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May 22, 2024
PROCEEDINGS

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We're going to go ahead and start the May 22nd version of the Jacksonville Historic Preservation Commission.

If I could start with some introductions back there.

MS. FIGUEROA: Brittany Figueroa, Historic Preservation.

MR. WELLS: Arimus Wells, Historic Preservation section.

MS. LOPERA: Carla Lopera, Office of General Counsel.

COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Ethan Gregory, commissioner.

COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: Michael Montoya, commissioner.

THE CHAIRMAN: J.C. Demetree, chairman.
COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Julia Epstein, commissioner.

COMMISSIONER HOFF: William Hoff, commissioner.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. If you could please silence your cell phones. We'll take a break every two hours as needed.
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And with that, I'll take a motion to approve the April 24th minutes.

COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: Motion to approve the minutes.

COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second.
THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, those minutes have been approved.

We've got a couple of deferred items today: We have COA-22-27451, 2768 Riverside Avenue; COA-23-28339, 3664 Richmond Street; COA-23-29186, 2799 Selma Street; LS-23-01, 538 Ellis Road South; and LM-24-03, 801 Jefferson Street.

We're going to jump around a little bit today. Will have Councilman Peluso here to do a quick presentation on Historic Preservation Month.
(Council Member Peluso approaches the podium.)

THE CHAIRMAN: Welcome in.
COUNCIL MEMBER PELUSO: Thank you, Chair,
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for recognizing me.
I'm here for a very simple reason. Today
is -- this month is Historic Preservation Month, and I wanted to come down and thank each and every one of you individually for the incredible work you've done for historic preservation.

And I will say -- you said "William Hoff," Bill. Your name here says "Bill Hoff." I just want to make sure that you knew, you know, for the record.

But aside from that, Bill called me up last month and he said, "We really need to make sure that there's a resolution for Historic Preservation Month," and I'm so glad that he did. It was already on our radar, I will say that, you know, because it is vitally important to me and to District 7.

Pretty much 99 percent of the work that you guys do touches on District 7. You know, the old Urban Core of the city is incredibly important to all of us and we want to make sure that we are keeping those structures and those buildings and those historical markers at the forefront of what makes us special, because it
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You know, we're not like these other cities throughout the South. There were only three big cities in Florida for a long time; Pensacola, Tallahassee, and Jacksonville, right? There is so much history here and it's incredibly important that we continue to preserve it and it's incredibly important that we honor it, right? It's what makes us special.

So I want to thank you all so much.
Some people like reading the entire resolution. I'm not one of those people. You guys can read the resolution at your own leisure, but I'd like to present this to you, Chair, and to make sure that everyone here knows that I'm very grateful for the work, as well as all City Council, we're all grateful for the work you're doing, so thank you all so much.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you so much.
Councilman Peluso, you're representing the street renaming as well?

COUNCIL MEMBER PELUSO: I am.
THE CHAIRMAN: We'll go ahead and knock
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that out. So we're going to jump to Section M on the agenda -- excuse me -- Section L , Number 3, the road renaming, 15th Street to Swisher Street.

We'll take a staff report, please.
MR. WELLS: Thank you.
So this is a request to rename 15th Street
East to Swisher Street. And so this is -- our criteria in itself is evaluated upon
Chapter 745 of the Ordinance Code, and so the street renaming seeks to honor and commend
Swisher, which was formerly Swisher
International, Incorporated, and a hundred years of operations within Jacksonville. The change would only rename a portion of 15th Street.

So based on the criteria -- this portion
of 15 th Street is located north of the
Springfield subdivision. It was originally platted in 1906 as part of the new Springfield subdivision plat.

Once again, the request would only rename a portion of 15th Street, as depicted in the map in the staff report. There's no historic structures or landmarks identified along this
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portion of the subject street, and the road name is also not part of a common theme of street names within the area.

So based on the best evidence available, the Department recommends approval of the renaming from 15th Street East to Swisher Street.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Questions for staff?
(Audio failure.)
(Brief pause in the proceedings.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're going to continue on. Any questions for staff on the road renaming?

COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. With that, we'll open the public hearing.

Anybody can come up and -- or, Councilman Peluso, if you want to come up and present, or if anyone wants to come up and talk --

COUNCIL MEMBER PELUSO: I'm not presenting on it. I'm just here to --

THE CHAIRMAN: You're good. Okay.
If anybody wants to come up and speak on this, now is the time.
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(Audience member approaches the podium.)
THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address for me.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: David Cawton, 459 East 16th Street, representing Swisher.

Just here to answer any questions you may have about it, but this is really just in honor of Swisher's 100th anniversary in Jacksonville. It's been there for a long time and feel it's a big part of the Springfield neighborhood's history.

Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
MR. CAWTON: Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Is anybody else here to speak on this?

AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: With that, we'll close the public hearing.

I'll entertain a motion.
COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Motion to approve the road renaming of 15th Street to Swisher Street.

COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: Second.
THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?
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COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, you have approved -- recommended for approval the road renaming.

And, let's see, with that, we're going to jump back in our agenda to the consent agenda. It will be Section $C$ on the agenda. We've got COA-23-30007, 2951 Post Street; COA-23-30022, 1372 Avondale Avenue; COA-24-30437, 411 1st Street East; COA-24-30515, 317 9th Street West; COA-24-30669, 1954 Greenwood Avenue; and COA-24-30277, 1321 Cherry Street.

Any commissioners have any ex parte on any of those?

COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Any questions or comments before we go to the public?

COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: With that, we'll open the public hearing.

Is anyone here to speak on anything on the consent agenda?

AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)
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THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Seeing none, we'll close the public hearing.

I'll entertain a motion.
COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: Motion to approve the consent agenda.

COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second.
THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, the consent agenda has been approved.

And let's see where we are here. We're going to jump around one more time. We're going to go to Section M, Information, Number 1 on that. We have a Hogan's Creek restoration presentation. We're going to hear that real quick.

Let me get a staff report real quick and
I'll call you all right up.
MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to the Commission, we actually don't have a staff
report for this one. This is more of an informational presentation.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We'll go ahead and
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open the public hearing.
Y'all can come on up.
(Audience member approaches the podium.)
THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address for me.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good afternoon.
Kay Ehas, Groundwork Jacksonville, CEO.
Our P.O. Box is 13295, 32206.
So you may be aware that Groundwork Jacksonville is the City of Jacksonville's nonprofit partner in building the 34-mile Emerald Trail project.

If we -- can we go to the next slide? And the next one.

So this is the Emerald Trail map (indicating). Part of the Emerald Trail is to restore two urban creeks, McCoy's Creek and Hogan's Creek. McCoy's Creek is under construction. And Hogan's Creek is under design right now; we're at 30 percent moving to 60. Groundwork Jacksonville is leading the design of that project and secured almost $\$ 9$ million in grants to do the design.

So we wanted to introduce the project to you guys because the portion of Hogan's Creek

Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300
that's in Springfield is historic, right?
So 5 and 9 on this map is Hogan's Creek, and it will be both creek restoration, trail building, and recreation is the project. And our goal is always to return straightened, hardened streams back to natural channels as much as possible, so it's both ecological, but the goals are to reduce flooding, improve water quality, create habitat for fish and habitat, and recreational access for people.

Next.
So I just wanted to -- I don't know -- I don't have a "before" picture, but this is McCoy's Creek. And it was -- just picture something straight with sea walls, and look at those meanders. Is that not a beautiful site to behold? It just makes my heart sing.

The trail is going to be on the left. This part of the creek, the restoration is almost done, and then we're going to do trail building and recreation after that.

But as soon as -- this is, like, the middle phase of the project. And as soon as they started construction, it no longer floods, which is the goal.
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will be recreated and repositioned. Like, they
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Next.
So these are just some before and after of Hogan's Creek in its current condition. So the top left is Springfield Park, that lovely pond area that you have there, and turning it into a much more functional wetland.

And then this is -- on the bottom left is most of Hogan's Creek, especially through Springfield, right? It's -- there's nothing natural about it. Not a natural bottom, not natural sides, it's got no access to a floodplain whatsoever. And so that is -- on the right is a rendering of that creek going through Klutho Park.

So our goal is that -- you know, you can't do creek restoration without tearing down the existing creek. I mean, that's just the bottom line. And when you're restoring a creek, you're doing a lot of cut and fill, but our goal -- and Mark LLewellyn will talk about this more -- is to reuse, where possible, or replicate the historic features that exist today, so the balustrades, the bridges -- you know, the pedestrian bridges, all those things
won't necessarily be next to the creek, but the balustrades will be next to the trail.

But there will be pedestrian bridges in -some in similar locations that will have all those features, and we also want to use those features for gateways into the spaces as well.

And then we're working with the Eastside neighborhood to see if -- and the Cathedral District. They want to kind of see that -those features continue the entire length of the creek, right? So that it's one continuous space. So we're -- they think so, but we're -we're kind of not totally there yet.

