ristol	ic Preservation Commission		Uncertified Condensed Copy
			3
		1	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, everybody.
		2	
	CITY OF JACKSONVILLE		So we'll start with some general
	HISTORIC PRESERVATION	3	announcements. For those of you in the
		4	audience today, again, welcome.
	COMMISSION	-	
		5	As a courtesy, we ask you to please
		6	silence your phones. And, additionally, the
	Proceedings held on Wednesday, December 11, 2024,	7	we ask that there be no public displays of
		-	
	commencing at 3:00 p.m., at the Ed Ball Building,	8	support or opposition. Please keep any private
	Hearing Room 1002, 214 North Hogan Street, Jacksonville,	9	conversations at a low tone so the meeting is
	Florida, before Diane M. Tropia, FPR, a Notary Public in	10	not disrupted.
	and for the State of Florida at Large.	-	•
	and for the State of Fiorida at harge.	11	We'll be taking a ten-minute break every
		12	two hours if the meeting progresses that long.
	PRESENT:	13	For those of you here today that wish to
	MICHAEL MONTOYA, Chair.	-	
	ETHAN GREGORY, Vice Chair.	14	speak before the Commission, including
	WILLIAM HOFF, Secretary. JULIA EPSTEIN, Commission Member.	15	applicants, you need to have filled out a
	BECKY MORGAN, Commission Member.	16	speaker's card and given it to staff. Please
		-	
	ALSO PRESENT:	17	mark on the card whether you are for or against
	ARIMUS WELLS, Planning & Development Dept. BRITTANY FIGUEROA, Planning & Development Dept.	18	the item.
	CALEB ARSENAULT, Planning & Development Dept.	19	These proceedings are being recorded by a
	CARLA LOPERA, Office of General Counsel.	20	court reporter, so it's important that you
		21	speak clearly into the microphone. When you
		22	come forward to speak, please state your name
		23	and address into the microphone for the record
		24	and wait to be sworn in.
		25	Only one person may speak at a time, and
	Diang M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32205		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0500		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter .eom		
			MadamCourtReporter.com
	2		4
1	P R O C E E D I N G S	1	we ask that the presentations be focused and
	December 11, 2024 3:00 p.m.	2	concise, limited to the criteria upon which
2		3	
		-	the our decision must be based. And know
2	THE CHAIDMAN. I'll walcome everybody here	4	that you only have three minutes, including the
3	THE CHAIRMAN: I'll welcome everybody here	5	applicants, to speak, unless there's been a
4	today for the Jacksonville Historic	6	request and an approval by me for a longer
5	Preservation Commission meeting, on Wednesday,	7	
6	December 11th, 2024, at 3:00 p.m.	-	time. And there are timers in view at the desk
7	I'm going to call this meeting to order,	8	and for us as well. Staff will announce when
	and we'll start with introductions to my left.	9	your time is up. And if you're in the middle
8		10	of a sentence, you can finish the sentence.
9	MR. WELLS: Arimus Wells, Planning and		
10	Development Department.	11	If you're providing materials today,
11	MS. LOPERA: Carla Lopera, Office of	12	please make sure that you have a minimum of ten
12	General Counsel.	13	copies to give to the Commission staff.
13	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Julia Epstein,	14	Any tangible materials submitted with a
	• •		
14	commissioner.	15	speaker's presentation, such as documents,
15	THE CHAIRMAN: Michael Montoya, Chair.	16	photographs, plans, drawings, et cetera, will
16	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Ethan Gregory,	17	become part of the public record and will be
17	commissioner.	18	kept by the Commission staff, so please retain
18	COMMISSIONER HOFF: William Hoff,		
19	commissioner.	19	a copy of anything submitted if it's needed.
		20	End of the general announcements.
20	COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Becky Morgan,	21	Would any commissioner like to make a
21	commissioner.	22	motion regarding last month's meeting minutes?
22	MS. FIGUEROA: Brittany Figueroa, Planning		
23	and Development Department.	23	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Motion to approve
_∠J	MR. ARSENAULT: Caleb Arsenault, Planning	24	the minutes from November 13th, 2024.
	and Development Department.	25	COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Second.
24			
			Diane M Tropia Inc. Post Office Box 2375 Jacksonville EL 32203
24	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
24	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
24	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		

	f Jacksonville ic Preservation Commission		December 11, 2024 Uncertified Condensed Copy
	5		7
1	THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?	1	Pine Street; then we have COA-24-31616 at 2147
2	COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Let it be known that the	2	St. Johns Avenue; COA-24-31544 at 1608 Glendale Street; COA-24-30298 at 1678 Pine Grove Avenue.
4	meeting minutes from the November 13th, 2024,	4	All right. Is there anyone here who
5	meeting have been approved.	5	wishes to speak in regards to any of these
6	All right. We'll start with the next item	6	items on the consent agenda?
7	on the agenda, the deferred items. We have	7	AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)
8	Item Number 1, COA-23-28339 at 3664 Richmond	8	THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then I'll entertain a
9	Street; COA-23-29186 at 2799 Selma Street;	9	motion.
10	COA-24-31124 at 3629 Richmond Street.	10	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Motion to approve
11	And then we have COA-24-31433 at 2131	11	the consent agenda.
12	St. Johns Avenue. The applicant has requested	12	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second.
13	to withdraw this application, and so,	13	THE CHAIRMAN: Any discussion about that?
14	therefore, I'll entertain a motion from one of	14	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
15	the commissioners to vote as such.	15	THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?
16	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Motion to approve	16	COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
17	the withdrawal of the application for	17	THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed?
18	COA-24-31433, 2131 St. Johns Avenue.	18	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
19	COMMISSIONER HOFF: I will second that.	19	THE CHAIRMAN: So let it be known that the
20	THE CHAIRMAN: Any discussion about this?	20	consent agenda items have been approved.
21	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)	21	So if you're here today in regards to one
22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: And just to I'll state,	22 23	of the consent agenda items, you're certainly
23 24	as part of the discussion, the applicant has requested to work on restoring the windows. He	23 24	willing [sic] to stay for the remainder of the meeting. But if you have other things to
24 25	will start another application with staff,	24	attend to, we also understand.
25	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	25	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
-	· · · · · ·		-
	6		8
1	Planning staff.	1	All right. So we'll go on to Section D,
2	Planning staff. Any other discussion?	2	All right. So we'll go on to Section D, Previously Deferred Items to Be Heard. We have
	Planning staff. Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)	2 3	All right. So we'll go on to Section D, Previously Deferred Items to Be Heard. We have one item on the agenda, COA-24-31169, at 2351
2 3 4	Planning staff. Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?	2 3 4	All right. So we'll go on to Section D, Previously Deferred Items to Be Heard. We have one item on the agenda, COA-24-31169, at 2351 Riverside Avenue.
2 3 4 5	Planning staff. Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.	2 3 4 5	All right. So we'll go on to Section D, Previously Deferred Items to Be Heard. We have one item on the agenda, COA-24-31169, at 2351 Riverside Avenue. All right. We'll hear a
2 3 4 5 6	Planning staff. Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed?	2 3 4 5 6	All right. So we'll go on to Section D, Previously Deferred Items to Be Heard. We have one item on the agenda, COA-24-31169, at 2351 Riverside Avenue. All right. We'll hear a MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.)
2 3 4 5 6 7	Planning staff. Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)	2 3 4 5 6 7	All right. So we'll go on to Section D, Previously Deferred Items to Be Heard. We have one item on the agenda, COA-24-31169, at 2351 Riverside Avenue. All right. We'll hear a MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Planning staff. Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Let it be known that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	All right. So we'll go on to Section D, Previously Deferred Items to Be Heard. We have one item on the agenda, COA-24-31169, at 2351 Riverside Avenue. All right. We'll hear a MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing and hear a report from staff.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Planning staff. Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Let it be known that COA-24-31433 at 2131 St. Johns Avenue has been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	All right. So we'll go on to Section D, Previously Deferred Items to Be Heard. We have one item on the agenda, COA-24-31169, at 2351 Riverside Avenue. All right. We'll hear a MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing and hear a report from staff. MR. WELLS: To the Chair, can you just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Planning staff. Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Let it be known that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	All right. So we'll go on to Section D, Previously Deferred Items to Be Heard. We have one item on the agenda, COA-24-31169, at 2351 Riverside Avenue. All right. We'll hear a MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing and hear a report from staff. MR. WELLS: To the Chair, can you just confirm that the applicant or owner is here,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Planning staff. Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Let it be known that COA-24-31433 at 2131 St. Johns Avenue has been withdrawn and approved as such.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	All right. So we'll go on to Section D, Previously Deferred Items to Be Heard. We have one item on the agenda, COA-24-31169, at 2351 Riverside Avenue. All right. We'll hear a MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing and hear a report from staff. MR. WELLS: To the Chair, can you just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Planning staff. Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Let it be known that COA-24-31433 at 2131 St. Johns Avenue has been withdrawn and approved as such. And then the last one we have on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	All right. So we'll go on to Section D, Previously Deferred Items to Be Heard. We have one item on the agenda, COA-24-31169, at 2351 Riverside Avenue. All right. We'll hear a MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing and hear a report from staff. MR. WELLS: To the Chair, can you just confirm that the applicant or owner is here, please?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Planning staff. Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Let it be known that COA-24-31433 at 2131 St. Johns Avenue has been withdrawn and approved as such. And then the last one we have on the deferred items is REHAB 24-03 at 157 8th Street	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	All right. So we'll go on to Section D, Previously Deferred Items to Be Heard. We have one item on the agenda, COA-24-31169, at 2351 Riverside Avenue. All right. We'll hear a MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing and hear a report from staff. MR. WELLS: To the Chair, can you just confirm that the applicant or owner is here, please? THE CHAIRMAN: Is the owner for this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Planning staff. Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Let it be known that COA-24-31433 at 2131 St. Johns Avenue has been withdrawn and approved as such. And then the last one we have on the deferred items is REHAB 24-03 at 157 8th Street East. So that's it for the deferred items. We'll move on to the consent agenda. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	All right. So we'll go on to Section D, Previously Deferred Items to Be Heard. We have one item on the agenda, COA-24-31169, at 2351 Riverside Avenue. All right. We'll hear a MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing and hear a report from staff. MR. WELLS: To the Chair, can you just confirm that the applicant or owner is here, please? THE CHAIRMAN: Is the owner for this application here today? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Or the applicant?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Planning staff. Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Let it be known that COA-24-31433 at 2131 St. Johns Avenue has been withdrawn and approved as such. And then the last one we have on the deferred items is REHAB 24-03 at 157 8th Street East. So that's it for the deferred items. We'll move on to the consent agenda. And just some process about the consent agenda:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	All right. So we'll go on to Section D, Previously Deferred Items to Be Heard. We have one item on the agenda, COA-24-31169, at 2351 Riverside Avenue. All right. We'll hear a MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing and hear a report from staff. MR. WELLS: To the Chair, can you just confirm that the applicant or owner is here, please? THE CHAIRMAN: Is the owner for this application here today? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Or the applicant? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Planning staff. Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Let it be known that COA-24-31433 at 2131 St. Johns Avenue has been withdrawn and approved as such. And then the last one we have on the deferred items is REHAB 24-03 at 157 8th Street East. So that's it for the deferred items. We'll move on to the consent agenda. And just some process about the consent agenda: These are items that the applicant has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	All right. So we'll go on to Section D, Previously Deferred Items to Be Heard. We have one item on the agenda, COA-24-31169, at 2351 Riverside Avenue. All right. We'll hear a MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing and hear a report from staff. MR. WELLS: To the Chair, can you just confirm that the applicant or owner is here, please? THE CHAIRMAN: Is the owner for this application here today? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Or the applicant? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So since the applicant or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Planning staff. Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Let it be known that COA-24-31433 at 2131 St. Johns Avenue has been withdrawn and approved as such. And then the last one we have on the deferred items is REHAB 24-03 at 157 8th Street East. So that's it for the deferred items. We'll move on to the consent agenda. And just some process about the consent agenda: These are items that the applicant has worked with staff to come to an approval with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	All right. So we'll go on to Section D, Previously Deferred Items to Be Heard. We have one item on the agenda, COA-24-31169, at 2351 Riverside Avenue. All right. We'll hear a MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing and hear a report from staff. MR. WELLS: To the Chair, can you just confirm that the applicant or owner is here, please? THE CHAIRMAN: Is the owner for this application here today? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Or the applicant? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So since the applicant or the owner is not here today in attendance,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Planning staff. Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Let it be known that COA-24-31433 at 2131 St. Johns Avenue has been withdrawn and approved as such. And then the last one we have on the deferred items is REHAB 24-03 at 157 8th Street East. So that's it for the deferred items. We'll move on to the consent agenda. And just some process about the consent agenda: These are items that the applicant has worked with staff to come to an approval with conditions, and they have not objected to them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	All right. So we'll go on to Section D, Previously Deferred Items to Be Heard. We have one item on the agenda, COA-24-31169, at 2351 Riverside Avenue. All right. We'll hear a MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing and hear a report from staff. MR. WELLS: To the Chair, can you just confirm that the applicant or owner is here, please? THE CHAIRMAN: Is the owner for this application here today? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Or the applicant? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So since the applicant or the owner is not here today in attendance, we'll have to defer this. We'll just defer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Planning staff. Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Let it be known that COA-24-31433 at 2131 St. Johns Avenue has been withdrawn and approved as such. And then the last one we have on the deferred items is REHAB 24-03 at 157 8th Street East. So that's it for the deferred items. We'll move on to the consent agenda. And just some process about the consent agenda: These are items that the applicant has worked with staff to come to an approval with conditions, and they have not objected to them. If anyone is here today to speak on these,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	All right. So we'll go on to Section D, Previously Deferred Items to Be Heard. We have one item on the agenda, COA-24-31169, at 2351 Riverside Avenue. All right. We'll hear a MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing and hear a report from staff. MR. WELLS: To the Chair, can you just confirm that the applicant or owner is here, please? THE CHAIRMAN: Is the owner for this application here today? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Or the applicant? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So since the applicant or the owner is not here today in attendance, we'll have to defer this. We'll just defer this COA to the next meeting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Planning staff. Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Let it be known that COA-24-31433 at 2131 St. Johns Avenue has been withdrawn and approved as such. And then the last one we have on the deferred items is REHAB 24-03 at 157 8th Street East. So that's it for the deferred items. We'll move on to the consent agenda. And just some process about the consent agenda: These are items that the applicant has worked with staff to come to an approval with conditions, and they have not objected to them. If anyone is here today to speak on these, after I list them, please come forward.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	All right. So we'll go on to Section D, Previously Deferred Items to Be Heard. We have one item on the agenda, COA-24-31169, at 2351 Riverside Avenue. All right. We'll hear a MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing and hear a report from staff. MR. WELLS: To the Chair, can you just confirm that the applicant or owner is here, please? THE CHAIRMAN: Is the owner for this application here today? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Or the applicant? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So since the applicant or the owner is not here today in attendance, we'll have to defer this. We'll just defer this COA to the next meeting. All right. I'll close the public hearing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Planning staff. Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Let it be known that COA-24-31433 at 2131 St. Johns Avenue has been withdrawn and approved as such. And then the last one we have on the deferred items is REHAB 24-03 at 157 8th Street East. So that's it for the deferred items. We'll move on to the consent agenda. And just some process about the consent agenda: These are items that the applicant has worked with staff to come to an approval with conditions, and they have not objected to them. If anyone is here today to speak on these, after I list them, please come forward. If you're not in objection to it, it's not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	All right. So we'll go on to Section D, Previously Deferred Items to Be Heard. We have one item on the agenda, COA-24-31169, at 2351 Riverside Avenue. All right. We'll hear a MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing and hear a report from staff. MR. WELLS: To the Chair, can you just confirm that the applicant or owner is here, please? THE CHAIRMAN: Is the owner for this application here today? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Or the applicant? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So since the applicant or the owner is not here today in attendance, we'll have to defer this. We'll just defer this COA to the next meeting. All right. I'll close the public hearing on that one.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Planning staff. Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Let it be known that COA-24-31433 at 2131 St. Johns Avenue has been withdrawn and approved as such. And then the last one we have on the deferred items is REHAB 24-03 at 157 8th Street East. So that's it for the deferred items. We'll move on to the consent agenda. And just some process about the consent agenda: These are items that the applicant has worked with staff to come to an approval with conditions, and they have not objected to them. If anyone is here today to speak on these, after I list them, please come forward. If you're not in objection to it, it's not necessary for you to come up. But if you have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	All right. So we'll go on to Section D, Previously Deferred Items to Be Heard. We have one item on the agenda, COA-24-31169, at 2351 Riverside Avenue. All right. We'll hear a MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing and hear a report from staff. MR. WELLS: To the Chair, can you just confirm that the applicant or owner is here, please? THE CHAIRMAN: Is the owner for this application here today? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Or the applicant? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So since the applicant or the owner is not here today in attendance, we'll have to defer this. We'll just defer this COA to the next meeting. All right. I'll close the public hearing on that one. Next, we have Section F, Historic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Planning staff. Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Let it be known that COA-24-31433 at 2131 St. Johns Avenue has been withdrawn and approved as such. And then the last one we have on the deferred items is REHAB 24-03 at 157 8th Street East. So that's it for the deferred items. We'll move on to the consent agenda. And just some process about the consent agenda: These are items that the applicant has worked with staff to come to an approval with conditions, and they have not objected to them. If anyone is here today to speak on these, after I list them, please come forward. If you're not in objection to it, it's not necessary for you to come up. But if you have something that you want to say, please do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	All right. So we'll go on to Section D, Previously Deferred Items to Be Heard. We have one item on the agenda, COA-24-31169, at 2351 Riverside Avenue. All right. We'll hear a MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing and hear a report from staff. MR. WELLS: To the Chair, can you just confirm that the applicant or owner is here, please? THE CHAIRMAN: Is the owner for this application here today? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Or the applicant? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So since the applicant or the owner is not here today in attendance, we'll have to defer this. We'll just defer this COA to the next meeting. All right. I'll close the public hearing on that one. Next, we have Section F, Historic Designations. We have LM-24-08 at 29 East
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Planning staff. Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Let it be known that COA-24-31433 at 2131 St. Johns Avenue has been withdrawn and approved as such. And then the last one we have on the deferred items is REHAB 24-03 at 157 8th Street East. So that's it for the deferred items. We'll move on to the consent agenda. And just some process about the consent agenda: These are items that the applicant has worked with staff to come to an approval with conditions, and they have not objected to them. If anyone is here today to speak on these, after I list them, please come forward. If you're not in objection to it, it's not necessary for you to come up. But if you have something that you want to say, please do. So the first one is COA-24-31609 at 3550	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	All right. So we'll go on to Section D, Previously Deferred Items to Be Heard. We have one item on the agenda, COA-24-31169, at 2351 Riverside Avenue. All right. We'll hear a MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing and hear a report from staff. MR. WELLS: To the Chair, can you just confirm that the applicant or owner is here, please? THE CHAIRMAN: Is the owner for this application here today? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Or the applicant? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So since the applicant or the owner is not here today in attendance, we'll have to defer this. We'll just defer this COA to the next meeting. All right. I'll close the public hearing on that one. Next, we have Section F, Historic Designations. We have LM-24-08 at 29 East Adams Street.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Planning staff. Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Let it be known that COA-24-31433 at 2131 St. Johns Avenue has been withdrawn and approved as such. And then the last one we have on the deferred items is REHAB 24-03 at 157 8th Street East. So that's it for the deferred items. We'll move on to the consent agenda. And just some process about the consent agenda: These are items that the applicant has worked with staff to come to an approval with conditions, and they have not objected to them. If anyone is here today to speak on these, after I list them, please come forward. If you're not in objection to it, it's not necessary for you to come up. But if you have something that you want to say, please do. So the first one is COA-24-31609 at 3550 Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	All right. So we'll go on to Section D, Previously Deferred Items to Be Heard. We have one item on the agenda, COA-24-31169, at 2351 Riverside Avenue. All right. We'll hear a MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing and hear a report from staff. MR. WELLS: To the Chair, can you just confirm that the applicant or owner is here, please? THE CHAIRMAN: Is the owner for this application here today? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Or the applicant? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So since the applicant or the owner is not here today in attendance, we'll have to defer this. We'll just defer this COA to the next meeting. All right. I'll close the public hearing on that one. Next, we have Section F, Historic Designations. We have LM-24-08 at 29 East Adams Street. Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Planning staff. Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Let it be known that COA-24-31433 at 2131 St. Johns Avenue has been withdrawn and approved as such. And then the last one we have on the deferred items is REHAB 24-03 at 157 8th Street East. So that's it for the deferred items. We'll move on to the consent agenda. And just some process about the consent agenda: These are items that the applicant has worked with staff to come to an approval with conditions, and they have not objected to them. If anyone is here today to speak on these, after I list them, please come forward. If you're not in objection to it, it's not necessary for you to come up. But if you have something that you want to say, please do. So the first one is COA-24-31609 at 3550	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	All right. So we'll go on to Section D, Previously Deferred Items to Be Heard. We have one item on the agenda, COA-24-31169, at 2351 Riverside Avenue. All right. We'll hear a MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: I'll open the public hearing and hear a report from staff. MR. WELLS: To the Chair, can you just confirm that the applicant or owner is here, please? THE CHAIRMAN: Is the owner for this application here today? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Or the applicant? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So since the applicant or the owner is not here today in attendance, we'll have to defer this. We'll just defer this COA to the next meeting. All right. I'll close the public hearing on that one. Next, we have Section F, Historic Designations. We have LM-24-08 at 29 East Adams Street.

	r Jacksonville ic Preservation Commission		Uncertified Condensed Copy
	9		11
1	So we'll open the public hearing and hear	1	buildings large plate-glass windows, decorative
2	a report from staff.	2	glass tiles, and thin aluminum fins.
3	MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you.	3	And, finally, Criterion G, for its
_	To the Chair, LM-24-08 seeks to designate	-	
4		4	suitability for preservation and restoration.
5	the subject property at 29 East Adams Street as	5	29 East Adams Street has not been significantly
6	a local landmark.	6	altered since its redesign in the mid 20th
7	The property consists of a two-story,	7	century and retains many of its
8	commercial, masonry building with Mid-Century	8	character-defining features from this period.
9	architectural influences. The structure was	9	There is evidence that there had been
10	originally designed by Henry John Klutho and	10	moderate deterioration to the structure over
11	built in 1915 using primarily brick and	11	time; however, steps to prevent further
12	concrete.	12	deterioration are also present.
13	The original building had a roof deck with	13	Since the property owner is the sponsor of
14	wired glass skylights, front pilasters with	14	the designation, at least two of the seven
15	cast-stone and terra-cotta capitals, ornaments,	15	criteria must be met.
16	and window sill decorations.	16	In reviewing the application, the Planning
	The building was significantly redesigned		
17		17	and Development Department has found the
18	by Taylor Hardwick in 1965, covering many of	18	application to meet four of the seven criteria.
19	the original architectural features with	19	Based on the findings of this report, the
20	Mid-Century designs. The building's front	20	Jacksonville Planning and Development
21	facade now features large plate-glass windows,	21	Department recommends that the Jacksonville
22	decorative glass tiles, aluminum fins, and a	22	Historic Preservation Commission approve the
23	domed entryway on the first floor left	23	designation of 29 East Adams Street, LM-24-08,
24	elevation, below the blank tower that once	24	as a city of Jacksonville landmark.
25	featured signage.	25	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Caleb.
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
	10		12
1	10	1	12
1	10 In preparing this application, the	1	12 Do we have any does the Commission have
2	10 In preparing this application, the Planning and Development Department has found	2	12 Do we have any does the Commission have any questions for staff?
2 3	10 In preparing this application, the Planning and Development Department has found the application to meet four of the seven	2 3	12 Do we have any does the Commission have any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
2 3 4	10 In preparing this application, the Planning and Development Department has found the application to meet four of the seven criteria. The four criteria include its value	2 3 4	12 Do we have any does the Commission have any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then we'll hear from
2 3 4 5	10 In preparing this application, the Planning and Development Department has found the application to meet four of the seven criteria. The four criteria include its value as a significant reminder of the cultural,	2 3 4 5	12 Do we have any does the Commission have any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then we'll hear from the applicant.
2 3 4 5 6	10 In preparing this application, the Planning and Development Department has found the application to meet four of the seven criteria. The four criteria include its value as a significant reminder of the cultural, historical, architectural or archaeological	2 3 4 5 6	12 Do we have any does the Commission have any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then we'll hear from the applicant. Would you please step forward.
2 3 4 5 6 7	10 In preparing this application, the Planning and Development Department has found the application to meet four of the seven criteria. The four criteria include its value as a significant reminder of the cultural, historical, architectural or archaeological heritage of the city, state or nation in	2 3 4 5 6 7	12 Do we have any does the Commission have any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then we'll hear from the applicant. Would you please step forward. (Mr. Shad approaches the podium.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	10 In preparing this application, the Planning and Development Department has found the application to meet four of the seven criteria. The four criteria include its value as a significant reminder of the cultural, historical, architectural or archaeological heritage of the city, state or nation in relation to its construction, after the 1901	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	12 Do we have any does the Commission have any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then we'll hear from the applicant. Would you please step forward. (Mr. Shad approaches the podium.) MR. SHAD: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	10 In preparing this application, the Planning and Development Department has found the application to meet four of the seven criteria. The four criteria include its value as a significant reminder of the cultural, historical, architectural or archaeological heritage of the city, state or nation in relation to its construction, after the 1901 fire, during Jacksonville's downtown new era of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	12 Do we have any does the Commission have any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then we'll hear from the applicant. Would you please step forward. (Mr. Shad approaches the podium.) MR. SHAD: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	10 In preparing this application, the Planning and Development Department has found the application to meet four of the seven criteria. The four criteria include its value as a significant reminder of the cultural, historical, architectural or archaeological heritage of the city, state or nation in relation to its construction, after the 1901 fire, during Jacksonville's downtown new era of growth and its association with Jacksonville's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	12 Do we have any does the Commission have any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then we'll hear from the applicant. Would you please step forward. (Mr. Shad approaches the podium.) MR. SHAD: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and be sworn in.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	10 In preparing this application, the Planning and Development Department has found the application to meet four of the seven criteria. The four criteria include its value as a significant reminder of the cultural, historical, architectural or archaeological heritage of the city, state or nation in relation to its construction, after the 1901 fire, during Jacksonville's downtown new era of growth and its association with Jacksonville's downtown Mid-Century growth and redevelopment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	12 Do we have any does the Commission have any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then we'll hear from the applicant. Would you please step forward. (Mr. Shad approaches the podium.) MR. SHAD: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and be sworn in. MR. SHAD: Jack Shad, 1239 Woodward
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	10 In preparing this application, the Planning and Development Department has found the application to meet four of the seven criteria. The four criteria include its value as a significant reminder of the cultural, historical, architectural or archaeological heritage of the city, state or nation in relation to its construction, after the 1901 fire, during Jacksonville's downtown new era of growth and its association with Jacksonville's downtown Mid-Century growth and redevelopment. Criteria D, it is identified as the work	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	12 Do we have any does the Commission have any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then we'll hear from the applicant. Would you please step forward. (Mr. Shad approaches the podium.) MR. SHAD: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and be sworn in. MR. SHAD: Jack Shad, 1239 Woodward Avenue, Jacksonville.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	10 In preparing this application, the Planning and Development Department has found the application to meet four of the seven criteria. The four criteria include its value as a significant reminder of the cultural, historical, architectural or archaeological heritage of the city, state or nation in relation to its construction, after the 1901 fire, during Jacksonville's downtown new era of growth and its association with Jacksonville's downtown Mid-Century growth and redevelopment. Criteria D, it is identified as the work of a master builder, designer or architect	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	12 Do we have any does the Commission have any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then we'll hear from the applicant. Would you please step forward. (Mr. Shad approaches the podium.) MR. SHAD: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and be sworn in. MR. SHAD: Jack Shad, 1239 Woodward Avenue, Jacksonville. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	10 In preparing this application, the Planning and Development Department has found the application to meet four of the seven criteria. The four criteria include its value as a significant reminder of the cultural, historical, architectural or archaeological heritage of the city, state or nation in relation to its construction, after the 1901 fire, during Jacksonville's downtown new era of growth and its association with Jacksonville's downtown Mid-Century growth and redevelopment. Criteria D, it is identified as the work of a master builder, designer or architect whose individual work has influenced	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	12 Do we have any does the Commission have any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then we'll hear from the applicant. Would you please step forward. (Mr. Shad approaches the podium.) MR. SHAD: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and be sworn in. MR. SHAD: Jack Shad, 1239 Woodward Avenue, Jacksonville. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	10 In preparing this application, the Planning and Development Department has found the application to meet four of the seven criteria. The four criteria include its value as a significant reminder of the cultural, historical, architectural or archaeological heritage of the city, state or nation in relation to its construction, after the 1901 fire, during Jacksonville's downtown new era of growth and its association with Jacksonville's downtown Mid-Century growth and redevelopment. Criteria D, it is identified as the work of a master builder, designer or architect whose individual work has influenced development of the city, state, or nation, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	12 Do we have any does the Commission have any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then we'll hear from the applicant. Would you please step forward. (Mr. Shad approaches the podium.) MR. SHAD: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and be sworn in. MR. SHAD: Jack Shad, 1239 Woodward Avenue, Jacksonville. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. SHAD: (Complies.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	10 In preparing this application, the Planning and Development Department has found the application to meet four of the seven criteria. The four criteria include its value as a significant reminder of the cultural, historical, architectural or archaeological heritage of the city, state or nation in relation to its construction, after the 1901 fire, during Jacksonville's downtown new era of growth and its association with Jacksonville's downtown Mid-Century growth and redevelopment. Criteria D, it is identified as the work of a master builder, designer or architect whose individual work has influenced	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	12 Do we have any does the Commission have any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then we'll hear from the applicant. Would you please step forward. (Mr. Shad approaches the podium.) MR. SHAD: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and be sworn in. MR. SHAD: Jack Shad, 1239 Woodward Avenue, Jacksonville. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. SHAD: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	10 In preparing this application, the Planning and Development Department has found the application to meet four of the seven criteria. The four criteria include its value as a significant reminder of the cultural, historical, architectural or archaeological heritage of the city, state or nation in relation to its construction, after the 1901 fire, during Jacksonville's downtown new era of growth and its association with Jacksonville's downtown Mid-Century growth and redevelopment. Criteria D, it is identified as the work of a master builder, designer or architect whose individual work has influenced development of the city, state, or nation, in terms of its relationship to Henry John Klutho and its redesign by Taylor Hardwick in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	12 Do we have any does the Commission have any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then we'll hear from the applicant. Would you please step forward. (Mr. Shad approaches the podium.) MR. SHAD: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and be sworn in. MR. SHAD: Jack Shad, 1239 Woodward Avenue, Jacksonville. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. SHAD: (Complies.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	10 In preparing this application, the Planning and Development Department has found the application to meet four of the seven criteria. The four criteria include its value as a significant reminder of the cultural, historical, architectural or archaeological heritage of the city, state or nation in relation to its construction, after the 1901 fire, during Jacksonville's downtown new era of growth and its association with Jacksonville's downtown Mid-Century growth and redevelopment. Criteria D, it is identified as the work of a master builder, designer or architect whose individual work has influenced development of the city, state, or nation, in terms of its relationship to Henry John Klutho	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	12 Do we have any does the Commission have any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then we'll hear from the applicant. Would you please step forward. (Mr. Shad approaches the podium.) MR. SHAD: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and be sworn in. MR. SHAD: Jack Shad, 1239 Woodward Avenue, Jacksonville. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. SHAD: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	10 In preparing this application, the Planning and Development Department has found the application to meet four of the seven criteria. The four criteria include its value as a significant reminder of the cultural, historical, architectural or archaeological heritage of the city, state or nation in relation to its construction, after the 1901 fire, during Jacksonville's downtown new era of growth and its association with Jacksonville's downtown Mid-Century growth and redevelopment. Criteria D, it is identified as the work of a master builder, designer or architect whose individual work has influenced development of the city, state, or nation, in terms of its relationship to Henry John Klutho and its redesign by Taylor Hardwick in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	12 Do we have any does the Commission have any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then we'll hear from the applicant. Would you please step forward. (Mr. Shad approaches the podium.) MR. SHAD: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and be sworn in. MR. SHAD: Jack Shad, 1239 Woodward Avenue, Jacksonville. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. SHAD: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	10 In preparing this application, the Planning and Development Department has found the application to meet four of the seven criteria. The four criteria include its value as a significant reminder of the cultural, historical, architectural or archaeological heritage of the city, state or nation in relation to its construction, after the 1901 fire, during Jacksonville's downtown new era of growth and its association with Jacksonville's downtown Mid-Century growth and redevelopment. Criteria D, it is identified as the work of a master builder, designer or architect whose individual work has influenced development of the city, state, or nation, in terms of its relationship to Henry John Klutho and its redesign by Taylor Hardwick in the middle of the 1960s.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	12 Do we have any does the Commission have any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then we'll hear from the applicant. Would you please step forward. (Mr. Shad approaches the podium.) MR. SHAD: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and be sworn in. MR. SHAD: Jack Shad, 1239 Woodward Avenue, Jacksonville. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. SHAD: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	In preparing this application, the Planning and Development Department has found the application to meet four of the seven criteria. The four criteria include its value as a significant reminder of the cultural, historical, architectural or archaeological heritage of the city, state or nation in relation to its construction, after the 1901 fire, during Jacksonville's downtown new era of growth and its association with Jacksonville's downtown Mid-Century growth and redevelopment. Criteria D, it is identified as the work of a master builder, designer or architect whose individual work has influenced development of the city, state, or nation, in terms of its relationship to Henry John Klutho and its redesign by Taylor Hardwick in the middle of the 1960s. Criterion E, its value is recognized for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	12 Do we have any does the Commission have any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then we'll hear from the applicant. Would you please step forward. (Mr. Shad approaches the podium.) MR. SHAD: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and be sworn in. MR. SHAD: Jack Shad, 1239 Woodward Avenue, Jacksonville. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. SHAD: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	10 In preparing this application, the Planning and Development Department has found the application to meet four of the seven criteria. The four criteria include its value as a significant reminder of the cultural, historical, architectural or archaeological heritage of the city, state or nation in relation to its construction, after the 1901 fire, during Jacksonville's downtown new era of growth and its association with Jacksonville's downtown Mid-Century growth and redevelopment. Criteria D, it is identified as the work of a master builder, designer or architect whose individual work has influenced development of the city, state, or nation, in terms of its relationship to Henry John Klutho and its redesign by Taylor Hardwick in the middle of the 1960s. Criterion E, its value is recognized for the quality of its architecture in that it retains sufficient elements showing its	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	12 Do we have any does the Commission have any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then we'll hear from the applicant. Would you please step forward. (Mr. Shad approaches the podium.) MR. SHAD: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and be sworn in. MR. SHAD: Jack Shad, 1239 Woodward Avenue, Jacksonville. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. SHAD: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. SHAD: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	10 In preparing this application, the Planning and Development Department has found the application to meet four of the seven criteria. The four criteria include its value as a significant reminder of the cultural, historical, architectural or archaeological heritage of the city, state or nation in relation to its construction, after the 1901 fire, during Jacksonville's downtown new era of growth and its association with Jacksonville's downtown Mid-Century growth and redevelopment. Criteria D, it is identified as the work of a master builder, designer or architect whose individual work has influenced development of the city, state, or nation, in terms of its relationship to Henry John Klutho and its redesign by Taylor Hardwick in the middle of the 1960s. Criterion E, its value is recognized for the quality of its architecture in that it retains sufficient elements showing its architectural significance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	12 Do we have any does the Commission have any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then we'll hear from the applicant. Would you please step forward. (Mr. Shad approaches the podium.) MR. SHAD: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and be sworn in. MR. SHAD: Jack Shad, 1239 Woodward Avenue, Jacksonville. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. SHAD: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. SHAD: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. SHAD: You know, obviously, we support
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	10 In preparing this application, the Planning and Development Department has found the application to meet four of the seven criteria. The four criteria include its value as a significant reminder of the cultural, historical, architectural or archaeological heritage of the city, state or nation in relation to its construction, after the 1901 fire, during Jacksonville's downtown new era of growth and its association with Jacksonville's downtown Mid-Century growth and redevelopment. Criteria D, it is identified as the work of a master builder, designer or architect whose individual work has influenced development of the city, state, or nation, in terms of its relationship to Henry John Klutho and its redesign by Taylor Hardwick in the middle of the 1960s. Criterion E, its value is recognized for the quality of its architecture in that it retains sufficient elements showing its architectural significance. 29 East Adams Street shares and retains	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	12 Do we have any does the Commission have any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then we'll hear from the applicant. Would you please step forward. (Mr. Shad approaches the podium.) MR. SHAD: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and be sworn in. MR. SHAD: Jack Shad, 1239 Woodward Avenue, Jacksonville. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. SHAD: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. SHAD: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. SHAD: You know, obviously, we support the staff's recommendation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	10 In preparing this application, the Planning and Development Department has found the application to meet four of the seven criteria. The four criteria include its value as a significant reminder of the cultural, historical, architectural or archaeological heritage of the city, state or nation in relation to its construction, after the 1901 fire, during Jacksonville's downtown new era of growth and its association with Jacksonville's downtown Mid-Century growth and redevelopment. Criteria D, it is identified as the work of a master builder, designer or architect whose individual work has influenced development of the city, state, or nation, in terms of its relationship to Henry John Klutho and its redesign by Taylor Hardwick in the middle of the 1960s. Criterion E, its value is recognized for the quality of its architecture in that it retains sufficient elements showing its architectural significance. 29 East Adams Street shares and retains many of [sic] notable architectural features	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	12 Do we have any does the Commission have any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then we'll hear from the applicant. Would you please step forward. (Mr. Shad approaches the podium.) MR. SHAD: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and be sworn in. MR. SHAD: Jack Shad, 1239 Woodward Avenue, Jacksonville. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. SHAD: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. SHAD: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. SHAD: You know, obviously, we support the staff's recommendation. I this is the only building that I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	10 In preparing this application, the Planning and Development Department has found the application to meet four of the seven criteria. The four criteria include its value as a significant reminder of the cultural, historical, architectural or archaeological heritage of the city, state or nation in relation to its construction, after the 1901 fire, during Jacksonville's downtown new era of growth and its association with Jacksonville's downtown Mid-Century growth and redevelopment. Criteria D, it is identified as the work of a master builder, designer or architect whose individual work has influenced development of the city, state, or nation, in terms of its relationship to Henry John Klutho and its redesign by Taylor Hardwick in the middle of the 1960s. Criterion E, its value is recognized for the quality of its architecture in that it retains sufficient elements showing its architectural significance. 29 East Adams Street shares and retains many of [sic] notable architectural features that distinguish it. These include the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	12 Do we have any does the Commission have any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then we'll hear from the applicant. Would you please step forward. (Mr. Shad approaches the podium.) MR. SHAD: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and be sworn in. MR. SHAD: Jack Shad, 1239 Woodward Avenue, Jacksonville. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. SHAD: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. SHAD: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. SHAD: You know, obviously, we support the staff's recommendation. I this is the only building that I'm aware of that has that connection to two of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	10 In preparing this application, the Planning and Development Department has found the application to meet four of the seven criteria. The four criteria include its value as a significant reminder of the cultural, historical, architectural or archaeological heritage of the city, state or nation in relation to its construction, after the 1901 fire, during Jacksonville's downtown new era of growth and its association with Jacksonville's downtown Mid-Century growth and redevelopment. Criteria D, it is identified as the work of a master builder, designer or architect whose individual work has influenced development of the city, state, or nation, in terms of its relationship to Henry John Klutho and its redesign by Taylor Hardwick in the middle of the 1960s. Criterion E, its value is recognized for the quality of its architecture in that it retains sufficient elements showing its architectural significance. 29 East Adams Street shares and retains many of [sic] notable architectural features that distinguish it. These include the Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	12 Do we have any does the Commission have any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then we'll hear from the applicant. Would you please step forward. (Mr. Shad approaches the podium.) MR. SHAD: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and be sworn in. MR. SHAD: Jack Shad, 1239 Woodward Avenue, Jacksonville. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. SHAD: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. SHAD: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. SHAD: You know, obviously, we support the staff's recommendation. I this is the only building that I'm aware of that has that connection to two of Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	10 In preparing this application, the Planning and Development Department has found the application to meet four of the seven criteria. The four criteria include its value as a significant reminder of the cultural, historical, architectural or archaeological heritage of the city, state or nation in relation to its construction, after the 1901 fire, during Jacksonville's downtown new era of growth and its association with Jacksonville's downtown Mid-Century growth and redevelopment. Criteria D, it is identified as the work of a master builder, designer or architect whose individual work has influenced development of the city, state, or nation, in terms of its relationship to Henry John Klutho and its redesign by Taylor Hardwick in the middle of the 1960s. Criterion E, its value is recognized for the quality of its architecture in that it retains sufficient elements showing its architectural significance. 29 East Adams Street shares and retains many of [sic] notable architectural features that distinguish it. These include the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	12 Do we have any does the Commission have any questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then we'll hear from the applicant. Would you please step forward. (Mr. Shad approaches the podium.) MR. SHAD: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and be sworn in. MR. SHAD: Jack Shad, 1239 Woodward Avenue, Jacksonville. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MR. SHAD: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. SHAD: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. SHAD: You know, obviously, we support the staff's recommendation. I this is the only building that I'm aware of that has that connection to two of

	Jacksonville c Preservation Commission		December 11, 2024 Uncertified Condensed Copy
i notoli	13		15
1	Jacksonville's most prominent architects.	1	Chair, I'll just say I'm excited to see more of
2	It is one of those quirky, little	2	this kind of Mid-Century architecture starting
3	buildings that, you know, help give downtown	3	to be landmarked in the city because I think
4	or or any neighborhood, that sense of	4	Jacksonville has a really wonderful catalog of
5	character and uniqueness. And this is the	5	Mid-Century buildings.
6	kind of the first step on getting the building	6	And some of our most prominent architects,
7	reactivated and cleaned up. Obviously, plywood	7	even, you know some who are still alive
8	is not a permanent building exterior material.	8	Ted Pappas have such wonderful buildings out
9	And I if y'all have any questions, I'm	9	there that we should start looking at
10	happy to answer them.	10	landmarking.
11	Thank you.	11	THE CHAIRMAN: Well said.
12	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	12	Any other discussion?
13	Do we have any questions for the	13	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
14	applicant?	14	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. All those in
15	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)	15	
16	THE CHAIRMAN: Curiosity question about	16	COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
17 18	the plans for the building?	17 18	THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
18	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: No? Okay.	18	THE CHAIRMAN: Let it be know, then, that
20	Thank you. If we need you to come back	20	LM-24-08 at 29 East Adams Street has been
21	up, we'll	20	approved.
22	MR. SHAD: All right. Thanks.	22	MR. SHAD: Thank you all.
23	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here	23	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
24	today that wishes to speak towards this	24	All right. So we'll move on to the COAs,
25	application?	25	Section G on the agenda. Let's start with
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
	14		16
1	AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)	1	let's start with COA-24-31360 at 236 5th Street
2	THE CHAIRMAN: No? Then we'll close the	2	East.
	public booring and I'll optortain a motion		
3	public hearing, and I'll entertain a motion.	3	(Discussion held off the record.)
4	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Motion to approve	4	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry. So let's we'll
4 5	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Motion to approve historic designation LM-24-08.	4 5	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry. So let's we'll just start with COA-24-31360 at 5th Street
4 5 6	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Motion to approve historic designation LM-24-08. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second.	4 5 6	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry. So let's we'll just start with COA-24-31360 at 5th Street East.
4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Motion to approve historic designation LM-24-08. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any discussion?	4 5 6 7	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry. So let's we'll just start with COA-24-31360 at 5th Street East. I'll open the public hearing and hear a
4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Motion to approve historic designation LM-24-08. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)	4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry. So let's we'll just start with COA-24-31360 at 5th Street East. I'll open the public hearing and hear a staff report.
4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Motion to approve historic designation LM-24-08. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Everyone is very	4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry. So let's we'll just start with COA-24-31360 at 5th Street East. I'll open the public hearing and hear a staff report. I was so excited about the historic
4 5 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Motion to approve historic designation LM-24-08. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Everyone is very tight-lipped today.	4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry. So let's we'll just start with COA-24-31360 at 5th Street East. I'll open the public hearing and hear a staff report. I was so excited about the historic designation.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Motion to approve historic designation LM-24-08. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Everyone is very tight-lipped today. Hearing no discussion	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry. So let's we'll just start with COA-24-31360 at 5th Street East. I'll open the public hearing and hear a staff report. I was so excited about the historic designation. MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you.
4 5 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Motion to approve historic designation LM-24-08. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Everyone is very tight-lipped today. Hearing no discussion COMMISSIONER MORGAN: I'll make a comment.	4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry. So let's we'll just start with COA-24-31360 at 5th Street East. I'll open the public hearing and hear a staff report. I was so excited about the historic designation. MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31360 is for the property located
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Motion to approve historic designation LM-24-08. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Everyone is very tight-lipped today. Hearing no discussion COMMISSIONER MORGAN: I'll make a comment. I'm very excited about this. I'm excited	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry. So let's we'll just start with COA-24-31360 at 5th Street East. I'll open the public hearing and hear a staff report. I was so excited about the historic designation. MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31360 is for the property located at 236 East 5th Street, which seeks to
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Motion to approve historic designation LM-24-08. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Everyone is very tight-lipped today. Hearing no discussion COMMISSIONER MORGAN: I'll make a comment.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry. So let's we'll just start with COA-24-31360 at 5th Street East. I'll open the public hearing and hear a staff report. I was so excited about the historic designation. MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31360 is for the property located
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Motion to approve historic designation LM-24-08. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Everyone is very tight-lipped today. Hearing no discussion COMMISSIONER MORGAN: I'll make a comment. I'm very excited about this. I'm excited to this see this type of property getting	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry. So let's we'll just start with COA-24-31360 at 5th Street East. I'll open the public hearing and hear a staff report. I was so excited about the historic designation. MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31360 is for the property located at 236 East 5th Street, which seeks to construct a two-story primary structure on
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Motion to approve historic designation LM-24-08. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Everyone is very tight-lipped today. Hearing no discussion COMMISSIONER MORGAN: I'll make a comment. I'm very excited about this. I'm excited to this see this type of property getting designated. I love that building. I love some	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry. So let's we'll just start with COA-24-31360 at 5th Street East. I'll open the public hearing and hear a staff report. I was so excited about the historic designation. MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31360 is for the property located at 236 East 5th Street, which seeks to construct a two-story primary structure on within the Springfield Historic District.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Motion to approve historic designation LM-24-08. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Everyone is very tight-lipped today. Hearing no discussion COMMISSIONER MORGAN: I'll make a comment. I'm very excited about this. I'm excited to this see this type of property getting designated. I love that building. I love some of the small businesses that have been in	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry. So let's we'll just start with COA-24-31360 at 5th Street East. I'll open the public hearing and hear a staff report. I was so excited about the historic designation. MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31360 is for the property located at 236 East 5th Street, which seeks to construct a two-story primary structure on within the Springfield Historic District. Located on an interior lot, the subject property is currently devoid of any structures. The surrounding residential structures along
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Motion to approve historic designation LM-24-08. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Everyone is very tight-lipped today. Hearing no discussion COMMISSIONER MORGAN: I'll make a comment. I'm very excited about this. I'm excited to this see this type of property getting designated. I love that building. I love some of the small businesses that have been in there, so so thank you for bringing this forward. THE CHAIRMAN: I agree, Commissioner	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry. So let's we'll just start with COA-24-31360 at 5th Street East. I'll open the public hearing and hear a staff report. I was so excited about the historic designation. MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31360 is for the property located at 236 East 5th Street, which seeks to construct a two-story primary structure on within the Springfield Historic District. Located on an interior lot, the subject property is currently devoid of any structures. The surrounding residential structures along this block are mostly two-story frame
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Motion to approve historic designation LM-24-08. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Everyone is very tight-lipped today. Hearing no discussion COMMISSIONER MORGAN: I'll make a comment. I'm very excited about this. I'm excited to this see this type of property getting designated. I love that building. I love some of the small businesses that have been in there, so so thank you for bringing this forward. THE CHAIRMAN: I agree, Commissioner Morgan. This building has been there in our	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry. So let's we'll just start with COA-24-31360 at 5th Street East. I'll open the public hearing and hear a staff report. I was so excited about the historic designation. MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31360 is for the property located at 236 East 5th Street, which seeks to construct a two-story primary structure on within the Springfield Historic District. Located on an interior lot, the subject property is currently devoid of any structures. The surrounding residential structures along this block are mostly two-story frame vernacular homes.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Motion to approve historic designation LM-24-08. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Everyone is very tight-lipped today. Hearing no discussion COMMISSIONER MORGAN: I'll make a comment. I'm very excited about this. I'm excited to this see this type of property getting designated. I love that building. I love some of the small businesses that have been in there, so so thank you for bringing this forward. THE CHAIRMAN: I agree, Commissioner Morgan. This building has been there in our view for a long time, and the places that we	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry. So let's we'll just start with COA-24-31360 at 5th Street East. I'll open the public hearing and hear a staff report. I was so excited about the historic designation. MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31360 is for the property located at 236 East 5th Street, which seeks to construct a two-story primary structure on within the Springfield Historic District. Located on an interior lot, the subject property is currently devoid of any structures. The surrounding residential structures along this block are mostly two-story frame vernacular homes. As designed, the estimated
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Motion to approve historic designation LM-24-08. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Everyone is very tight-lipped today. Hearing no discussion COMMISSIONER MORGAN: I'll make a comment. I'm very excited about this. I'm excited to this see this type of property getting designated. I love that building. I love some of the small businesses that have been in there, so so thank you for bringing this forward. THE CHAIRMAN: I agree, Commissioner Morgan. This building has been there in our view for a long time, and the places that we visit downtown is right in the middle of, so I	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry. So let's we'll just start with COA-24-31360 at 5th Street East. I'll open the public hearing and hear a staff report. I was so excited about the historic designation. MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31360 is for the property located at 236 East 5th Street, which seeks to construct a two-story primary structure on within the Springfield Historic District. Located on an interior lot, the subject property is currently devoid of any structures. The surrounding residential structures along this block are mostly two-story frame vernacular homes. As designed, the estimated 2,907-square-foot primary structure will
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Motion to approve historic designation LM-24-08. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Everyone is very tight-lipped today. Hearing no discussion COMMISSIONER MORGAN: I'll make a comment. I'm very excited about this. I'm excited to this see this type of property getting designated. I love that building. I love some of the small businesses that have been in there, so so thank you for bringing this forward. THE CHAIRMAN: I agree, Commissioner Morgan. This building has been there in our view for a long time, and the places that we visit downtown is right in the middle of, so I think it's an excellent it's an excellent	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry. So let's we'll just start with COA-24-31360 at 5th Street East. I'll open the public hearing and hear a staff report. I was so excited about the historic designation. MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31360 is for the property located at 236 East 5th Street, which seeks to construct a two-story primary structure on within the Springfield Historic District. Located on an interior lot, the subject property is currently devoid of any structures. The surrounding residential structures along this block are mostly two-story frame vernacular homes. As designed, the estimated 2,907-square-foot primary structure will consist of cementitious lap siding, a
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Motion to approve historic designation LM-24-08. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Everyone is very tight-lipped today. Hearing no discussion COMMISSIONER MORGAN: I'll make a comment. I'm very excited about this. I'm excited to this see this type of property getting designated. I love that building. I love some of the small businesses that have been in there, so so thank you for bringing this forward. THE CHAIRMAN: I agree, Commissioner Morgan. This building has been there in our view for a long time, and the places that we visit downtown is right in the middle of, so I think it's an excellent it's an excellent landmark application.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry. So let's we'll just start with COA-24-31360 at 5th Street East. I'll open the public hearing and hear a staff report. I was so excited about the historic designation. MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31360 is for the property located at 236 East 5th Street, which seeks to construct a two-story primary structure on within the Springfield Historic District. Located on an interior lot, the subject property is currently devoid of any structures. The surrounding residential structures along this block are mostly two-story frame vernacular homes. As designed, the estimated 2,907-square-foot primary structure will consist of cementitious lap siding, a Fiberglass shingle roof, and 1-over-1 and
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Motion to approve historic designation LM-24-08. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Everyone is very tight-lipped today. Hearing no discussion COMMISSIONER MORGAN: I'll make a comment. I'm very excited about this. I'm excited to this see this type of property getting designated. I love that building. I love some of the small businesses that have been in there, so so thank you for bringing this forward. THE CHAIRMAN: I agree, Commissioner Morgan. This building has been there in our view for a long time, and the places that we visit downtown is right in the middle of, so I think it's an excellent it's an excellent landmark application. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yeah. Through the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry. So let's we'll just start with COA-24-31360 at 5th Street East. I'll open the public hearing and hear a staff report. I was so excited about the historic designation. MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31360 is for the property located at 236 East 5th Street, which seeks to construct a two-story primary structure on within the Springfield Historic District. Located on an interior lot, the subject property is currently devoid of any structures. The surrounding residential structures along this block are mostly two-story frame vernacular homes. As designed, the estimated 2,907-square-foot primary structure will consist of cementitious lap siding, a Fiberglass shingle roof, and 1-over-1 and picture windows.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Motion to approve historic designation LM-24-08. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Everyone is very tight-lipped today. Hearing no discussion COMMISSIONER MORGAN: I'll make a comment. I'm very excited about this. I'm excited to this see this type of property getting designated. I love that building. I love some of the small businesses that have been in there, so so thank you for bringing this forward. THE CHAIRMAN: I agree, Commissioner Morgan. This building has been there in our view for a long time, and the places that we visit downtown is right in the middle of, so I think it's an excellent it's an excellent landmark application. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yeah. Through the Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry. So let's we'll just start with COA-24-31360 at 5th Street East. I'll open the public hearing and hear a staff report. I was so excited about the historic designation. MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31360 is for the property located at 236 East 5th Street, which seeks to construct a two-story primary structure on within the Springfield Historic District. Located on an interior lot, the subject property is currently devoid of any structures. The surrounding residential structures along this block are mostly two-story frame vernacular homes. As designed, the estimated 2,907-square-foot primary structure will consist of cementitious lap siding, a Fiberglass shingle roof, and 1-over-1 and picture windows. Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Motion to approve historic designation LM-24-08. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Everyone is very tight-lipped today. Hearing no discussion COMMISSIONER MORGAN: I'll make a comment. I'm very excited about this. I'm excited to this see this type of property getting designated. I love that building. I love some of the small businesses that have been in there, so so thank you for bringing this forward. THE CHAIRMAN: I agree, Commissioner Morgan. This building has been there in our view for a long time, and the places that we visit downtown is right in the middle of, so I think it's an excellent it's an excellent landmark application. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yeah. Through the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry. So let's we'll just start with COA-24-31360 at 5th Street East. I'll open the public hearing and hear a staff report. I was so excited about the historic designation. MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31360 is for the property located at 236 East 5th Street, which seeks to construct a two-story primary structure on within the Springfield Historic District. Located on an interior lot, the subject property is currently devoid of any structures. The surrounding residential structures along this block are mostly two-story frame vernacular homes. As designed, the estimated 2,907-square-foot primary structure will consist of cementitious lap siding, a Fiberglass shingle roof, and 1-over-1 and picture windows.

