	lifetime [2] - 47:2,
151:18, 153:12	item [8] - 5:17, 9:6,	n	larger [2] - 83:22, 84:1	134:3
insane [1] - 51:18	10:1, 16:25, 57:1,	keep [8] - 4:14, 4:15,	last [16] - 23:20,	light [10] - 95:16,
inside [7] - 15:5, 45:2,	72:25, 110:25,	9:4, 37:9, 47:12,	24:22, 24:24, 31:21,	96:14, 100:4,
76:11, 95:22, 99:18,	139:11	115:23, 118:21,	32:21, 44:24, 47:11,	100:25, 102:17,
132:9, 132:20	Items [1] - 90:23	132:15	91:3, 91:16, 92:19,	103:3, 104:12,
inspect [1] - 30:15	items [13] - 5:25, 6:12,	keeping [1] - 66:11	126:4, 135:16,	104:14, 104:16,
inspection [5] - 23:11,	7:6, 7:13, 7:20, 7:24,	keeps [2] - 27:3, 99:6	138:2, 144:2, 144:4,	104:18
76:2, 76:8, 109:11,	9:10, 9:20, 21:16,	kept [1] - 34:7	145:9	lightly [1] - 70:8
109:12	32:16, 93:6, 106:6,	key [1] - 148:14	lastly [1] - 92:5	lime [1] - 96:15
inspector [2] - 129:23,	144:2	kids [3] - 58:20, 58:24,	lasts [1] - 135:14	Limewash [2] - 103:9,
131:15	itself [8] - 13:3, 21:5,	59:8	late [1] - 63:3	103:15
installed [3] - 18:7,	24:7, 24:18, 26:9,	kind [25] - 4:12, 5:7,	Laughter [1] - 52:14	limewash [27] - 91:8,
86:5, 97:11	116:11, 128:8, 138:2	34:10, 35:6, 65:13,	Laura [1] - 73:14	91:12, 92:5, 92:7,
installing [4] - 11:21,	_	66:20, 67:2, 68:4,	Law [1] - 125:20	92:9, 95:7, 95:8,
20:12, 20:23, 107:20	J	79:24, 84:18, 85:8,	law [1] - 151:5	95:12, 95:14, 95:16,
instance [1] - 19:24	LC - 2:10 2:12	89:22, 90:8, 99:2,	law's [1] - 100:21	96:1, 96:20, 97:18,
instead [3] - 20:19,	J.C [7] - 3:10, 3:13,	100:2, 100:6, 100:8,	lead [1] - 146:18	100:4, 100:22,
103:3, 150:5	4:21, 4:23, 5:4, 5:14, 141:25	100:15, 103:21,	leaning [2] - 69:18,	101:1, 101:20,
insulated [1] - 16:19	JACKSONVILLE [1] -	110:18, 115:16,	121:24	102:10, 102:11,
insurance [2] - 74:3,	1:1	123:6, 148:23,	learn [1] - 154:8	102:16, 102:17,
75:9	Jacksonville [12] -	152:16	least [4] - 71:2,	103:3, 103:5, 103:20, 104:10,
integrity [4] - 24:2,	1:8, 2:5, 3:14, 26:18,	kinds [2] - 8:25, 150:17	121:23, 137:19,	104:12, 104:15
30:18, 110:10,	46:6, 50:6, 77:9,	Kirby [1] - 106:16	151:4	limewashes [1] -
150:14	108:19, 129:6,	kitchen [1] - 129:25	leave [3] - 9:6, 83:7,	105:2
intent [1] - 83:6	153:10, 153:21,	knocked [1] - 44:2	84:19	limited [1] - 22:21
intention [2] - 33:23, 105:1	153:22	knowledge [1] -	leaves [4] - 44:19,	line [2] - 80:14, 142:20
	jalousie [2] - 130:2,	131:10	44:20, 45:1, 66:2 leaving [2] - 58:5, 58:7	lined [1] - 80:9
interactions [1] - 32:22	131:6	known [1] - 116:24		lipstick [1] - 112:10
interest [2] - 23:23,	jambs [4] - 10:22,	knows [3] - 82:23,	led [3] - 23:13, 23:14, 31:6	list [5] - 74:23, 83:12,
49:10	12:8, 15:5, 15:21	146:22, 153:7	leeway [1] - 35:5	83:19, 88:6, 144:25
interested [2] - 51:23,	James [4] - 22:7,	, '	left [11] - 38:15, 67:21,	listed [4] - 74:22,
59:18	33:20, 44:10, 44:20	L	68:24, 69:11, 82:15,	74:25, 114:19,
interesting [2] - 85:15,	Jane [3] - 45:18, 55:5,		99:25, 106:11,	122:14
151:21	55:11	lab [9] - 77:24, 78:25,	115:1, 127:1,	listening [2] - 45:14,
interior [7] - 11:22,	January [3] - 3:16,	79:7, 79:8, 79:13,	127:10, 143:19	141:20
15:17, 15:19, 24:18,	109:24, 109:25	80:4, 81:13, 84:2,	legislation [2] -	listing [1] - 55:24
76:4, 132:24, 154:1	JEA [7] - 77:23, 78:22,	85:6	143:20, 144:3	listings [1] - 34:10
interiors [1] - 154:4	79:8, 79:13, 81:9,	lack [3] - 23:12, 25:3,	legitimate [1] - 150:16	lite [4] - 121:9, 121:12,
intersecting [1] -	82:20, 83:13	114:25	Leigh [1] - 98:14	121:15, 122:21
10:13	Jeff [1] - 13:23	LaDew [8] - 62:2,	letter [2] - 29:4, 40:12	literally [2] - 79:16,
introduce [1] - 153:4	Jefferson [1] - 6:2	62:6, 62:11, 62:13,	letters [3] - 28:25,	150:4
introductions [1] -	Jennifer [1] - 77:7 JHPC [1] - 144:15	64:1, 64:12, 64:19,	29:7, 29:9	littered [1] - 44:5
2:10		151:14	letting [1] - 72:3	live [7] - 42:21, 57:7,
invested [1] - 117:8	Jimmy [1] - 124:21	laid [1] - 81:23	Leuthold [2] - 94:7,	57:24, 65:21,
involved [1] - 68:11	job [1] - 72:1 Joe [1] - 118:14	land [3] - 38:14, 55:2, 85:17	94:10	112:11, 117:3, 147:15
iron [1] - 79:17	join [1] - 125:6	Land [2] - 144:11,	LEUTHOLD [13] - 4:1,	147:15 lived [8] - 42:23,
issue [14] - 5:18,	Jenning 120.0	באווש בין ידד. די,	94:10, 94:15, 94:20,	114CU [0] - 42.20,

43:15, 46:22, 56:21,	142:14, 142:20	mask [1] - 80:19	meetings [3] - 4:7,	met [4] - 31:20, 33:17,
58:19, 59:2, 116:21,	Lopera [2] - 2:24,	mask-up [1] - 80:19	4:11, 125:19	109:18, 153:24
153:23	144:23	masonry [1] - 73:20	MEMBER [47] - 7:4,	metal [5] - 10:23, 12:9,
lives [1] - 149:5	loud [2] - 41:5, 65:14	masons [1] - 99:10	7:11, 7:15, 7:17,	15:7, 99:25, 132:10
living [5] - 44:13, 48:2,	louder [1] - 148:3	massing [1] - 75:19	7:25, 8:5, 8:8, 10:24,	methods [2] - 11:21,
66:5, 110:11, 149:9	love [4] - 5:11, 66:22,	Master [1] - 22:23	10:25, 13:17, 13:23,	84:7
LM-24-03 [1] - 6:2	125:15, 142:25	master [2] - 114:22,	20:2, 20:7, 20:14,	Michael [2] - 2:22,
local [1] - 144:11	loved [1] - 4:23	140:2	26:25, 27:1, 42:17,	142:8
locally [1] - 74:23	lovely [2] - 86:6, 86:8	match [2] - 99:22,	42:20, 45:21, 46:1,	MICHAEL [1] - 1:13
located [17] - 10:7,	low [1] - 4:14	133:20	49:23, 50:2, 50:5,	Michaels [1] - 60:13
22:1, 22:5, 22:6,	lower [1] - 132:11	matching [3] - 99:16,	52:16, 52:18, 52:21,	MICHAELS [3] -
73:8, 73:12, 73:13,	LS [3] - 106:12,	122:17, 122:18	52:25, 53:10, 55:6,	60:17, 60:22, 60:24
74:1, 75:7, 107:4,	106:13, 106:14	material [5] - 11:25,	55:11, 60:3, 60:6,	microphone [3] -
107:10, 107:11,	LS-23-01 [2] - 6:15,	17:24, 116:11,	60:9, 60:13, 61:22,	27:1, 27:3, 153:14
126:11, 126:15,	106:15	138:2, 138:16	62:2, 77:2, 77:7,	mid [2] - 14:18, 81:21
126:25, 127:8, 127:9		materials [4] - 25:12,	98:13, 108:13,	middle [2] - 79:17,
location [2] - 75:14,	Μ	26:1, 75:20, 96:9	108:18, 126:3,	147:11
76:18		math [1] - 115:25	126:8, 129:5,	might [9] - 7:13,
locations [2] - 124:24,	ma'am [8] - 42:25,	matrix [1] - 138:3	140:19, 140:23,	69:23, 70:9, 90:9,
138:9	43:12, 45:16, 50:14,	matter [2] - 89:21,	141:4	103:16, 119:13,
locks [1] - 132:16	57:12, 111:15,	139:23	Member [4] - 1:14,	120:3, 121:1, 137:15
logical [1] - 104:3	133:9, 134:25	matters [1] - 70:8	1:14, 1:15, 1:15	Mike [1] - 153:25
LONG [6] - 98:17,	Magistrate [6] - 22:17,	Max [3] - 2:14, 70:4,	member [15] - 8:2,	mildew [1] - 76:10
98:22, 98:24, 101:5,	27:16, 27:21, 28:14,	71:10	13:20, 42:14, 45:25,	military [1] - 51:1
101:9, 106:1	28:15, 34:1	MAXIMILIAN [1] - 1:15	50:1, 53:2, 55:9,	million [1] - 85:22
longtime [1] - 153:9	magnitude [1] - 75:12	MCC [1] - 39:23	60:25, 61:24, 77:4,	mind [7] - 53:3, 66:21,
look [22] - 15:22,	main [6] - 35:1, 51:22,	MCCD [13] - 22:12,	98:10, 108:15,	115:23, 122:15,
16:20, 18:24, 42:11,	83:1, 107:25,	22:15, 23:18, 25:16,	126:2, 129:2, 141:1	122:24, 142:25,
68:6, 68:14, 69:7,	138:15, 152:14	27:12, 28:12, 28:19,	members [2] - 50:25,	143:1
69:19, 70:13, 74:24,	Main [19] - 6:11, 7:2,	39:3, 40:19, 40:25,	57:7	minor [1] - 127:19
83:13, 83:18, 90:3,	73:2, 73:8, 73:10,	41:20, 64:1, 67:23	MEMBERS [54] - 7:21,	minute [2] - 106:4,
100:3, 100:5, 100:8,	73:14, 74:21, 78:13,	McCrary [9] - 42:13,	9:8, 9:16, 9:18,	106:5
100:17, 111:21,	78:25, 79:6, 79:12,	42:21, 43:2, 43:7,	13:12, 16:12, 17:1,	minutes [7] - 42:15,
112:7, 117:5, 136:16	80:4, 81:13, 84:2,	43:12, 43:14, 45:17,	21:11, 21:13, 28:22,	50:21, 60:12,
looked [1] - 86:25	85:5, 85:25, 86:4,	47:18, 48:20	35:15, 40:6, 42:4,	133:10, 139:24,
looking [14] - 9:1,	87:2, 87:3	mean [20] - 7:5, 12:10,	57:4, 64:22, 70:22,	140:1, 140:6
26:3, 26:13, 33:24,	maintain [4] - 37:18,	41:11, 61:17, 69:13,	72:12, 72:15, 72:17, 76:24, 87:13, 87:18,	miss [2] - 3:20, 5:6
69:13, 69:18, 80:1,	43:20, 54:4, 114:15	75:10, 103:21,	90:14, 90:16, 94:4,	missed [1] - 139:23
85:25, 88:21, 94:23,	maintaining [2] -	116:13, 117:3,	96:18, 98:1, 101:7,	missing [2] - 26:4,
99:21, 122:20,	38:11, 152:11	118:3, 118:19,	101:12, 105:9,	44:4
133:20, 145:19	maintenance [3] -	120:15, 133:22,	105:11, 105:19,	misunderstand [1] -
lookout [1] - 144:20	25:4, 74:13, 82:8	137:10, 138:11,	105:21, 106:20,	26:19
looks [6] - 51:25,	majority [3] - 25:11,	145:20, 147:20,	108:9, 112:16,	mitigation [1] - 88:25
85:15, 103:9, 105:3,	111:3, 116:1	149:17, 150:7,	112:23, 113:20,	modern [1] - 16:19
111:3, 111:4	man [2] - 47:3, 71:16 mandated [1] - 121:3	150:24	123:24, 124:2,	moisture [2] - 95:24
LOPERA [36] - 1:19, 2:24, 27:10, 27:24,	mandatory [2] - 74:4,	meaning [1] - 27:17	124:4, 124:12,	mold [1] - 76:10
28:7, 38:23, 38:25,	75:9	means [1] - 71:4	124:14, 128:24,	moment [2] - 65:11,
39:7, 39:22, 40:16,	mansion [2] - 51:25,	meantime [1] - 110:3	134:7, 134:13,	113:12
41:15, 50:18, 57:12,	52:1	mechanical [2] -	134:21, 139:2,	Moncrief [3] - 6:6,
57:16, 57:20, 63:25,	manufactured [1] -	16:14, 24:25	139:5, 139:7,	141:6, 141:8
72:21, 104:13,	111:17	medical [1] - 78:15	140:10, 140:12,	money [2] - 68:21,
113:11, 113:17,	manufacturer [2] -	meet [4] - 34:18, 95:1, 108:3, 125:16	143:15, 143:17	117:8
121:6, 123:14,	119:5, 119:16		memory [1] - 36:9	Montgomery [10] -
125:9, 125:14,	mark [1] - 18:13	meeting [14] - 2:3, 2:4, 31:21, 84:16,	mention [2] - 29:1,	6:13, 6:19, 10:1, 10:7, 17:6, 17:10
125:23, 136:8,	marked [1] - 150:2	106:10, 106:23,	35:23	10:7, 17:6, 17:10, 90:24, 98:14, 102:5,
137:9, 139:13,	market [1] - 34:11	124:20, 125:6,	mentioned [6] - 17:16,	90.24, 98.14, 102.3, 104:9
139:25, 141:5,	Marvin [5] - 126:13,	140:7, 142:17,	25:24, 26:17, 35:24,	month [3] - 58:14,
141:8, 141:10,	129:19, 129:20,	144:4, 144:16,	47:18, 117:16	91:3, 92:19
141:15, 141:24,	133:17, 133:18	148:19, 154:12	mess [1] - 146:1	MONTOYA [1] - 1:13
	-	,=		

Montova (5) - 2.22	14:15, 14:17, 16:13,	83:5, 83:11, 85:10,	12.10 65.18 145.21	51:24, 52:10, 56:14,
Montoya [5] - 2:22, 142:4, 142:8, 143:6,	14:15, 14:17, 16:13, 17:19, 18:8, 19:23,	85:13, 85:17, 86:18,	12:10, 65:18, 145:21 need [33] - 3:8, 8:6,	51:24, 52:10, 56:14, 56:22, 57:24, 65:16,
142.4, 142.8, 143.8, 153:25	20:3, 20:4, 20:8,	87:15, 98:17, 98:22,	24:13, 26:25, 29:23,	65:24, 66:5, 106:23,
	20:3, 20:4, 20:8, 20:15, 20:17, 21:24,	98:24, 101:5, 101:9,	24.13, 20.23, 29.23, 50:2, 53:8, 56:7,	125:25, 131:3,
moreover [1] - 24:1	26:5, 26:20, 27:2,			
Morgan [7] - 2:13,	28:23, 29:15, 29:22,	104:13, 106:1,	60:4, 65:13, 66:14,	139:11, 144:21
40:17, 142:1, 153:4,	28.23, 29.13, 29.22, 29:25, 30:5, 30:10,	113:11, 113:17, 121:6, 123:14,	67:7, 69:22, 71:19, 72:3, 72:9, 72:10,	nice [4] - 58:1, 97:15, 100:12
153:9, 153:14,	30:12, 31:8, 32:9,	125:9, 125:14,	73:4, 83:12, 83:18,	
153:20 MORGAN [7] - 1:14,	32:20, 33:1, 33:5,	125:23, 129:9,	85:1, 93:18, 101:19,	night [2] - 47:23, 133:7
2:12, 40:8, 40:11,	33:9, 33:14, 33:16,	129:14, 129:16,	101:25, 105:6,	non [3] - 120:20,
86:13, 153:8, 153:17	36:8, 36:12, 36:20,	132:4, 133:12,	115:22, 115:25,	137:5, 138:9
Morningside [1] - 6:8	36:22, 37:4, 37:14,	134:22, 136:8,	120:2, 125:19,	non-street-visible [2]
mortar [3] - 96:21,	37:21, 38:6, 38:18,	137:9, 139:13,	127:19, 130:6,	- 137:5, 138:9
96:23, 97:6	39:5, 40:2, 50:9,	139:25, 141:5,	136:15, 146:3	nonabrasive [1] -
most [3] - 22:25, 44:3,	50:14, 50:16, 50:24,	141:8, 141:10,	needed [2] - 27:19,	11:21
85:20	52:3, 52:6, 52:11,	141:15, 141:24,	109:13	nonallergic [1] - 92:11
mothballing [1] -	57:15, 60:17, 60:22,	142:14, 142:20	needs [10] - 14:1,	noncontributing [19] -
76:14	60:24, 62:6, 62:11,	multifamily [5] -	14:4, 45:10, 51:9,	75:1, 88:6, 107:8,
mother [2] - 100:21,	62:13, 64:12, 64:19,	22:10, 146:11,	52:7, 71:14, 71:15,	107:22, 112:4,
151:5	73:6, 91:2, 93:9,	146:21, 148:4, 151:4	96:21, 96:23, 101:23	113:22, 114:10,
mother-in-law [1] -	94:10, 94:15, 94:20,	multiple [7] - 54:15,	negatively [2] - 45:4,	114:14, 114:16,
151:5	94:22, 95:11, 95:13,	66:19, 67:23, 71:22,	51:20	114:20, 115:7,
mother-in-law's [1] -	96:25, 97:9, 98:3,	127:14, 133:25	neglect [1] - 67:1	116:4, 120:21,
100:21	98:5, 98:9, 101:21,	Municipal [3] - 22:11,	neglected [1] - 45:9	120:25, 122:7,
motion [32] - 9:10,	105:25, 107:3,	33:3, 54:3	neighbor [1] - 56:13	122:14, 122:25,
9:12, 17:7, 17:9,	108:22, 109:2,	muntins [3] - 117:18,	neighborhood [23] -	123:9, 124:23
65:1, 65:3, 71:9,	109:4, 111:5, 111:8,	121:11, 127:20	18:25, 43:24, 45:5,	none [2] - 17:3,
72:9, 72:18, 87:21,	111:15, 112:20,	MURPHY [11] - 29:15,	47:15, 54:22, 57:7,	122:23
87:22, 87:24,	114:17, 115:21,	29:22, 29:25, 30:5,	58:5, 58:7, 60:25,	nonetheless [1] -
101:14, 101:16,	115:25, 116:7,	30:10, 30:12, 31:8,	62:17, 63:6, 65:21,	75:13
102:1, 102:4, 104:7,	116:19, 117:2,	32:9, 32:20, 39:5,	84:8, 87:5, 111:22,	nonoriginal [2] -
104:8, 105:7,	117:12, 117:20,	40:2	112:12, 117:6,	10:21, 12:11
105:13, 105:15,	118:2, 118:6, 118:9,	Murphy [6] - 25:17,	120:22, 122:19,	nonresidential [1] -
112:25, 113:2,	118:17, 125:11,	27:13, 29:19, 29:25,	135:18, 144:10,	144:9
113:4, 113:9,	126:9, 136:9,	47:9, 60:13	147:4, 149:14	normal [2] - 31:14,
123:13, 123:16,	136:25, 137:22,	must [1] - 70:14	neighborhoods [3] -	118:4
123:19, 134:16,	138:21, 141:7, 141:9, 141:12,		16:1, 125:3, 151:8	North [10] - 1:8, 6:2,
134:17, 140:5,	141:9, 141:12, 141:17, 141:20,	Ν	neighboring [1] -	6:11, 7:3, 30:1, 33:1,
140:14	143:23, 144:1,		56:13	73:2, 73:8, 73:10,
mounted [1] - 15:21	144:24, 149:24,	name [28] - 8:3, 8:7,	neighbors [8] - 29:8,	77:8
move [12] - 5:18, 6:24,	151:16, 151:19,	8:8, 13:21, 13:23, 25:16, 20:21, 20:23	33:18, 43:24, 48:15,	north [1] - 79:4
35:4, 41:1, 56:21,	152:22, 152:25	25:16, 29:21, 29:23, 32:25, 42:10, 42:18,	49:14, 54:1, 62:18,	Notary [1] - 1:9
58:2, 90:20, 90:22,	MS [91] - 2:24, 3:3,	42:20, 46:2, 50:3,	67:8	note [5] - 25:15, 73:9,
106:24, 116:22,	27:10, 27:24, 28:7,	42.20, 40.2, 50.3, 53:4, 53:8, 53:22,	nervous [2] - 56:8,	74:8, 137:23, 138:7
124:7, 124:8	38:23, 38:25, 39:7,	55:10, 60:11, 61:25,	99:21	noted [2] - 20:21,
moved [8] - 21:17,	39:22, 40:16, 41:15,	62:2, 77:5, 94:8,	never [19] - 15:15, 15:18, 16:18, 28:10	107:16
46:23, 58:10, 62:16,	43:2, 43:7, 43:12,	98:11, 108:16,	15:18, 16:18, 28:10, 33:25, 34:10, 34:11	notes [2] - 23:12,
73:1, 98:25, 109:24,	43:14, 45:17, 46:4,	129:3, 153:8, 153:20	33:25, 34:10, 34:11, 58:15, 59:2, 78:10	155:11
144:4	46:10, 46:15, 46:17,	narrow [1] - 151:12	58:15, 59:2, 78:10, 78:18, 81:18, 82:8,	nothing [26] - 8:16,
moving [9] - 7:12,	49:4, 49:7, 49:16,	Nathan [1] - 8:8	82:9, 84:19, 85:11,	14:13, 30:8, 33:12,
57:24, 58:2, 58:3, 59:5, 59:7, 124:25,	49:18, 49:20, 50:18,	National [6] - 74:25,	131:9	34:9, 43:10, 46:13,
125:24, 140:4	52:13, 53:14, 53:19,	92:8, 96:6, 96:22,	new [16] - 15:24, 16:6,	47:3, 47:7, 49:12, 50:12, 53:17, 55:18
mowed [1] - 61:17	53:21, 55:15, 55:20,	103:20, 124:22	16:8, 16:20, 20:12,	50:12, 53:17, 55:18,
MR [131] - 3:1, 3:11,	55:22, 57:5, 57:12,	natural [1] - 84:4	20:23, 54:11, 54:19,	60:20, 61:9, 61:10, 62:9, 77:15, 86:19,
3:17, 3:24, 4:1, 4:2,	57:16, 57:18, 57:20,	naturally [1] - 148:24	59:1, 59:3, 60:24,	94:18, 98:20,
4:5, 5:2, 5:12, 5:15,	58:3, 58:9, 59:19,	nature [2] - 103:22,	86:8, 95:19, 117:22,	108:25, 117:23,
8:13, 8:18, 8:20,	59:22, 59:25, 63:25,	152:4	142:1, 154:6	129:12, 144:4, 146:7
10:5, 11:2, 13:1,	72:21, 77:12, 77:17,	near [1] - 95:5	next [17] - 45:18,	noticed [2] - 34:16,
14:3, 14:6, 14:10,	77:19, 77:23, 81:5,	necessarily [3] -	45:22, 46:21, 48:7,	125:19
	81:11, 81:17, 82:6,			