And so any questions for me? Because I'm going it turn it over to Mark.

COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: Yes. Question.
Through the Chair, question for you, Kay, the comment about the reconstruction. So, for instance, in this slide -- and, in fact, I was -- I just came from that slide on the lower left, above the Emerald.

So are you -- are you stating that all of the existing historic balustrades and bridges are going to be demolished and new things are going to be put in or are they going to be
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repositioned and --
MS. EHAS: We're going to try to reuse what we absolutely can.

COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: Okay. So --
MS. EHAS: It's going to depend on the state that they're in. But where we can't, then we'll replicate, kind of like they did in Memorial Park. And -- I don't know if Mark will show you, but we've got the blueprints. We've got the designs from the original. COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: I think it's great, yeah. MS. EHAS: It's going to be awesome.
It's very complicated, you guys. It's buried underneath the Arlington Expressway, so we're having to -- the FDOT is cooperating to turn that into a bridge so we can open the creek underneath it, which is -- because it's a major constriction.

For those of you that live in Springfield, that's one of the first things we heard when we were doing community meetings: If you don't daylight it under the expressway, you're never going to stop the flooding. And it's true, so --
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I just met with a state representative who's willing to do multiyear funding to get that bridge built. Yes.

Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
(Audience member approaches the podium.)
AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good afternoon, Commissioners.

My name is Mark Llewellyn with Halff Associates. Our office in Jacksonville is 9995 Gate Parkway North, Suite 200.

I get the pleasure and honor of being the project manager for this incredibly exciting project.

So we're going to move pretty quickly in the interest of time through this, but, please, if there's any questions you have as we go through this discussion, feel free to ask.

You're probably all familiar with the Hogan's Creek location. This is just a very overall map. It runs from north to south. So north is to the left on this map. This is a rendering of the project. It's very difficult to see at this scale, but we provide additional information.
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community to come visit and walk and enjoy.
Next slide.
Project status.
Next slide. Thank you.
A lot of work has transpired over the last couple of years. The 30 percent design plans were completed in 2022.

It's thinking too hard over there, isn't it?

And so -- and the other thing that's happened is, we've done a lot of the up-front work for the design, the geotechnical work, all these -- a lot of community engagement, surveying, it's all finished and we're ready to proceed forward with the 60 percent plans, which we're proceeding forward now with, and -and so we'll be ready to come back to you as we proceed forward with the project in the future, but it's a good opportunity for us to come and talk about it.

Next slide.
Chronicle Heritage, our sub-consultant worked on the (inaudible) and is helping us get the -- get this through SHPO and -- I'll turn a few minutes over to Jason.
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(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hi.
My name is Jason Koralewski with Chronicle Heritage.

Just to give you a quick update on the cultural resource assessment survey, it has been submitted to SHPO. It was delivered in -towards the end of April, and we're expecting concurrence sometime in July, just because -the largest reason is the document is almost 900 pages long. It's going to take them a long time to peruse it, so --

Essentially, the cultural resource survey -- next slide, please. If you look at the map, you can see the blue area in the middle is the area of potential effects from the project. It's probably -- approximately 117 acres, and that covers the areas where there will be modifications to the creek, land, balustrades, bridges. And the footprint also includes staging areas for the equipment.

And the area around it, where we see all the other resources identified, that's essentially a half-a-mile buffer around the entire project area to get a better idea of
what's going on, so --
And just a quick rundown. There were 45 previously recorded archaeological sites in the area. We excavated a total of 27 shovel test pits to supplement the surveys that have been done prior, but in most cases the soil had been so disturbed, too wet, utility lines running this way and that, so we weren't able to excavate as many as we had planned on doing.

No positive shell test pits. There are 23 newly recorded structures in the area that were identified, 21 previously recorded structures, 14 bridges, and 10 resource groups. So quite a bit of history packed in the one area.

There will be adverse effects, and SHPO is aware. So the next stages will basically be to create a -- an MOA, and work on mitigation for these adverse effects.

And the whole idea is to keep the preservation of the historic fabric and the recreation -- keep the recreation areas, and add interpretive elements where we can.

So other than that -- yeah, I think that's about it.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
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we work together to get to that point. So looking forward to all that. And that should be fairly consistent and concurrent with what we're doing with SHPO.

Next slide.
Potential impacts. We thought we'd just go through these really quickly. I think you know where they are.

Echo, do you want to do this?
(Ms. Gates approaches the podium.)
MS. GATES: Sure.
One of the -- one thing that's been a big concern of everyone is the Beaux-Arts balustrades that are currently within the park. Along the stream itself, those will have to be removed in order to expand the floodplain. Many of those are in very poor condition. On the -- on the right-hand side, you can see the broken -- the broken balustrades, but there's also the concern during construction and -- and removal of these, they're -- they're being broken also. So that's -- that's something that we're going to work very diligently through the design process and with the contractors to make sure that as much of this
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can be preserved as possible.
Next slide, please.
Then there's a post and rail balustrade that's further south along the creek. Again, this, too, the condition -- the existing condition of this is, in some cases, very poor, but we will be removing this balustrade, again, to expand the floodplain and to increase the hydraulic capacity of the creek itself.

Next slide, please.
The footbridges. There's several footbridges within the chain of parks. That's Schell, Klutho, and Springfield Parks, and the -- some of the footbridges are currently in use. There's -- some of the footbridges are -particularly around the JEA Waterworks site, they're cordoned off, cannot be used due to conditions -- also due to Homeland Security issues, that you can't have people wandering around that utility site, so --

But these -- we have -- what we would like to do here is remove those bridges, and then -Mark will speak later to the mitigation for removal of the bridges.

Next slide, please.
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Potential impacts. Starting at the -- on the north end, here in Schell Park -- just to go quickly through what you're looking at, the green, the -- the heavy green line that you see is the proposed Emerald Trail. You see some smaller green lines. Those are connector -connecting trails, minor trails that will connect to existing pedestrian facilities.

The blue line you see is the proposed location of the -- of the creek itself. And then the green and -- the green and brown is fresh water swamps, tidal marshes, and riparian buffers that are going to be constructed in conjunction with the creek itself.

The Schell Park footbridge is something that will be impacted. There will be a footbridge to cross the creek at that location. At this -- and, currently, that's the plan. And, again, Mark will speak to what -- what will be done with that -- the impacted portions of that -- those Klutho elements in the park.

And then the Beaux-Arts balustrades, there's a -- one small section in this -- just south of the footbridge, and then -- let's see.
That's all that were going to impact here.
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Next slide, please.
Further south along the creek, we -Klutho Park is a cultural resource group. Again, there's Beaux-Arts balustrades, those that you see on the -- on the upper part of the screen, we're trying to design around those. That's one way we're trying to avoid impacts wherever we can. We're trying to design around having to remove any of those balustrades. And the -- the goal there would be to restore them and to make sure that they're stable and will last further into the future.

Then over -- on the right-hand side of your screen there's Beaux-Arts balustrades and also the Julia Street bridge that's in place. That is another item you'll see that the -- the proposed creek intersects with that bridge. We're currently working with our stream restoration consultant to change the pathway so that we can avoid any impacts there.

Next slide, please.
Okay. Further south again, we have the Beaux-Arts balustrades on our left-hand side, there's the Laura Street bridge next to the JEA Waterworks site. We're planning, at this
point, to have to remove those Klutho elements, remove the bridge, and rebuild it to increase the hydraulic capacity underneath the bridge to allow that greater -- to allow greater flood protection along there.

The JEA Waterworks site is very important. You know, we -- we need to make sure that they are protected from floods wherever possible.

On this -- on the JEA Waterworks site, also, we have two footbridges. Neither of those are currently accessible to the public. Those will be impacted, as will the balustrades that run on both sides of the creek through this whole section.

Next slide, please.
And then the -- this is the Laura Street bridge, as it currently -- as you currently see it. There would be some improvements to the Laura Street -- the Klutho elements. These are not the -- let's say the height of the rails aren't high enough for current safety requirements, so there's going to be some adjustments that will be made there, but all in all, this -- we're going to honor these elements as much as possible.
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Next slide, please.
And then this is the Klutho, Springfield Parks. Here we have the Beaux-Arts balustrade. There's a main Newnan Street footbridge that will have to come out. That's in between Main Street and Newnan, Hubbard Street. That will have to -- that will come out. And those -Mark will speak to how that will be -- those -that removal of that bridge -- that footbridge will be mitigated.

And then we have, beginning at -- from that Main/Newnan Street footbridge further down the creek -- downstream of the creek we have the post and rail balustrade that we saw earlier.

Next slide, please.
And then the Market Street bridge, right now the intent is -- on the Market Street bridge, is to remove this bridge and to return -- and to make it into a pedestrian bridge. These elements will be removed and will be reused as -- as possible, and within the park itself.

Next slide, please.
And these -- mitigation strategies for
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these impacts that we are potentially seeing will be spoken to by Mark.