THISTOP	ic Preservation Commission	r	Uncertified Condensed Copy
	17		19
1	The front elevation will have a	1	to reflect that the rear first floor roof be a
2	single-story porch with columns and vertical	2	gable with an extended eave.
3	railing. Additionally, the gable ends of the	3	Additionally, staff was concerned with the
		-	
4	main roof will be oriented to face the front	4	placement of the bead board in the new plans,
5	and back of the property.	5	which is not consistent with the historic homes
6	The following is staff's analysis:	6	in the district, which typically have bead
7	As designed, the height of the	7	boards at the top of the second floor and
8	properties of the proposed primary structure	8	occasionally separating the first and second
9	is compatible with the height of the	9	floors. Staff recommends that it be
		-	conditioned that the bead board be located
10	surrounding structures in the district.	10	
11	The orientation of the roof will be a	11	along the top or bottom of the second floor,
12	front, street-facing, open gabled roof form	12	not in the middle.
13	that will be compatible with the roof form	13	Staff finds the proposed COA consistent
14	design of surrounding homes in the district.	14	with the design and compatible with the
15	As designed, the proposed 1-over-1 lite	15	design guidelines and the Ordinance Code
16	pattern and height align with the historic	16	criteria. As such, we forward to you a
	window forms in the district.		
17		17	recommendation for approval with conditions
18	Although every elevation is designed to	18	noted in the report.
19	have at least one fixed picture window, staff	19	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
20	has conditioned the front and side elevations	20	Do we have any questions for staff?
21	to use only 1-over-1 sash windows.	21	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Through the Chair,
22	Window openings have been designed to	22	could you review one more time what the changes
23	proportionally place windows on all elevations	23	to the windows would be from the elevations
24	without any significant empty wall space.	24	that we received in the packet versus what we
25	The proposed primary structure is	25	have in front of us here?
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
	40		20
	18		20
1		1	
1	differentiated but compatible with nearby	1	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and
2	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size,	2	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no
2 3	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size, scale, and architectural features.	2 3	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no window change. The conditions are written to
2 3 4	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size, scale, and architectural features. Since the publishing of our report, the	2 3 4	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no window change. The conditions are written to reflect that the side elevations will have
2 3	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size, scale, and architectural features. Since the publishing of our report, the applicant has submitted revised plans. If you	2 3	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no window change. The conditions are written to reflect that the side elevations will have full-size, 1-over-1 windows, especially on the
2 3 4	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size, scale, and architectural features. Since the publishing of our report, the applicant has submitted revised plans. If you refer to the hard copy in front of you, you	2 3 4	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no window change. The conditions are written to reflect that the side elevations will have full-size, 1-over-1 windows, especially on the left upper elevation and the right side upper
2 3 4 5	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size, scale, and architectural features. Since the publishing of our report, the applicant has submitted revised plans. If you	2 3 4 5	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no window change. The conditions are written to reflect that the side elevations will have full-size, 1-over-1 windows, especially on the
2 3 4 5 6	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size, scale, and architectural features. Since the publishing of our report, the applicant has submitted revised plans. If you refer to the hard copy in front of you, you	2 3 4 5 6	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no window change. The conditions are written to reflect that the side elevations will have full-size, 1-over-1 windows, especially on the left upper elevation and the right side upper
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size, scale, and architectural features. Since the publishing of our report, the applicant has submitted revised plans. If you refer to the hard copy in front of you, you will see these new plans depict that the rear, first floor roof is now a gable with an	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no window change. The conditions are written to reflect that the side elevations will have full-size, 1-over-1 windows, especially on the left upper elevation and the right side upper elevation there is a single picture window, these would be conditioned to be full-size,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size, scale, and architectural features. Since the publishing of our report, the applicant has submitted revised plans. If you refer to the hard copy in front of you, you will see these new plans depict that the rear, first floor roof is now a gable with an extended eave, compared to the intersecting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no window change. The conditions are written to reflect that the side elevations will have full-size, 1-over-1 windows, especially on the left upper elevation and the right side upper elevation there is a single picture window, these would be conditioned to be full-size, 1-over-1 windows.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size, scale, and architectural features. Since the publishing of our report, the applicant has submitted revised plans. If you refer to the hard copy in front of you, you will see these new plans depict that the rear, first floor roof is now a gable with an extended eave, compared to the intersecting gable and hipped porch roof that it was prior;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no window change. The conditions are written to reflect that the side elevations will have full-size, 1-over-1 windows, especially on the left upper elevation and the right side upper elevation there is a single picture window, these would be conditioned to be full-size, 1-over-1 windows. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size, scale, and architectural features. Since the publishing of our report, the applicant has submitted revised plans. If you refer to the hard copy in front of you, you will see these new plans depict that the rear, first floor roof is now a gable with an extended eave, compared to the intersecting gable and hipped porch roof that it was prior; and that the bead board has been readjusted to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no window change. The conditions are written to reflect that the side elevations will have full-size, 1-over-1 windows, especially on the left upper elevation and the right side upper elevation there is a single picture window, these would be conditioned to be full-size, 1-over-1 windows. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size, scale, and architectural features. Since the publishing of our report, the applicant has submitted revised plans. If you refer to the hard copy in front of you, you will see these new plans depict that the rear, first floor roof is now a gable with an extended eave, compared to the intersecting gable and hipped porch roof that it was prior; and that the bead board has been readjusted to the middle of the second floor, after staff had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no window change. The conditions are written to reflect that the side elevations will have full-size, 1-over-1 windows, especially on the left upper elevation and the right side upper elevation there is a single picture window, these would be conditioned to be full-size, 1-over-1 windows. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for staff?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size, scale, and architectural features. Since the publishing of our report, the applicant has submitted revised plans. If you refer to the hard copy in front of you, you will see these new plans depict that the rear, first floor roof is now a gable with an extended eave, compared to the intersecting gable and hipped porch roof that it was prior; and that the bead board has been readjusted to the middle of the second floor, after staff had requested that it be moved to the top or bottom	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no window change. The conditions are written to reflect that the side elevations will have full-size, 1-over-1 windows, especially on the left upper elevation and the right side upper elevation there is a single picture window, these would be conditioned to be full-size, 1-over-1 windows. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size, scale, and architectural features. Since the publishing of our report, the applicant has submitted revised plans. If you refer to the hard copy in front of you, you will see these new plans depict that the rear, first floor roof is now a gable with an extended eave, compared to the intersecting gable and hipped porch roof that it was prior; and that the bead board has been readjusted to the middle of the second floor, after staff had requested that it be moved to the top or bottom of the second floor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no window change. The conditions are written to reflect that the side elevations will have full-size, 1-over-1 windows, especially on the left upper elevation and the right side upper elevation there is a single picture window, these would be conditioned to be full-size, 1-over-1 windows. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Hearing no more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size, scale, and architectural features. Since the publishing of our report, the applicant has submitted revised plans. If you refer to the hard copy in front of you, you will see these new plans depict that the rear, first floor roof is now a gable with an extended eave, compared to the intersecting gable and hipped porch roof that it was prior; and that the bead board has been readjusted to the middle of the second floor, after staff had requested that it be moved to the top or bottom of the second floor. Although staff is supportive of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no window change. The conditions are written to reflect that the side elevations will have full-size, 1-over-1 windows, especially on the left upper elevation and the right side upper elevation there is a single picture window, these would be conditioned to be full-size, 1-over-1 windows. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Hearing no more questions is the applicant here?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size, scale, and architectural features. Since the publishing of our report, the applicant has submitted revised plans. If you refer to the hard copy in front of you, you will see these new plans depict that the rear, first floor roof is now a gable with an extended eave, compared to the intersecting gable and hipped porch roof that it was prior; and that the bead board has been readjusted to the middle of the second floor, after staff had requested that it be moved to the top or bottom of the second floor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no window change. The conditions are written to reflect that the side elevations will have full-size, 1-over-1 windows, especially on the left upper elevation and the right side upper elevation there is a single picture window, these would be conditioned to be full-size, 1-over-1 windows. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Hearing no more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size, scale, and architectural features. Since the publishing of our report, the applicant has submitted revised plans. If you refer to the hard copy in front of you, you will see these new plans depict that the rear, first floor roof is now a gable with an extended eave, compared to the intersecting gable and hipped porch roof that it was prior; and that the bead board has been readjusted to the middle of the second floor, after staff had requested that it be moved to the top or bottom of the second floor. Although staff is supportive of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no window change. The conditions are written to reflect that the side elevations will have full-size, 1-over-1 windows, especially on the left upper elevation and the right side upper elevation there is a single picture window, these would be conditioned to be full-size, 1-over-1 windows. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Hearing no more questions is the applicant here?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size, scale, and architectural features. Since the publishing of our report, the applicant has submitted revised plans. If you refer to the hard copy in front of you, you will see these new plans depict that the rear, first floor roof is now a gable with an extended eave, compared to the intersecting gable and hipped porch roof that it was prior; and that the bead board has been readjusted to the middle of the second floor, after staff had requested that it be moved to the top or bottom of the second floor. Although staff is supportive of the applicant's scope of work, we do have concerns	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no window change. The conditions are written to reflect that the side elevations will have full-size, 1-over-1 windows, especially on the left upper elevation and the right side upper elevation there is a single picture window, these would be conditioned to be full-size, 1-over-1 windows. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Hearing no more questions is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size, scale, and architectural features. Since the publishing of our report, the applicant has submitted revised plans. If you refer to the hard copy in front of you, you will see these new plans depict that the rear, first floor roof is now a gable with an extended eave, compared to the intersecting gable and hipped porch roof that it was prior; and that the bead board has been readjusted to the middle of the second floor, after staff had requested that it be moved to the top or bottom of the second floor. Although staff is supportive of the applicant's scope of work, we do have concerns about the picture windows on the side elevations and have conditioned the application	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no window change. The conditions are written to reflect that the side elevations will have full-size, 1-over-1 windows, especially on the left upper elevation and the right side upper elevation there is a single picture window, these would be conditioned to be full-size, 1-over-1 windows. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Hearing no more questions is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward. (Audience member approaches the podium.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size, scale, and architectural features. Since the publishing of our report, the applicant has submitted revised plans. If you refer to the hard copy in front of you, you will see these new plans depict that the rear, first floor roof is now a gable with an extended eave, compared to the intersecting gable and hipped porch roof that it was prior; and that the bead board has been readjusted to the middle of the second floor, after staff had requested that it be moved to the top or bottom of the second floor. Although staff is supportive of the applicant's scope of work, we do have concerns about the picture windows on the side elevations and have conditioned the application so that the picture windows on the first floor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no window change. The conditions are written to reflect that the side elevations will have full-size, 1-over-1 windows, especially on the left upper elevation and the right side upper elevation there is a single picture window, these would be conditioned to be full-size, 1-over-1 windows. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Hearing no more questions is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size, scale, and architectural features. Since the publishing of our report, the applicant has submitted revised plans. If you refer to the hard copy in front of you, you will see these new plans depict that the rear, first floor roof is now a gable with an extended eave, compared to the intersecting gable and hipped porch roof that it was prior; and that the bead board has been readjusted to the middle of the second floor, after staff had requested that it be moved to the top or bottom of the second floor. Although staff is supportive of the applicant's scope of work, we do have concerns about the picture windows on the side elevations and have conditioned the application so that the picture windows on the first floor and side elevations will be replaced with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no window change. The conditions are written to reflect that the side elevations will have full-size, 1-over-1 windows, especially on the left upper elevation and the right side upper elevation there is a single picture window, these would be conditioned to be full-size, 1-over-1 windows. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Hearing no more questions is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size, scale, and architectural features. Since the publishing of our report, the applicant has submitted revised plans. If you refer to the hard copy in front of you, you will see these new plans depict that the rear, first floor roof is now a gable with an extended eave, compared to the intersecting gable and hipped porch roof that it was prior; and that the bead board has been readjusted to the middle of the second floor, after staff had requested that it be moved to the top or bottom of the second floor. Although staff is supportive of the applicant's scope of work, we do have concerns about the picture windows on the side elevations and have conditioned the application so that the picture windows on the first floor and side elevations will be replaced with full-size, 1-over-1 windows in Condition 6.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no window change. The conditions are written to reflect that the side elevations will have full-size, 1-over-1 windows, especially on the left upper elevation and the right side upper elevation there is a single picture window, these would be conditioned to be full-size, 1-over-1 windows. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Hearing no more questions is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good afternoon.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size, scale, and architectural features. Since the publishing of our report, the applicant has submitted revised plans. If you refer to the hard copy in front of you, you will see these new plans depict that the rear, first floor roof is now a gable with an extended eave, compared to the intersecting gable and hipped porch roof that it was prior; and that the bead board has been readjusted to the middle of the second floor, after staff had requested that it be moved to the top or bottom of the second floor. Although staff is supportive of the applicant's scope of work, we do have concerns about the picture windows on the side elevations and have conditioned the application so that the picture windows on the first floor and side elevations will be replaced with full-size, 1-over-1 windows in Condition 6. Staff was initially concerned about the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no window change. The conditions are written to reflect that the side elevations will have full-size, 1-over-1 windows, especially on the left upper elevation and the right side upper elevation there is a single picture window, these would be conditioned to be full-size, 1-over-1 windows. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Hearing no more questions is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good afternoon. David Shacter, TerraWise Homes. Address
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size, scale, and architectural features. Since the publishing of our report, the applicant has submitted revised plans. If you refer to the hard copy in front of you, you will see these new plans depict that the rear, first floor roof is now a gable with an extended eave, compared to the intersecting gable and hipped porch roof that it was prior; and that the bead board has been readjusted to the middle of the second floor, after staff had requested that it be moved to the top or bottom of the second floor. Although staff is supportive of the applicant's scope of work, we do have concerns about the picture windows on the side elevations and have conditioned the application so that the picture windows on the first floor and side elevations will be replaced with full-size, 1-over-1 windows in Condition 6. Staff was initially concerned about the rear roof form, but given the new design	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no window change. The conditions are written to reflect that the side elevations will have full-size, 1-over-1 windows, especially on the left upper elevation and the right side upper elevation there is a single picture window, these would be conditioned to be full-size, 1-over-1 windows. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Hearing no more questions is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good afternoon. David Shacter, TerraWise Homes. Address is 1334 Walnut Street, Jacksonville, 32206.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size, scale, and architectural features. Since the publishing of our report, the applicant has submitted revised plans. If you refer to the hard copy in front of you, you will see these new plans depict that the rear, first floor roof is now a gable with an extended eave, compared to the intersecting gable and hipped porch roof that it was prior; and that the bead board has been readjusted to the middle of the second floor, after staff had requested that it be moved to the top or bottom of the second floor. Although staff is supportive of the applicant's scope of work, we do have concerns about the picture windows on the side elevations and have conditioned the application so that the picture windows on the first floor and side elevations will be replaced with full-size, 1-over-1 windows in Condition 6. Staff was initially concerned about the rear roof form, but given the new design submitted, staff is agreeable to the new rear	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no window change. The conditions are written to reflect that the side elevations will have full-size, 1-over-1 windows, especially on the left upper elevation and the right side upper elevation there is a single picture window, these would be conditioned to be full-size, 1-over-1 windows. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Hearing no more questions is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good afternoon. David Shacter, TerraWise Homes. Address
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size, scale, and architectural features. Since the publishing of our report, the applicant has submitted revised plans. If you refer to the hard copy in front of you, you will see these new plans depict that the rear, first floor roof is now a gable with an extended eave, compared to the intersecting gable and hipped porch roof that it was prior; and that the bead board has been readjusted to the middle of the second floor, after staff had requested that it be moved to the top or bottom of the second floor. Although staff is supportive of the applicant's scope of work, we do have concerns about the picture windows on the side elevations and have conditioned the application so that the picture windows on the first floor and side elevations will be replaced with full-size, 1-over-1 windows in Condition 6. Staff was initially concerned about the rear roof form, but given the new design	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no window change. The conditions are written to reflect that the side elevations will have full-size, 1-over-1 windows, especially on the left upper elevation and the right side upper elevation there is a single picture window, these would be conditioned to be full-size, 1-over-1 windows. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Hearing no more questions is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good afternoon. David Shacter, TerraWise Homes. Address is 1334 Walnut Street, Jacksonville, 32206.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size, scale, and architectural features. Since the publishing of our report, the applicant has submitted revised plans. If you refer to the hard copy in front of you, you will see these new plans depict that the rear, first floor roof is now a gable with an extended eave, compared to the intersecting gable and hipped porch roof that it was prior; and that the bead board has been readjusted to the middle of the second floor, after staff had requested that it be moved to the top or bottom of the second floor. Although staff is supportive of the applicant's scope of work, we do have concerns about the picture windows on the side elevations and have conditioned the application so that the picture windows on the first floor and side elevations will be replaced with full-size, 1-over-1 windows in Condition 6. Staff was initially concerned about the rear roof form, but given the new design submitted, staff is agreeable to the new rear	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no window change. The conditions are written to reflect that the side elevations will have full-size, 1-over-1 windows, especially on the left upper elevation and the right side upper elevation there is a single picture window, these would be conditioned to be full-size, 1-over-1 windows. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Hearing no more questions is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good afternoon. David Shacter, TerraWise Homes. Address is 1334 Walnut Street, Jacksonville, 32206. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size, scale, and architectural features. Since the publishing of our report, the applicant has submitted revised plans. If you refer to the hard copy in front of you, you will see these new plans depict that the rear, first floor roof is now a gable with an extended eave, compared to the intersecting gable and hipped porch roof that it was prior; and that the bead board has been readjusted to the middle of the second floor, after staff had requested that it be moved to the top or bottom of the second floor. Although staff is supportive of the applicant's scope of work, we do have concerns about the picture windows on the side elevations and have conditioned the application so that the picture windows in Condition 6. Staff was initially concerned about the rear roof form, but given the new design submitted, staff is agreeable to the new rear roof design and recommends updating Condition 3 Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no window change. The conditions are written to reflect that the side elevations will have full-size, 1-over-1 windows, especially on the left upper elevation and the right side upper elevation there is a single picture window, these would be conditioned to be full-size, 1-over-1 windows. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Hearing no more questions is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good afternoon. David Shacter, TerraWise Homes. Address is 1334 Walnut Street, Jacksonville, 32206. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	differentiated but compatible with nearby historic structures in regard to massing, size, scale, and architectural features. Since the publishing of our report, the applicant has submitted revised plans. If you refer to the hard copy in front of you, you will see these new plans depict that the rear, first floor roof is now a gable with an extended eave, compared to the intersecting gable and hipped porch roof that it was prior; and that the bead board has been readjusted to the middle of the second floor, after staff had requested that it be moved to the top or bottom of the second floor. Although staff is supportive of the applicant's scope of work, we do have concerns about the picture windows on the side elevations and have conditioned the application so that the picture windows on the first floor and side elevations will be replaced with full-size, 1-over-1 windows in Condition 6. Staff was initially concerned about the rear roof form, but given the new design submitted, staff is agreeable to the new rear roof design and recommends updating Condition 3	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. ARSENAULT: Between the new design and the elevations in the packet, there was no window change. The conditions are written to reflect that the side elevations will have full-size, 1-over-1 windows, especially on the left upper elevation and the right side upper elevation there is a single picture window, these would be conditioned to be full-size, 1-over-1 windows. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Hearing no more questions is the applicant here? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward. (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good afternoon. David Shacter, TerraWise Homes. Address is 1334 Walnut Street, Jacksonville, 32206. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please.

Histor	ic Preservation Commission	1	Uncertified Condensed Copy
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	21 MR. SHACTER: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. SHACTER: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. SHACTER: Thank you. I provided a thumb drive to Arimus. I don't know if we can pull those up. The so, you know, all the conditions on here are fine. The you talked about Number 3, which was the rear roof, which we redesigned. Number 6, we did want to talk about the picture windows and the transition between the siding from one siding to the other. Just like to talk shortly about the you're referring to it as "bead board." It's it was designed as a 6-inch lap on the lower part of the house and 4-inch lap on the upper part, not not bead board, and so the it's can I manipulate from here? MR. WELLS: No. MR. SHACTER: Okay. So if you'll just Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300 MadamCourtReporter.com	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	transition higher than that, and the drawings that we gave you didn't have that. I think we had already moved it down, but the customer or this this project is for a customer, and the both the customers buying the house would like to have that those small window well, they really are more concerned about the transition. They really want that transition higher up, not in the middle. If you go to the next photograph, please. This is everybody knows this building. There's that that skirt or that high transition very high up. That's the Klutho Apartments. Next one, please. This is just the other side. Also, some small windows up there, not too many, but Next one. This is the actual Klutho house. Go to the next picture. And there's, again it's quite common to have that transition of materials higher than the middle of the floor. If we can go to the next file, Arimus. This one I wanted to show you some of Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300 MadamCourtReporter.com
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	22 choose the you can go in the from the top down if you'd like. What I'm showing you here, this is historic first, I've a couple of pictures of some historic homes. This one is on West 6th Street. And just show some If you look at the front and the right side, you'll see some small fixed-glass windows. Just driving around I only drove around one quadrant. I didn't do the whole Springfield. This is right across the street with a a lot of the small 2-by-2 windows on the front. This one I wanted to show both of these houses are on the corner of Perry and West 7th, and you'll see the transition line, which is higher than the than the floor level between the first and second floor. This is quite common in Springfield. You'll see the and this is just almost exactly I don't know if this is shingles or I think these are shingles in that in that upper part, but we wanted to have the We originally have the design for that Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300 MadamCourtReporter.com	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	24 these. This is this group of slides are going to show you houses that are not historic, not contributing houses. This is an old SRG house that was built probably 15 years ago. This is the left side, and you'll see the small windows that are fixed glass on the left side. And the next picture will be the right side. And if you look down the side, you'll see a group of five or six windows on that right side that are the small windows. Most of the time by the way, you don't really see these windows going by because the houses are so close, but they are there. This is so the next pictures I'm going to show you, these we've built this same floor plan I should say similar floor plan. Everybody wants it a little bit different. We built it three other times over the last five years. This is one if you ignore the fact that there's a garage attached to it, this is the same floor plan. You'll see the transition right where we're asking to have it with the 6-inch lap below and the 4-inch lap above. Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300 MadamCourtReporter.com

-	f Jacksonville ic Preservation Commission		Uncertified Condensed Copy
THOLOI	25		27
1	And this is one that we built on West 5th	1	So this this is the latest elevation,
2	Street that was, you know, previously approved	2	which matches what we just talked about.
3	this way by HPC.	3	And the next one is the side elevations.
4	The next picture, please.	4	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
5	And this is that same house	5	MR. SHACTER: And I'm available for any
6	(Timer notification.)	6	questions if you like.
7	MR. SHACTER: showing those windows	7	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair,
8	down the left side there.	8	just a clarification. You are requesting that
9	Am I okay to keep going?	9	we remove the transition from the 4-inch lap
10	THE CHAIRMAN: (Nods head.)	10	siding to 6-inch lap siding up from the
11	MR. SHACTER: This is okay.	11	drawings that are in our packet here and and
12	This is another of the same. This one is	12	to reflect what's here?
13	on Ionia Street in the 1300 block. And this is	13	MR. SHACTER: Yes.
14	the left side, and there's the front, and then	14	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: You're requesting
15	that's the right side showing those windows.	15	that?
		16	MR. SHACTER: Yes.
16	This one didn't have the transition and		
17	siding for some reason when we had the same	17	Arimus, can you go to the side elevations
18	siding all the way up.	18	again?
19	This one also shows that vent in the top	19	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: No, I just want to
20	of the gable on the front, which, on my latest	20	make sure that's what you're requesting.
21	drawing, will show that vent in the very top at	21	MR. SHACTER: Yes, sir. Yes, sir, that,
22	the peek of the front gable.	22	and to keep the little windows.
23	Next photograph. And this is the last	23	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And keep the
24	one.	24	which windows specifically?
25	This one is shows, again, the	25	MR. SHACTER: Yeah, so if you look at this
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
			•
	26		28
1	26 transition strip painted an accent color on	1	28 right side
1	transition strip, painted an accent color on	1	right side
2	transition strip, painted an accent color on this one, but that's 4-inch lap siding over	2	right side COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Those ones right
2 3	transition strip, painted an accent color on this one, but that's 4-inch lap siding over 6-inch lap siding. And on the right side	2 3	right side COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Those ones right there?
2 3 4	transition strip, painted an accent color on this one, but that's 4-inch lap siding over 6-inch lap siding. And on the right side you'll see those windows.	2 3 4	right side COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Those ones right there? MR. SHACTER: Yes.
2 3 4 5	transition strip, painted an accent color on this one, but that's 4-inch lap siding over 6-inch lap siding. And on the right side you'll see those windows. Again, all of these were previously	2 3 4 5	right side COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Those ones right there? MR. SHACTER: Yes. If you look at the left side if you
2 3 4 5 6	transition strip, painted an accent color on this one, but that's 4-inch lap siding over 6-inch lap siding. And on the right side you'll see those windows. Again, all of these were previously approved through HPC.	2 3 4 5 6	right side COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Those ones right there? MR. SHACTER: Yes. If you look at the left side if you look at that side go go to that back
2 3 4 5 6 7	transition strip, painted an accent color on this one, but that's 4-inch lap siding over 6-inch lap siding. And on the right side you'll see those windows. Again, all of these were previously approved through HPC. Next.	2 3 4 5 6 7	right side COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Those ones right there? MR. SHACTER: Yes. If you look at the left side if you look at that side go go to that back porch, Arimus, on the first floor to the left
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	transition strip, painted an accent color on this one, but that's 4-inch lap siding over 6-inch lap siding. And on the right side you'll see those windows. Again, all of these were previously approved through HPC. Next. This I don't know that you need to show	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	right side COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Those ones right there? MR. SHACTER: Yes. If you look at the left side if you look at that side go go to that back porch, Arimus, on the first floor to the left on that same elevation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	transition strip, painted an accent color on this one, but that's 4-inch lap siding over 6-inch lap siding. And on the right side you'll see those windows. Again, all of these were previously approved through HPC. Next. This I don't know that you need to show these necessarily, but this is just some of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	right side COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Those ones right there? MR. SHACTER: Yes. If you look at the left side if you look at that side go go to that back porch, Arimus, on the first floor to the left on that same elevation. You see those three small windows under
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	transition strip, painted an accent color on this one, but that's 4-inch lap siding over 6-inch lap siding. And on the right side you'll see those windows. Again, all of these were previously approved through HPC. Next. This I don't know that you need to show these necessarily, but this is just some of the changes that the 3D rendering, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	right side COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Those ones right there? MR. SHACTER: Yes. If you look at the left side if you look at that side go go to that back porch, Arimus, on the first floor to the left on that same elevation. You see those three small windows under the right there, those three small windows.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	transition strip, painted an accent color on this one, but that's 4-inch lap siding over 6-inch lap siding. And on the right side you'll see those windows. Again, all of these were previously approved through HPC. Next. This I don't know that you need to show these necessarily, but this is just some of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	right side COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Those ones right there? MR. SHACTER: Yes. If you look at the left side if you look at that side go go to that back porch, Arimus, on the first floor to the left on that same elevation. You see those three small windows under the right there, those three small windows. Those are some of the ones I think that had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	transition strip, painted an accent color on this one, but that's 4-inch lap siding over 6-inch lap siding. And on the right side you'll see those windows. Again, all of these were previously approved through HPC. Next. This I don't know that you need to show these necessarily, but this is just some of the changes that the 3D rendering, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	right side COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Those ones right there? MR. SHACTER: Yes. If you look at the left side if you look at that side go go to that back porch, Arimus, on the first floor to the left on that same elevation. You see those three small windows under the right there, those three small windows.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	transition strip, painted an accent color on this one, but that's 4-inch lap siding over 6-inch lap siding. And on the right side you'll see those windows. Again, all of these were previously approved through HPC. Next. This I don't know that you need to show these necessarily, but this is just some of the changes that the 3D rendering, please. All right. So this this was done by my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	right side COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Those ones right there? MR. SHACTER: Yes. If you look at the left side if you look at that side go go to that back porch, Arimus, on the first floor to the left on that same elevation. You see those three small windows under the right there, those three small windows. Those are some of the ones I think that had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	transition strip, painted an accent color on this one, but that's 4-inch lap siding over 6-inch lap siding. And on the right side you'll see those windows. Again, all of these were previously approved through HPC. Next. This I don't know that you need to show these necessarily, but this is just some of the changes that the 3D rendering, please. All right. So this this was done by my customers, actually. They took our rendering	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	right side COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Those ones right there? MR. SHACTER: Yes. If you look at the left side if you look at that side go go to that back porch, Arimus, on the first floor to the left on that same elevation. You see those three small windows under the right there, those three small windows. Those are some of the ones I think that had been asked to (inaudible), but those are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	transition strip, painted an accent color on this one, but that's 4-inch lap siding over 6-inch lap siding. And on the right side you'll see those windows. Again, all of these were previously approved through HPC. Next. This I don't know that you need to show these necessarily, but this is just some of the changes that the 3D rendering, please. All right. So this this was done by my customers, actually. They took our rendering and put the colors they want. And so if if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	right side COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Those ones right there? MR. SHACTER: Yes. If you look at the left side if you look at that side go go to that back porch, Arimus, on the first floor to the left on that same elevation. You see those three small windows under the right there, those three small windows. Those are some of the ones I think that had been asked to (inaudible), but those are completely hidden on a back porch, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	transition strip, painted an accent color on this one, but that's 4-inch lap siding over 6-inch lap siding. And on the right side you'll see those windows. Again, all of these were previously approved through HPC. Next. This I don't know that you need to show these necessarily, but this is just some of the changes that the 3D rendering, please. All right. So this this was done by my customers, actually. They took our rendering and put the colors they want. And so if if you ignore their little side porch on the right	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	right side COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Those ones right there? MR. SHACTER: Yes. If you look at the left side if you look at that side go go to that back porch, Arimus, on the first floor to the left on that same elevation. You see those three small windows under the right there, those three small windows. Those are some of the ones I think that had been asked to (inaudible), but those are completely hidden on a back porch, and Then on the next elevation down, on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	transition strip, painted an accent color on this one, but that's 4-inch lap siding over 6-inch lap siding. And on the right side you'll see those windows. Again, all of these were previously approved through HPC. Next. This I don't know that you need to show these necessarily, but this is just some of the changes that the 3D rendering, please. All right. So this this was done by my customers, actually. They took our rendering and put the colors they want. And so if if you ignore their little side porch on the right side, which is not going to be there, this is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	right side COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Those ones right there? MR. SHACTER: Yes. If you look at the left side if you look at that side go go to that back porch, Arimus, on the first floor to the left on that same elevation. You see those three small windows under the right there, those three small windows. Those are some of the ones I think that had been asked to (inaudible), but those are completely hidden on a back porch, and Then on the next elevation down, on the on the back, on the very right side, those two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	transition strip, painted an accent color on this one, but that's 4-inch lap siding over 6-inch lap siding. And on the right side you'll see those windows. Again, all of these were previously approved through HPC. Next. This I don't know that you need to show these necessarily, but this is just some of the changes that the 3D rendering, please. All right. So this this was done by my customers, actually. They took our rendering and put the colors they want. And so if if you ignore their little side porch on the right side, which is not going to be there, this is what the house would look like in three dimensions, with the 4-inch siding over the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	right side COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Those ones right there? MR. SHACTER: Yes. If you look at the left side if you look at that side go go to that back porch, Arimus, on the first floor to the left on that same elevation. You see those three small windows under the right there, those three small windows. Those are some of the ones I think that had been asked to (inaudible), but those are completely hidden on a back porch, and Then on the next elevation down, on the on the back, on the very right side, those two windows were small windows, but the customer actually asked us to make those full-size.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	transition strip, painted an accent color on this one, but that's 4-inch lap siding over 6-inch lap siding. And on the right side you'll see those windows. Again, all of these were previously approved through HPC. Next. This I don't know that you need to show these necessarily, but this is just some of the changes that the 3D rendering, please. All right. So this this was done by my customers, actually. They took our rendering and put the colors they want. And so if if you ignore their little side porch on the right side, which is not going to be there, this is what the house would look like in three dimensions, with the 4-inch siding over the 6-inch siding with the windows on the side and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	right side COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Those ones right there? MR. SHACTER: Yes. If you look at the left side if you look at that side go go to that back porch, Arimus, on the first floor to the left on that same elevation. You see those three small windows under the right there, those three small windows. Those are some of the ones I think that had been asked to (inaudible), but those are completely hidden on a back porch, and Then on the next elevation down, on the on the back, on the very right side, those two windows were small windows, but the customer actually asked us to make those full-size. Those were originally another three small
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	transition strip, painted an accent color on this one, but that's 4-inch lap siding over 6-inch lap siding. And on the right side you'll see those windows. Again, all of these were previously approved through HPC. Next. This I don't know that you need to show these necessarily, but this is just some of the changes that the 3D rendering, please. All right. So this this was done by my customers, actually. They took our rendering and put the colors they want. And so if if you ignore their little side porch on the right side, which is not going to be there, this is what the house would look like in three dimensions, with the 4-inch siding over the 6-inch siding with the windows on the side and the band board.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	right side COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Those ones right there? MR. SHACTER: Yes. If you look at the left side if you look at that side go go to that back porch, Arimus, on the first floor to the left on that same elevation. You see those three small windows under the right there, those three small windows. Those are some of the ones I think that had been asked to (inaudible), but those are completely hidden on a back porch, and Then on the next elevation down, on the on the back, on the very right side, those two windows were small windows, but the customer actually asked us to make those full-size. Those were originally another three small windows.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	transition strip, painted an accent color on this one, but that's 4-inch lap siding over 6-inch lap siding. And on the right side you'll see those windows. Again, all of these were previously approved through HPC. Next. This I don't know that you need to show these necessarily, but this is just some of the changes that the 3D rendering, please. All right. So this this was done by my customers, actually. They took our rendering and put the colors they want. And so if if you ignore their little side porch on the right side, which is not going to be there, this is what the house would look like in three dimensions, with the 4-inch siding over the 6-inch siding with the windows on the side and the band board. Thank you, Arimus.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	right side COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Those ones right there? MR. SHACTER: Yes. If you look at the left side if you look at that side go go to that back porch, Arimus, on the first floor to the left on that same elevation. You see those three small windows under the right there, those three small windows. Those are some of the ones I think that had been asked to (inaudible), but those are completely hidden on a back porch, and Then on the next elevation down, on the on the back, on the very right side, those two windows were small windows, but the customer actually asked us to make those full-size. Those were originally another three small windows. But this is what we're asking for. This
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	transition strip, painted an accent color on this one, but that's 4-inch lap siding over 6-inch lap siding. And on the right side you'll see those windows. Again, all of these were previously approved through HPC. Next. This I don't know that you need to show these necessarily, but this is just some of the changes that the 3D rendering, please. All right. So this this was done by my customers, actually. They took our rendering and put the colors they want. And so if if you ignore their little side porch on the right side, which is not going to be there, this is what the house would look like in three dimensions, with the 4-inch siding over the 6-inch siding with the windows on the side and the band board. Thank you, Arimus. Next one.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	right side COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Those ones right there? MR. SHACTER: Yes. If you look at the left side if you look at that side go go to that back porch, Arimus, on the first floor to the left on that same elevation. You see those three small windows under the right there, those three small windows. Those are some of the ones I think that had been asked to (inaudible), but those are completely hidden on a back porch, and Then on the next elevation down, on the on the back, on the very right side, those two windows were small windows, but the customer actually asked us to make those full-size. Those were originally another three small windows. But this is what we're asking for. This is the revised drawings that we were asking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	transition strip, painted an accent color on this one, but that's 4-inch lap siding over 6-inch lap siding. And on the right side you'll see those windows. Again, all of these were previously approved through HPC. Next. This I don't know that you need to show these necessarily, but this is just some of the changes that the 3D rendering, please. All right. So this this was done by my customers, actually. They took our rendering and put the colors they want. And so if if you ignore their little side porch on the right side, which is not going to be there, this is what the house would look like in three dimensions, with the 4-inch siding over the 6-inch siding with the windows on the side and the band board. Thank you, Arimus. Next one. This, again you don't necessarily need	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	right side COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Those ones right there? MR. SHACTER: Yes. If you look at the left side if you look at that side go go to that back porch, Arimus, on the first floor to the left on that same elevation. You see those three small windows under the right there, those three small windows. Those are some of the ones I think that had been asked to (inaudible), but those are completely hidden on a back porch, and Then on the next elevation down, on the on the back, on the very right side, those two windows were small windows, but the customer actually asked us to make those full-size. Those were originally another three small windows. But this is what we're asking for. This is the revised drawings that we were asking for.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	transition strip, painted an accent color on this one, but that's 4-inch lap siding over 6-inch lap siding. And on the right side you'll see those windows. Again, all of these were previously approved through HPC. Next. This I don't know that you need to show these necessarily, but this is just some of the changes that the 3D rendering, please. All right. So this this was done by my customers, actually. They took our rendering and put the colors they want. And so if if you ignore their little side porch on the right side, which is not going to be there, this is what the house would look like in three dimensions, with the 4-inch siding over the 6-inch siding with the windows on the side and the band board. Thank you, Arimus. Next one. This, again you don't necessarily need to see these unless you want to.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	right side COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Those ones right there? MR. SHACTER: Yes. If you look at the left side if you look at that side go go to that back porch, Arimus, on the first floor to the left on that same elevation. You see those three small windows under the right there, those three small windows. Those are some of the ones I think that had been asked to (inaudible), but those are completely hidden on a back porch, and Then on the next elevation down, on the on the back, on the very right side, those two windows were small windows, but the customer actually asked us to make those full-size. Those were originally another three small windows. But this is what we're asking for. This is the revised drawings that we were asking for. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Thanks for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	transition strip, painted an accent color on this one, but that's 4-inch lap siding over 6-inch lap siding. And on the right side you'll see those windows. Again, all of these were previously approved through HPC. Next. This I don't know that you need to show these necessarily, but this is just some of the changes that the 3D rendering, please. All right. So this this was done by my customers, actually. They took our rendering and put the colors they want. And so if if you ignore their little side porch on the right side, which is not going to be there, this is what the house would look like in three dimensions, with the 4-inch siding over the 6-inch siding with the windows on the side and the band board. Thank you, Arimus. Next one. This, again you don't necessarily need to see these unless you want to. Go ahead and this one. I want to make	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	right side COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Those ones right there? MR. SHACTER: Yes. If you look at the left side if you look at that side go go to that back porch, Arimus, on the first floor to the left on that same elevation. You see those three small windows under the right there, those three small windows. Those are some of the ones I think that had been asked to (inaudible), but those are completely hidden on a back porch, and Then on the next elevation down, on the on the back, on the very right side, those two windows were small windows, but the customer actually asked us to make those full-size. Those were originally another three small windows. But this is what we're asking for. This is the revised drawings that we were asking for. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Thanks for clarifying. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	transition strip, painted an accent color on this one, but that's 4-inch lap siding over 6-inch lap siding. And on the right side you'll see those windows. Again, all of these were previously approved through HPC. Next. This I don't know that you need to show these necessarily, but this is just some of the changes that the 3D rendering, please. All right. So this this was done by my customers, actually. They took our rendering and put the colors they want. And so if if you ignore their little side porch on the right side, which is not going to be there, this is what the house would look like in three dimensions, with the 4-inch siding over the 6-inch siding with the windows on the side and the band board. Thank you, Arimus. Next one. This, again you don't necessarily need to see these unless you want to. Go ahead and this one. I want to make sure we have the right photographs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	right side COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Those ones right there? MR. SHACTER: Yes. If you look at the left side if you look at that side go go to that back porch, Arimus, on the first floor to the left on that same elevation. You see those three small windows under the right there, those three small windows. Those are some of the ones I think that had been asked to (inaudible), but those are completely hidden on a back porch, and Then on the next elevation down, on the on the back, on the very right side, those two windows were small windows, but the customer actually asked us to make those full-size. Those were originally another three small windows. But this is what we're asking for. This is the revised drawings that we were asking for. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Thanks for clarifying. Thank you. MR. SHACTER: Uh-huh. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	transition strip, painted an accent color on this one, but that's 4-inch lap siding over 6-inch lap siding. And on the right side you'll see those windows. Again, all of these were previously approved through HPC. Next. This I don't know that you need to show these necessarily, but this is just some of the changes that the 3D rendering, please. All right. So this this was done by my customers, actually. They took our rendering and put the colors they want. And so if if you ignore their little side porch on the right side, which is not going to be there, this is what the house would look like in three dimensions, with the 4-inch siding over the 6-inch siding with the windows on the side and the band board. Thank you, Arimus. Next one. This, again you don't necessarily need to see these unless you want to. Go ahead and this one. I want to make sure we have the right photographs. Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	right side COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Those ones right there? MR. SHACTER: Yes. If you look at the left side if you look at that side go go to that back porch, Arimus, on the first floor to the left on that same elevation. You see those three small windows under the right there, those three small windows. Those are some of the ones I think that had been asked to (inaudible), but those are completely hidden on a back porch, and Then on the next elevation down, on the on the back, on the very right side, those two windows were small windows, but the customer actually asked us to make those full-size. Those were originally another three small windows. But this is what we're asking for. This is the revised drawings that we were asking for. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Thanks for clarifying. Thank you. MR. SHACTER: Uh-huh. Thank you. Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	transition strip, painted an accent color on this one, but that's 4-inch lap siding over 6-inch lap siding. And on the right side you'll see those windows. Again, all of these were previously approved through HPC. Next. This I don't know that you need to show these necessarily, but this is just some of the changes that the 3D rendering, please. All right. So this this was done by my customers, actually. They took our rendering and put the colors they want. And so if if you ignore their little side porch on the right side, which is not going to be there, this is what the house would look like in three dimensions, with the 4-inch siding over the 6-inch siding with the windows on the side and the band board. Thank you, Arimus. Next one. This, again you don't necessarily need to see these unless you want to. Go ahead and this one. I want to make sure we have the right photographs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	right side COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Those ones right there? MR. SHACTER: Yes. If you look at the left side if you look at that side go go to that back porch, Arimus, on the first floor to the left on that same elevation. You see those three small windows under the right there, those three small windows. Those are some of the ones I think that had been asked to (inaudible), but those are completely hidden on a back porch, and Then on the next elevation down, on the on the back, on the very right side, those two windows were small windows, but the customer actually asked us to make those full-size. Those were originally another three small windows. But this is what we're asking for. This is the revised drawings that we were asking for. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Thanks for clarifying. Thank you. MR. SHACTER: Uh-huh. Thank you.