notification (a) 40.2	65:24, 70:8, 70:10,	Oreo (4) 100:1	nono (4) 107:01	145:2
notification [2] - 49:2, 132:3	70:23, 80:1, 80:13,	Oreo [1] - 100:1	pane [1] - 127:21	
	84:3, 88:4, 90:2,	organisms [1] - 23:15	panels [1] - 82:11	pay [5] - 38:7, 38:14, 58:21, 59:8
notified [1] - 34:18 November [2] -	95:4, 95:14, 100:19,	original [18] - 14:25, 15:9, 16:4, 16:22,	parcel [3] - 42:1, 81:10, 81:12	paying [2] - 38:4, 38:5
109:17, 110:3	113:11, 115:3,	17:22, 18:23, 18:24,	,	Pearl [1] - 77:8
Number [3] - 21:19,	119:24, 121:7,	21:1, 21:16, 79:8,	pardon [1] - 73:4	
93:12, 121:9	121:14, 127:21,	79:12, 86:25, 92:16,	Pardon [1] - 43:2	pedestrian [3] - 44:22, 148:24, 149:13
93.12, 121.9 number [7] - 5:25,	128:16, 130:1,	92:21, 128:8,	Park [8] - 6:7, 92:8,	
27:23, 48:25, 65:10,	130:2, 137:13,	130:13, 130:18,	96:6, 96:22, 103:20,	pedestrian-friendly [2] - 148:24, 149:13
65:12, 96:20, 96:21	137:23, 139:17,	133:21	124:22, 133:6, 144:10	pediment [1] - 126:18
Numbers [1] - 6:23	144:6, 147:15, 149:8	originality [1] - 19:9		• • • •
numbers [1] - 0.23	one-story [1] - 115:3	originally [6] - 30:25,	park [4] - 80:7,	Peluso [1] - 124:21
	ones [5] - 16:21,	33:16, 99:1, 102:12,	145:25, 149:12,	penalize [1] - 147:14
numerous [1] - 48:16	16:22, 133:14,	103:1, 131:6	150:4	pending [5] - 102:19,
•	135:21, 147:10	Ortega [1] - 14:24	parking [11] - 80:4,	143:20, 144:3,
0	opaque [1] - 104:22	otherwise [1] - 73:10	146:17, 147:2,	144:5, 144:25
objection [3] - 11:24,	open [11] - 10:4,	outside [4] - 5:5,	148:1, 148:9, 148:20, 149:11,	people [22] - 42:7,
12:22, 92:3	16:15, 19:13, 21:22,	15:21, 30:15, 56:6	149:20, 149:11, 149:20, 149:23,	47:22, 50:20, 50:23,
objections [3] - 91:19,	44:2, 73:4, 74:14,			51:22, 51:24, 56:8,
91:25, 92:23	93:3, 107:1, 126:5,	oven [1] - 131:5	150:1, 152:13	68:8, 68:15, 70:24,
	138:24	overall [1] - 85:5	part [27] - 32:18, 32:19, 36:3, 38:16,	71:1, 79:22, 80:7,
obligated [2] - 25:13, 128:18	opens [1] - 122:15	overgrown [1] -	41:3, 78:2, 79:12,	84:10, 141:21,
	operating [1] - 18:1	110:13	, , ,	146:19, 147:13,
observation [1] -	operational [1] - 82:17	overgrowth [4] -	79:23, 81:12, 82:16,	147:14, 148:9,
127:17	opine [1] - 28:19	44:16, 66:2, 66:5,	83:23, 83:25, 84:2,	149:3, 149:12,
obstructing [1] -	opined [2] - 19:25,	110:14	86:7, 102:13,	150:22
44:22	20:4	overlay [1] - 114:13	102:14, 116:23,	people's [1] - 146:16
obviously [4] - 14:22,	opinion [9] - 19:6,	overview [1] - 31:4	117:17, 117:19, 117:21, 130:3,	per [1] - 22:13
14:25, 19:5, 88:16 occasions [1] - 34:2	19:8, 24:5, 59:17,	owl [1] - 51:6	131:11, 132:2,	percent [6] - 69:2,
	75:17, 75:24, 86:19,	own [2] - 76:2, 147:16	149:1, 150:10,	75:12, 122:6,
occupancy [1] - 81:19	103:4, 122:4	owned [2] - 81:9,	150:11, 151:16	130:10, 133:14, 138:19
occupied [1] - 81:21	opportunity [5] -	133:4	partial [3] - 107:7,	
occur [1] - 75:13	46:18, 91:17, 109:5,	owner [25] - 22:21,	107:12, 126:24	period [2] - 23:1, 114:21
occurred [1] - 126:21	115:6, 115:18	23:20, 26:18, 26:22,	partially [1] - 131:24	
occurring [2] - 37:8,	opposed [13] - 9:15,	26:24, 31:5, 31:20,	participate [1] - 46:19	periods [1] - 99:9
144:21	21:12, 53:6, 72:16,	32:6, 32:7, 32:22,	particular [9] - 9:6,	permanently [1] - 97:12
odorless [1] - 92:11	90:15, 105:10,	33:19, 37:18, 43:19, 54:11, 66:8, 67:12,	9:25, 74:13, 74:20,	
OF [4] - 1:1, 155:1,	105:20, 124:3,	, , ,	109:13, 111:16,	permission [3] - 39:9, 64:2, 71:9
155:3, 155:4	124:13, 139:6,	67:13, 67:16, 70:11,	111:17, 117:22,	permit [5] - 24:23,
offer [1] - 34:6	140:11, 143:16,	76:15, 95:21, 104:2, 121:3	150:9	64:5, 64:11, 86:7
offered [1] - 23:19	147:9	owner/applicant [2] -	partly [1] - 74:4	permits [4] - 18:14,
office [2] - 38:1, 40:25	opposing [1] - 29:6	120:16, 134:6	parts [2] - 30:17, 99:5	24:24, 74:12, 92:14
Office [2] - 1:19, 2:24	opposite [1] - 51:14	owners [4] - 43:17,	party [2] - 9:2, 119:7	permitted [1] - 39:12
officers [1] - 31:10	opposition [2] - 52:19,	66:25, 94:12, 154:5	pass [4] - 28:24,	permitting [3] - 24:22,
officially [1] - 147:24	54:8	ownership [5] - 37:18,	45:21, 52:16, 52:17	74:11, 128:3
often [2] - 136:15,	optimism [1] - 70:2	37:20, 37:23, 38:11,	passionate [2] -	perpendicularly [1] -
145:11	option [4] - 40:18,	47:6	154:2, 154:7	148:10
OGC [1] - 41:1	40:21, 67:25, 121:14	owns [1] - 77:23	past [2] - 135:13,	personal [2] - 5:5,
old [8] - 16:2, 16:20,	options [3] - 67:4,	Gwii3 [i] - 11.20	146:22	5:17
36:2, 46:25, 95:20,	67:21, 136:4	Р	patio [1] - 99:23	personally [3] - 34:20,
100:14, 114:13,	order [11] - 2:4, 2:6,	F	pattern [10] - 10:17,	102:8, 133:16
131:11	22:18, 27:16, 27:20,	p.m [3] - 1:7, 2:1,	13:9, 107:25, 108:5,	pertains [1] - 145:1
older [1] - 69:9	28:8, 31:7, 67:7,	154:14	109:6, 115:10,	phone [1] - 111:23
once [6] - 10:15,	76:6, 106:10, 139:23	pads [1] - 148:20	121:10, 121:12,	photographs [3] -
11:16, 12:4, 30:23,	ordered [2] - 27:19,	page [1] - 131:4	146:4, 147:10	26:14, 95:3, 127:14
75:6, 107:16	28:15	Page [1] - 133:18	patterns [3] - 121:16,	photos [3] - 26:3,
one [41] - 5:17, 9:5,	ordinal [1] - 78:5	paid [3] - 45:2, 58:22,	122:21, 151:7	69:22, 122:20
9:9, 9:25, 15:6, 22:24, 31:10, 32:13,	Ordinance [3] - 22:14,	110:3	pause [1] - 26:12	picture [6] - 15:6,
22:24, 31:10, 32:13, 35:25, 37:16, 41:24,	144:8, 144:13	paint [1] - 92:11	paver [1] - 147:11	36:11, 80:11, 82:14,
59:12, 61:2, 64:8,	ordinances [1] - 144:5	painted [1] - 105:3	pavers [2] - 91:23,	133:18, 146:15
55.12, 01.2, 0 1 .0,			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Diane M. Tropia', Inc., Post Office Box' 2375', Jacksonville', FL 32203

(904) 821-0300

pictures [15] - 13:25,	police [1] - 47:21	preservation [3] -	55:5	120:8
14:18, 16:13, 24:17,	pond [1] - 82:14	4:25, 48:10, 150:13	properly [2] - 75:3,	provide [4] - 76:16,
26:11, 51:4, 69:8,	pond [1] - 62.14 ponds [5] - 73:17,	preserve [1] - 146:3	125:19	119:14, 120:4, 120:9
69:9, 69:10, 70:14,	• • • •	preserved [2] -	properties [3] - 23:8,	provided [9] - 23:18,
78:21, 111:22,	79:3, 86:20, 86:24,	•	110:18, 146:11	24:5, 24:17, 24:20,
128:12, 132:21,	87:2	115:11, 116:12	,	25:8, 75:24, 91:13,
133:13	poor [2] - 8:23, 65:22	president [1] - 143:3	property [99] - 10:7,	
piece [2] - 76:11,	porch [7] - 8:22, 8:24,	presume [2] - 52:20,	10:11, 11:4, 22:1,	92:6, 92:14
91:24	9:2, 97:4, 130:3,	63:16	22:6, 22:9, 22:14,	provider [1] - 119:8 provision [1] - 17:20
pieces [1] - 93:16	130:8, 130:24	pretty [4] - 58:22,	22:20, 22:24, 23:5, 23:7, 23:9, 23:11,	
pier [2] - 36:1, 36:2	portico [2] - 99:17,	80:20, 86:5, 153:9	, , ,	provisions [1] - 40:20
-	126:18	prevent [1] - 121:17	23:23, 24:23, 25:2,	proximity [2] - 35:1,
piers [2] - 36:6, 69:19	portion [2] - 25:25,	previous [4] - 70:19,	27:8, 28:6, 28:9, 30:15, 30:23, 30:25,	86:21
pig [1] - 112:11	111:12	89:20, 127:2, 128:10	31:5, 31:12, 32:6,	prudent [1] - 20:20
piled [1] - 44:21	portions [1] - 26:10	previously [2] - 6:12,	32:7, 33:17, 33:24,	public [19] - 7:23,
Pine [2] - 6:3, 147:6	posing [1] - 56:10	135:13	34:3, 34:8, 34:12,	10:4, 17:3, 21:22,
pipeline [1] - 145:14	position [5] - 3:22,	Previously [1] - 90:22	35:9, 37:1, 37:2,	35:3, 44:5, 64:24,
place [4] - 31:16, 37:7,	19:21, 65:19, 114:4,	primary [1] - 91:7	37:18, 37:19, 37:22,	73:4, 84:24, 87:16,
52:7, 63:8	142:2	prime [1] - 54:21	37:18, 37:19, 37:22, 37:23, 38:15, 38:17,	87:20, 93:3, 101:13,
Place [10] - 6:14, 6:19,	positive [1] - 80:17	printing [1] - 119:3	37:23, 38:15, 38:17, 38:20, 43:18, 43:20,	107:1, 113:1, 126:5,
10:2, 10:7, 17:6,	possession [3] - 38:1,	priority [1] - 152:14	38:20, 43:18, 43:20, 43:21, 44:1, 44:5,	134:15, 140:18
17:10, 90:24, 98:14,	54:23, 82:18	proactive [1] - 72:4		Public [3] - 1:9,
102:5, 104:9	possibility [3] - 25:6,	problem [8] - 42:17,	44:10, 44:11, 44:17,	143:20, 144:24
placed [2] - 37:1,	122:16, 148:20	48:17, 56:10, 62:19,	44:22, 45:4, 45:5,	publicly [1] - 52:4
38:19	possible [6] - 12:3,	89:8, 125:2, 150:20,	45:9, 45:23, 46:22,	published [1] - 29:3
placement [1] - 88:8	40:15, 59:2, 111:18,	152:3	47:4, 47:8, 48:17, 48:21, 48:24, 51:12,	pull [4] - 6:23, 97:12,
places [1] - 24:15	121:2	procedure [2] - 40:22,	48.21, 48.24, 51.12, 51:20, 54:8, 54:11,	97:17, 99:17
plan [14] - 5:21, 61:3,	possibly [1] - 117:15	57:14	55:24, 56:1, 56:3,	pulled [1] - 99:20
70:16, 83:22, 84:1,	potential [3] - 54:18,	proceeding [1] - 49:11	56:13, 56:22, 57:8,	pulling [1] - 78:20
84:12, 84:19, 84:25,	56:2, 119:13	proceedings [4] -	59:4, 59:5, 62:23,	purchased [4] -
85:3, 85:5, 85:12,	potentially [2] -	26:12, 144:23,	63:14, 65:16, 66:8,	110:17, 118:21,
86:16, 88:10, 110:12	101:19, 125:18	154:13, 155:9	67:11, 73:7, 73:9,	120:8, 120:18
Planning [5] - 1:18,	practices [2] - 20:11,	Proceedings [1] - 1:6	73:15, 73:25, 74:9,	purchasing [1] -
1:18, 3:1, 3:3, 40:19	20:21	process [15] - 36:25,	74:15, 75:6, 77:24,	109:10
plans [3] - 84:17,	prairie [1] - 10:12	40:14, 40:18, 41:13,	78:1, 107:4, 107:10,	purpose [1] - 154:7
84:18, 85:20	prairie-style [1] -	41:17, 41:19, 47:12,	107:14, 109:9,	pursue [2] - 20:10,
plantation [2] - 132:19	10:12	63:7, 63:10, 63:20,	112:5, 112:6, 112:8,	41:25
plate [1] - 142:25	precedent [2] - 148:5,	64:14, 67:15, 70:18,	114:22, 114:24,	pushed [1] - 106:3
play [1] - 71:19	148:8	71:3, 110:16	116:16, 126:11,	pushes [1] - 18:13
plays [1] - 102:13	precluded [1] - 137:12	processes [2] - 31:16,	126:14, 150:1	pushing [1] - 146:3
pleasure [1] - 4:23	predominant [1] -	37:12	property's [1] - 76:17	put [26] - 15:23, 15:25,
plight [1] - 51:11	13:6	produced [1] - 110:5	proposal [1] - 121:20	16:7, 16:19, 27:1,
plumbing [1] - 132:13	prefer [1] - 62:22	product [9] - 10:10,	propose [2] - 118:23,	31:16, 34:11, 54:18,
Plus [1] - 140:20	presence [1] - 23:15	10:18, 107:6,	142:7	85:4, 86:24, 89:6,
podium [18] - 8:2,	present [5] - 25:13,	107:24, 126:13,	proposed [7] - 10:15,	90:11, 95:16, 96:14,
13:20, 29:19, 32:23,	33:18, 117:24,	133:17, 137:25,	11:25, 107:20,	97:2, 97:18, 99:6,
42:14, 45:25, 50:1,	142:17, 149:3	138:4, 138:17	127:15, 137:25,	110:13, 112:2,
53:2, 55:9, 61:24,	PRESENT [2] - 1:12,	production [1] - 75:20	143:13, 146:19	112:10, 116:15,
77:4, 94:7, 98:10,	1:17	professional [2] - 5:9,	proposing [2] -	119:13, 123:25,
108:15, 126:2,	presentation [1] -	86:18	129:19, 129:20	132:10, 132:24,
129:2, 140:25, 141:1	19:4	Professional [2] -	prospective [1] -	133:5
point [14] - 28:11,	presented [6] - 19:15,	155:7, 155:18	76:15	puts [1] - 18:17
64:1, 68:1, 70:10,	28:10, 34:10, 91:15,	profile [1] - 133:21	protect [1] - 150:14	putting [3] - 15:17,
90:21, 104:20,	92:24, 122:4	profiled [1] - 121:11	protected [2] - 80:23,	133:1, 135:5
107:25, 117:3,	presently [1] - 46:21	progress [2] - 33:25,	130:8	
125:1, 128:9,	Preservation [9] - 2:5,	34:11	protection [2] - 49:13,	Q
129:24, 136:17,	3:15, 29:5, 48:8,	projects [3] - 143:21,	95:18	
139:22, 145:5	49:10, 53:24, 64:2,	144:25, 145:1	proud [1] - 15:22	quality [1] - 97:20
pointed [1] - 109:12	71:12, 121:13	prominent [1] - 151:2	prove [1] - 19:21	quandary [1] - 69:1
points [1] - 91:10	PRESERVATION [1] -	promote [1] - 44:14	prove [1] - 19.21 proven [2] - 120:6,	quasi [1] - 57:13
poised [1] - 5:9	1:2	pronouncing [1] -	PIOVOII[2] - 120.0,	quasi-judicial [1] -

57:13	22.12 66.25 67.10	reerests (4) 70:10	renovated [2] - 61:1,	report 101 10:2
questionable [1] -	32:13, 66:25, 67:18, 68:19, 68:22, 69:12,	recreate [1] - 79:19 recreation [1] - 79:24	133:24	report [31] - 10:3, 12:18, 17:8, 19:17,
76:20	70:14, 71:19, 72:4,	red [1] - 104:25	renovating [1] - 154:4	20:22, 21:23, 24:7,
questioned [1] - 74:19	80:25, 96:13, 97:15,	redo [1] - 147:16	renovation [2] - 66:10,	24:18, 25:20, 29:2,
questions [37] - 12:20,	97:23, 100:12,	redone [1] - 132:7	88:12	29:4, 65:2, 73:3,
13:10, 13:14, 16:9,	114:23, 116:25,	reduce [2] - 37:12,	repainted [1] - 130:25	73:23, 75:25, 78:21,
16:10, 25:22, 26:15,	117:2, 118:17,	67:16	repair [11] - 11:11,	90:25, 91:17, 92:2,
28:2, 28:20, 31:1,	120:17, 120:18,	referencing [1] -	11:13, 12:4, 21:6,	92:25, 104:18,
32:11, 35:13, 40:3,	121:5, 144:7, 146:1,	146:10	24:8, 24:14, 45:10,	113:14, 113:16,
42:2, 45:15, 76:23,	146:8, 151:3	referring [1] - 26:2	68:20, 73:25, 76:1,	113:24, 126:7,
81:6, 81:25, 86:11,	realm [1] - 68:17	refers [1] - 116:11	138:7	132:8, 135:6,
87:12, 93:4, 94:2,	Realtor [1] - 61:4	reflect [2] - 2:8,	repairable [5] -	135:11, 138:11,
96:16, 97:25, 101:6,	reason [6] - 41:24,	106:22	127:18, 135:12,	138:18, 155:9
108:7, 110:22,	51:22, 54:7, 71:10,	reflecting [1] - 104:19	135:18, 138:12,	REPORTER [54] - 8:6,
112:15, 112:17,	71:11, 137:24	regard [3] - 116:8,	138:20	8:10, 8:14, 8:19,
117:11, 128:22,	reasonable [7] -	136:4, 136:21	repaired [5] - 12:2,	14:8, 14:11, 14:16,
134:5, 134:8, 135:2,	73:25, 76:1, 128:1,	regarding [10] - 22:21,	21:7, 133:23,	29:23, 30:3, 30:6,
140:21, 141:13,	138:5, 138:6, 150:22	24:21, 31:5, 36:25,	135:13, 138:13	30:11, 33:6, 33:10,
141:16	reasonably [1] - 76:4	91:11, 91:22, 91:25,	repairing [1] - 134:2	33:15, 42:25, 43:3,
queuing [1] - 150:5	reasons [3] - 88:4,	92:2, 92:6, 114:24	repairs [7] - 85:8,	43:8, 43:13, 46:8,
quick [5] - 93:6,	88:13, 148:22	regardless [1] - 31:18	127:19, 128:1,	46:11, 46:16, 50:3,
101:16, 116:18,	rebuilt [1] - 131:8	Register [1] - 74:25	133:25, 145:2, 145:5	50:7, 50:10, 50:15,
116:20, 144:1	receive [1] - 29:4	reglazed [1] - 130:25	repeat [2] - 20:14,	53:8, 53:12, 53:15,
quickest [1] - 63:5	received [1] - 82:2	regulations [1] - 116:6	104:15	53:20, 55:13, 55:16, 55:21, 60:15, 60:18,
quickly [1] - 59:13	recent [1] - 11:10	rehabilitate [2] - 25:7,	repetitive [1] - 98:25	60:23, 62:4, 62:7,
quite [1] - 96:10	recently [2] - 95:23,	74:9	replace [10] - 10:8,	62:12, 77:10, 77:13,
R	131:1 recess [1] - 106:8	rehabilitation [3] -	10:16, 107:5, 109:19, 110:4,	77:18, 94:13, 94:16,
n	recessed [2] - 99:4,	54:19, 76:6, 76:14	110:7, 126:12,	94:21, 98:15, 98:18,
10.0	1ecesseu [2] - 55.4,	rehung [1] - 132:7	110.7, 120.12,	00.00.400.00
raccoons [1] - 48:2	130.7	nalataa wa 00.40	129.21 135.22	98:23, 108:20,
raccoons [1] - 48:2 rails [1] - 127:20	130:7 recognize [2] - 13 [.] 7	relates [1] - 93:13	129:21, 135:22, 138:8	98:23, 108:20, 108:23, 109:3,
	130:7 recognize [2] - 13:7, 72:7	relation [1] - 91:4	138:8	
rails [1] - 127:20	recognize [2] - 13:7, 72:7	relation [1] - 91:4 relative [1] - 120:17	138:8 replaced [29] - 12:3,	108:23, 109:3, 129:7, 129:10, 129:15, 155:1
rails [1] - 127:20 rain [1] - 152:10 raise [16] - 8:12, 14:8, 30:3, 33:7, 43:5,	recognize [2] - 13:7,	relation [1] - 91:4 relative [1] - 120:17 relatively [1] - 119:3	138:8	108:23, 109:3, 129:7, 129:10, 129:15, 155:1 Reporter [10] - 11:1,
rails [1] - 127:20 rain [1] - 152:10 raise [16] - 8:12, 14:8, 30:3, 33:7, 43:5, 46:8, 50:7, 53:12,	recognize [2] - 13:7, 72:7 recognizing [1] -	relation [1] - 91:4 relative [1] - 120:17 relatively [1] - 119:3 released [1] - 7:14	138:8 replaced [29] - 12:3, 14:18, 14:21, 15:13,	108:23, 109:3, 129:7, 129:10, 129:15, 155:1 Reporter [10] - 11:1, 33:4, 72:20, 103:13,
rails [1] - 127:20 rain [1] - 152:10 raise [16] - 8:12, 14:8, 30:3, 33:7, 43:5, 46:8, 50:7, 53:12, 55:13, 60:15, 62:4,	recognize [2] - 13:7, 72:7 recognizing [1] - 136:5	relation [1] - 91:4 relative [1] - 120:17 relatively [1] - 119:3 released [1] - 7:14 relocation [2] - 24:3,	138:8 replaced [29] - 12:3, 14:18, 14:21, 15:13, 18:11, 109:14,	108:23, 109:3, 129:7, 129:10, 129:15, 155:1 Reporter [10] - 11:1, 33:4, 72:20, 103:13, 122:12, 140:15,