MR. LLEWELLYN: Sorry. This is complicated. Are we okay for time? I don't want to take up too much time.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah.
MR. LLEWELLYN: We'll be -- we'll be quick. Thank you.

Next slide, please.
We don't want to -- to continue with -- a lot has been said about the balustrades, so -along the channel. I don't want to belabor that, but every effort will be to remove these, clean them, store them, and reuse them where we can throughout this project and -- and in various ways. And Kay mentioned a couple of through bridges -- pedestrian -- new pedestrian bridges and gateways.

Next slide.
Same thing with the post and rail balustrades, these are going to be a little more difficult to -- to preserve or -- but we'll do what we can to remove, clean, store, and reuse those where it's appropriate in the park.
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detail that we can replicate those, and it's been -- believe me, our landscape architect has been having a blast trying to recreate this stuff, so it's been a lot of fun.

Next slide.
These are the elements, and you can see them all there. I don't want to belabor that any, but those are the elements that we're going to be focused on.

Next slide.
So with that -- this is a -- it's an initial conceptual design for a footbridge. We're looking at probably a 65-foot span there to get across the whole footprint of the creek and the floodplain and the -- and the riparian zones, and to provide that -- the creek to breathe and to flow through there, so -- you can see the elements start to pop in there.

The next slide is a rendering of that. Again, replicating, if possible, even the -down to the lighting, if that's possible in there. You can see the -- the opportunity we can use. And you can also imagine that being a gateway to -- on -- coming into the park or into one of the other elements along the
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Emerald Trail as you cross some of these major roadways. It would be the same -- same appearance, just with the trail running through it.

Next slide.
This is just another -- from a different perspective of the pedestrian bridge and -and, again, the initial design.

Next slide. Thank you.
As mentioned, the Market Street bridge will be removed. It's a restriction in the channel. It's -- that's -- and that will be removed entirely and not replaced with a vehicular bridge but replaced with a pedestrian bridge.

And many of you know probably how Market Street floods on the north side on a -- on a sunny day with high tides, and -- and so in order to address that and other things related to this area, that -- that bridge will be removed. Again, preserve as much as we can from those existing facilities and reuse them in -- in the new design.

Water Street, too, has been touched on. I won't belabor that any.

Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300

And I think that's it. Thank you for your time.

THE CHAIRMAN: Absolutely. Thank you all --

MR. LLEWELLYN: Look forward to --
THE CHAIRMAN: -- for the presentation.
MR. LLEWELLYN: -- any questions, any --
THE CHAIRMAN: Questions?
COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Thank you.
That was awesome. That's really a fantastic opportunity here for downtown and our historic Springfield neighborhood. It's really great. I appreciate your guys work on it.

MR. LLEWELLYN: Good. Thank you.
It's very challenging, but we're -- we're excited about -- to be a part of it, so thank you.

COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I just wanted to --
COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: Yeah. Just a couple of questions, yeah.

COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: You're going through SHPO for this, so I'm assuming that they're doing their review process. And, typically, I think for us, if we get a SHPO approval, it's sort of an administrative
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approval through -- or is this going to come back to the Commission?

That's a question of (inaudible).
MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, yes. So if SHPO grants
approval of it, it will be more so of an administrative approval. There is an opportunity to do a Certificate of Appropriateness application to discuss some other design elements, but overall, yes, it would just be administrative.

COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So are we going to see this again or it -- it will get SHPO approval and -- and you'll approve it administratively?

MR. WELLS: There will be some design elements that could potentially trigger Commission review. I mean, in terms of the tax credits and whatnot, that will require SHPO's approval.

COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay.
MR. LLEWELLYN: I may be speaking out of turn, but I'm sure Kay would say, we want to come back and keep you informed as we go through this and have every intent to do that.

Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300

Thank you.
COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: Just a couple of questions for you. Through the Chair, a question for you. In terms of the recreation currently, the -- the tennis courts and the basketball courts, they get a lot of use, which I rather enjoy because it's a cross-connector between multiple communities, not just sort of Springfield intact but on the other side of Hogan's Creek as well. Plans for that?

And then also, there's a 19-hole disc golf course in Springfield. Are there plans to make adjustments for that or -- as an avid disc golfer, I'm asking that question as well.

MR. LLEWELLYN: Thank you for those questions.

And we're just getting started with looking at the parks, the focus on the Trail. Don't expect to be making any changes to the basketball courts, tennis courts. Those elements are there. And we'll be meeting with SPAR and other community organizations to get input on that.

As far as the disc golf course goes, one of our staff is a pro disc golf player, and
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he's been after to me to make sure that that doesn't get impacted. So we will have to realign some of the holes, but we're -- every intention is that that will stay.

COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: Great. We should probably talk about it since (inaudible) for me, right?

MR. LLEWELLYN: Absolutely.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you all so much.

MR. LLEWELLYN: Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. With that, we're going to jump back in the agenda to Section G, Certificates of Appropriateness. We only have one on the agenda today, COA-24-30533, 1826 Montgomery Place.

Can we have a staff report, please?
MR. WELLS: This is application COA-24-30533 for the property located at 1826 Montgomery Place, which seeks to perform several alterations on a contributing structure. So this includes the application of limewash to the brick exterior, alterations to the front porch, and replacement of an existing brick driveway with a concrete driveway.
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The primary structure on the property exemplifies the Georgian Revival style, which is characterized by its exterior brick finish, 6-over-6 windows, and gabled roof with three gabled dormers.

The application also includes several administrative approval scope of work items, which are also -- we deemed in-house to be sufficient and compatible with our design guidelines.

There may be two points of opposition from the applicant, so I won't go into detail in terms of the approval items, but mainly we did have concerns with the application of limewash. So in accordance with our design guidelines, we identified masonry exterior finishes and detailing as important design features of the district. So the historic character is reflected in design elements such as bonding patterns, treatment of joints, as well as color of surface treatments.

The guidelines further state that these features should be retained; therefore, it's the opinion of staff that the change in appearance from the limewash will impact the
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design of the property and its traditional visual relationship with other historic properties in the immediate area. Therefore, we recommend that the existing brick finish be preserved and preventive treatments -treatment measures, such as repointing, be performed.

We also find the application of a limewash -- which although is a traditional treatment, its use on the structure creates a false sense of historic development. As such, staff finds painting of this healthy brick structure inconsistent with our Ordinance Code criteria as well as our design guidelines.

Other than that, we also recommend that installation of a poured concrete driveway, which will replace the historic brick driveway, inconsistent with our section on settings of the design guidelines; therefore, we do recommend that the historic brick driveway be replaced with -- either in a like-for-like fashion or with a historically appropriate material, such as concrete ribbons, gravel, or brick-like pavers.

Other than that, staff is supportive of
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the rear addition on the back, as well as just the other scopes of work, but mainly it's just application of limewash and the driveway that we found to be inconsistent.

Other than that, we do forward a recommendation for approval with the conditions noted in the report.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Questions for staff?
COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yes. Through the
Chair, I had a question on Number 9, demolition
of the brick retaining wall. And I -- in the
photos and the comments from -- it looks like
the architect in here, it says, "brick wall to remain." So I'm not -- I just want to be clear -- have clarity about whether it's going to remain or be taken down.

MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Gregory, so just to make sure I'm understanding correctly, you're looking at the summary, scope of work, Number 9, demolition of a brick retaining wall, and you're just
clarifying -- or asking for clarification on
the condition or --
COMMISSIONER GREGORY: No. There was a
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photo in this PDF here from the architect where they said the brick retaining wall is to remain, and so I'm just confused as to whether it's staying or going. I'll find the page right now.

THE CHAIRMAN: (Off microphone.)
COMMISSIONER GREGORY: 291?
MR. WELLS: (Off microphone.)
COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah. If you see the comments under that bottom photo there.

MR. WELLS: Okay. Through the Chair to Commissioner Gregory, yes, that brick wall will remain.

The condition and then Number 9 in the staff report, that's referring to the brick retaining wall in the rear of the property. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I see. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. With that, we'll open the public hearing.

Is the applicant here?
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.)
THE CHAIRMAN: You can come on up.
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(Audience member approaches the podium.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Just state your name and address for me.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is William Leuthold. I'm an architect working on the project.

THE CHAIRMAN: She's going to swear you in real quick.

THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please.

MR. LEUTHOLD: (Complies.)
THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

MR. LEUTHOLD: I do.
THE REPORTER: Thank you.
MR. LEUTHOLD: First, I just want to thank the staff for approving almost everything. That was beneficial for sure.

The other items that came up, I'll just address them a little bit at a time. The first one is the driveway. There was a suggestion that we not do the concrete driveway. That was done by a landscape architect, and I just kept
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with their plan. But since the condition came out, we changed it to a brick driveway. It's going to be 10 feet wide.

I brought another plan that I can distribute if anyone wants to see it.
(Tenders document.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
MR. LEUTHOLD: That plan shows a couple of changes that have been made since the initial COA application was put in.