	29		31
1	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	1	is separating the two different sizes of
	Any more questions for the applicant?	2	siding?
2			-
3	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)	3	I'm sorry, I'm I'm here mentally,
4	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. If we need you	4	and
5	to come back up, we'll	5	THE CHAIRMAN: Just to clarify,
6	MR. SHACTER: Thank you.	6	Commissioner Hoff. When looking at the left
7	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here	7	side elevation
8	today to speak to this application?	8	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Yes, sir.
9	AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)	9	THE CHAIRMAN: you're saying that
10	THE CHAIRMAN: No? I'll close the public	10	the the two windows on the far right, you're
11	hearing, and I'll entertain a motion.	11	recommending that those become 1-over-1 windows
12	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Motion to approve	12	and then the remaining three square picture
13	COA-24-31360 at 236 5th Street East with the	13	
			windows stay as is?
14	conditions from staff, and we're adding the	14	COMMISSIONER HOFF: That would be my
15	condition that the transition of the from	15	suggestion to address the to the address
16	the 4-inch to the 6-inch siding be raised per	16	what I think staff perceived and which I agree
17	the sketches that he's provided, as well as the	17	with that now.
18	picture windows on the left-side elevation	18	But there's just a lot of visible square
19	being left as per the drawings he provided.	19	windows on this house which are typically the
20	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second.	20	exception, so I think they're fine as a
21	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion?	21	complement, but I would like to see the two far
22	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Through the Chair to	22	right second-story windows on the left
23	the Commission, so I actually flagged this one	23	elevation converted to full.
24	as well.	24	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Looking at the
25	So there are 13 square windows on this	25	floor plan here, from the first floor to the
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
	30		32
1	house, including kind of the most visible ones	1	second floor, it looks like those windows are
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
2	on the left elevation.	2	going to be directly where the stairway is,
	on the left elevation. While I don't mind square windows as a	2 3	going to be directly where the stairway is, allowing light into the stairway.
2	on the left elevation.		
2 3	on the left elevation. While I don't mind square windows as a portion, they are the only windows on the	3	allowing light into the stairway. And looking at the floor plan here I
2 3 4 5	on the left elevation. While I don't mind square windows as a portion, they are the only windows on the rear and they are the most visible on the	3 4 5	allowing light into the stairway. And looking at the floor plan here I guess it's on Page 247 it looks like that
2 3 4 5 6	on the left elevation. While I don't mind square windows as a portion, they are the only windows on the rear and they are the most visible on the left, so	3 4 5 6	allowing light into the stairway. And looking at the floor plan here I guess it's on Page 247 it looks like that light that comes in from those windows is going
2 3 4 5 6 7	on the left elevation. While I don't mind square windows as a portion, they are the only windows on the rear and they are the most visible on the left, so And I totally understand the applicant's	3 4 5 6 7	allowing light into the stairway. And looking at the floor plan here I guess it's on Page 247 it looks like that light that comes in from those windows is going to hit just the stairwell. It doesn't open up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	on the left elevation. While I don't mind square windows as a portion, they are the only windows on the rear and they are the most visible on the left, so And I totally understand the applicant's point of view that this is being built for	3 4 5 6 7 8	allowing light into the stairway. And looking at the floor plan here I guess it's on Page 247 it looks like that light that comes in from those windows is going to hit just the stairwell. It doesn't open up to the living room down below because bedroom 3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	on the left elevation. While I don't mind square windows as a portion, they are the only windows on the rear and they are the most visible on the left, so And I totally understand the applicant's point of view that this is being built for someone. However, I do think that it would fit	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	allowing light into the stairway. And looking at the floor plan here I guess it's on Page 247 it looks like that light that comes in from those windows is going to hit just the stairwell. It doesn't open up to the living room down below because bedroom 3 is above there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	on the left elevation. While I don't mind square windows as a portion, they are the only windows on the rear and they are the most visible on the left, so And I totally understand the applicant's point of view that this is being built for someone. However, I do think that it would fit in more with the with the character of the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	allowing light into the stairway. And looking at the floor plan here I guess it's on Page 247 it looks like that light that comes in from those windows is going to hit just the stairwell. It doesn't open up to the living room down below because bedroom 3 is above there. So it provides light as a nice
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	on the left elevation. While I don't mind square windows as a portion, they are the only windows on the rear and they are the most visible on the left, so And I totally understand the applicant's point of view that this is being built for someone. However, I do think that it would fit in more with the with the character of the neighborhood if some portion of the most	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	allowing light into the stairway. And looking at the floor plan here I guess it's on Page 247 it looks like that light that comes in from those windows is going to hit just the stairwell. It doesn't open up to the living room down below because bedroom 3 is above there. So it provides light as a nice architectural feature on the outside, I think.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	on the left elevation. While I don't mind square windows as a portion, they are the only windows on the rear and they are the most visible on the left, so And I totally understand the applicant's point of view that this is being built for someone. However, I do think that it would fit in more with the with the character of the neighborhood if some portion of the most visible square windows on the left second story	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	allowing light into the stairway. And looking at the floor plan here I guess it's on Page 247 it looks like that light that comes in from those windows is going to hit just the stairwell. It doesn't open up to the living room down below because bedroom 3 is above there. So it provides light as a nice architectural feature on the outside, I think. I'm okay with the ones there. I think I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	on the left elevation. While I don't mind square windows as a portion, they are the only windows on the rear and they are the most visible on the left, so And I totally understand the applicant's point of view that this is being built for someone. However, I do think that it would fit in more with the with the character of the neighborhood if some portion of the most visible square windows on the left second story were converted to a more traditional vertically	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	allowing light into the stairway. And looking at the floor plan here I guess it's on Page 247 it looks like that light that comes in from those windows is going to hit just the stairwell. It doesn't open up to the living room down below because bedroom 3 is above there. So it provides light as a nice architectural feature on the outside, I think. I'm okay with the ones there. I think I could be left with the regular pictures windows
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	on the left elevation. While I don't mind square windows as a portion, they are the only windows on the rear and they are the most visible on the left, so And I totally understand the applicant's point of view that this is being built for someone. However, I do think that it would fit in more with the with the character of the neighborhood if some portion of the most visible square windows on the left second story were converted to a more traditional vertically orientated window such as a 1-over-1.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	allowing light into the stairway. And looking at the floor plan here I guess it's on Page 247 it looks like that light that comes in from those windows is going to hit just the stairwell. It doesn't open up to the living room down below because bedroom 3 is above there. So it provides light as a nice architectural feature on the outside, I think. I'm okay with the ones there. I think I could be left with the regular pictures windows myself.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	on the left elevation. While I don't mind square windows as a portion, they are the only windows on the rear and they are the most visible on the left, so And I totally understand the applicant's point of view that this is being built for someone. However, I do think that it would fit in more with the with the character of the neighborhood if some portion of the most visible square windows on the left second story were converted to a more traditional vertically orientated window such as a 1-over-1. So with that in mind, I would just like to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	allowing light into the stairway. And looking at the floor plan here I guess it's on Page 247 it looks like that light that comes in from those windows is going to hit just the stairwell. It doesn't open up to the living room down below because bedroom 3 is above there. So it provides light as a nice architectural feature on the outside, I think. I'm okay with the ones there. I think I could be left with the regular pictures windows myself. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, could you repeat
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	on the left elevation. While I don't mind square windows as a portion, they are the only windows on the rear and they are the most visible on the left, so And I totally understand the applicant's point of view that this is being built for someone. However, I do think that it would fit in more with the with the character of the neighborhood if some portion of the most visible square windows on the left second story were converted to a more traditional vertically orientated window such as a 1-over-1.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	allowing light into the stairway. And looking at the floor plan here I guess it's on Page 247 it looks like that light that comes in from those windows is going to hit just the stairwell. It doesn't open up to the living room down below because bedroom 3 is above there. So it provides light as a nice architectural feature on the outside, I think. I'm okay with the ones there. I think I could be left with the regular pictures windows myself.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	on the left elevation. While I don't mind square windows as a portion, they are the only windows on the rear and they are the most visible on the left, so And I totally understand the applicant's point of view that this is being built for someone. However, I do think that it would fit in more with the with the character of the neighborhood if some portion of the most visible square windows on the left second story were converted to a more traditional vertically orientated window such as a 1-over-1. So with that in mind, I would just like to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	allowing light into the stairway. And looking at the floor plan here I guess it's on Page 247 it looks like that light that comes in from those windows is going to hit just the stairwell. It doesn't open up to the living room down below because bedroom 3 is above there. So it provides light as a nice architectural feature on the outside, I think. I'm okay with the ones there. I think I could be left with the regular pictures windows myself. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, could you repeat
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	on the left elevation. While I don't mind square windows as a portion, they are the only windows on the rear and they are the most visible on the left, so And I totally understand the applicant's point of view that this is being built for someone. However, I do think that it would fit in more with the with the character of the neighborhood if some portion of the most visible square windows on the left second story were converted to a more traditional vertically orientated window such as a 1-over-1. So with that in mind, I would just like to suggest that the two that on the left	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	allowing light into the stairway. And looking at the floor plan here I guess it's on Page 247 it looks like that light that comes in from those windows is going to hit just the stairwell. It doesn't open up to the living room down below because bedroom 3 is above there. So it provides light as a nice architectural feature on the outside, I think. I'm okay with the ones there. I think I could be left with the regular pictures windows myself. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, could you repeat that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	on the left elevation. While I don't mind square windows as a portion, they are the only windows on the rear and they are the most visible on the left, so And I totally understand the applicant's point of view that this is being built for someone. However, I do think that it would fit in more with the with the character of the neighborhood if some portion of the most visible square windows on the left second story were converted to a more traditional vertically orientated window such as a 1-over-1. So with that in mind, I would just like to suggest that the two that on the left elevation, the two windows on the second story closest to the front be converted to the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	allowing light into the stairway. And looking at the floor plan here I guess it's on Page 247 it looks like that light that comes in from those windows is going to hit just the stairwell. It doesn't open up to the living room down below because bedroom 3 is above there. So it provides light as a nice architectural feature on the outside, I think. I'm okay with the ones there. I think I could be left with the regular pictures windows myself. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, could you repeat that? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The 1-over-1s are fine if that's the way staff recommends. I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	on the left elevation. While I don't mind square windows as a portion, they are the only windows on the rear and they are the most visible on the left, so And I totally understand the applicant's point of view that this is being built for someone. However, I do think that it would fit in more with the with the character of the neighborhood if some portion of the most visible square windows on the left second story were converted to a more traditional vertically orientated window such as a 1-over-1. So with that in mind, I would just like to suggest that the two that on the left elevation, the two windows on the second story closest to the front be converted to the full-size 1-over-1 as they're going to be the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	allowing light into the stairway. And looking at the floor plan here I guess it's on Page 247 it looks like that light that comes in from those windows is going to hit just the stairwell. It doesn't open up to the living room down below because bedroom 3 is above there. So it provides light as a nice architectural feature on the outside, I think. I'm okay with the ones there. I think I could be left with the regular pictures windows myself. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, could you repeat that? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The 1-over-1s are fine if that's the way staff recommends. I'm okay with the architectural look of just the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	on the left elevation. While I don't mind square windows as a portion, they are the only windows on the rear and they are the most visible on the left, so And I totally understand the applicant's point of view that this is being built for someone. However, I do think that it would fit in more with the with the character of the neighborhood if some portion of the most visible square windows on the left second story were converted to a more traditional vertically orientated window such as a 1-over-1. So with that in mind, I would just like to suggest that the two that on the left elevation, the two windows on the second story closest to the front be converted to the full-size 1-over-1 as they're going to be the most visible from the left elevation, and it	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	allowing light into the stairway. And looking at the floor plan here I guess it's on Page 247 it looks like that light that comes in from those windows is going to hit just the stairwell. It doesn't open up to the living room down below because bedroom 3 is above there. So it provides light as a nice architectural feature on the outside, I think. I'm okay with the ones there. I think I could be left with the regular pictures windows myself. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, could you repeat that? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The 1-over-1s are fine if that's the way staff recommends. I'm okay with the architectural look of just the picture windows there across that side. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on the left elevation. While I don't mind square windows as a portion, they are the only windows on the rear and they are the most visible on the left, so And I totally understand the applicant's point of view that this is being built for someone. However, I do think that it would fit in more with the with the character of the neighborhood if some portion of the most visible square windows on the left second story were converted to a more traditional vertically orientated window such as a 1-over-1. So with that in mind, I would just like to suggest that the two that on the left elevation, the two windows on the second story closest to the front be converted to the full-size 1-over-1 as they're going to be the most visible from the left elevation, and it would still give a significant portion of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	allowing light into the stairway. And looking at the floor plan here I guess it's on Page 247 it looks like that light that comes in from those windows is going to hit just the stairwell. It doesn't open up to the living room down below because bedroom 3 is above there. So it provides light as a nice architectural feature on the outside, I think. I'm okay with the ones there. I think I could be left with the regular pictures windows myself. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, could you repeat that? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The 1-over-1s are fine if that's the way staff recommends. I'm okay with the architectural look of just the picture windows there across that side. I think it gives it an interesting design and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on the left elevation. While I don't mind square windows as a portion, they are the only windows on the rear and they are the most visible on the left, so And I totally understand the applicant's point of view that this is being built for someone. However, I do think that it would fit in more with the with the character of the neighborhood if some portion of the most visible square windows on the left second story were converted to a more traditional vertically orientated window such as a 1-over-1. So with that in mind, I would just like to suggest that the two that on the left elevation, the two windows on the second story closest to the front be converted to the full-size 1-over-1 as they're going to be the most visible from the left elevation, and it would still give a significant portion of square windows on the lesser visible parts of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	allowing light into the stairway. And looking at the floor plan here I guess it's on Page 247 it looks like that light that comes in from those windows is going to hit just the stairwell. It doesn't open up to the living room down below because bedroom 3 is above there. So it provides light as a nice architectural feature on the outside, I think. I'm okay with the ones there. I think I could be left with the regular pictures windows myself. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, could you repeat that? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The 1-over-1s are fine if that's the way staff recommends. I'm okay with the architectural look of just the picture windows there across that side. I think it gives it an interesting design and look to it, and it's it's not out of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on the left elevation. While I don't mind square windows as a portion, they are the only windows on the rear and they are the most visible on the left, so And I totally understand the applicant's point of view that this is being built for someone. However, I do think that it would fit in more with the with the character of the neighborhood if some portion of the most visible square windows on the left second story were converted to a more traditional vertically orientated window such as a 1-over-1. So with that in mind, I would just like to suggest that the two that on the left elevation, the two windows on the second story closest to the front be converted to the full-size 1-over-1 as they're going to be the most visible from the left elevation, and it would still give a significant portion of square windows on the lesser visible parts of the house, so	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	allowing light into the stairway. And looking at the floor plan here I guess it's on Page 247 it looks like that light that comes in from those windows is going to hit just the stairwell. It doesn't open up to the living room down below because bedroom 3 is above there. So it provides light as a nice architectural feature on the outside, I think. I'm okay with the ones there. I think I could be left with the regular pictures windows myself. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, could you repeat that? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The 1-over-1s are fine if that's the way staff recommends. I'm okay with the architectural look of just the picture windows there across that side. I think it gives it an interesting design and look to it, and it's it's not out of character for the neighborhood either.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	on the left elevation. While I don't mind square windows as a portion, they are the only windows on the rear and they are the most visible on the left, so And I totally understand the applicant's point of view that this is being built for someone. However, I do think that it would fit in more with the with the character of the neighborhood if some portion of the most visible square windows on the left second story were converted to a more traditional vertically orientated window such as a 1-over-1. So with that in mind, I would just like to suggest that the two that on the left elevation, the two windows on the second story closest to the front be converted to the full-size 1-over-1 as they're going to be the most visible from the left elevation, and it would still give a significant portion of square windows on the lesser visible parts of the house, so COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	allowing light into the stairway. And looking at the floor plan here I guess it's on Page 247 it looks like that light that comes in from those windows is going to hit just the stairwell. It doesn't open up to the living room down below because bedroom 3 is above there. So it provides light as a nice architectural feature on the outside, I think. I'm okay with the ones there. I think I could be left with the regular pictures windows myself. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, could you repeat that? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The 1-over-1s are fine if that's the way staff recommends. I'm okay with the architectural look of just the picture windows there across that side. I think it gives it an interesting design and look to it, and it's it's not out of character for the neighborhood either. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on the left elevation. While I don't mind square windows as a portion, they are the only windows on the rear and they are the most visible on the left, so And I totally understand the applicant's point of view that this is being built for someone. However, I do think that it would fit in more with the with the character of the neighborhood if some portion of the most visible square windows on the left second story were converted to a more traditional vertically orientated window such as a 1-over-1. So with that in mind, I would just like to suggest that the two that on the left elevation, the two windows on the second story closest to the front be converted to the full-size 1-over-1 as they're going to be the most visible from the left elevation, and it would still give a significant portion of square windows on the lesser visible parts of the house, so COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, what happens, then, to our moulding piece that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	allowing light into the stairway. And looking at the floor plan here I guess it's on Page 247 it looks like that light that comes in from those windows is going to hit just the stairwell. It doesn't open up to the living room down below because bedroom 3 is above there. So it provides light as a nice architectural feature on the outside, I think. I'm okay with the ones there. I think I could be left with the regular pictures windows myself. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, could you repeat that? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The 1-over-1s are fine if that's the way staff recommends. I'm okay with the architectural look of just the picture windows there across that side. I think it gives it an interesting design and look to it, and it's it's not out of character for the neighborhood either. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, I think that what's been done here with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	on the left elevation. While I don't mind square windows as a portion, they are the only windows on the rear and they are the most visible on the left, so And I totally understand the applicant's point of view that this is being built for someone. However, I do think that it would fit in more with the with the character of the neighborhood if some portion of the most visible square windows on the left second story were converted to a more traditional vertically orientated window such as a 1-over-1. So with that in mind, I would just like to suggest that the two that on the left elevation, the two windows on the second story closest to the front be converted to the full-size 1-over-1 as they're going to be the most visible from the left elevation, and it would still give a significant portion of square windows on the lesser visible parts of the house, so COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, what happens, then, to our moulding piece that Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	allowing light into the stairway. And looking at the floor plan here I guess it's on Page 247 it looks like that light that comes in from those windows is going to hit just the stairwell. It doesn't open up to the living room down below because bedroom 3 is above there. So it provides light as a nice architectural feature on the outside, I think. I'm okay with the ones there. I think I could be left with the regular pictures windows myself. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, could you repeat that? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The 1-over-1s are fine if that's the way staff recommends. I'm okay with the architectural look of just the picture windows there across that side. I think it gives it an interesting design and look to it, and it's it's not out of character for the neighborhood either. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, I think that what's been done here with the Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	on the left elevation. While I don't mind square windows as a portion, they are the only windows on the rear and they are the most visible on the left, so And I totally understand the applicant's point of view that this is being built for someone. However, I do think that it would fit in more with the with the character of the neighborhood if some portion of the most visible square windows on the left second story were converted to a more traditional vertically orientated window such as a 1-over-1. So with that in mind, I would just like to suggest that the two that on the left elevation, the two windows on the second story closest to the front be converted to the full-size 1-over-1 as they're going to be the most visible from the left elevation, and it would still give a significant portion of square windows on the lesser visible parts of the house, so COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, what happens, then, to our moulding piece that Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	allowing light into the stairway. And looking at the floor plan here I guess it's on Page 247 it looks like that light that comes in from those windows is going to hit just the stairwell. It doesn't open up to the living room down below because bedroom 3 is above there. So it provides light as a nice architectural feature on the outside, I think. I'm okay with the ones there. I think I could be left with the regular pictures windows myself. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, could you repeat that? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The 1-over-1s are fine if that's the way staff recommends. I'm okay with the architectural look of just the picture windows there across that side. I think it gives it an interesting design and look to it, and it's it's not out of character for the neighborhood either. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, I think that what's been done here with the Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	on the left elevation. While I don't mind square windows as a portion, they are the only windows on the rear and they are the most visible on the left, so And I totally understand the applicant's point of view that this is being built for someone. However, I do think that it would fit in more with the with the character of the neighborhood if some portion of the most visible square windows on the left second story were converted to a more traditional vertically orientated window such as a 1-over-1. So with that in mind, I would just like to suggest that the two that on the left elevation, the two windows on the second story closest to the front be converted to the full-size 1-over-1 as they're going to be the most visible from the left elevation, and it would still give a significant portion of square windows on the lesser visible parts of the house, so COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, what happens, then, to our moulding piece that Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	allowing light into the stairway. And looking at the floor plan here I guess it's on Page 247 it looks like that light that comes in from those windows is going to hit just the stairwell. It doesn't open up to the living room down below because bedroom 3 is above there. So it provides light as a nice architectural feature on the outside, I think. I'm okay with the ones there. I think I could be left with the regular pictures windows myself. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, could you repeat that? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The 1-over-1s are fine if that's the way staff recommends. I'm okay with the architectural look of just the picture windows there across that side. I think it gives it an interesting design and look to it, and it's it's not out of character for the neighborhood either. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, I think that what's been done here with the Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203

1	ic Preservation Commission	ſ	Uncertified Condensed Copy
1	33		35
	picture windows is a it's functional, as	1	I agree I like that horizontal band look.
2	you're seeing with the light to the stairwell,	2	It gives you a it gives you a horizontal
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		• • • •
3	while still providing some privacy.	3	definition.
4	I think that if the Commission votes to	4	But if you change those two on the on
5	keep those, that they should be consistent. I	5	the right side there, I think you'd want to
6	think they need to be all one or the other,	6	change the one in the front too because you
	•	-	-
7	considering they would be higher than any	7	really need to look at them together.
8	fencing and visible regardless.	8	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair,
9	I have a question for the applicant or	9	I agree with Commissioner Morgan, that all the
		-	
10	maybe just a clarification.	10	windows within the stair area should remain the
11	I'd like to just understand what the	11	same for consistency of the design. And I
12	motivation is for wanting these because I see	12	think you can see here, looking at it
13	they're also in, like, this enclosed porch	13	three-dimension-wise, that that that makes
		-	
14	area. I mean, is there a function thing, a	14	sense as well.
15	preference thing?	15	I don't have an issue with the picture
16	MR. SHACTER: Okay. Yes. So the three	16	windows. I understand there's a lot on here,
17	windows on the covered porch, that is the	17	but this is a new construction in a historic
	• •		
18	the master bedroom, so that's for a privacy	18	district, and we don't always want those to
19	issue to the porch	19	look like they might have been a historic
20	COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Okay.	20	building, so I think this gives it some unique,
21	MR. SHACTER: and the and having a	21	modern character but still is in keeping and
	furniture wall.	22	• •
22			fitting in with the neighborhood.
23	The windows at the top Commissioner	23	MR. SHACTER: Thank you.
24	Gregory, you had noted that's the stairwell.	24	THE CHAIRMAN: I'll throw in my two cents
25	It's very dramatic. It's very dramatic when	25	as well. I think, if anything when I look
_	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
	34		36
1	you come in because you come in the door and	1	at the when I look at the elevation and I
2	you look down the entire you look straight	2	look at the plan, and then you also look at the
	, , ,		
3	up and just see all this string of horizontal	3	roof form of it like, I can understand I
4	windows or a horizontal band of small	4	can understand the placement of the of the
5	windows, and it is really quite dramatic. It	5	a subservery state to the state of the state
		•	square the punch windows, the square
6	starts at the front and goes all the way	_	square the punch windows, the square windows, as Commissioner Epstein has stated.
6	starts at the front and goes all the way	6	windows, as Commissioner Epstein has stated,
7	back the very last window is actually in a	6 7	windows, as Commissioner Epstein has stated, Commissioner Morgan, in regards to the stair.
7 8	back the very last window is actually in a bathroom, but the other four windows are all	6 7 8	windows, as Commissioner Epstein has stated, Commissioner Morgan, in regards to the stair. But I also I also think the applicant
7	back the very last window is actually in a	6 7	windows, as Commissioner Epstein has stated, Commissioner Morgan, in regards to the stair.
7 8	back the very last window is actually in a bathroom, but the other four windows are all within that view when you come in.	6 7 8	windows, as Commissioner Epstein has stated, Commissioner Morgan, in regards to the stair. But I also I also think the applicant
7 8 9 10	back the very last window is actually in a bathroom, but the other four windows are all within that view when you come in. The house actually this is a house	6 7 8 9 10	windows, as Commissioner Epstein has stated, Commissioner Morgan, in regards to the stair. But I also I also think the applicant has done a really good job of showing us how this model home in the neighborhood has been
7 8 9 10 11	back the very last window is actually in a bathroom, but the other four windows are all within that view when you come in. The house actually this is a house we didn't design this house. This was designed	6 7 8 9 10 11	windows, as Commissioner Epstein has stated, Commissioner Morgan, in regards to the stair. But I also I also think the applicant has done a really good job of showing us how this model home in the neighborhood has been approved and built a number of times with
7 8 9 10 11 12	 back the very last window is actually in a bathroom, but the other four windows are all within that view when you come in. The house actually this is a house we didn't design this house. This was designed by Content Modern and came to us like this. So 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	windows, as Commissioner Epstein has stated, Commissioner Morgan, in regards to the stair. But I also I also think the applicant has done a really good job of showing us how this model home in the neighborhood has been approved and built a number of times with the with the square windows, and so that
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 back the very last window is actually in a bathroom, but the other four windows are all within that view when you come in. The house actually this is a house we didn't design this house. This was designed by Content Modern and came to us like this. So the as far as their their concept when 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	windows, as Commissioner Epstein has stated, Commissioner Morgan, in regards to the stair. But I also I also think the applicant has done a really good job of showing us how this model home in the neighborhood has been approved and built a number of times with the with the square windows, and so that I know that each case is individual, but there
7 8 9 10 11 12	 back the very last window is actually in a bathroom, but the other four windows are all within that view when you come in. The house actually this is a house we didn't design this house. This was designed by Content Modern and came to us like this. So the as far as their their concept when they designed it I mean, I like the look. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	windows, as Commissioner Epstein has stated, Commissioner Morgan, in regards to the stair. But I also I also think the applicant has done a really good job of showing us how this model home in the neighborhood has been approved and built a number of times with the with the square windows, and so that I know that each case is individual, but there seems to be a sort of consistent approval of
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 back the very last window is actually in a bathroom, but the other four windows are all within that view when you come in. The house actually this is a house we didn't design this house. This was designed by Content Modern and came to us like this. So the as far as their their concept when 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	windows, as Commissioner Epstein has stated, Commissioner Morgan, in regards to the stair. But I also I also think the applicant has done a really good job of showing us how this model home in the neighborhood has been approved and built a number of times with the with the square windows, and so that I know that each case is individual, but there
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 back the very last window is actually in a bathroom, but the other four windows are all within that view when you come in. The house actually this is a house we didn't design this house. This was designed by Content Modern and came to us like this. So the as far as their their concept when they designed it I mean, I like the look. I think if you change the two front 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	windows, as Commissioner Epstein has stated, Commissioner Morgan, in regards to the stair. But I also I also think the applicant has done a really good job of showing us how this model home in the neighborhood has been approved and built a number of times with the with the square windows, and so that I know that each case is individual, but there seems to be a sort of consistent approval of this in some form or another.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 back the very last window is actually in a bathroom, but the other four windows are all within that view when you come in. The house actually this is a house we didn't design this house. This was designed by Content Modern and came to us like this. So the as far as their their concept when they designed it I mean, I like the look. I think if you change the two front windows, you would also want to change the one 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	windows, as Commissioner Epstein has stated, Commissioner Morgan, in regards to the stair. But I also I also think the applicant has done a really good job of showing us how this model home in the neighborhood has been approved and built a number of times with the with the square windows, and so that I know that each case is individual, but there seems to be a sort of consistent approval of this in some form or another. I think that if anything, I might
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 back the very last window is actually in a bathroom, but the other four windows are all within that view when you come in. The house actually this is a house we didn't design this house. This was designed by Content Modern and came to us like this. So the as far as their their concept when they designed it I mean, I like the look. I think if you change the two front windows, you would also want to change the one window on the front because you're only seeing 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	windows, as Commissioner Epstein has stated, Commissioner Morgan, in regards to the stair. But I also I also think the applicant has done a really good job of showing us how this model home in the neighborhood has been approved and built a number of times with the with the square windows, and so that I know that each case is individual, but there seems to be a sort of consistent approval of this in some form or another. I think that if anything, I might suggest to the applicant that the three I
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 back the very last window is actually in a bathroom, but the other four windows are all within that view when you come in. The house actually this is a house we didn't design this house. This was designed by Content Modern and came to us like this. So the as far as their their concept when they designed it I mean, I like the look. I think if you change the two front windows, you would also want to change the one window on the front because you're only seeing it from the side. You really ought to take a 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	windows, as Commissioner Epstein has stated, Commissioner Morgan, in regards to the stair. But I also I also think the applicant has done a really good job of showing us how this model home in the neighborhood has been approved and built a number of times with the with the square windows, and so that I know that each case is individual, but there seems to be a sort of consistent approval of this in some form or another. I think that if anything, I might suggest to the applicant that the three I mean, these are the least visible, so it's just
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 back the very last window is actually in a bathroom, but the other four windows are all within that view when you come in. The house actually this is a house we didn't design this house. This was designed by Content Modern and came to us like this. So the as far as their their concept when they designed it I mean, I like the look. I think if you change the two front windows, you would also want to change the one window on the front because you're only seeing 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	windows, as Commissioner Epstein has stated, Commissioner Morgan, in regards to the stair. But I also I also think the applicant has done a really good job of showing us how this model home in the neighborhood has been approved and built a number of times with the with the square windows, and so that I know that each case is individual, but there seems to be a sort of consistent approval of this in some form or another. I think that if anything, I might suggest to the applicant that the three I
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 back the very last window is actually in a bathroom, but the other four windows are all within that view when you come in. The house actually this is a house we didn't design this house. This was designed by Content Modern and came to us like this. So the as far as their their concept when they designed it I mean, I like the look. I think if you change the two front windows, you would also want to change the one window on the front because you're only seeing it from the side. You really ought to take a look at it from a three-dimensional drawing. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	windows, as Commissioner Epstein has stated, Commissioner Morgan, in regards to the stair. But I also I also think the applicant has done a really good job of showing us how this model home in the neighborhood has been approved and built a number of times with the with the square windows, and so that I know that each case is individual, but there seems to be a sort of consistent approval of this in some form or another. I think that if anything, I might suggest to the applicant that the three I mean, these are the least visible, so it's just a suggestion, but the three square windows at
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 back the very last window is actually in a bathroom, but the other four windows are all within that view when you come in. The house actually this is a house we didn't design this house. This was designed by Content Modern and came to us like this. So the as far as their their concept when they designed it I mean, I like the look. I think if you change the two front windows, you would also want to change the one window on the front because you're only seeing it from the side. You really ought to take a look at it from a three-dimensional drawing. If if Arimus could bring that rendering 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	windows, as Commissioner Epstein has stated, Commissioner Morgan, in regards to the stair. But I also I also think the applicant has done a really good job of showing us how this model home in the neighborhood has been approved and built a number of times with the with the square windows, and so that I know that each case is individual, but there seems to be a sort of consistent approval of this in some form or another. I think that if anything, I might suggest to the applicant that the three I mean, these are the least visible, so it's just a suggestion, but the three square windows at the master suite the owner's suite, maybe
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 back the very last window is actually in a bathroom, but the other four windows are all within that view when you come in. The house actually this is a house we didn't design this house. This was designed by Content Modern and came to us like this. So the as far as their their concept when they designed it I mean, I like the look. I think if you change the two front windows, you would also want to change the one window on the front because you're only seeing it from the side. You really ought to take a look at it from a three-dimensional drawing. If if Arimus could bring that rendering back up that showed the the color the 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	windows, as Commissioner Epstein has stated, Commissioner Morgan, in regards to the stair. But I also I also think the applicant has done a really good job of showing us how this model home in the neighborhood has been approved and built a number of times with the with the square windows, and so that I know that each case is individual, but there seems to be a sort of consistent approval of this in some form or another. I think that if anything, I might suggest to the applicant that the three I mean, these are the least visible, so it's just a suggestion, but the three square windows at the master suite the owner's suite, maybe that becomes that those join together to
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 back the very last window is actually in a bathroom, but the other four windows are all within that view when you come in. The house actually this is a house we didn't design this house. This was designed by Content Modern and came to us like this. So the as far as their their concept when they designed it I mean, I like the look. I think if you change the two front windows, you would also want to change the one window on the front because you're only seeing it from the side. You really ought to take a look at it from a three-dimensional drawing. If if Arimus could bring that rendering back up that showed the the color the color scheme, you would see it from a 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	windows, as Commissioner Epstein has stated, Commissioner Morgan, in regards to the stair. But I also I also think the applicant has done a really good job of showing us how this model home in the neighborhood has been approved and built a number of times with the with the square windows, and so that I know that each case is individual, but there seems to be a sort of consistent approval of this in some form or another. I think that if anything, I might suggest to the applicant that the three I mean, these are the least visible, so it's just a suggestion, but the three square windows at the master suite the owner's suite, maybe that becomes that those join together to become just a sort of high window rather than
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 back the very last window is actually in a bathroom, but the other four windows are all within that view when you come in. The house actually this is a house we didn't design this house. This was designed by Content Modern and came to us like this. So the as far as their their concept when they designed it I mean, I like the look. I think if you change the two front windows, you would also want to change the one window on the front because you're only seeing it from the side. You really ought to take a look at it from a three-dimensional drawing. If if Arimus could bring that rendering back up that showed the the color the color scheme, you would see it from a three-quarter yeah, right there. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	windows, as Commissioner Epstein has stated, Commissioner Morgan, in regards to the stair. But I also I also think the applicant has done a really good job of showing us how this model home in the neighborhood has been approved and built a number of times with the with the square windows, and so that I know that each case is individual, but there seems to be a sort of consistent approval of this in some form or another. I think that if anything, I might suggest to the applicant that the three I mean, these are the least visible, so it's just a suggestion, but the three square windows at the master suite the owner's suite, maybe that becomes that those join together to become just a sort of high window rather than three individual punches since it's about
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 back the very last window is actually in a bathroom, but the other four windows are all within that view when you come in. The house actually this is a house we didn't design this house. This was designed by Content Modern and came to us like this. So the as far as their their concept when they designed it I mean, I like the look. I think if you change the two front windows, you would also want to change the one window on the front because you're only seeing it from the side. You really ought to take a look at it from a three-dimensional drawing. If if Arimus could bring that rendering back up that showed the the color the color scheme, you would see it from a 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	windows, as Commissioner Epstein has stated, Commissioner Morgan, in regards to the stair. But I also I also think the applicant has done a really good job of showing us how this model home in the neighborhood has been approved and built a number of times with the with the square windows, and so that I know that each case is individual, but there seems to be a sort of consistent approval of this in some form or another. I think that if anything, I might suggest to the applicant that the three I mean, these are the least visible, so it's just a suggestion, but the three square windows at the master suite the owner's suite, maybe that becomes that those join together to become just a sort of high window rather than
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 back the very last window is actually in a bathroom, but the other four windows are all within that view when you come in. The house actually this is a house we didn't design this house. This was designed by Content Modern and came to us like this. So the as far as their their concept when they designed it I mean, I like the look. I think if you change the two front windows, you would also want to change the one window on the front because you're only seeing it from the side. You really ought to take a look at it from a three-dimensional drawing. If if Arimus could bring that rendering back up that showed the the color the color scheme, you would see it from a three-quarter yeah, right there. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	windows, as Commissioner Epstein has stated, Commissioner Morgan, in regards to the stair. But I also I also think the applicant has done a really good job of showing us how this model home in the neighborhood has been approved and built a number of times with the with the square windows, and so that I know that each case is individual, but there seems to be a sort of consistent approval of this in some form or another. I think that if anything, I might suggest to the applicant that the three I mean, these are the least visible, so it's just a suggestion, but the three square windows at the master suite the owner's suite, maybe that becomes that those join together to become just a sort of high window rather than three individual punches since it's about privacy and furniture in the at the rear,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 back the very last window is actually in a bathroom, but the other four windows are all within that view when you come in. The house actually this is a house we didn't design this house. This was designed by Content Modern and came to us like this. So the as far as their their concept when they designed it I mean, I like the look. I think if you change the two front windows, you would also want to change the one window on the front because you're only seeing it from the side. You really ought to take a look at it from a three-dimensional drawing. If if Arimus could bring that rendering back up that showed the the color the color scheme, you would see it from a three-quarter yeah, right there. So you see that front window is over the top staircase, too, on the right, so I mean, 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	windows, as Commissioner Epstein has stated, Commissioner Morgan, in regards to the stair. But I also I also think the applicant has done a really good job of showing us how this model home in the neighborhood has been approved and built a number of times with the with the square windows, and so that I know that each case is individual, but there seems to be a sort of consistent approval of this in some form or another. I think that if anything, I might suggest to the applicant that the three I mean, these are the least visible, so it's just a suggestion, but the three square windows at the master suite the owner's suite, maybe that becomes that those join together to become just a sort of high window rather than three individual punches since it's about privacy and furniture in the at the rear, because I do
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 back the very last window is actually in a bathroom, but the other four windows are all within that view when you come in. The house actually this is a house we didn't design this house. This was designed by Content Modern and came to us like this. So the as far as their their concept when they designed it I mean, I like the look. I think if you change the two front windows, you would also want to change the one window on the front because you're only seeing it from the side. You really ought to take a look at it from a three-dimensional drawing. If if Arimus could bring that rendering back up that showed the the color the color scheme, you would see it from a three-quarter yeah, right there. So you see that front window is over the top staircase, too, on the right, so I mean, Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	windows, as Commissioner Epstein has stated, Commissioner Morgan, in regards to the stair. But I also I also think the applicant has done a really good job of showing us how this model home in the neighborhood has been approved and built a number of times with the with the square windows, and so that I know that each case is individual, but there seems to be a sort of consistent approval of this in some form or another. I think that if anything, I might suggest to the applicant that the three I mean, these are the least visible, so it's just a suggestion, but the three square windows at the master suite the owner's suite, maybe that becomes that those join together to become just a sort of high window rather than three individual punches since it's about privacy and furniture in the at the rear, because I do Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	back the very last window is actually in a bathroom, but the other four windows are all within that view when you come in. The house actually this is a house we didn't design this house. This was designed by Content Modern and came to us like this. So the as far as their their concept when they designed it I mean, I like the look. I think if you change the two front windows, you would also want to change the one window on the front because you're only seeing it from the side. You really ought to take a look at it from a three-dimensional drawing. If if Arimus could bring that rendering back up that showed the the color the color scheme, you would see it from a three-quarter yeah, right there. So you see that front window is over the top staircase, too, on the right, so I mean, Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	windows, as Commissioner Epstein has stated, Commissioner Morgan, in regards to the stair. But I also I also think the applicant has done a really good job of showing us how this model home in the neighborhood has been approved and built a number of times with the with the square windows, and so that I know that each case is individual, but there seems to be a sort of consistent approval of this in some form or another. I think that if anything, I might suggest to the applicant that the three I mean, these are the least visible, so it's just a suggestion, but the three square windows at the master suite the owner's suite, maybe that becomes that those join together to become just a sort of high window rather than three individual punches since it's about privacy and furniture in the at the rear, because I do Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 back the very last window is actually in a bathroom, but the other four windows are all within that view when you come in. The house actually this is a house we didn't design this house. This was designed by Content Modern and came to us like this. So the as far as their their concept when they designed it I mean, I like the look. I think if you change the two front windows, you would also want to change the one window on the front because you're only seeing it from the side. You really ought to take a look at it from a three-dimensional drawing. If if Arimus could bring that rendering back up that showed the the color the color scheme, you would see it from a three-quarter yeah, right there. So you see that front window is over the top staircase, too, on the right, so I mean, Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	windows, as Commissioner Epstein has stated, Commissioner Morgan, in regards to the stair. But I also I also think the applicant has done a really good job of showing us how this model home in the neighborhood has been approved and built a number of times with the with the square windows, and so that I know that each case is individual, but there seems to be a sort of consistent approval of this in some form or another. I think that if anything, I might suggest to the applicant that the three I mean, these are the least visible, so it's just a suggestion, but the three square windows at the master suite the owner's suite, maybe that becomes that those join together to become just a sort of high window rather than three individual punches since it's about privacy and furniture in the at the rear, because I do Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203

	ic Preservation Commission	1	Uncertified Condensed Copy
4	37 I do understand Commissioner Hoff's	4	39 Supprove the elevation and the site plan. I
1	concern about that the sheer number of	1	approve the elevation and the site plan. I
2		2	believe it's dated December 7th, 2024, as the
3	windows, but as the applicant has explained to	3	one provided by the applicant. And I think that will address take a
4	us about the dramatic effect of (microphone	4	
5	failure) that we're trying to achieve with the	5	look at it and and the applicant can
6	stairwell, entering the home and (microphone	6	confirm, but I think that will address both the
7	failure) as you as you look up the stair	7	windows and that definition piece.
8	from I agree with that.	8	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Good idea. Let me
9	And the when I look at the ones on the	9	double-check real quick.
10	rear upstairs, I think those two small windows	10	THE CHAIRMAN: To clarify with Legal,
11	are in direct relationship to the roof form,	11	Carla, are you was your recommendation to
12	which is something that's been (microphone	12	rephrase relative to this latest email from the
13	failure).	13	applicant? Because this is December 10th, this
14	(Reporter clarification.)	14	email.
15	THE CHAIRMAN: It's been worked on and	15	MS. LOPERA: To the Chair, I was
16	been edited.	16	referencing the front elevation. It's
17	So I agree with the approval of the two	17	currently shown on the screen and it is in the
18	windows as it is, with the suggestion that	18	packet. The date on that actual draft that
19	maybe the ones at the master suite look at	19	document is very small, but it says
20	being rearranged, but that's just a suggestion.	20	December 7th. So I was referencing those
21	Any other any other discussion about	21	elevation drawings, not the contents of the
22	this?	22	email.
23	COMMISSIONER MORGAN: To the Chair, like a	23	THE CHAIRMAN: And are those the drawings
24	ribbon window on each side of the master suite?	24	up on the screen right now?
25	THE CHAIRMAN: It's again, it's a	25	MS. LOPERA: And they are in your packet
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
	00		10
	38		40
1	suggestion.	1	as well.
2	suggestion. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It does get a little	2	as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I think they are consistent
2 3	suggestion. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It does get a little congested down there in that piece, yeah.	2 3	as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I think they are consistent with what's here.
2 3 4	suggestion. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It does get a little congested down there in that piece, yeah. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure the value of	2 3 4	as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I think they are consistent with what's here. I think that's a I think that's a fair
2 3 4 5	suggestion. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It does get a little congested down there in that piece, yeah. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure the value of having those as three individual windows as	2 3 4 5	as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I think they are consistent with what's here. I think that's a I think that's a fair suggestion from Counsel. Those are basically
2 3 4 5 6	suggestion. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It does get a little congested down there in that piece, yeah. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure the value of having those as three individual windows as opposed to the ones in the stairwell.	2 3 4 5 6	as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I think they are consistent with what's here. I think that's a I think that's a fair suggestion from Counsel. Those are basically the those are the elevations and drawings
2 3 4 5 6 7	suggestion. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It does get a little congested down there in that piece, yeah. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure the value of having those as three individual windows as opposed to the ones in the stairwell. Any other comments or discussion?	2 3 4 5 6 7	as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I think they are consistent with what's here. I think that's a I think that's a fair suggestion from Counsel. Those are basically the those are the elevations and drawings that were on the thumb drive that the applicant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	suggestion. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It does get a little congested down there in that piece, yeah. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure the value of having those as three individual windows as opposed to the ones in the stairwell. Any other comments or discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I think they are consistent with what's here. I think that's a I think that's a fair suggestion from Counsel. Those are basically the those are the elevations and drawings that were on the thumb drive that the applicant brought; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	suggestion. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It does get a little congested down there in that piece, yeah. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure the value of having those as three individual windows as opposed to the ones in the stairwell. Any other comments or discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I think we need to clarify	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I think they are consistent with what's here. I think that's a I think that's a fair suggestion from Counsel. Those are basically the those are the elevations and drawings that were on the thumb drive that the applicant brought; is that correct? MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	suggestion. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It does get a little congested down there in that piece, yeah. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure the value of having those as three individual windows as opposed to the ones in the stairwell. Any other comments or discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I think we need to clarify what we want to vote on to approve.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I think they are consistent with what's here. I think that's a I think that's a fair suggestion from Counsel. Those are basically the those are the elevations and drawings that were on the thumb drive that the applicant brought; is that correct? MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And to submit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	suggestion. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It does get a little congested down there in that piece, yeah. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure the value of having those as three individual windows as opposed to the ones in the stairwell. Any other comments or discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I think we need to clarify what we want to vote on to approve. MS. LOPERA: Yes. To the Chair, could you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I think they are consistent with what's here. I think that's a I think that's a fair suggestion from Counsel. Those are basically the those are the elevations and drawings that were on the thumb drive that the applicant brought; is that correct? MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And to submit December 7th is the date we're going with for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	suggestion. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It does get a little congested down there in that piece, yeah. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure the value of having those as three individual windows as opposed to the ones in the stairwell. Any other comments or discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I think we need to clarify what we want to vote on to approve. MS. LOPERA: Yes. To the Chair, could you restate what the motion on the floor is?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I think they are consistent with what's here. I think that's a I think that's a fair suggestion from Counsel. Those are basically the those are the elevations and drawings that were on the thumb drive that the applicant brought; is that correct? MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And to submit December 7th is the date we're going with for the drawings?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	suggestion. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It does get a little congested down there in that piece, yeah. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure the value of having those as three individual windows as opposed to the ones in the stairwell. Any other comments or discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I think we need to clarify what we want to vote on to approve. MS. LOPERA: Yes. To the Chair, could you restate what the motion on the floor is? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure thing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I think they are consistent with what's here. I think that's a I think that's a fair suggestion from Counsel. Those are basically the those are the elevations and drawings that were on the thumb drive that the applicant brought; is that correct? MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And to submit December 7th is the date we're going with for the drawings? MS. LOPERA: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	suggestion. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It does get a little congested down there in that piece, yeah. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure the value of having those as three individual windows as opposed to the ones in the stairwell. Any other comments or discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I think we need to clarify what we want to vote on to approve. MS. LOPERA: Yes. To the Chair, could you restate what the motion on the floor is? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure thing. Through the Chair, the motion was to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I think they are consistent with what's here. I think that's a I think that's a fair suggestion from Counsel. Those are basically the those are the elevations and drawings that were on the thumb drive that the applicant brought; is that correct? MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And to submit December 7th is the date we're going with for the drawings? MS. LOPERA: Yes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	suggestion. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It does get a little congested down there in that piece, yeah. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure the value of having those as three individual windows as opposed to the ones in the stairwell. Any other comments or discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I think we need to clarify what we want to vote on to approve. MS. LOPERA: Yes. To the Chair, could you restate what the motion on the floor is? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure thing. Through the Chair, the motion was to approve with the conditions listed on the COA,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I think they are consistent with what's here. I think that's a I think that's a fair suggestion from Counsel. Those are basically the those are the elevations and drawings that were on the thumb drive that the applicant brought; is that correct? MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And to submit December 7th is the date we're going with for the drawings? MS. LOPERA: Yes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MS. LOPERA: Yes. So if you want to move
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	suggestion. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It does get a little congested down there in that piece, yeah. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure the value of having those as three individual windows as opposed to the ones in the stairwell. Any other comments or discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I think we need to clarify what we want to vote on to approve. MS. LOPERA: Yes. To the Chair, could you restate what the motion on the floor is? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure thing. Through the Chair, the motion was to approve with the conditions listed on the COA, adding a condition that the picture windows on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I think they are consistent with what's here. I think that's a I think that's a fair suggestion from Counsel. Those are basically the those are the elevations and drawings that were on the thumb drive that the applicant brought; is that correct? MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And to submit December 7th is the date we're going with for the drawings? MS. LOPERA: Yes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MS. LOPERA: Yes. So if you want to move to amend the motion to amend Condition 1,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	suggestion. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It does get a little congested down there in that piece, yeah. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure the value of having those as three individual windows as opposed to the ones in the stairwell. Any other comments or discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I think we need to clarify what we want to vote on to approve. MS. LOPERA: Yes. To the Chair, could you restate what the motion on the floor is? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure thing. Through the Chair, the motion was to approve with the conditions listed on the COA, adding a condition that the picture windows on the left side of the elevation be approved as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I think they are consistent with what's here. I think that's a I think that's a fair suggestion from Counsel. Those are basically the those are the elevations and drawings that were on the thumb drive that the applicant brought; is that correct? MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And to submit December 7th is the date we're going with for the drawings? MS. LOPERA: Yes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MS. LOPERA: Yes. So if you want to move to amend the motion to amend Condition 1, referencing December 7th, 2024, elevation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	suggestion. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It does get a little congested down there in that piece, yeah. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure the value of having those as three individual windows as opposed to the ones in the stairwell. Any other comments or discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I think we need to clarify what we want to vote on to approve. MS. LOPERA: Yes. To the Chair, could you restate what the motion on the floor is? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure thing. Through the Chair, the motion was to approve with the conditions listed on the COA, adding a condition that the picture windows on the left side of the elevation be approved as the single windows. And the I think the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I think they are consistent with what's here. I think that's a I think that's a fair suggestion from Counsel. Those are basically the those are the elevations and drawings that were on the thumb drive that the applicant brought; is that correct? MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And to submit December 7th is the date we're going with for the drawings? MS. LOPERA: Yes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MS. LOPERA: Yes. So if you want to move to amend the motion to amend Condition 1, referencing December 7th, 2024, elevation drawings; strike Condition 3 and Condition 6, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	suggestion. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It does get a little congested down there in that piece, yeah. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure the value of having those as three individual windows as opposed to the ones in the stairwell. Any other comments or discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I think we need to clarify what we want to vote on to approve. MS. LOPERA: Yes. To the Chair, could you restate what the motion on the floor is? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure thing. Through the Chair, the motion was to approve with the conditions listed on the COA, adding a condition that the picture windows on the left side of the elevation be approved as the single windows. And the I think the transition between the 4-inch siding and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I think they are consistent with what's here. I think that's a I think that's a fair suggestion from Counsel. Those are basically the those are the elevations and drawings that were on the thumb drive that the applicant brought; is that correct? MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And to submit December 7th is the date we're going with for the drawings? MS. LOPERA: Yes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MS. LOPERA: Yes. So if you want to move to amend the motion to amend Condition 1, referencing December 7th, 2024, elevation drawings; strike Condition 3 and Condition 6, I believe that addresses everything.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	suggestion. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It does get a little congested down there in that piece, yeah. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure the value of having those as three individual windows as opposed to the ones in the stairwell. Any other comments or discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I think we need to clarify what we want to vote on to approve. MS. LOPERA: Yes. To the Chair, could you restate what the motion on the floor is? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure thing. Through the Chair, the motion was to approve with the conditions listed on the COA, adding a condition that the picture windows on the left side of the elevation be approved as the single windows. And the I think the transition between the 4-inch siding and the 6-inch siding be approved to be higher per the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I think they are consistent with what's here. I think that's a I think that's a fair suggestion from Counsel. Those are basically the those are the elevations and drawings that were on the thumb drive that the applicant brought; is that correct? MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And to submit December 7th is the date we're going with for the drawings? MS. LOPERA: Yes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MS. LOPERA: Yes. So if you want to move to amend the motion to amend Condition 1, referencing December 7th, 2024, elevation drawings; strike Condition 3 and Condition 6, I believe that addresses everything. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	suggestion. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It does get a little congested down there in that piece, yeah. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure the value of having those as three individual windows as opposed to the ones in the stairwell. Any other comments or discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I think we need to clarify what we want to vote on to approve. MS. LOPERA: Yes. To the Chair, could you restate what the motion on the floor is? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure thing. Through the Chair, the motion was to approve with the conditions listed on the COA, adding a condition that the picture windows on the left side of the elevation be approved as the single windows. And the I think the transition between the 4-inch siding and the 6-inch siding be approved to be higher per the applicant's request and the sketches that were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I think they are consistent with what's here. I think that's a I think that's a fair suggestion from Counsel. Those are basically the those are the elevations and drawings that were on the thumb drive that the applicant brought; is that correct? MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And to submit December 7th is the date we're going with for the drawings? MS. LOPERA: Yes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MS. LOPERA: Yes. So if you want to move to amend the motion to amend Condition 1, referencing December 7th, 2024, elevation drawings; strike Condition 3 and Condition 6, I believe that addresses everything. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, there's a site plan in this packet as well. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	suggestion. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It does get a little congested down there in that piece, yeah. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure the value of having those as three individual windows as opposed to the ones in the stairwell. Any other comments or discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I think we need to clarify what we want to vote on to approve. MS. LOPERA: Yes. To the Chair, could you restate what the motion on the floor is? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure thing. Through the Chair, the motion was to approve with the conditions listed on the COA, adding a condition that the picture windows on the left side of the elevation be approved as the single windows. And the I think the transition between the 4-inch siding and the 6-inch siding be approved to be higher per the applicant's request and the sketches that were provided.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I think they are consistent with what's here. I think that's a I think that's a fair suggestion from Counsel. Those are basically the those are the elevations and drawings that were on the thumb drive that the applicant brought; is that correct? MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And to submit December 7th is the date we're going with for the drawings? MS. LOPERA: Yes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MS. LOPERA: Yes. So if you want to move to amend the motion to amend Condition 1, referencing December 7th, 2024, elevation drawings; strike Condition 3 and Condition 6, I believe that addresses everything. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, there's a site plan in this packet as well. I cannot see the date on that, and I know our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	suggestion. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It does get a little congested down there in that piece, yeah. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure the value of having those as three individual windows as opposed to the ones in the stairwell. Any other comments or discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I think we need to clarify what we want to vote on to approve. MS. LOPERA: Yes. To the Chair, could you restate what the motion on the floor is? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure thing. Through the Chair, the motion was to approve with the conditions listed on the COA, adding a condition that the picture windows on the left side of the elevation be approved as the single windows. And the I think the transition between the 4-inch siding and the 6-inch siding be approved to be higher per the applicant's request and the sketches that were provided. MS. LOPERA: Okay. So through the Chair	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I think they are consistent with what's here. I think that's a I think that's a fair suggestion from Counsel. Those are basically the those are the elevations and drawings that were on the thumb drive that the applicant brought; is that correct? MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And to submit December 7th is the date we're going with for the drawings? MS. LOPERA: Yes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MS. LOPERA: Yes. So if you want to move to amend the motion to amend Condition 1, referencing December 7th, 2024, elevation drawings; strike Condition 3 and Condition 6, I believe that addresses everything. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, there's a site plan in this packet as well. I cannot see the date on that, and I know our conditions list a site plan and a date. It's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	suggestion. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It does get a little congested down there in that piece, yeah. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure the value of having those as three individual windows as opposed to the ones in the stairwell. Any other comments or discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I think we need to clarify what we want to vote on to approve. MS. LOPERA: Yes. To the Chair, could you restate what the motion on the floor is? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure thing. Through the Chair, the motion was to approve with the conditions listed on the COA, adding a condition that the picture windows on the left side of the elevation be approved as the single windows. And the I think the transition between the 4-inch siding and the 6-inch siding be approved to be higher per the applicant's request and the sketches that were provided. MS. LOPERA: Okay. So through the Chair to the Commission, just to make it a little	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I think they are consistent with what's here. I think that's a I think that's a fair suggestion from Counsel. Those are basically the those are the elevations and drawings that were on the thumb drive that the applicant brought; is that correct? MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And to submit December 7th is the date we're going with for the drawings? MS. LOPERA: Yes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MS. LOPERA: Yes. So if you want to move to amend the motion to amend Condition 1, referencing December 7th, 2024, elevation drawings; strike Condition 3 and Condition 6, I believe that addresses everything. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, there's a site plan in this packet as well. I cannot see the date on that, and I know our conditions list a site plan and a date. It's a different I think it's a different yeah,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	suggestion. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It does get a little congested down there in that piece, yeah. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure the value of having those as three individual windows as opposed to the ones in the stairwell. Any other comments or discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I think we need to clarify what we want to vote on to approve. MS. LOPERA: Yes. To the Chair, could you restate what the motion on the floor is? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure thing. Through the Chair, the motion was to approve with the conditions listed on the COA, adding a condition that the picture windows on the left side of the elevation be approved as the single windows. And the I think the transition between the 4-inch siding and the 6-inch siding be approved to be higher per the applicant's request and the sketches that were provided. MS. LOPERA: Okay. So through the Chair to the Commission, just to make it a little cleaner, my suggestion would be to amend to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I think they are consistent with what's here. I think that's a I think that's a fair suggestion from Counsel. Those are basically the those are the elevations and drawings that were on the thumb drive that the applicant brought; is that correct? MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And to submit December 7th is the date we're going with for the drawings? MS. LOPERA: Yes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MS. LOPERA: Yes. So if you want to move to amend the motion to amend Condition 1, referencing December 7th, 2024, elevation drawings; strike Condition 3 and Condition 6, I believe that addresses everything. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, there's a site plan in this packet as well. I cannot see the date on that, and I know our conditions list a site plan and a date. It's a different I think it's a different yeah, that's so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	suggestion. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It does get a little congested down there in that piece, yeah. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure the value of having those as three individual windows as opposed to the ones in the stairwell. Any other comments or discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I think we need to clarify what we want to vote on to approve. MS. LOPERA: Yes. To the Chair, could you restate what the motion on the floor is? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure thing. Through the Chair, the motion was to approve with the conditions listed on the COA, adding a condition that the picture windows on the left side of the elevation be approved as the single windows. And the I think the transition between the 4-inch siding and the 6-inch siding be approved to be higher per the applicant's request and the sketches that were provided. MS. LOPERA: Okay. So through the Chair to the Commission, just to make it a little cleaner, my suggestion would be to amend to Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I think they are consistent with what's here. I think that's a I think that's a fair suggestion from Counsel. Those are basically the those are the elevations and drawings that were on the thumb drive that the applicant brought; is that correct? MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And to submit December 7th is the date we're going with for the drawings? MS. LOPERA: Yes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MS. LOPERA: Yes. So if you want to move to amend the motion to amend Condition 1, referencing December 7th, 2024, elevation drawings; strike Condition 3 and Condition 6, I believe that addresses everything. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, there's a site plan in this packet as well. I cannot see the date on that, and I know our conditions list a site plan and a date. It's a different I think it's a different yeah, that's so Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	suggestion. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It does get a little congested down there in that piece, yeah. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure the value of having those as three individual windows as opposed to the ones in the stairwell. Any other comments or discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: I think we need to clarify what we want to vote on to approve. MS. LOPERA: Yes. To the Chair, could you restate what the motion on the floor is? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Sure thing. Through the Chair, the motion was to approve with the conditions listed on the COA, adding a condition that the picture windows on the left side of the elevation be approved as the single windows. And the I think the transition between the 4-inch siding and the 6-inch siding be approved to be higher per the applicant's request and the sketches that were provided. MS. LOPERA: Okay. So through the Chair to the Commission, just to make it a little cleaner, my suggestion would be to amend to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	as well. THE CHAIRMAN: I think they are consistent with what's here. I think that's a I think that's a fair suggestion from Counsel. Those are basically the those are the elevations and drawings that were on the thumb drive that the applicant brought; is that correct? MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And to submit December 7th is the date we're going with for the drawings? MS. LOPERA: Yes. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MS. LOPERA: Yes. So if you want to move to amend the motion to amend Condition 1, referencing December 7th, 2024, elevation drawings; strike Condition 3 and Condition 6, I believe that addresses everything. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, there's a site plan in this packet as well. I cannot see the date on that, and I know our conditions list a site plan and a date. It's a different I think it's a different yeah, that's so