rails [1] - 127:20 rain [1] - 152:10 raise [16] - 8:12, 14:8, 30:3, 33:7, 43:5, 46:8, 50:7, 53:12, 55:13, 60:15, 62:4, 77:10, 94:13, 98:15,	recognize [2] - 13:7, 72:7 recognizing [1] - 136:5 recollect [1] - 36:9	relation [1] - 91:4 relative [1] - 120:17 relatively [1] - 119:3 released [1] - 7:14 relocation [2] - 24:3, 76:13	138:8 replaced [29] - 12:3, 14:18, 14:21, 15:13, 18:11, 109:14, 109:23, 116:12, 127:5, 128:5, 129:18, 129:24,	108:23, 109:3, 129:7, 129:10, 129:15, 155:1 Reporter [10] - 11:1, 33:4, 72:20, 103:13, 122:12, 140:15, 151:18, 153:12,
rails [1] - 127:20 rain [1] - 152:10 raise [16] - 8:12, 14:8, 30:3, 33:7, 43:5, 46:8, 50:7, 53:12, 55:13, 60:15, 62:4, 77:10, 94:13, 98:15, 108:20, 129:7	recognize [2] - 13:7, 72:7 recognizing [1] - 136:5 recollect [1] - 36:9 recommend [1] -	relation [1] - 91:4 relative [1] - 120:17 relatively [1] - 119:3 released [1] - 7:14 relocation [2] - 24:3,	138:8 replaced [29] - 12:3, 14:18, 14:21, 15:13, 18:11, 109:14, 109:23, 116:12, 127:5, 128:5, 129:18, 129:24, 130:3, 130:4, 130:6,	108:23, 109:3, 129:7, 129:10, 129:15, 155:1 Reporter [10] - 11:1, 33:4, 72:20, 103:13, 122:12, 140:15, 151:18, 153:12, 155:8, 155:18
rails [1] - 127:20 rain [1] - 152:10 raise [16] - 8:12, 14:8, 30:3, 33:7, 43:5, 46:8, 50:7, 53:12, 55:13, 60:15, 62:4, 77:10, 94:13, 98:15, 108:20, 129:7 raised [2] - 58:11,	recognize [2] - 13:7, 72:7 recognizing [1] - 136:5 recollect [1] - 36:9 recommend [1] - 128:19 recommendation [6] - 17:8, 25:14, 76:22,	relation [1] - 91:4 relative [1] - 120:17 relatively [1] - 119:3 released [1] - 7:14 relocation [2] - 24:3, 76:13 remain [2] - 132:22,	138:8 replaced [29] - 12:3, 14:18, 14:21, 15:13, 18:11, 109:14, 109:23, 116:12, 127:5, 128:5, 129:18, 129:24, 130:3, 130:4, 130:6, 130:14, 130:19,	108:23, 109:3, 129:7, 129:10, 129:15, 155:1 Reporter [10] - 11:1, 33:4, 72:20, 103:13, 122:12, 140:15, 151:18, 153:12, 155:8, 155:18 reports [5] - 54:16,
rails [1] - 127:20 rain [1] - 152:10 raise [16] - 8:12, 14:8, 30:3, 33:7, 43:5, 46:8, 50:7, 53:12, 55:13, 60:15, 62:4, 77:10, 94:13, 98:15, 108:20, 129:7 raised [2] - 58:11, 121:11	recognize [2] - 13:7, 72:7 recognizing [1] - 136:5 recollect [1] - 36:9 recommend [1] - 128:19 recommendation [6] -	relation [1] - 91:4 relative [1] - 120:17 relatively [1] - 119:3 released [1] - 7:14 relocation [2] - 24:3, 76:13 remain [2] - 132:22, 133:15 remaining [8] - 9:10,	138:8 replaced [29] - 12:3, 14:18, 14:21, 15:13, 18:11, 109:14, 109:23, 116:12, 127:5, 128:5, 129:18, 129:24, 130:3, 130:4, 130:6, 130:14, 130:19, 130:25, 131:2,	108:23, 109:3, 129:7, 129:10, 129:15, 155:1 Reporter [10] - 11:1, 33:4, 72:20, 103:13, 122:12, 140:15, 151:18, 153:12, 155:8, 155:18 reports [5] - 54:16, 67:23, 69:5, 69:22,
rails [1] - 127:20 rain [1] - 152:10 raise [16] - 8:12, 14:8, 30:3, 33:7, 43:5, 46:8, 50:7, 53:12, 55:13, 60:15, 62:4, 77:10, 94:13, 98:15, 108:20, 129:7 raised [2] - 58:11, 121:11 raked [1] - 44:18	recognize [2] - 13:7, 72:7 recognizing [1] - 136:5 recollect [1] - 36:9 recommend [1] - 128:19 recommendation [6] - 17:8, 25:14, 76:22, 121:7, 123:15, 135:7 recommendations [1]	relation [1] - 91:4 relative [1] - 120:17 relatively [1] - 119:3 released [1] - 7:14 relocation [2] - 24:3, 76:13 remain [2] - 132:22, 133:15	138:8 replaced [29] - 12:3, 14:18, 14:21, 15:13, 18:11, 109:14, 109:23, 116:12, 127:5, 128:5, 129:18, 129:24, 130:3, 130:4, 130:6, 130:14, 130:19, 130:25, 131:2, 131:7, 131:8,	108:23, 109:3, 129:7, 129:10, 129:15, 155:1 Reporter [10] - 11:1, 33:4, 72:20, 103:13, 122:12, 140:15, 151:18, 153:12, 155:8, 155:18 reports [5] - 54:16, 67:23, 69:5, 69:22, 115:9
rails [1] - 127:20 rain [1] - 152:10 raise [16] - 8:12, 14:8, 30:3, 33:7, 43:5, 46:8, 50:7, 53:12, 55:13, 60:15, 62:4, 77:10, 94:13, 98:15, 108:20, 129:7 raised [2] - 58:11, 121:11 raked [1] - 44:18 RAP [4] - 29:5, 40:12,	recognize [2] - 13:7, 72:7 recognizing [1] - 136:5 recollect [1] - 36:9 recommend [1] - 128:19 recommendation [6] - 17:8, 25:14, 76:22, 121:7, 123:15, 135:7 recommendations [1] - 92:9	relation $[1] - 91:4$ relative $[1] - 120:17$ relatively $[1] - 119:3$ released $[1] - 7:14$ relocation $[2] - 24:3$, 76:13 remain $[2] - 132:22$, 133:15 remaining $[8] - 9:10$, 54:13, 55:1, 106:6, 127:4, 130:11, 130:20, 131:12	138:8 replaced [29] - 12:3, 14:18, 14:21, 15:13, 18:11, 109:14, 109:23, 116:12, 127:5, 128:5, 129:18, 129:24, 130:3, 130:4, 130:6, 130:14, 130:19, 130:25, 131:2, 131:7, 131:8, 131:10, 131:13,	108:23, 109:3, 129:7, 129:10, 129:15, 155:1 Reporter [10] - 11:1, 33:4, 72:20, 103:13, 122:12, 140:15, 151:18, 153:12, 155:8, 155:18 reports [5] - 54:16, 67:23, 69:5, 69:22, 115:9 representative [1] -
rails [1] - 127:20 rain [1] - 152:10 raise [16] - 8:12, 14:8, 30:3, 33:7, 43:5, 46:8, 50:7, 53:12, 55:13, 60:15, 62:4, 77:10, 94:13, 98:15, 108:20, 129:7 raised [2] - 58:11, 121:11 raked [1] - 44:18 RAP [4] - 29:5, 40:12, 58:15, 59:9	recognize [2] - 13:7, 72:7 recognizing [1] - 136:5 recollect [1] - 36:9 recommend [1] - 128:19 recommendation [6] - 17:8, 25:14, 76:22, 121:7, 123:15, 135:7 recommendations [1] - 92:9 recommended [2] -	relation $[1] - 91:4$ relative $[1] - 120:17$ relatively $[1] - 120:17$ relased $[1] - 7:14$ relocation $[2] - 24:3$, 76:13 remain $[2] - 132:22$, 133:15 remaining $[8] - 9:10$, 54:13, 55:1, 106:6, 127:4, 130:11, 130:20, 131:12 remains $[1] - 130:5$	138:8 replaced [29] - 12:3, 14:18, 14:21, 15:13, 18:11, 109:14, 109:23, 116:12, 127:5, 128:5, 129:18, 129:24, 130:3, 130:4, 130:6, 130:14, 130:19, 130:25, 131:2, 131:7, 131:8, 131:10, 131:13, 133:13, 133:19,	108:23, 109:3, 129:7, 129:10, 129:15, 155:1 Reporter [10] - 11:1, 33:4, 72:20, 103:13, 122:12, 140:15, 151:18, 153:12, 155:8, 155:18 reports [5] - 54:16, 67:23, 69:5, 69:22, 115:9 representative [1] - 25:16
rails [1] - 127:20 rain [1] - 152:10 raise [16] - 8:12, 14:8, 30:3, 33:7, 43:5, 46:8, 50:7, 53:12, 55:13, 60:15, 62:4, 77:10, 94:13, 98:15, 108:20, 129:7 raised [2] - 58:11, 121:11 raked [1] - 44:18 RAP [4] - 29:5, 40:12, 58:15, 59:9 rather [1] - 12:2	recognize [2] - 13:7, 72:7 recognizing [1] - 136:5 recollect [1] - 36:9 recommend [1] - 128:19 recommendation [6] - 17:8, 25:14, 76:22, 121:7, 123:15, 135:7 recommendations [1] - 92:9 recommended [2] - 151:17, 151:19	relation $[1] - 91:4$ relative $[1] - 120:17$ relatively $[1] - 119:3$ released $[1] - 7:14$ relocation $[2] - 24:3$, 76:13 remain $[2] - 132:22$, 133:15 remaining $[8] - 9:10$, 54:13, 55:1, 106:6, 127:4, 130:11, 130:20, 131:12 remains $[1] - 130:5$ remediate $[2] - 32:16$,	138:8 replaced [29] - 12:3, 14:18, 14:21, 15:13, 18:11, 109:14, 109:23, 116:12, 127:5, 128:5, 129:18, 129:24, 130:3, 130:4, 130:6, 130:14, 130:19, 130:25, 131:2, 131:7, 131:8, 131:10, 131:13, 133:13, 133:19, 133:22, 136:12,	108:23, 109:3, 129:7, 129:10, 129:15, 155:1 Reporter [10] - 11:1, 33:4, 72:20, 103:13, 122:12, 140:15, 151:18, 153:12, 155:8, 155:18 reports [5] - 54:16, 67:23, 69:5, 69:22, 115:9 representative [1] -
rails [1] - 127:20 rain [1] - 152:10 raise [16] - 8:12, 14:8, 30:3, 33:7, 43:5, 46:8, 50:7, 53:12, 55:13, 60:15, 62:4, 77:10, 94:13, 98:15, 108:20, 129:7 raised [2] - 58:11, 121:11 raked [1] - 44:18 RAP [4] - 29:5, 40:12, 58:15, 59:9 rather [1] - 12:2 rationale [1] - 66:7	recognize [2] - 13:7, 72:7 recognizing [1] - 136:5 recollect [1] - 36:9 recommend [1] - 128:19 recommendation [6] - 17:8, 25:14, 76:22, 121:7, 123:15, 135:7 recommendations [1] - 92:9 recommended [2] - 151:17, 151:19 recommending [2] -	relation $[1] - 91:4$ relative $[1] - 120:17$ relatively $[1] - 119:3$ released $[1] - 7:14$ relocation $[2] - 24:3$, 76:13 remain $[2] - 132:22$, 133:15 remaining $[8] - 9:10$, 54:13, 55:1, 106:6, 127:4, 130:11, 130:20, 131:12 remains $[1] - 130:5$ remediate $[2] - 32:16$, 37:11	138:8 replaced [29] - 12:3, 14:18, 14:21, 15:13, 18:11, 109:14, 109:23, 116:12, 127:5, 128:5, 129:18, 129:24, 130:3, 130:4, 130:6, 130:14, 130:19, 130:25, 131:2, 131:7, 131:8, 131:10, 131:13, 133:13, 133:19, 133:22, 136:12, 141:25	108:23, 109:3, 129:7, 129:10, 129:15, 155:1 Reporter [10] - 11:1, 33:4, 72:20, 103:13, 122:12, 140:15, 151:18, 153:12, 155:8, 155:18 reports [5] - 54:16, 67:23, 69:5, 69:22, 115:9 representative [1] - 25:16 representing [1] -
$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	recognize [2] - 13:7, 72:7 recognizing [1] - 136:5 recollect [1] - 36:9 recommend [1] - 128:19 recommendation [6] - 17:8, 25:14, 76:22, 121:7, 123:15, 135:7 recommendations [1] - 92:9 recommended [2] - 151:17, 151:19 recommending [2] - 12:15, 108:2	relation $[1] - 91:4$ relative $[1] - 120:17$ relatively $[1] - 120:17$ relatively $[1] - 119:3$ released $[1] - 7:14$ relocation $[2] - 24:3$, 76:13 remain $[2] - 132:22$, 133:15 remaining $[8] - 9:10$, 54:13, 55:1, 106:6, 127:4, 130:11, 130:20, 131:12 remains $[1] - 130:5$ remediate $[2] - 32:16$, 37:11 remediating $[1] - 31:5$	138:8 replaced [29] - 12:3, 14:18, 14:21, 15:13, 18:11, 109:14, 109:23, 116:12, 127:5, 128:5, 129:18, 129:24, 130:3, 130:4, 130:6, 130:14, 130:19, 130:25, 131:2, 131:7, 131:8, 131:10, 131:13, 133:13, 133:19, 133:22, 136:12, 141:25 replacement [17] -	108:23, 109:3, 129:7, 129:10, 129:15, 155:1 Reporter [10] - 11:1, 33:4, 72:20, 103:13, 122:12, 140:15, 151:18, 153:12, 155:8, 155:18 reports [5] - 54:16, 67:23, 69:5, 69:22, 115:9 representative [1] - 25:16 representing [1] - 94:12
rails [1] - 127:20 rain [1] - 152:10 raise [16] - 8:12, 14:8, 30:3, 33:7, 43:5, 46:8, 50:7, 53:12, 55:13, 60:15, 62:4, 77:10, 94:13, 98:15, 108:20, 129:7 raised [2] - 58:11, 121:11 raked [1] - 44:18 RAP [4] - 29:5, 40:12, 58:15, 59:9 rather [1] - 12:2 rationale [1] - 66:7	recognize [2] - 13:7, 72:7 recognizing [1] - 136:5 recollect [1] - 36:9 recommend [1] - 128:19 recommendation [6] - 17:8, 25:14, 76:22, 121:7, 123:15, 135:7 recommendations [1] - 92:9 recommended [2] - 151:17, 151:19 recommending [2] - 12:15, 108:2 recommends [2] -	relation $[1] - 91:4$ relative $[1] - 120:17$ relatively $[1] - 120:17$ relatively $[1] - 119:3$ released $[1] - 7:14$ relocation $[2] - 24:3$, 76:13 remain $[2] - 132:22$, 133:15 remaining $[8] - 9:10$, 54:13, 55:1, 106:6, 127:4, 130:11, 130:20, 131:12 remains $[1] - 130:5$ remediate $[2] - 32:16$, 37:11 remediating $[1] - 31:5$ remediation $[2] - 85:8$,	138:8 replaced [29] - 12:3, 14:18, 14:21, 15:13, 18:11, 109:14, 109:23, 116:12, 127:5, 128:5, 129:18, 129:24, 130:3, 130:4, 130:6, 130:14, 130:19, 130:25, 131:2, 131:7, 131:8, 131:10, 131:13, 133:13, 133:19, 133:22, 136:12, 141:25	108:23, 109:3, 129:7, 129:10, 129:15, 155:1 Reporter [10] - 11:1, 33:4, 72:20, 103:13, 122:12, 140:15, 151:18, 153:12, 155:8, 155:18 reports [5] - 54:16, 67:23, 69:5, 69:22, 115:9 representative [1] - 25:16 representing [1] - 94:12 reproduction [3] -
$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	recognize [2] - 13:7, 72:7 recognizing [1] - 136:5 recollect [1] - 36:9 recommend [1] - 128:19 recommendation [6] - 17:8, 25:14, 76:22, 121:7, 123:15, 135:7 recommendations [1] - 92:9 recommended [2] - 151:17, 151:19 recommending [2] - 12:15, 108:2 recommends [2] - 11:12, 11:19	relation $[1] - 91:4$ relative $[1] - 120:17$ relatively $[1] - 119:3$ released $[1] - 7:14$ relocation $[2] - 24:3$, 76:13 remain $[2] - 132:22$, 133:15 remaining $[8] - 9:10$, 54:13, 55:1, 106:6, 127:4, 130:11, 130:20, 131:12 remains $[1] - 130:5$ remediate $[2] - 32:16$, 37:11 remediating $[1] - 31:5$ remediation $[2] - 85:8$, 86:15	138:8 replaced [29] - 12:3, 14:18, 14:21, 15:13, 18:11, 109:14, 109:23, 116:12, 127:5, 128:5, 129:18, 129:24, 130:3, 130:4, 130:6, 130:14, 130:19, 130:25, 131:2, 131:7, 131:8, 131:10, 131:13, 133:13, 133:19, 133:22, 136:12, 141:25 replacement [17] - 10:9, 11:25, 12:23,	108:23, 109:3, 129:7, 129:10, 129:15, 155:1 Reporter [10] - 11:1, 33:4, 72:20, 103:13, 122:12, 140:15, 151:18, 153:12, 155:8, 155:18 reports [5] - 54:16, 67:23, 69:5, 69:22, 115:9 representative [1] - 25:16 representing [1] - 94:12 reproduction [3] - 75:21, 79:11, 84:6 reputable [1] - 118:11 request [13] - 7:2,
$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	recognize [2] - 13:7, 72:7 recognizing [1] - 136:5 recollect [1] - 36:9 recommend [1] - 128:19 recommendation [6] - 17:8, 25:14, 76:22, 121:7, 123:15, 135:7 recommendations [1] - 92:9 recommended [2] - 151:17, 151:19 recommending [2] - 12:15, 108:2 recommends [2] -	relation $[1] - 91:4$ relative $[1] - 120:17$ relatively $[1] - 119:3$ released $[1] - 7:14$ relocation $[2] - 24:3$, 76:13 remain $[2] - 132:22$, 133:15 remaining $[8] - 9:10$, 54:13, 55:1, 106:6, 127:4, 130:11, 130:20, 131:12 remains $[1] - 130:5$ remediate $[2] - 32:16$, 37:11 remediating $[1] - 31:5$ remediation $[2] - 85:8$, 86:15 remedy $[4] - 11:13$,	138:8 replaced [29] - 12:3, 14:18, 14:21, 15:13, 18:11, 109:14, 109:23, 116:12, 127:5, 128:5, 129:18, 129:24, 130:3, 130:4, 130:6, 130:14, 130:19, 130:25, 131:2, 131:7, 131:8, 131:10, 131:13, 133:13, 133:19, 133:22, 136:12, 141:25 replacement [17] - 10:9, 11:25, 12:23, 12:25, 15:1, 18:22, 107:7, 107:13, 107:20, 126:13,	108:23, 109:3, 129:7, 129:10, 129:15, 155:1 Reporter [10] - 11:1, 33:4, 72:20, 103:13, 122:12, 140:15, 151:18, 153:12, 155:8, 155:18 reports [5] - 54:16, 67:23, 69:5, 69:22, 115:9 representative [1] - 25:16 representing [1] - 94:12 reproduction [3] - 75:21, 79:11, 84:6 reputable [1] - 118:11 request [13] - 7:2, 24:4, 40:23, 40:25,
$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	recognize [2] - 13:7, 72:7 recognizing [1] - 136:5 recollect [1] - 36:9 recommend [1] - 128:19 recommendation [6] - 17:8, 25:14, 76:22, 121:7, 123:15, 135:7 recommendations [1] - 92:9 recommended [2] - 151:17, 151:19 recommending [2] - 12:15, 108:2 recommends [2] - 11:12, 11:19 record [12] - 2:8,	relation $[1] - 91:4$ relative $[1] - 120:17$ relatively $[1] - 119:3$ released $[1] - 7:14$ relocation $[2] - 24:3$, 76:13 remain $[2] - 132:22$, 133:15 remaining $[8] - 9:10$, 54:13, 55:1, 106:6, 127:4, 130:11, 130:20, 131:12 remains $[1] - 130:5$ remediate $[2] - 32:16$, 37:11 remediating $[1] - 31:5$ remediation $[2] - 85:8$, 86:15 remedy $[4] - 11:13$, 24:4, 54:10, 75:22	138:8 replaced [29] - 12:3, 14:18, 14:21, 15:13, 18:11, 109:14, 109:23, 116:12, 127:5, 128:5, 129:18, 129:24, 130:3, 130:4, 130:6, 130:14, 130:19, 130:25, 131:2, 131:7, 131:8, 131:10, 131:13, 133:13, 133:19, 133:22, 136:12, 141:25 replacement [17] - 10:9, 11:25, 12:23, 12:25, 15:1, 18:22, 107:7, 107:13, 107:20, 126:13, 126:25, 127:7,	108:23, 109:3, 129:7, 129:10, 129:15, 155:1 Reporter [10] - 11:1, 33:4, 72:20, 103:13, 122:12, 140:15, 151:18, 153:12, 155:8, 155:18 reports [5] - 54:16, 67:23, 69:5, 69:22, 115:9 representative [1] - 25:16 representing [1] - 94:12 reproduction [3] - 75:21, 79:11, 84:6 reputable [1] - 118:11 request [13] - 7:2, 24:4, 40:23, 40:25, 73:11, 79:1, 109:6,