What we're going to do is -- that driveway is going to come down in all brick from the -even beyond the front of the house, where it breaks -- a new item that's in the site plan is a little gate and fence that they want to put at that brick level to -- to allow a little bit of privacy to the back of the house. It'll be a -- again, I've done a little drawing of that too.
(Tenders documents.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
MR. LEUTHOLD: The gable will be made of metal, but it will be in proportions that look like it could be wood, so it's something that I think fits the architecture of the house.

We are keeping a retaining wall on the left side of the driveway as you go into the property. We are building another one on the other side. The request from -- or the condition is that the driveway not be wider than 10 feet, so we've made the driveway 10 feet, but the -- the two retaining walls are so tight that we needed a little extra space. We're going to just run a little gravel strip between the edge of the driveway and the retaining walls. So, hopefully, that'll be all approvable.

The next item that came up, it wasn't mentioned in the report or by any of the questions, but there's a condition that we keep all of the sidewalks. There's really only one sidewalk, and it's in the front of the house and it goes from the existing porch to the -some steps at that retaining wall that's being kept, Mr. Gregory. And then from there, it goes across to the city sidewalk. And there's another little section of brick sidewalk.

The section that's out by the street is -was made by the homeowners or something 40 years ago and it's totally falling apart. The
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section that's from the streps at the retaining wall back to the house, those have some serious cracks in them. They've moved a bit. But what we'd like to do is just replace them but replace them with exactly what's there now, but just something that's done in a -- in a status that is more like what the -- what we're going to (indecipherable) this whole house. You know, it's an expensive project and we want it done properly.

So we want to just replace those with like materials. We'll probably use the same brick, lay them in the same pattern, same width, same length, everything will be the same. So I'd like to have that added to the work as well.

The last one is the -- is the limewash, and that's one that seems to be a sticking point with the staff, and I understand. I mean, I know what they're looking for.

But we have a kind of unique condition here. We have a house that was built as a stucco house. It was added -- the brick was added about 30 years or 25 years after the house was built.

We have brick that's on the house that --
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currently, there's no proper paper barrier or anything behind it. It's just brick out there. I don't know of any serious conditions of moisture in the house, but it's always better to reduce the amount of moisture that can get into a house through a brick -- so the limewash request, part of that is to help waterproof that exterior brick wall in a way that's historic, in a way that's been done for hundreds of years in this country.

Limewash came about because it's made of lime. All the mortar was originally made of lime and -- in old brick structures, and they would just take the lime and lighten it up, put more water in it, and smear it on the brick and -- and give a waterproof coating. So we're looking for it for that reason. Another reason is that the -- we're adding an addition in the back that's also brick.

Current conditions, current materials that are available to build from, especially brick, have changed dramatically. There's no way in the world we can get an exact match of the brick that's on the house for the addition. And rather than have something that sticks out
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like a sore thumb, we feel that we can get as close as possible with the brick, and if we can use this wash --

And I see the picture up on mine. You're probably looking at it too, of a house that -these homeowners, this is the parents of the husband. That's wash on a -- on a house that -- I think there was another picture I had in there that's -- shows that it is even lighter.

You can see it's a -- really just a -- an extremely light coating. You still get the color of the brick through it. You still get the pattern of the brick. Everything that is historic about that brick you still have, but we have a new, little waterproof coating, something that will protect the house, protect the brick, and something that will then allow us to incorporate the new brick with the old brick and have something that -- that looks consistent.

So we're just asking that you consider that before making the -- that condition.

I think that's all I had.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Questions for
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our applicant?
COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah, a question regarding -- through the Chair, a question regarding the limewash. Is there a way to guarantee it would be that lighter limewash? Because I've seen limewash done before where it's very white as well.

MR. LEUTHOLD: I wouldn't be opposed if that's put as a condition, that -- keep it light, yes, something similar to what's on River Boulevard.

COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: Question for the staff.

Bill, the -- the last comment you made about the -- the coating being a waterproof coating, the -- the limewash is really more of a breathable coating, though, right? It --

MR. LEUTHOLD: It is breathable.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: (Inaudible.)
MR. LEUTHOLD: Right. It's much better than painting. Painting kind of keeps moisture out, but -- it does let some moisture in, but it doesn't let the moisture back out, where limewash is more -- does allow the moisture to
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get back out of the brick, which is a very positive feature. It's not a cement wash; it's a limewash, a historic material, not a --
something that would be more modern.
THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for our applicant?

COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We'll call you back up.

MR. LEUTHOLD: Thank you so much.
THE CHAIRMAN: Is anybody else here to speak on this COA?

AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. With that, we'll close the public hearing.

Do any commissioners have any ex parte?
COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yes. I have some ex parte to declare. Back in 2018, I was the listing agent for the seller and I also represented the buyers in this transaction. I haven't spoken with the customers since 2018 and I have not discussed this project at all with them. And I've checked with OGC and Ethics regarding this, and no voting conflict at all.
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repeat what you just said about the driveway?
Are we keeping Conditions 10 through 14 or are
you all -- you all are fine with those? Could you just clarify? I couldn't hear you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
Actually, I'm going to -- let's discuss real quick 10 through 14. The existing driveway is currently 10 feet, correct?

MR. WELLS: (Off microphone.)
THE CHAIRMAN: So 10 -- so 10 can stay.
MR. WELLS: (Off microphone.)
THE CHAIRMAN: So 10 can stay, 11 can stay, 12 can stay.

MR. WELLS: (Off microphone.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, 14 is the only one that (inaudible) the change because 13 (inaudible) not an issue and they're going back with brick anyhow.
(Reporter clarification.)
THE CHAIRMAN: So 10 -- 10 we're good with; 11 we're good with; 12 we're good with; 13, again, we're good with because it's not going to matter. And 14 is the only one that needs to change.

COMMISSIONER GREGORY: My understanding is
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that existing sidewalk, they're proposing to make it brick, right? From the steps to the front of the house, correct?

MR. LEUTHOLD: May I approach?
COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I thought they were going to go back with concrete.

COMMISSIONER GREGORY: (Inaudible.)
COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: The same that it is now for the sidewalk.

COMMISSIONER GREGORY: (Inaudible) up here.

MR. LEUTHOLD: Here's a photograph I took this morning of the sidewalk, between the -it's just loose brick.

THE CHAIRMAN: Right.
MR. LEUTHOLD: And this is -- this is another one of the sidewalk, closer to the house. It's (inaudible) as well.

COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: Through the Chair, I think there's three -- there's four things at issue, right?

There's the -- there's the driveway, which
the applicant is now proposing as a -- is
10 feet, but it's all brick to the gate, which
makes it different than what was submitted, but
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I think we don't have an issue with that.
Then there's the concrete driveway, the repair by replacement, basically, that the applicant is requesting, and I don't think we have an issue with that.

And then there's the gate itself, which the applicant gave us this drawing of. I personally don't find objection to it. I don't think anyone does.

So the outstanding issue is really the limewash. I think that -- I think that's really what's at issue for discussion.

THE CHAIRMAN: Agreed.
Again, whoever's motion it is, it -- under the driveway provision, though, Number 14 does need to be fixed.

COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: Well, I think we will have to amend because the conditions aren't accounting for the driveway becoming a 10-foot brick driveway all the way to the gate, so we will have to make an amendment to the approve with conditions, and then we will have to mention the sidewalk from the front door to the street, and then we have to render a decision on the -- on the limewash.
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THE CHAIRMAN: All right. So the limewash, let's talk about it.

COMMISSIONER HOFF: Could we ask staff to speak about this issue first?

THE CHAIRMAN: Sure.
MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to
Commissioner Hoff and to the Commission as well, so the reason -- mainly, our objections to the application of limewash is that it would change the appearance and it's inconsistent with our design guidelines, but --

So in addition to that, it also creates a false sense of historical development by adding an architectural feature -- an architectural feature that's not original to the historic structure itself.

So that's really our basis of our decision. It's inconsistent with the design guidelines as well as our Ordinance Code.

COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: And so -- through the Chair, a question for staff. How did you reconcile or come to that decision knowing that the brick exterior -- if -- (inaudible) verify that the brick exterior wasn't original, that originally it was a stucco skin, the brick was
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later veneered over?
MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Montoya, we did look at the Sanborn maps, and we did -- from what we could tell from just our documentation, it just showed that it was a brick veneered site -or siding. So that's what we went -- in terms of our -- our analysis was based on, but, ultimately, I mean, we -- we just felt that it was prudent to continue to be consistent in terms of not allowing limewash.

COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: And so just to clarify, your reading of the Sanborn maps is that the -- in the Sanborn map, the structure is listed as a brick veneer, not a stucco?

MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Montoya, that is correct. I have a picture of the Sanborn map up here, but it does show it as veneer.