	f Jacksonville		December 11, 2024
riistor	ic Preservation Commission 41		Uncertified Condensed Copy 43
1	THE CHAIRMAN: (Off microphone.)	1	has been approved as amended.
2	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: And then the	2	Thank you.
3	(inaudible) says November 14th.	3	All right. We're going to move on to
4	So which site plan do we want to use?	4	Number 2 on Section G, COA-24-31276, at 1879
5	THE CHAIRMAN: It's also it's also been	5	Ribault Court.
6	revised	6	We will open the public hearing and hear a
7	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yeah, that one had	7	staff report.
8	a November date on it.	8	MS. FIGUEROA: COA-24-31276 is located at
9	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I have	9	1879 Ribault Court and seeks to replace an
10	December 10th on some of these documents as	10	existing red brick paver driveway with poured
11	well, so	11	concrete on a contributing property within the
12	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I just want to make	12	Riverside Avondale Historic District.
13	sure we're there's not something different	13	Located on an interior lot, the subject
14	on there.	14	property contains a two-story, single-family
15	THE CHAIRMAN: Do you want to give it	15	home and a detached, one-story garage in the
16	another go, Commissioner Gregory?	16	side yard. The existing brick paver driveway
17	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I will amend my	17	is in the front yard and abuts the detached
18	motion to change the date on Condition 1 to	18	garage.
19	reflect the drawings submitted on between	19	It is a minimum two-car driveway-style,
20	December 7th and December 10th, and strike	20	measuring approximately 20 feet wide at the
21	item or Condition Number 3 and strike	21	garage facade, tapers down the right side to
22 23	Condition Number 6 as well. MS. LOPERA: And through the Chair to	22 23	12 feet wide and is 41 feet long. Separated by a sidewalk, the driveway apron is also made of
23 24	Commissioner Gregory, Condition Number 2	23 24	red brick pavers and measures approximately
25	references a site plan. Did you want to amend	25	12 feet wide at the sidewalk, 18 feet wide at
23	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	23	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
	42		44
1	that to reflect the site plan dated	1	the flair, and is 9 feet long. The apron flair
2	December 8th, 2024?	2	at the street has a small strip of poured
3	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And amend Condition	3	concrete. The applicant proposes to remove the
4	Number 2 to reflect the site plan dated	4	red brick pavers and install poured concrete in
5	December 8th, 2024.	5	the same dimensions.
6	I think we got it.	6	Based on the design guidelines for the
7	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second.	7	historic district and the Ordinance Code, staff
8	THE CHAIRMAN: Do we need to vote on the	8	is recommending denial.
9	amendment?	9	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Brittany.
10	MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.)	10	Do we have any questions for staff?
11	THE CHAIRMAN: So all those in favor of	11	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair,
12	the amendment?	12	I see you conducted a site visit and I can see
13	COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.	13	from the pictures, it looks like the driveway
14	THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment passes.	14	that is there is in pretty good shape; is that
15	Now we will entertain a motion to move	15	an accurate statement?
16	forward with that as amended.	16	MS. FIGUEROA: Through the Chair to
17	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Motion to approve	17 18	Commissioner Epstein, yes, that's correct.
18 19	the motion as amended. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second.	18 19	From visual inspection, it appeared that the driveway is in great condition.
20	THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?	20	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for
20	COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.	20 21	staff?
22	THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed?	22	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
22	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)	22	THE CHAIRMAN: I just have one question
24	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. So let it be	24	for staff, just to clarify for for reference
·	known that COA-24-31360 at 236 5th Street East	25	for everyone to consider.
25			
25			Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375. Jacksonville. FL 32203
25	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300
25			Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300 MadamCourtReporter.com

Histor	c Preservation Commission	-	Uncertified Condensed Cop
	45		<u> </u>
1	In a situation where a driveway is being	1	The issue is, is that we've already
2	considered under the historic guidelines,	2	replaced the bricks one time and they sink.
3	design guidelines, the options for a situation	3	What we are looking at doing would like to
4	like this for, say, administrative approval	4	do would be a concrete driveway with brick
5	perhaps would be ribbons or or ribbons with	5	ribbons around the areas and then, like,
6	pavers (microphone failure); is that correct?	6	banding to make it go with the house and be
7	MS. FIGUEROA: To the Chair, so at the	7	historic looking.
8	staff level, based on the 2025 COA matrix, if	8	I took lots of pictures of the
9	the applicant had proposed to replace the	9	neighborhood. There's not one other driveway
		-	
10	existing driveway in the same material and	10	with anywhere near us that has all brick.
11	dimensions, we could approve of that, but	11	We're the only ones.
12	because they would like to do poured concrete	12	It's a hazard. We can't even get out of
13	and it exceeds the allowable widths that we can	13	our garage I know y'all can't see this
14	approve, that's why it needed to be forwarded	14	without him my husband having to destroy the
15	to you.	15	grass.
16	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	16	You can also see in this picture that I
17	MR. WELLS: Through the to the Chair,	17	know you can't really see. The driveway had to
18	one additional option they could do is concrete	18	have been bigger at one point. The curb starts
19	ribbons, so I just wanted to clarify that as	19	right here (indicating), and this is the same
20	well.	20	level as the original apron. I have no way to
21	THE CHAIRMAN: The concrete ribbons, yes.	21	find that. I tried.
22	Okay. Any other questions for staff?	21	
			But the actual outside this is, like,
23	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)	23	with the curb, and then this is the flat part
24	THE CHAIRMAN: Is the applicant here?	24	that's the same as the apron (indicating). I'm
25	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yeah.	25	happy for y'all to look at my phone and I can
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
	46		48
1	(Audience member approaches the podium.)	1	48 show you the pictures. Do you see this? Do
1		1 2	
	(Audience member approaches the podium.)		show you the pictures. Do you see this? Do
2	(Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and	2	show you the pictures. Do you see this? Do you see where it's flat? It's the same as the
2 3	(Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and she'll swear you in.	2 3	show you the pictures. Do you see this? Do you see where it's flat? It's the same as the apron. Like I said, I didn't get a staff report.
2 3 4 5	(Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Darden Grant, 1879 Ribault Court.	2 3 4 5	show you the pictures. Do you see this? Do you see where it's flat? It's the same as the apron. Like I said, I didn't get a staff report. I didn't know that there was anything to be
2 3 4 5 6	(Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Darden Grant, 1879 Ribault Court. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your	2 3 4 5 6	show you the pictures. Do you see this? Do you see where it's flat? It's the same as the apron. Like I said, I didn't get a staff report. I didn't know that there was anything to be seen.
2 3 4 5 6 7	(Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Darden Grant, 1879 Ribault Court. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>show you the pictures. Do you see this? Do you see where it's flat? It's the same as the apron. Like I said, I didn't get a staff report. I didn't know that there was anything to be seen. Oh, there. See the little piece to the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Darden Grant, 1879 Ribault Court. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. GRANT: (Complies.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>show you the pictures. Do you see this? Do you see where it's flat? It's the same as the apron. Like I said, I didn't get a staff report. I didn't know that there was anything to be seen. Oh, there. See the little piece to the you have to go back out. See where that was</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Darden Grant, 1879 Ribault Court. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. GRANT: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>show you the pictures. Do you see this? Do you see where it's flat? It's the same as the apron. Like I said, I didn't get a staff report. I didn't know that there was anything to be seen. Oh, there. See the little piece to the you have to go back out. See where that was the original apron? You can tell. And that</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Darden Grant, 1879 Ribault Court. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. GRANT: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>show you the pictures. Do you see this? Do you see where it's flat? It's the same as the apron. Like I said, I didn't get a staff report. I didn't know that there was anything to be seen. Oh, there. See the little piece to the you have to go back out. See where that was the original apron? You can tell. And that little thing goes up about that far</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Darden Grant, 1879 Ribault Court. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. GRANT: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	show you the pictures. Do you see this? Do you see where it's flat? It's the same as the apron. Like I said, I didn't get a staff report. I didn't know that there was anything to be seen. Oh, there. See the little piece to the you have to go back out. See where that was the original apron? You can tell. And that little thing goes up about that far (indicating), just like the other curb does on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	(Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Darden Grant, 1879 Ribault Court. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. GRANT: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	show you the pictures. Do you see this? Do you see where it's flat? It's the same as the apron. Like I said, I didn't get a staff report. I didn't know that there was anything to be seen. Oh, there. See the little piece to the you have to go back out. See where that was the original apron? You can tell. And that little thing goes up about that far (indicating), just like the other curb does on the other side.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Darden Grant, 1879 Ribault Court. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. GRANT: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. GRANT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	show you the pictures. Do you see this? Do you see where it's flat? It's the same as the apron. Like I said, I didn't get a staff report. I didn't know that there was anything to be seen. Oh, there. See the little piece to the you have to go back out. See where that was the original apron? You can tell. And that little thing goes up about that far (indicating), just like the other curb does on the other side. I want to also note that we take
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Darden Grant, 1879 Ribault Court. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. GRANT: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. GRANT: Yes. THE REPORTER: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	show you the pictures. Do you see this? Do you see where it's flat? It's the same as the apron. Like I said, I didn't get a staff report. I didn't know that there was anything to be seen. Oh, there. See the little piece to the you have to go back out. See where that was the original apron? You can tell. And that little thing goes up about that far (indicating), just like the other curb does on the other side. I want to also note that we take impeccable care of our house. Our house is by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Darden Grant, 1879 Ribault Court. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. GRANT: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. GRANT: Yes. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. GRANT: Good afternoon. Thank you all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	show you the pictures. Do you see this? Do you see where it's flat? It's the same as the apron. Like I said, I didn't get a staff report. I didn't know that there was anything to be seen. Oh, there. See the little piece to the you have to go back out. See where that was the original apron? You can tell. And that little thing goes up about that far (indicating), just like the other curb does on the other side. I want to also note that we take impeccable care of our house. Our house is by far the nicest maintained house anywhere around
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Darden Grant, 1879 Ribault Court. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. GRANT: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. GRANT: Yes. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. GRANT: Good afternoon. Thank you all for having us.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	show you the pictures. Do you see this? Do you see where it's flat? It's the same as the apron. Like I said, I didn't get a staff report. I didn't know that there was anything to be seen. Oh, there. See the little piece to the you have to go back out. See where that was the original apron? You can tell. And that little thing goes up about that far (indicating), just like the other curb does on the other side. I want to also note that we take impeccable care of our house. Our house is by far the nicest maintained house anywhere around us. We're doing nothing but trying to add to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Darden Grant, 1879 Ribault Court. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. GRANT: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. GRANT: Yes. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. GRANT: Good afternoon. Thank you all for having us. We did not receive a staff report before	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	show you the pictures. Do you see this? Do you see where it's flat? It's the same as the apron. Like I said, I didn't get a staff report. I didn't know that there was anything to be seen. Oh, there. See the little piece to the you have to go back out. See where that was the original apron? You can tell. And that little thing goes up about that far (indicating), just like the other curb does on the other side. I want to also note that we take impeccable care of our house. Our house is by far the nicest maintained house anywhere around us. We're doing nothing but trying to add to the house and make it safe.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Darden Grant, 1879 Ribault Court. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. GRANT: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. GRANT: Yes. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. GRANT: Good afternoon. Thank you all for having us. We did not receive a staff report before this meeting. I didn't know we were supposed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	show you the pictures. Do you see this? Do you see where it's flat? It's the same as the apron. Like I said, I didn't get a staff report. I didn't know that there was anything to be seen. Oh, there. See the little piece to the you have to go back out. See where that was the original apron? You can tell. And that little thing goes up about that far (indicating), just like the other curb does on the other side. I want to also note that we take impeccable care of our house. Our house is by far the nicest maintained house anywhere around us. We're doing nothing but trying to add to the house and make it safe. The brick I mean, it is as you can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Darden Grant, 1879 Ribault Court. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. GRANT: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. GRANT: Yes. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. GRANT: Good afternoon. Thank you all for having us. We did not receive a staff report before this meeting. I didn't know we were supposed to have one, so I don't have a presentation,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	show you the pictures. Do you see this? Do you see where it's flat? It's the same as the apron. Like I said, I didn't get a staff report. I didn't know that there was anything to be seen. Oh, there. See the little piece to the you have to go back out. See where that was the original apron? You can tell. And that little thing goes up about that far (indicating), just like the other curb does on the other side. I want to also note that we take impeccable care of our house. Our house is by far the nicest maintained house anywhere around us. We're doing nothing but trying to add to the house and make it safe. The brick I mean, it is as you can see the grass we he will continue to have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Darden Grant, 1879 Ribault Court. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. GRANT: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. GRANT: Yes. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. GRANT: Good afternoon. Thank you all for having us. We did not receive a staff report before this meeting. I didn't know we were supposed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	show you the pictures. Do you see this? Do you see where it's flat? It's the same as the apron. Like I said, I didn't get a staff report. I didn't know that there was anything to be seen. Oh, there. See the little piece to the you have to go back out. See where that was the original apron? You can tell. And that little thing goes up about that far (indicating), just like the other curb does on the other side. I want to also note that we take impeccable care of our house. Our house is by far the nicest maintained house anywhere around us. We're doing nothing but trying to add to the house and make it safe. The brick I mean, it is as you can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	(Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Darden Grant, 1879 Ribault Court. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. GRANT: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. GRANT: Yes. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. GRANT: Good afternoon. Thank you all for having us. We did not receive a staff report before this meeting. I didn't know we were supposed to have one, so I don't have a presentation,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	show you the pictures. Do you see this? Do you see where it's flat? It's the same as the apron. Like I said, I didn't get a staff report. I didn't know that there was anything to be seen. Oh, there. See the little piece to the you have to go back out. See where that was the original apron? You can tell. And that little thing goes up about that far (indicating), just like the other curb does on the other side. I want to also note that we take impeccable care of our house. Our house is by far the nicest maintained house anywhere around us. We're doing nothing but trying to add to the house and make it safe. The brick I mean, it is as you can see the grass we he will continue to have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Darden Grant, 1879 Ribault Court. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. GRANT: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. GRANT: Yes. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. GRANT: Good afternoon. Thank you all for having us. We did not receive a staff report before this meeting. I didn't know we were supposed to have one, so I don't have a presentation, but I have pictures and I have a lot of information.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	show you the pictures. Do you see this? Do you see where it's flat? It's the same as the apron. Like I said, I didn't get a staff report. I didn't know that there was anything to be seen. Oh, there. See the little piece to the you have to go back out. See where that was the original apron? You can tell. And that little thing goes up about that far (indicating), just like the other curb does on the other side. I want to also note that we take impeccable care of our house. Our house is by far the nicest maintained house anywhere around us. We're doing nothing but trying to add to the house and make it safe. The brick I mean, it is as you can see the grass we he will continue to have to drive over the grass if we cannot make the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22	(Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Darden Grant, 1879 Ribault Court. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. GRANT: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. GRANT: Yes. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. GRANT: Good afternoon. Thank you all for having us. We did not receive a staff report before this meeting. I didn't know we were supposed to have one, so I don't have a presentation, but I have pictures and I have a lot of information. So it is not in great shape. You cannot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	show you the pictures. Do you see this? Do you see where it's flat? It's the same as the apron. Like I said, I didn't get a staff report. I didn't know that there was anything to be seen. Oh, there. See the little piece to the you have to go back out. See where that was the original apron? You can tell. And that little thing goes up about that far (indicating), just like the other curb does on the other side. I want to also note that we take impeccable care of our house. Our house is by far the nicest maintained house anywhere around us. We're doing nothing but trying to add to the house and make it safe. The brick I mean, it is as you can see the grass we he will continue to have to drive over the grass if we cannot make the curve a little bit more inside to get in and out of our own house.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Darden Grant, 1879 Ribault Court. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. GRANT: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. GRANT: Yes. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. GRANT: Good afternoon. Thank you all for having us. We did not receive a staff report before this meeting. I didn't know we were supposed to have one, so I don't have a presentation, but I have pictures and I have a lot of information. So it is not in great shape. You cannot walk on it without twisting ankles. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	show you the pictures. Do you see this? Do you see where it's flat? It's the same as the apron. Like I said, I didn't get a staff report. I didn't know that there was anything to be seen. Oh, there. See the little piece to the you have to go back out. See where that was the original apron? You can tell. And that little thing goes up about that far (indicating), just like the other curb does on the other side. I want to also note that we take impeccable care of our house. Our house is by far the nicest maintained house anywhere around us. We're doing nothing but trying to add to the house and make it safe. The brick I mean, it is as you can see the grass we he will continue to have to drive over the grass if we cannot make the curve a little bit more inside to get in and out of our own house. It I don't see the area where that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Darden Grant, 1879 Ribault Court. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. GRANT: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. GRANT: Yes. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. GRANT: Good afternoon. Thank you all for having us. We did not receive a staff report before this meeting. I didn't know we were supposed to have one, so I don't have a presentation, but I have pictures and I have a lot of information. So it is not in great shape. You cannot walk on it without twisting ankles. The sidewalk has divots that people have already	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	show you the pictures. Do you see this? Do you see where it's flat? It's the same as the apron. Like I said, I didn't get a staff report. I didn't know that there was anything to be seen. Oh, there. See the little piece to the you have to go back out. See where that was the original apron? You can tell. And that little thing goes up about that far (indicating), just like the other curb does on the other side. I want to also note that we take impeccable care of our house. Our house is by far the nicest maintained house anywhere around us. We're doing nothing but trying to add to the house and make it safe. The brick I mean, it is as you can see the grass we he will continue to have to drive over the grass if we cannot make the curve a little bit more inside to get in and out of our own house. It I don't see the area where that little part comes out? That I mean, right
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Darden Grant, 1879 Ribault Court. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. GRANT: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. GRANT: Yes. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. GRANT: Good afternoon. Thank you all for having us. We did not receive a staff report before this meeting. I didn't know we were supposed to have one, so I don't have a presentation, but I have pictures and I have a lot of information. So it is not in great shape. You cannot walk on it without twisting ankles. The sidewalk has divots that people have already tripped on.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	show you the pictures. Do you see this? Do you see where it's flat? It's the same as the apron. Like I said, I didn't get a staff report. I didn't know that there was anything to be seen. Oh, there. See the little piece to the you have to go back out. See where that was the original apron? You can tell. And that little thing goes up about that far (indicating), just like the other curb does on the other side. I want to also note that we take impeccable care of our house. Our house is by far the nicest maintained house anywhere around us. We're doing nothing but trying to add to the house and make it safe. The brick I mean, it is as you can see the grass we he will continue to have to drive over the grass if we cannot make the curve a little bit more inside to get in and out of our own house. It I don't see the area where that little part comes out? That I mean, right there, correct. We just need to bring it out a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Darden Grant, 1879 Ribault Court. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. GRANT: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. GRANT: Yes. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. GRANT: Good afternoon. Thank you all for having us. We did not receive a staff report before this meeting. I didn't know we were supposed to have one, so I don't have a presentation, but I have pictures and I have a lot of information. So it is not in great shape. You cannot walk on it without twisting ankles. The sidewalk has divots that people have already tripped on. Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	show you the pictures. Do you see this? Do you see where it's flat? It's the same as the apron. Like I said, I didn't get a staff report. I didn't know that there was anything to be seen. Oh, there. See the little piece to the you have to go back out. See where that was the original apron? You can tell. And that little thing goes up about that far (indicating), just like the other curb does on the other side. I want to also note that we take impeccable care of our house. Our house is by far the nicest maintained house anywhere around us. We're doing nothing but trying to add to the house and make it safe. The brick I mean, it is as you can see the grass we he will continue to have to drive over the grass if we cannot make the curve a little bit more inside to get in and out of our own house. It I don't see the area where that little part comes out? That I mean, right there, correct. We just need to bring it out a Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name and address and she'll swear you in. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Darden Grant, 1879 Ribault Court. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please. MS. GRANT: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MS. GRANT: Yes. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. GRANT: Good afternoon. Thank you all for having us. We did not receive a staff report before this meeting. I didn't know we were supposed to have one, so I don't have a presentation, but I have pictures and I have a lot of information. So it is not in great shape. You cannot walk on it without twisting ankles. The sidewalk has divots that people have already tripped on.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	show you the pictures. Do you see this? Do you see where it's flat? It's the same as the apron. Like I said, I didn't get a staff report. I didn't know that there was anything to be seen. Oh, there. See the little piece to the you have to go back out. See where that was the original apron? You can tell. And that little thing goes up about that far (indicating), just like the other curb does on the other side. I want to also note that we take impeccable care of our house. Our house is by far the nicest maintained house anywhere around us. We're doing nothing but trying to add to the house and make it safe. The brick I mean, it is as you can see the grass we he will continue to have to drive over the grass if we cannot make the curve a little bit more inside to get in and out of our own house. It I don't see the area where that little part comes out? That I mean, right there, correct. We just need to bring it out a

49		51
little bit and, I mean, just just to make it	1	will make a motion to deny COA-24-31276 as
		recommended by staff to begin our discussion
-		because I would like to talk about this.
	-	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Second.
-		
		THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion?
•	6	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair,
· · · ·	7	my main concern I've heard is that the
(Timer notification.)	8	application here is, basically, to take the
MS. GRANT: places spaces smaller.	9	brick away and put concrete down, but I'm
And we don't want to make just a solid	10	hearing that the driveway wants to be made
	11	bigger, and that's not here, and there's no
		drawings that show that.
		And, personally, I think that this might
	-	need to be deferred and show us exactly what
-		•
•		you guys want to do because what you're asking
•		for is not what I'm hearing based off of what
, =		you've said.
	18	THE CHAIRMAN: That's a good point.
THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address	19	COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, I
and she'll swear you in.	20	also noticed that the walkway is made of the
AUDIENCE MEMBER: Richard Grant.	21	same brick, and so I think that any work to
THE REPORTER: If you would raise your	22	that or how that would be incorporated should
		be addressed in that as well.
		COMMISSIONER HOFF: Through the Chair,
		yeah, so that's why I wanted to make a motion
-	23	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
		(904) 821-0300
MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
50		52
50 testimony you are about to give will be the	1	52 just to get the conversation going.
50 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the	1 2	52 just to get the conversation going. I think that there's I think that if
50 testimony you are about to give will be the		52 just to get the conversation going. I think that there's I think that if you do bring back a design of what you have
50 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the	2	52 just to get the conversation going. I think that there's I think that if
50 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?	2 3	52 just to get the conversation going. I think that there's I think that if you do bring back a design of what you have
50 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GRANT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you.	2 3 4	52 just to get the conversation going. I think that there's I think that if you do bring back a design of what you have spoken about here, that that would be very
50 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GRANT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GRANT: Thank you, guys.	2 3 4 5	52 just to get the conversation going. I think that there's I think that if you do bring back a design of what you have spoken about here, that that would be very helpful for us to make a more informed decision on what you actually want.
50 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GRANT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GRANT: Thank you, guys. You know, we're not trying to change or	2 3 4 5 6 7	52 just to get the conversation going. I think that there's I think that if you do bring back a design of what you have spoken about here, that that would be very helpful for us to make a more informed decision on what you actually want. Quick question, if I may, for the
50 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GRANT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GRANT: Thank you, guys. You know, we're not trying to change or alter history; we're trying to enhance it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	52 just to get the conversation going. I think that there's I think that if you do bring back a design of what you have spoken about here, that that would be very helpful for us to make a more informed decision on what you actually want. Quick question, if I may, for the applicant.
50 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GRANT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GRANT: Thank you, guys. You know, we're not trying to change or alter history; we're trying to enhance it. If you look at our homes in that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	52 just to get the conversation going. I think that there's I think that if you do bring back a design of what you have spoken about here, that that would be very helpful for us to make a more informed decision on what you actually want. Quick question, if I may, for the applicant. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry
50 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GRANT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GRANT: Thank you, guys. You know, we're not trying to change or alter history; we're trying to enhance it. If you look at our homes in that neighborhood, it's an easy it's an easy see.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	52 just to get the conversation going. I think that there's I think that if you do bring back a design of what you have spoken about here, that that would be very helpful for us to make a more informed decision on what you actually want. Quick question, if I may, for the applicant. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry COMMISSIONER HOFF: To the applicant, did
50 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GRANT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GRANT: Thank you, guys. You know, we're not trying to change or alter history; we're trying to enhance it. If you look at our homes in that neighborhood, it's an easy it's an easy see. And we're happy to work within whatever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	52 just to get the conversation going. I think that there's I think that if you do bring back a design of what you have spoken about here, that that would be very helpful for us to make a more informed decision on what you actually want. Quick question, if I may, for the applicant. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry COMMISSIONER HOFF: To the applicant, did you say that this brick driveway had been
50 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GRANT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GRANT: Thank you, guys. You know, we're not trying to change or alter history; we're trying to enhance it. If you look at our homes in that neighborhood, it's an easy it's an easy see. And we're happy to work within whatever regulations that you guys deem appropriate. We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	52 just to get the conversation going. I think that there's I think that if you do bring back a design of what you have spoken about here, that that would be very helpful for us to make a more informed decision on what you actually want. Quick question, if I may, for the applicant. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry COMMISSIONER HOFF: To the applicant, did you say that this brick driveway had been replaced before or
50 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GRANT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GRANT: Thank you, guys. You know, we're not trying to change or alter history; we're trying to enhance it. If you look at our homes in that neighborhood, it's an easy it's an easy see. And we're happy to work within whatever regulations that you guys deem appropriate. We just would like to upgrade that driveway so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	52 just to get the conversation going. I think that there's I think that if you do bring back a design of what you have spoken about here, that that would be very helpful for us to make a more informed decision on what you actually want. Quick question, if I may, for the applicant. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry COMMISSIONER HOFF: To the applicant, did you say that this brick driveway had been replaced before or MS. GRANT: So
50 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GRANT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GRANT: Thank you, guys. You know, we're not trying to change or alter history; we're trying to enhance it. If you look at our homes in that neighborhood, it's an easy it's an easy see. And we're happy to work within whatever regulations that you guys deem appropriate. We just would like to upgrade that driveway so that we can access the garage, and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	52 just to get the conversation going. I think that there's I think that if you do bring back a design of what you have spoken about here, that that would be very helpful for us to make a more informed decision on what you actually want. Quick question, if I may, for the applicant. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry COMMISSIONER HOFF: To the applicant, did you say that this brick driveway had been replaced before or MS. GRANT: So COMMISSIONER HOFF: no?
50 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GRANT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GRANT: Thank you, guys. You know, we're not trying to change or alter history; we're trying to enhance it. If you look at our homes in that neighborhood, it's an easy it's an easy see. And we're happy to work within whatever regulations that you guys deem appropriate. We just would like to upgrade that driveway so that we can access the garage, and the appearance will will be even better than it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	52 just to get the conversation going. I think that there's I think that if you do bring back a design of what you have spoken about here, that that would be very helpful for us to make a more informed decision on what you actually want. Quick question, if I may, for the applicant. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry COMMISSIONER HOFF: To the applicant, did you say that this brick driveway had been replaced before or MS. GRANT: So COMMISSIONER HOFF: no? MS. GRANT: So the not the actual
50 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GRANT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GRANT: Thank you, guys. You know, we're not trying to change or alter history; we're trying to enhance it. If you look at our homes in that neighborhood, it's an easy it's an easy see. And we're happy to work within whatever regulations that you guys deem appropriate. We just would like to upgrade that driveway so that we can access the garage, and the appearance will will be even better than it is today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	52 just to get the conversation going. I think that there's I think that if you do bring back a design of what you have spoken about here, that that would be very helpful for us to make a more informed decision on what you actually want. Quick question, if I may, for the applicant. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry COMMISSIONER HOFF: To the applicant, did you say that this brick driveway had been replaced before or MS. GRANT: So COMMISSIONER HOFF: no? MS. GRANT: So the not the actual driveway. The sidewalk, the bricks had to be
50 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GRANT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GRANT: Thank you, guys. You know, we're not trying to change or alter history; we're trying to enhance it. If you look at our homes in that neighborhood, it's an easy it's an easy see. And we're happy to work within whatever regulations that you guys deem appropriate. We just would like to upgrade that driveway so that we can access the garage, and the appearance will will be even better than it is today. That's the reason that we're here, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	52 just to get the conversation going. I think that there's I think that if you do bring back a design of what you have spoken about here, that that would be very helpful for us to make a more informed decision on what you actually want. Quick question, if I may, for the applicant. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry COMMISSIONER HOFF: To the applicant, did you say that this brick driveway had been replaced before or MS. GRANT: So COMMISSIONER HOFF: no? MS. GRANT: So the not the actual driveway. The sidewalk, the bricks had to be taken up and then put back down in the same
50 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GRANT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GRANT: Thank you, guys. You know, we're not trying to change or alter history; we're trying to enhance it. If you look at our homes in that neighborhood, it's an easy it's an easy see. And we're happy to work within whatever regulations that you guys deem appropriate. We just would like to upgrade that driveway so that we can access the garage, and the appearance will will be even better than it is today. That's the reason that we're here, so thank you for your consideration.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	52 just to get the conversation going. I think that there's I think that if you do bring back a design of what you have spoken about here, that that would be very helpful for us to make a more informed decision on what you actually want. Quick question, if I may, for the applicant. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry COMMISSIONER HOFF: To the applicant, did you say that this brick driveway had been replaced before or MS. GRANT: So COMMISSIONER HOFF: no? MS. GRANT: So the not the actual driveway. The sidewalk, the bricks had to be taken up and then put back down in the same situation because they were literally sinking.
50 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GRANT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GRANT: Thank you, guys. You know, we're not trying to change or alter history; we're trying to enhance it. If you look at our homes in that neighborhood, it's an easy it's an easy see. And we're happy to work within whatever regulations that you guys deem appropriate. We just would like to upgrade that driveway so that we can access the garage, and the appearance will will be even better than it is today. That's the reason that we're here, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	52 just to get the conversation going. I think that there's I think that if you do bring back a design of what you have spoken about here, that that would be very helpful for us to make a more informed decision on what you actually want. Quick question, if I may, for the applicant. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry COMMISSIONER HOFF: To the applicant, did you say that this brick driveway had been replaced before or MS. GRANT: So COMMISSIONER HOFF: no? MS. GRANT: So the not the actual driveway. The sidewalk, the bricks had to be taken up and then put back down in the same
50 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GRANT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GRANT: Thank you, guys. You know, we're not trying to change or alter history; we're trying to enhance it. If you look at our homes in that neighborhood, it's an easy it's an easy see. And we're happy to work within whatever regulations that you guys deem appropriate. We just would like to upgrade that driveway so that we can access the garage, and the appearance will will be even better than it is today. That's the reason that we're here, so thank you for your consideration.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	52 just to get the conversation going. I think that there's I think that if you do bring back a design of what you have spoken about here, that that would be very helpful for us to make a more informed decision on what you actually want. Quick question, if I may, for the applicant. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry COMMISSIONER HOFF: To the applicant, did you say that this brick driveway had been replaced before or MS. GRANT: So COMMISSIONER HOFF: no? MS. GRANT: So the not the actual driveway. The sidewalk, the bricks had to be taken up and then put back down in the same situation because they were literally sinking.
50 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GRANT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GRANT: Thank you, guys. You know, we're not trying to change or alter history; we're trying to enhance it. If you look at our homes in that neighborhood, it's an easy it's an easy see. And we're happy to work within whatever regulations that you guys deem appropriate. We just would like to upgrade that driveway so that we can access the garage, and the appearance will will be even better than it is today. That's the reason that we're here, so thank you for your consideration. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	52 just to get the conversation going. I think that there's I think that if you do bring back a design of what you have spoken about here, that that would be very helpful for us to make a more informed decision on what you actually want. Quick question, if I may, for the applicant. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry COMMISSIONER HOFF: To the applicant, did you say that this brick driveway had been replaced before or MS. GRANT: So COMMISSIONER HOFF: no? MS. GRANT: So the not the actual driveway. The sidewalk, the bricks had to be taken up and then put back down in the same situation because they were literally sinking. So it wasn't replaced I mean, they're
50 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GRANT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GRANT: Thank you, guys. You know, we're not trying to change or alter history; we're trying to enhance it. If you look at our homes in that neighborhood, it's an easy it's an easy see. And we're happy to work within whatever regulations that you guys deem appropriate. We just would like to upgrade that driveway so that we can access the garage, and the appearance will will be even better than it is today. That's the reason that we're here, so thank you for your consideration. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Anyone else here wish to speak on this COA?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	52 just to get the conversation going. I think that there's I think that if you do bring back a design of what you have spoken about here, that that would be very helpful for us to make a more informed decision on what you actually want. Quick question, if I may, for the applicant. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry COMMISSIONER HOFF: To the applicant, did you say that this brick driveway had been replaced before or MS. GRANT: So COMMISSIONER HOFF: no? MS. GRANT: So the not the actual driveway. The sidewalk, the bricks had to be taken up and then put back down in the same situation because they were literally sinking. So it wasn't replaced I mean, they're set in sand, so I guess the drawing that they submitted maybe showed this but did not
50 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GRANT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GRANT: Thank you, guys. You know, we're not trying to change or alter history; we're trying to enhance it. If you look at our homes in that neighborhood, it's an easy it's an easy see. And we're happy to work within whatever regulations that you guys deem appropriate. We just would like to upgrade that driveway so that we can access the garage, and the appearance will will be even better than it is today. That's the reason that we're here, so thank you for your consideration. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Anyone else here wish to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22	52 just to get the conversation going. I think that there's I think that if you do bring back a design of what you have spoken about here, that that would be very helpful for us to make a more informed decision on what you actually want. Quick question, if I may, for the applicant. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry COMMISSIONER HOFF: To the applicant, did you say that this brick driveway had been replaced before or MS. GRANT: So COMMISSIONER HOFF: no? MS. GRANT: So the not the actual driveway. The sidewalk, the bricks had to be taken up and then put back down in the same situation because they were literally sinking. So it wasn't replaced I mean, they're set in sand, so I guess the drawing that they submitted maybe showed this but did not show this little section right here
50 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GRANT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GRANT: Thank you, guys. You know, we're not trying to change or alter history; we're trying to enhance it. If you look at our homes in that neighborhood, it's an easy it's an easy see. And we're happy to work within whatever regulations that you guys deem appropriate. We just would like to upgrade that driveway so that we can access the garage, and the appearance will will be even better than it is today. That's the reason that we're here, so thank you for your consideration. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Anyone else here wish to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We'll close the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	52 just to get the conversation going. I think that there's I think that if you do bring back a design of what you have spoken about here, that that would be very helpful for us to make a more informed decision on what you actually want. Quick question, if I may, for the applicant. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry COMMISSIONER HOFF: To the applicant, did you say that this brick driveway had been replaced before or MS. GRANT: So COMMISSIONER HOFF: no? MS. GRANT: So the not the actual driveway. The sidewalk, the bricks had to be taken up and then put back down in the same situation because they were literally sinking. So it wasn't replaced I mean, they're set in sand, so I guess the drawing that they submitted maybe showed this but did not show this little section right here (indicating).
50 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GRANT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GRANT: Thank you, guys. You know, we're not trying to change or alter history; we're trying to enhance it. If you look at our homes in that neighborhood, it's an easy it's an easy see. And we're happy to work within whatever regulations that you guys deem appropriate. We just would like to upgrade that driveway so that we can access the garage, and the appearance will will be even better than it is today. That's the reason that we're here, so thank you for your consideration. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Anyone else here wish to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We'll close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24	52 just to get the conversation going. I think that there's I think that if you do bring back a design of what you have spoken about here, that that would be very helpful for us to make a more informed decision on what you actually want. Quick question, if I may, for the applicant. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry COMMISSIONER HOFF: To the applicant, did you say that this brick driveway had been replaced before or MS. GRANT: So COMMISSIONER HOFF: no? MS. GRANT: So the not the actual driveway. The sidewalk, the bricks had to be taken up and then put back down in the same situation because they were literally sinking. So it wasn't replaced I mean, they're set in sand, so I guess the drawing that they submitted maybe showed this but did not show this little section right here (indicating). The main I mean, the main thing is the
50 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GRANT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GRANT: Thank you, guys. You know, we're not trying to change or alter history; we're trying to enhance it. If you look at our homes in that neighborhood, it's an easy it's an easy see. And we're happy to work within whatever regulations that you guys deem appropriate. We just would like to upgrade that driveway so that we can access the garage, and the appearance will will be even better than it is today. That's the reason that we're here, so thank you for your consideration. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Anyone else here wish to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We'll close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Through the Chair, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	52 just to get the conversation going. I think that there's I think that if you do bring back a design of what you have spoken about here, that that would be very helpful for us to make a more informed decision on what you actually want. Quick question, if I may, for the applicant. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry COMMISSIONER HOFF: To the applicant, did you say that this brick driveway had been replaced before or MS. GRANT: So COMMISSIONER HOFF: no? MS. GRANT: So the not the actual driveway. The sidewalk, the bricks had to be taken up and then put back down in the same situation because they were literally sinking. So it wasn't replaced I mean, they're set in sand, so I guess the drawing that they submitted maybe showed this but did not show this little section right here (indicating). The main I mean, the main thing is the bricks are hazardous, at least in our opinion,
50 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. GRANT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. GRANT: Thank you, guys. You know, we're not trying to change or alter history; we're trying to enhance it. If you look at our homes in that neighborhood, it's an easy it's an easy see. And we're happy to work within whatever regulations that you guys deem appropriate. We just would like to upgrade that driveway so that we can access the garage, and the appearance will will be even better than it is today. That's the reason that we're here, so thank you for your consideration. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Anyone else here wish to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We'll close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24	52 just to get the conversation going. I think that there's I think that if you do bring back a design of what you have spoken about here, that that would be very helpful for us to make a more informed decision on what you actually want. Quick question, if I may, for the applicant. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm so sorry COMMISSIONER HOFF: To the applicant, did you say that this brick driveway had been replaced before or MS. GRANT: So COMMISSIONER HOFF: no? MS. GRANT: So the not the actual driveway. The sidewalk, the bricks had to be taken up and then put back down in the same situation because they were literally sinking. So it wasn't replaced I mean, they're set in sand, so I guess the drawing that they submitted maybe showed this but did not show this little section right here (indicating). The main I mean, the main thing is the
	And we don't want to make just a solid brick I mean, solid concrete. We would love a brick band around it and the brick in the middle. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MS. GRANT: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here that wishes to speak in regards to this COA? (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address and she'll swear you in.	little bit and, I mean, just just to make it1so that we can he can get out of our own2garage.3The brick does nothing but sink. I know4it might look great here. I would love for5y'all to all come out and look at it. It's6awful. I mean, there's spaces this big7(Timer notification.)8MS. GRANT: places spaces smaller.9And we don't want to make just a solid10brick I mean, solid concrete. We would love11a brick band around it and the brick in the12middle.13THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.14MS. GRANT: Thank you.15THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else here16that wishes to speak in regards to this COA?17(Audience member approaches the podium.)18THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address19and she'll swear you in.20AUDIENCE MEMBER: Richard Grant.21THE REPORTER: If you would raise your22right hand for me, please.23MR. GRANT: (Complies.)24THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the25Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203

13 of 57 sheets

MadamCourtReporter.com

51

	c Preservation Commission		Uncertified Condensed Copy
	53		55
1	and there's this, obviously picture does	1	to submit a site plan drawing?
2	not quite do it justice, like I said.	2	THE CHAIRMAN: Well, we're not quite
3	And then, I guess if so what you're	3	done
4	asking is if we bring get a drawing	4	MS. GRANT: Oh, sorry. I thought that's
		-	
5	submitted, just to show this little bit I	5	what you were saying.
6	mean, we just need a little bit here to get in	6	THE CHAIRMAN: I like your
7	and out of the driveway.	7	MS. GRANT: Anything else for me?
8	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Through the Chair to	8	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes. Through the
9	the applicant, yeah, so if you could bring a	9	Chair, just because we deal with sort of a
10	design, a I guess a site plan of the change	10	quasi-judicial aspect to this, I would like to
11	in proportion that you want to make to the	11	see a site plan with dimensions, what is
12	driveway, and include any type of ornamental	12	existing, what's new, if there's other I
13	brick design accents that you want to do, that	13	don't think you'd fall into this, but when
	- ,		
14	would be helpful, at least for me, to kind of	14	you're talking about the amount of paving,
15	get a full idea of what exactly you want to do.	15	there's other things within the district for
16	MS. GRANT: Do y'all want the pictures of	16	percentage of paving, and
17	all my neighborhood's driveways my	17	And so I think that when you're talking
18	neighbor's driveways to show there's no brick?	18	about changing the shape, changing the size,
19	THE CHAIRMAN: No.	19	there's other considerations here that I
20	MS. GRANT: Okay.	20	wouldn't feel comfortable approving until we
21	And, actually, this is a really old Google	21	have all of that information.
22	thing because that's not our that's the old	22	MS. GRANT: Okay. Thank you.
23	homeowner, so that's not even what the driveway	23	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
24	looks like. I saw the pots; those are not	24	Yeah, so for discussion, this is why I
	-		
25	ours.	25	asked the question of staff, because there's an
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
	54		56
1	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And through the	1	existing condition, there's a there's a
2	Chair, I'd echo kind of what Commissioner	2	brick driveway here.
3	Morgan was saying regarding the brick and	3	Before I ramble, another question for
4	matching the walkway there. And this is just a	4	staff. Is the brick garage is the garage an
5	suggestion. It's up to you how you want to do	5	historic element on the property? There's a
6	your site plan. But if you were able to reuse	6	contributing element on the property. Was it
7	that brick and that banding that you use, maybe	7	built after the house how does it coincide
8	that would help match what's there	8	with the age of the home?
	MS. GRANT: That's what we want to do.	-	
9		9	MS. FIGUEROA: To the Chair, so the
10	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: so it's not	10	existing detached garage is fairly new
11	creating another color of brick that you have	11	construction. It was done within the 2000s.
12	going on between the house and the and the	12	If you would like, we can provide more
13	walkway there.	13	details on that if you would at the next
14	MS. GRANT: That's exactly	14	meeting.
15	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: That's just a	15	THE CHAIRMAN: No, I just wanted to
16	suggestion.	16	establish its relationship to the home, which
17	MS. GRANT: what we want to do.	17	is a contributing structure.
18	Even though it's actually not the same	18	So I think that's something to consider.
19	color, which was odd to me when we bought the	19	And then, again, on the the design
20	house, but, yes, we will reuse that was the	20	guidelines and what is actually approved in
21	plan	21	situations like this, because we have a
22	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay.	22	situation where there's an existing brick drive
	•		
23	MS. GRANT: because to keep it for	23	that runs to the street. It's wide at the
24	costs, so, yes.	24	at the garage, which is not a contributing
25	So all right. So they [sic] just need	25	structure, so it's a more modern structure, and
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	(904) 821-0300 MadamCourtReporter.com		(904) 821-0300 MadamCourtReporter.com

	f Jacksonville ic Preservation Commission		December 11, 2024 Uncertified Condensed Copy
. 115101	57		59
1	then it narrows and goes to the curb.	1	And that's just a suggestion, as something
2	And I respectfully you know, I	2	to think about if you decide to come back with
3	respect the speculation about the curbs and	3	some more information or with a presentation of
4	what's existing and what's not, but we can see	4	the additional information for the drive.
5	even in this photograph that there's a lot of	5	That's my that's my input, but I'd like
6	break lines in the curb that's here, so we	6	to hear from the commissioners some more.
7	can't really be sure where the original curb	7	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair,
8	stopped and started without maybe looking at	8	I would also be very interested in
9	in depth, at Sanborn maps.	9	understanding how this has been installed
10	But to back to the design guidelines,	10	because many times there are bricks or pavers
11	in a situation where this is completely removed	11	installed and they are not installed correctly
12	and then a new drive is proposed, I'm not sure	12 12	for vehicular traffic, which can lead to sinking and issues.
13 14	that a concrete driveway, the full width of the	13 14	You can't just remove the soil and put
14	garage, would be approved, or recommended for approval by staff, based on what we understand	14	sand down and put pavers on top of it and think
16	to be (microphone failure) recommendations in a	16	that that cars and trucks are going to drive
17	situation like this.	17	on it. If that's how this has been
18	And I think that's one of the big things	18	installed it might be a fine material or it
19	for the owner/applicant to consider when coming	19	might not. It might need a paver. And it just
20	back to us, to to be presenting things that	20	might be installed incorrectly. That is my
21	aren't going to be I guess not denied but	21	suspicion because I see that happen all the
22	questioned in that regard because they don't	22	time. I see that happen even with pavers.
23	meet the design guidelines.	23	My next-door neighbors, I watched them
24	You're in a particular you're in a	24	install a paver driveway just on sand, and they
25	peculiar situation right now because you have a	25	drive these huge trucks on it, and it already
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
1	⁵⁸ brick paver driveway that's significantly wide,	1	60 has given them a problem, and I'm like
2	at least at certain points, so I'm not sure	2	(inaudible).
3	what will actually, ultimately, be approved in	3	So I I would sort of see if you have
4	that regard.	4	someone that's going to do this work, if they
5	I don't I don't think it's going to be	5	want to take a few bricks out and see what is
6	suggested that a full concrete driveway be	6	underneath it, and the installation of it might
7	approved be recommended for approval, but	7	just be wrong. So that might be an opportunity
8	that's speculation on my part.	8	for you to salvage what's here and use it
9	So I think that's something to consider.	9	when you come to the next meeting, you bring
10	The other thing understanding the	10	your design too.
11	hearing the applicant speak to the sinking of	11	MS. GRANT: I do have a question. Do you
12	the brick when I'm looking at the brick, I'm	12	mind? I'm sorry.
13	not I'm not sure if that's actually a brick	13	THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward.
14	paver or if it's brick. And so it might be the	14	(Ms. Grant approaches the podium.)
15	wrong material.	15 16	MS. GRANT: So the sidewalk was redone, and you have it was done with sand because
16 17	And so it might be a situation where you could propose replacing and I know there's	16 17	they said it couldn't be done with concrete the
18	an expense attached to everything, but	18	way it was. And every time it rains we
19	replacing the drive again with an actual brick	19	live, you know, in Avondale where it's low, and
20	paver that's set in gravel, compacted gravel	20	where the streets flood. It washes out.
21	and sand, in the proper way, and not like a	21	And so, yes, I do agree, but this actual
22	full brick because it looks like and again,	22	brick cannot be done in concrete because of the
23	these are photographs. It would have to be	23	way it is. So we would just be adding a whole
24	investigated. I'm not sure that's actually a	24	other component of color and everything. And
25	brick paver.	25	so what like we were saying, is to have the
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
1	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
1			