rails [1] - 127:20 rain [1] - 152:10 raise [16] - 8:12, 14:8, 30:3, 33:7, 43:5, 46:8, 50:7, 53:12, 55:13, 60:15, 62:4, 77:10, 94:13, 98:15, 108:20, 129:7 raised [2] - 58:11, 121:11 raked [1] - 44:18 RAP [4] - 29:5, 40:12, 58:15, 59:9 rather [1] - 12:2 rationale [1] - 66:7 rats [2] - 48:3 read [3] - 5:19, 55:8, 103:15 readily [1] - 23:25 reading [1] - 40:12 reads [1] - 103:9 ready [1] - 80:10	recognize [2] - 13:7, 72:7 recognizing [1] - 136:5 recollect [1] - 36:9 recommend [1] - 128:19 recommendation [6] - 17:8, 25:14, 76:22, 121:7, 123:15, 135:7 recommendations [1] - 92:9 recommended [2] - 151:17, 151:19 recommending [2] - 12:15, 108:2 recommends [2] - 11:12, 11:19 record [12] - 2:8, 13:22, 18:12, 19:6,	relation $[1] - 91:4$ relative $[1] - 120:17$ relatively $[1] - 119:3$ released $[1] - 7:14$ relocation $[2] - 24:3$, 76:13 remain $[2] - 132:22$, 133:15 remaining $[8] - 9:10$, 54:13, 55:1, 106:6, 127:4, 130:11, 130:20, 131:12 remains $[1] - 130:5$ remediate $[2] - 32:16$, 37:11 remediating $[1] - 31:5$ remediation $[2] - 85:8$, 86:15 remediation $[2] - 85:8$, 86:15	138:8 replaced [29] - 12:3, 14:18, 14:21, 15:13, 18:11, 109:14, 109:23, 116:12, 127:5, 128:5, 129:18, 129:24, 130:3, 130:4, 130:6, 130:14, 130:19, 130:25, 131:2, 131:7, 131:8, 131:10, 131:13, 133:13, 133:19, 133:22, 136:12, 141:25 replacement [17] - 10:9, 11:25, 12:23, 12:25, 15:1, 18:22, 107:7, 107:13, 107:20, 126:13, 126:25, 127:7, 127:16, 128:11,	108:23, 109:3, 129:7, 129:10, 129:15, 155:1 Reporter [10] - 11:1, 33:4, 72:20, 103:13, 122:12, 140:15, 151:18, 153:12, 155:8, 155:18 reports [5] - 54:16, 67:23, 69:5, 69:22, 115:9 representative [1] - 25:16 representing [1] - 94:12 reproduction [3] - 75:21, 79:11, 84:6 reputable [1] - 118:11 request [13] - 7:2, 24:4, 40:23, 40:25, 73:11, 79:1, 109:6, 118:21, 135:25,
rails [1] - 127:20 rain [1] - 152:10 raise [16] - $8:12$, 14:8, 30:3, $33:7$, 43:5, 46:8, $50:7$, $53:12$, 55:13, $60:15$, $62:4$, 77:10, $94:13$, $98:15$, 108:20, $129:7raised [2] - 58:11,121:11raked [1] - 44:18RAP [4] - 29:5, 40:12,58:15$, $59:9rather [1] - 12:2rationale [1] - 66:7rats [2] - 48:3read [3] - 5:19, 55:8,103:15readily [1] - 23:25reading [1] - 40:12reads [1] - 103:9ready [1] - 80:10real [12] - 19:16, 47:6,$	recognize [2] - 13:7, 72:7 recognizing [1] - 136:5 recollect [1] - 36:9 recommend [1] - 128:19 recommendation [6] - 17:8, 25:14, 76:22, 121:7, 123:15, 135:7 recommendations [1] - 92:9 recommended [2] - 151:17, 151:19 recommending [2] - 12:15, 108:2 recommends [2] - 11:12, 11:19 record [12] - 2:8, 13:22, 18:12, 19:6, 19:25, 20:5, 52:24,	relation $[1] - 91:4$ relative $[1] - 120:17$ relatively $[1] - 119:3$ released $[1] - 7:14$ relocation $[2] - 24:3$, 76:13 remain $[2] - 132:22$, 133:15 remaining $[8] - 9:10$, 54:13, 55:1, 106:6, 127:4, 130:11, 130:20, 131:12 remains $[1] - 130:5$ remediate $[2] - 32:16$, 37:11 remediating $[1] - 31:5$ remediation $[2] - 85:8$, 86:15 remediation $[2] - 12:10$ remodeled $[1] - 14:21$	138:8 replaced [29] - 12:3, 14:18, 14:21, 15:13, 18:11, 109:14, 109:23, 116:12, 127:5, 128:5, 129:18, 129:24, 130:3, 130:4, 130:6, 130:14, 130:19, 130:25, 131:2, 131:7, 131:8, 131:10, 131:13, 133:13, 133:19, 133:22, 136:12, 141:25 replacement [17] - 10:9, 11:25, 12:23, 12:25, 15:1, 18:22, 107:7, 107:13, 107:20, 126:13, 126:25, 127:7, 127:16, 128:11, 128:17, 131:2,	108:23, 109:3, 129:7, 129:10, 129:15, 155:1 Reporter [10] - 11:1, 33:4, 72:20, 103:13, 122:12, 140:15, 151:18, 153:12, 155:8, 155:18 reports [5] - 54:16, 67:23, 69:5, 69:22, 115:9 representative [1] - 25:16 representing [1] - 94:12 reproduction [3] - 75:21, 79:11, 84:6 reputable [1] - 118:11 request [13] - 7:2, 24:4, 40:23, 40:25, 73:11, 79:1, 109:6, 118:21, 135:25, 136:11, 137:3,
rails [1] - 127:20 rain [1] - 152:10 raise [16] - 8:12, 14:8, 30:3, 33:7, 43:5, 46:8, 50:7, 53:12, 55:13, 60:15, 62:4, 77:10, 94:13, 98:15, 108:20, 129:7 raised [2] - 58:11, 121:11 raked [1] - 44:18 RAP [4] - 29:5, 40:12, 58:15, 59:9 rather [1] - 12:2 rationale [1] - 66:7 rats [2] - 48:3 read [3] - 5:19, 55:8, 103:15 readily [1] - 23:25 reading [1] - 40:12 reads [1] - 103:9 ready [1] - 80:10 real [12] - 19:16, 47:6, 54:12, 71:5, 90:6,	recognize [2] - 13:7, 72:7 recognizing [1] - 136:5 recollect [1] - 36:9 recommend [1] - 128:19 recommendation [6] - 17:8, 25:14, 76:22, 121:7, 123:15, 135:7 recommendations [1] - 92:9 recommended [2] - 151:17, 151:19 recommending [2] - 12:15, 108:2 recommends [2] - 11:12, 11:19 record [12] - 2:8, 13:22, 18:12, 19:6, 19:25, 20:5, 52:24, 53:9, 59:17, 106:22, 141:2, 155:10 records [6] - 24:22,	relation $[1] - 91:4$ relative $[1] - 120:17$ relatively $[1] - 119:3$ released $[1] - 7:14$ relocation $[2] - 24:3$, 76:13 remain $[2] - 132:22$, 133:15 remaining $[8] - 9:10$, 54:13, 55:1, 106:6, 127:4, 130:11, 130:20, 131:12 remains $[1] - 130:5$ remediate $[2] - 32:16$, 37:11 remediating $[1] - 31:5$ remediation $[2] - 85:8$, 86:15 remediation $[2] - 85:8$, 86:15	138:8 replaced [29] - 12:3, 14:18, 14:21, 15:13, 18:11, 109:14, 109:23, 116:12, 127:5, 128:5, 129:18, 129:24, 130:3, 130:4, 130:6, 130:14, 130:19, 130:25, 131:2, 131:7, 131:8, 131:10, 131:13, 133:13, 133:19, 133:22, 136:12, 141:25 replacement [17] - 10:9, 11:25, 12:23, 12:25, 15:1, 18:22, 107:7, 107:13, 107:20, 126:13, 126:25, 127:7, 127:16, 128:11, 128:17, 131:2, 137:25	108:23, 109:3, 129:7, 129:10, 129:15, 155:1 Reporter [10] - 11:1, 33:4, 72:20, 103:13, 122:12, 140:15, 151:18, 153:12, 155:8, 155:18 reports [5] - 54:16, 67:23, 69:5, 69:22, 115:9 representative [1] - 25:16 representing [1] - 94:12 reproduction [3] - 75:21, 79:11, 84:6 reputable [1] - 118:11 request [13] - 7:2, 24:4, 40:23, 40:25, 73:11, 79:1, 109:6, 118:21, 135:25, 136:11, 137:3, 137:12, 137:14
rails [1] - 127:20 rain [1] - 152:10 raise [16] - 8:12, 14:8, 30:3, 33:7, 43:5, 46:8, 50:7, 53:12, 55:13, 60:15, 62:4, 77:10, 94:13, 98:15, 108:20, 129:7 raised [2] - 58:11, 121:11 raked [1] - 44:18 RAP [4] - 29:5, 40:12, 58:15, 59:9 rather [1] - 12:2 rationale [1] - 66:7 rats [2] - 48:3 read [3] - 5:19, 55:8, 103:15 readily [1] - 23:25 reading [1] - 40:12 reads [1] - 103:9 ready [1] - 80:10 real [12] - 19:16, 47:6, 54:12, 71:5, 90:6, 93:6, 97:16, 112:1,	recognize [2] - 13:7, 72:7 recognizing [1] - 136:5 recollect [1] - 36:9 recommend [1] - 128:19 recommendation [6] - 17:8, 25:14, 76:22, 121:7, 123:15, 135:7 recommendations [1] - 92:9 recommended [2] - 151:17, 151:19 recommending [2] - 12:15, 108:2 recommends [2] - 11:12, 11:19 record [12] - 2:8, 13:22, 18:12, 19:6, 19:25, 20:5, 52:24, 53:9, 59:17, 106:22, 141:2, 155:10 records [6] - 24:22, 74:11, 82:21, 82:22,	relation $[1] - 91:4$ relative $[1] - 120:17$ relatively $[1] - 119:3$ released $[1] - 7:14$ relocation $[2] - 24:3$, 76:13 remain $[2] - 132:22$, 133:15 remaining $[8] - 9:10$, 54:13, 55:1, 106:6, 127:4, 130:11, 130:20, 131:12 remains $[1] - 130:5$ remediate $[2] - 32:16$, 37:11 remediating $[1] - 31:5$ remediation $[2] - 85:8$, 86:15 remediation $[2] - 85:8$, 86:11 remediation $[2] - 85:8$, 86:12 remediation $[2] - 85:8$, 86:13 remediation $[2] - 85:8$, 86:14 remediation $[2] - 85:8$, 86:15 remediation $[3] - 85:8$, 86:15 remediation	138:8 replaced [29] - 12:3, 14:18, 14:21, 15:13, 18:11, 109:14, 109:23, 116:12, 127:5, 128:5, 129:18, 129:24, 130:3, 130:4, 130:6, 130:14, 130:19, 130:25, 131:2, 131:7, 131:8, 131:10, 131:13, 133:13, 133:19, 133:22, 136:12, 141:25 replacement [17] - 10:9, 11:25, 12:23, 12:25, 15:1, 18:22, 107:7, 107:13, 107:20, 126:13, 126:25, 127:7, 127:16, 128:11, 128:17, 131:2, 137:25 replacements [2] -	108:23, 109:3, 129:7, 129:10, 129:15, 155:1 Reporter [10] - 11:1, 33:4, 72:20, 103:13, 122:12, 140:15, 151:18, 153:12, 155:8, 155:18 reports [5] - 54:16, 67:23, 69:5, 69:22, 115:9 representative [1] - 25:16 representing [1] - 94:12 reproduction [3] - 75:21, 79:11, 84:6 reputable [1] - 118:11 request [13] - 7:2, 24:4, 40:23, 40:25, 73:11, 79:1, 109:6, 118:21, 135:25, 136:11, 137:3, 137:12, 137:14 requested [1] - 104:20
rails [1] - 127:20 rain [1] - 152:10 raise [16] - 8:12, 14:8, 30:3, 33:7, 43:5, 46:8, 50:7, 53:12, 55:13, 60:15, 62:4, 77:10, 94:13, 98:15, 108:20, 129:7 raised [2] - 58:11, 121:11 raked [1] - 44:18 RAP [4] - 29:5, 40:12, 58:15, 59:9 rather [1] - 12:2 rationale [1] - 66:7 rats [2] - 48:3 read [3] - 5:19, 55:8, 103:15 readily [1] - 23:25 reading [1] - 40:12 reads [1] - 103:9 ready [1] - 80:10 real [12] - 19:16, 47:6, 54:12, 71:5, 90:6, 93:6, 97:16, 112:1, 116:17, 116:19,	recognize [2] - 13:7, 72:7 recognizing [1] - 136:5 recollect [1] - 36:9 recommend [1] - 128:19 recommendation [6] - 17:8, 25:14, 76:22, 121:7, 123:15, 135:7 recommendations [1] - 92:9 recommended [2] - 151:17, 151:19 recommending [2] - 12:15, 108:2 recommends [2] - 11:12, 11:19 record [12] - 2:8, 13:22, 18:12, 19:6, 19:25, 20:5, 52:24, 53:9, 59:17, 106:22, 141:2, 155:10 records [6] - 24:22, 74:11, 82:21, 82:22, 126:20, 127:3	relation $[1] - 91:4$ relative $[1] - 120:17$ relatively $[1] - 119:3$ released $[1] - 7:14$ relocation $[2] - 24:3$, 76:13 remain $[2] - 132:22$, 133:15 remaining $[8] - 9:10$, 54:13, 55:1, 106:6, 127:4, 130:11, 130:20, 131:12 remains $[1] - 130:5$ remediate $[2] - 32:16$, 37:11 remediating $[1] - 31:5$ remediation $[2] - 85:8$, 86:15 remediation $[2] - 85:8$, 86:15 remedy $[4] - 11:13$, 24:4, 54:10, 75:22 remind $[1] - 125:10$ remodeled $[1] - 14:21$ removal $[1] - 74:17$ remove $[2] - 79:2$,	138:8 replaced [29] - 12:3, 14:18, 14:21, 15:13, 18:11, 109:14, 109:23, 116:12, 127:5, 128:5, 129:18, 129:24, 130:3, 130:4, 130:6, 130:14, 130:19, 130:25, 131:2, 131:7, 131:8, 131:10, 131:13, 133:13, 133:19, 133:22, 136:12, 141:25 replacement [17] - 10:9, 11:25, 12:23, 12:25, 15:1, 18:22, 107:7, 107:13, 107:20, 126:13, 126:25, 127:7, 127:16, 128:11, 128:17, 131:2, 137:25 replacements [2] - 116:9, 137:6	108:23, 109:3, 129:7, 129:10, 129:15, 155:1 Reporter [10] - 11:1, 33:4, 72:20, 103:13, 122:12, 140:15, 151:18, 153:12, 155:8, 155:18 reports [5] - 54:16, 67:23, 69:5, 69:22, 115:9 representative [1] - 25:16 representing [1] - 94:12 reproduction [3] - 75:21, 79:11, 84:6 reputable [1] - 118:11 request [13] - 7:2, 24:4, 40:23, 40:25, 73:11, 79:1, 109:6, 118:21, 135:25, 136:11, 137:3, 137:12, 137:14 requested [1] - 104:20 requesting [2] - 93:21,
rails [1] - 127:20 rain [1] - 152:10 raise [16] - 8:12, 14:8, 30:3, 33:7, 43:5, 46:8, 50:7, 53:12, 55:13, 60:15, 62:4, 77:10, 94:13, 98:15, 108:20, 129:7 raised [2] - 58:11, 121:11 raked [1] - 44:18 RAP [4] - 29:5, 40:12, 58:15, 59:9 rather [1] - 12:2 rationale [1] - 66:7 rats [2] - 48:3 read [3] - 5:19, 55:8, 103:15 readily [1] - 23:25 reading [1] - 40:12 reads [1] - 103:9 ready [1] - 80:10 real [12] - 19:16, 47:6, 54:12, 71:5, 90:6, 93:6, 97:16, 112:1, 116:17, 116:19, 150:18, 153:3	recognize [2] - 13:7, 72:7 recognizing [1] - 136:5 recollect [1] - 36:9 recommend [1] - 128:19 recommendation [6] - 17:8, 25:14, 76:22, 121:7, 123:15, 135:7 recommendations [1] - 92:9 recommended [2] - 151:17, 151:19 recommending [2] - 12:15, 108:2 recommends [2] - 11:12, 11:19 record [12] - 2:8, 13:22, 18:12, 19:6, 19:25, 20:5, 52:24, 53:9, 59:17, 106:22, 141:2, 155:10 records [6] - 24:22, 74:11, 82:21, 82:22, 126:20, 127:3 recoup [1] - 39:16	relation $[1] - 91:4$ relative $[1] - 120:17$ relatively $[1] - 119:3$ released $[1] - 7:14$ relocation $[2] - 24:3$, 76:13 remain $[2] - 132:22$, 133:15 remaining $[8] - 9:10$, 54:13, 55:1, 106:6, 127:4, 130:11, 130:20, 131:12 remains $[1] - 130:5$ remediate $[2] - 32:16$, 37:11 remediating $[1] - 31:5$ remediation $[2] - 85:8$, 86:15 remediation $[2] - 85:8$, 86:15 remedy $[4] - 11:13$, 24:4, 54:10, 75:22 remind $[1] - 125:10$ remodeled $[1] - 14:21$ removal $[1] - 74:17$ remove $[2] - 79:2$, 150:3	138:8 replaced [29] - 12:3, 14:18, 14:21, 15:13, 18:11, 109:14, 109:23, 116:12, 127:5, 128:5, 129:18, 129:24, 130:3, 130:4, 130:6, 130:14, 130:19, 130:25, 131:2, 131:7, 131:8, 131:10, 131:13, 133:13, 133:19, 133:22, 136:12, 141:25 replacement [17] - 10:9, 11:25, 12:23, 12:25, 15:1, 18:22, 107:7, 107:13, 107:20, 126:13, 126:25, 127:7, 127:16, 128:11, 128:17, 131:2, 137:25 replacements [2] - 116:9, 137:6 replacing [4] - 20:20,	108:23, 109:3, 129:7, 129:10, 129:15, 155:1 Reporter [10] - 11:1, 33:4, 72:20, 103:13, 122:12, 140:15, 151:18, 153:12, 155:8, 155:18 reports [5] - 54:16, 67:23, 69:5, 69:22, 115:9 representative [1] - 25:16 representing [1] - 94:12 reproduction [3] - 75:21, 79:11, 84:6 reputable [1] - 118:11 request [13] - 7:2, 24:4, 40:23, 40:25, 73:11, 79:1, 109:6, 118:21, 135:25, 136:11, 137:3, 137:12, 137:14 requested [1] - 104:20 requesting [2] - 93:21, 106:17
rails [1] - 127:20 rain [1] - 152:10 raise [16] - 8:12, 14:8, 30:3, 33:7, 43:5, 46:8, 50:7, 53:12, 55:13, 60:15, 62:4, 77:10, 94:13, 98:15, 108:20, 129:7 raised [2] - 58:11, 121:11 raked [1] - 44:18 RAP [4] - 29:5, 40:12, 58:15, 59:9 rather [1] - 12:2 rationale [1] - 66:7 rats [2] - 48:3 read [3] - 5:19, 55:8, 103:15 readily [1] - 23:25 readily [1] - 23:25 readily [1] - 103:9 ready [1] - 80:10 real [12] - 19:16, 47:6, 54:12, 71:5, 90:6, 93:6, 97:16, 112:1, 116:17, 116:19, 150:18, 153:3 realistic [1] - 66:11	recognize [2] - 13:7, 72:7 recognizing [1] - 136:5 recollect [1] - 36:9 recommend [1] - 128:19 recommendation [6] - 17:8, 25:14, 76:22, 121:7, 123:15, 135:7 recommendations [1] - 92:9 recommended [2] - 151:17, 151:19 recommendig [2] - 12:15, 108:2 recommends [2] - 11:12, 11:19 record [12] - 2:8, 13:22, 18:12, 19:6, 19:25, 20:5, 52:24, 53:9, 59:17, 106:22, 141:2, 155:10 records [6] - 24:22, 74:11, 82:21, 82:22, 126:20, 127:3 recoup [1] - 39:16 recourse [6] - 19:12,	relation $[1] - 91:4$ relative $[1] - 120:17$ relatively $[1] - 119:3$ released $[1] - 7:14$ relocation $[2] - 24:3$, 76:13 remain $[2] - 132:22$, 133:15 remaining $[8] - 9:10$, 54:13, 55:1, 106:6, 127:4, 130:11, 130:20, 131:12 remains $[1] - 130:5$ remediate $[2] - 32:16$, 37:11 remediating $[1] - 31:5$ remediating $[1] - 31:5$ remediation $[2] - 85:8$, 86:15 remedy $[4] - 11:13$, 24:4, 54:10, 75:22 remind $[1] - 125:10$ remodeled $[1] - 14:21$ removed $[4] - 74:17$ removed $[4] - 26:9$, 93:18, 95:22, 132:6 removing $[5] - 11:19$,	138:8 replaced [29] - 12:3, 14:18, 14:21, 15:13, 18:11, 109:14, 109:23, 116:12, 127:5, 128:5, 129:18, 129:24, 130:3, 130:4, 130:6, 130:14, 130:19, 130:25, 131:2, 131:7, 131:8, 131:10, 131:13, 133:13, 133:19, 133:22, 136:12, 141:25 replacement [17] - 10:9, 11:25, 12:23, 12:25, 15:1, 18:22, 107:7, 107:13, 107:20, 126:13, 126:25, 127:7, 127:16, 128:11, 128:17, 131:2, 137:25 replacements [2] - 116:9, 137:6 replacing [4] - 20:20, 109:7, 133:23, 134:1	108:23, 109:3, 129:7, 129:10, 129:15, 155:1 Reporter [10] - 11:1, 33:4, 72:20, 103:13, 122:12, 140:15, 151:18, 153:12, 155:8, 155:18 reports [5] - 54:16, 67:23, 69:5, 69:22, 115:9 representative [1] - 25:16 representing [1] - 94:12 reproduction [3] - 75:21, 79:11, 84:6 reputable [1] - 118:11 request [13] - 7:2, 24:4, 40:23, 40:25, 73:11, 79:1, 109:6, 118:21, 135:25, 136:11, 137:3, 137:12, 137:14 requested [1] - 104:20 requesting [2] - 93:21,