MR. LEUTHOLD: May I speak?
COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: And so that would
be a question for the applicant.
MR. LEUTHOLD: Yeah. The owners have a photograph of the house in stucco. And the previous owner is a friend of mine that works
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with me in my building, and he lived in the house up until about 15 years ago, and he told me he did research and found that the brick was applied about 1948 or '49. The house was built in '25. It's not a brick that would have been used in '25. They changed the brick from then, so it's not necessarily a correct brick for the house anyway.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, how does that work with the overlay when the overlay established a building that is contributing nor not contributing as far as -I know the overlay sort of establishes a historical datum as well.

MR. WELLS: So --
COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: For the Riverside Avondale Overlay, when it was created, everything that was 50 years old was established as a historic contributing structure, how does that factor in?

MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, so, yes, it did -- I mean, 50 years, that's the -- that's one of the required -- or not requirements, but the
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criteria that's taken into consideration. I mean, there's also, of course, the design elements and the features of the house itself.

So in the site file, as well as just the description of the house itself, it does document the brick exterior. It doesn't go into detail about it being veneered, but it was listed as a character-defining feature of -for the property.

COMMISSIONER HOFF: Through the Chair to staff, what year was the Sanborn map that you mentioned?

MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, it doesn't have the definitive year for this one. It does state that -- because it -- the Sanborn maps were surveyed over a period of several decades, and so this one in particular was from 1913 to 1951.

COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: And then also just a general question -- through the Chair, question for staff. Is there historic precedent of limewashed brick in this district in any structure that's known of?

MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to
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1 reason I asked the applicant the question about
2 that is because I understand the strategy in 3 terms of a vapor barrier, not necessarily a waterproof membrane but a vapor barrier, especially in a case where the -- and please -this is not an accusation at all. The alleged condition of the house being originally stucco and then having a brick veneer put over it, that is a -- that is giving me pause a bit, so I could understand the strategy of trying to provide a vapor barrier because of the mixing of those materials in the skin.

And so right now I lean towards wanting a bit more evidence because there seems to be a discrepancy between what staff has been able to determine as to the history of the home and then what's being stated here by the applicant as the history of the home from a construction standpoint.

If it is a stucco home that has a brick veneer put over it, this would be a case where I could see an argument for the limewash. And it is a -- it is a historic application. It's not something that's -- it's not -- this is different than purely painting brick in my
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mind, and so I could see the argument for it.
If the house was originally all brick from its inception, from its original construction, then I -- then I lean away from it in that regard, but I think there's some -- there's some unknown here.

The other things I think are -- I think we're okay with those, and so maybe we have to -- maybe we amend the conditions asking for more evidence to approve. Otherwise, it has to remain unwashed.

THE CHAIRMAN: That's an interesting (inaudible).
(Inaudible discussion.)
THE CHAIRMAN: That's a question for Counsel, I believe, because I like the idea of an approval if you can give us a picture -long story short -- of a stucco house. And if you have a picture, by chance --

MR. LEUTHOLD: I don't have it with me, I'm sorry, I don't. But the owner told me that they have that photograph.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
MR. LEUTHOLD: And the previous owner
is -- was quite certain about the dates. I
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don't have any proof other than that, so --
COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: Then question for the applicant. Is the -- could you come back with more evidence so that we could --

MR. LEUTHOLD: Yes, of course I could.
COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: So we could defer this and then get it potentially on the consent agenda.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, I think that's probably the best-case scenario.

MR. LEUTHOLD: Okay. I would be happy to do that just to keep it possible, yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, for clarity, I would also say that there's a large difference between a limewash and a painting of a masonry structure, you know, especially when it's done with a light wash like he is discussing here.

COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair,
I'll -- I would like also -- because I wasn't under the impression that limewash was a waterproofing barrier.

COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: That's what I just said earlier.
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COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yeah. So that, to me, is something that I'm finding a little bit of a holdup, as this is being presented as a way to assist with that, where -- I'm not under the impression that that's how that works. I know that it's breathable, where paint is not breathable, so it can allow water to escape.

And I know that it does provide some protection to historic brick and mortar joints and everything like that. It just was
presented, I believe, earlier as a waterproofing technique, and I don't believe that it is (inaudible).

COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: Yeah. Commissioner Epstein, that's why I asked the applicant that question, just to -- just to clarify that, and I think he did --

But I would also say that this is an application that the National Park Service uses in their structures for this very reason, so that the buildings can breathe.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I think we'll go ahead and defer and we'll get some more evidence for next month and hopefully get it all squared away.
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MR. LEUTHOLD: That's fine.
MS. LOPERA: Mr. Chair --
COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: That would also allow the applicant to get the things presented here, the paper presentation, as part of the application so that when we come back, the 10-foot brick driveway, the gate and the (inaudible) and all that can be --

MR. LEUTHOLD: Yes.
THE CHAIRMAN: (Off microphone.)
COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair,
I'd like to throw a monkey wrench into this
whole thing. If they can come back with proof that this was a stucco building, I'd be okay with them doing a more opaque limewash, if we're going to use that as a reason.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. I mean, that's an
option we can -- we can chat about it next time, but we'll -- we'll go ahead and defer it and we will see you next month with some evidence.

MR. LEUTHOLD: That sounds fine.
Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. So we're going to defer COA-24-30533.
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That takes us to Section H, Work Initiated or Completed Without a COA. First on the docket is COA-24-30627, 1541 Pine Grove Avenue.

MR. WELLS: All right. So this is application COA-24-30627 for the property located at 1541 Pine Grove Avenue. This is an after-the-fact painting of a one-story, noncontributing brick structure in the Riverside Avondale Historic District.

The structure is considered highly visible and can be characterized by its brown brick exterior, gabled roof, 1-over-1 windows and sliding glass windows.

According to the applicant, the white paint was applied in order to cover up brick discoloration. As such, painting of the structure's original brown brick exterior causes most of its notable character-defining features to be fully concealed.

Staff did conduct a site visit on April 25th to inspect the condition of the brick and its character-defining features. And it is based on our observation that the brick appeared to be in good condition and not showing any signs of deterioration besides
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routine maintenance.
We do find that painting of a brick structure instead of repointing the mortar and performing routine maintenance affects the whole block and diminishes the historic appearance of these historic brick structures within the district.

Also, at our site visit we assessed the brick and determined it to be in great condition with no visible areas of brick or mortar damage. In accordance with our design guidelines, they specify that methods of preparing brick to prevent deterioration should be utilized and painting of brick is a last resort to prevent the deterioration of heavily damaged brick structures.

Once again, we do recommend that the paint be removed. The recommendation for denial includes corrective actions that require the applicant to follow the National Park Service's preservation briefs which specify instructions on how to remove paint from the brick, which should be spot tested to ensure the selective cleaning option does not damage the brick before being applied to larger areas, and also
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it provides detailed steps for cleaning, protective treatments, and repointing mortar.

Therefore, once again, we do find that this -- that the application of paint to this brick exterior is inconsistent with our design guidelines as well as our Ordinance Code, and we are obligated to forward you a recommendation for denial.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Is there any ex parte by chance?

COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: With that, we'll open the public hearing.

Is the applicant here?
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.)
THE CHAIRMAN: You can come on up.
(Audience member approaches the podium.)
THE CHAIRMAN: If you'll state your name and address for me.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes. My name is Robert Bachmann. Address is 49 Oakwood Road,
Jacksonville Beach, Florida 32250.
THE CHAIRMAN: Robert, she's going to
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swear you in.

MR. BACHMANN: One more time? I'm sorry.
THE CHAIRMAN: She's going to swear you in real quick.

THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please.

MR. BACHMANN: (Complies.)
THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

MR. BACHMANN: I do.
THE REPORTER: Thank you.
MR. BACHMANN: All right. So just full honesty, everyone, we had no idea that we were buying a house that was in a historic district. By the time that I had received the letter stating that it was in the historic district, we already painted the house.

So again, I'm here to basically ask for your forgiveness. I apologize that -- you know, I know ignorance is not an excuse here. If we would have known that there were certain protocols to go through before painting, we would have absolutely done so.
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So I understand that what we did is not in accordance with your guidelines or really the process that needs to be upheld here.

So with that said -- so the reason I did paint the brick -- I'm the contractor. My brother is my partner, who is the Realtor on it all. He -- we bought the house.

And if you were to scroll up, you'll see on the original pictures there's a large hedge rail on the front, and then there was an addition added to -- when we get there, I'll show you.

So if you look at this top picture here, you'll see that there's kind of a step-down in the roof that goes to the garage. That was at some point an open breezeway that was [sic] now an addition. The brick in those two areas is not consistent. So you'll see it's two different styles of brick, so -- that was one of the two reasons that I decided to paint.

The second reason, behind these large shrubs that you see up front -- at some point someone had repointed most of the brick on the house with disregard to anything behind the shrub rows there.
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Once we removed the shrubs and cleaned the brick -- and again, full disclosure, all we had done was use a pressure-washer and some baking soda solution to scrub the brick, to try to remove any of the mildew growth that was in the grout lines.