-	f Jacksonville ic Preservation Commission		December 11, 2024
HISLOI	61		Uncertified Condensed Copy 63
1	concrete with pretty brick bands with this	1	line of my discussion here, is that you have a
2	brick to keep the historic look, then adding	2	brick driveway, and so completely proposing to
3	the paver look was where we were going with	3	remove it and put something else is something
4	that.	4	to really think about, right, as opposed to
5	But you are correct, it was set and we	5	repairing a brick driveway, right?
6	didn't do the driveway. The driveway was done,	6	But I again, I think it's something
7	I'm assuming, when they did the house, but	7	to if we can defer, and if you're agreeable
8	we've already had to pull up the sidewalk and	8	to deferring, work it out with staff so that we
9	it literally is sinking.	9	can come back (microphone failure)
10	THE CHAIRMAN: So what I'm hearing from	10	MS. GRANT: Okay.
11	and I'm	11	THE CHAIRMAN: and
12	MS. GRANT: There you go. That's our	12	MS. GRANT: And like my husband said,
13	neighbor (indicating).	13	we're just trying to keep it beautiful. Y'all
14	THE CHAIRMAN: I'm hearing that perhaps we	14	showed a picture of my neighbor with his ten
15	can the suggestion here is that we defer	15	cars parked in his driveway. We take
16	rather than vote. This is a this is a	16	impeccable care of our home and we'll make it
17	suggestion because we're also we're asking	17	beautiful and keep it looking historic, so
18	for more information in terms of a site plan.	18	Thank you all for your time. I appreciate
19	Are you agreeable to that or do you want a vote	19	it.
20 21	today? MS. GRANT: Oh, no. I'm agreeable to	20 21	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So we will declare this COA deferred until the next meeting while
	bring the site plan, but can we still ask for	21	the applicant is working with staff.
22 23	the concrete with the brick border? It's	22	Okay. So let's move on to G(3),
23 24	having the pavers is just	23 24	COA-24-31539, at 321 5th Street East.
25	THE CHAIRMAN: So my suggestion is that	25	We'll open the public hearing and hear a
23	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	25	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
	62		. 64
1			
	that's something to in the workings of	1	report from staff.
2	that's something to in the workings of the getting the site plan and getting more	1 2	report from staff. MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you.
2	the getting the site plan and getting more	2	MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you.
2 3	the getting the site plan and getting more information available, that's a discussion with	2 3	MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31539 is for 321 East 5th Street.
2 3 4	the getting the site plan and getting more information available, that's a discussion with staff, with Planning, so that we can come	2 3 4	MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31539 is for 321 East 5th Street. It seeks to construct a one-story front porch
2 3 4 5	the getting the site plan and getting more information available, that's a discussion with staff, with Planning, so that we can come they can come forward with a recommendation so that we can vote on it because, as Commissioner Epstein stated earlier, we need to vote based	2 3 4 5	MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31539 is for 321 East 5th Street. It seeks to construct a one-story front porch addition and rear door steps to a historic structure. The existing primary structure is a
2 3 4 5 6	the getting the site plan and getting more information available, that's a discussion with staff, with Planning, so that we can come they can come forward with a recommendation so that we can vote on it because, as Commissioner Epstein stated earlier, we need to vote based on information, and so we it can't be about	2 3 4 5 6	MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31539 is for 321 East 5th Street. It seeks to construct a one-story front porch addition and rear door steps to a historic structure. The existing primary structure is a two-story frame vernacular building. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the getting the site plan and getting more information available, that's a discussion with staff, with Planning, so that we can come they can come forward with a recommendation so that we can vote on it because, as Commissioner Epstein stated earlier, we need to vote based on information, and so we it can't be about speculating and (microphone failure) is what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31539 is for 321 East 5th Street. It seeks to construct a one-story front porch addition and rear door steps to a historic structure. The existing primary structure is a two-story frame vernacular building. The structure has a metal roof with a brick chimney
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the getting the site plan and getting more information available, that's a discussion with staff, with Planning, so that we can come they can come forward with a recommendation so that we can vote on it because, as Commissioner Epstein stated earlier, we need to vote based on information, and so we it can't be about speculating and (microphone failure) is what the information is right now.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31539 is for 321 East 5th Street. It seeks to construct a one-story front porch addition and rear door steps to a historic structure. The existing primary structure is a two-story frame vernacular building. The structure has a metal roof with a brick chimney on the east elevation. There are no historic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the getting the site plan and getting more information available, that's a discussion with staff, with Planning, so that we can come they can come forward with a recommendation so that we can vote on it because, as Commissioner Epstein stated earlier, we need to vote based on information, and so we it can't be about speculating and (microphone failure) is what the information is right now. MS. GRANT: Okay. One of you said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31539 is for 321 East 5th Street. It seeks to construct a one-story front porch addition and rear door steps to a historic structure. The existing primary structure is a two-story frame vernacular building. The structure has a metal roof with a brick chimney on the east elevation. There are no historic windows or doors currently within the openings,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the getting the site plan and getting more information available, that's a discussion with staff, with Planning, so that we can come they can come forward with a recommendation so that we can vote on it because, as Commissioner Epstein stated earlier, we need to vote based on information, and so we it can't be about speculating and (microphone failure) is what the information is right now. MS. GRANT: Okay. One of you said something earlier that struck with me. When	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31539 is for 321 East 5th Street. It seeks to construct a one-story front porch addition and rear door steps to a historic structure. The existing primary structure is a two-story frame vernacular building. The structure has a metal roof with a brick chimney on the east elevation. There are no historic windows or doors currently within the openings, and a majority of the structure is missing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the getting the site plan and getting more information available, that's a discussion with staff, with Planning, so that we can come they can come forward with a recommendation so that we can vote on it because, as Commissioner Epstein stated earlier, we need to vote based on information, and so we it can't be about speculating and (microphone failure) is what the information is right now. MS. GRANT: Okay. One of you said something earlier that struck with me. When you were talking about the previous one, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31539 is for 321 East 5th Street. It seeks to construct a one-story front porch addition and rear door steps to a historic structure. The existing primary structure is a two-story frame vernacular building. The structure has a metal roof with a brick chimney on the east elevation. There are no historic windows or doors currently within the openings, and a majority of the structure is missing siding.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the getting the site plan and getting more information available, that's a discussion with staff, with Planning, so that we can come they can come forward with a recommendation so that we can vote on it because, as Commissioner Epstein stated earlier, we need to vote based on information, and so we it can't be about speculating and (microphone failure) is what the information is right now. MS. GRANT: Okay. One of you said something earlier that struck with me. When you were talking about the previous one, you said these windows are keeping in accordance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31539 is for 321 East 5th Street. It seeks to construct a one-story front porch addition and rear door steps to a historic structure. The existing primary structure is a two-story frame vernacular building. The structure has a metal roof with a brick chimney on the east elevation. There are no historic windows or doors currently within the openings, and a majority of the structure is missing siding. A COA has been approved for new Fiberglass
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the getting the site plan and getting more information available, that's a discussion with staff, with Planning, so that we can come they can come forward with a recommendation so that we can vote on it because, as Commissioner Epstein stated earlier, we need to vote based on information, and so we it can't be about speculating and (microphone failure) is what the information is right now. MS. GRANT: Okay. One of you said something earlier that struck with me. When you were talking about the previous one, you said these windows are keeping in accordance with what's in that neighborhood in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31539 is for 321 East 5th Street. It seeks to construct a one-story front porch addition and rear door steps to a historic structure. The existing primary structure is a two-story frame vernacular building. The structure has a metal roof with a brick chimney on the east elevation. There are no historic windows or doors currently within the openings, and a majority of the structure is missing siding. A COA has been approved for new Fiberglass windows, a new rear door, siding installation,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the getting the site plan and getting more information available, that's a discussion with staff, with Planning, so that we can come they can come forward with a recommendation so that we can vote on it because, as Commissioner Epstein stated earlier, we need to vote based on information, and so we it can't be about speculating and (microphone failure) is what the information is right now. MS. GRANT: Okay. One of you said something earlier that struck with me. When you were talking about the previous one, you said these windows are keeping in accordance with what's in that neighborhood in Springfield, and I want would like that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31539 is for 321 East 5th Street. It seeks to construct a one-story front porch addition and rear door steps to a historic structure. The existing primary structure is a two-story frame vernacular building. The structure has a metal roof with a brick chimney on the east elevation. There are no historic windows or doors currently within the openings, and a majority of the structure is missing siding. A COA has been approved for new Fiberglass windows, a new rear door, siding installation, and the repair of a front door and window which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the getting the site plan and getting more information available, that's a discussion with staff, with Planning, so that we can come they can come forward with a recommendation so that we can vote on it because, as Commissioner Epstein stated earlier, we need to vote based on information, and so we it can't be about speculating and (microphone failure) is what the information is right now. MS. GRANT: Okay. One of you said something earlier that struck with me. When you were talking about the previous one, you said these windows are keeping in accordance with what's in that neighborhood in Springfield, and I want would like that consideration with this because not one other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31539 is for 321 East 5th Street. It seeks to construct a one-story front porch addition and rear door steps to a historic structure. The existing primary structure is a two-story frame vernacular building. The structure has a metal roof with a brick chimney on the east elevation. There are no historic windows or doors currently within the openings, and a majority of the structure is missing siding. A COA has been approved for new Fiberglass windows, a new rear door, siding installation, and the repair of a front door and window which are not currently installed on the structure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the getting the site plan and getting more information available, that's a discussion with staff, with Planning, so that we can come they can come forward with a recommendation so that we can vote on it because, as Commissioner Epstein stated earlier, we need to vote based on information, and so we it can't be about speculating and (microphone failure) is what the information is right now. MS. GRANT: Okay. One of you said something earlier that struck with me. When you were talking about the previous one, you said these windows are keeping in accordance with what's in that neighborhood in Springfield, and I want would like that consideration with this because not one other neighbor has an all-brick driveway, so that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31539 is for 321 East 5th Street. It seeks to construct a one-story front porch addition and rear door steps to a historic structure. The existing primary structure is a two-story frame vernacular building. The structure has a metal roof with a brick chimney on the east elevation. There are no historic windows or doors currently within the openings, and a majority of the structure is missing siding. A COA has been approved for new Fiberglass windows, a new rear door, siding installation, and the repair of a front door and window which are not currently installed on the structure but are reported to be historic.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the getting the site plan and getting more information available, that's a discussion with staff, with Planning, so that we can come they can come forward with a recommendation so that we can vote on it because, as Commissioner Epstein stated earlier, we need to vote based on information, and so we it can't be about speculating and (microphone failure) is what the information is right now. MS. GRANT: Okay. One of you said something earlier that struck with me. When you were talking about the previous one, you said these windows are keeping in accordance with what's in that neighborhood in Springfield, and I want would like that consideration with this because not one other neighbor has an all-brick driveway, so that's just one of the things. And a lot of new	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31539 is for 321 East 5th Street. It seeks to construct a one-story front porch addition and rear door steps to a historic structure. The existing primary structure is a two-story frame vernacular building. The structure has a metal roof with a brick chimney on the east elevation. There are no historic windows or doors currently within the openings, and a majority of the structure is missing siding. A COA has been approved for new Fiberglass windows, a new rear door, siding installation, and the repair of a front door and window which are not currently installed on the structure but are reported to be historic. The nonhistoric enclosed addition on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the getting the site plan and getting more information available, that's a discussion with staff, with Planning, so that we can come they can come forward with a recommendation so that we can vote on it because, as Commissioner Epstein stated earlier, we need to vote based on information, and so we it can't be about speculating and (microphone failure) is what the information is right now. MS. GRANT: Okay. One of you said something earlier that struck with me. When you were talking about the previous one, you said these windows are keeping in accordance with what's in that neighborhood in Springfield, and I want would like that consideration with this because not one other neighbor has an all-brick driveway, so that's just one of the things. And a lot of new builds, and they're all solid concrete, so I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31539 is for 321 East 5th Street. It seeks to construct a one-story front porch addition and rear door steps to a historic structure. The existing primary structure is a two-story frame vernacular building. The structure has a metal roof with a brick chimney on the east elevation. There are no historic windows or doors currently within the openings, and a majority of the structure is missing siding. A COA has been approved for new Fiberglass windows, a new rear door, siding installation, and the repair of a front door and window which are not currently installed on the structure but are reported to be historic. The nonhistoric enclosed addition on the front of the building will be demolished, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the getting the site plan and getting more information available, that's a discussion with staff, with Planning, so that we can come they can come forward with a recommendation so that we can vote on it because, as Commissioner Epstein stated earlier, we need to vote based on information, and so we it can't be about speculating and (microphone failure) is what the information is right now. MS. GRANT: Okay. One of you said something earlier that struck with me. When you were talking about the previous one, you said these windows are keeping in accordance with what's in that neighborhood in Springfield, and I want would like that consideration with this because not one other neighbor has an all-brick driveway, so that's just one of the things. And a lot of new builds, and they're all solid concrete, so I would like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31539 is for 321 East 5th Street. It seeks to construct a one-story front porch addition and rear door steps to a historic structure. The existing primary structure is a two-story frame vernacular building. The structure has a metal roof with a brick chimney on the east elevation. There are no historic windows or doors currently within the openings, and a majority of the structure is missing siding. A COA has been approved for new Fiberglass windows, a new rear door, siding installation, and the repair of a front door and window which are not currently installed on the structure but are reported to be historic. The nonhistoric enclosed addition on the front of the building will be demolished, and the front face of the building will be rebuilt,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the getting the site plan and getting more information available, that's a discussion with staff, with Planning, so that we can come they can come forward with a recommendation so that we can vote on it because, as Commissioner Epstein stated earlier, we need to vote based on information, and so we it can't be about speculating and (microphone failure) is what the information is right now. MS. GRANT: Okay. One of you said something earlier that struck with me. When you were talking about the previous one, you said these windows are keeping in accordance with what's in that neighborhood in Springfield, and I want would like that consideration with this because not one other neighbor has an all-brick driveway, so that's just one of the things. And a lot of new builds, and they're all solid concrete, so I would like THE CHAIRMAN: That's the you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31539 is for 321 East 5th Street. It seeks to construct a one-story front porch addition and rear door steps to a historic structure. The existing primary structure is a two-story frame vernacular building. The structure has a metal roof with a brick chimney on the east elevation. There are no historic windows or doors currently within the openings, and a majority of the structure is missing siding. A COA has been approved for new Fiberglass windows, a new rear door, siding installation, and the repair of a front door and window which are not currently installed on the structure but are reported to be historic. The nonhistoric enclosed addition on the front of the building will be demolished, and the front face of the building will be rebuilt, relocating the front door to its historic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the getting the site plan and getting more information available, that's a discussion with staff, with Planning, so that we can come they can come forward with a recommendation so that we can vote on it because, as Commissioner Epstein stated earlier, we need to vote based on information, and so we it can't be about speculating and (microphone failure) is what the information is right now. MS. GRANT: Okay. One of you said something earlier that struck with me. When you were talking about the previous one, you said these windows are keeping in accordance with what's in that neighborhood in Springfield, and I want would like that consideration with this because not one other neighbor has an all-brick driveway, so that's just one of the things. And a lot of new builds, and they're all solid concrete, so I would like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31539 is for 321 East 5th Street. It seeks to construct a one-story front porch addition and rear door steps to a historic structure. The existing primary structure is a two-story frame vernacular building. The structure has a metal roof with a brick chimney on the east elevation. There are no historic windows or doors currently within the openings, and a majority of the structure is missing siding. A COA has been approved for new Fiberglass windows, a new rear door, siding installation, and the repair of a front door and window which are not currently installed on the structure but are reported to be historic. The nonhistoric enclosed addition on the front of the building will be demolished, and the front face of the building will be rebuilt, relocating the front door to its historic location.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the getting the site plan and getting more information available, that's a discussion with staff, with Planning, so that we can come they can come forward with a recommendation so that we can vote on it because, as Commissioner Epstein stated earlier, we need to vote based on information, and so we it can't be about speculating and (microphone failure) is what the information is right now. MS. GRANT: Okay. One of you said something earlier that struck with me. When you were talking about the previous one, you said these windows are keeping in accordance with what's in that neighborhood in Springfield, and I want would like that consideration with this because not one other neighbor has an all-brick driveway, so that's just one of the things. And a lot of new builds, and they're all solid concrete, so I would like THE CHAIRMAN: That's the you know, sorry for interrupting, but (inaudible)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31539 is for 321 East 5th Street. It seeks to construct a one-story front porch addition and rear door steps to a historic structure. The existing primary structure is a two-story frame vernacular building. The structure has a metal roof with a brick chimney on the east elevation. There are no historic windows or doors currently within the openings, and a majority of the structure is missing siding. A COA has been approved for new Fiberglass windows, a new rear door, siding installation, and the repair of a front door and window which are not currently installed on the structure but are reported to be historic. The nonhistoric enclosed addition on the front of the building will be demolished, and the front face of the building will be rebuilt, relocating the front door to its historic location. The front porch will have wooden steps
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the getting the site plan and getting more information available, that's a discussion with staff, with Planning, so that we can come they can come forward with a recommendation so that we can vote on it because, as Commissioner Epstein stated earlier, we need to vote based on information, and so we it can't be about speculating and (microphone failure) is what the information is right now. MS. GRANT: Okay. One of you said something earlier that struck with me. When you were talking about the previous one, you said these windows are keeping in accordance with what's in that neighborhood in Springfield, and I want would like that consideration with this because not one other neighbor has an all-brick driveway, so that's just one of the things. And a lot of new builds, and they're all solid concrete, so I would like THE CHAIRMAN: That's the you know, sorry for interrupting, but (inaudible) MS. GRANT: No	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31539 is for 321 East 5th Street. It seeks to construct a one-story front porch addition and rear door steps to a historic structure. The existing primary structure is a two-story frame vernacular building. The structure has a metal roof with a brick chimney on the east elevation. There are no historic windows or doors currently within the openings, and a majority of the structure is missing siding. A COA has been approved for new Fiberglass windows, a new rear door, siding installation, and the repair of a front door and window which are not currently installed on the structure but are reported to be historic. The nonhistoric enclosed addition on the front of the building will be demolished, and the front face of the building will be rebuilt, relocating the front door to its historic location.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the getting the site plan and getting more information available, that's a discussion with staff, with Planning, so that we can come they can come forward with a recommendation so that we can vote on it because, as Commissioner Epstein stated earlier, we need to vote based on information, and so we it can't be about speculating and (microphone failure) is what the information is right now. MS. GRANT: Okay. One of you said something earlier that struck with me. When you were talking about the previous one, you said these windows are keeping in accordance with what's in that neighborhood in Springfield, and I want would like that consideration with this because not one other neighbor has an all-brick driveway, so that's just one of the things. And a lot of new builds, and they're all solid concrete, so I would like THE CHAIRMAN: That's the you know, sorry for interrupting, but (inaudible) MS. GRANT: No THE CHAIRMAN: That's the that's the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31539 is for 321 East 5th Street. It seeks to construct a one-story front porch addition and rear door steps to a historic structure. The existing primary structure is a two-story frame vernacular building. The structure has a metal roof with a brick chimney on the east elevation. There are no historic windows or doors currently within the openings, and a majority of the structure is missing siding. A COA has been approved for new Fiberglass windows, a new rear door, siding installation, and the repair of a front door and window which are not currently installed on the structure but are reported to be historic. The nonhistoric enclosed addition on the front of the building will be demolished, and the front face of the building will be rebuilt, relocating the front door to its historic location. The front porch will have wooden steps that lead to a wooden porch with a brick wall.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the getting the site plan and getting more information available, that's a discussion with staff, with Planning, so that we can come they can come forward with a recommendation so that we can vote on it because, as Commissioner Epstein stated earlier, we need to vote based on information, and so we it can't be about speculating and (microphone failure) is what the information is right now. MS. GRANT: Okay. One of you said something earlier that struck with me. When you were talking about the previous one, you said these windows are keeping in accordance with what's in that neighborhood in Springfield, and I want would like that consideration with this because not one other neighbor has an all-brick driveway, so that's just one of the things. And a lot of new builds, and they're all solid concrete, so I would like THE CHAIRMAN: That's the you know, sorry for interrupting, but (inaudible) MS. GRANT: No THE CHAIRMAN: That's the that's the Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. ARSENAULT: Thank you. COA-24-31539 is for 321 East 5th Street. It seeks to construct a one-story front porch addition and rear door steps to a historic structure. The existing primary structure is a two-story frame vernacular building. The structure has a metal roof with a brick chimney on the east elevation. There are no historic windows or doors currently within the openings, and a majority of the structure is missing siding. A COA has been approved for new Fiberglass windows, a new rear door, siding installation, and the repair of a front door and window which are not currently installed on the structure but are reported to be historic. The nonhistoric enclosed addition on the front of the building will be demolished, and the front face of the building will be rebuilt, relocating the front door to its historic location. The front porch will have wooden steps that lead to a wooden porch with a brick wall. Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203

	c Preservation Commission	1	Uncertified Condensed Copy
	65	.	67
1	This wall will have multiple brick supports for	1	elevations or just the south elevation.
2	smooth tapered columns which will support the	2	Staff finds the proposed COA consistent
3	porch roof. The porch will wrap around the	3	and compatible with the Design Guidelines and
4	front of the building, toward the west	4	the Ordinance Code criteria. As such, we
5	elevation. The roof of the porch will be	5	forward to you a recommendation for approval
6	5V-Crimp to match the existing material on the	6	with the conditions noted in the report.
7	historic primary structure and the nonhistoric	7	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
8	accessory structure.	8	Do we have any questions for staff?
9	On the rear of the structure, a wooden	9	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
10	deck will be constructed from the rear door to	10	THE CHAIRMAN: No?
11	the backyard. The deck will have a simple	11	Is the applicant present?
12	vertical railing.	12	AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Indicating.)
13	Additions that are readily street visible	13	THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward.
	require review by the Jacksonville Historic	14	(Audience member approaches the podium.)
14			
15	Preservation Commission. The proposed addition	15	THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address
16	would be along the front facade of the building	16	and she will swear you in.
17	and visible from the street.	17	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Doug Cuthbert,
18	The following is staff's analysis: The	18	1616 Waters Edge Drive, Fleming Island,
19	one-story front addition will create additional	19	Florida.
20	living space while retaining all historic	20	THE REPORTER: If you would, raise your
21	street-visible materials on the structure. The	21	right hand for me, please.
22	height, massing, setback, scale, and	22	MR. CUTHBERT: (Complies.)
23	directional expression of the addition are	23	THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the
24	compatible with the existing structure and	24	testimony you are about to give will be the
25	others in the district.	25	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
	66		68
1	As designed, the porch will extend to the	1	truth?
1 2	As designed, the porch will extend to the west elevation; however, staff has conditioned	1 2	truth? MR. CUTHBERT: I do.
2	west elevation; however, staff has conditioned it so that the porch will be oriented either	2	MR. CUTHBERT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you.
2 3	west elevation; however, staff has conditioned it so that the porch will be oriented either along the south and east elevations or only on	2 3	MR. CUTHBERT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Do you care to make a
2 3 4 5	west elevation; however, staff has conditioned it so that the porch will be oriented either along the south and east elevations or only on the south elevation between the edges of the	2 3 4 5	MR. CUTHBERT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Do you care to make a statement?
2 3 4 5 6	west elevation; however, staff has conditioned it so that the porch will be oriented either along the south and east elevations or only on the south elevation between the edges of the building. This is consistent with the historic	2 3 4 5 6	MR. CUTHBERT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Do you care to make a statement? MR. CUTHBERT: Actually, he pretty much
2 3 4 5 6 7	west elevation; however, staff has conditioned it so that the porch will be oriented either along the south and east elevations or only on the south elevation between the edges of the building. This is consistent with the historic layout of the building, which, according to	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. CUTHBERT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Do you care to make a statement? MR. CUTHBERT: Actually, he pretty much Caleb said it all there. I would just the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	west elevation; however, staff has conditioned it so that the porch will be oriented either along the south and east elevations or only on the south elevation between the edges of the building. This is consistent with the historic layout of the building, which, according to 1913 Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, wrapped	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. CUTHBERT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Do you care to make a statement? MR. CUTHBERT: Actually, he pretty much Caleb said it all there. I would just the front porch was the entire house was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	west elevation; however, staff has conditioned it so that the porch will be oriented either along the south and east elevations or only on the south elevation between the edges of the building. This is consistent with the historic layout of the building, which, according to 1913 Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, wrapped around the front of the building towards the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. CUTHBERT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Do you care to make a statement? MR. CUTHBERT: Actually, he pretty much Caleb said it all there. I would just the front porch was the entire house was drastically altered in 1961, and they and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	west elevation; however, staff has conditioned it so that the porch will be oriented either along the south and east elevations or only on the south elevation between the edges of the building. This is consistent with the historic layout of the building, which, according to 1913 Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, wrapped around the front of the building towards the east.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. CUTHBERT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Do you care to make a statement? MR. CUTHBERT: Actually, he pretty much Caleb said it all there. I would just the front porch was the entire house was drastically altered in 1961, and they and then in '85 they built a garage, cinder block
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	west elevation; however, staff has conditioned it so that the porch will be oriented either along the south and east elevations or only on the south elevation between the edges of the building. This is consistent with the historic layout of the building, which, according to 1913 Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, wrapped around the front of the building towards the east. This is consistent with the historic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. CUTHBERT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Do you care to make a statement? MR. CUTHBERT: Actually, he pretty much Caleb said it all there. I would just the front porch was the entire house was drastically altered in 1961, and they and then in '85 they built a garage, cinder block garage next to it. That garage has been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>west elevation; however, staff has conditioned it so that the porch will be oriented either along the south and east elevations or only on the south elevation between the edges of the building. This is consistent with the historic layout of the building, which, according to 1913 Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, wrapped around the front of the building towards the east. This is consistent with the historic district guidelines design guidelines, the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. CUTHBERT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Do you care to make a statement? MR. CUTHBERT: Actually, he pretty much Caleb said it all there. I would just the front porch was the entire house was drastically altered in 1961, and they and then in '85 they built a garage, cinder block garage next to it. That garage has been been there's been an apartment put above
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>west elevation; however, staff has conditioned it so that the porch will be oriented either along the south and east elevations or only on the south elevation between the edges of the building. This is consistent with the historic layout of the building, which, according to 1913 Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, wrapped around the front of the building towards the east. This is consistent with the historic district guidelines design guidelines, the section on additions.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. CUTHBERT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Do you care to make a statement? MR. CUTHBERT: Actually, he pretty much Caleb said it all there. I would just the front porch was the entire house was drastically altered in 1961, and they and then in '85 they built a garage, cinder block garage next to it. That garage has been been there's been an apartment put above that already.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>west elevation; however, staff has conditioned it so that the porch will be oriented either along the south and east elevations or only on the south elevation between the edges of the building. This is consistent with the historic layout of the building, which, according to 1913 Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, wrapped around the front of the building towards the east. This is consistent with the historic district guidelines design guidelines, the section on additions. And staff should note that we received a</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. CUTHBERT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Do you care to make a statement? MR. CUTHBERT: Actually, he pretty much Caleb said it all there. I would just the front porch was the entire house was drastically altered in 1961, and they and then in '85 they built a garage, cinder block garage next to it. That garage has been been there's been an apartment put above that already. So since the garage was put there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>west elevation; however, staff has conditioned it so that the porch will be oriented either along the south and east elevations or only on the south elevation between the edges of the building. This is consistent with the historic layout of the building, which, according to 1913 Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, wrapped around the front of the building towards the east. This is consistent with the historic district guidelines design guidelines, the section on additions. And staff should note that we received a letter from Daniel A. Ashworth, Jr. In the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. CUTHBERT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Do you care to make a statement? MR. CUTHBERT: Actually, he pretty much Caleb said it all there. I would just the front porch was the entire house was drastically altered in 1961, and they and then in '85 they built a garage, cinder block garage next to it. That garage has been been there's been an apartment put above that already. So since the garage was put there according to the 1913 the Sanborn map, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 west elevation; however, staff has conditioned it so that the porch will be oriented either along the south and east elevations or only on the south elevation between the edges of the building. This is consistent with the historic layout of the building, which, according to 1913 Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, wrapped around the front of the building towards the east. This is consistent with the historic district guidelines design guidelines, the section on additions. And staff should note that we received a letter from Daniel A. Ashworth, Jr. In the letter he states, quote, all masonry around the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. CUTHBERT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Do you care to make a statement? MR. CUTHBERT: Actually, he pretty much Caleb said it all there. I would just the front porch was the entire house was drastically altered in 1961, and they and then in '85 they built a garage, cinder block garage next to it. That garage has been been there's been an apartment put above that already. So since the garage was put there according to the 1913 the Sanborn map, the porch wrapped around to the east side. It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>west elevation; however, staff has conditioned it so that the porch will be oriented either along the south and east elevations or only on the south elevation between the edges of the building. This is consistent with the historic layout of the building, which, according to 1913 Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, wrapped around the front of the building towards the east. This is consistent with the historic district guidelines design guidelines, the section on additions. And staff should note that we received a letter from Daniel A. Ashworth, Jr. In the letter he states, quote, all masonry around the porch seems to be too much or out of</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. CUTHBERT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Do you care to make a statement? MR. CUTHBERT: Actually, he pretty much Caleb said it all there. I would just the front porch was the entire house was drastically altered in 1961, and they and then in '85 they built a garage, cinder block garage next to it. That garage has been been there's been an apartment put above that already. So since the garage was put there according to the 1913 the Sanborn map, the porch wrapped around to the east side. It can't it can't go to the east side anymore,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	west elevation; however, staff has conditioned it so that the porch will be oriented either along the south and east elevations or only on the south elevation between the edges of the building. This is consistent with the historic layout of the building, which, according to 1913 Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, wrapped around the front of the building towards the east. This is consistent with the historic district guidelines design guidelines, the section on additions. And staff should note that we received a letter from Daniel A. Ashworth, Jr. In the letter he states, quote, all masonry around the porch seems to be too much or out of proportion, and the porch would look better	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. CUTHBERT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Do you care to make a statement? MR. CUTHBERT: Actually, he pretty much Caleb said it all there. I would just the front porch was the entire house was drastically altered in 1961, and they and then in '85 they built a garage, cinder block garage next to it. That garage has been been there's been an apartment put above that already. So since the garage was put there according to the 1913 the Sanborn map, the porch wrapped around to the east side. It can't it can't go to the east side anymore, because there's a garage 5 feet from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	west elevation; however, staff has conditioned it so that the porch will be oriented either along the south and east elevations or only on the south elevation between the edges of the building. This is consistent with the historic layout of the building, which, according to 1913 Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, wrapped around the front of the building towards the east. This is consistent with the historic district guidelines design guidelines, the section on additions. And staff should note that we received a letter from Daniel A. Ashworth, Jr. In the letter he states, quote, all masonry around the porch seems to be too much or out of proportion, and the porch would look better with wood picket porch rails instead of brick	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14 15 16 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 14 15 16 17 10 17 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	MR. CUTHBERT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Do you care to make a statement? MR. CUTHBERT: Actually, he pretty much Caleb said it all there. I would just the front porch was the entire house was drastically altered in 1961, and they and then in '85 they built a garage, cinder block garage next to it. That garage has been been there's been an apartment put above that already. So since the garage was put there according to the 1913 the Sanborn map, the porch wrapped around to the east side. It can't it can't go to the east side anymore, because there's a garage 5 feet from the from the house on the east side. The two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	west elevation; however, staff has conditioned it so that the porch will be oriented either along the south and east elevations or only on the south elevation between the edges of the building. This is consistent with the historic layout of the building, which, according to 1913 Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, wrapped around the front of the building towards the east. This is consistent with the historic district guidelines design guidelines, the section on additions. And staff should note that we received a letter from Daniel A. Ashworth, Jr. In the letter he states, quote, all masonry around the porch seems to be too much or out of proportion, and the porch would look better with wood picket porch rails instead of brick walls, end quote.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. CUTHBERT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Do you care to make a statement? MR. CUTHBERT: Actually, he pretty much Caleb said it all there. I would just the front porch was the entire house was drastically altered in 1961, and they and then in '85 they built a garage, cinder block garage next to it. That garage has been been there's been an apartment put above that already. So since the garage was put there according to the 1913 the Sanborn map, the porch wrapped around to the east side. It can't it can't go to the east side anymore, because there's a garage 5 feet from the from the house on the east side. The two options we had were just have the porch just in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	west elevation; however, staff has conditioned it so that the porch will be oriented either along the south and east elevations or only on the south elevation between the edges of the building. This is consistent with the historic layout of the building, which, according to 1913 Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, wrapped around the front of the building towards the east. This is consistent with the historic district guidelines design guidelines, the section on additions. And staff should note that we received a letter from Daniel A. Ashworth, Jr. In the letter he states, quote, all masonry around the porch seems to be too much or out of proportion, and the porch would look better with wood picket porch rails instead of brick walls, end quote. Although staff is supportive of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 14 15 6 7 8 9 10 11 21 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 15 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	MR. CUTHBERT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Do you care to make a statement? MR. CUTHBERT: Actually, he pretty much Caleb said it all there. I would just the front porch was the entire house was drastically altered in 1961, and they and then in '85 they built a garage, cinder block garage next to it. That garage has been been there's been an apartment put above that already. So since the garage was put there according to the 1913 the Sanborn map, the porch wrapped around to the east side. It can't it can't go to the east side anymore, because there's a garage 5 feet from the from the house on the east side. The two options we had were just have the porch just in the front or in the front, wrapping around to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22	west elevation; however, staff has conditioned it so that the porch will be oriented either along the south and east elevations or only on the south elevation between the edges of the building. This is consistent with the historic layout of the building, which, according to 1913 Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, wrapped around the front of the building towards the east. This is consistent with the historic district guidelines design guidelines, the section on additions. And staff should note that we received a letter from Daniel A. Ashworth, Jr. In the letter he states, quote, all masonry around the porch seems to be too much or out of proportion, and the porch would look better with wood picket porch rails instead of brick walls, end quote. Although staff is supportive of the applicant's scope of work, we have concerns	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7 8 9 20 21 22	MR. CUTHBERT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Do you care to make a statement? MR. CUTHBERT: Actually, he pretty much Caleb said it all there. I would just the front porch was the entire house was drastically altered in 1961, and they and then in '85 they built a garage, cinder block garage next to it. That garage has been been there's been an apartment put above that already. So since the garage was put there according to the 1913 the Sanborn map, the porch wrapped around to the east side. It can't it can't go to the east side anymore, because there's a garage 5 feet from the from the house on the east side. The two options we had were just have the porch just in the front or in the front, wrapping around to the west side. I'd prefer it to wrap around to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23	west elevation; however, staff has conditioned it so that the porch will be oriented either along the south and east elevations or only on the south elevation between the edges of the building. This is consistent with the historic layout of the building, which, according to 1913 Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, wrapped around the front of the building towards the east. This is consistent with the historic district guidelines design guidelines, the section on additions. And staff should note that we received a letter from Daniel A. Ashworth, Jr. In the letter he states, quote, all masonry around the porch seems to be too much or out of proportion, and the porch would look better with wood picket porch rails instead of brick walls, end quote. Although staff is supportive of the applicant's scope of work, we have concerns about the orientation of the porch; therefore,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 8 9 20 21 22 23	MR. CUTHBERT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Do you care to make a statement? MR. CUTHBERT: Actually, he pretty much Caleb said it all there. I would just the front porch was the entire house was drastically altered in 1961, and they and then in '85 they built a garage, cinder block garage next to it. That garage has been been there's been an apartment put above that already. So since the garage was put there according to the 1913 the Sanborn map, the porch wrapped around to the east side. It can't it can't go to the east side anymore, because there's a garage 5 feet from the from the house on the east side. The two options we had were just have the porch just in the front or in the front, wrapping around to the west side. I'd prefer it to wrap around to the west side.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24	 west elevation; however, staff has conditioned it so that the porch will be oriented either along the south and east elevations or only on the south elevation between the edges of the building. This is consistent with the historic layout of the building, which, according to 1913 Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, wrapped around the front of the building towards the east. This is consistent with the historic district guidelines design guidelines, the section on additions. And staff should note that we received a letter from Daniel A. Ashworth, Jr. In the letter he states, quote, all masonry around the porch seems to be too much or out of proportion, and the porch would look better with wood picket porch rails instead of brick walls, end quote. Although staff is supportive of the applicant's scope of work, we have concerns about the orientation of the porch; therefore, we recommend in Bullet Point 2 that the porch 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 4 15 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 14 15 16 17 8 9 20 21 22 23 24	MR. CUTHBERT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Do you care to make a statement? MR. CUTHBERT: Actually, he pretty much Caleb said it all there. I would just the front porch was the entire house was drastically altered in 1961, and they and then in '85 they built a garage, cinder block garage next to it. That garage has been been there's been an apartment put above that already. So since the garage was put there according to the 1913 the Sanborn map, the porch wrapped around to the east side. It can't it can't go to the east side anymore, because there's a garage 5 feet from the from the house on the east side. The two options we had were just have the porch just in the front or in the front, wrapping around to the west side. I'd prefer it to wrap around to the west side. The proposed the proposed Emerald Trail
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23	 west elevation; however, staff has conditioned it so that the porch will be oriented either along the south and east elevations or only on the south elevation between the edges of the building. This is consistent with the historic layout of the building, which, according to 1913 Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, wrapped around the front of the building towards the east. This is consistent with the historic district guidelines design guidelines, the section on additions. And staff should note that we received a letter from Daniel A. Ashworth, Jr. In the letter he states, quote, all masonry around the porch seems to be too much or out of proportion, and the porch would look better with wood picket porch rails instead of brick walls, end quote. Although staff is supportive of the applicant's scope of work, we have concerns about the orientation of the porch; therefore, we recommend in Bullet Point 2 that the porch be oriented either along the south and east 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 8 9 20 21 22 23	MR. CUTHBERT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Do you care to make a statement? MR. CUTHBERT: Actually, he pretty much Caleb said it all there. I would just the front porch was the entire house was drastically altered in 1961, and they and then in '85 they built a garage, cinder block garage next to it. That garage has been been there's been an apartment put above that already. So since the garage was put there according to the 1913 the Sanborn map, the porch wrapped around to the east side. It can't it can't go to the east side anymore, because there's a garage 5 feet from the from the house on the east side. The two options we had were just have the porch just in the front or in the front, wrapping around to the west side. I'd prefer it to wrap around to the west side. The proposed the proposed Emerald Trail is right along the west side of of the house
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24	 west elevation; however, staff has conditioned it so that the porch will be oriented either along the south and east elevations or only on the south elevation between the edges of the building. This is consistent with the historic layout of the building, which, according to 1913 Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, wrapped around the front of the building towards the east. This is consistent with the historic district guidelines design guidelines, the section on additions. And staff should note that we received a letter from Daniel A. Ashworth, Jr. In the letter he states, quote, all masonry around the porch seems to be too much or out of proportion, and the porch would look better with wood picket porch rails instead of brick walls, end quote. Although staff is supportive of the applicant's scope of work, we have concerns about the orientation of the porch; therefore, we recommend in Bullet Point 2 that the porch 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 4 15 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 14 15 16 17 8 9 20 21 22 23 24	MR. CUTHBERT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Do you care to make a statement? MR. CUTHBERT: Actually, he pretty much Caleb said it all there. I would just the front porch was the entire house was drastically altered in 1961, and they and then in '85 they built a garage, cinder block garage next to it. That garage has been been there's been an apartment put above that already. So since the garage was put there according to the 1913 the Sanborn map, the porch wrapped around to the east side. It can't it can't go to the east side anymore, because there's a garage 5 feet from the from the house on the east side. The two options we had were just have the porch just in the front or in the front, wrapping around to the west side. I'd prefer it to wrap around to the west side. The proposed the proposed Emerald Trail
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24	 west elevation; however, staff has conditioned it so that the porch will be oriented either along the south and east elevations or only on the south elevation between the edges of the building. This is consistent with the historic layout of the building, which, according to 1913 Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, wrapped around the front of the building towards the east. This is consistent with the historic district guidelines design guidelines, the section on additions. And staff should note that we received a letter from Daniel A. Ashworth, Jr. In the letter he states, quote, all masonry around the porch seems to be too much or out of proportion, and the porch would look better with wood picket porch rails instead of brick walls, end quote. Although staff is supportive of the applicant's scope of work, we have concerns about the orientation of the porch; therefore, we recommend in Bullet Point 2 that the porch be oriented either along the south and east 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 4 15 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 14 15 16 17 8 9 20 21 22 23 24	MR. CUTHBERT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Do you care to make a statement? MR. CUTHBERT: Actually, he pretty much Caleb said it all there. I would just the front porch was the entire house was drastically altered in 1961, and they and then in '85 they built a garage, cinder block garage next to it. That garage has been been there's been an apartment put above that already. So since the garage was put there according to the 1913 the Sanborn map, the porch wrapped around to the east side. It can't it can't go to the east side anymore, because there's a garage 5 feet from the from the house on the east side. The two options we had were just have the porch just in the front or in the front, wrapping around to the west side. I'd prefer it to wrap around to the west side. The proposed the proposed Emerald Trail is right along the west side of of the house
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	west elevation; however, staff has conditioned it so that the porch will be oriented either along the south and east elevations or only on the south elevation between the edges of the building. This is consistent with the historic layout of the building, which, according to 1913 Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, wrapped around the front of the building towards the east. This is consistent with the historic district guidelines design guidelines, the section on additions. And staff should note that we received a letter from Daniel A. Ashworth, Jr. In the letter he states, quote, all masonry around the porch seems to be too much or out of proportion, and the porch would look better with wood picket porch rails instead of brick walls, end quote. Although staff is supportive of the applicant's scope of work, we have concerns about the orientation of the porch; therefore, we recommend in Bullet Point 2 that the porch be oriented either along the south and east Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 4 15 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 14 15 16 17 8 9 20 21 22 23 24	MR. CUTHBERT: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Do you care to make a statement? MR. CUTHBERT: Actually, he pretty much Caleb said it all there. I would just the front porch was the entire house was drastically altered in 1961, and they and then in '85 they built a garage, cinder block garage next to it. That garage has been been there's been an apartment put above that already. So since the garage was put there according to the 1913 the Sanborn map, the porch wrapped around to the east side. It can't it can't go to the east side anymore, because there's a garage 5 feet from the from the house on the east side. The two options we had were just have the porch just in the front or in the front, wrapping around to the west side. I'd prefer it to wrap around to the west side. The proposed the proposed Emerald Trail is right along the west side of of the house Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203

	ic Preservation Commission	1	Uncertified Condensed Copy
	69		71
1	there, so the porch would it would be quite	1	system, are you thinking that maintaining the
2	more inviting because the porch would be on	2	column base would still be the brick or
3	the East 5th. It would face East 5th and	3	MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah. That what I'm
4	the Emerald Trail, so just, you know, a little	4	thinking is that the columns the I have
5	more visible.	5	the columns, and I I'd like to have the
6	So bottom line, we can't wrap it around to	6	brick I'm not an architect the pilasters
7	the east, so we'd like to wrap it around to the	7	or whatever, they come up just like in the
8	west. Or if you don't think that's a good	8	drawing that you see, but with it would be
		-	
9	idea, I'll do whatever you guys tell me to do.	9	fine with wooden you know, wooden fencing in
10	THE CHAIRMAN: And so just to just to	10	between them.
11	clarify for everyone here, this property is	11	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair,
12	located on the in a particular spot in the	12	so you would want to keep the height of the
13	H alley, so that the Emerald Trail is part of	13	base of the column where it's shown in your
		-	-
14	that alley that's running on the	14	drawings?
15	MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah. The Emerald Trail	15	MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah. I would like to keep
16	will run on the alley between Liberty and	16	the base because the columns that I have are
17	Walnut, which is right to the right to the	17	6 feet, so
18	side of that house, so that the porch that	18	MR. ARSENAULT: Through the Chair to the
		-	-
19	wraps around will face the Emerald Trail and	19	Commission, staff currently does not have a
20	the street.	20	condition for changing the brick to wood picket
21	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Do we have any	21	porch rails. That was a comment by the public.
22	questions for the applicant?	22	COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Is that not
23	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yes. Through the	23	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: It's on one of the
24	Chair, it looks to me like the biggest change	24	conditions on there, I believe.
25	to the conditions they added there was the	25	MR. ARSENAULT: Yes. That's for the rear,
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
	70		72
		4	
1	change from prick to wood pailistrages or wood		for the rear norch because the rear will be a
1	change from brick to wood balustrades or wood	1	for the rear porch, because the rear will be a
2	composite material. Is that acceptable to you?	2	deck.
	composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again?		deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh.
2	composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The	2	deck.
2 3	composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The	2 3	deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh.
2 3 4 5	composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The main change, it	2 3 4 5	deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. MR. ARSENAULT: But those conditions do not cover the front.
2 3 4 5 6	composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The main change, it looks like, from what your drawings are here to	2 3 4 5 6	deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. MR. ARSENAULT: But those conditions do not cover the front. THE CHAIRMAN: That was a recommendation
2 3 4 5 6 7	composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The main change, it looks like, from what your drawings are here to what the staff has placed as a condition	2 3 4 5 6 7	deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. MR. ARSENAULT: But those conditions do not cover the front. THE CHAIRMAN: That was a recommendation from SPAR, I guess.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The main change, it looks like, from what your drawings are here to what the staff has placed as a condition MR. CUTHBERT: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. MR. ARSENAULT: But those conditions do not cover the front. THE CHAIRMAN: That was a recommendation from SPAR, I guess. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: On the condition,
2 3 4 5 6 7	composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The main change, it looks like, from what your drawings are here to what the staff has placed as a condition MR. CUTHBERT: Okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: are the brick	2 3 4 5 6 7	deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. MR. ARSENAULT: But those conditions do not cover the front. THE CHAIRMAN: That was a recommendation from SPAR, I guess. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: On the condition, there isn't a number to it, but it says the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The main change, it looks like, from what your drawings are here to what the staff has placed as a condition MR. CUTHBERT: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. MR. ARSENAULT: But those conditions do not cover the front. THE CHAIRMAN: That was a recommendation from SPAR, I guess. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: On the condition,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The main change, it looks like, from what your drawings are here to what the staff has placed as a condition MR. CUTHBERT: Okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: are the brick	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. MR. ARSENAULT: But those conditions do not cover the front. THE CHAIRMAN: That was a recommendation from SPAR, I guess. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: On the condition, there isn't a number to it, but it says the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The main change, it looks like, from what your drawings are here to what the staff has placed as a condition MR. CUTHBERT: Okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: are the brick front that you have on here. Do you see on the elevations?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. MR. ARSENAULT: But those conditions do not cover the front. THE CHAIRMAN: That was a recommendation from SPAR, I guess. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: On the condition, there isn't a number to it, but it says the railing system should be made of wood or wood composite materials. That's not referring to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The main change, it looks like, from what your drawings are here to what the staff has placed as a condition MR. CUTHBERT: Okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: are the brick front that you have on here. Do you see on the elevations? MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. MR. ARSENAULT: But those conditions do not cover the front. THE CHAIRMAN: That was a recommendation from SPAR, I guess. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: On the condition, there isn't a number to it, but it says the railing system should be made of wood or wood composite materials. That's not referring to that front porch?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The main change, it looks like, from what your drawings are here to what the staff has placed as a condition MR. CUTHBERT: Okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: are the brick front that you have on here. Do you see on the elevations? MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And they are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. MR. ARSENAULT: But those conditions do not cover the front. THE CHAIRMAN: That was a recommendation from SPAR, I guess. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: On the condition, there isn't a number to it, but it says the railing system should be made of wood or wood composite materials. That's not referring to that front porch? MR. ARSENAULT: Correct. No, that refers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The main change, it looks like, from what your drawings are here to what the staff has placed as a condition MR. CUTHBERT: Okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: are the brick front that you have on here. Do you see on the elevations? MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And they are converting that to wood or a wood composite	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. MR. ARSENAULT: But those conditions do not cover the front. THE CHAIRMAN: That was a recommendation from SPAR, I guess. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: On the condition, there isn't a number to it, but it says the railing system should be made of wood or wood composite materials. That's not referring to that front porch? MR. ARSENAULT: Correct. No, that refers to the rear porch.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The main change, it looks like, from what your drawings are here to what the staff has placed as a condition MR. CUTHBERT: Okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: are the brick front that you have on here. Do you see on the elevations? MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And they are converting that to wood or a wood composite material, it looks like, with the conditions.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. MR. ARSENAULT: But those conditions do not cover the front. THE CHAIRMAN: That was a recommendation from SPAR, I guess. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: On the condition, there isn't a number to it, but it says the railing system should be made of wood or wood composite materials. That's not referring to that front porch? MR. ARSENAULT: Correct. No, that refers to the rear porch. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. That makes more sense.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The main change, it looks like, from what your drawings are here to what the staff has placed as a condition MR. CUTHBERT: Okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: are the brick front that you have on here. Do you see on the elevations? MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And they are converting that to wood or a wood composite material, it looks like, with the conditions. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. Actually, I guess I</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. MR. ARSENAULT: But those conditions do not cover the front. THE CHAIRMAN: That was a recommendation from SPAR, I guess. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: On the condition, there isn't a number to it, but it says the railing system should be made of wood or wood composite materials. That's not referring to that front porch? MR. ARSENAULT: Correct. No, that refers to the rear porch. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. That makes more sense. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The main change, it looks like, from what your drawings are here to what the staff has placed as a condition MR. CUTHBERT: Okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: are the brick front that you have on here. Do you see on the elevations? MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And they are converting that to wood or a wood composite material, it looks like, with the conditions.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. MR. ARSENAULT: But those conditions do not cover the front. THE CHAIRMAN: That was a recommendation from SPAR, I guess. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: On the condition, there isn't a number to it, but it says the railing system should be made of wood or wood composite materials. That's not referring to that front porch? MR. ARSENAULT: Correct. No, that refers to the rear porch. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. That makes more sense.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The main change, it looks like, from what your drawings are here to what the staff has placed as a condition MR. CUTHBERT: Okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: are the brick front that you have on here. Do you see on the elevations? MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And they are converting that to wood or a wood composite material, it looks like, with the conditions. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. Actually, I guess I</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. MR. ARSENAULT: But those conditions do not cover the front. THE CHAIRMAN: That was a recommendation from SPAR, I guess. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: On the condition, there isn't a number to it, but it says the railing system should be made of wood or wood composite materials. That's not referring to that front porch? MR. ARSENAULT: Correct. No, that refers to the rear porch. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. That makes more sense. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The main change, it looks like, from what your drawings are here to what the staff has placed as a condition MR. CUTHBERT: Okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: are the brick front that you have on here. Do you see on the elevations? MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And they are converting that to wood or a wood composite material, it looks like, with the conditions. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. Actually, I guess I misunderstood that. I yeah, that's fine. That would be fine.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. MR. ARSENAULT: But those conditions do not cover the front. THE CHAIRMAN: That was a recommendation from SPAR, I guess. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: On the condition, there isn't a number to it, but it says the railing system should be made of wood or wood composite materials. That's not referring to that front porch? MR. ARSENAULT: Correct. No, that refers to the rear porch. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. That makes more sense. Okay. MR. ARSENAULT: Staff would be agreeable to the change if it were to be wood on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The main change, it looks like, from what your drawings are here to what the staff has placed as a condition MR. CUTHBERT: Okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: are the brick front that you have on here. Do you see on the elevations? MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And they are converting that to wood or a wood composite material, it looks like, with the conditions. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. Actually, I guess I misunderstood that. I yeah, that's fine. That would be fine. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. MR. ARSENAULT: But those conditions do not cover the front. THE CHAIRMAN: That was a recommendation from SPAR, I guess. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: On the condition, there isn't a number to it, but it says the railing system should be made of wood or wood composite materials. That's not referring to that front porch? MR. ARSENAULT: Correct. No, that refers to the rear porch. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. That makes more sense. Okay. MR. ARSENAULT: Staff would be agreeable to the change if it were to be wood on the front.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The main change, it looks like, from what your drawings are here to what the staff has placed as a condition MR. CUTHBERT: Okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: are the brick front that you have on here. Do you see on the elevations? MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And they are converting that to wood or a wood composite material, it looks like, with the conditions. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. Actually, I guess I misunderstood that. I yeah, that's fine. That would be fine. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you. MR. CUTHBERT: It would be cheaper, too.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. MR. ARSENAULT: But those conditions do not cover the front. THE CHAIRMAN: That was a recommendation from SPAR, I guess. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: On the condition, there isn't a number to it, but it says the railing system should be made of wood or wood composite materials. That's not referring to that front porch? MR. ARSENAULT: Correct. No, that refers to the rear porch. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. That makes more sense. Okay. MR. ARSENAULT: Staff would be agreeable to the change if it were to be wood on the front. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Gotcha. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The main change, it looks like, from what your drawings are here to what the staff has placed as a condition MR. CUTHBERT: Okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: are the brick front that you have on here. Do you see on the elevations? MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And they are converting that to wood or a wood composite material, it looks like, with the conditions. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. Actually, I guess I misunderstood that. I yeah, that's fine. That would be fine. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you. MR. CUTHBERT: It would be cheaper, too. THE CHAIRMAN: And then any other</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. MR. ARSENAULT: But those conditions do not cover the front. THE CHAIRMAN: That was a recommendation from SPAR, I guess. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: On the condition, there isn't a number to it, but it says the railing system should be made of wood or wood composite materials. That's not referring to that front porch? MR. ARSENAULT: Correct. No, that refers to the rear porch. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. That makes more sense. Okay. MR. ARSENAULT: Staff would be agreeable to the change if it were to be wood on the front. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Gotcha. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The main change, it looks like, from what your drawings are here to what the staff has placed as a condition MR. CUTHBERT: Okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: are the brick front that you have on here. Do you see on the elevations? MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And they are converting that to wood or a wood composite material, it looks like, with the conditions. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. Actually, I guess I misunderstood that. I yeah, that's fine. That would be fine. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you. MR. CUTHBERT: It would be cheaper, too. THE CHAIRMAN: And then any other questions for the applicant?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22	deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. MR. ARSENAULT: But those conditions do not cover the front. THE CHAIRMAN: That was a recommendation from SPAR, I guess. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: On the condition, there isn't a number to it, but it says the railing system should be made of wood or wood composite materials. That's not referring to that front porch? MR. ARSENAULT: Correct. No, that refers to the rear porch. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. That makes more sense. Okay. MR. ARSENAULT: Staff would be agreeable to the change if it were to be wood on the front. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Gotcha. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the applicant?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The main change, it looks like, from what your drawings are here to what the staff has placed as a condition MR. CUTHBERT: Okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: are the brick front that you have on here. Do you see on the elevations? MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And they are converting that to wood or a wood composite material, it looks like, with the conditions. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. Actually, I guess I misunderstood that. I yeah, that's fine. That would be fine. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you. MR. CUTHBERT: It would be cheaper, too. THE CHAIRMAN: And then any other</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. MR. ARSENAULT: But those conditions do not cover the front. THE CHAIRMAN: That was a recommendation from SPAR, I guess. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: On the condition, there isn't a number to it, but it says the railing system should be made of wood or wood composite materials. That's not referring to that front porch? MR. ARSENAULT: Correct. No, that refers to the rear porch. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. That makes more sense. Okay. MR. ARSENAULT: Staff would be agreeable to the change if it were to be wood on the front. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Gotcha. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The main change, it looks like, from what your drawings are here to what the staff has placed as a condition MR. CUTHBERT: Okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: are the brick front that you have on here. Do you see on the elevations? MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And they are converting that to wood or a wood composite material, it looks like, with the conditions. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. Actually, I guess I misunderstood that. I yeah, that's fine. That would be fine. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you. MR. CUTHBERT: It would be cheaper, too. THE CHAIRMAN: And then any other questions for the applicant?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22	deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. MR. ARSENAULT: But those conditions do not cover the front. THE CHAIRMAN: That was a recommendation from SPAR, I guess. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: On the condition, there isn't a number to it, but it says the railing system should be made of wood or wood composite materials. That's not referring to that front porch? MR. ARSENAULT: Correct. No, that refers to the rear porch. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. That makes more sense. Okay. MR. ARSENAULT: Staff would be agreeable to the change if it were to be wood on the front. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Gotcha. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the applicant?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The main change, it looks like, from what your drawings are here to what the staff has placed as a condition MR. CUTHBERT: Okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: are the brick front that you have on here. Do you see on the elevations? MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And they are converting that to wood or a wood composite material, it looks like, with the conditions. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. Actually, I guess I misunderstood that. I yeah, that's fine. That would be fine. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you. MR. CUTHBERT: It would be cheaper, too. THE CHAIRMAN: And then any other questions for the applicant? I have one more. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24	deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. MR. ARSENAULT: But those conditions do not cover the front. THE CHAIRMAN: That was a recommendation from SPAR, I guess. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: On the condition, there isn't a number to it, but it says the railing system should be made of wood or wood composite materials. That's not referring to that front porch? MR. ARSENAULT: Correct. No, that refers to the rear porch. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. That makes more sense. Okay. MR. ARSENAULT: Staff would be agreeable to the change if it were to be wood on the front. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Gotcha. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we need to hear from you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The main change, it looks like, from what your drawings are here to what the staff has placed as a condition MR. CUTHBERT: Okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: are the brick front that you have on here. Do you see on the elevations? MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And they are converting that to wood or a wood composite material, it looks like, with the conditions. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. Actually, I guess I misunderstood that. I yeah, that's fine. That would be fine. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you. MR. CUTHBERT: It would be cheaper, too. THE CHAIRMAN: And then any other questions for the applicant? I have one more. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair to the staff, when you talk about the railing</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. MR. ARSENAULT: But those conditions do not cover the front. THE CHAIRMAN: That was a recommendation from SPAR, I guess. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: On the condition, there isn't a number to it, but it says the railing system should be made of wood or wood composite materials. That's not referring to that front porch? MR. ARSENAULT: Correct. No, that refers to the rear porch. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. That makes more sense. Okay. MR. ARSENAULT: Staff would be agreeable to the change if it were to be wood on the front. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Gotcha. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we need to hear from you again, we'll call you back up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The main change, it looks like, from what your drawings are here to what the staff has placed as a condition MR. CUTHBERT: Okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: are the brick front that you have on here. Do you see on the elevations? MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And they are converting that to wood or a wood composite material, it looks like, with the conditions. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. Actually, I guess I misunderstood that. I yeah, that's fine. That would be fine. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you. MR. CUTHBERT: It would be cheaper, too. THE CHAIRMAN: And then any other questions for the applicant? I have one more. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair to the staff, when you talk about the railing Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24	deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. MR. ARSENAULT: But those conditions do not cover the front. THE CHAIRMAN: That was a recommendation from SPAR, I guess. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: On the condition, there isn't a number to it, but it says the railing system should be made of wood or wood composite materials. That's not referring to that front porch? MR. ARSENAULT: Correct. No, that refers to the rear porch. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. That makes more sense. Okay. MR. ARSENAULT: Staff would be agreeable to the change if it were to be wood on the front. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Gotcha. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we need to hear from you again, we'll call you back up. Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The main change, it looks like, from what your drawings are here to what the staff has placed as a condition MR. CUTHBERT: Okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: are the brick front that you have on here. Do you see on the elevations? MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And they are converting that to wood or a wood composite material, it looks like, with the conditions. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. Actually, I guess I misunderstood that. I yeah, that's fine. That would be fine. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you. MR. CUTHBERT: It would be cheaper, too. THE CHAIRMAN: And then any other questions for the applicant? I have one more. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair to the staff, when you talk about the railing Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24	deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. MR. ARSENAULT: But those conditions do not cover the front. THE CHAIRMAN: That was a recommendation from SPAR, I guess. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: On the condition, there isn't a number to it, but it says the railing system should be made of wood or wood composite materials. That's not referring to that front porch? MR. ARSENAULT: Correct. No, that refers to the rear porch. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. That makes more sense. Okay. MR. ARSENAULT: Staff would be agreeable to the change if it were to be wood on the front. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Gotcha. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we need to hear from you again, we'll call you back up. Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	composite material. Is that acceptable to you? MR. CUTHBERT: Could you say that again? I'm sorry. The COMMISSIONER GREGORY: The main change, it looks like, from what your drawings are here to what the staff has placed as a condition MR. CUTHBERT: Okay. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: are the brick front that you have on here. Do you see on the elevations? MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And they are converting that to wood or a wood composite material, it looks like, with the conditions. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. Actually, I guess I misunderstood that. I yeah, that's fine. That would be fine. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you. MR. CUTHBERT: It would be cheaper, too. THE CHAIRMAN: And then any other questions for the applicant? I have one more. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair to the staff, when you talk about the railing Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24	deck. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. MR. ARSENAULT: But those conditions do not cover the front. THE CHAIRMAN: That was a recommendation from SPAR, I guess. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: On the condition, there isn't a number to it, but it says the railing system should be made of wood or wood composite materials. That's not referring to that front porch? MR. ARSENAULT: Correct. No, that refers to the rear porch. MR. CUTHBERT: Oh. That makes more sense. Okay. MR. ARSENAULT: Staff would be agreeable to the change if it were to be wood on the front. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Gotcha. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: If we need to hear from you again, we'll call you back up. Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203