rails [1] - 127:20 rain [1] - 152:10 raise [16] - 8:12, 14:8, 30:3, 33:7, 43:5, 46:8, 50:7, 53:12, 55:13, 60:15, 62:4, 77:10, 94:13, 98:15, 108:20, 129:7 raised [2] - 58:11, 121:11 raked [1] - 44:18 RAP [4] - 29:5, 40:12, 58:15, 59:9 rather [1] - 12:2 rationale [1] - 66:7 rats [2] - 48:3 read [3] - 5:19, 55:8, 103:15 readily [1] - 23:25 reading [1] - 40:12 reads [1] - 103:9 ready [1] - 80:10 real [12] - 19:16, 47:6, 54:12, 71:5, 90:6, 93:6, 97:16, 112:1, 116:17, 116:19, 150:18, 153:3	recognize [2] - 13:7, 72:7 recognizing [1] - 136:5 recollect [1] - 36:9 recommend [1] - 128:19 recommendation [6] - 17:8, 25:14, 76:22, 121:7, 123:15, 135:7 recommendations [1] - 92:9 recommended [2] - 151:17, 151:19 recommending [2] - 12:15, 108:2 recommends [2] - 11:12, 11:19 record [12] - 2:8, 13:22, 18:12, 19:6, 19:25, 20:5, 52:24, 53:9, 59:17, 106:22, 141:2, 155:10 records [6] - 24:22, 74:11, 82:21, 82:22, 126:20, 127:3 recoup [1] - 39:16	relation $[1] - 91:4$ relative $[1] - 120:17$ relatively $[1] - 119:3$ released $[1] - 7:14$ relocation $[2] - 24:3$, 76:13 remain $[2] - 132:22$, 133:15 remaining $[8] - 9:10$, 54:13, 55:1, 106:6, 127:4, 130:11, 130:20, 131:12 remains $[1] - 130:5$ remediate $[2] - 32:16$, 37:11 remediating $[1] - 31:5$ remediating $[1] - 31:5$ remediation $[2] - 85:8$, 86:15 remedy $[4] - 11:13$, 24:4, 54:10, 75:22 remind $[1] - 125:10$ remodeled $[1] - 14:21$ removal $[1] - 74:17$ removed $[4] - 26:9$, 93:18, 95:22, 132:6	138:8 replaced [29] - 12:3, 14:18, 14:21, 15:13, 18:11, 109:14, 109:23, 116:12, 127:5, 128:5, 129:18, 129:24, 130:3, 130:4, 130:6, 130:14, 130:19, 130:25, 131:2, 131:7, 131:8, 131:10, 131:13, 133:13, 133:19, 133:22, 136:12, 141:25 replacement [17] - 10:9, 11:25, 12:23, 12:25, 15:1, 18:22, 107:7, 107:13, 107:20, 126:13, 126:25, 127:7, 127:16, 128:11, 128:17, 131:2, 137:25 replacements [2] - 116:9, 137:6 replacing [4] - 20:20,	108:23, 109:3, 129:7, 129:10, 129:15, 155:1 Reporter [10] - 11:1, 33:4, 72:20, 103:13, 122:12, 140:15, 151:18, 153:12, 155:8, 155:18 reports [5] - 54:16, 67:23, 69:5, 69:22, 115:9 representative [1] - 25:16 representing [1] - 94:12 reproduction [3] - 75:21, 79:11, 84:6 reputable [1] - 118:11 request [13] - 7:2, 24:4, 40:23, 40:25, 73:11, 79:1, 109:6, 118:21, 135:25, 136:11, 137:3, 137:12, 137:14 requested [1] - 104:20 requesting [2] - 93:21, 106:17 requests [2] - 145:23,

111:2	116:22	routine [2] - 25:3,	61:8, 67:3, 67:25,	Shakes [1] - 52:21
requires [1] - 76:5	return [3] - 24:21,	74:13	68:5, 69:8, 78:7,	shall [7] - 12:2, 93:14,
reside [2] - 46:5,	117:15, 121:18	row [1] - 3:12	79:23, 86:3, 89:14,	96:22, 103:10,
46:21	reuse [2] - 76:14,	ruled [1] - 110:18	96:5, 104:25,	103:15, 104:10,
residence [2] - 22:4,	84:20	rules [1] - 84:9	111:10, 117:7,	121:10
51:7	reviewing [1] - 29:12	runoff [1] - 87:7	130:23, 132:21,	Shannon [4] - 53:1,
resident [4] - 62:16,	revise [1] - 117:17	runs [1] - 97:5	135:4, 137:7,	53:10, 53:22, 62:21
110:11, 153:9,	revising [1] - 104:10		139:10, 146:16	shape [1] - 65:17
153:21	revisions [1] - 114:9	S	seed [1] - 79:3	sheathing [2] - 69:13,
residential [2] - 34:14,	Revival [2] - 22:25,		seeing [3] - 69:17,	73:21
148:6	126:16	safe [1] - 99:7	85:24, 146:5	shelf [1] - 118:8
residents [1] - 67:9	reward [1] - 66:25	safety [5] - 31:19,	seek [1] - 41:9	Shelley [2] - 46:1, 46:5
resolution [1] - 71:7	ribbon [1] - 147:10	43:23, 48:12, 68:14,	seeking [1] - 10:16	shifted [1] - 87:6
resolve [2] - 28:5,	Richmond [1] - 5:25	89:13	seeks [5] - 10:8, 22:2,	shifting [3] - 151:1,
86:17	rid [1] - 85:1	sake [1] - 60:11	73:11, 107:5, 126:12	151:6, 151:7
resolved [1] - 28:10	right-of-way [4] -	salvage [1] - 68:20	seem [4] - 21:2, 67:5,	shims [1] - 132:13
respect [1] - 59:9	44:17, 130:12,	sanity [1] - 47:12	67:8, 135:14	shingle [1] - 10:14
respectfully [1] -	131:19, 147:1	sash [7] - 15:1,	selected [1] - 138:17	shingles [1] - 44:9
64:12	risk [1] - 74:7	107:18, 107:21,	sell [10] - 33:24, 34:8,	Shmoe [1] - 118:14
response [43] - 7:21,	River [1] - 100:23	121:10, 132:10,	41:10, 51:21, 56:11,	short [3] - 22:12, 29:6,
9:8, 9:16, 13:12,	Riverside [26] - 6:9,	132:11, 132:23	56:16, 56:21, 58:23,	138:14
16:12, 17:1, 21:13,	6:10, 6:18, 7:1,	sashes [2] - 127:19,	79:25	shove [1] - 132:14
28:22, 35:15, 40:6,	21:21, 22:1, 22:5,	132:15	seller [1] - 45:22	show [7] - 11:17,
42:4, 57:4, 64:22,	22:7, 23:1, 29:5,	save [2] - 80:5, 88:18	sellers [1] - 55:23	16:13, 48:6, 69:23,
70:22, 72:12, 72:17,	42:21, 46:6, 48:8,	saved [2] - 126:3,	selling [2] - 23:23,	80:12, 91:23, 110:20
76:24, 87:13, 87:18,	49:9, 50:6, 53:23,	141:19	65:16	showed [4] - 34:1,
90:16, 94:4, 96:18,	60:14, 62:3, 65:4,	saving [3] - 67:22,	sells [1] - 80:1	95:3, 109:21
98:1, 101:7, 101:12,	107:9, 125:25,	84:7, 89:15	Selma [1] - 6:1	showing [4] - 56:1,
105:11, 105:21,	126:11, 126:15,	savings [2] - 58:23,	sense [2] - 58:17,	69:12, 146:15,
106:20, 108:9,	129:6, 145:3, 151:11	59:8	100:8	146:24
112:16, 112:23,	Riverside/Avondale	saw [3] - 83:23, 84:3,	sent [1] - 96:6	showings [1] - 55:25
113:20, 123:24,	[2] - 124:24, 152:9	122:23	sentence [1] - 49:6	shown [1] - 57:8
124:4, 124:14,	Road [6] - 6:6, 6:15,	scale [1] - 83:22	sentences [1] - 133:11	shows [2] - 15:6,
128:24, 134:7,	55:12, 106:15,	scenario [4] - 54:21,	sentiments [1] - 70:6	92:16
134:13, 134:21,	141:6, 141:8	83:10, 89:3, 136:24	separate [3] - 40:22,	shrubbery [2] -
139:2, 139:7,	Robert [1] - 141:3	scheduled [1] -	41:17, 49:11	130:17, 132:1
140:12, 143:17	rods [2] - 79:17, 99:25	144:20	September [1] -	shutters [4] - 10:14,
rest [2] - 99:6, 152:16	role [3] - 142:12,	school [3] - 58:24,	109:10	97:10, 132:20,
restate [1] - 114:4	142:18, 143:2	59:3, 111:25	septic [1] - 92:10	132:24
restorable [3] - 35:11,	rolling [7] - 28:8,	scopes [1] - 91:9	seriously [1] - 48:9	sic [5] - 16:4, 16:8,
59:16, 61:10	28:18, 37:4, 39:2,	scroll [1] - 26:10	serve [1] - 5:1	37:8, 47:3, 124:9
restoration [7] -	39:7, 39:20, 47:13	searching [1] - 100:6	served [1] - 3:21	side [18] - 10:22, 12:8,
18:21, 20:11, 20:21,	roof [15] - 10:13, 23:2,	seasonally [1] - 87:10	Service [4] - 96:7,	35:2, 51:12, 51:14,
35:7, 35:9, 54:19,	24:14, 26:8, 26:9,	second [13] - 9:14,	96:23, 103:21,	63:4, 107:11, 127:1,
102:15	34:17, 34:25, 44:8,	15:10, 17:11, 65:6,	124:22	127:9, 127:10,
restore [3] - 62:23,	44:9, 69:16, 73:21,	69:7, 88:1, 102:6,	Service's [1] - 92:8	130:8, 130:12,
68:8, 76:6	73:22, 78:12, 97:5,	105:17, 113:18,	serving [2] - 3:13,	130:20, 131:12,
restored [4] - 30:17,	126:18	123:22, 124:10,	4:24	131:18, 131:20,
68:7, 127:5, 128:2	room [3] - 36:22,	134:19, 140:8	set [11] - 14:6, 30:13,	131:21, 132:14
result [6] - 22:16,	132:18, 132:24	secretary [5] - 142:4,	61:5, 71:9, 78:15,	sides [2] - 73:16,
23:14, 23:21, 43:23,	Room [1] - 1:8	142:10, 142:13,	84:3, 84:18, 89:22,	86:21
74:17, 137:15	rooms [2] - 78:16,	142:15, 143:10	124:20, 125:6, 148:8	sidewalk [8] - 44:11,
retain [2] - 37:22,	78:17	Secretary [1] - 1:13	setback [1] - 130:16	51:15, 51:18, 94:24,
61:14	ropes [1] - 132:12	section [1] - 9:25	setting [1] - 146:4	95:9, 145:2
retained [1] - 54:14	rot [3] - 76:10, 127:23,	Section [1] - 143:19	settle [2] - 30:24,	sidewalks [5] - 44:20,
retardant [1] - 92:10	128:15	secure [1] - 44:1	37:24	91:11, 92:3, 93:13,
retention [6] - 73:17,	rotted [2] - 61:16,	see [30] - 5:7, 18:21,	settled [3] - 30:22,	95:2
79:3, 82:14, 86:20,	95:23	26:3, 26:4, 34:25,	55:2, 67:15	siding [4] - 23:3,
86:24, 87:2	rotten [1] - 99:19	36:11, 48:7, 51:3,	several [3] - 26:8,	24:13, 26:9, 126:19
retired [2] - 116:21,	route [1] - 39:13	51:4, 51:5, 54:8,	33:21, 34:2	sign [1] - 48:8
-	-	•	■ - · · · · ·	•

significance [10] -	sometimes [1] - 4:8	spiral [1] - 73:21	standpoint [3] - 117:5,	15:17, 15:19, 15:20,
12:13, 17:23, 19:1,	son [3] - 46:24, 58:11,	spite [1] - 129:22	146:2, 152:2	74:7, 75:10, 75:12,
20:8, 24:15, 75:3,	59:15	spoken [2] - 57:3,	Stands [1] - 57:15	75:15, 132:25,
90:6, 114:21,	Sons [1] - 106:16	111:10	start [8] - 2:11, 6:21,	133:1, 133:5
114:25, 128:7	sorry [29] - 8:6, 26:13,	spots [1] - 99:13	21:19, 42:13, 42:16,	stormwater [1] - 145:4
significant [9] - 23:6,	26:19, 28:23, 35:19,	spouse [1] - 50:17	106:25, 145:7, 148:9	stormy [1] - 44:8
25:7, 25:25, 43:22,	35:22, 41:5, 43:4,	spread [1] - 44:14	started [8] - 3:7, 4:7,	story [9] - 4:20, 22:4,
44:16, 76:5, 117:20,	49:17, 50:18, 50:19,	spring [1] - 12:9	17:7, 43:14, 49:7,	22:9, 91:5, 92:18,
127:22, 130:9	52:3, 55:7, 57:12,	Springfield [6] -	58:24, 71:2, 80:1	107:8, 115:3, 141:22
signs [1] - 11:17	57:24, 69:25, 72:23,	73:12, 77:24,	starting [1] - 132:12	Street [47] - 1:8, 6:1,
sills [1] - 127:20	91:6, 106:4, 111:19,	144:14, 145:2,	State [1] - 1:10	6:2, 6:3, 6:5, 6:7,
Simultaneous [2] -	112:10, 113:11,	153:10, 153:23	state [18] - 8:3, 13:21,	6:8, 6:11, 6:18, 7:2,
102:21, 139:18	122:13, 133:9,	springs [1] - 15:3	46:2, 50:3, 53:3,	8:9, 13:24, 22:8,
single [4] - 56:1,	140:16, 140:17,	square [1] - 126:19	53:5, 60:11, 61:25,	30:2, 33:2, 44:11,
148:6, 151:3	141:18, 143:25,	Square [1] 120.10 St [2] - 34:5	77:5, 80:6, 81:16,	44:20, 73:2, 73:8,
single-family [2] -	151:20	stacking [1] - 150:6	82:4, 94:8, 98:11,	73:10, 73:14, 73:15,
148:6, 151:3	sort [14] - 18:15, 21:4,	staff [84] - 4:17, 10:3,	108:16, 117:24,	74:22, 77:8, 78:13,
sit [1] - 147:12	27:8, 54:18, 68:17,	11:3, 11:12, 11:18,	128:7, 129:3	78:25, 79:6, 79:13,
site [25] - 11:3, 11:4,	70:25, 82:7, 82:25,	11:24, 12:18, 12:20,	STATE [1] - 155:3	80:4, 81:13, 84:2,
11:5, 11:17, 13:3,	83:10, 90:7, 91:18,	13:11, 13:14, 17:8,	statement [3] - 59:13,	85:6, 85:25, 86:4,
24:9, 26:18, 34:19,	93:14, 117:16,	17:15, 18:5, 19:3,	59:14, 77:22	87:2, 87:3, 94:11,
54:20, 75:23, 76:3,	146:12	19:6, 19:14, 19:16,	statements [3] -	107:5, 108:19,
77:25, 78:25, 79:8,	SOS [1] - 144:14	19:21, 20:19, 21:23,	28:25, 89:21, 90:1	109:8, 111:25,
80:4, 80:8, 81:13,	sounds [2] - 63:9,	22:21, 23:11, 24:9,	states [1] - 17:21	124:17, 133:6,
84:11, 84:16,	138:17	24:20, 24:25, 25:8,	stating [4] - 29:20,	144:17, 147:7
107:15, 109:21,	South [2] - 6:15,	25:15, 25:22, 25:24,	93:14, 93:23, 96:7	street [16] - 15:8, 16:3,
114:22, 127:12,	106:15	26:15, 28:2, 28:4,	status [4] - 37:20,	35:2, 42:23, 43:15,
128:8	south [4] - 73:18,	28:21, 65:2, 70:17,	74:18, 76:20, 116:10	61:1, 67:9, 95:5,
Site [1] - 22:23	74:6, 78:6, 79:5	74:8, 74:16, 75:2,	stay [3] - 9:23, 58:2,	100:10, 100:17,
sitting [1] - 59:15	southeast [1] - 22:10	75:17, 76:3, 76:16,	84:14	115:19, 116:2,
situation [11] - 22:19,	southwest [1] - 22:9	76:21, 76:23, 80:18,	stayed [1] - 99:9	137:5, 138:9, 149:23
47:24, 48:5, 65:10,	space [7] - 36:19,	88:4, 90:25, 91:1,	stems [1] - 74:4	street-visible [1] -
66:16, 109:9, 110:9,	84:17, 88:10,	91:15, 91:17, 92:1,	stenographic [1] -	137:5
110:21, 111:21,	132:17, 148:1,	92:25, 93:4, 94:2,	155:11	streets [1] - 151:12
116:24, 137:21	149:21, 150:1	101:17, 102:19,	stenographically [1] -	streetscapes [1] -
six [8] - 107:19, 127:7,	spaces [2] - 149:11,	107:2, 107:15,	155:9	148:25
127:10, 130:12,	152:13	108:7, 113:14,	step [2] - 64:9, 68:11	stricken [2] - 93:25,
130:20, 131:12,	spall [1] - 103:25	113:16, 114:7,	steps [2] - 63:9, 63:13	97:24
131:21, 133:15	spalling [1] - 99:11	114:18, 115:1,	steward [1] - 150:11	striking [6] - 92:4,
six-grid [1] - 107:19	span [1] - 47:2	115:9, 115:16,	sticks [1] - 89:7	93:15, 95:7, 101:18,
size [1] - 100:1	speaker [4] - 5:22,	116:4, 121:13, 121:16, 123:20,	stiles [1] - 127:20	102:9, 104:9 stripped [1] - 132:7
sky [2] - 51:5, 61:8	32:12, 35:14, 101:6	121:16, 123:20, 126:7, 127:13,	still [15] - 37:7, 37:22,	stripping [2] - 132:9,
skylight [1] - 34:25	speaking [4] - 5:21,	120.7, 127.13, 127:25,	38:13, 38:19, 69:3,	132:10
sleep [1] - 133:6 sleeves [1] - 97:11	52:4, 102:21, 139:18	128:9, 128:16,	78:15, 78:16, 81:18,	strive [1] - 115:8
	speaks [1] - 21:4	128:23, 132:8,	81:23, 95:15, 96:11,	strong [1] - 25:6
slide [1] - 15:7 slides [2] - 15:3, 16:14	Special [6] - 22:17, 27:16, 27:21, 28:14,	135:24, 136:3,	117:6, 122:17,	structural [6] - 24:2,
slightly [1] - 81:17	34:1	136:23, 138:20,	123:16, 151:14 stipulate [1] - 121:1	25:4, 30:18, 54:15,
slipped [1] - 70:9	specifically [2] -	145:11, 146:2,	stipulate [1] - 121:1 stipulation [1] -	69:5, 73:23
slow [1] - 92:12	88:25, 93:6	150:13	120:10	structurally [1] - 48:19
snall [1] - 154:4	specifications [1] -	staff's [4] - 23:24,	stonework [1] -	structure [88] - 10:20,
Smith [1] - 106:16	16:8	113:24, 135:5,	100:12	13:3, 19:11, 22:3,
solely [1] - 26:7	specify [2] - 116:9,	138:11	stood [1] - 56:6	22:8, 22:13, 22:22,
solution [3] - 66:8,	136:12	stand [2] - 57:10, 61:7	stop [5] - 37:6, 37:8,	23:7, 23:13, 23:19,
119:4, 152:3	specimen [1] - 17:24	standard [2] - 123:8,	37:11, 39:20, 49:19	24:1, 24:8, 24:11,
solutions [1] - 54:15	speculative [1] -	123:11	stopped [1] - 37:17	24:12, 24:19, 25:7,
solve [2] - 66:2, 66:4	119:11	standards [2] - 16:20,	storage [2] - 36:16,	25:10, 28:11, 28:16,
someone [5] - 54:24,	speech [2] - 4:1	97:17	82:10	30:20, 31:14, 31:15,
61:18, 65:23, 124:7,	speed [1] - 100:16	standing [4] - 40:4,	storm [15] - 11:6, 11:9,	31:18, 31:24, 32:2,
147:25	spent [1] - 116:15	78:11, 85:25, 144:6	11:19, 11:22, 15:16,	32:5, 34:18, 39:10,
			,,,	

39:13, 42:1, 47:4,	suite [1] - 151:5	136:10, 136:11,	63:23, 64:18, 64:20,	143:24, 148:14,
47:8, 49:13, 54:5,	summer [1] - 46:23	136.10, 136.11, 145:16	63.23, 64.18, 64.20, 64:23, 65:7, 70:3,	143.24, 148.14, 149:22, 150:7,
54:13, 64:3, 64:6,	Sunshine [1] - 125:20	terrible [12] - 15:22,	70:21, 70:23, 72:13,	150:24, 152:1,
64:8, 66:8, 67:20,	supplied [1] - 91:20	51:10, 51:21, 52:1,	72:16, 72:18, 72:23,	153:1, 153:6,
69:18, 73:12, 73:13,	support [8] - 52:22,	80:21, 148:1, 148:2,	76:23, 76:25, 77:3,	153:13, 154:12
73:19, 73:24, 74:10,	52:23, 57:16, 70:16,	148:7, 148:15,	77:5, 77:10, 77:13,	therefore [2] - 25:3,
74:14, 74:18, 74:19,	88:4, 89:9, 89:21,	148:17, 152:18,	77:18, 77:21, 81:4,	128:5
74:20, 75:1, 75:2,	143:5	152:24	81:6, 81:25, 86:11,	they've [5] - 16:5,
75:14, 75:18, 75:25,	supporting [1] - 88:14	tested [1] - 80:17	87:12, 87:14, 87:16,	56:21, 59:1, 82:17,
76:4, 76:11, 76:21,	supportive [2] - 29:8,	testimony [17] - 8:15,	87:19, 88:2, 89:25,	104:20
79:16, 79:19, 88:6,	138:1	14:12, 30:7, 33:11,	90:15, 90:17, 93:2,	thinking [4] - 65:25,
91:8, 92:16, 92:21,	supposed [4] - 82:12,	43:9, 46:12, 50:11,	93:19, 93:23, 94:5,	69:3, 69:11, 137:7
97:20, 107:8,	83:20, 110:19,	53:16, 55:17, 60:19,	94:8, 94:13, 94:16,	third [3] - 119:7,
107:17, 107:22,	135:19	62:8, 77:14, 94:17,	94:21, 95:9, 95:12,	133:3, 142:20
113:22, 113:25,	surge [2] - 74:7, 75:15	98:19, 108:24,	96:16, 96:19, 97:7,	Thompson [1] - 13:24
114:10, 114:14,	surmise [1] - 115:1	119:19, 129:11	97:22, 98:2, 98:4,	THOMPSON [8] -
115:4, 115:12,	surprised [2] - 123:6,	thankfully [1] - 66:13	98:7, 98:11, 98:15,	14:3, 14:6, 14:10,
120:21, 120:25,	123:7	THE [284] - 2:3, 2:22,	98:18, 98:23, 101:4,	14:15, 14:17, 16:13,
121:21, 121:22,	surprising [1] - 70:13	3:5, 3:12, 3:18, 4:4,	101:6, 101:8,	20:3, 20:15
122:2, 122:15,	surrounded [1] - 88:9	4:20, 5:13, 5:16, 7:7,	101:10, 101:13,	thoughts [4] - 103:6,
122:25, 126:14,	surrounding [2] -	7:12, 7:16, 7:18,	101:25, 102:7,	142:11, 145:15,
126:16, 126:21,	23:6, 23:8	7:22, 8:1, 8:3, 8:6,	102:19, 102:24,	146:13