Again, after I had seen that -- the discoloration from where the shrubs were above it and then the non-consistency from the garage to the addition back to the main house, that's when we decided to move forward with the painting.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Questions for our applicant at the moment?

COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: Yes, just one. Through the Chair, question for the applicant.

You stated that your partner is also the real estate agent?

MR. BACHMANN: Yes, sir.
COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: The purchasing real estate agent?

MR. BACHMANN: Yes, he is.
COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: Who was the selling real estate company or agent?

MR. BACHMANN: You know, I do not have
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that information. I can get it for you guys, but I would have to ask my brother on that one.

We did buy it through -- the gentleman was deceased and it had gone through probate, so it was through the family's trust, I believe, but I could not reference the seller.

COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I would also -- typically, when you buy a house
in a historic district, part of your closing
and title documents -- it's something you sign
that says this house is in a historic district,
you understand -- and if that's not something that was part of your documents that made you aware of it, I would go back to your title company and closing company and tell them that you're in this situation.

MR. BACHMANN: Okay. Yeah. Thank you for that. I will check on that.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Any other questions at the moment?

COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We'll call you
back up if we need you.
MR. BACHMANN: Okay. Thank you.
Everyone, I would just like to note -- and
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I don't know if this means anything to the group. When we did paint it, we did do it with the idea of trying to conform with other painted brick houses within a half a block -or excuse me, half a mile of the area.

So the idea of painting the house, we did try to take other homes in the area into consideration, and we tried to be consistent with that.

So that's just my last piece.
Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
All right. Is anybody else here to speak on this COA?

AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: With that, we'll close the public hearing.

I will entertain a motion.
COMMISSIONER HOFF: Through the Chair, I would like to make a motion to deny COA-24-30627.

COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second.
THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, these COAs are always difficult for us because we are supposed to rule on the COA as if they were coming to us
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fresh and the work has not been done. And I know, at least on my end, this is not something we would have approved. I don't know if anyone has any thoughts, but --

COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I agree. If this came to us as an unpainted brick house, we probably would not approve it.

COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, there would need to be a lot more photographic documentation to prove that there was something, you know, catastrophically wrong with the brick or mismatching. Just from the pictures we have, looking at the quality of the brick and the photos we have, it doesn't look like something we would approve, even if it was requested from the start.

COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: Yeah. Through the Chair, I agree with the previous commissioner's statements. I also asked the applicant the questions about the real estate agents in regard to Commissioners Epstein's comment afterwards because it's required by law for that -- somebody signed that paper. And the real estate agent, obviously, or whoever it was, didn't do a good enough job of letting
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them know perhaps, but it is a requirement.
COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, as a real estate agent, it's not required by law, actually, to notify them. They should, but --

COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: Thank you for that, but it should be.

COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: The title company should --

COMMISSIONER GREGORY: No.
COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: No?
When I bought a house in a historic
district, I had to sign something at the
closing saying that I know that I bought a house in a --

COMMISSIONER GREGORY: That would be a great practice.

COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: What?
COMMISSIONER GREGORY: It's not by law, though.

COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: That sounds (inaudible).

COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: Well, in regard to that, through the Chair, then that -- that's something for us to recognize because it puts
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due diligence on the purchaser. It puts due diligence on the owner if it's not legislated. So it still has to -- it still has to be accounted for.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah.
All right. Any other thoughts?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, with that, you've denied COA-24-30627, and we will move along to COA-24-30361, 736 West Street.

MR. WELLS: All right. COA-24-30361, for the property located at 736 West Street. This is for the after-the-fact approval of multiple alterations and the proposed installation of a poured concrete parking pad.

The property currently has a noncontributing original structure on it that can be characterized as a bungalow style. The structure is characterized by its front porch stoop, 2-over-2 horizontal windows, and a cross-gabled roof form.
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The structure currently has multiple after-the-fact alterations which include wholesale window replacement, wholesale siding replacement, front door replacement, and the construction of a front porch.

Once again, the applicant also seeks to install a poured concrete parking pad within the front yard.

So in accordance with our design guidelines and our administrative COA matrix -aluminum siding was originally on the structure, and so we do deem aluminum siding as nonhistoric, in accordance with our guidelines. As such, the removal of aluminum siding with Hardiboard siding can be administratively approved, which -- which are subject to the conditions noted in our report.

However, there were several items that we did recommend some corrections be made, starting with the window replacement, and so -according to our building permit records as well as our COA system, no COAs have been filed or building -- or building permits have been filed with the Building Inspection Division in terms of the scope of work.

And according to the applicant's window survey forms and supplementary photos, it appears that prior to its replacement, the windows were metal. And since the -- since staff never had an opportunity to inspect the windows prior to its replacement, we did note that the windows were installed inappropriately with nailing fins, they're not being recessed within the wall, and they do not replicate the original trim.

So consistent with our recommendations, we do ask that the vinyl windows be replaced or reinstalled in order to be recessed within the wall opening.

We find that installing 1-over-1 windows with nailing fins and altering the trim, a significant portion of the character of the structure is removed and replaced with the historically inappropriate product.

Once again, if the applicant were to install a window product that does not have nailing fins and replicates the original trim, this would be an opportunity to bring the property into compliance with the design guidelines as well as achieve consistency with
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sections of our Ordinance Code.
Another point that we did have some concerns about was the installation of an after-the-fact porch, which we found to be incompatible with the existing height and roof forms of the primary structure. So because the gabled roof designed [sic] the primary structure, staff does recommend that the porch be redesigned with a gabled roof pitch.

Furthermore, the railing system on the porch was improperly installed with the exposed ends visible, so we do, again, condition the site to have a redesign of the porch in order to, once again, achieve consistency with our design guidelines as well as our Ordinance Code.

And, lastly, in terms of the proposed installation of a parking pad, we do note that there's an existing curb-cut on the right of the property. Staff does not have any record of what material was used. However, according to Google Street View, the subject property previously had a driveway that extended past the front plane of the home, so we do find installation of a parking pad inconsistent with

Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300
our design guidelines because, typically, the front yard of the historic property is used for landscaping and plant material, not automobile parking.

We find that the proposed parking pad will destroy the relationship between the structure and the setting by introducing an inappropriate concrete pad and vehicular area within the district.

Traditionally, the districts -- the historic districts have used concrete ribbons, gravel, or pavers for parking on the property. Poured concrete driveways have been determined to be nontraditional and nonhistoric within the historic districts. Therefore, we do recommend that the applicant install a concrete -- or not even a concrete driveway, my apologies -- a driveway on the right side of the structure that extends past the front plane of the home.

Other than that, we do find the remaining items consistent and compatible, and we forward to you a recommendation for approval with the conditions noted in the report.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you.
Questions for staff?
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COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair to staff, is it not something we want them to do as well with the porch, to maintain the same materials of the stem wall around the porch that the house has and not have the siding going right into the grass?

MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, that would be something that we also would be in support of. We do -just looking at it now, from -- that would also need to match.

COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, along those same lines from Commissioner Epstein, the steps going into the porch there, I'm not sure if these are just temporary steps right now, but we would need some sort of permanent steps that would match the stem wall.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for staff right now?

COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Let's open the public hearing.

Is the applicant here?
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Come on up.
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(Audience member approaches the podium.)
THE CHAIRMAN: If you will state your name and address.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Sounds good.
Brad Latham, 736 West Street.
THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please.

MR. LATHAM: (Complies.)
THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

MR. LATHAM: I do.
THE REPORTER: Thank you.
MR. LATHAM: All right. Thank you.
Good afternoon or evening.
We purchased this house back in June -- I believe July 1st, actually, and I did not know it was historic. I'm from -- just moved here from Reno, Nevada.

The windows, actually, were replaced from the historic ones. So they actually had -they were fin windows before. The siding had actually been pulled for the historic so it didn't have the bump-out on the bottom or the
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top.
So it's getting here -- generally, where I'm from, yeah, as long as you're not changing the opening of the windows, it's not required for a permit.

And then the siding, obviously, not either -- or the -- and then the porch, I got a -- there is -- I had architect designs on the porch, but I did not get the permits through the City. That was dumb.

Other than that -- actually, I was going to not do the concrete pad now and do the gravel in there, so that was something I was actually going to change.

The roof pitch was actually from the design -- it was a JPC design. I actually put that in my -- in the papers I permitted -- or submitted to the planners.

Other than that, I think that's all I did for outside.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for our applicant?

COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We'll call you
back up if we need you.
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in front of them. That may not be approved, but they are actually permanent ones on there.

I did talk to the -- Martin Kennelly, the Historic code inspector, and he was -- what he didn't like about the steps was there was no front-facing piece that they wanted on there. But, I mean, if -- if I do need to change them to cement, we can obviously do that, but that was -- his comment was to put the -- a front-facing piece, and then, of course, the railing.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah.
MR. LATHAM: Okay.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Thoughts and comments?
COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I'm in agreement with all these conditions for this. Again, you know, no permit was pulled.