	r Jacksonville ic Preservation Commission		Uncertified Condensed Copy
	73		75
1	MR. CUTHBERT: What's that?	1	the Commission, how do we feel about having the
2	THE CHAIRMAN: If we need you to come back	2	porch orientated to the west, as the applicant
	-	3	would like?
3	up, we'll call you.	-	
4	MR. CUTHBERT: Okay. Thanks.	4	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. That's one of the
5	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Is there anyone	5	bigger discussions.
6	else here today to speak to this COA?	6	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair,
7	AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)	7	I actually don't have a big issue with it
8	THE CHAIRMAN: We'll close the public	8	because I think now that there is the garage to
	•	-	the east, that it actually balances out the
9	hearing and entertain a motion.	9	
10	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair	10	architecture on the site.
11	to Counsel, I have a question. The conditions	11	COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to
12	don't have numbers on this COA, so if we were	12	the Commission, I love the wraparound porches,
13	to amend condition bullet point the	13	and so many of those have been lost in
14	fourth bullet for the railing system to mention	14	Springfield. And considering it's not possible
15	the railing system at the front porch and the	15	to completely replicate the original, I think
16	rear porch, how would we do such without the	16	it's a great idea.
17	numbering?	17	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Hoff, do you
18	MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to	18	have an opinion?
19	Commissioner Epstein, staff has a revised staff	19	COMMISSIONER HOFF: I would tend to agree.
20	report with numbers, so Mr. Wells is going to	20	THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, could you bring
21	pull that up on the screen so you can reference	21	that rendered elevation back up you just
		22	showed?
22	numbers when amending those conditions.		
23	COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to	23	MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to the
24	Commissioner Epstein, are you recommending that	24	Commission, yeah, this is some additional
25	it be the wood spindles on both?	25	context here.
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
	74		76
1	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair	1	So this particular property was approved
	to Commissioner Morgan, I I think, if I was	2	
2			for a COA for now construction of a two-story
•			for a COA for new construction of a two-story
3	to put a motion together, that that would be my	3	accessory garage here, as well as restoration
3 4	to put a motion together, that that would be my motion, but there is no motion now.		accessory garage here, as well as restoration of the first-floor porch, wraparound, back in
	to put a motion together, that that would be my	3	accessory garage here, as well as restoration
4	to put a motion together, that that would be my motion, but there is no motion now.	3 4	accessory garage here, as well as restoration of the first-floor porch, wraparound, back in
4 5 6	to put a motion together, that that would be my motion, but there is no motion now. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. If I could interrupt, I think we need a motion before we really start	3 4 5	accessory garage here, as well as restoration of the first-floor porch, wraparound, back in 2017. So on your screens right now is a depiction of that. Obviously, the porch
4 5 6 7	to put a motion together, that that would be my motion, but there is no motion now. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. If I could interrupt, I think we need a motion before we really start discussing.	3 4 5 6 7	accessory garage here, as well as restoration of the first-floor porch, wraparound, back in 2017. So on your screens right now is a depiction of that. Obviously, the porch restoration never materialized, but the new
4 5 6 7 8	to put a motion together, that that would be my motion, but there is no motion now. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. If I could interrupt, I think we need a motion before we really start discussing. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So motion to	3 4 5 6 7 8	accessory garage here, as well as restoration of the first-floor porch, wraparound, back in 2017. So on your screens right now is a depiction of that. Obviously, the porch restoration never materialized, but the new construction garage was constructed.
4 5 6 7 8 9	to put a motion together, that that would be my motion, but there is no motion now. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. If I could interrupt, I think we need a motion before we really start discussing. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So motion to approve COA-24-31539 at 321 5th Street East,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	accessory garage here, as well as restoration of the first-floor porch, wraparound, back in 2017. So on your screens right now is a depiction of that. Obviously, the porch restoration never materialized, but the new construction garage was constructed. So what I'll do is just scroll through the
4 5 7 8 9 10	to put a motion together, that that would be my motion, but there is no motion now. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. If I could interrupt, I think we need a motion before we really start discussing. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So motion to approve COA-24-31539 at 321 5th Street East, amending Condition Number 4 to read, "The	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	accessory garage here, as well as restoration of the first-floor porch, wraparound, back in 2017. So on your screens right now is a depiction of that. Obviously, the porch restoration never materialized, but the new construction garage was constructed. So what I'll do is just scroll through the images so ideally, this is what the
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	to put a motion together, that that would be my motion, but there is no motion now. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. If I could interrupt, I think we need a motion before we really start discussing. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So motion to approve COA-24-31539 at 321 5th Street East, amending Condition Number 4 to read, "The railing system at the front porch and the rear	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	accessory garage here, as well as restoration of the first-floor porch, wraparound, back in 2017. So on your screens right now is a depiction of that. Obviously, the porch restoration never materialized, but the new construction garage was constructed. So what I'll do is just scroll through the images so ideally, this is what the condition would read as if you were to approve
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	to put a motion together, that that would be my motion, but there is no motion now. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. If I could interrupt, I think we need a motion before we really start discussing. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So motion to approve COA-24-31539 at 321 5th Street East, amending Condition Number 4 to read, "The railing system at the front porch and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	accessory garage here, as well as restoration of the first-floor porch, wraparound, back in 2017. So on your screens right now is a depiction of that. Obviously, the porch restoration never materialized, but the new construction garage was constructed. So what I'll do is just scroll through the images so ideally, this is what the condition would read as if you were to approve it as is, and it does connect to the garage
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	to put a motion together, that that would be my motion, but there is no motion now. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. If I could interrupt, I think we need a motion before we really start discussing. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So motion to approve COA-24-31539 at 321 5th Street East, amending Condition Number 4 to read, "The railing system at the front porch and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials."	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	accessory garage here, as well as restoration of the first-floor porch, wraparound, back in 2017. So on your screens right now is a depiction of that. Obviously, the porch restoration never materialized, but the new construction garage was constructed. So what I'll do is just scroll through the images so ideally, this is what the condition would read as if you were to approve it as is, and it does connect to the garage based on the site plan. But again, this is a
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	to put a motion together, that that would be my motion, but there is no motion now. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. If I could interrupt, I think we need a motion before we really start discussing. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So motion to approve COA-24-31539 at 321 5th Street East, amending Condition Number 4 to read, "The railing system at the front porch and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	accessory garage here, as well as restoration of the first-floor porch, wraparound, back in 2017. So on your screens right now is a depiction of that. Obviously, the porch restoration never materialized, but the new construction garage was constructed. So what I'll do is just scroll through the images so ideally, this is what the condition would read as if you were to approve it as is, and it does connect to the garage
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to put a motion together, that that would be my motion, but there is no motion now. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. If I could interrupt, I think we need a motion before we really start discussing. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So motion to approve COA-24-31539 at 321 5th Street East, amending Condition Number 4 to read, "The railing system at the front porch and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials."	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	accessory garage here, as well as restoration of the first-floor porch, wraparound, back in 2017. So on your screens right now is a depiction of that. Obviously, the porch restoration never materialized, but the new construction garage was constructed. So what I'll do is just scroll through the images so ideally, this is what the condition would read as if you were to approve it as is, and it does connect to the garage based on the site plan. But again, this is a
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to put a motion together, that that would be my motion, but there is no motion now. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. If I could interrupt, I think we need a motion before we really start discussing. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So motion to approve COA-24-31539 at 321 5th Street East, amending Condition Number 4 to read, "The railing system at the front porch and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials." COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	accessory garage here, as well as restoration of the first-floor porch, wraparound, back in 2017. So on your screens right now is a depiction of that. Obviously, the porch restoration never materialized, but the new construction garage was constructed. So what I'll do is just scroll through the images so ideally, this is what the condition would read as if you were to approve it as is, and it does connect to the garage based on the site plan. But again, this is a schematic of what it would potentially look like.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to put a motion together, that that would be my motion, but there is no motion now. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. If I could interrupt, I think we need a motion before we really start discussing. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So motion to approve COA-24-31539 at 321 5th Street East, amending Condition Number 4 to read, "The railing system at the front porch and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials." COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I agree with	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	accessory garage here, as well as restoration of the first-floor porch, wraparound, back in 2017. So on your screens right now is a depiction of that. Obviously, the porch restoration never materialized, but the new construction garage was constructed. So what I'll do is just scroll through the images so ideally, this is what the condition would read as if you were to approve it as is, and it does connect to the garage based on the site plan. But again, this is a schematic of what it would potentially look like. THE CHAIRMAN: So I think this is worthy
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to put a motion together, that that would be my motion, but there is no motion now. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. If I could interrupt, I think we need a motion before we really start discussing. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So motion to approve COA-24-31539 at 321 5th Street East, amending Condition Number 4 to read, "The railing system at the front porch and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials." COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I agree with changing the front porch to be in wood or	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	accessory garage here, as well as restoration of the first-floor porch, wraparound, back in 2017. So on your screens right now is a depiction of that. Obviously, the porch restoration never materialized, but the new construction garage was constructed. So what I'll do is just scroll through the images so ideally, this is what the condition would read as if you were to approve it as is, and it does connect to the garage based on the site plan. But again, this is a schematic of what it would potentially look like. THE CHAIRMAN: So I think this is worthy of discussion, and the applicant makes a good
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to put a motion together, that that would be my motion, but there is no motion now. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. If I could interrupt, I think we need a motion before we really start discussing. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So motion to approve COA-24-31539 at 321 5th Street East, amending Condition Number 4 to read, "The railing system at the front porch and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials." COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I agree with changing the front porch to be in wood or wood some kind of wood composite material	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	accessory garage here, as well as restoration of the first-floor porch, wraparound, back in 2017. So on your screens right now is a depiction of that. Obviously, the porch restoration never materialized, but the new construction garage was constructed. So what I'll do is just scroll through the images so ideally, this is what the condition would read as if you were to approve it as is, and it does connect to the garage based on the site plan. But again, this is a schematic of what it would potentially look like. THE CHAIRMAN: So I think this is worthy of discussion, and the applicant makes a good argument in a couple of places. Although this
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to put a motion together, that that would be my motion, but there is no motion now. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. If I could interrupt, I think we need a motion before we really start discussing. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So motion to approve COA-24-31539 at 321 5th Street East, amending Condition Number 4 to read, "The railing system at the front porch and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials." COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I agree with changing the front porch to be in wood or wood some kind of wood composite material with spindles. I don't see any other brick on	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	accessory garage here, as well as restoration of the first-floor porch, wraparound, back in 2017. So on your screens right now is a depiction of that. Obviously, the porch restoration never materialized, but the new construction garage was constructed. So what I'll do is just scroll through the images so ideally, this is what the condition would read as if you were to approve it as is, and it does connect to the garage based on the site plan. But again, this is a schematic of what it would potentially look like. THE CHAIRMAN: So I think this is worthy of discussion, and the applicant makes a good argument in a couple of places. Although this shows it, you can see in the plan, connecting
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to put a motion together, that that would be my motion, but there is no motion now. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. If I could interrupt, I think we need a motion before we really start discussing. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So motion to approve COA-24-31539 at 321 5th Street East, amending Condition Number 4 to read, "The railing system at the front porch and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials." COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I agree with changing the front porch to be in wood or wood some kind of wood composite material with spindles. I don't see any other brick on this house that would complement having a large	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	accessory garage here, as well as restoration of the first-floor porch, wraparound, back in 2017. So on your screens right now is a depiction of that. Obviously, the porch restoration never materialized, but the new construction garage was constructed. So what I'll do is just scroll through the images so ideally, this is what the condition would read as if you were to approve it as is, and it does connect to the garage based on the site plan. But again, this is a schematic of what it would potentially look like. THE CHAIRMAN: So I think this is worthy of discussion, and the applicant makes a good argument in a couple of places. Although this shows it, you can see in the plan, connecting to the garage, I wouldn't say that's
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to put a motion together, that that would be my motion, but there is no motion now. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. If I could interrupt, I think we need a motion before we really start discussing. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So motion to approve COA-24-31539 at 321 5th Street East, amending Condition Number 4 to read, "The railing system at the front porch and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials." COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I agree with changing the front porch to be in wood or wood some kind of wood composite material with spindles. I don't see any other brick on	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	accessory garage here, as well as restoration of the first-floor porch, wraparound, back in 2017. So on your screens right now is a depiction of that. Obviously, the porch restoration never materialized, but the new construction garage was constructed. So what I'll do is just scroll through the images so ideally, this is what the condition would read as if you were to approve it as is, and it does connect to the garage based on the site plan. But again, this is a schematic of what it would potentially look like. THE CHAIRMAN: So I think this is worthy of discussion, and the applicant makes a good argument in a couple of places. Although this shows it, you can see in the plan, connecting
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to put a motion together, that that would be my motion, but there is no motion now. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. If I could interrupt, I think we need a motion before we really start discussing. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So motion to approve COA-24-31539 at 321 5th Street East, amending Condition Number 4 to read, "The railing system at the front porch and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials." COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I agree with changing the front porch to be in wood or wood some kind of wood composite material with spindles. I don't see any other brick on this house that would complement having a large	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	accessory garage here, as well as restoration of the first-floor porch, wraparound, back in 2017. So on your screens right now is a depiction of that. Obviously, the porch restoration never materialized, but the new construction garage was constructed. So what I'll do is just scroll through the images so ideally, this is what the condition would read as if you were to approve it as is, and it does connect to the garage based on the site plan. But again, this is a schematic of what it would potentially look like. THE CHAIRMAN: So I think this is worthy of discussion, and the applicant makes a good argument in a couple of places. Although this shows it, you can see in the plan, connecting to the garage, I wouldn't say that's
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to put a motion together, that that would be my motion, but there is no motion now. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. If I could interrupt, I think we need a motion before we really start discussing. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So motion to approve COA-24-31539 at 321 5th Street East, amending Condition Number 4 to read, "The railing system at the front porch and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials." COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I agree with changing the front porch to be in wood or wood some kind of wood composite material with spindles. I don't see any other brick on this house that would complement having a large face of brick at the front of the home at the porch there to really justify that kind of look	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	accessory garage here, as well as restoration of the first-floor porch, wraparound, back in 2017. So on your screens right now is a depiction of that. Obviously, the porch restoration never materialized, but the new construction garage was constructed. So what I'll do is just scroll through the images so ideally, this is what the condition would read as if you were to approve it as is, and it does connect to the garage based on the site plan. But again, this is a schematic of what it would potentially look like. THE CHAIRMAN: So I think this is worthy of discussion, and the applicant makes a good argument in a couple of places. Although this shows it, you can see in the plan, connecting to the garage, I wouldn't say that's necessarily ideal, pulling in and out of the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to put a motion together, that that would be my motion, but there is no motion now. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. If I could interrupt, I think we need a motion before we really start discussing. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So motion to approve COA-24-31539 at 321 5th Street East, amending Condition Number 4 to read, "The railing system at the front porch and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials." COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I agree with changing the front porch to be in wood or wood some kind of wood composite material with spindles. I don't see any other brick on this house that would complement having a large face of brick at the front of the home at the porch there to really justify that kind of look to go with it. I think I think that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	accessory garage here, as well as restoration of the first-floor porch, wraparound, back in 2017. So on your screens right now is a depiction of that. Obviously, the porch restoration never materialized, but the new construction garage was constructed. So what I'll do is just scroll through the images so ideally, this is what the condition would read as if you were to approve it as is, and it does connect to the garage based on the site plan. But again, this is a schematic of what it would potentially look like. THE CHAIRMAN: So I think this is worthy of discussion, and the applicant makes a good argument in a couple of places. Although this shows it, you can see in the plan, connecting to the garage, I wouldn't say that's necessarily ideal, pulling in and out of the garage. So I can understand why you would move to that direction.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to put a motion together, that that would be my motion, but there is no motion now. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. If I could interrupt, I think we need a motion before we really start discussing. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So motion to approve COA-24-31539 at 321 5th Street East, amending Condition Number 4 to read, "The railing system at the front porch and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials." COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I agree with changing the front porch to be in wood or wood some kind of wood composite material with spindles. I don't see any other brick on this house that would complement having a large face of brick at the front of the home at the porch there to really justify that kind of look to go with it. I think I think that recommendation is good.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	accessory garage here, as well as restoration of the first-floor porch, wraparound, back in 2017. So on your screens right now is a depiction of that. Obviously, the porch restoration never materialized, but the new construction garage was constructed. So what I'll do is just scroll through the images so ideally, this is what the condition would read as if you were to approve it as is, and it does connect to the garage based on the site plan. But again, this is a schematic of what it would potentially look like. THE CHAIRMAN: So I think this is worthy of discussion, and the applicant makes a good argument in a couple of places. Although this shows it, you can see in the plan, connecting to the garage, I wouldn't say that's necessarily ideal, pulling in and out of the garage. So I can understand why you would move to that direction. I wonder about the would the bay window
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to put a motion together, that that would be my motion, but there is no motion now. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. If I could interrupt, I think we need a motion before we really start discussing. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So motion to approve COA-24-31539 at 321 5th Street East, amending Condition Number 4 to read, "The railing system at the front porch and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials." COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I agree with changing the front porch to be in wood or wood some kind of wood composite material with spindles. I don't see any other brick on this house that would complement having a large face of brick at the front of the home at the porch there to really justify that kind of look to go with it. I think I think that recommendation is good. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Through the Chair to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	accessory garage here, as well as restoration of the first-floor porch, wraparound, back in 2017. So on your screens right now is a depiction of that. Obviously, the porch restoration never materialized, but the new construction garage was constructed. So what I'll do is just scroll through the images so ideally, this is what the condition would read as if you were to approve it as is, and it does connect to the garage based on the site plan. But again, this is a schematic of what it would potentially look like. THE CHAIRMAN: So I think this is worthy of discussion, and the applicant makes a good argument in a couple of places. Although this shows it, you can see in the plan, connecting to the garage, I wouldn't say that's necessarily ideal, pulling in and out of the garage. So I can understand why you would move to that direction. I wonder about the would the bay window on that west side of the home would the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to put a motion together, that that would be my motion, but there is no motion now. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. If I could interrupt, I think we need a motion before we really start discussing. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So motion to approve COA-24-31539 at 321 5th Street East, amending Condition Number 4 to read, "The railing system at the front porch and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials." COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I agree with changing the front porch to be in wood or wood some kind of wood composite material with spindles. I don't see any other brick on this house that would complement having a large face of brick at the front of the home at the porch there to really justify that kind of look to go with it. I think I think that recommendation is good. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Through the Chair to Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	accessory garage here, as well as restoration of the first-floor porch, wraparound, back in 2017. So on your screens right now is a depiction of that. Obviously, the porch restoration never materialized, but the new construction garage was constructed. So what I'll do is just scroll through the images so ideally, this is what the condition would read as if you were to approve it as is, and it does connect to the garage based on the site plan. But again, this is a schematic of what it would potentially look like. THE CHAIRMAN: So I think this is worthy of discussion, and the applicant makes a good argument in a couple of places. Although this shows it, you can see in the plan, connecting to the garage, I wouldn't say that's necessarily ideal, pulling in and out of the garage. So I can understand why you would move to that direction. I wonder about the would the bay window on that west side of the home would the Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to put a motion together, that that would be my motion, but there is no motion now. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. If I could interrupt, I think we need a motion before we really start discussing. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So motion to approve COA-24-31539 at 321 5th Street East, amending Condition Number 4 to read, "The railing system at the front porch and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials." COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I agree with changing the front porch to be in wood or wood some kind of wood composite material with spindles. I don't see any other brick on this house that would complement having a large face of brick at the front of the home at the porch there to really justify that kind of look to go with it. I think I think that recommendation is good. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Through the Chair to Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	accessory garage here, as well as restoration of the first-floor porch, wraparound, back in 2017. So on your screens right now is a depiction of that. Obviously, the porch restoration never materialized, but the new construction garage was constructed. So what I'll do is just scroll through the images so ideally, this is what the condition would read as if you were to approve it as is, and it does connect to the garage based on the site plan. But again, this is a schematic of what it would potentially look like. THE CHAIRMAN: So I think this is worthy of discussion, and the applicant makes a good argument in a couple of places. Although this shows it, you can see in the plan, connecting to the garage, I wouldn't say that's necessarily ideal, pulling in and out of the garage. So I can understand why you would move to that direction. I wonder about the would the bay window on that west side of the home would the Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to put a motion together, that that would be my motion, but there is no motion now. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. If I could interrupt, I think we need a motion before we really start discussing. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So motion to approve COA-24-31539 at 321 5th Street East, amending Condition Number 4 to read, "The railing system at the front porch and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials." COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I agree with changing the front porch to be in wood or wood some kind of wood composite material with spindles. I don't see any other brick on this house that would complement having a large face of brick at the front of the home at the porch there to really justify that kind of look to go with it. I think I think that recommendation is good. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Through the Chair to Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	accessory garage here, as well as restoration of the first-floor porch, wraparound, back in 2017. So on your screens right now is a depiction of that. Obviously, the porch restoration never materialized, but the new construction garage was constructed. So what I'll do is just scroll through the images so ideally, this is what the condition would read as if you were to approve it as is, and it does connect to the garage based on the site plan. But again, this is a schematic of what it would potentially look like. THE CHAIRMAN: So I think this is worthy of discussion, and the applicant makes a good argument in a couple of places. Although this shows it, you can see in the plan, connecting to the garage, I wouldn't say that's necessarily ideal, pulling in and out of the garage. So I can understand why you would move to that direction. I wonder about the would the bay window on that west side of the home would the Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203

	ic Preservation Commission	r	Uncertified Condensed Copy
	77		79
1	porch wrapping around, would that create	1	I have a little bit of an issue that by
2	some I mean, it's kind of a turret, right?	2	changing the brick to the wood railing, it's
3	It's kind of a round front porch that's	3	not just that easy of a solution here with the
4	wrapping (inaudible) that the applicant is	4	elevation.
		-	
5	proposing. I just bring that to your attention	5	THE CHAIRMAN: So perhaps that could be a
6	as something to consider.	6	caveat to the amended recommendation,
7	And then it sounded like the owner stated	7	Commission Epstein, that the final elevation is
8	that there were 6-foot columns already	8	worked through with staff.
9	purchased, so that may have something to do	9	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair,
10	with the (microphone failure)	10	and I would like to go on record that I would
11	THE REPORTER: I can't hear you.	11	like to see the brick stop at the porch finish
12	THE CHAIRMAN: The owner it sounded	12	· ·
			floor, and the railing and the pedestals that
13	like the owner has already purchased 6-foot	13	these columns sit on be made out of wood or
14	columns, so that may have something to do with	14	some composite wood material so that they're
15	the height (microphone failure).	15	not this heavy brick.
16	That doesn't mean that if it goes to wood	16	THE CHAIRMAN: Sounds like an amendment to
17	picketing, wood spindles, or some composite	17	the (microphone failure)
18	spindle, as Commissioner Epstein is suggesting,	18	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Sorry.
19	that there couldn't be also a kind of base	19	THE CHAIRMAN: (Microphone failure.)
20	built out of material that wasn't brick as	20	MS. LOPERA: To the Chair, yes.
21	well. So that may be a nonissue.	21	And through the Chair to Commissioner
22	I think the owner does make it's	22	Epstein, we could amend the motion on the floor
23	interesting to me, the argument about the	23	or you could my suggestion would be to wait
24	Emerald Trail and the alley that this side of	24	and see if there are any other amendments,
25	the home is facing (microphone failure) access	25	because as far as where you land on the
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
	-		-
	78		80
1	to that as our as the neighborhood becomes	1	porch orientation
2	much more pedestrian oriented.	2	porch orientation THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh.
	much more pedestrian oriented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair,		porch orientation
2	much more pedestrian oriented.	2	porch orientation THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh.
2 3	much more pedestrian oriented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair,	2 3	porch orientation THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh. MS. LOPERA: and wrap it all up into
2 3 4 5	much more pedestrian oriented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I do think that the railings should be made out of wooden composite, as I have made a motion to	2 3 4 5	porch orientation THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh. MS. LOPERA: and wrap it all up into one amendment to the amended conditions. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to
2 3 4 5 6	much more pedestrian oriented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I do think that the railings should be made out of wooden composite, as I have made a motion to such.	2 3 4 5 6	porch orientation THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh. MS. LOPERA: and wrap it all up into one amendment to the amended conditions. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to the Commission, I just want to back up on the
2 3 4 5 6 7	much more pedestrian oriented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I do think that the railings should be made out of wooden composite, as I have made a motion to such. I have concerns about the columns sitting	2 3 4 5 6 7	porch orientation THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh. MS. LOPERA: and wrap it all up into one amendment to the amended conditions. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to the Commission, I just want to back up on the brick. I'm wracking my mind here to think of a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 much more pedestrian oriented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I do think that the railings should be made out of wooden composite, as I have made a motion to such. I have concerns about the columns sitting on these very tall brick piers. I have 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	porch orientation THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh. MS. LOPERA: and wrap it all up into one amendment to the amended conditions. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to the Commission, I just want to back up on the brick. I'm wracking my mind here to think of a house of this style that has that treatment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 much more pedestrian oriented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I do think that the railings should be made out of wooden composite, as I have made a motion to such. I have concerns about the columns sitting on these very tall brick piers. I have concerns about, additionally I think that if 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	porch orientation THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh. MS. LOPERA: and wrap it all up into one amendment to the amended conditions. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to the Commission, I just want to back up on the brick. I'm wracking my mind here to think of a house of this style that has that treatment. With the brick in this, I think, like, of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 much more pedestrian oriented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I do think that the railings should be made out of wooden composite, as I have made a motion to such. I have concerns about the columns sitting on these very tall brick piers. I have concerns about, additionally I think that if they want to maintain the brick underneath of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	porch orientation THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh. MS. LOPERA: and wrap it all up into one amendment to the amended conditions. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to the Commission, I just want to back up on the brick. I'm wracking my mind here to think of a house of this style that has that treatment. With the brick in this, I think, like, of an arts and crafts bungalow, and the scale is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 much more pedestrian oriented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I do think that the railings should be made out of wooden composite, as I have made a motion to such. I have concerns about the columns sitting on these very tall brick piers. I have concerns about, additionally I think that if they want to maintain the brick underneath of the railing, I think that that should have a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	porch orientation THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh. MS. LOPERA: and wrap it all up into one amendment to the amended conditions. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to the Commission, I just want to back up on the brick. I'm wracking my mind here to think of a house of this style that has that treatment. With the brick in this, I think, like, of an arts and crafts bungalow, and the scale is just a little different. And so I think I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 much more pedestrian oriented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I do think that the railings should be made out of wooden composite, as I have made a motion to such. I have concerns about the columns sitting on these very tall brick piers. I have concerns about, additionally I think that if they want to maintain the brick underneath of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	porch orientation THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh. MS. LOPERA: and wrap it all up into one amendment to the amended conditions. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to the Commission, I just want to back up on the brick. I'm wracking my mind here to think of a house of this style that has that treatment. With the brick in this, I think, like, of an arts and crafts bungalow, and the scale is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 much more pedestrian oriented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I do think that the railings should be made out of wooden composite, as I have made a motion to such. I have concerns about the columns sitting on these very tall brick piers. I have concerns about, additionally I think that if they want to maintain the brick underneath of the railing, I think that that should have a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	porch orientation THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh. MS. LOPERA: and wrap it all up into one amendment to the amended conditions. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to the Commission, I just want to back up on the brick. I'm wracking my mind here to think of a house of this style that has that treatment. With the brick in this, I think, like, of an arts and crafts bungalow, and the scale is just a little different. And so I think I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 much more pedestrian oriented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I do think that the railings should be made out of wooden composite, as I have made a motion to such. I have concerns about the columns sitting on these very tall brick piers. I have concerns about, additionally I think that if they want to maintain the brick underneath of the railing, I think that that should have a stopping point and the wood should be above it. And I'm I'm wondering if this is something 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	porch orientation THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh. MS. LOPERA: and wrap it all up into one amendment to the amended conditions. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to the Commission, I just want to back up on the brick. I'm wracking my mind here to think of a house of this style that has that treatment. With the brick in this, I think, like, of an arts and crafts bungalow, and the scale is just a little different. And so I think I heard the applicant say that he's okay doing away with brick, so I don't know how much we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 much more pedestrian oriented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I do think that the railings should be made out of wooden composite, as I have made a motion to such. I have concerns about the columns sitting on these very tall brick piers. I have concerns about, additionally I think that if they want to maintain the brick underneath of the railing, I think that that should have a stopping point and the wood should be above it. And I'm I'm wondering if this is something that the applicant needs to rework the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	porch orientation THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh. MS. LOPERA: and wrap it all up into one amendment to the amended conditions. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to the Commission, I just want to back up on the brick. I'm wracking my mind here to think of a house of this style that has that treatment. With the brick in this, I think, like, of an arts and crafts bungalow, and the scale is just a little different. And so I think I heard the applicant say that he's okay doing away with brick, so I don't know how much we want to dig into that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 much more pedestrian oriented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I do think that the railings should be made out of wooden composite, as I have made a motion to such. I have concerns about the columns sitting on these very tall brick piers. I have concerns about, additionally I think that if they want to maintain the brick underneath of the railing, I think that that should have a stopping point and the wood should be above it. And I'm I'm wondering if this is something that the applicant needs to rework the elevation with staff, because I think there's a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	porch orientation THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh. MS. LOPERA: and wrap it all up into one amendment to the amended conditions. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to the Commission, I just want to back up on the brick. I'm wracking my mind here to think of a house of this style that has that treatment. With the brick in this, I think, like, of an arts and crafts bungalow, and the scale is just a little different. And so I think I heard the applicant say that he's okay doing away with brick, so I don't know how much we want to dig into that. But if we do go primarily to wood with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 much more pedestrian oriented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I do think that the railings should be made out of wooden composite, as I have made a motion to such. I have concerns about the columns sitting on these very tall brick piers. I have concerns about, additionally I think that if they want to maintain the brick underneath of the railing, I think that that should have a stopping point and the wood should be above it. And I'm I'm wondering if this is something that the applicant needs to rework the elevation with staff, because I think there's a lot of changes that come from turning this into 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	porch orientation THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh. MS. LOPERA: and wrap it all up into one amendment to the amended conditions. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to the Commission, I just want to back up on the brick. I'm wracking my mind here to think of a house of this style that has that treatment. With the brick in this, I think, like, of an arts and crafts bungalow, and the scale is just a little different. And so I think I heard the applicant say that he's okay doing away with brick, so I don't know how much we want to dig into that. But if we do go primarily to wood with some sort of base that these columns sit on, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 much more pedestrian oriented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I do think that the railings should be made out of wooden composite, as I have made a motion to such. I have concerns about the columns sitting on these very tall brick piers. I have concerns about, additionally I think that if they want to maintain the brick underneath of the railing, I think that that should have a stopping point and the wood should be above it. And I'm I'm wondering if this is something that the applicant needs to rework the elevation with staff, because I think there's a lot of changes that come from turning this into 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	porch orientation THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh. MS. LOPERA: and wrap it all up into one amendment to the amended conditions. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to the Commission, I just want to back up on the brick. I'm wracking my mind here to think of a house of this style that has that treatment. With the brick in this, I think, like, of an arts and crafts bungalow, and the scale is just a little different. And so I think I heard the applicant say that he's okay doing away with brick, so I don't know how much we want to dig into that. But if we do go primarily to wood with some sort of base that these columns sit on, I would recommend to the applicant looking at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 much more pedestrian oriented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I do think that the railings should be made out of wooden composite, as I have made a motion to such. I have concerns about the columns sitting on these very tall brick piers. I have concerns about, additionally I think that if they want to maintain the brick underneath of the railing, I think that that should have a stopping point and the wood should be above it. And I'm I'm wondering if this is something that the applicant needs to rework the elevation with staff, because I think there's a lot of changes that come from turning this into wood railings. I agree with the Chair that just because 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	porch orientation THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh. MS. LOPERA: and wrap it all up into one amendment to the amended conditions. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to the Commission, I just want to back up on the brick. I'm wracking my mind here to think of a house of this style that has that treatment. With the brick in this, I think, like, of an arts and crafts bungalow, and the scale is just a little different. And so I think I heard the applicant say that he's okay doing away with brick, so I don't know how much we want to dig into that. But if we do go primarily to wood with some sort of base that these columns sit on, I would recommend to the applicant looking at the proportions and how this works. I feel that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 much more pedestrian oriented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I do think that the railings should be made out of wooden composite, as I have made a motion to such. I have concerns about the columns sitting on these very tall brick piers. I have concerns about, additionally I think that if they want to maintain the brick underneath of the railing, I think that that should have a stopping point and the wood should be above it. And I'm I'm wondering if this is something that the applicant needs to rework the elevation with staff, because I think there's a lot of changes that come from turning this into wood railings. I agree with the Chair that just because the you know, the I agree that these 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	porch orientation THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh. MS. LOPERA: and wrap it all up into one amendment to the amended conditions. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to the Commission, I just want to back up on the brick. I'm wracking my mind here to think of a house of this style that has that treatment. With the brick in this, I think, like, of an arts and crafts bungalow, and the scale is just a little different. And so I think I heard the applicant say that he's okay doing away with brick, so I don't know how much we want to dig into that. But if we do go primarily to wood with some sort of base that these columns sit on, I would recommend to the applicant looking at the proportions and how this works. I feel that there's even a little too much space between
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 much more pedestrian oriented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I do think that the railings should be made out of wooden composite, as I have made a motion to such. I have concerns about the columns sitting on these very tall brick piers. I have concerns about, additionally I think that if they want to maintain the brick underneath of the railing, I think that that should have a stopping point and the wood should be above it. And I'm I'm wondering if this is something that the applicant needs to rework the elevation with staff, because I think there's a lot of changes that come from turning this into wood railings. I agree with the Chair that just because the you know, the I agree that these brick piers do not have to go up the whole way. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	porch orientation THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh. MS. LOPERA: and wrap it all up into one amendment to the amended conditions. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to the Commission, I just want to back up on the brick. I'm wracking my mind here to think of a house of this style that has that treatment. With the brick in this, I think, like, of an arts and crafts bungalow, and the scale is just a little different. And so I think I heard the applicant say that he's okay doing away with brick, so I don't know how much we want to dig into that. But if we do go primarily to wood with some sort of base that these columns sit on, I would recommend to the applicant looking at the proportions and how this works. I feel that there's even a little too much space between the cap on the railing and the column cap, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 much more pedestrian oriented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I do think that the railings should be made out of wooden composite, as I have made a motion to such. I have concerns about the columns sitting on these very tall brick piers. I have concerns about, additionally I think that if they want to maintain the brick underneath of the railing, I think that that should have a stopping point and the wood should be above it. And I'm I'm wondering if this is something that the applicant needs to rework the elevation with staff, because I think there's a lot of changes that come from turning this into wood railings. I agree with the Chair that just because the you know, the I agree that these brick piers do not have to go up the whole way. The brick can stop at the height of the porch 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	porch orientation THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh. MS. LOPERA: and wrap it all up into one amendment to the amended conditions. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to the Commission, I just want to back up on the brick. I'm wracking my mind here to think of a house of this style that has that treatment. With the brick in this, I think, like, of an arts and crafts bungalow, and the scale is just a little different. And so I think I heard the applicant say that he's okay doing away with brick, so I don't know how much we want to dig into that. But if we do go primarily to wood with some sort of base that these columns sit on, I would recommend to the applicant looking at the proportions and how this works. I feel that there's even a little too much space between the cap on the railing and the column cap, and use that as a guide to create that look, even
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 much more pedestrian oriented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I do think that the railings should be made out of wooden composite, as I have made a motion to such. I have concerns about the columns sitting on these very tall brick piers. I have concerns about, additionally I think that if they want to maintain the brick underneath of the railing, I think that that should have a stopping point and the wood should be above it. And I'm I'm wondering if this is something that the applicant needs to rework the elevation with staff, because I think there's a lot of changes that come from turning this into wood railings. I agree with the Chair that just because the you know, the I agree that these brick piers do not have to go up the whole way. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	porch orientation THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh. MS. LOPERA: and wrap it all up into one amendment to the amended conditions. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to the Commission, I just want to back up on the brick. I'm wracking my mind here to think of a house of this style that has that treatment. With the brick in this, I think, like, of an arts and crafts bungalow, and the scale is just a little different. And so I think I heard the applicant say that he's okay doing away with brick, so I don't know how much we want to dig into that. But if we do go primarily to wood with some sort of base that these columns sit on, I would recommend to the applicant looking at the proportions and how this works. I feel that there's even a little too much space between the cap on the railing and the column cap, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 much more pedestrian oriented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I do think that the railings should be made out of wooden composite, as I have made a motion to such. I have concerns about the columns sitting on these very tall brick piers. I have concerns about, additionally I think that if they want to maintain the brick underneath of the railing, I think that that should have a stopping point and the wood should be above it. And I'm I'm wondering if this is something that the applicant needs to rework the elevation with staff, because I think there's a lot of changes that come from turning this into wood railings. I agree with the Chair that just because the you know, the I agree that these brick piers do not have to go up the whole way. The brick can stop at the height of the porch 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	porch orientation THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh. MS. LOPERA: and wrap it all up into one amendment to the amended conditions. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to the Commission, I just want to back up on the brick. I'm wracking my mind here to think of a house of this style that has that treatment. With the brick in this, I think, like, of an arts and crafts bungalow, and the scale is just a little different. And so I think I heard the applicant say that he's okay doing away with brick, so I don't know how much we want to dig into that. But if we do go primarily to wood with some sort of base that these columns sit on, I would recommend to the applicant looking at the proportions and how this works. I feel that there's even a little too much space between the cap on the railing and the column cap, and use that as a guide to create that look, even
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 much more pedestrian oriented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I do think that the railings should be made out of wooden composite, as I have made a motion to such. I have concerns about the columns sitting on these very tall brick piers. I have concerns about, additionally I think that if they want to maintain the brick underneath of the railing, I think that that should have a stopping point and the wood should be above it. And I'm I'm wondering if this is something that the applicant needs to rework the elevation with staff, because I think there's a lot of changes that come from turning this into wood railings. I agree with the Chair that just because the you know, the I agree that these brick piers do not have to go up the whole way. The brick can stop at the height of the porch floor. And the base of these columns, if we need 6-foot columns, could be made out of wood 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	porch orientation THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh. MS. LOPERA: and wrap it all up into one amendment to the amended conditions. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to the Commission, I just want to back up on the brick. I'm wracking my mind here to think of a house of this style that has that treatment. With the brick in this, I think, like, of an arts and crafts bungalow, and the scale is just a little different. And so I think I heard the applicant say that he's okay doing away with brick, so I don't know how much we want to dig into that. But if we do go primarily to wood with some sort of base that these columns sit on, I would recommend to the applicant looking at the proportions and how this works. I feel that there's even a little too much space between the cap on the railing and the column cap, and use that as a guide to create that look, even if it is just in wood. I also wanted to back up to a point about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 much more pedestrian oriented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I do think that the railings should be made out of wooden composite, as I have made a motion to such. I have concerns about the columns sitting on these very tall brick piers. I have concerns about, additionally I think that if they want to maintain the brick underneath of the railing, I think that that should have a stopping point and the wood should be above it. And I'm I'm wondering if this is something that the applicant needs to rework the elevation with staff, because I think there's a lot of changes that come from turning this into wood railings. I agree with the Chair that just because the you know, the I agree that these brick piers do not have to go up the whole way. The brick can stop at the height of the porch floor. And the base of these columns, if we need 6-foot columns, could be made out of wood or some other material that's in keeping with 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>porch orientation</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 much more pedestrian oriented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I do think that the railings should be made out of wooden composite, as I have made a motion to such. I have concerns about the columns sitting on these very tall brick piers. I have concerns about, additionally I think that if they want to maintain the brick underneath of the railing, I think that that should have a stopping point and the wood should be above it. And I'm I'm wondering if this is something that the applicant needs to rework the elevation with staff, because I think there's a lot of changes that come from turning this into wood railings. I agree with the Chair that just because the you know, the I agree that these brick piers do not have to go up the whole way. The brick can stop at the height of the porch floor. And the base of these columns, if we need 6-foot columns, could be made out of wood or some other material that's in keeping with the wood railing. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	porch orientation THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh. MS. LOPERA: and wrap it all up into one amendment to the amended conditions. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to the Commission, I just want to back up on the brick. I'm wracking my mind here to think of a house of this style that has that treatment. With the brick in this, I think, like, of an arts and crafts bungalow, and the scale is just a little different. And so I think I heard the applicant say that he's okay doing away with brick, so I don't know how much we want to dig into that. But if we do go primarily to wood with some sort of base that these columns sit on, I would recommend to the applicant looking at the proportions and how this works. I feel that there's even a little too much space between the cap on the railing and the column cap, and use that as a guide to create that look, even if it is just in wood. I also wanted to back up to a point about the wraparound porch and revisiting this elevation. And it's really not. There's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 much more pedestrian oriented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I do think that the railings should be made out of wooden composite, as I have made a motion to such. I have concerns about the columns sitting on these very tall brick piers. I have concerns about, additionally I think that if they want to maintain the brick underneath of the railing, I think that that should have a stopping point and the wood should be above it. And I'm I'm wondering if this is something that the applicant needs to rework the elevation with staff, because I think there's a lot of changes that come from turning this into wood railings. I agree with the Chair that just because the you know, the I agree that these brick piers do not have to go up the whole way. The brick can stop at the height of the porch floor. And the base of these columns, if we need 6-foot columns, could be made out of wood or some other material that's in keeping with the wood railing. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	porch orientation THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh. MS. LOPERA: and wrap it all up into one amendment to the amended conditions. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to the Commission, I just want to back up on the brick. I'm wracking my mind here to think of a house of this style that has that treatment. With the brick in this, I think, like, of an arts and crafts bungalow, and the scale is just a little different. And so I think I heard the applicant say that he's okay doing away with brick, so I don't know how much we want to dig into that. But if we do go primarily to wood with some sort of base that these columns sit on, I would recommend to the applicant looking at the proportions and how this works. I feel that there's even a little too much space between the cap on the railing and the column cap, and use that as a guide to create that look, even if it is just in wood. I also wanted to back up to a point about the wraparound porch and revisiting this elevation. And it's really not. There's a Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 much more pedestrian oriented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I do think that the railings should be made out of wooden composite, as I have made a motion to such. I have concerns about the columns sitting on these very tall brick piers. I have concerns about, additionally I think that if they want to maintain the brick underneath of the railing, I think that that should have a stopping point and the wood should be above it. And I'm I'm wondering if this is something that the applicant needs to rework the elevation with staff, because I think there's a lot of changes that come from turning this into wood railings. I agree with the Chair that just because the you know, the I agree that these brick piers do not have to go up the whole way. The brick can stop at the height of the porch floor. And the base of these columns, if we need 6-foot columns, could be made out of wood or some other material that's in keeping with the wood railing. Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	porch orientation THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh. MS. LOPERA: and wrap it all up into one amendment to the amended conditions. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to the Commission, I just want to back up on the brick. I'm wracking my mind here to think of a house of this style that has that treatment. With the brick in this, I think, like, of an arts and crafts bungalow, and the scale is just a little different. And so I think I heard the applicant say that he's okay doing away with brick, so I don't know how much we want to dig into that. But if we do go primarily to wood with some sort of base that these columns sit on, I would recommend to the applicant looking at the proportions and how this works. I feel that there's even a little too much space between the cap on the railing and the column cap, and use that as a guide to create that look, even if it is just in wood. I also wanted to back up to a point about the wraparound porch and revisiting this elevation. And it's really not. There's a Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 much more pedestrian oriented. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair, I do think that the railings should be made out of wooden composite, as I have made a motion to such. I have concerns about the columns sitting on these very tall brick piers. I have concerns about, additionally I think that if they want to maintain the brick underneath of the railing, I think that that should have a stopping point and the wood should be above it. And I'm I'm wondering if this is something that the applicant needs to rework the elevation with staff, because I think there's a lot of changes that come from turning this into wood railings. I agree with the Chair that just because the you know, the I agree that these brick piers do not have to go up the whole way. The brick can stop at the height of the porch floor. And the base of these columns, if we need 6-foot columns, could be made out of wood or some other material that's in keeping with the wood railing. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	porch orientation THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh. MS. LOPERA: and wrap it all up into one amendment to the amended conditions. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to the Commission, I just want to back up on the brick. I'm wracking my mind here to think of a house of this style that has that treatment. With the brick in this, I think, like, of an arts and crafts bungalow, and the scale is just a little different. And so I think I heard the applicant say that he's okay doing away with brick, so I don't know how much we want to dig into that. But if we do go primarily to wood with some sort of base that these columns sit on, I would recommend to the applicant looking at the proportions and how this works. I feel that there's even a little too much space between the cap on the railing and the column cap, and use that as a guide to create that look, even if it is just in wood. I also wanted to back up to a point about the wraparound porch and revisiting this elevation. And it's really not. There's a Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203