126:23, 128:11,	survey [1] - 12:6	8:10, 8:14, 8:19, 9:5,	103:18, 104:21,	thread [2] - 119:12
150:18	susceptible [1] -	9:9, 9:15, 9:17, 9:19,	105:6, 105:10,	three [20] - 4:8, 14:17,
structures [5] - 74:23,	75:15	12:19, 13:10, 13:13,	105:12, 105:18,	31:20, 34:21, 42:15,
115:7, 116:5,	suspecting [1] - 88:22	13:18, 13:21, 14:1,	105:20, 105:22,	50:21, 60:12, 73:16,
124:23, 146:10	Suzanne [2] - 42:13,	14:4, 14:8, 14:11,	106:2, 106:9,	88:4, 106:11,
struggle [1] - 114:19	42:20	14:16, 16:10, 16:24,	106:21, 108:6,	126:25, 128:10,
stucco [4] - 92:18,	sway [1] - 119:21	17:2, 17:12, 19:2,	108:10, 108:14,	129:18, 129:25,
99:2, 103:1, 103:5	swear [15] - 8:11,	20:13, 20:24, 21:8,	108:16, 108:20,	130:13, 130:18,
stuck [1] - 109:15	14:1, 14:4, 29:21,	21:12, 21:14, 25:21,	108:23, 109:3,	131:11, 133:10,
stuff [2] - 16:14, 97:17	32:24, 42:19, 43:1,	26:13, 28:1, 28:20,	110:22, 112:14,	141:20, 144:5
style [6] - 10:12, 13:6,	43:3, 46:3, 62:1,	29:11, 29:16, 29:20,	112:17, 112:21, 112:24, 113:10	throughout [1] -
18:24, 36:17, 73:20,	77:6, 94:9, 98:12,	29:23, 30:3, 30:6, 20:11, 20:11, 20:24	112:24, 113:19, 113:21, 115:13,	126:22
90:9	108:17, 129:4	30:11, 32:11, 32:24,	118:18, 119:7,	thrown [1] - 47:19
styled [1] - 126:17	swears [1] - 53:7	33:6, 33:10, 33:15, 35:13, 35:16, 35:21	119:9, 120:1,	tie [1] - 95:19
styles [1] - 22:25	swoops [1] - 51:7	35:13, 35:16, 35:21, 38:24, 39:17, 40:3,	121:19, 123:2,	tiki [1] - 99:24
sub [2] - 36:15, 38:6	sworn [2] - 8:4, 13:19	40:7, 40:9, 42:2,	123:23, 123:25,	tile [1] - 73:22
sub-floor [1] - 36:15	sympathetic [1] - 65:9	40.7, 40.9, 42.2, 42:5. 42:15. 42:18.	124:3, 124:5,	timeline [1] - 124:25
subject [16] - 11:4,	system [5] - 15:23,	42:25, 43:3, 43:8,	124:11, 124:13,	Timer [2] - 49:2, 132:3
11:14, 13:2, 13:3, 22:8, 22:15, 23:5	15:24, 128:3, 145:4	43:13, 45:16, 45:18,	124:15, 125:8,	tired [1] - 134:1
22:8, 22:15, 23:5, 46:22, 47:1, 73:15,		45:24, 46:2, 46:8,	125:13, 125:24,	today [27] - 2:7, 2:23,
40.22, 47.1, 73.15, 73:25, 74:5, 75:18,	Т	46:11, 46:16, 49:3,	126:5, 128:21,	5:22, 5:24, 7:6, 39:4,
107:17, 127:17,	(ablassa 07.0/	49:6, 49:15, 49:17,	128:25, 129:3,	39:5, 39:9, 39:19, 20:20, 40:22, 41:2
147:6	table [1] - 87:21	49:19, 49:22, 49:24,	129:7, 129:10,	39:20, 40:23, 41:3, 41:8, 41:18, 46:20
subjective [1] - 90:5	tacky [1] - 133:2	50:3, 50:7, 50:10,	129:15, 133:9,	41:8, 41:18, 46:20, 49:1, 54:7, 56:19,
submitted [2] - 14:17,	Talbot [1] - 53:11	50:15, 50:22, 52:2,	134:4, 134:8,	49.1, 54.7, 56.19, 57:8, 59:10, 62:16,
144:25	talks [2] - 32:15, 36:1	52:5, 52:9, 52:12,	134:14, 134:20,	63:15, 64:3, 71:1,
substandard [1] -	task [1] - 140:2	52:15, 52:17, 52:19,	134:25, 135:8,	71:8, 95:21, 137:11
95:4	tear [2] - 67:7, 67:19	52:22, 53:1, 53:3,	136:1, 136:18,	toddler [1] - 47:3
substantial [2] -	tearing [1] - 38:12	53:8, 53:12, 53:15,	137:7, 137:17,	together [2] - 79:17,
38:19, 76:9	technical [1] - 148:11	53:20, 55:4, 55:7,	138:18, 138:23,	135:6
substantially [1] -	techniques [1] - 11:13	55:10, 55:13, 55:16,	139:3, 139:6, 139:8,	toilet [1] - 44:6
128:14	ten [1] - 106:4	55:21, 56:25, 59:11,	139:15, 139:20,	took [4] - 8:23, 81:19,
successfully [1] -	ten-minute [1] - 106:4	59:21, 59:23, 60:1,	140:4, 140:9,	111:23, 127:14
70:12	tend [1] - 89:25	60:4, 60:7, 60:10,	140:11, 140:17, 140:22, 140:24	top [5] - 83:18,
succession [1] -	term [1] - 148:12	60:15, 60:18, 60:23,	140:22, 140:24, 141:3, 141:23	111:11, 115:20,
142:21	termite [1] - 127:24	61:20, 61:23, 61:25,	141:3, 141:23, 143:7, 143:12,	119:4, 132:15
suitable [1] - 128:16	terms [6] - 19:8, 115:9, 116:10,	62:4, 62:7, 62:12,	143.7, 143.12, 143:18,	torn [1] - 97:3
	113.3, 110.10,		140.10, 140.10,	

total [4] - 107:13,	34:2, 59:6, 65:13,	unless [2] - 66:9	variety [1] - 145:7	130:16, 132:1
107:16, 126:23	70:11, 99:22, 112:7,	unpleasant [2] -	various [2] - 28:17,	walls [1] - 69:15
totally [5] - 99:11,	112:11, 117:3,	47:23, 48:5	65:15	wants [5] - 7:23, 27:6,
131:24, 132:4,	124:20, 146:9	unsafe [9] - 22:13,	vegetation [1] - 44:16	29:17, 107:21,
132:6, 133:24	turn [5] - 45:22, 49:25,	24:8, 30:20, 47:24,	veneer [1] - 92:22	150:21
touch [1] - 39:1	84:25, 146:17,	48:20, 48:25, 67:6,	ventilation [1] - 80:23	water [18] - 23:13,
tough [3] - 68:3, 72:7,	146:25	67:8, 73:24	verbal [1] - 82:22	34:24, 73:16, 76:9,
147:12	turned [3] - 42:8,	unsightly [1] - 78:19	vermin [4] - 44:13,	78:11, 79:6, 79:8,
tourist [1] - 84:2	101:1, 112:1	unsound [1] - 48:19	47:1, 48:1, 51:8	80:11, 80:15, 82:16,
towards [6] - 11:24,	turning [2] - 47:2,	unsure [1] - 63:11	vernacular [1] - 73:20	84:11, 87:9, 87:10,
86:1, 115:9, 121:24,	149:22	untenable [1] - 79:18	Veterans [1] - 106:16	88:9, 96:10, 97:4,
127:1, 127:10	two [32] - 4:10, 21:16,	up [59] - 4:12, 5:6,	viability [1] - 54:12	103:24, 152:16
town [3] - 36:3, 38:16,	22:4, 22:9, 29:7,	5:23, 9:4, 10:24,	viable [1] - 62:24	waterproofer [1] -
51:11	36:4, 37:12, 48:11,	16:20, 20:2, 20:3,	vice [6] - 2:22, 17:14,	96:2
traffic [3] - 44:23,	58:12, 62:17, 62:19,	26:25, 34:1, 34:22,	142:3, 142:9,	waterproofing [1] -
133:5, 152:1	62:20, 65:15, 73:17,	35:17, 35:24, 36:6,	142:16, 143:5	96:3
Traffic [1] - 62:15	91:5, 92:18, 106:3,	36:24, 37:19, 41:12,	view [3] - 24:12,	waters [2] - 89:5,
Trail [10] - 78:2, 78:22,	106:11, 107:8,	42:6, 42:7, 42:11,	67:22, 85:24	152:12
78:24, 79:23, 81:3,	107:18, 118:18,	44:10, 44:21, 45:24,	viewpoint [1] - 81:2	wavy [1] - 15:3
83:3, 83:17, 84:22,	120:14, 127:8,	48:24, 51:5, 51:7,	views [1] - 24:16	wear [1] - 132:12
85:3, 88:24	129:25, 130:7,	57:8, 64:13, 69:15,	Vincent's [2] - 34:5	weather [2] - 44:8,
trail [2] - 78:4, 78:7	130:15, 130:22,	78:15, 79:25, 80:1,	vinyl [3] - 10:9, 10:18,	132:9
transcript [1] - 155:10	131:7, 131:20,	80:9, 80:19, 83:1,	107:6	weather-stripping [1]
transfer [1] - 54:23	131:22, 144:2	83:4, 83:11, 85:19,	violations [3] - 31:6,	- 132:9
translucent [1] -	two-and-a-half-story	86:2, 97:3, 97:16,	31:13, 74:14	weatherizing [1] -
104:23	[1] - 22:4	98:8, 100:16,	virtually [1] - 88:9	23:12
transom [2] - 107:19,	two-story [5] - 22:4,	110:13, 112:18,	visible [15] - 25:5,	Wednesday [1] - 1:6
107:21	22:9, 91:5, 92:18,	116:17, 116:18,	115:19, 116:2,	week [2] - 58:13,
transoms [1] - 109:13	107:8	122:7, 124:20,	130:11, 130:15,	58:14
trapped [1] - 16:15	typically [2] - 64:15,	125:6, 129:1,	101 10 101 01	weights [1] - 15:5
			131:19, 131:24,	weights [I] - 15.5
trash [1] - 44:19	149:1	132:14, 134:9,	131:19, 131:24, 132:4, 133:14,	welcome [5] - 9:22,
	149:1	132:14, 134:9, 134:22, 136:11,		_
trash [1] - 44:19		132:14, 134:9, 134:22, 136:11, 139:13, 140:24,	132:4, 133:14,	welcome [5] - 9:22, 50:21, 101:5, 154:10, 154:11
trash [1] - 44:19 trashed [1] - 110:13	149:1 U	132:14, 134:9, 134:22, 136:11, 139:13, 140:24, 153:22	132:4, 133:14, 134:24, 135:21,	welcome [5] - 9:22, 50:21, 101:5, 154:10, 154:11 welcoming [1] - 2:6
trash [1] - 44:19 trashed [1] - 110:13 treat [1] - 116:4	149:1 U ultimately [3] -	132:14, 134:9, 134:22, 136:11, 139:13, 140:24, 153:22 up-sell [1] - 79:25	132:4, 133:14, 134:24, 135:21, 136:13, 137:5, 138:9	welcome [5] - 9:22, 50:21, 101:5, 154:10, 154:11 welcoming [1] - 2:6 welfare [2] - 68:15,
trash [1] - 44:19 trashed [1] - 110:13 treat [1] - 116:4 tremendous [1] - 56:5	149:1 U ultimately [3] - 148:21, 150:7,	132:14, 134:9, 134:22, 136:11, 139:13, 140:24, 153:22 up-sell [1] - 79:25 up-sells [1] - 80:1	132:4, 133:14, 134:24, 135:21, 136:13, 137:5, 138:9 vision [1] - 85:20	welcome [5] - 9:22, 50:21, 101:5, 154:10, 154:11 welcoming [1] - 2:6 welfare [2] - 68:15, 78:14
trash [1] - 44:19 trashed [1] - 110:13 treat [1] - 116:4 tremendous [1] - 56:5 tried [4] - 35:4, 51:21,	149:1 U ultimately [3] - 148:21, 150:7, 151:10	132:14, 134:9, 134:22, 136:11, 139:13, 140:24, 153:22 up-sell [1] - 79:25 up-sells [1] - 80:1 update [1] - 91:17	132:4, 133:14, 134:24, 135:21, 136:13, 137:5, 138:9 vision [1] - 85:20 visit [7] - 11:4, 11:5, 11:17, 24:9, 33:22, 107:15, 127:12	welcome [5] - 9:22, 50:21, 101:5, 154:10, 154:11 welcoming [1] - 2:6 welfare [2] - 68:15, 78:14 well-being [2] - 48:12,
trash [1] - 44:19 trashed [1] - 110:13 treat [1] - 116:4 tremendous [1] - 56:5 tried [4] - 35:4, 51:21, 58:23, 118:14	U ultimately [3] - 148:21, 150:7, 151:10 unable [1] - 75:2	132:14, 134:9, 134:22, 136:11, 139:13, 140:24, 153:22 up-sell [1] - 79:25 up-sells [1] - 80:1 update [1] - 91:17 updated [1] - 138:3	132:4, 133:14, 134:24, 135:21, 136:13, 137:5, 138:9 vision [1] - 85:20 visit [7] - 11:4, 11:5, 11:17, 24:9, 33:22,	welcome [5] - 9:22, 50:21, 101:5, 154:10, 154:11 welcoming [1] - 2:6 welfare [2] - 68:15, 78:14 well-being [2] - 48:12, 49:14
trash [1] - 44:19 trashed [1] - 110:13 treat [1] - 116:4 tremendous [1] - 56:5 tried [4] - 35:4, 51:21, 58:23, 118:14 triggers [1] - 122:25	149:1 U ultimately [3] - 148:21, 150:7, 151:10 unable [1] - 75:2 unanimous [4] -	132:14, 134:9, 134:22, 136:11, 139:13, 140:24, 153:22 up-sell [1] - 79:25 up-sells [1] - 80:1 update [1] - 91:17 updated [1] - 138:3 updating [1] - 122:16	132:4, 133:14, 134:24, 135:21, 136:13, 137:5, 138:9 vision [1] - 85:20 visit [7] - 11:4, 11:5, 11:17, 24:9, 33:22, 107:15, 127:12 visual [1] - 23:11 voice [1] - 59:9	welcome [5] - 9:22, 50:21, 101:5, 154:10, 154:11 welcoming [1] - 2:6 welfare [2] - 68:15, 78:14 well-being [2] - 48:12, 49:14 wells [1] - 80:18
trash [1] - 44:19 trashed [1] - 110:13 treat [1] - 116:4 tremendous [1] - 56:5 tried [4] - 35:4, 51:21, 58:23, 118:14 triggers [1] - 122:25 Tropia [3] - 1:9, 155:7,	U ultimately [3] - 148:21, 150:7, 151:10 unable [1] - 75:2 unanimous [4] - 72:22, 72:23, 90:17,	132:14, 134:9, 134:22, 136:11, 139:13, 140:24, 153:22 up-sell [1] - 79:25 up-sells [1] - 80:1 update [1] - 91:17 updated [1] - 138:3 updating [1] - 122:16 upper [2] - 117:17,	132:4, 133:14, 134:24, 135:21, 136:13, 137:5, 138:9 vision [1] - 85:20 visit [7] - 11:4, 11:5, 11:17, 24:9, 33:22, 107:15, 127:12 visual [1] - 23:11 voice [1] - 59:9 vote [16] - 21:9, 63:15,	welcome [5] - 9:22, 50:21, 101:5, 154:10, 154:11 welcoming [1] - 2:6 welfare [2] - 68:15, 78:14 well-being [2] - 48:12, 49:14 wells [1] - 80:18 Wells [1] - 3:1
trash [1] - 44:19 trashed [1] - 110:13 treat [1] - 116:4 tremendous [1] - 56:5 tried [4] - 35:4, 51:21, 58:23, 118:14 triggers [1] - 122:25 Tropia [3] - 1:9, 155:7, 155:18 troubled [1] - 38:12 trucks [1] - 149:7	149:1 U ultimately [3] - 148:21, 150:7, 151:10 unable [1] - 75:2 unanimous [4] - 72:22, 72:23, 90:17, 105:12	132:14, 134:9, 134:22, 136:11, 139:13, 140:24, 153:22 up-sell [1] - 79:25 up-sells [1] - 80:1 update [1] - 91:17 updated [1] - 138:3 updating [1] - 122:16 upper [2] - 117:17, 132:11	132:4, 133:14, 134:24, 135:21, 136:13, 137:5, 138:9 vision [1] - 85:20 visit [7] - 11:4, 11:5, 11:17, 24:9, 33:22, 107:15, 127:12 visual [1] - 23:11 voice [1] - 59:9 vote [16] - 21:9, 63:15, 65:11, 71:21, 72:11,	welcome [5] - 9:22, 50:21, 101:5, 154:10, 154:11 welcoming [1] - 2:6 welfare [2] - 68:15, 78:14 well-being [2] - 48:12, 49:14 wells [1] - 80:18 Wells [1] - 3:1 WELLS [38] - 1:18,
trash [1] - 44:19 trashed [1] - 110:13 treat [1] - 116:4 tremendous [1] - 56:5 tried [4] - 35:4, 51:21, 58:23, 118:14 triggers [1] - 122:25 Tropia [3] - 1:9, 155:7, 155:18 troubled [1] - 38:12 trucks [1] - 149:7 true [1] - 155:10	149:1 U ultimately [3] - 148:21, 150:7, 151:10 unable [1] - 75:2 unanimous [4] - 72:22, 72:23, 90:17, 105:12 unanimously [2] -	132:14, 134:9, 134:22, 136:11, 139:13, 140:24, 153:22 up-sell [1] - 79:25 up-sells [1] - 80:1 update [1] - 91:17 updated [1] - 138:3 updating [1] - 122:16 upper [2] - 117:17, 132:11 uptick [1] - 146:5	132:4, 133:14, 134:24, 135:21, 136:13, 137:5, 138:9 vision [1] - 85:20 visit [7] - 11:4, 11:5, 11:17, 24:9, 33:22, 107:15, 127:12 visual [1] - 23:11 voice [1] - 59:9 vote [16] - 21:9, 63:15, 65:11, 71:21, 72:11, 72:13, 90:12, 105:7,	welcome [5] - 9:22, 50:21, 101:5, 154:10, 154:11 welcoming [1] - 2:6 welfare [2] - 68:15, 78:14 well-being [2] - 48:12, 49:14 wells [1] - 80:18 Wells [1] - 3:1 WELLS [38] - 1:18, 3:1, 10:5, 11:2, 13:1,
trash [1] - 44:19 trashed [1] - 110:13 treat [1] - 116:4 tremendous [1] - 56:5 tried [4] - 35:4, 51:21, 58:23, 118:14 triggers [1] - 122:25 Tropia [3] - 1:9, 155:7, 155:18 troubled [1] - 38:12 trucks [1] - 149:7 true [1] - 155:10 truly [1] - 30:20	149:1 U ultimately [3] - 148:21, 150:7, 151:10 unable [1] - 75:2 unanimous [4] - 72:22, 72:23, 90:17, 105:12 unanimously [2] - 124:6, 139:8	132:14, 134:9, 134:22, 136:11, 139:13, 140:24, 153:22 up-sell [1] - 79:25 up-sells [1] - 80:1 update [1] - 91:17 updated [1] - 138:3 updating [1] - 122:16 upper [2] - 117:17, 132:11 uptick [1] - 146:5 urban [4] - 149:5,	132:4, 133:14, 134:24, 135:21, 136:13, 137:5, 138:9 vision [1] - 85:20 visit [7] - 11:4, 11:5, 11:17, 24:9, 33:22, 107:15, 127:12 visual [1] - 23:11 voice [1] - 59:9 vote [16] - 21:9, 63:15, 65:11, 71:21, 72:11, 72:13, 90:12, 105:7, 118:24, 123:25,	welcome [5] - 9:22, 50:21, 101:5, 154:10, 154:11 welcoming [1] - 2:6 welfare [2] - 68:15, 78:14 well-being [2] - 48:12, 49:14 wells [1] - 80:18 Wells [1] - 3:1 WELLS [38] - 1:18, 3:1, 10:5, 11:2, 13:1, 17:19, 18:8, 19:23,
$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{trash}[1] - 44:19 \\ \mbox{trashed}[1] - 110:13 \\ \mbox{treat}[1] - 116:4 \\ \mbox{tremendous}[1] - 56:5 \\ \mbox{tried}[4] - 35:4, 51:21, \\ 58:23, 118:14 \\ \mbox{triggers}[1] - 122:25 \\ \mbox{Tropia}[3] - 1:9, 155:7, \\ 155:18 \\ \mbox{troubled}[1] - 38:12 \\ \mbox{trucks}[1] - 149:7 \\ \mbox{trucs}[1] - 155:10 \\ \mbox{truly}[1] - 30:20 \\ \mbox{truth}[48] - 8:16, 8:17, \\ \end{array}$	U ultimately [3] - 148:21, 150:7, 151:10 unable [1] - 75:2 unanimous [4] - 72:22, 72:23, 90:17, 105:12 unanimously [2] - 124:6, 139:8 unaware [1] - 110:16	$132:14, 134:9, \\134:22, 136:11, \\139:13, 140:24, \\153:22 \\ \textbf{up-sell [1] - 79:25} \\ \textbf{up-sells [1] - 80:1} \\ \textbf{update [1] - 91:17} \\ \textbf{update [1] - 138:3} \\ \textbf{updating [1] - 122:16} \\ \textbf{upper [2] - 117:17, } \\132:11 \\ \textbf{uptick [1] - 146:5} \\ \textbf{urban [4] - 149:5, } \\150:9, 150:19, 152:4 \\ \end{array}$	132:4, 133:14, 134:24, 135:21, 136:13, 137:5, 138:9 vision [1] - 85:20 visit [7] - 11:4, 11:5, 11:17, 24:9, 33:22, 107:15, 127:12 visual [1] - 23:11 voice [1] - 59:9 vote [16] - 21:9, 63:15, 65:11, 71:21, 72:11, 72:13, 90:12, 105:7, 118:24, 123:25, 124:8, 136:24,	welcome [5] - 9:22, 50:21, 101:5, 154:10, 154:11 welcoming [1] - 2:6 welfare [2] - 68:15, 78:14 well-being [2] - 48:12, 49:14 wells [1] - 80:18 Wells [1] - 3:1 WELLS [38] - 1:18, 3:1, 10:5, 11:2, 13:1, 17:19, 18:8, 19:23, 20:4, 20:8, 20:17,