If you had pulled a permit, they would have told you this was a historic house and they would have asked you for the COA. So just in the future, I would look into that.

But, yeah, definitely, I would be in support of the steps being a matching material to the stem wall that -- similar to what was
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originally on the site as well.
COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: Through the Chair, I agree with Commissioner Epstein on that, but I think it definitely mandates that the porch have a stem wall as well.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Any other thoughts?

COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: Through the Chair, no, I -- I think (inaudible) the revisions to the (inaudible) as stated in the (inaudible) --

COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I think I included the stem wall on the porch and the steps to match the current stem wall around the house, I believe.

MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair, could I restate your motion just for clarity?

COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure thing, yeah.
MS. LOPERA: Okay. So you -- did you move to approve with all staff conditions with the addition of front porch steps and a stem wall on the front porch shall reasonably match?

Did you want to --
COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: (Off microphone.)
MS. LOPERA: -- either clarify what you consider to be a reasonable match or allow the
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Historic Preservation section to approve that? Like, as approved by the section?

COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah, I think we clarified it to be a masonry -- with approval by staff.

MS. LOPERA: So masonry steps and a stem wall on the front porch as approved by the Historic Preservation section?

COMMISSIONER GREGORY: That sounds good.
MS. LOPERA: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And then there's also the gravel part of the driveway as well that he already agreed to.

MS. LOPERA: So that amends -- through the Chair, excuse me, so that amends Condition 10, the driveway can be gravel past the front wall of the main structure.

Any other changes to those conditions?
COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I believe -- I believe that's correct, yeah, just -- Number 10, I believe.

MS. LOPERA: Okay.
THE CHAIRMAN: Any other thoughts? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?
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McGirts -- or McCoy's Creek, I believe.
So the project will include some surveying with the goal of identifying individual buildings that may be landmarked or stops on the potential Heritage Trail. The grant will also be used to do some narrative building and oral histories and also develop a significant statement for the Heritage Trail; and eventually apply, in future years, for a National Register Historic District. So this project will potentially begin in February of 2025 and run for approximately 18 to 24 months.

So, once again, they are asking for a letter of support, which I will craft together. So as a commission, you all just need to vote to recommend approval of the letter for support.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. (Off microphone.)
MR. WELLS: Yes. Well, no, if you vote to -- so if you vote -- through the Chair, if you vote to recommend approval, then I will craft that letter.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Questions for staff?

COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
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THE CHAIRMAN: All right. All those in favor?

COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none --
MS. LOPERA: Did someone make a motion?
COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: Wait. We --
someone has to make a motion.
Motion to approve the Northside [sic] Riverside Community Development Corporation grant letter of support.

COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second.
THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, (inaudible).
MS. LOPERA: To the Chair, you don't need
to -- unless you want to see those letters,
Arimus will just prepare it and (inaudible) and
send them out --
THE CHAIRMAN: (Off microphone.)
Okay. We have the 2024 Window Supplement.
MR. WELLS: I have one more letter.
Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300

THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry.
MR. WELLS: So the second letter is -again, comes from North Riverside CDC. They're going to be partnering with the University of Florida to apply for a small matching grant with the State's Division of Historical Resources. So, once again, they're asking for a letter of support.

So this grant will focus on updating the 2004 survey of North Riverside. So right now this area is pretty large and includes around 800 buildings. So the North Riverside CDC plans to focus on these area and update them with a new survey. And eventually they want to get this place listed on the National Register of Historic Places as a historic district.

So this survey will engage with the community. They will also make some modifications to the boundaries of the district as well as do some new Florida Master Site Files.

So that is the intent, and they are asking for a letter.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Questions for staff?
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COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I'll take a motion. COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: Motion to approve the North Riverside Community Development Corporation grant letter of support.

COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second.
THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, you have approved the second letter of support.

And with that, we will go to the 2024
Window Supplement.
(Discussion held off the record.)
MR. WELLS: Two more items. So the next
one is the window supplement. So just a little background here: We met on site with TerraWise Homes as well as Commissioner Hoff to discuss potentially making some revisions to the window supplement.

So the concern that arose was the fact that on new construction homes -- there's been an abundance of new construction being built with windows that are recessed -- or not
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1 recessed, and so TerraWise is trying to make a
concerted effort to meet that new window supplement where we included some language that discusses how windows should be recessed approximately 2 to 4 inches, which is compatible with other historic structures.

So we did a site visit with them, and they were stating how, based on the window product available and within the market and due to higher costs and whatnot, that it would be more prudent to allow, for new construction, some flexibility and allow for the standard to be around 1 to 4 inches, and so we wanted to get the Commission's thoughts on this, and also potentially get this updated.

But right now what we propose is that it be split into two different distinctions. So the language would read, "The recession of windows on historic structures" -- and I know it states "should," but we do want to change that to "shall" to make it a little bit more binding. So it will read, "shall be between 2 to 4 inches and 1 to 4 inches on new construction."

COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: Yeah. Through the
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Chair, I'll chime in first on this.
I believe in fighting water intrusion, right? We do have modern construction methods that are being used, and I think we do have to -- I think we have to take that into account, so I think this is moving in a good direction for me.

There is clearly -- there's also -- there is -- the spirit of historic guidelines are written in terms of primary structures and secondary structures and not -- new construction not imitating historic structures. But that doesn't seem to be what we get a lot here. We get imitative projects, right? And I don't think they imitate very well, honestly.

The other thing is, if they do imitate very well -- like, let's say TerraWise, they do net zero projects, right? If you do -- if you construct a project that looks very much like it imitates a historic structure and it's net zero, I think it takes away from the value of the historic home, right?

I think it's a -- to me, it goes against the spirit of the guidelines, the national and historic guidelines that ours are based on.
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removing that nailing fin.
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MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to the Commission, if I may, I did pass -- in front of you, you do -- you should have a copy of a handout that just shows the pictures that we took from our site visit. So this is an image of what a one-inch recession would look like. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, so this is the one inch?

MR. WELLS: That's correct.
COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: And it's recessed one inch from the sheathing?

COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: It's the relationship of the sash to the fin, isn't it? MR. WELLS: That is correct.
So it would be from the sash itself, from the most exterior sash.

COMMISSIONER HOFF: So that's one of the key things that I think needs to be caught by -- is exactly how it is measured. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: And through the Chair, when you have the nailing fin -- because I think when you have to recess these windows back, that come with the nailing fin, you are changing their waterproofing technique by
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 a more elaborate, expensive window system to get that depth. And they're saying they're using the windows that are the most efficient and affordable for them, and it comes with a nailing fin, like you can see in this graphic here, and that nailing fin is designed to be a part of a waterproofing strategy. When you remove that to set it back into the wall, you're removing that portion of the waterproofing.COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: Right. There are two options. You can either use the much more expensive finned window that recesses it -- and it is exorbitant, in my opinion -- or you can modify the wall to set the wall back to receive the tradition- -- the standard finned window. I don't think that's a good design. I think that's inviting water into the envelope of the building and deterioration will follow.

The other method would be to use what we call a masonry window that doesn't have fins, and it slips in a rough opening. Also, in my opinion, a bad idea.

It's okay in a masonry building because
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1 it's a masonry veneer and there's a -- there's 2 an air cavity, and so there's a way to drain
that water out of the structure, but that's not what we get with a frame building with a brick -- it just -- you know, with siding, it just doesn't work that way.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Any more thoughts?
COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I mean, this looks good to me.

I think one of my biggest issues with the windows when they're installed is they end up looking like that project we saw earlier where they're, like, almost in line with the trim and everything, and that's -- that's just not how windows look good, if I could --
(Inaudible discussion.)
COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yeah, so I think that this is acceptable in my opinion.

COMMISSIONER HOFF: I agree.
MR. WELLS: Once again, one modification that we did request is that "should" -- in that last sentence, "should" be replaced with "shall."

THE CHAIRMAN: Is that something we need to vote on or just --
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## improvements.
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(Reporter clarification.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yes.
COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: Yes.
COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: That sounds good to me.

MS. LOPERA: So someone could nominate, like, a slate, if you want to just do that, you know, as -- J.C. as chair, Commissioner Montoya as vice chair, and Commissioner Gregory as secretary, if you want to do it as a slate, or we can do them individually.

COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I move to nominate the slate: J.C. as chair, Commissioner Montoya as vice chair, and Commissioner Gregory as secretary.

COMMISSIONER HOFF: I will second that motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?
COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
(Inaudible discussion.)
MS. LOPERA: Got it. Congratulations.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We've got pending legislation and Public Works
(Reporter clarification.)
COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: Bill Hoff seconded it, Diane.