City of Jacksonville Historic Preservation Commission

1	81		83
	break, and sort of, like, a curve between	1	is.
2	that's actually roof. So it's two separate	2	THE CHAIRMAN: Is that where it
3	balconies that sort of create this form in the	3	(microphone failure)?
4	site plan, but it's not actually a wraparound	4	(Off microphone.)
5	porch, and I wanted to see how the Commission	5	I'm sorry. I'm reading the Sanborn map on
6	felt about that. If I'm reading this right,	6	the screen. It's showing I see it as
7	it's like a	7	showing the main structure, the primary
8	THE CHAIRMAN: You know, I think that this	8	structure of the home and a two-story porch and
9	is also one of those situations where we	9	a one, and that looks like a one to me. And
10	this is moving into design design of the	10	then there's a one-story portion of the home on
11	project rather than making recommendations	11	the rear, and then a one-story detached
12	about how it's handled materially, and so we	12	structure (microphone failure) frame, or even
13	have to be a bit careful about that.	13	(microphone failure).
14	But I saying that, I don't disagree	14	Just a question for staff, do you agree
15	with the comments being made. I think there	15	with that or
16	are some proportion issues and there are some	16	MR. WELLS: Through the Chair, can you
17	things that need to be revisited. But I think	17	repeat the question, please?
18	that aligns with the suggestion from	18	THE CHAIRMAN: I'm just I'm looking at
19	Commissioner Epstein about amending amending	19	the house on the Sanborn map, and it looks like
20	the motion on the floor to reflect the	20	the porch is a one like it's a one-story,
21	materiality suggestions in regards to the	21	porch.
22	Design Guidelines and our suggestions there,	22	MR. WELLS: Through the Chair, that's
23	and then working it out with staff, (microphone	23	correct. So it's a one that's a one.
24	failure) on the owner to come to staff with a	24	THE CHAIRMAN: The only thing that's
25	design that's using the materials in a way that	25	two-story is the primary structure of the home?
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
	82		
1	they can make a recommendation.	1	MR. WELLS: That's also correct.
2	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair	2	THE CHAIRMAN: So any other discussion
3	to staff, is that something that you could work	3	about potential amendments to the motion? No?
4	out with them and approve internally?		•
F		4	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
5	MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to	4 5	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So perhaps Commissioner
5 6	-	-	THE CHAIRMAN: So perhaps Commissioner
	MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Epstein, yes, that probably would come through some sort of minor mod	5	,
6	Commissioner Epstein, yes, that probably would come through some sort of minor mod	5 6	THE CHAIRMAN: So perhaps Commissioner Epstein will reconsider the motion, amending
6 7	Commissioner Epstein, yes, that probably would	5 6 7	THE CHAIRMAN: So perhaps Commissioner Epstein will reconsider the motion, amending the motion, (microphone failure) it. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So I will
6 7 8	Commissioner Epstein, yes, that probably would come through some sort of minor mod application.	5 6 7 8	THE CHAIRMAN: So perhaps Commissioner Epstein will reconsider the motion, amending the motion, (microphone failure) it. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So I will through the Chair, I will revise my motion.
6 7 8 9	Commissioner Epstein, yes, that probably would come through some sort of minor mod application. THE CHAIRMAN: So there's been we have	5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: So perhaps Commissioner Epstein will reconsider the motion, amending the motion, (microphone failure) it. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So I will through the Chair, I will revise my motion. Motion to amend the previous motion
6 7 8 9 10	Commissioner Epstein, yes, that probably would come through some sort of minor mod application. THE CHAIRMAN: So there's been we have a motion that has an amendment in it, and then	5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CHAIRMAN: So perhaps Commissioner Epstein will reconsider the motion, amending the motion, (microphone failure) it. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So I will through the Chair, I will revise my motion. Motion to amend the previous motion changing Condition 4 to read, "The railing
6 7 8 9 10 11	Commissioner Epstein, yes, that probably would come through some sort of minor mod application. THE CHAIRMAN: So there's been we have a motion that has an amendment in it, and then there's a suggestion of another sort of edit to	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE CHAIRMAN: So perhaps Commissioner Epstein will reconsider the motion, amending the motion, (microphone failure) it. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So I will through the Chair, I will revise my motion. Motion to amend the previous motion
6 7 9 10 11 12	Commissioner Epstein, yes, that probably would come through some sort of minor mod application. THE CHAIRMAN: So there's been we have a motion that has an amendment in it, and then there's a suggestion of another sort of edit to the motion on the floor. There's also the question about the porch	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE CHAIRMAN: So perhaps Commissioner Epstein will reconsider the motion, amending the motion, (microphone failure) it. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So I will through the Chair, I will revise my motion. Motion to amend the previous motion changing Condition 4 to read, "The railing system at the front and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials," the brick
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Commissioner Epstein, yes, that probably would come through some sort of minor mod application. THE CHAIRMAN: So there's been we have a motion that has an amendment in it, and then there's a suggestion of another sort of edit to the motion on the floor.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CHAIRMAN: So perhaps Commissioner Epstein will reconsider the motion, amending the motion, (microphone failure) it. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So I will through the Chair, I will revise my motion. Motion to amend the previous motion changing Condition 4 to read, "The railing system at the front and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials," the brick should have a stopping point at the finish
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Commissioner Epstein, yes, that probably would come through some sort of minor mod application. THE CHAIRMAN: So there's been we have a motion that has an amendment in it, and then there's a suggestion of another sort of edit to the motion on the floor. There's also the question about the porch itself and wrapping around, whether (microphone	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIRMAN: So perhaps Commissioner Epstein will reconsider the motion, amending the motion, (microphone failure) it. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So I will through the Chair, I will revise my motion. Motion to amend the previous motion changing Condition 4 to read, "The railing system at the front and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials," the brick
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Commissioner Epstein, yes, that probably would come through some sort of minor mod application. THE CHAIRMAN: So there's been we have a motion that has an amendment in it, and then there's a suggestion of another sort of edit to the motion on the floor. There's also the question about the porch itself and wrapping around, whether (microphone failure). We just need to we need to	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIRMAN: So perhaps Commissioner Epstein will reconsider the motion, amending the motion, (microphone failure) it. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So I will through the Chair, I will revise my motion. Motion to amend the previous motion changing Condition 4 to read, "The railing system at the front and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials," the brick should have a stopping point at the finish floor of the porch, and the piers of the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Commissioner Epstein, yes, that probably would come through some sort of minor mod application. THE CHAIRMAN: So there's been we have a motion that has an amendment in it, and then there's a suggestion of another sort of edit to the motion on the floor. There's also the question about the porch itself and wrapping around, whether (microphone failure). We just need to we need to include that, I think, in the way that we amend	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIRMAN: So perhaps Commissioner Epstein will reconsider the motion, amending the motion, (microphone failure) it. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So I will through the Chair, I will revise my motion. Motion to amend the previous motion changing Condition 4 to read, "The railing system at the front and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials," the brick should have a stopping point at the finish floor of the porch, and the piers of the columns shall be made out of wood. The final
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Commissioner Epstein, yes, that probably would come through some sort of minor mod application. THE CHAIRMAN: So there's been we have a motion that has an amendment in it, and then there's a suggestion of another sort of edit to the motion on the floor. There's also the question about the porch itself and wrapping around, whether (microphone failure). We just need to we need to include that, I think, in the way that we amend the motion on the floor. So is there any other	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIRMAN: So perhaps Commissioner Epstein will reconsider the motion, amending the motion, (microphone failure) it. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So I will through the Chair, I will revise my motion. Motion to amend the previous motion changing Condition 4 to read, "The railing system at the front and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials," the brick should have a stopping point at the finish floor of the porch, and the piers of the columns shall be made out of wood. The final design shall be worked on with the owner and
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Commissioner Epstein, yes, that probably would come through some sort of minor mod application. THE CHAIRMAN: So there's been we have a motion that has an amendment in it, and then there's a suggestion of another sort of edit to the motion on the floor. There's also the question about the porch itself and wrapping around, whether (microphone failure). We just need to we need to include that, I think, in the way that we amend the motion on the floor. So is there any other discussion about that? (Microphone failure)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIRMAN: So perhaps Commissioner Epstein will reconsider the motion, amending the motion, (microphone failure) it. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So I will through the Chair, I will revise my motion. Motion to amend the previous motion changing Condition 4 to read, "The railing system at the front and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials," the brick should have a stopping point at the finish floor of the porch, and the piers of the columns shall be made out of wood. The final design shall be worked on with the owner and staff for their approval. And if it needs to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Commissioner Epstein, yes, that probably would come through some sort of minor mod application. THE CHAIRMAN: So there's been we have a motion that has an amendment in it, and then there's a suggestion of another sort of edit to the motion on the floor. There's also the question about the porch itself and wrapping around, whether (microphone failure). We just need to we need to include that, I think, in the way that we amend the motion on the floor. So is there any other discussion about that? (Microphone failure) move this along.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE CHAIRMAN: So perhaps Commissioner Epstein will reconsider the motion, amending the motion, (microphone failure) it. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So I will through the Chair, I will revise my motion. Motion to amend the previous motion changing Condition 4 to read, "The railing system at the front and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials," the brick should have a stopping point at the finish floor of the porch, and the piers of the columns shall be made out of wood. The final design shall be worked on with the owner and staff for their approval. And if it needs to come back to the Commission as a minor mod,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Commissioner Epstein, yes, that probably would come through some sort of minor mod application. THE CHAIRMAN: So there's been we have a motion that has an amendment in it, and then there's a suggestion of another sort of edit to the motion on the floor. There's also the question about the porch itself and wrapping around, whether (microphone failure). We just need to we need to include that, I think, in the way that we amend the motion on the floor. So is there any other discussion about that? (Microphone failure) move this along. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, I	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIRMAN: So perhaps Commissioner Epstein will reconsider the motion, amending the motion, (microphone failure) it. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So I will through the Chair, I will revise my motion. Motion to amend the previous motion changing Condition 4 to read, "The railing system at the front and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials," the brick should have a stopping point at the finish floor of the porch, and the piers of the columns shall be made out of wood. The final design shall be worked on with the owner and staff for their approval. And if it needs to come back to the Commission as a minor mod, that will be decided at the time.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Commissioner Epstein, yes, that probably would come through some sort of minor mod application. THE CHAIRMAN: So there's been we have a motion that has an amendment in it, and then there's a suggestion of another sort of edit to the motion on the floor. There's also the question about the porch itself and wrapping around, whether (microphone failure). We just need to we need to include that, I think, in the way that we amend the motion on the floor. So is there any other discussion about that? (Microphone failure) move this along. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, I just want to clarify with the porch comment.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIRMAN: So perhaps Commissioner Epstein will reconsider the motion, amending the motion, (microphone failure) it. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So I will through the Chair, I will revise my motion. Motion to amend the previous motion changing Condition 4 to read, "The railing system at the front and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials," the brick should have a stopping point at the finish floor of the porch, and the piers of the columns shall be made out of wood. The final design shall be worked on with the owner and staff for their approval. And if it needs to come back to the Commission as a minor mod, that will be decided at the time. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Commissioner Epstein, yes, that probably would come through some sort of minor mod application. THE CHAIRMAN: So there's been we have a motion that has an amendment in it, and then there's a suggestion of another sort of edit to the motion on the floor. There's also the question about the porch itself and wrapping around, whether (microphone failure). We just need to we need to include that, I think, in the way that we amend the motion on the floor. So is there any other discussion about that? (Microphone failure) move this along. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, I just want to clarify with the porch comment. If the porch if the balconies are being	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIRMAN: So perhaps Commissioner Epstein will reconsider the motion, amending the motion, (microphone failure) it. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So I will through the Chair, I will revise my motion. Motion to amend the previous motion changing Condition 4 to read, "The railing system at the front and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials," the brick should have a stopping point at the finish floor of the porch, and the piers of the columns shall be made out of wood. The final design shall be worked on with the owner and staff for their approval. And if it needs to come back to the Commission as a minor mod, that will be decided at the time. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Commissioner Epstein, yes, that probably would come through some sort of minor mod application. THE CHAIRMAN: So there's been we have a motion that has an amendment in it, and then there's a suggestion of another sort of edit to the motion on the floor. There's also the question about the porch itself and wrapping around, whether (microphone failure). We just need to we need to include that, I think, in the way that we amend the motion on the floor. So is there any other discussion about that? (Microphone failure) move this along. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, I just want to clarify with the porch comment. If the porch if the balconies are being put back in to match the original and we're	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: So perhaps Commissioner Epstein will reconsider the motion, amending the motion, (microphone failure) it. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So I will through the Chair, I will revise my motion. Motion to amend the previous motion changing Condition 4 to read, "The railing system at the front and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials," the brick should have a stopping point at the finish floor of the porch, and the piers of the columns shall be made out of wood. The final design shall be worked on with the owner and staff for their approval. And if it needs to come back to the Commission as a minor mod, that will be decided at the time. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Sir, Mr. Cuthbert, are you good with this?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Commissioner Epstein, yes, that probably would come through some sort of minor mod application. THE CHAIRMAN: So there's been we have a motion that has an amendment in it, and then there's a suggestion of another sort of edit to the motion on the floor. There's also the question about the porch itself and wrapping around, whether (microphone failure). We just need to we need to include that, I think, in the way that we amend the motion on the floor. So is there any other discussion about that? (Microphone failure) move this along. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, I just want to clarify with the porch comment. If the porch if the balconies are being put back in to match the original and we're just mirroring it, then I think that it should	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: So perhaps Commissioner Epstein will reconsider the motion, amending the motion, (microphone failure) it. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So I will through the Chair, I will revise my motion. Motion to amend the previous motion changing Condition 4 to read, "The railing system at the front and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials," the brick should have a stopping point at the finish floor of the porch, and the piers of the columns shall be made out of wood. The final design shall be worked on with the owner and staff for their approval. And if it needs to come back to the Commission as a minor mod, that will be decided at the time. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Sir, Mr. Cuthbert, are you good with this? MR. CUTHBERT: I'm sorry?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Commissioner Epstein, yes, that probably would come through some sort of minor mod application. THE CHAIRMAN: So there's been we have a motion that has an amendment in it, and then there's a suggestion of another sort of edit to the motion on the floor. There's also the question about the porch itself and wrapping around, whether (microphone failure). We just need to we need to include that, I think, in the way that we amend the motion on the floor. So is there any other discussion about that? (Microphone failure) move this along. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, I just want to clarify with the porch comment. If the porch if the balconies are being put back in to match the original and we're just mirroring it, then I think that it should match the original, and I don't know what that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: So perhaps Commissioner Epstein will reconsider the motion, amending the motion, (microphone failure) it. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So I will through the Chair, I will revise my motion. Motion to amend the previous motion changing Condition 4 to read, "The railing system at the front and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials," the brick should have a stopping point at the finish floor of the porch, and the piers of the columns shall be made out of wood. The final design shall be worked on with the owner and staff for their approval. And if it needs to come back to the Commission as a minor mod, that will be decided at the time. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Sir, Mr. Cuthbert, are you good with this? MR. CUTHBERT: I'm sorry? THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Commissioner Epstein, yes, that probably would come through some sort of minor mod application. THE CHAIRMAN: So there's been we have a motion that has an amendment in it, and then there's a suggestion of another sort of edit to the motion on the floor. There's also the question about the porch itself and wrapping around, whether (microphone failure). We just need to we need to include that, I think, in the way that we amend the motion on the floor. So is there any other discussion about that? (Microphone failure) move this along. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair, I just want to clarify with the porch comment. If the porch if the balconies are being put back in to match the original and we're just mirroring it, then I think that it should match the original, and I don't know what that Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: So perhaps Commissioner Epstein will reconsider the motion, amending the motion, (microphone failure) it. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So I will through the Chair, I will revise my motion. Motion to amend the previous motion changing Condition 4 to read, "The railing system at the front and the rear porch shall be made of wood or composite materials," the brick should have a stopping point at the finish floor of the porch, and the piers of the columns shall be made out of wood. The final design shall be worked on with the owner and staff for their approval. And if it needs to come back to the Commission as a minor mod, that will be decided at the time. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Sir, Mr. Cuthbert, are you good with this? MR. CUTHBERT: I'm sorry? THE CHAIRMAN: Please come forward. Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203

City of Jacksonville Historic Preservation Commission December 11, 2024 Uncertified Condensed Copy

83

,	Jacksonville		December 11, 2024
HISTOL	c Preservation Commission 85		Uncertified Condensed Copy 87
1	(Mr. Cuthbert approaches the podium.)	1	withdrawal?
2	THE CHAIRMAN: Did you hear the	2	COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
3	MR. CUTHBERT: I was a helicopter pilot; I	3	THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed?
4	can't hear.	4	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
5	THE CHAIRMAN: Understood. Did you hear	5	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: All right. Motion
6	the motion on the floor?	6	to amend the previous motion and editing
7	MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah, that the the brick	7	Condition 2 to allow for the porch orientation
8	stops at the deck	8	to be shown as in the current elevations. And I believe that's Condition 2.
9 10	THE CHAIRMAN: Right. MR. CUTHBERT: and then it's wood from	9 10	And then Condition 4, the railing system
11	there up.	11	at the front and the rear shall be made of wood
12	THE CHAIRMAN: Everything else is wood or	12	or composite materials. The brick shall stop
13	composite material.	13	at the finish floor of the porch, and the
14	MR. CUTHBERT: Or composite.	14	column bases shall have a wood or composite
15	THE CHAIRMAN: And the elevation is to be	15	design.
16	worked through with staff for	16	The final design of this will be
17	MR. CUTHBERT: Okay.	17	coordinated with staff for their approval or,
18	THE CHAIRMAN: And if it has to come back	18	if required, a minor modification can be
19	to us, it will be as a minor mod.	19	brought to the Commission.
20	Are you good with that?	20	MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to
21 22	MR. CUTHBERT: That's fine.	21	Commissioner Epstein, would you be okay with a porch on the or do you want it as shown on
22	Did you come to some agreement on the orientation of the porch or	22 23	applicant's elevations?
23	MS. LOPERA: So through the Chair to the	23	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair
25	Commission, that condition, as outlined in the	25	to Counsel, I think the way that it's shown in
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
	86		88
1	staff report, was that the porch shall be	1	the elevations I'm okay with. If we would like
2 3	either along the south elevation, the front end; or the along the south and east	2 3	to leave it up to the owner that they do just a front south elevation or a front right side
4	elevations, the front and right sides, and that		elevation, per their discretion, I'm okay with
5	has not been altered by the Commission.	5	that. But if they make a change to the porch,
6	THE CHAIRMAN: So that yes, we do need	6	it needs to be given to staff for their
7	to because there was discussion among you	7	approval as well.
8	all that some of you were okay and fine with	8	THE CHAIRMAN: That's good.
9	the porch wrapping around the west side, and I	9	MS. LOPERA: Okay. So Condition 2 would
10	didn't hear any objection to that. So I think	10	read the porch shall be oriented as shown on
11	we need to come to a recommendation on that in	11	the elevations dated whatever date I'll zoom
12	terms of the motion as well.	12	in and figure it out or as approved by the Historic Preservation section.
13 14	MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah, that's kind of that's the big question. The rest of the	13 14	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yes.
14	stuff, I can get details.	14	MS. LOPERA: Thank you.
16	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair,	16	THE CHAIRMAN: So that's the motion.
17	so continuing to modify my motion	17	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: That's it.
18	MS. LOPERA: It was moved and seconded, so	18	MS. LOPERA: Was there a second on that?
19	you can withdraw it with the approval of the	19	COMMISSIONER HOFF: I will second.
20	body and redo it or you can vote on that and	20	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Any more
21	then amend again if you prefer.	21	discussion?
22	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I will withdraw	22	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
23 24	with the approval of the body. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Second.	23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
24 25	THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor of the	24 25	THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed?
23	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	25	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com

		1	
	89		91
1	MR. CUTHBERT: Just for clarification, you	1	MR. CUTHBERT: You're talking about in
2	guys showed two elevations; one was from	2	front of the window?
	2017	3	
3		-	THE CHAIRMAN: On the right, next to the
4	MS. LOPERA: So through the Chair to the	4	garage.
5	applicant, they are voting on the elevation you	5	MR. CUTHBERT: Yes.
6	submitted and requested with your application.	6	THE CHAIRMAN: The one that's in front of
7	MR. CUTHBERT: Okay. That that's	7	the window.
	•	-	
8	what	8	MR. CUTHBERT: Okay. It should
9	MS. LOPERA: Yeah.	9	(inaudible).
10	MR. CUTHBERT: Okay. Great.	10	THE CHAIRMAN: I think yeah.
11	, Thank you.	11	MR. CUTHBERT: I agree. But like I say,
12	THE CHAIRMAN: So let it be known that		- <i>, , , , , , , , , ,</i>
		12	I'll do whatever you guys tell me to do. I'll
13	COA-24	13	get it done.
14	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: No, we approved my	14	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So that was the last
15	amendment to the motion. We have to vote on	15	COA.
16	the yes.	16	Do any staff or anyone need to take a
	•	-	
17	MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.)	17	break right now? Do you want to keep moving?
18	THE CHAIRMAN: So by your actions, you	18	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Keep going.
19	have approved the amendment to the motion.	19	THE CHAIRMAN: Is that okay?
20	Now	20	So we have New Business, a demolition
21	MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.)	21	delay, the Henry C. Arpen House at 3747 Linjohn
	,		
22	THE CHAIRMAN: Move the COA as amended.	22	Road.
23	All those in favor?	23	We'll open the public hearing.
24	COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.	24	We'll entertain the staff report.
25	MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.)	25	MR. WELLS: Thank you.
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
	90		92
1	THE CHAIRMAN: Oh.	1	So this is a demolition request. So,
1	THE CHAIRMAN: Oh.	1	So this is a demolition request. So,
2	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the	2	essentially, the property owner is seeking a
2 3	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended.	2 3	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential
2	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended. Wait, I can't move it, because I	2	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential structure located at 3747 Linjohn Road. This
2 3	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended.	2 3	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential
2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended. Wait, I can't move it, because I MS. LOPERA: You can.	2 3 4	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential structure located at 3747 Linjohn Road. This structure, which is known as the Henry C. Arpen
2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended. Wait, I can't move it, because I MS. LOPERA: You can. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. I move to	2 3 4 5 6	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential structure located at 3747 Linjohn Road. This structure, which is known as the Henry C. Arpen House, was individually listed on the National
2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended. Wait, I can't move it, because I MS. LOPERA: You can. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. I move to approve it.	2 3 4 5 6 7	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential structure located at 3747 Linjohn Road. This structure, which is known as the Henry C. Arpen House, was individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 2019. Because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended. Wait, I can't move it, because I MS. LOPERA: You can. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. I move to approve it. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential structure located at 3747 Linjohn Road. This structure, which is known as the Henry C. Arpen House, was individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 2019. Because the structure is listed on the National
2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended. Wait, I can't move it, because I MS. LOPERA: You can. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. I move to approve it. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?	2 3 4 5 6 7	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential structure located at 3747 Linjohn Road. This structure, which is known as the Henry C. Arpen House, was individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 2019. Because the structure is listed on the National Register, any demolition activities require a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended. Wait, I can't move it, because I MS. LOPERA: You can. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. I move to approve it. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential structure located at 3747 Linjohn Road. This structure, which is known as the Henry C. Arpen House, was individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 2019. Because the structure is listed on the National
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended. Wait, I can't move it, because I MS. LOPERA: You can. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. I move to approve it. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential structure located at 3747 Linjohn Road. This structure, which is known as the Henry C. Arpen House, was individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 2019. Because the structure is listed on the National Register, any demolition activities require a review by the Historic Preservation Commission,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended. Wait, I can't move it, because I MS. LOPERA: You can. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. I move to approve it. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential structure located at 3747 Linjohn Road. This structure, which is known as the Henry C. Arpen House, was individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 2019. Because the structure is listed on the National Register, any demolition activities require a review by the Historic Preservation Commission, and this is in accordance with Section 320.407
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended. Wait, I can't move it, because I MS. LOPERA: You can. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. I move to approve it. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential structure located at 3747 Linjohn Road. This structure, which is known as the Henry C. Arpen House, was individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 2019. Because the structure is listed on the National Register, any demolition activities require a review by the Historic Preservation Commission, and this is in accordance with Section 320.407 of the Ordinance Code.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended. Wait, I can't move it, because I MS. LOPERA: You can. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. I move to approve it. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: COA-24-31539,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential structure located at 3747 Linjohn Road. This structure, which is known as the Henry C. Arpen House, was individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 2019. Because the structure is listed on the National Register, any demolition activities require a review by the Historic Preservation Commission, and this is in accordance with Section 320.407 of the Ordinance Code. Because the property owner is seeking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended. Wait, I can't move it, because I MS. LOPERA: You can. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. I move to approve it. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: COA-24-31539, 321 5th Street East, has been approved as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential structure located at 3747 Linjohn Road. This structure, which is known as the Henry C. Arpen House, was individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 2019. Because the structure is listed on the National Register, any demolition activities require a review by the Historic Preservation Commission, and this is in accordance with Section 320.407 of the Ordinance Code. Because the property owner is seeking demolition and is not in support of a landmark
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended. Wait, I can't move it, because I MS. LOPERA: You can. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. I move to approve it. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: COA-24-31539,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential structure located at 3747 Linjohn Road. This structure, which is known as the Henry C. Arpen House, was individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 2019. Because the structure is listed on the National Register, any demolition activities require a review by the Historic Preservation Commission, and this is in accordance with Section 320.407 of the Ordinance Code. Because the property owner is seeking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended. Wait, I can't move it, because I MS. LOPERA: You can. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. I move to approve it. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: COA-24-31539, 321 5th Street East, has been approved as amended.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential structure located at 3747 Linjohn Road. This structure, which is known as the Henry C. Arpen House, was individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 2019. Because the structure is listed on the National Register, any demolition activities require a review by the Historic Preservation Commission, and this is in accordance with Section 320.407 of the Ordinance Code. Because the property owner is seeking demolition and is not in support of a landmark designation for the property, the Commission
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended. Wait, I can't move it, because I MS. LOPERA: You can. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. I move to approve it. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: COA-24-31539, 321 5th Street East, has been approved as amended. Sir, just a comment. I think the I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential structure located at 3747 Linjohn Road. This structure, which is known as the Henry C. Arpen House, was individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 2019. Because the structure is listed on the National Register, any demolition activities require a review by the Historic Preservation Commission, and this is in accordance with Section 320.407 of the Ordinance Code. Because the property owner is seeking demolition and is not in support of a landmark designation for the property, the Commission must find that the property meets at least four
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended. Wait, I can't move it, because I MS. LOPERA: You can. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. I move to approve it. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: COA-24-31539, 321 5th Street East, has been approved as amended. Sir, just a comment. I think the I think you to help your proportions in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential structure located at 3747 Linjohn Road. This structure, which is known as the Henry C. Arpen House, was individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 2019. Because the structure is listed on the National Register, any demolition activities require a review by the Historic Preservation Commission, and this is in accordance with Section 320.407 of the Ordinance Code. Because the property owner is seeking demolition and is not in support of a landmark designation for the property, the Commission must find that the property meets at least four of the seven criteria outlined in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended. Wait, I can't move it, because I MS. LOPERA: You can. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. I move to approve it. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: COA-24-31539, 321 5th Street East, has been approved as amended. Sir, just a comment. I think the I think you to help your proportions in working with staff, the column on the right	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential structure located at 3747 Linjohn Road. This structure, which is known as the Henry C. Arpen House, was individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 2019. Because the structure is listed on the National Register, any demolition activities require a review by the Historic Preservation Commission, and this is in accordance with Section 320.407 of the Ordinance Code. Because the property owner is seeking demolition and is not in support of a landmark designation for the property, the Commission must find that the property meets at least four of the seven criteria outlined in the designation procedures for landmarking.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended. Wait, I can't move it, because I MS. LOPERA: You can. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. I move to approve it. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: COA-24-31539, 321 5th Street East, has been approved as amended. Sir, just a comment. I think the I think you to help your proportions in working with staff, the column on the right side, in the middle, I don't think you need. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential structure located at 3747 Linjohn Road. This structure, which is known as the Henry C. Arpen House, was individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 2019. Because the structure is listed on the National Register, any demolition activities require a review by the Historic Preservation Commission, and this is in accordance with Section 320.407 of the Ordinance Code. Because the property owner is seeking demolition and is not in support of a landmark designation for the property, the Commission must find that the property meets at least four of the seven criteria outlined in the designation procedures for landmarking. Based on our analysis, we found that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended. Wait, I can't move it, because I MS. LOPERA: You can. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. I move to approve it. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: COA-24-31539, 321 5th Street East, has been approved as amended. Sir, just a comment. I think the I think you to help your proportions in working with staff, the column on the right side, in the middle, I don't think you need. I think that would help your proportions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential structure located at 3747 Linjohn Road. This structure, which is known as the Henry C. Arpen House, was individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 2019. Because the structure is listed on the National Register, any demolition activities require a review by the Historic Preservation Commission, and this is in accordance with Section 320.407 of the Ordinance Code. Because the property owner is seeking demolition and is not in support of a landmark designation for the property, the Commission must find that the property meets at least four of the seven criteria outlined in the designation procedures for landmarking. Based on our analysis, we found that the Henry C. Arpen House is a two-story, wood
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended. Wait, I can't move it, because I MS. LOPERA: You can. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. I move to approve it. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: COA-24-31539, 321 5th Street East, has been approved as amended. Sir, just a comment. I think the I think you to help your proportions in working with staff, the column on the right side, in the middle, I don't think you need. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential structure located at 3747 Linjohn Road. This structure, which is known as the Henry C. Arpen House, was individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 2019. Because the structure is listed on the National Register, any demolition activities require a review by the Historic Preservation Commission, and this is in accordance with Section 320.407 of the Ordinance Code. Because the property owner is seeking demolition and is not in support of a landmark designation for the property, the Commission must find that the property meets at least four of the seven criteria outlined in the designation procedures for landmarking. Based on our analysis, we found that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended. Wait, I can't move it, because I MS. LOPERA: You can. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. I move to approve it. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: COA-24-31539, 321 5th Street East, has been approved as amended. Sir, just a comment. I think the I think you to help your proportions in working with staff, the column on the right side, in the middle, I don't think you need. I think that would help your proportions immensely. You only need	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential structure located at 3747 Linjohn Road. This structure, which is known as the Henry C. Arpen House, was individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 2019. Because the structure is listed on the National Register, any demolition activities require a review by the Historic Preservation Commission, and this is in accordance with Section 320.407 of the Ordinance Code. Because the property owner is seeking demolition and is not in support of a landmark designation for the property, the Commission must find that the property meets at least four of the seven criteria outlined in the designation procedures for landmarking. Based on our analysis, we found that the Henry C. Arpen House is a two-story, wood frame, traditional Florida vernacular
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended. Wait, I can't move it, because I MS. LOPERA: You can. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. I move to approve it. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: COA-24-31539, 321 5th Street East, has been approved as amended. Sir, just a comment. I think the I think you to help your proportions in working with staff, the column on the right side, in the middle, I don't think you need. I think that would help your proportions immensely. You only need MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah. And the architect	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential structure located at 3747 Linjohn Road. This structure, which is known as the Henry C. Arpen House, was individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 2019. Because the structure is listed on the National Register, any demolition activities require a review by the Historic Preservation Commission, and this is in accordance with Section 320.407 of the Ordinance Code. Because the property owner is seeking demolition and is not in support of a landmark designation for the property, the Commission must find that the property meets at least four of the seven criteria outlined in the designation procedures for landmarking. Based on our analysis, we found that the Henry C. Arpen House is a two-story, wood frame, traditional Florida vernacular residence. It can be characterized by its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended. Wait, I can't move it, because I MS. LOPERA: You can. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. I move to approve it. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: COA-24-31539, 321 5th Street East, has been approved as amended. Sir, just a comment. I think the I think you to help your proportions in working with staff, the column on the right side, in the middle, I don't think you need. I think that would help your proportions immensely. You only need MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah. And the architect put that one in.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential structure located at 3747 Linjohn Road. This structure, which is known as the Henry C. Arpen House, was individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 2019. Because the structure is listed on the National Register, any demolition activities require a review by the Historic Preservation Commission, and this is in accordance with Section 320.407 of the Ordinance Code. Because the property owner is seeking demolition and is not in support of a landmark designation for the property, the Commission must find that the property meets at least four of the seven criteria outlined in the designation procedures for landmarking. Based on our analysis, we found that the Henry C. Arpen House is a two-story, wood frame, traditional Florida vernacular residence. It can be characterized by its T-shaped ground plan, front-facing gable roof,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended. Wait, I can't move it, because I MS. LOPERA: You can. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. I move to approve it. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: COA-24-31539, 321 5th Street East, has been approved as amended. Sir, just a comment. I think the I think you to help your proportions in working with staff, the column on the right side, in the middle, I don't think you need. I think that would help your proportions immensely. You only need MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah. And the architect put that one in. MS. LOPERA: Architects.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential structure located at 3747 Linjohn Road. This structure, which is known as the Henry C. Arpen House, was individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 2019. Because the structure is listed on the National Register, any demolition activities require a review by the Historic Preservation Commission, and this is in accordance with Section 320.407 of the Ordinance Code. Because the property owner is seeking demolition and is not in support of a landmark designation for the property, the Commission must find that the property meets at least four of the seven criteria outlined in the designation procedures for landmarking. Based on our analysis, we found that the Henry C. Arpen House is a two-story, wood frame, traditional Florida vernacular residence. It can be characterized by its T-shaped ground plan, front-facing gable roof, rear cross-gable roof, wrap-around porch, a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended. Wait, I can't move it, because I MS. LOPERA: You can. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. I move to approve it. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: COA-24-31539, 321 5th Street East, has been approved as amended. Sir, just a comment. I think the I think you to help your proportions in working with staff, the column on the right side, in the middle, I don't think you need. I think that would help your proportions immensely. You only need MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah. And the architect put that one in.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential structure located at 3747 Linjohn Road. This structure, which is known as the Henry C. Arpen House, was individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 2019. Because the structure is listed on the National Register, any demolition activities require a review by the Historic Preservation Commission, and this is in accordance with Section 320.407 of the Ordinance Code. Because the property owner is seeking demolition and is not in support of a landmark designation for the property, the Commission must find that the property meets at least four of the seven criteria outlined in the designation procedures for landmarking. Based on our analysis, we found that the Henry C. Arpen House is a two-story, wood frame, traditional Florida vernacular residence. It can be characterized by its T-shaped ground plan, front-facing gable roof,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended. Wait, I can't move it, because I MS. LOPERA: You can. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. I move to approve it. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: COA-24-31539, 321 5th Street East, has been approved as amended. Sir, just a comment. I think the I think you to help your proportions in working with staff, the column on the right side, in the middle, I don't think you need. I think that would help your proportions immensely. You only need MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah. And the architect put that one in. MS. LOPERA: Architects.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential structure located at 3747 Linjohn Road. This structure, which is known as the Henry C. Arpen House, was individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 2019. Because the structure is listed on the National Register, any demolition activities require a review by the Historic Preservation Commission, and this is in accordance with Section 320.407 of the Ordinance Code. Because the property owner is seeking demolition and is not in support of a landmark designation for the property, the Commission must find that the property meets at least four of the seven criteria outlined in the designation procedures for landmarking. Based on our analysis, we found that the Henry C. Arpen House is a two-story, wood frame, traditional Florida vernacular residence. It can be characterized by its T-shaped ground plan, front-facing gable roof, rear cross-gable roof, wrap-around porch, a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended. Wait, I can't move it, because I MS. LOPERA: You can. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. I move to approve it. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: COA-24-31539, 321 5th Street East, has been approved as amended. Sir, just a comment. I think the I think you to help your proportions in working with staff, the column on the right side, in the middle, I don't think you need. I think that would help your proportions immensely. You only need MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah. And the architect put that one in. MS. LOPERA: Architects. THE CHAIRMAN: Those guys. Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential structure located at 3747 Linjohn Road. This structure, which is known as the Henry C. Arpen House, was individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 2019. Because the structure is listed on the National Register, any demolition activities require a review by the Historic Preservation Commission, and this is in accordance with Section 320.407 of the Ordinance Code. Because the property owner is seeking demolition and is not in support of a landmark designation for the property, the Commission must find that the property meets at least four of the seven criteria outlined in the designation procedures for landmarking. Based on our analysis, we found that the Henry C. Arpen House is a two-story, wood frame, traditional Florida vernacular residence. It can be characterized by its T-shaped ground plan, front-facing gable roof, rear cross-gable roof, wrap-around porch, a V-crimp metal roof, exposed rafter ends, and a Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Move to approve the COA as amended. Wait, I can't move it, because I MS. LOPERA: You can. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Okay. I move to approve it. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: COA-24-31539, 321 5th Street East, has been approved as amended. Sir, just a comment. I think the I think you to help your proportions in working with staff, the column on the right side, in the middle, I don't think you need. I think that would help your proportions immensely. You only need MR. CUTHBERT: Yeah. And the architect put that one in. MS. LOPERA: Architects. THE CHAIRMAN: Those guys.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	essentially, the property owner is seeking a building permit to demolish a residential structure located at 3747 Linjohn Road. This structure, which is known as the Henry C. Arpen House, was individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 2019. Because the structure is listed on the National Register, any demolition activities require a review by the Historic Preservation Commission, and this is in accordance with Section 320.407 of the Ordinance Code. Because the property owner is seeking demolition and is not in support of a landmark designation for the property, the Commission must find that the property meets at least four of the seven criteria outlined in the designation procedures for landmarking. Based on our analysis, we found that the Henry C. Arpen House is a two-story, wood frame, traditional Florida vernacular residence. It can be characterized by its T-shaped ground plan, front-facing gable roof, rear cross-gable roof, wrap-around porch, a V-crimp metal roof, exposed rafter ends, and a

-	f Jacksonville		December 11, 2024
HIStor	ic Preservation Commission 93		Uncertified Condensed Copy 95
1	now-removed decorative brick chimney. The	1	Cracker, the Arpen House has a wood frame
2	fenestration pattern consists of six-over-six,	2	structural system covered on the exterior by
3	double-hung sash windows.	3	horizontal wood siding, a brick pier
4	This property is located within the	4	foundation, centrally placed chimney, and a
5	Mandarin neighborhood and is situated on the	5	gabled main roof.
6	Joseph Hagin Spanish Land Grant. According to	6	The third criteria relates to it having
7	archival records, the structure was constructed around 1880.	7	distinguishing characteristics of an architectural style.
8	In 2022, the Arpen House was relocated	8 9	Architecturally, the design of the Arpen
10	from its original location at 3318 O'Connor	10	residence, again, reflects the frame vernacular
11	Road to 3747 Linjohn Road in December of 2022.	11	style, which, again, represents vanishing
12	This relocation was performed without obtaining	12	examples of how rural and lay builders utilized
13	an approved permit with the Building Inspection	13	construction principles. Again, in North
14	Division of the Planning and Development	14	Florida, these builders took advantage of these
15	Department.	15	different variations in terms of materials.
16	Based on our evaluation, it is the opinion	16	With its eastern orientation, double
17	of staff that the residence meets four of the	17	verandas on two elevations, open hallways,
18	landmarking criteria. The first one is	18	numerous windows and a detached kitchen, the
19	Criteria A, which is its value as a significant	19	Arpen residence was designed to work well in a
20	reminder of the cultural, historical,	20	pre-air-conditioned Southern environment.
21	architectural or archaeological heritage of the	21	Another unique feature of the Arpen residence is the presence of a detached
22 23	city, state or nation. Again, this Henry C. Arpen residence is	22 23	building which has since been connected and
23	one of a small number of historic homes that	23	also contains the kitchen now. How this
25	remain in the Loretto community. It's located	25	detached one-story building was tied originally
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
	94		96
1	to the east of the Mandarin peninsular. This	1	to the residence is not known but was
2	community, which radiated out from the St. Augustine and Loretto crossroads, owes its	2 3	traditionally connected by a boardwalk to a back or side porch.
3	origin to the missionary efforts of the Roman	3 4	Concentrating such domestic activities in
5	Catholic Church in Florida.	5	a separate building, which was a common
6	The second criteria relates to it being	6	treatment for rural structures in the South,
7	recognized for the quality of its architecture	7	allowed for the main home to be free of
8	and it retaining sufficient elements showing	8	cooking, generating smoke, heat, and smells.
9	its architectural significance.	9	The last criterion relates to its
10	Architecturally, the original design of	10	suitability for preservation or restoration.
11	the Arpen House reflects no high style and is	11	So, again, in our approach to this criterion,
12	commonly referred to as "frame vernacular"	12	staff typically evaluates proposed landmarks
13 14	because of its construction. Notwithstanding its simple design, frame vernacular buildings	13 14	based on evidence of significant exterior alterations that have negatively impacted
14	are important since they represent vanishing	14	character-defining features as well as
16	examples of how rural and lay builders utilized	16	alterations that are deemed difficult, costly
17	simple and time-tested construction principles,	17	or impossible to reverse.
18	as well as building materials such as southern	18	Furthermore, the degree and nature of any
19	yellow pine and cypress. Sometimes referred to	19	exterior deterioration as well as the evidence
20	as "Florida Cracker," a more regional variation	20	of long-term and potentially ongoing neglect
21	of the Florida [sic] vernacular style was	21	are also factors in evaluating potential
22	developed with the harsh environment of the	22	landmarks.
23	South, such as heat, rain, humidity and moist	23 24	I want to read something from the approved
24 25	[sic] levels or soils. Common with materials found on Florida	24 25	National Register nomination. It states, "The integrity of the Arpen House is excellent. It
23	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	25	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
1	(904) 821-0300		(904) 07 (-0.500
	(904) 821-0300 MadamCourtReporter.com		(904) 821-0300 MadamCourtReporter.com