trash [1] - 44:19 trashed [1] - 110:13 treat [1] - 116:4 tremendous [1] - 56:5 tried [4] - 35:4, 51:21, 58:23, 118:14 triggers [1] - 122:25 Tropia [3] - 1:9, 155:7, 155:18 troubled [1] - 38:12 trucks [1] - 149:7 true [1] - 155:10 truly [1] - 30:20 truth [48] - 8:16, 8:17, 14:13, 14:14, 30:8,	149:1 U ultimately [3] - 148:21, 150:7, 151:10 unable [1] - 75:2 unanimous [4] - 72:22, 72:23, 90:17, 105:12 unanimously [2] - 124:6, 139:8	$132:14, 134:9, \\134:22, 136:11, \\139:13, 140:24, \\153:22 \\ \textbf{up-sell [1] - 79:25} \\ \textbf{up-sells [1] - 80:1} \\ \textbf{update [1] - 91:17} \\ \textbf{update [1] - 91:17} \\ \textbf{update [1] - 138:3} \\ \textbf{updating [1] - 122:16} \\ \textbf{upper [2] - 117:17}, \\132:11 \\ \textbf{uptick [1] - 146:5} \\ \textbf{urban [4] - 149:5}, \\150:9, 150:19, 152:4 \\ \textbf{urgent [2] - 66:16}, \\ \end{array}$	132:4, 133:14, 134:24, 135:21, 136:13, 137:5, 138:9 vision [1] - 85:20 visit [7] - 11:4, 11:5, 11:17, 24:9, 33:22, 107:15, 127:12 visual [1] - 23:11 voice [1] - 59:9 vote [16] - 21:9, 63:15, 65:11, 71:21, 72:11, 72:13, 90:12, 105:7, 118:24, 123:25, 124:8, 136:24, 137:20, 138:24	welcome [5] - 9:22, 50:21, 101:5, 154:10, 154:11 welcoming [1] - 2:6 welfare [2] - 68:15, 78:14 well-being [2] - 48:12, 49:14 wells [1] - 80:18 Wells [1] - 3:1 WELLS [38] - 1:18, 3:1, 10:5, 11:2, 13:1, 17:19, 18:8, 19:23, 20:4, 20:8, 20:17, 21:24, 26:5, 26:20,
trash [1] - 44:19 trashed [1] - 110:13 treat [1] - 116:4 tremendous [1] - 56:5 tried [4] - 35:4, 51:21, 58:23, 118:14 triggers [1] - 122:25 Tropia [3] - 1:9, 155:7, 155:18 troubled [1] - 38:12 trucks [1] - 149:7 true [1] - 155:10 truly [1] - 30:20 truth [48] - 8:16, 8:17, 14:13, 14:14, 30:8, 30:9, 33:12, 33:13,	U ultimately [3] - 148:21, 150:7, 151:10 unable [1] - 75:2 unanimous [4] - 72:22, 72:23, 90:17, 105:12 unanimously [2] - 124:6, 139:8 unaware [1] - 110:16 unclear [3] - 17:16,	132:14, 134:9, 134:22, 136:11, 139:13, 140:24, 153:22 up-sell [1] - 79:25 up-sells [1] - 80:1 update [1] - 91:17 update [1] - 138:3 updating [1] - 122:16 upper [2] - 117:17, 132:11 uptick [1] - 146:5 urban [4] - 149:5, 150:9, 150:19, 152:4 urgent [2] - 66:16, 67:6	132:4, 133:14, 134:24, 135:21, 136:13, 137:5, 138:9 vision [1] - 85:20 visit [7] - 11:4, 11:5, 11:17, 24:9, 33:22, 107:15, 127:12 visual [1] - 23:11 voice [1] - 59:9 vote [16] - 21:9, 63:15, 65:11, 71:21, 72:11, 72:13, 90:12, 105:7, 118:24, 123:25, 124:8, 136:24, 137:20, 138:24 voted [1] - 136:19	welcome [5] - 9:22, 50:21, 101:5, 154:10, 154:11 welcoming [1] - 2:6 welfare [2] - 68:15, 78:14 well-being [2] - 48:12, 49:14 wells [1] - 80:18 Wells [1] - 3:1 WELLS [38] - 1:18, 3:1, 10:5, 11:2, 13:1, 17:19, 18:8, 19:23, 20:4, 20:8, 20:17, 21:24, 26:5, 26:20, 27:2, 28:23, 73:6,
trash [1] - 44:19 trashed [1] - 110:13 treat [1] - 116:4 tremendous [1] - 56:5 tried [4] - 35:4, 51:21, 58:23, 118:14 triggers [1] - 122:25 Tropia [3] - 1:9, 155:7, 155:18 troubled [1] - 38:12 trucks [1] - 149:7 true [1] - 155:10 truly [1] - 30:20 truth [48] - 8:16, 8:17, 14:13, 14:14, 30:8, 30:9, 33:12, 33:13, 43:10, 43:11, 46:13,	U ultimately [3] - 148:21, 150:7, 151:10 unable [1] - 75:2 unanimous [4] - 72:22, 72:23, 90:17, 105:12 unanimously [2] - 124:6, 139:8 unaware [1] - 110:16 unclear [3] - 17:16, 63:11, 63:13	132:14, 134:9, 134:22, 136:11, 139:13, 140:24, 153:22 up-sell [1] - 79:25 up-sells [1] - 80:1 update [1] - 91:17 updated [1] - 138:3 updating [1] - 122:16 upper [2] - 117:17, 132:11 uptick [1] - 146:5 urban [4] - 149:5, 150:9, 150:19, 152:4 urgent [2] - 66:16, 67:6 utilitarian [1] - 90:7	132:4, 133:14, 134:24, 135:21, 136:13, 137:5, 138:9 vision [1] - 85:20 visit [7] - 11:4, 11:5, 11:17, 24:9, 33:22, 107:15, 127:12 visual [1] - 23:11 voice [1] - 59:9 vote [16] - 21:9, 63:15, 65:11, 71:21, 72:11, 72:13, 90:12, 105:7, 118:24, 123:25, 124:8, 136:24, 137:20, 138:24	welcome [5] - 9:22, 50:21, 101:5, 154:10, 154:11 welcoming [1] - 2:6 welfare [2] - 68:15, 78:14 well-being [2] - 48:12, 49:14 wells [1] - 80:18 Wells [1] - 3:1 WELLS [38] - 1:18, 3:1, 10:5, 11:2, 13:1, 17:19, 18:8, 19:23, 20:4, 20:8, 20:17, 21:24, 26:5, 26:20, 27:2, 28:23, 73:6, 91:2, 93:9, 101:21,
trash [1] - 44:19 trashed [1] - 110:13 treat [1] - 116:4 tremendous [1] - 56:5 tried [4] - 35:4, 51:21, 58:23, 118:14 triggers [1] - 122:25 Tropia [3] - 1:9, 155:7, 155:18 troubled [1] - 38:12 trucks [1] - 149:7 true [1] - 155:10 truly [1] - 30:20 truth [48] - 8:16, 8:17, 14:13, 14:14, 30:8, 30:9, 33:12, 33:13, 43:10, 43:11, 46:13, 46:14, 50:12, 50:13,	U ultimately [3] - 148:21, 150:7, 151:10 unable [1] - 75:2 unanimous [4] - 72:22, 72:23, 90:17, 105:12 unanimously [2] - 124:6, 139:8 unaware [1] - 110:16 unclear [3] - 17:16, 63:11, 63:13 under [5] - 4:10,	132:14, 134:9, 134:22, 136:11, 139:13, 140:24, 153:22 up-sell [1] - 79:25 up-sells [1] - 80:1 update [1] - 91:17 update [1] - 138:3 updating [1] - 122:16 upper [2] - 117:17, 132:11 uptick [1] - 146:5 urban [4] - 149:5, 150:9, 150:19, 152:4 urgent [2] - 66:16, 67:6	132:4, 133:14, 134:24, 135:21, 136:13, 137:5, 138:9 vision [1] - 85:20 visit [7] - 11:4, 11:5, 11:17, 24:9, 33:22, 107:15, 127:12 visual [1] - 23:11 voice [1] - 59:9 vote [16] - 21:9, 63:15, 65:11, 71:21, 72:11, 72:13, 90:12, 105:7, 118:24, 123:25, 124:8, 136:24, 137:20, 138:24 voted [1] - 136:19 voting [1] - 121:24	welcome [5] - 9:22, 50:21, 101:5, 154:10, 154:11 welcoming [1] - 2:6 welfare [2] - 68:15, 78:14 well-being [2] - 48:12, 49:14 wells [1] - 80:18 Wells [1] - 3:1 WELLS [38] - 1:18, 3:1, 10:5, 11:2, 13:1, 17:19, 18:8, 19:23, 20:4, 20:8, 20:17, 21:24, 26:5, 26:20, 27:2, 28:23, 73:6, 91:2, 93:9, 101:21, 107:3, 114:17,
trash [1] - 44:19 trashed [1] - 110:13 treat [1] - 116:4 tremendous [1] - 56:5 tried [4] - 35:4, 51:21, 58:23, 118:14 triggers [1] - 122:25 Tropia [3] - 1:9, 155:7, 155:18 troubled [1] - 38:12 trucks [1] - 149:7 true [1] - 155:10 truly [1] - 30:20 truth [48] - 8:16, 8:17, 14:13, 14:14, 30:8, 30:9, 33:12, 33:13, 43:10, 43:11, 46:13, 46:14, 50:12, 50:13, 53:17, 53:18, 55:18,	U ultimately [3] - 148:21, 150:7, 151:10 unable [1] - 75:2 unanimous [4] - 72:22, 72:23, 90:17, 105:12 unanimously [2] - 124:6, 139:8 unaware [1] - 110:16 unclear [3] - 17:16, 63:11, 63:13 under [5] - 4:10, 27:14, 82:16, 127:2,	132:14, 134:9, 134:22, 136:11, 139:13, 140:24, 153:22 up-sell [1] - 79:25 up-sells [1] - 80:1 update [1] - 91:17 updated [1] - 138:3 updating [1] - 122:16 upper [2] - 117:17, 132:11 uptick [1] - 146:5 urban [4] - 149:5, 150:9, 150:19, 152:4 urgent [2] - 66:16, 67:6 utilitarian [1] - 90:7 utility [1] - 84:11	132:4, 133:14, 134:24, 135:21, 136:13, 137:5, 138:9 vision [1] - 85:20 visit [7] - 11:4, 11:5, 11:17, 24:9, 33:22, 107:15, 127:12 visual [1] - 23:11 voice [1] - 59:9 vote [16] - 21:9, 63:15, 65:11, 71:21, 72:11, 72:13, 90:12, 105:7, 118:24, 123:25, 124:8, 136:24, 137:20, 138:24 voted [1] - 136:19	welcome [5] - 9:22, 50:21, 101:5, 154:10, 154:11 welcoming [1] - 2:6 welfare [2] - 68:15, 78:14 well-being [2] - 48:12, 49:14 wells [1] - 80:18 Wells [1] - 3:1 WELLS [38] - 1:18, 3:1, 10:5, 11:2, 13:1, 17:19, 18:8, 19:23, 20:4, 20:8, 20:17, 21:24, 26:5, 26:20, 27:2, 28:23, 73:6, 91:2, 93:9, 101:21, 107:3, 114:17, 115:21, 115:25,
trash [1] - 44:19 trashed [1] - 110:13 treat [1] - 116:4 tremendous [1] - 56:5 tried [4] - 35:4, 51:21, 58:23, 118:14 triggers [1] - 122:25 Tropia [3] - 1:9, 155:7, 155:18 troubled [1] - 38:12 trucks [1] - 149:7 true [1] - 155:10 truly [1] - 30:20 truth [48] - 8:16, 8:17, 14:13, 14:14, 30:8, 30:9, 33:12, 33:13, 43:10, 43:11, 46:13, 46:14, 50:12, 50:13, 53:17, 53:18, 55:18, 55:19, 60:20, 60:21,	U ultimately [3] - 148:21, 150:7, 151:10 unable [1] - 75:2 unanimous [4] - 72:22, 72:23, 90:17, 105:12 unanimously [2] - 124:6, 139:8 unaware [1] - 110:16 unclear [3] - 17:16, 63:11, 63:13 under [5] - 4:10, 27:14, 82:16, 127:2, 130:14	132:14, 134:9, 134:22, 136:11, 139:13, 140:24, 153:22 up-sell [1] - 79:25 up-sells [1] - 80:1 update [1] - 91:17 updated [1] - 138:3 updating [1] - 122:16 upper [2] - 117:17, 132:11 uptick [1] - 146:5 urban [4] - 149:5, 150:9, 150:19, 152:4 urgent [2] - 66:16, 67:6 utilitarian [1] - 90:7	132:4, 133:14, 134:24, 135:21, 136:13, 137:5, 138:9 vision [1] - 85:20 visit [7] - 11:4, 11:5, 11:17, 24:9, 33:22, 107:15, 127:12 visual [1] - 23:11 voice [1] - 59:9 vote [16] - 21:9, 63:15, 65:11, 71:21, 72:11, 72:13, 90:12, 105:7, 118:24, 123:25, 124:8, 136:24, 137:20, 138:24 voted [1] - 136:19 voting [1] - 121:24	<pre>welcome [5] - 9:22, 50:21, 101:5, 154:10, 154:11 welcoming [1] - 2:6 welfare [2] - 68:15, 78:14 well-being [2] - 48:12, 49:14 wells [1] - 80:18 Wells [1] - 3:1 WELLS [38] - 1:18, 3:1, 10:5, 11:2, 13:1, 17:19, 18:8, 19:23, 20:4, 20:8, 20:17, 21:24, 26:5, 26:20, 27:2, 28:23, 73:6, 91:2, 93:9, 101:21, 107:3, 114:17, 115:21, 115:25, 116:7, 126:9, 136:9,</pre>
trash [1] - 44:19 trashed [1] - 110:13 treat [1] - 116:4 tremendous [1] - 56:5 tried [4] - 35:4, 51:21, 58:23, 118:14 triggers [1] - 122:25 Tropia [3] - 1:9, 155:7, 155:18 troubled [1] - 38:12 trucks [1] - 149:7 true [1] - 155:10 truly [1] - 30:20 truth [48] - 8:16, 8:17, 14:13, 14:14, 30:8, 30:9, 33:12, 33:13, 43:10, 43:11, 46:13, 46:14, 50:12, 50:13, 53:17, 53:18, 55:18, 55:19, 60:20, 60:21, 62:9, 62:10, 77:15,	U ultimately [3] - 148:21, 150:7, 151:10 unable [1] - 75:2 unanimous [4] - 72:22, 72:23, 90:17, 105:12 unanimously [2] - 124:6, 139:8 unaware [1] - 110:16 unclear [3] - 17:16, 63:11, 63:13 under [5] - 4:10, 27:14, 82:16, 127:2, 130:14 undertake [1] - 54:12	132:14, 134:9, 134:22, 136:11, 139:13, 140:24, 153:22 up-sell [1] - 79:25 up-sells [1] - 80:1 update [1] - 91:17 updated [1] - 138:3 updating [1] - 122:16 upper [2] - 117:17, 132:11 uptick [1] - 146:5 urban [4] - 149:5, 150:9, 150:19, 152:4 urgent [2] - 66:16, 67:6 utilitarian [1] - 90:7 utility [1] - 84:11	132:4, 133:14, 134:24, 135:21, 136:13, 137:5, 138:9 vision [1] - 85:20 visit [7] - 11:4, 11:5, 11:17, 24:9, 33:22, 107:15, 127:12 visual [1] - 23:11 voice [1] - 59:9 vote [16] - 21:9, 63:15, 65:11, 71:21, 72:11, 72:13, 90:12, 105:7, 118:24, 123:25, 124:8, 136:24, 137:20, 138:24 voted [1] - 136:19 voting [1] - 121:24 W wait [1] - 35:18	welcome [5] - 9:22, 50:21, 101:5, 154:10, 154:11 welcoming [1] - 2:6 welfare [2] - 68:15, 78:14 well-being [2] - 48:12, 49:14 wells [1] - 80:18 Wells [1] - 3:1 WELLS [38] - 1:18, 3:1, 10:5, 11:2, 13:1, 17:19, 18:8, 19:23, 20:4, 20:8, 20:17, 21:24, 26:5, 26:20, 27:2, 28:23, 73:6, 91:2, 93:9, 101:21, 107:3, 114:17, 115:21, 115:25, 116:7, 126:9, 136:9, 136:25, 137:22,
trash [1] - 44:19 trashed [1] - 110:13 treat [1] - 116:4 tremendous [1] - 56:5 tried [4] - 35:4, 51:21, 58:23, 118:14 triggers [1] - 122:25 Tropia [3] - 1:9, 155:7, 155:18 troubled [1] - 38:12 trucks [1] - 149:7 true [1] - 155:10 truly [1] - 30:20 truth [48] - 8:16, 8:17, 14:13, 14:14, 30:8, 30:9, 33:12, 33:13, 43:10, 43:11, 46:13, 46:14, 50:12, 50:13, 53:17, 53:18, 55:18, 55:19, 60:20, 60:21, 62:9, 62:10, 77:15, 77:16, 94:18, 94:19,	U ultimately [3] - 148:21, 150:7, 151:10 unable [1] - 75:2 unanimous [4] - 72:22, 72:23, 90:17, 105:12 unanimously [2] - 124:6, 139:8 unaware [1] - 110:16 unclear [3] - 17:16, 63:11, 63:13 under [5] - 4:10, 27:14, 82:16, 127:2, 130:14 undertake [1] - 54:12 undertaking [1] -	132:14, 134:9, 134:22, 136:11, 139:13, 140:24, 153:22 up-sell [1] - 79:25 up-sells [1] - 80:1 update [1] - 91:17 updated [1] - 138:3 updating [1] - 122:16 upper [2] - 117:17, 132:11 uptick [1] - 146:5 urban [4] - 149:5, 150:9, 150:19, 152:4 urgent [2] - 66:16, 67:6 utilitarian [1] - 90:7 utility [1] - 84:11 V	132:4, 133:14, 134:24, 135:21, 136:13, 137:5, 138:9 vision [1] - 85:20 visit [7] - 11:4, 11:5, 11:17, 24:9, 33:22, 107:15, 127:12 visual [1] - 23:11 voice [1] - 59:9 vote [16] - 21:9, 63:15, 65:11, 71:21, 72:11, 72:13, 90:12, 105:7, 118:24, 123:25, 124:8, 136:24, 137:20, 138:24 voted [1] - 136:19 voting [1] - 121:24 W wait [1] - 35:18 Walbrook [1] - 55:12	welcome [5] - 9:22, 50:21, 101:5, 154:10, 154:11 welcoming [1] - 2:6 welfare [2] - 68:15, 78:14 well-being [2] - 48:12, 49:14 wells [1] - 80:18 Wells [1] - 80:18 Wells [1] - 3:1 WELLS [38] - 1:18, 3:1, 10:5, 11:2, 13:1, 17:19, 18:8, 19:23, 20:4, 20:8, 20:17, 21:24, 26:5, 26:20, 27:2, 28:23, 73:6, 91:2, 93:9, 101:21, 107:3, 114:17, 115:21, 115:25, 116:7, 126:9, 136:9, 136:25, 137:22, 138:21, 143:23,
trash [1] - 44:19 trashed [1] - 110:13 treat [1] - 116:4 tremendous [1] - 56:5 tried [4] - 35:4, 51:21, 58:23, 118:14 triggers [1] - 122:25 Tropia [3] - 1:9, 155:7, 155:18 troubled [1] - 38:12 trucks [1] - 149:7 true [1] - 155:10 truly [1] - 30:20 truth [48] - 8:16, 8:17, 14:13, 14:14, 30:8, 30:9, 33:12, 33:13, 43:10, 43:11, 46:13, 46:14, 50:12, 50:13, 53:17, 53:18, 55:18, 55:19, 60:20, 60:21, 62:9, 62:10, 77:15, 77:16, 94:18, 94:19, 98:20, 98:21,	U ultimately [3] - 148:21, 150:7, 151:10 unable [1] - 75:2 unanimous [4] - 72:22, 72:23, 90:17, 105:12 unanimously [2] - 124:6, 139:8 unaware [1] - 110:16 unclear [3] - 17:16, 63:11, 63:13 under [5] - 4:10, 27:14, 82:16, 127:2, 130:14 undertake [1] - 54:12 undertaking [1] - 54:16	132:14, 134:9, 134:22, 136:11, 139:13, 140:24, 153:22 up-sell [1] - 79:25 up-sells [1] - 80:1 update [1] - 91:17 updated [1] - 138:3 updating [1] - 122:16 upper [2] - 117:17, 132:11 uptick [1] - 146:5 urban [4] - 149:5, 150:9, 150:19, 152:4 urgent [2] - 66:16, 67:6 utilitarian [1] - 90:7 utility [1] - 84:11 V vacant [6] - 23:9,	132:4, 133:14, 134:24, 135:21, 136:13, 137:5, 138:9 vision [1] - 85:20 visit [7] - 11:4, 11:5, 11:17, 24:9, 33:22, 107:15, 127:12 visual [1] - 23:11 voice [1] - 59:9 vote [16] - 21:9, 63:15, 65:11, 71:21, 72:11, 72:13, 90:12, 105:7, 118:24, 123:25, 124:8, 136:24, 137:20, 138:24 voted [1] - 136:19 voting [1] - 121:24 W wait [1] - 35:18 Walbrook [1] - 55:12 walk [4] - 44:23,	welcome [5] - 9:22, 50:21, 101:5, 154:10, 154:11 welcoming [1] - 2:6 welfare [2] - 68:15, 78:14 well-being [2] - 48:12, 49:14 wells [1] - 80:18 Wells [1] - 3:1 WELLS [38] - 1:18, 3:1, 10:5, 11:2, 13:1, 17:19, 18:8, 19:23, 20:4, 20:8, 20:17, 21:24, 26:5, 26:20, 27:2, 28:23, 73:6, 91:2, 93:9, 101:21, 107:3, 114:17, 115:21, 115:25, 116:7, 126:9, 136:9, 136:25, 137:22, 138:21, 143:23, 144:1, 144:24,
trash [1] - 44:19 trashed [1] - 110:13 treat [1] - 116:4 tremendous [1] - 56:5 tried [4] - 35:4, 51:21, 58:23, 118:14 triggers [1] - 122:25 Tropia [3] - 1:9, 155:7, 155:18 troubled [1] - 38:12 trucks [1] - 149:7 true [1] - 155:10 truly [1] - 30:20 truth [48] - 8:16, 8:17, 14:13, 14:14, 30:8, 30:9, 33:12, 33:13, 43:10, 43:11, 46:13, 46:14, 50:12, 50:13, 53:17, 53:18, 55:18, 55:19, 60:20, 60:21, 62:9, 62:10, 77:15, 77:16, 94:18, 94:19, 98:20, 98:21, 108:25, 109:1,	U ultimately [3] - 148:21, 150:7, 151:10 unable [1] - 75:2 unanimous [4] - 72:22, 72:23, 90:17, 105:12 unanimously [2] - 124:6, 139:8 unaware [1] - 110:16 unclear [3] - 17:16, 63:11, 63:13 under [5] - 4:10, 27:14, 82:16, 127:2, 130:14 undertake [1] - 54:12 undertaking [1] - 54:16 undue [1] - 25:9	132:14, 134:9, 134:22, 136:11, 139:13, 140:24, 153:22 up-sell [1] - 79:25 up-sells [1] - 80:1 update [1] - 91:17 updated [1] - 138:3 updating [1] - 122:16 upper [2] - 117:17, 132:11 uptick [1] - 146:5 urban [4] - 149:5, 150:9, 150:19, 152:4 urgent [2] - 66:16, 67:6 utilitarian [1] - 90:7 utility [1] - 84:11 V vacant [6] - 23:9, 43:18, 55:2, 65:16,	132:4, 133:14, 134:24, 135:21, 136:13, 137:5, 138:9 vision [1] - 85:20 visit [7] - 11:4, 11:5, 11:17, 24:9, 33:22, 107:15, 127:12 visual [1] - 23:11 voice [1] - 59:9 vote [16] - 21:9, 63:15, 65:11, 71:21, 72:11, 72:13, 90:12, 105:7, 118:24, 123:25, 124:8, 136:24, 137:20, 138:24 voted [1] - 136:19 voting [1] - 121:24 Walbrook [1] - 55:12 walk [4] - 44:23, 51:15, 51:17, 149:5	welcome [5] - 9:22, 50:21, 101:5, 154:10, 154:11 welcoming [1] - 2:6 welfare [2] - 68:15, 78:14 well-being [2] - 48:12, 49:14 wells [1] - 80:18 Wells [1] - 80:18 Wells [1] - 3:1 WELLS [38] - 1:18, 3:1, 10:5, 11:2, 13:1, 17:19, 18:8, 19:23, 20:4, 20:8, 20:17, 21:24, 26:5, 26:20, 27:2, 28:23, 73:6, 91:2, 93:9, 101:21, 107:3, 114:17, 115:21, 115:25, 116:7, 126:9, 136:9, 136:25, 137:22, 138:21, 143:23,