THE REPORTER: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER HOFF: Could I ask something under New Business? I think this falls under New Business.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
COMMISSIONER HOFF: So we had two new construction houses built in Springfield recently that are on a well, and the wells are placed in the front yards, and they are 2 to
3 feet above the lawn. And I am -- so is the placement of equipment like that in the purview of the Historic Preservation section?

COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Before you answer -- I'll give you a second -- I'll chime in on that as well.

I've had a -- homeowners in Springfield complain that they have to install a backflow system, which is not a well necessarily, but it prevents backflow of the water. And some inspectors require them to put it in the front yard, like, directly in view of the home, blocking -- or not blocking, but marring the
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you a photo or two.
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aesthetic of the home, I guess is the best way to put it.

And I'm not sure that's correct, what this inspector is telling people, because I personally have a backflow system directly next to my house, and it's not -- it doesn't have to be in the front yard, next to the sidewalk.

So in addition to what you're talking about, I think there is some concern with that in the neighborhood.

COMMISSIONER HOFF: And if you would like photos or the address, I can --

MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, if you can just provide those photos and the location, because I'm not too familiar with that. There's several thoughts mulling through my head right now, whether or not we can even -- we have the discretion, but if it's in a district itself, I imagine there's some type of review process. But I'll just need to do a little bit more research before I opine on the record.

COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I can reach out to the homeowners that alerted me of that and get

COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. That takes us to pending legislation.

MR. WELLS: So the first section is just items that final action has taken place since our last Commission meeting, so this would -the first one would be Ordinance 2024-0247, which is a local landmark designation of 1349 North Market Street. So it went to the Land Use and Zoning Committee and it also went to City Council this past Tuesday and was approved.

Pending legislation, we have Ordinance 2023-0876, which is still kind of languishing there.

Ordinance 2024-0283, which is also a landmark designation. This went to the Land Use and Zoning Committee on May 21st. They recommended approval, so it will be going to City Council next week for final action.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Any Public Works?

MR. WELLS: Nothing to report for this month.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, sir.
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COMMISSIONER HOFF: Two things, I think, under Information. One is that, last month we discussed reaching out to the mayor's office regarding some type of social media outreach for Historic Preservation. So I did that, talked with Phillip Perry, forwarded that email to Mr. Wells.

They do not want to create a new account for that, but they are supportive of incorporating any types of vestiges that we have into their normal communications. So he shared how to do that.

So I don't know if that's something for -I don't know whose role that would be, hypothetically. So that's one thing.

THE CHAIRMAN: Did you get a chance to look at their account and (inaudible)? Is it something that they post often or --

COMMISSIONER HOFF: Yeah. I mean, they -yeah.

COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Is it maybe possible to have a hashtag Jax Historic or something become, like, something that we put on our marketing materials that would possibly encourage people to use that? I'm down with
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the Internet, so ...
COMMISSIONER HOFF: So that was one informational just kind of update since we discussed it last month.

The other, also kind of regarding last month, if you may remember, we had a couple of people that made public comments last month and here again today, how there is an issue with people buying properties and not knowing that they are in a historic district.

So I reached out to the Florida Trust for Historic Preservation, who is the statewide advocacy organization. Ethan and I had a conversation with them by phone to talk about hypothetical ways that either they or the Realtors could come up with some type of required release or notice.

As Ethan can tell you, the local documents that people have to sign off on right now, if they are going to purchase in a historic district, those are going to go away in July. So this issue, you know, may likely grow.

So we had a conversation with them, with the State-level staff about that. Just FYI.

Anything you want to add about that?
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COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure. It kind of touched on what you talked about earlier, Julia, about -- yeah, there's no state law requiring a disclosure of this sort. And from talking with this individual with the -- she said the political appetite for making requirements for additional forms is probably very low for a state legislator, so the best thing we can do is encourage it.

So, locally, we have a historic disclosure that is strongly recommended that people use, much like the HOA disclosure when people sign a contract to buy a home. And that form is going away locally because we're stopping having our forms produced locally and we're going to the State forms, and they don't have one.

So in our conversation with Melissa, I forwarded her our historic disclosure that we use as Realtors and asked her to provide some feedback and some edits that would maybe be more applicable to a statewide level.

We can't -- you can't edit that form for every municipality's local jurisdictional issues, but you can do it on a statewide and national level, so that would help if she would
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provide some edits.
And I did contact some -- multiple Realtors who will be on the Forms Committee for the Florida Association, and they said this is something they can certainly advocate for, is to have a statewide form for this, and -- but we just need some edits from her, and also have the Forms Committee take it up.

And there's -- (inaudible), but there's a number of issues regarding Realtors lately and lawsuits and settlements and whatnot that is taking up their time, so we're dealing with that.

COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, would it be seen as condescending if staff sent real estate offices, like, a map or something that pointed out the historic districts in town as sort of an educational -- like, just so all of your, you know, agents know, if you are selling a house or you're, you know, the agent that's, you know, working on buying a house, like, these are the districts where you need to let everybody know that they're going to be in the requirements to meet the historic guidelines?
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COMMISSIONER GREGORY: It's kind of a training issue. It's like the $80 / 20$ rule; 20 percent of people will attend training, 80 percent won't. And we have an entire council dedicated to the historic districts, encompassing Springfield, Riverside, Avondale, San Marco, and a few other outlying areas that we do try to invite Realtors to.

And I know Arimus has talked about this before. We -- either you or other people have attended some of the council meetings. I was there -- and I apologize, I can't remember her name, but just maybe, like, six months ago, somebody from Historic staff was at one of our meetings in Springfield, and -- just bringing an awareness to it. I mean, if you were attending that meeting, you probably know to use the disclosure, but we try to encourage more so.

I mean, admittedly, for state and local forms, there's hundreds of them, and so it can be somewhat daunting for some Realtors, especially (inaudible) a customer, but I agree that it's an issue -- we hear this almost every meeting, "No one told me," and it's not really
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an acceptable excuse, but it's an excuse they give us, you know.

COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's easy to say "no one told me."

COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah. I mean, this -- never mind.

COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yeah.
MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to the Commission, if I may. One thing I just wanted to note too -- and I don't know if it adds to the conversation, but also just adds to the complexity of the -- the challenges too.

But one thing that our department does is we mail out monthly notices to new property owners within the historic districts. So that's the local districts, the national districts, as well as the local landmarks. So this is just a template up here that we typically use to notify homeowners.

So, I mean, adding to the complexity, the challenges, is we're dealing with homeowners that are local, we're also dealing with absentee owners, as well as contractors and Realtors. So I think in terms of coming to some type of solution, it would definitely need
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|  | 105 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | to be a holistic approach taken. |
| 2 | COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, |
| 3 | this is probably just me being mean. When |
| 4 | somebody comes up here and they say, "I didn't |
| 5 | receive any information that my house was in |
| 6 | this district," could we have this as part of |
| 7 | the packet if it was sent to them? |
| 8 | THE CHAIRMAN: That would be nice. |
| 9 | MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to |
| 10 | Commissioner Epstein, yes, we can -- we |
| 11 | definitely do that sometimes on -- before it |
| 12 | even gets to the Commission. So we can start |
| 13 | doing that if that is a point of argument for |
| 14 | applicants because -- yes. |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I would say I would |
| 16 | not want to publicly embarrass people up here, |
| 17 | but, I mean, I would use it as kind of a point |
| 18 | of contention if they did. |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: No, I think that |
| 20 | could just be in the file and (inaudible) -- |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yeah. |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: When it gets |
| 23 | reviewed, put it in front of them. It doesn't |
| 24 | have to be here. |
| 25 | COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: We don't have to |
|  | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |

THE CHAIRMAN: If it's in the file though, (inaudible). I mean, it's something to point to.

COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: It should be in the report.

COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I guess in everything that goes -- every COA that comes up as an after-the-fact COA, if we include it at a minimum in those would probably be a good idea.

COMMISSIONER HOFF: Just a note that the applicant that came and spoke about that today, he did say that he received it but after they had already done the work.

COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: You know, there might -- this might also be a -- there might be a way to align with the historic -- like, SPAR and RAP, you know, that maybe there's (inaudible) -- I forget what you call those things on the door, those things you can hang on people's door handles.

Maybe the -- you know, because there's -certainly staff is limited with the -- you know, with planning with Historic Preservation,
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but there could -- that could be your relationship if -- there might be funding to print those kinds of things. I know SPAR wouldn't have a problem with hanging something on a new homeowner's doorknob, right, or RAP or --

COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Or even one that's --

COMMISSIONER MONTOYA: A "welcome to the neighborhood, here's some things you should know." You know, that might be a great sort of connector, like a community builder. Maybe -we have a great relationship with RAP and SPAR, but I think that might help, you know, as something (inaudible).
(Inaudible discussion.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Unless anybody has anything else, we are adjourned.
(The foregoing proceedings were adjourned at 5:05 p.m.)
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