trash [1] - 44:19 trashed [1] - 110:13 treat [1] - 116:4 tremendous [1] - 56:5 tried [4] - 35:4, 51:21, 58:23, 118:14 triggers [1] - 122:25 Tropia [3] - 1:9, 155:7, 155:18 troubled [1] - 38:12 trucks [1] - 149:7 true [1] - 155:10 truly [1] - 30:20 truth [48] - 8:16, 8:17, 14:13, 14:14, 30:8, 30:9, 33:12, 33:13, 43:10, 43:11, 46:13, 46:14, 50:12, 50:13, 53:17, 53:18, 55:18, 55:19, 60:20, 60:21, 62:9, 62:10, 77:15, 77:16, 94:18, 94:19, 98:20, 98:21, 108:25, 109:1, 129:12, 129:13	U ultimately [3] - 148:21, 150:7, 151:10 unable [1] - 75:2 unanimous [4] - 72:22, 72:23, 90:17, 105:12 unanimously [2] - 124:6, 139:8 unaware [1] - 110:16 unclear [3] - 17:16, 63:11, 63:13 under [5] - 4:10, 27:14, 82:16, 127:2, 130:14 undertake [1] - 54:12 undertaking [1] - 54:16 undue [1] - 25:9 unfortunately [1] -	132:14, 134:9, 134:22, 136:11, 139:13, 140:24, 153:22 up-sell [1] - 79:25 up-sells [1] - 80:1 update [1] - 91:17 updated [1] - 138:3 updating [1] - 122:16 upper [2] - 117:17, 132:11 uptick [1] - 146:5 urban [4] - 149:5, 150:9, 150:19, 152:4 urgent [2] - 66:16, 67:6 utilitarian [1] - 90:7 utility [1] - 84:11 V vacant [6] - 23:9, 43:18, 55:2, 65:16, 65:22, 142:2	132:4, 133:14, 134:24, 135:21, 136:13, 137:5, 138:9 vision [1] - 85:20 visit [7] - 11:4, 11:5, 11:17, 24:9, 33:22, 107:15, 127:12 visual [1] - 23:11 voice [1] - 59:9 vote [16] - 21:9, 63:15, 65:11, 71:21, 72:11, 72:13, 90:12, 105:7, 118:24, 123:25, 124:8, 136:24, 137:20, 138:24 voted [1] - 136:19 voting [1] - 121:24 Walbrook [1] - 55:12 walk [4] - 44:23, 51:15, 51:17, 149:5 walkability [1] -	<pre>welcome [5] - 9:22, 50:21, 101:5, 154:10, 154:11 welcoming [1] - 2:6 welfare [2] - 68:15, 78:14 well-being [2] - 48:12, 49:14 wells [1] - 80:18 Wells [1] - 80:18 Wells [1] - 3:1 WELLS [38] - 1:18, 3:1, 10:5, 11:2, 13:1, 17:19, 18:8, 19:23, 20:4, 20:8, 20:17, 21:24, 26:5, 26:20, 27:2, 28:23, 73:6, 91:2, 93:9, 101:21, 107:3, 114:17, 115:21, 115:25, 116:7, 126:9, 136:9, 136:25, 137:22, 138:21, 143:23, 144:1, 144:24, 149:24, 151:16,</pre>
trash [1] - 44:19 trashed [1] - 110:13 treat [1] - 116:4 tremendous [1] - 56:5 tried [4] - 35:4, 51:21, 58:23, 118:14 triggers [1] - 122:25 Tropia [3] - 1:9, 155:7, 155:18 troubled [1] - 38:12 trucks [1] - 149:7 true [1] - 155:10 truly [1] - 30:20 truth [48] - 8:16, 8:17, 14:13, 14:14, 30:8, 30:9, 33:12, 33:13, 43:10, 43:11, 46:13, 46:14, 50:12, 50:13, 53:17, 53:18, 55:18, 55:19, 60:20, 60:21, 62:9, 62:10, 77:15, 77:16, 94:18, 94:19, 98:20, 98:21, 108:25, 109:1, 129:12, 129:13 truthfully [2] - 68:6,	U ultimately [3] - 148:21, 150:7, 151:10 unable [1] - 75:2 unanimous [4] - 72:22, 72:23, 90:17, 105:12 unanimously [2] - 124:6, 139:8 unaware [1] - 110:16 unclear [3] - 17:16, 63:11, 63:13 under [5] - 4:10, 27:14, 82:16, 127:2, 130:14 undertake [1] - 54:12 undertaking [1] - 54:16 undue [1] - 25:9 unfortunately [1] - 86:9	132:14, 134:9, 134:22, 136:11, 139:13, 140:24, 153:22 up-sell [1] - 79:25 up-sells [1] - 80:1 update [1] - 91:17 updated [1] - 138:3 updating [1] - 122:16 upper [2] - 117:17, 132:11 uptick [1] - 146:5 urban [4] - 149:5, 150:9, 150:19, 152:4 urgent [2] - 66:16, 67:6 utilitarian [1] - 90:7 utility [1] - 84:11 V vacant [6] - 23:9, 43:18, 55:2, 65:16, 65:22, 142:2 vagrancy [2] - 47:19	132:4, 133:14, 134:24, 135:21, 136:13, 137:5, 138:9 vision [1] - 85:20 visit [7] - 11:4, 11:5, 11:17, 24:9, 33:22, 107:15, 127:12 visual [1] - 23:11 voice [1] - 59:9 vote [16] - 21:9, 63:15, 65:11, 71:21, 72:11, 72:13, 90:12, 105:7, 118:24, 123:25, 124:8, 136:24, 137:20, 138:24 voted [1] - 136:19 voting [1] - 121:24 W wait [1] - 35:18 Walbrook [1] - 55:12 walk [4] - 44:23, 51:15, 51:17, 149:5 walkability [1] - 149:10	welcome [5] - 9:22, 50:21, 101:5, 154:10, 154:11 welcoming [1] - 2:6 welfare [2] - 68:15, 78:14 well-being [2] - 48:12, 49:14 wells [1] - 80:18 Wells [1] - 80:18 Wells [1] - 3:1 WELLS [38] - 1:18, 3:1, 10:5, 11:2, 13:1, 17:19, 18:8, 19:23, 20:4, 20:8, 20:17, 21:24, 26:5, 26:20, 27:2, 28:23, 73:6, 91:2, 93:9, 101:21, 107:3, 114:17, 115:21, 115:25, 116:7, 126:9, 136:9, 136:25, 137:22, 138:21, 143:23, 144:1, 144:24, 149:24, 151:16, 151:19, 152:22,
trash [1] - 44:19 trashed [1] - 110:13 treat [1] - 116:4 tremendous [1] - 56:5 tried [4] - 35:4, 51:21, 58:23, 118:14 triggers [1] - 122:25 Tropia [3] - 1:9, 155:7, 155:18 troubled [1] - 38:12 trucks [1] - 149:7 true [1] - 155:10 truly [1] - 30:20 truth [48] - 8:16, 8:17, 14:13, 14:14, 30:8, 30:9, 33:12, 33:13, 43:10, 43:11, 46:13, 46:14, 50:12, 50:13, 53:17, 53:18, 55:18, 55:19, 60:20, 60:21, 62:9, 62:10, 77:15, 77:16, 94:18, 94:19, 98:20, 98:21, 108:25, 109:1, 129:12, 129:13 truthfully [2] - 68:6, 68:19	U ultimately [3] - 148:21, 150:7, 151:10 unable [1] - 75:2 unanimous [4] - 72:22, 72:23, 90:17, 105:12 unanimously [2] - 124:6, 139:8 unaware [1] - 110:16 unclear [3] - 17:16, 63:11, 63:13 under [5] - 4:10, 27:14, 82:16, 127:2, 130:14 undertake [1] - 54:12 undertaking [1] - 54:16 undue [1] - 25:9 unfortunately [1] - 86:9 unique [3] - 22:19,	$132:14, 134:9, \\134:22, 136:11, \\139:13, 140:24, \\153:22 \\ up-sell [1] - 79:25 \\ up-sells [1] - 80:1 \\ update [1] - 91:17 \\ updated [1] - 91:17 \\ updated [1] - 138:3 \\ updating [1] - 122:16 \\ upper [2] - 117:17, \\132:11 \\ uptick [1] - 146:5 \\ urban [4] - 149:5, \\150:9, 150:19, 152:4 \\ urgent [2] - 66:16, \\67:6 \\ utilitarian [1] - 90:7 \\ utility [1] - 84:11 \\ \hline V \\ vacant [6] - 23:9, \\43:18, 55:2, 65:16, \\65:22, 142:2 \\ vagrancy [2] - 47:19 \\ vagrants [1] - 44:4 \\ \hline \label{eq:started}$	132:4, 133:14, 134:24, 135:21, 136:13, 137:5, 138:9 vision [1] - 85:20 visit [7] - 11:4, 11:5, 11:17, 24:9, 33:22, 107:15, 127:12 visual [1] - 23:11 voice [1] - 59:9 vote [16] - 21:9, 63:15, 65:11, 71:21, 72:11, 72:13, 90:12, 105:7, 118:24, 123:25, 124:8, 136:24, 137:20, 138:24 voted [1] - 136:19 voting [1] - 121:24 Walbrook [1] - 55:12 walk [4] - 44:23, 51:15, 51:17, 149:5 walkability [1] - 149:10 walked [1] - 34:20	welcome [5] - 9:22, 50:21, 101:5, 154:10, 154:11 welcoming [1] - 2:6 welfare [2] - 68:15, 78:14 well-being [2] - 48:12, 49:14 wells [1] - 80:18 Wells [1] - 80:18 Wells [1] - 3:1 WELLS [38] - 1:18, 3:1, 10:5, 11:2, 13:1, 17:19, 18:8, 19:23, 20:4, 20:8, 20:17, 21:24, 26:5, 26:20, 27:2, 28:23, 73:6, 91:2, 93:9, 101:21, 107:3, 114:17, 115:21, 115:25, 116:7, 126:9, 136:9, 136:25, 137:22, 138:21, 143:23, 144:1, 144:24, 149:24, 151:16, 151:19, 152:22, 152:25
trash [1] - 44:19 trashed [1] - 110:13 treat [1] - 116:4 tremendous [1] - 56:5 tried [4] - 35:4, 51:21, 58:23, 118:14 triggers [1] - 122:25 Tropia [3] - 1:9, 155:7, 155:18 troubled [1] - 38:12 trucks [1] - 149:7 true [1] - 155:10 truly [1] - 30:20 truth [48] - 8:16, 8:17, 14:13, 14:14, 30:8, 30:9, 33:12, 33:13, 43:10, 43:11, 46:13, 46:14, 50:12, 50:13, 53:17, 53:18, 55:18, 55:19, 60:20, 60:21, 62:9, 62:10, 77:15, 77:16, 94:18, 94:19, 98:20, 98:21, 108:25, 109:1, 129:12, 129:13 truthfully [2] - 68:6, 68:19 try [5] - 4:13, 34:4,	U ultimately [3] - 148:21, 150:7, 151:10 unable [1] - 75:2 unanimous [4] - 72:22, 72:23, 90:17, 105:12 unanimously [2] - 124:6, 139:8 unaware [1] - 110:16 unclear [3] - 17:16, 63:11, 63:13 under [5] - 4:10, 27:14, 82:16, 127:2, 130:14 undertake [1] - 54:12 undertaking [1] - 54:16 undue [1] - 25:9 unfortunately [1] - 86:9 unique [3] - 22:19, 88:8, 89:22 units [1] - 151:6 university [1] - 58:13	$132:14, 134:9, \\134:22, 136:11, \\139:13, 140:24, \\153:22 \\ up-sell [1] - 79:25 \\ up-sells [1] - 80:1 \\ update [1] - 91:17 \\ updated [1] - 138:3 \\ updating [1] - 122:16 \\ upper [2] - 117:17, \\132:11 \\ uptick [1] - 146:5 \\ urban [4] - 149:5, \\150:9, 150:19, 152:4 \\ urgent [2] - 66:16, \\67:6 \\ utilitarian [1] - 90:7 \\ utility [1] - 84:11 \\ \hline V \\ vacant [6] - 23:9, \\43:18, 55:2, 65:16, \\65:22, 142:2 \\ vagrancy [2] - 47:19 \\ vagrants [1] - 44:4 \\ valances [1] - 12:9 \\ \hline \end{cases}$	132:4, 133:14, 134:24, 135:21, 136:13, 137:5, 138:9 vision [1] - 85:20 visit [7] - 11:4, 11:5, 11:17, 24:9, 33:22, 107:15, 127:12 visual [1] - 23:11 voice [1] - 59:9 vote [16] - 21:9, 63:15, 65:11, 71:21, 72:11, 72:13, 90:12, 105:7, 118:24, 123:25, 124:8, 136:24, 137:20, 138:24 voted [1] - 136:19 voting [1] - 121:24 W wait [1] - 35:18 Walbrook [1] - 55:12 walk [4] - 44:23, 51:15, 51:17, 149:5 walkability [1] - 149:10	welcome [5] - 9:22, 50:21, 101:5, 154:10, 154:11 welcoming [1] - 2:6 welfare [2] - 68:15, 78:14 well-being [2] - 48:12, 49:14 wells [1] - 80:18 Wells [1] - 80:18 Wells [1] - 3:1 WELLS [38] - 1:18, 3:1, 10:5, 11:2, 13:1, 17:19, 18:8, 19:23, 20:4, 20:8, 20:17, 21:24, 26:5, 26:20, 27:2, 28:23, 73:6, 91:2, 93:9, 101:21, 107:3, 114:17, 115:21, 115:25, 116:7, 126:9, 136:9, 136:25, 137:22, 138:21, 143:23, 144:1, 144:24, 149:24, 151:16, 151:19, 152:22, 152:25 West [2] - 6:8, 8:9
trash [1] - 44:19 trashed [1] - 110:13 treat [1] - 116:4 tremendous [1] - 56:5 tried [4] - 35:4, 51:21, 58:23, 118:14 triggers [1] - 122:25 Tropia [3] - 1:9, 155:7, 155:18 troubled [1] - 38:12 trucks [1] - 149:7 true [1] - 155:10 truly [1] - 30:20 truth [48] - 8:16, 8:17, 14:13, 14:14, 30:8, 30:9, 33:12, 33:13, 43:10, 43:11, 46:13, 46:14, 50:12, 50:13, 53:17, 53:18, 55:18, 55:19, 60:20, 60:21, 62:9, 62:10, 77:15, 77:16, 94:18, 94:19, 98:20, 98:21, 108:25, 109:1, 129:12, 129:13 truthfully [2] - 68:6, 68:19	U ultimately [3] - 148:21, 150:7, 151:10 unable [1] - 75:2 unanimous [4] - 72:22, 72:23, 90:17, 105:12 unanimously [2] - 124:6, 139:8 unaware [1] - 110:16 unclear [3] - 17:16, 63:11, 63:13 under [5] - 4:10, 27:14, 82:16, 127:2, 130:14 undertaking [1] - 54:16 undue [1] - 25:9 unfortunately [1] - 86:9 unique [3] - 22:19, 88:8, 89:22 units [1] - 151:6	$132:14, 134:9, \\134:22, 136:11, \\139:13, 140:24, \\153:22 \\ up-sell [1] - 79:25 \\ up-sells [1] - 80:1 \\ update [1] - 91:17 \\ updated [1] - 138:3 \\ updating [1] - 122:16 \\ upper [2] - 117:17, \\132:11 \\ uptick [1] - 146:5 \\ urban [4] - 149:5, \\150:9, 150:19, 152:4 \\ urgent [2] - 66:16, \\67:6 \\ utilitarian [1] - 90:7 \\ utility [1] - 84:11 \\ \hline V \\ vacant [6] - 23:9, \\43:18, 55:2, 65:16, \\65:22, 142:2 \\ vagrancy [2] - 47:19 \\ vagrants [1] - 44:4 \\ valances [1] - 12:9 \\ value [3] - 48:9, 48:11, \\ \hline \end {tabular}$	132:4, 133:14, 134:24, 135:21, 136:13, 137:5, 138:9 vision [1] - 85:20 visit [7] - 11:4, 11:5, 11:17, 24:9, 33:22, 107:15, 127:12 visual [1] - 23:11 voice [1] - 59:9 vote [16] - 21:9, 63:15, 65:11, 71:21, 72:11, 72:13, 90:12, 105:7, 118:24, 123:25, 124:8, 136:24, 137:20, 138:24 voted [1] - 136:19 voting [1] - 121:24 Walbrook [1] - 55:12 walk [4] - 44:23, 51:15, 51:17, 149:5 walkability [1] - 149:10 walking [3] - 67:9,	welcome [5] - 9:22, 50:21, 101:5, 154:10, 154:11 welcoming [1] - 2:6 welfare [2] - 68:15, 78:14 well-being [2] - 48:12, 49:14 wells [1] - 80:18 Wells [1] - 3:1 WELLS [38] - 1:18, 3:1, 10:5, 11:2, 13:1, 17:19, 18:8, 19:23, 20:4, 20:8, 20:17, 21:24, 26:5, 26:20, 27:2, 28:23, 73:6, 91:2, 93:9, 101:21, 107:3, 114:17, 115:21, 115:25, 116:7, 126:9, 136:9, 136:25, 137:22, 138:21, 143:23, 144:1, 144:24, 149:24, 151:16, 151:19, 152:22, 152:25 West [2] - 6:8, 8:9 wet [1] - 99:9

whoroby 142 67:15	15.0 15.17 15.20	107:5 107:6	_
whereby [1] - 67:15	15:9, 15:17, 15:20,	107:5, 107:6,	Z
WHITE [5] - 141:7,	16:6, 16:7, 16:17,	107:18, 107:19,	74.4.74.0
141:9, 141:12,	18:6, 18:11, 18:23,	108:4, 126:12,	zone [5] - 74:1, 74:2,
141:17, 141:20	18:25, 19:9, 19:10,	126:13, 126:19,	75:7, 75:8, 76:18
White [2] - 102:18,	20:1, 20:5, 20:12,	127:23, 128:4,	Zoning [2] - 144:12,
141:3	20:20, 20:23, 21:1,	128:15, 138:4	144:18
whole [27] - 8:16,	24:13, 26:7, 44:3,	wood-blend [1] -	
14:13, 30:8, 33:12,	99:4, 107:6, 107:14, 107:17, 107:18,	138:4	
43:10, 46:13, 49:11,	107:19, 107:22,	word [3] - 47:19, 84:3, 148:14	
50:12, 53:17, 55:18,	109:7, 109:12,	wording [1] - 135:24	
60:20, 61:8, 62:9,	109:19, 109:22,	words [1] - 35:10	
67:4, 67:21, 68:10, 77:15, 87:4, 87:5,	110:4, 110:6, 111:2,	works [1] - 96:12	
94:18, 98:20,	111:24, 112:2,	Works [1] - 90.12 Works [2] - 143:21,	
108:25, 112:7,	113:23, 113:25,	144:24	
117:3, 129:12,	115:18, 116:2,		
131:18, 149:20	116:16, 117:15,	world [1] - 96:2 worse [2] - 68:7, 88:23	
widening [1] - 148:25	117:17, 117:22,		
wider [3] - 146:12,	117:23, 118:1,	worst [2] - 71:13 worth [2] - 61:11,	
147:25, 149:17	118:4, 118:15,	85:22	
width [1] - 91:24	118:21, 121:10,	wrestling [1] - 66:21	
wife [1] - 52:4	123:20, 126:12,	write [1] - 35:24	
Wiggins [1] - 52:15	126:20, 126:24,	write-up [1] - 35:24	
WILLIAM [1] - 1:15	126:25, 127:4,	written [3] - 113:14,	
William [2] - 94:10,	127:6, 127:8, 127:9,	113:16, 119:14	
142:9	127:10, 127:15,	110.10, 110.14	
Williams [1] - 108:18	127:18, 127:23,	Y	
WILLIAMS [16] -	128:2, 128:4, 128:6,	I	
108:22, 109:2,	128:11, 129:16,	y'all [3] - 8:20, 8:22,	
109:4, 111:5, 111:8,	129:18, 129:19,	26:25	
111:15, 112:20,	129:20, 129:21,	yards [1] - 146:16	
116:19, 117:2,	129:23, 130:5,	year [9] - 4:9, 24:10,	
117:12, 117:20,	130:7, 130:8,	75:13, 76:3, 82:16,	
118:2, 118:6, 118:9,	130:10, 130:13,	83:14, 100:14,	
118:17, 125:11	130:19, 130:20,	100:25, 137:13	
willing [1] - 137:18	130:22, 130:23,	years [43] - 5:8, 8:23,	
willingness [1] - 32:18	131:12, 131:18,	14:24, 15:13, 15:19,	
wind [1] - 48:20	131:21, 132:6,	16:5, 17:25, 18:5,	
window [31] - 11:13,	132:9, 132:14,	18:17, 22:16, 23:10,	
12:6, 15:19, 15:24,	132:16, 133:5, 133:13, 133:17	23:20, 32:1, 43:16,	
16:19, 17:16, 17:22,	133:13, 133:17, 133:19, 133:21,	43:19, 44:14, 44:18,	
20:11, 20:21,	133:22, 133:23,	46:24, 47:11, 51:1,	
100:13, 107:7,	133:24, 134:23,	51:22, 56:22, 58:16,	
109:6, 111:13,	135:12, 135:16,	58:24, 59:4, 59:6,	
111:17, 114:9,	136:12, 138:8,	61:2, 62:17, 62:20,	
116:6, 116:9,	138:19, 141:21	63:21, 65:23, 75:11,	
119:15, 120:23,	windy [1] - 44:8	83:12, 96:4, 99:1,	
122:21, 126:24,	wish [2] - 63:6, 137:13	114:13, 126:22,	
127:19, 130:2,	wishes [2] - 57:3,	131:11, 134:1,	
131:1, 131:2, 131:4,	57:20	153:11, 153:21, 153:22	
131:6, 132:25, 133:1, 135:18, 137:5	withdraw [1] - 123:13	yells [1] - 153:16	
windows [133] - 10:8,	witnessed [1] - 31:13	yesterday [1] - 91:15	
10:15, 10:17, 10:20,	wonderful [1] - 68:18	young [2] - 58:11,	
10.15, 10.17, 10.20, 11:6, 11:7, 11:9,	wonky [1] - 122:22	71:16	
11:14, 11:16, 11:19,	wood [26] - 10:8, 10:9,	yourself [2] - 4:13,	
11:20, 11:22, 12:7,	10:13, 10:16, 10:20,	56:12	
12:10, 12:12, 13:5,	12:6, 12:12, 13:5,	yourselves [1] - 57:22	
14:18, 14:20, 14:22,	17:16, 17:24, 23:15,	Jeanoonoo [1] 01.22	
14:24, 14:25, 15:8,	76:10, 99:6, 99:23,		
, <u></u> , . ,			