Historic Preservation Commission971retains the integrity of its exterior and 2971retains the integrity of its exterior and 212interior features. The main alteration to the 323original building has been the rear addition. 4However, the addition was constructed in" 514However, the addition was constructed in" 5"around [sic] 1920, during the historic 536and has such [sic] achieved historic 7significance. On the interior, a great 837majority of features and finishes are intact." 9Again, since its formal listing in 2019, 10the Arpen House was relocated from its original 1110the Arpen House was relocated from its original 11Road in December 2022. Again, this relocation 12So correct me if I'm wrong, but reading 911there was a performed without obtaining an approved 14that when this structure was to be moved, 1111activity.15MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to 1612As you will see in the application package 19itself, you you will find a structural 219itself, you you will find a structural 21011integrity of the house was compromised during 21112commission Hoff, that is correct.13activity.14the relocation process, and I want to read a 2315of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 3220314	99
 2 interior features. The main alteration to the 3 original building has been the rear addition. 4 However, the addition was constructed in" 5 "around [sic] 1920, during the historic period, 6 and has such [sic] achieved historic 7 significance. On the interior, a great 8 majority of features and finishes are intact." 9 Again, since its formal listing in 2019, 10 the Arpen House was relocated from its original 11 location at 3318 O'Connor Road to 3747 Linjohn 12 Road in December 2022. Again, this relocation 13 was performed without obtaining an approved 14 building permit with the Building Inspection 15 Division, and as such, has resulted in 16 24 months of weatherization and unregulated 17 activity. 18 As you will see in the application package 19 itself, you you will find a structural 20 evaluation letter. This letter states that the 21 integrity of the house was compromised during 22 the relocation process, and I want to read a 23 portion of it from the letter. And it states, 24 "It is our opinion that the house is in a state 25 of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far 	
 3 original building has been the rear addition. 4 However, the addition was constructed in" 5 "around [sic] 1920, during the historic period, 6 and has such [sic] achieved historic 7 significance. On the interior, a great 8 majority of features and finishes are intact." 9 Again, since its formal listing in 2019, 10 the Arpen House was relocated from its original 11 location at 3318 O'Connor Road to 3747 Linjohn 12 Road in December 2022. Again, this relocation 13 was performed without obtaining an approved 14 building permit with the Building Inspection 15 Division, and as such, has resulted in 16 24 months of weatherization and unregulated 17 activity. 18 As you will see in the application package 19 itself, you you will find a structural 20 evaluation letter. This letter states that the 21 integrity of the house was compromised during 22 the relocation process, and I want to read a 23 portion of it from the letter. And it states, 44 Do we have any questions for staff? 5 COMMISSIONER HOFF: Through the Chair 6 staff, so there's a lot in this packet that you 7 presented. I read through it all. 8 So correct me if I'm wrong, but reading 9 through some of the email documentation is 10 that when this structure was to be moved, 11 there was an agreement with the Mandarin 12 community and the City Councilperson that the 13 tority. 14 building line a structural 15 Were it was originally located on, it was 16 subject to a rezoning request through a Planne 20 Unit Development. That Planned Unit 21 Development has stipulated a condition that 23 staft that the structure shall be relocated 24 elsewhere. 24 The chait shate shat the chimney was removed during the mode	
 4 However, the addition was constructed in" 5 "around [sic] 1920, during the historic period, 6 and has such [sic] achieved historic 7 significance. On the interior, a great 8 majority of features and finishes are intact." 9 Again, since its formal listing in 2019, 10 the Arpen House was relocated from its original 11 location at 3318 O'Connor Road to 3747 Linjohn 12 Road in December 2022. Again, this relocation 13 was performed without obtaining an approved 14 building permit with the Building Inspection 15 Division, and as such, has resulted in 16 24 months of weatherization and unregulated 17 activity. 18 As you will see in the application package 19 itself, you you will find a structural 10 evaluation letter. This letter states that the 21 integrity of the house was compromised during 22 the relocation process, and I want to read a 23 portion of it from the letter. And it states, 24 "It is our opinion that the house is in a state 25 of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far 	
 5 "around [sic] 1920, during the historic period, 6 and has such [sic] achieved historic 7 significance. On the interior, a great 8 majority of features and finishes are intact." 9 Again, since its formal listing in 2019, 10 the Arpen House was relocated from its original 11 location at 3318 O'Connor Road to 3747 Linjohn 12 Road in December 2022. Again, this relocation 13 was performed without obtaining an approved 14 building permit with the Building Inspection 15 Division, and as such, has resulted in 16 24 months of weatherization and unregulated 17 activity. 18 As you will see in the application package 19 itself, you you will find a structural 10 evaluation letter. This letter states that the 21 integrity of the house was compromised during 22 the relocation process, and I want to read a 23 portion of it from the letter. And it states, 24 "It is our opinion that the house is in a state 25 of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far 5 COMMISSIONER HOFF: Through the Chai 6 staff, so there's a lot in this packet that you presented. I read through it all. 8 So correct me if I'm wrong, but reading 9 through some of the email documentation is 10 that when this structure was to be moved, 11 location at 3318 O'Connor Road to 3747 Linjohn 12 Evaluation letter. This letter states that the 24 months of underly of the house was compromised during 25 of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far 	
 6 and has such [sic] achieved historic 7 significance. On the interior, a great 8 majority of features and finishes are intact." 9 Again, since its formal listing in 2019, 10 the Arpen House was relocated from its original 11 location at 3318 O'Connor Road to 3747 Linjohn 12 Road in December 2022. Again, this relocation 13 was performed without obtaining an approved 14 building permit with the Building Inspection 15 Division, and as such, has resulted in 16 24 months of weatherization and unregulated 17 activity. 18 As you will see in the application package 19 itself, you you will find a structural 10 evaluation letter. This letter states that the 21 integrity of the house was compromised during 22 the relocation process, and I want to read a 23 portion of it from the letter. And it states, 24 "It is our opinion that the house is in a state 25 of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far 6 staff, so there's a lot in this packet that you presented. I read through it all. 8 So correct me if I'm wrong, but reading 9 through some of the email documentation is 10 that when this structure was to be moved, 11 there was an agreement with the Mandarin 12 community and the City Councilperson that the 13 structure would be preserved where it's move 14 to; is that correct? 15 MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to 16 Commissioner Hoff, that is correct. 17 So, essentially, in 2019 the property, 18 where it was originally located on, it was 19 subject to a rezoning request through a Planr 20 Unit Development. That Planned Unit 21 Development has stipulated a condition that 22 stated that the structure shall be relocated 23 elsewhere. 24 COMMISSIONER HOFF: And it's mention 25 tha	
 7 significance. On the interior, a great 8 majority of features and finishes are intact." 9 Again, since its formal listing in 2019, 10 the Arpen House was relocated from its original 11 location at 3318 O'Connor Road to 3747 Linjohn 12 Road in December 2022. Again, this relocation 13 was performed without obtaining an approved 14 building permit with the Building Inspection 15 Division, and as such, has resulted in 16 24 months of weatherization and unregulated 17 activity. 18 As you will see in the application package 19 itself, you you will find a structural 20 evaluation letter. This letter states that the 21 integrity of the house was compromised during 22 the relocation process, and I want to read a 23 portion of it from the letter. And it states, 24 "It is our opinion that the house is in a state 25 of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far 7 presented. I read through it all. 8 So correct me if I'm wrong, but reading 9 through some of the email documentation is 10 that when this structure was to be moved, 11 there was an agreement with the Mandarin 12 community and the City Councilperson that the 13 structure would be preserved where it's moved 14 to; is that correct? 15 MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to 16 Commissioner Hoff, that is correct. 17 So, essentially, in 2019 the property, 18 where it was originally located on, it was 19 subject to a rezoning request through a Planned 20 Evelopment. That Planned Unit 21 Development. That Planned Unit 22 the relocation process, and I want to read a 23 portion of it from the letter. And it states, 24 "It is our opinion that the house is in a state 25 of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far 	ir to
 8 majority of features and finishes are intact." 9 Again, since its formal listing in 2019, 10 the Arpen House was relocated from its original 11 location at 3318 O'Connor Road to 3747 Linjohn 12 Road in December 2022. Again, this relocation 13 was performed without obtaining an approved 14 building permit with the Building Inspection 15 Division, and as such, has resulted in 16 24 months of weatherization and unregulated 17 activity. 18 As you will see in the application package 19 itself, you you will find a structural 10 use in the application package 19 itself, you you will find a structural 20 evaluation letter. This letter states that the 21 integrity of the house was compromised during 22 the relocation process, and I want to read a 23 portion of it from the letter. And it states, 24 "It is our opinion that the house is in a state 25 of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far 8 So correct me if I'm wrong, but reading 9 through some of the email documentation is 10 that when this structure was to be moved, 11 there was an agreement with the Mandarin 12 community and the City Councilperson that the 13 structure would be preserved where it's move 14 to; is that correct? 15 MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to 16 Commissioner Hoff, that is correct. 17 So, essentially, in 2019 the property, 18 where it was originally located on, it was 19 subject to a rezoning request through a Planne 20 Unit Development. That Planned Unit 21 Development has stipulated a condition that 22 stated that the structure shall be relocated 23 elsewhere. 24 COMMISSIONER HOFF: And it's mention 25 that the chimney was removed during the move 	
 9 Again, since its formal listing in 2019, 10 the Arpen House was relocated from its original 11 location at 3318 O'Connor Road to 3747 Linjohn 12 Road in December 2022. Again, this relocation 13 was performed without obtaining an approved 14 building permit with the Building Inspection 15 Division, and as such, has resulted in 16 24 months of weatherization and unregulated 17 activity. 18 As you will see in the application package 19 itself, you you will find a structural 20 evaluation letter. This letter states that the 21 integrity of the house was compromised during 22 the relocation process, and I want to read a 23 portion of it from the letter. And it states, 24 "It is our opinion that the house is in a state 25 of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far 9 through some of the email documentation is 9 through some of the email documentation is 10 that when this structure was to be moved, 11 there was an agreement with the Mandarin 12 community and the City Councilperson that the 13 structure would be preserved where it's moved 14 to; is that correct? 15 MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to 16 Commissioner Hoff, that is correct. 17 So, essentially, in 2019 the property, 18 where it was originally located on, it was 19 subject to a rezoning request through a Planne 20 Unit Development. That Planned Unit 21 Development has stipulated a condition that 22 stated that the structure shall be relocated 23 elsewhere. 24 COMMISSIONER HOFF: And it's mention 25 that the chimney was removed during the moves 	
 10 the Arpen House was relocated from its original 11 location at 3318 O'Connor Road to 3747 Linjohn 12 Road in December 2022. Again, this relocation 13 was performed without obtaining an approved 14 building permit with the Building Inspection 15 Division, and as such, has resulted in 16 24 months of weatherization and unregulated 17 activity. 18 As you will see in the application package 19 itself, you you will find a structural 20 evaluation letter. This letter states that the 21 integrity of the house was compromised during 22 the relocation process, and I want to read a 23 portion of it from the letter. And it states, 24 "It is our opinion that the house is in a state 25 of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far 	
 11 location at 3318 O'Connor Road to 3747 Linjohn 12 Road in December 2022. Again, this relocation 13 was performed without obtaining an approved 14 building permit with the Building Inspection 15 Division, and as such, has resulted in 16 24 months of weatherization and unregulated 17 activity. 18 As you will see in the application package 19 itself, you you will find a structural 20 evaluation letter. This letter states that the 21 integrity of the house was compromised during 22 the relocation process, and I want to read a 23 portion of it from the letter. And it states, 24 "It is our opinion that the house is in a state 25 of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far 	
 12 Road in December 2022. Again, this relocation 13 was performed without obtaining an approved 14 building permit with the Building Inspection 15 Division, and as such, has resulted in 16 24 months of weatherization and unregulated 17 activity. 18 As you will see in the application package 19 itself, you you will find a structural 20 evaluation letter. This letter states that the 21 integrity of the house was compromised during 22 the relocation process, and I want to read a 23 portion of it from the letter. And it states, 24 "It is our opinion that the house is in a state 25 of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far 12 community and the City Councilperson that th 13 structure would be preserved where it's move 14 to; is that correct? 15 MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to 16 Commissioner Hoff, that is correct. 17 So, essentially, in 2019 the property, 18 where it was originally located on, it was 19 subject to a rezoning request through a Planne 20 Unit Development. That Planned Unit 21 Development has stipulated a condition that 22 stated that the structure shall be relocated 23 elsewhere. 24 COMMISSIONER HOFF: And it's mention 25 of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far 	
 13 was performed without obtaining an approved 14 building permit with the Building Inspection 15 Division, and as such, has resulted in 16 24 months of weatherization and unregulated 17 activity. 18 As you will see in the application package 19 itself, you you will find a structural 20 evaluation letter. This letter states that the 21 integrity of the house was compromised during 22 the relocation process, and I want to read a 23 portion of it from the letter. And it states, 24 "It is our opinion that the house is in a state 25 of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far 13 structure would be preserved where it's move 14 to; is that correct? 15 MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to 16 Commissioner Hoff, that is correct. 17 So, essentially, in 2019 the property, 18 where it was originally located on, it was 19 subject to a rezoning request through a Planned 20 Unit Development. That Planned Unit 21 Development has stipulated a condition that 22 stated that the structure shall be relocated 23 elsewhere. 24 COMMISSIONER HOFF: And it's mention 25 that the chimney was removed during the more 	
 building permit with the Building Inspection Division, and as such, has resulted in 24 months of weatherization and unregulated activity. As you will see in the application package itself, you you will find a structural evaluation letter. This letter states that the integrity of the house was compromised during the relocation process, and I want to read a portion of it from the letter. And it states, "It is our opinion that the house is in a state of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far the relocation process and I want to read a portion of it from the letter. And it states, The cost to repair this home far 	
 15 Division, and as such, has resulted in 16 24 months of weatherization and unregulated 17 activity. 18 As you will see in the application package 19 itself, you you will find a structural 20 evaluation letter. This letter states that the 21 integrity of the house was compromised during 22 the relocation process, and I want to read a 23 portion of it from the letter. And it states, 24 "It is our opinion that the house is in a state 25 of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far 15 MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to 16 Commissioner Hoff, that is correct. 17 So, essentially, in 2019 the property, 18 where it was originally located on, it was 19 subject to a rezoning request through a Plann 20 Unit Development. That Planned Unit 21 Development has stipulated a condition that 22 stated that the structure shall be relocated 23 elsewhere. 24 "It is our opinion that the house is in a state 25 of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far 	a
 16 24 months of weatherization and unregulated 17 activity. 18 As you will see in the application package 19 itself, you you will find a structural 20 evaluation letter. This letter states that the 21 integrity of the house was compromised during 22 the relocation process, and I want to read a 23 portion of it from the letter. And it states, 24 "It is our opinion that the house is in a state 25 of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far 16 Commissioner Hoff, that is correct. 17 So, essentially, in 2019 the property, 18 where it was originally located on, it was 19 subject to a rezoning request through a Planne 20 Unit Development. That Planned Unit 21 Development has stipulated a condition that 22 stated that the structure shall be relocated 23 elsewhere. 24 "It is our opinion that the house is in a state 25 of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far 	
 17 activity. 18 As you will see in the application package 19 itself, you you will find a structural 20 evaluation letter. This letter states that the 21 integrity of the house was compromised during 22 the relocation process, and I want to read a 23 portion of it from the letter. And it states, 24 "It is our opinion that the house is in a state 25 of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far 17 So, essentially, in 2019 the property, 18 where it was originally located on, it was 19 subject to a rezoning request through a Plann 20 Unit Development. That Planned Unit 21 Development has stipulated a condition that 22 stated that the structure shall be relocated 23 elsewhere. 24 COMMISSIONER HOFF: And it's mention 25 that the chimney was removed during the model 	
 As you will see in the application package itself, you you will find a structural evaluation letter. This letter states that the integrity of the house was compromised during the relocation process, and I want to read a portion of it from the letter. And it states, "It is our opinion that the house is in a state of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far 	
 19 itself, you you will find a structural 20 evaluation letter. This letter states that the 21 integrity of the house was compromised during 22 the relocation process, and I want to read a 23 portion of it from the letter. And it states, 24 "It is our opinion that the house is in a state 25 of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far 19 subject to a rezoning request through a Plann 20 Unit Development. That Planned Unit 21 Development has stipulated a condition that 22 stated that the structure shall be relocated 23 elsewhere. 24 "It is our opinion that the house is in a state 25 of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far 	
 20 evaluation letter. This letter states that the 21 integrity of the house was compromised during 22 the relocation process, and I want to read a 23 portion of it from the letter. And it states, 24 "It is our opinion that the house is in a state 25 of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far 20 Unit Development. That Planned Unit 21 Development has stipulated a condition that 22 stated that the structure shall be relocated 23 elsewhere. 24 "It is our opinion that the house is in a state 25 of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far 	ed
 21 integrity of the house was compromised during 22 the relocation process, and I want to read a 23 portion of it from the letter. And it states, 24 "It is our opinion that the house is in a state 25 of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far 21 Development has stipulated a condition that 22 stated that the structure shall be relocated 23 elsewhere. 24 COMMISSIONER HOFF: And it's mention 25 that the chimney was removed during the model 	24
 22 the relocation process, and I want to read a 23 portion of it from the letter. And it states, 24 "It is our opinion that the house is in a state 25 of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far 22 stated that the structure shall be relocated 23 elsewhere. 24 COMMISSIONER HOFF: And it's mention 25 that the chimney was removed during the model 	
 23 portion of it from the letter. And it states, 24 "It is our opinion that the house is in a state 25 of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far 23 elsewhere. 24 COMMISSIONER HOFF: And it's mention 25 that the chimney was removed during the model 	
25 of disrepair. The cost to repair this home far 25 that the chimney was removed during the mo	
	ed
Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL	ve,
	32203
(904) 821-0300 (904) 821-0300	
MadamCourtReporter.com MadamCourtReporter.com	
	00
 exceeds the value of the house and should be but the chimney opening was not secured demolished in place if not relocated." sufficiently to not allow the elements in; is 	
 3 Disrepair, often described as being in a 3 that your understanding? 	
4566777 <th7< th="">777777</th7<>	
 5 structure of or a building is incapable of 5 Commissioner Hoff, my understanding is that 	
6 being preserved or restored. While staff 6 is that is correct. It was removed. And	
 7 agrees the structure is in poor condition from 7 from my understanding, it was not encapsula 	ed
8 24 months of deferred maintenance, the 8 or covered.	
9 applicant did not provide any evidence to 9 COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. And two	nore
10demonstrate how the structure could not be10questions.	
11repaired or restored.11So you mentioned that this was originally	
12 Furthermore, the estimated cost to repair 12 moved without a permit. What whose c	in
13the structure was not provided, nor was the13you describe the type of property that it's on	
14 appraised value of the structure. So given the14 at this time?	
15 limited information regarding the structural 15 MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to	
16 integrity of the structure, staff is unable to	ıg,
17 support a claim of disrepair or irreparability 18 for the structure	
18 for the structure. 18 nature. It's a few hundred feet from the 19 original location. It already houses a	
 Furthermore, the structure and evaluation letter states that relocation of the structure single-family home on it, so this structure is 	
21 is possible if the proper repairs and shoring 21 just located towards the rear of the property.	
22 are made beforehand; therefore, it's the 22 COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. Thank yo	J.
22are made beforemand, therefore, it's the22commissioner for the order.23opinion of staff that the building in itself is23COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the	
24 suitable for restoration and preservation, and 24 to staff, a couple of questions here. I'm not	
25 as such, we forward to you a recommendation 25 sure if you know the answer.	
Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL	Ì
(904) 821-0300 (904) 821-0300	32203
MadamCourtReporter.com MadamCourtReporter.com	32203

-	f Jacksonville ic Preservation Commission		December 11, 2024 Uncertified Condensed Copy
TIISLOI			103
1	This was moved to make way for this	1	THE CHAIRMAN: Please state your name.
2	development. There was a planning decision	2	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Michael Danhour, 5985
3	that was made. A permit was requested to move	3	Richard Street.
4	the structure. That permit was never	4	THE CHAIRMAN: She'll swear
5	finalized.	5	MR. DANHOUR: I'd like to request more
6	Do we know if the person who moved the	6 7	than three minutes, if possible. THE REPORTER: If you would raise your
7 8	structure was the original owner of the property that was taken over by this	8	right hand for me, please.
9	development? Do they still maintain ownership	9	MR. DANHOUR: Sure.
10	of this house if they are the original owner?	10	(Complies.)
11	Is it someone else who is interested in saving	11	THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the
12	the house?	12	testimony you are about to give will be the
13	MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to	13	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
14	Commissioner Epstein, from my understanding,	14	truth?
15	it the owners have changed. It's not the	15	MR. DANHOUR: I do.
16	original person that requested it, but I would	16	THE REPORTER: Thank you.
17	like to defer to the applicant, who is in the	17	MR. DANHOUR: First, I want to say this is
18	audience, to provide clarity.	18	absolutely the last place I want to be. I've
19	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: And through the	19	spent over \$50,000 trying to save this house.
20	Chair, the some of these other questions	20	I've been stuck in permitting for two-plus
21	maybe the applicant can speak to.	21	years. And I've saved a bunch of historic
22	I'm not quite sure how you got someone how someone was able to move this	22	homes before, so this is, like, the worst-case scenario for me. And I understand this is kind
23 24	house without a permit, so I would be	23 24	of heretical.
24 25	interested in finding information on the mover	24	Having said that, I just want to give you
23	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	23	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
	102		104
1	that was hired to do so.	1	a little bit of a brief history and the and
2	And if all this damage is because of how	2	kind of explain how we got here.
3 ⊿	they moved it and didn't shore it correctly,	3 ⊿	So Arimus mentioned and hi, Arimus
4 5	I'd be interested in finding out if they would had some sort of insurance coverage	4 5	of a 2020-30 307-E ordinance. There was a commitment to preserve the Henry C. Arpen
6	that would provide repairing the house as it	6	House by first inviting those who were also
7	was damaged because of incorrect shoring and	7	interested in preserving the home to secure a
8	issues with the move.	8	mutually agreeable new location for it, outside
9	THE CHAIRMAN: That was a question for	9	of the development, hopefully within Mandarin.
10	staff?	10	And if I couldn't find one of those, that I
11	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It's a if the	11	would relocate it to the within the
12	staff had information on it. If they don't,	12	property, to Lot 1. So that was kind of the
13	then I can ask the question again.	13	ordinance and conditions of the ordinance that
14	MR. WELLS: Sorry. So through the Chair	14	were made.
15	to Commissioner Epstein, from my understanding,	15	And, specifically, I was supposed to find
		16	a prace to departs it to betake the engineering
16	I I don't have any knowledge of that.		a place to donate it to before the engineering
17	I I don't have any knowledge of that. Looking through the permitting system	17	10-set was approved, right? So I went through
17 18	 I I don't have any knowledge of that. Looking through the permitting system itself, it didn't get anywhere for us to even 	17 18	10-set was approved, right? So I went through significant energy to try to find people. I
17 18 19	 I I don't have any knowledge of that. Looking through the permitting system itself, it didn't get anywhere for us to even get those results or whatnot. 	17 18 19	10-set was approved, right? So I went through significant energy to try to find people. I reached out obviously, Mandarin Museum and
17 18 19 20	 I I don't have any knowledge of that. Looking through the permitting system itself, it didn't get anywhere for us to even get those results or whatnot. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Any other questions 	17 18 19 20	10-set was approved, right? So I went through significant energy to try to find people. I reached out obviously, Mandarin Museum and Historical Society was the one proposing
17 18 19 20 21	 I I don't have any knowledge of that. Looking through the permitting system itself, it didn't get anywhere for us to even get those results or whatnot. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Any other questions for staff? 	17 18 19 20 21	10-set was approved, right? So I went through significant energy to try to find people. I reached out obviously, Mandarin Museum and Historical Society was the one proposing relocation and saving.
17 18 19 20	 I I don't have any knowledge of that. Looking through the permitting system itself, it didn't get anywhere for us to even get those results or whatnot. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Any other questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) 	17 18 19 20	10-set was approved, right? So I went through significant energy to try to find people. I reached out obviously, Mandarin Museum and Historical Society was the one proposing
17 18 19 20 21 22	 I I don't have any knowledge of that. Looking through the permitting system itself, it didn't get anywhere for us to even get those results or whatnot. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Any other questions for staff? 	17 18 19 20 21 22	10-set was approved, right? So I went through significant energy to try to find people. I reached out obviously, Mandarin Museum and Historical Society was the one proposing relocation and saving. (Reporter clarification.)
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 I I don't have any knowledge of that. Looking through the permitting system itself, it didn't get anywhere for us to even get those results or whatnot. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Any other questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Could the applicant please 	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	10-set was approved, right? So I went through significant energy to try to find people. I reached out obviously, Mandarin Museum and Historical Society was the one proposing relocation and saving. (Reporter clarification.) MR. DANHOUR: I've reached out to Seamark
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 I I don't have any knowledge of that. Looking through the permitting system itself, it didn't get anywhere for us to even get those results or whatnot. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Any other questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Could the applicant please come forward? 	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	10-set was approved, right? So I went through significant energy to try to find people. I reached out obviously, Mandarin Museum and Historical Society was the one proposing relocation and saving. (Reporter clarification.) MR. DANHOUR: I've reached out to Seamark Ranch, Rodeheaver Boys Ranch, many sheriff
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I I don't have any knowledge of that. Looking through the permitting system itself, it didn't get anywhere for us to even get those results or whatnot. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Any other questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Could the applicant please come forward? (Audience member approaches the podium.)	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	10-set was approved, right? So I went through significant energy to try to find people. I reached out obviously, Mandarin Museum and Historical Society was the one proposing relocation and saving. (Reporter clarification.) MR. DANHOUR: I've reached out to Seamark Ranch, Rodeheaver Boys Ranch, many sheriff ranches, Heritage Village (phonetic), probably
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I I don't have any knowledge of that. Looking through the permitting system itself, it didn't get anywhere for us to even get those results or whatnot. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Any other questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Could the applicant please come forward? (Audience member approaches the podium.) Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	10-set was approved, right? So I went through significant energy to try to find people. I reached out obviously, Mandarin Museum and Historical Society was the one proposing relocation and saving. (Reporter clarification.) MR. DANHOUR: I've reached out to Seamark Ranch, Rodeheaver Boys Ranch, many sheriff ranches, Heritage Village (phonetic), probably Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203

Theter	ic Preservation Commission	1	Uncertified Condensed Copy
	105 Over 50 groups - Cracker House Diepeering		107 Now it had gat there for a year ungared
1	over 50 groups Cracker House Pioneering	1	Now, it had sat there for a year, uncared
2	[sic] Village, a lot of others. Nobody had any	2	for, prior to me owning the property, because
3	interest, even at my expense, of bringing it to	3	the previous owners went into assisted living.
4	them and stubbing out utilities. So I had	4	One of them was deceased at the time, so it sat
5	nobody that wanted this house.	5	there for a year. And then when I finally
6	I eventually found somebody a group	6	bought the property and had to move it, I moved
7	called Aterro that recently bought the Sunbeam	7	it somewhere else where it sat for a year.
8	old golf course and landfill, and they said	8	I don't know enough about the technical,
9	they would take it and they'd put it where that	9	like, element of moving it, but I know they did
10	clubhouse was.	10	remove the the fireplace. It looked okay
11	So around that time I think it was May	11	for you know, I mean, structurally, for what
12	or so we got City Councilman Michael	12	it was. Since I mean, it's got termite
13	Boylan's approval for that relocation. He said	13	damage. The floor joists are failing. Like,
14		14	
	he supported it, and that group and their		it is not in great shape.
15	contractor, which was Equity Builders,	15	There's a structural engineer saying, even
16	submitted a move-off permit to try to get it	16	with all those things, he can't guarantee that
17	moved off our site and onto theirs.	17	it's going to survive the move. But I've got a
18	I was not involved in that permitting	18	point now where the person who wanted it
19	side, but I felt like now I've got a location	19	doesn't want it anymore. It's he's waited
20	where it's going to go. I no longer have to	20	two years to try to get a permit with the City
21	preserve it on site. And so we got our permits	21	and he can't get one. And he's like, "Now it's
22	at the around June 2022, and we started to	22	going to cost too much for me to relocate it
23	proceed with development.	23	once I get it here."
24	By about, I want to say, the end of 2022,	24	So now I have nowhere to put it, nowhere
25	we had, from my understanding, everything	25	to take it. Nobody wants it. I've asked, I've
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
	- 1		
	106		108
1	106 approved technically for the move-off permit	1	108 asked I've got I've got nowhere to put it
1	approved, technically, for the move-off permit,	1	asked, I've got I've got nowhere to put it.
2	approved, technically, for the move-off permit, but we did not have a move-on permit, so they	2	asked, I've got I've got nowhere to put it. So that's kind of like a a tough spot.
2 3	approved, technically, for the move-off permit, but we did not have a move-on permit, so they would not issue the move-off permit. But	2 3	asked, I've got I've got nowhere to put it. So that's kind of like a a tough spot. And now the City's condemned the house. I'm
2 3 4	approved, technically, for the move-off permit, but we did not have a move-on permit, so they would not issue the move-off permit. But everything, technically, was there.	2 3 4	asked, I've got I've got nowhere to put it. So that's kind of like a a tough spot. And now the City's condemned the house. I'm about to start getting fines because I've got
2 3 4 5	approved, technically, for the move-off permit, but we did not have a move-on permit, so they would not issue the move-off permit. But everything, technically, was there. At that point, we used TNT Movers, who	2 3 4 5	asked, I've got I've got nowhere to put it. So that's kind of like a a tough spot. And now the City's condemned the house. I'm about to start getting fines because I've got nowhere to take it. I can't get a permit.
2 3 4 5 6	approved, technically, for the move-off permit, but we did not have a move-on permit, so they would not issue the move-off permit. But everything, technically, was there. At that point, we used TNT Movers, who have done a lot of historic home moving, to	2 3 4 5 6	asked, I've got I've got nowhere to put it. So that's kind of like a a tough spot. And now the City's condemned the house. I'm about to start getting fines because I've got nowhere to take it. I can't get a permit. There's no location to put it. It's in
2 3 4 5 6 7	approved, technically, for the move-off permit, but we did not have a move-on permit, so they would not issue the move-off permit. But everything, technically, was there. At that point, we used TNT Movers, who have done a lot of historic home moving, to move it a few hundred feet off such that the	2 3 4 5 6 7	asked, I've got I've got nowhere to put it. So that's kind of like a a tough spot. And now the City's condemned the house. I'm about to start getting fines because I've got nowhere to take it. I can't get a permit. There's no location to put it. It's in horrible shape.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	approved, technically, for the move-off permit, but we did not have a move-on permit, so they would not issue the move-off permit. But everything, technically, was there. At that point, we used TNT Movers, who have done a lot of historic home moving, to move it a few hundred feet off such that the residential development could proceed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	asked, I've got I've got nowhere to put it. So that's kind of like a a tough spot. And now the City's condemned the house. I'm about to start getting fines because I've got nowhere to take it. I can't get a permit. There's no location to put it. It's in horrible shape. I mean, if you you're more than welcome
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	approved, technically, for the move-off permit, but we did not have a move-on permit, so they would not issue the move-off permit. But everything, technically, was there. At that point, we used TNT Movers, who have done a lot of historic home moving, to move it a few hundred feet off such that the residential development could proceed. And I had to lease that land. I'm paying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 asked, I've got I've got nowhere to put it. So that's kind of like a a tough spot. And now the City's condemned the house. I'm about to start getting fines because I've got nowhere to take it. I can't get a permit. There's no location to put it. It's in horrible shape. I mean, if you you're more than welcome to come out and look at it. Its structural
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	approved, technically, for the move-off permit, but we did not have a move-on permit, so they would not issue the move-off permit. But everything, technically, was there. At that point, we used TNT Movers, who have done a lot of historic home moving, to move it a few hundred feet off such that the residential development could proceed. And I had to lease that land. I'm paying \$2,500 a month, and have since 2022, to store	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 asked, I've got I've got nowhere to put it. So that's kind of like a a tough spot. And now the City's condemned the house. I'm about to start getting fines because I've got nowhere to take it. I can't get a permit. There's no location to put it. It's in horrible shape. I mean, if you you're more than welcome to come out and look at it. Its structural integrity is not there. So I'm kind of at a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	approved, technically, for the move-off permit, but we did not have a move-on permit, so they would not issue the move-off permit. But everything, technically, was there. At that point, we used TNT Movers, who have done a lot of historic home moving, to move it a few hundred feet off such that the residential development could proceed. And I had to lease that land. I'm paying \$2,500 a month, and have since 2022, to store the house there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 asked, I've got I've got nowhere to put it. So that's kind of like a a tough spot. And now the City's condemned the house. I'm about to start getting fines because I've got nowhere to take it. I can't get a permit. There's no location to put it. It's in horrible shape. I mean, if you you're more than welcome to come out and look at it. Its structural integrity is not there. So I'm kind of at a point where I don't know what to do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	approved, technically, for the move-off permit, but we did not have a move-on permit, so they would not issue the move-off permit. But everything, technically, was there. At that point, we used TNT Movers, who have done a lot of historic home moving, to move it a few hundred feet off such that the residential development could proceed. And I had to lease that land. I'm paying \$2,500 a month, and have since 2022, to store the house there. In terms of trying to get the move-on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	asked, I've got I've got nowhere to put it. So that's kind of like a a tough spot. And now the City's condemned the house. I'm about to start getting fines because I've got nowhere to take it. I can't get a permit. There's no location to put it. It's in horrible shape. I mean, if you you're more than welcome to come out and look at it. Its structural integrity is not there. So I'm kind of at a point where I don't know what to do. Again, I've spent a ton of money trying to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	approved, technically, for the move-off permit, but we did not have a move-on permit, so they would not issue the move-off permit. But everything, technically, was there. At that point, we used TNT Movers, who have done a lot of historic home moving, to move it a few hundred feet off such that the residential development could proceed. And I had to lease that land. I'm paying \$2,500 a month, and have since 2022, to store the house there. In terms of trying to get the move-on permit, this has just been a comedy of errors	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	asked, I've got I've got nowhere to put it. So that's kind of like a a tough spot. And now the City's condemned the house. I'm about to start getting fines because I've got nowhere to take it. I can't get a permit. There's no location to put it. It's in horrible shape. I mean, if you you're more than welcome to come out and look at it. Its structural integrity is not there. So I'm kind of at a point where I don't know what to do. Again, I've spent a ton of money trying to save this house, and this is the last place
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	approved, technically, for the move-off permit, but we did not have a move-on permit, so they would not issue the move-off permit. But everything, technically, was there. At that point, we used TNT Movers, who have done a lot of historic home moving, to move it a few hundred feet off such that the residential development could proceed. And I had to lease that land. I'm paying \$2,500 a month, and have since 2022, to store the house there. In terms of trying to get the move-on permit, this has just been a comedy of errors with the City. Michael Boylan has helped many	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 asked, I've got I've got nowhere to put it. So that's kind of like a a tough spot. And now the City's condemned the house. I'm about to start getting fines because I've got nowhere to take it. I can't get a permit. There's no location to put it. It's in horrible shape. I mean, if you you're more than welcome to come out and look at it. Its structural integrity is not there. So I'm kind of at a point where I don't know what to do. Again, I've spent a ton of money trying to save this house, and this is the last place that I want to be, but I'm at the point now
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	approved, technically, for the move-off permit, but we did not have a move-on permit, so they would not issue the move-off permit. But everything, technically, was there. At that point, we used TNT Movers, who have done a lot of historic home moving, to move it a few hundred feet off such that the residential development could proceed. And I had to lease that land. I'm paying \$2,500 a month, and have since 2022, to store the house there. In terms of trying to get the move-on permit, this has just been a comedy of errors	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 asked, I've got I've got nowhere to put it. So that's kind of like a a tough spot. And now the City's condemned the house. I'm about to start getting fines because I've got nowhere to take it. I can't get a permit. There's no location to put it. It's in horrible shape. I mean, if you you're more than welcome to come out and look at it. Its structural integrity is not there. So I'm kind of at a point where I don't know what to do. Again, I've spent a ton of money trying to save this house, and this is the last place that I want to be, but I'm at the point now where it's, like, it's got black mold, it's got
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	approved, technically, for the move-off permit, but we did not have a move-on permit, so they would not issue the move-off permit. But everything, technically, was there. At that point, we used TNT Movers, who have done a lot of historic home moving, to move it a few hundred feet off such that the residential development could proceed. And I had to lease that land. I'm paying \$2,500 a month, and have since 2022, to store the house there. In terms of trying to get the move-on permit, this has just been a comedy of errors with the City. Michael Boylan has helped many	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 asked, I've got I've got nowhere to put it. So that's kind of like a a tough spot. And now the City's condemned the house. I'm about to start getting fines because I've got nowhere to take it. I can't get a permit. There's no location to put it. It's in horrible shape. I mean, if you you're more than welcome to come out and look at it. Its structural integrity is not there. So I'm kind of at a point where I don't know what to do. Again, I've spent a ton of money trying to save this house, and this is the last place that I want to be, but I'm at the point now
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	approved, technically, for the move-off permit, but we did not have a move-on permit, so they would not issue the move-off permit. But everything, technically, was there. At that point, we used TNT Movers, who have done a lot of historic home moving, to move it a few hundred feet off such that the residential development could proceed. And I had to lease that land. I'm paying \$2,500 a month, and have since 2022, to store the house there. In terms of trying to get the move-on permit, this has just been a comedy of errors with the City. Michael Boylan has helped many times	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 asked, I've got I've got nowhere to put it. So that's kind of like a a tough spot. And now the City's condemned the house. I'm about to start getting fines because I've got nowhere to take it. I can't get a permit. There's no location to put it. It's in horrible shape. I mean, if you you're more than welcome to come out and look at it. Its structural integrity is not there. So I'm kind of at a point where I don't know what to do. Again, I've spent a ton of money trying to save this house, and this is the last place that I want to be, but I'm at the point now where it's, like, it's got black mold, it's got
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	approved, technically, for the move-off permit, but we did not have a move-on permit, so they would not issue the move-off permit. But everything, technically, was there. At that point, we used TNT Movers, who have done a lot of historic home moving, to move it a few hundred feet off such that the residential development could proceed. And I had to lease that land. I'm paying \$2,500 a month, and have since 2022, to store the house there. In terms of trying to get the move-on permit, this has just been a comedy of errors with the City. Michael Boylan has helped many times (Timer notification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	asked, I've got I've got nowhere to put it. So that's kind of like a a tough spot. And now the City's condemned the house. I'm about to start getting fines because I've got nowhere to take it. I can't get a permit. There's no location to put it. It's in horrible shape. I mean, if you you're more than welcome to come out and look at it. Its structural integrity is not there. So I'm kind of at a point where I don't know what to do. Again, I've spent a ton of money trying to save this house, and this is the last place that I want to be, but I'm at the point now where it's, like, it's got black mold, it's got termites, I don't have a location to bring it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	approved, technically, for the move-off permit, but we did not have a move-on permit, so they would not issue the move-off permit. But everything, technically, was there. At that point, we used TNT Movers, who have done a lot of historic home moving, to move it a few hundred feet off such that the residential development could proceed. And I had to lease that land. I'm paying \$2,500 a month, and have since 2022, to store the house there. In terms of trying to get the move-on permit, this has just been a comedy of errors with the City. Michael Boylan has helped many times (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: to try to get through	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	asked, I've got I've got nowhere to put it. So that's kind of like a a tough spot. And now the City's condemned the house. I'm about to start getting fines because I've got nowhere to take it. I can't get a permit. There's no location to put it. It's in horrible shape. I mean, if you you're more than welcome to come out and look at it. Its structural integrity is not there. So I'm kind of at a point where I don't know what to do. Again, I've spent a ton of money trying to save this house, and this is the last place that I want to be, but I'm at the point now where it's, like, it's got black mold, it's got termites, I don't have a location to bring it. I can't get a permit to move it, so and then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>approved, technically, for the move-off permit, but we did not have a move-on permit, so they would not issue the move-off permit. But everything, technically, was there. At that point, we used TNT Movers, who have done a lot of historic home moving, to move it a few hundred feet off such that the residential development could proceed. And I had to lease that land. I'm paying \$2,500 a month, and have since 2022, to store the house there. In terms of trying to get the move-on permit, this has just been a comedy of errors with the City. Michael Boylan has helped many times (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: to try to get through this logjam, but it's basically been</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	asked, I've got I've got nowhere to put it. So that's kind of like a a tough spot. And now the City's condemned the house. I'm about to start getting fines because I've got nowhere to take it. I can't get a permit. There's no location to put it. It's in horrible shape. I mean, if you you're more than welcome to come out and look at it. Its structural integrity is not there. So I'm kind of at a point where I don't know what to do. Again, I've spent a ton of money trying to save this house, and this is the last place that I want to be, but I'm at the point now where it's, like, it's got black mold, it's got termites, I don't have a location to bring it. I can't get a permit to move it, so and then once I do, I've got to go back through the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	approved, technically, for the move-off permit, but we did not have a move-on permit, so they would not issue the move-off permit. But everything, technically, was there. At that point, we used TNT Movers, who have done a lot of historic home moving, to move it a few hundred feet off such that the residential development could proceed. And I had to lease that land. I'm paying \$2,500 a month, and have since 2022, to store the house there. In terms of trying to get the move-on permit, this has just been a comedy of errors with the City. Michael Boylan has helped many times (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: to try to get through this logjam, but it's basically been impossible. There were things such as the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	asked, I've got I've got nowhere to put it. So that's kind of like a a tough spot. And now the City's condemned the house. I'm about to start getting fines because I've got nowhere to take it. I can't get a permit. There's no location to put it. It's in horrible shape. I mean, if you you're more than welcome to come out and look at it. Its structural integrity is not there. So I'm kind of at a point where I don't know what to do. Again, I've spent a ton of money trying to save this house, and this is the last place that I want to be, but I'm at the point now where it's, like, it's got black mold, it's got termites, I don't have a location to bring it. I can't get a permit to move it, so and then once I do, I've got to go back through the permitting process where it's going to sit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>approved, technically, for the move-off permit, but we did not have a move-on permit, so they would not issue the move-off permit. But everything, technically, was there. At that point, we used TNT Movers, who have done a lot of historic home moving, to move it a few hundred feet off such that the residential development could proceed. And I had to lease that land. I'm paying \$2,500 a month, and have since 2022, to store the house there. In terms of trying to get the move-on permit, this has just been a comedy of errors with the City. Michael Boylan has helped many times (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: to try to get through this logjam, but it's basically been impossible. There were things such as the Sunbeam development had multiple CDNs and multiple addresses, and the City couldn't</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	asked, I've got I've got nowhere to put it. So that's kind of like a a tough spot. And now the City's condemned the house. I'm about to start getting fines because I've got nowhere to take it. I can't get a permit. There's no location to put it. It's in horrible shape. I mean, if you you're more than welcome to come out and look at it. Its structural integrity is not there. So I'm kind of at a point where I don't know what to do. Again, I've spent a ton of money trying to save this house, and this is the last place that I want to be, but I'm at the point now where it's, like, it's got black mold, it's got termites, I don't have a location to bring it. I can't get a permit to move it, so and then once I do, I've got to go back through the permitting process where it's going to sit outside for another year, then I've got to pay \$65,000 or more to move it there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22	approved, technically, for the move-off permit, but we did not have a move-on permit, so they would not issue the move-off permit. But everything, technically, was there. At that point, we used TNT Movers, who have done a lot of historic home moving, to move it a few hundred feet off such that the residential development could proceed. And I had to lease that land. I'm paying \$2,500 a month, and have since 2022, to store the house there. In terms of trying to get the move-on permit, this has just been a comedy of errors with the City. Michael Boylan has helped many times (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: to try to get through this logjam, but it's basically been impossible. There were things such as the Sunbeam development had multiple CDNs and multiple addresses, and the City couldn't compare those two and get them all together, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	asked, I've got I've got nowhere to put it. So that's kind of like a a tough spot. And now the City's condemned the house. I'm about to start getting fines because I've got nowhere to take it. I can't get a permit. There's no location to put it. It's in horrible shape. I mean, if you you're more than welcome to come out and look at it. Its structural integrity is not there. So I'm kind of at a point where I don't know what to do. Again, I've spent a ton of money trying to save this house, and this is the last place that I want to be, but I'm at the point now where it's, like, it's got black mold, it's got termites, I don't have a location to bring it. I can't get a permit to move it, so and then once I do, I've got to go back through the permitting process where it's going to sit outside for another year, then I've got to pay \$65,000 or more to move it there. In the meantime, like, what happens if it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	approved, technically, for the move-off permit, but we did not have a move-on permit, so they would not issue the move-off permit. But everything, technically, was there. At that point, we used TNT Movers, who have done a lot of historic home moving, to move it a few hundred feet off such that the residential development could proceed. And I had to lease that land. I'm paying \$2,500 a month, and have since 2022, to store the house there. In terms of trying to get the move-on permit, this has just been a comedy of errors with the City. Michael Boylan has helped many times (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: to try to get through this logjam, but it's basically been impossible. There were things such as the Sunbeam development had multiple CDNs and multiple addresses, and the City couldn't compare those two and get them all together, so we couldn't even get out of Zoning or any of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	asked, I've got I've got nowhere to put it. So that's kind of like a a tough spot. And now the City's condemned the house. I'm about to start getting fines because I've got nowhere to take it. I can't get a permit. There's no location to put it. It's in horrible shape. I mean, if you you're more than welcome to come out and look at it. Its structural integrity is not there. So I'm kind of at a point where I don't know what to do. Again, I've spent a ton of money trying to save this house, and this is the last place that I want to be, but I'm at the point now where it's, like, it's got black mold, it's got termites, I don't have a location to bring it. I can't get a permit to move it, so and then once I do, I've got to go back through the permitting process where it's going to sit outside for another year, then I've got to pay \$65,000 or more to move it there. In the meantime, like, what happens if it falls apart on the road? I mean, like, who's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	approved, technically, for the move-off permit, but we did not have a move-on permit, so they would not issue the move-off permit. But everything, technically, was there. At that point, we used TNT Movers, who have done a lot of historic home moving, to move it a few hundred feet off such that the residential development could proceed. And I had to lease that land. I'm paying \$2,500 a month, and have since 2022, to store the house there. In terms of trying to get the move-on permit, this has just been a comedy of errors with the City. Michael Boylan has helped many times (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: to try to get through this logjam, but it's basically been impossible. There were things such as the Sunbeam development had multiple CDNs and multiple addresses, and the City couldn't compare those two and get them all together, so we couldn't even get out of Zoning or any of those other things, and that's just the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	asked, I've got I've got nowhere to put it. So that's kind of like a a tough spot. And now the City's condemned the house. I'm about to start getting fines because I've got nowhere to take it. I can't get a permit. There's no location to put it. It's in horrible shape. I mean, if you you're more than welcome to come out and look at it. Its structural integrity is not there. So I'm kind of at a point where I don't know what to do. Again, I've spent a ton of money trying to save this house, and this is the last place that I want to be, but I'm at the point now where it's, like, it's got black mold, it's got termites, I don't have a location to bring it. I can't get a permit to move it, so and then once I do, I've got to go back through the permitting process where it's going to sit outside for another year, then I've got to pay \$65,000 or more to move it there. In the meantime, like, what happens if it falls apart on the road? I mean, like, who's going to insure that? So I so I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>approved, technically, for the move-off permit, but we did not have a move-on permit, so they would not issue the move-off permit. But everything, technically, was there. At that point, we used TNT Movers, who have done a lot of historic home moving, to move it a few hundred feet off such that the residential development could proceed. And I had to lease that land. I'm paying \$2,500 a month, and have since 2022, to store the house there. In terms of trying to get the move-on permit, this has just been a comedy of errors with the City. Michael Boylan has helped many times (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: to try to get through this logjam, but it's basically been impossible. There were things such as the Sunbeam development had multiple CDNs and multiple addresses, and the City couldn't compare those two and get them all together, so we couldn't even get out of Zoning or any of those other things, and that's just the house just sat there.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	asked, I've got I've got nowhere to put it. So that's kind of like a a tough spot. And now the City's condemned the house. I'm about to start getting fines because I've got nowhere to take it. I can't get a permit. There's no location to put it. It's in horrible shape. I mean, if you you're more than welcome to come out and look at it. Its structural integrity is not there. So I'm kind of at a point where I don't know what to do. Again, I've spent a ton of money trying to save this house, and this is the last place that I want to be, but I'm at the point now where it's, like, it's got black mold, it's got termites, I don't have a location to bring it. I can't get a permit to move it, so and then once I do, I've got to go back through the permitting process where it's going to sit outside for another year, then I've got to pay \$65,000 or more to move it there. In the meantime, like, what happens if it falls apart on the road? I mean, like, who's going to insure that? So I so I don't know. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	approved, technically, for the move-off permit, but we did not have a move-on permit, so they would not issue the move-off permit. But everything, technically, was there. At that point, we used TNT Movers, who have done a lot of historic home moving, to move it a few hundred feet off such that the residential development could proceed. And I had to lease that land. I'm paying \$2,500 a month, and have since 2022, to store the house there. In terms of trying to get the move-on permit, this has just been a comedy of errors with the City. Michael Boylan has helped many times (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: to try to get through this logjam, but it's basically been impossible. There were things such as the Sunbeam development had multiple CDNs and multiple addresses, and the City couldn't compare those two and get them all together, so we couldn't even get out of Zoning or any of those other things, and that's just the house just sat there. Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	asked, I've got I've got nowhere to put it. So that's kind of like a a tough spot. And now the City's condemned the house. I'm about to start getting fines because I've got nowhere to take it. I can't get a permit. There's no location to put it. It's in horrible shape. I mean, if you you're more than welcome to come out and look at it. Its structural integrity is not there. So I'm kind of at a point where I don't know what to do. Again, I've spent a ton of money trying to save this house, and this is the last place that I want to be, but I'm at the point now where it's, like, it's got black mold, it's got termites, I don't have a location to bring it. I can't get a permit to move it, so and then once I do, I've got to go back through the permitting process where it's going to sit outside for another year, then I've got to pay \$65,000 or more to move it there. In the meantime, like, what happens if it falls apart on the road? I mean, like, who's going to insure that? So I so I don't know. I Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	approved, technically, for the move-off permit, but we did not have a move-on permit, so they would not issue the move-off permit. But everything, technically, was there. At that point, we used TNT Movers, who have done a lot of historic home moving, to move it a few hundred feet off such that the residential development could proceed. And I had to lease that land. I'm paying \$2,500 a month, and have since 2022, to store the house there. In terms of trying to get the move-on permit, this has just been a comedy of errors with the City. Michael Boylan has helped many times (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: to try to get through this logjam, but it's basically been impossible. There were things such as the Sunbeam development had multiple CDNs and multiple addresses, and the City couldn't compare those two and get them all together, so we couldn't even get out of Zoning or any of those other things, and that's just the house just sat there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	asked, I've got I've got nowhere to put it. So that's kind of like a a tough spot. And now the City's condemned the house. I'm about to start getting fines because I've got nowhere to take it. I can't get a permit. There's no location to put it. It's in horrible shape. I mean, if you you're more than welcome to come out and look at it. Its structural integrity is not there. So I'm kind of at a point where I don't know what to do. Again, I've spent a ton of money trying to save this house, and this is the last place that I want to be, but I'm at the point now where it's, like, it's got black mold, it's got termites, I don't have a location to bring it. I can't get a permit to move it, so and then once I do, I've got to go back through the permitting process where it's going to sit outside for another year, then I've got to pay \$65,000 or more to move it there. In the meantime, like, what happens if it falls apart on the road? I mean, like, who's going to insure that? So I so I don't know. I

	109		111	
1	In terms of the the sustainability for	1	not been considered, to leave it on Lot 1 and	
2	preservation or restoration, I've done a lot of	2	renovate the home from there?	
3	these. Like, it is not sustainable. I'm more	3	MR. DANHOUR: Lot 1 has a house on it now	Ι,
4	than happy to provide an appraisal or a cost	4	a new construction, million-dollar home.	-
5	estimate. I'm I'm coming here under less	5	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. And the	
6	than 40 hours' notice of getting the staff	6	reason I bring it up is, when the City Council	
				-
7	report, so I don't have those to present to you	7	and Development Department [sic] as well as the	2
8	now.	8	community decides on these PUDs, they put	
9	I'm more than happy to have that	9	together these stipulations for a reason,	
10	contractor that submitted the permits to do a	10	because they want to maintain these homes and	
11	signed affidavit about all the issues that he's	11	the	
12	had with the City and all the delays.	12	MR. DANHOUR: Sure.	
13	(Reporter clarification.)	13	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: the cultural	
14	MR. DANHOUR: Sorry, yes. Sorry. I'm a	14	significance of this area. And it gets a	
15	little fired up and passionate about this.	15	little difficult when one path was pursued but	
		_	you didn't leave the other option open, I	
16	So I'm not sure what to do. You know,	16	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
17	worst-case scenario I mean, I've talked to	17	guess. If there's already a home there, I	
18	Commissioner [sic] Boylan, and he supports what	18	understand you can't you can't make that	
19	I'm trying to do. The Arpen family, I think,	19	person move at this point, but you didn't	
20	is aware of this as well, and it's I I	20	really leave an option open what to do with	
21	gave it a really good effort and I got hung up	21	this house, and this puts it in a bad position	
22	with the City, and now the house is in	22	for us to looking to preserve these historic	
23	disrepair.	23	properties, so	
24	I don't think it's going to survive any	24	So there is no other option of a lot on	
25	kind of move. So, you know, worst case, I'd	25	that development anymore is what you're telling	
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32	203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300	200
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com	
	-		-	
			112	
1	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more	1	me?	
2	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more information to prove that it does not meet	2	me? MR. DANHOUR: That's correct.	
2 3	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more information to prove that it does not meet these four criteria.	2 3	me? MR. DANHOUR: That's correct. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay.	
2	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more information to prove that it does not meet these four criteria. (Timer notification.)	2 3 4	me? MR. DANHOUR: That's correct. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MR. DANHOUR: And for the record, I	
2 3	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more information to prove that it does not meet these four criteria. (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: Otherwise, I intend to	2 3	me? MR. DANHOUR: That's correct. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MR. DANHOUR: And for the record, I totally understand your point. Having a donor	
2 3 4	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more information to prove that it does not meet these four criteria. (Timer notification.)	2 3 4	me? MR. DANHOUR: That's correct. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MR. DANHOUR: And for the record, I	
2 3 4 5	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more information to prove that it does not meet these four criteria. (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: Otherwise, I intend to	2 3 4 5	me? MR. DANHOUR: That's correct. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MR. DANHOUR: And for the record, I totally understand your point. Having a donor	
2 3 4 5 6	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more information to prove that it does not meet these four criteria. (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: Otherwise, I intend to appeal with the with the City Councilman's	2 3 4 5 6	me? MR. DANHOUR: That's correct. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MR. DANHOUR: And for the record, I totally understand your point. Having a donor site, having a permit in process like, I	
2 3 4 5 6 7	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more information to prove that it does not meet these four criteria. (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: Otherwise, I intend to appeal with the with the City Councilman's support, but I don't know what else to do. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7	me? MR. DANHOUR: That's correct. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MR. DANHOUR: And for the record, I totally understand your point. Having a donor site, having a permit in process like, I didn't think that it would take two years, and	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more information to prove that it does not meet these four criteria. (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: Otherwise, I intend to appeal with the with the City Councilman's support, but I don't know what else to do. I'm open for ideas. Nobody wants it. I've got nowhere to put	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	me? MR. DANHOUR: That's correct. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MR. DANHOUR: And for the record, I totally understand your point. Having a donor site, having a permit in process like, I didn't think that it would take two years, and I'm still waiting on a permit. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more information to prove that it does not meet these four criteria. (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: Otherwise, I intend to appeal with the with the City Councilman's support, but I don't know what else to do. I'm open for ideas. Nobody wants it. I've got nowhere to put it. I don't know what to do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	me? MR. DANHOUR: That's correct. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MR. DANHOUR: And for the record, I totally understand your point. Having a donor site, having a permit in process like, I didn't think that it would take two years, and I'm still waiting on a permit. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank yo MR. DANHOUR: Yeah.	ou.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more information to prove that it does not meet these four criteria. (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: Otherwise, I intend to appeal with the with the City Councilman's support, but I don't know what else to do. I'm open for ideas. Nobody wants it. I've got nowhere to put it. I don't know what to do. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	me? MR. DANHOUR: That's correct. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MR. DANHOUR: And for the record, I totally understand your point. Having a donor site, having a permit in process like, I didn't think that it would take two years, and I'm still waiting on a permit. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Cha	ou.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more information to prove that it does not meet these four criteria. (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: Otherwise, I intend to appeal with the with the City Councilman's support, but I don't know what else to do. I'm open for ideas. Nobody wants it. I've got nowhere to put it. I don't know what to do. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	me? MR. DANHOUR: That's correct. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MR. DANHOUR: And for the record, I totally understand your point. Having a donor site, having a permit in process like, I didn't think that it would take two years, and I'm still waiting on a permit. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Cha to the owner, a couple of questions. You	ou.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more information to prove that it does not meet these four criteria. (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: Otherwise, I intend to appeal with the with the City Councilman's support, but I don't know what else to do. I'm open for ideas. Nobody wants it. I've got nowhere to put it. I don't know what to do. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. MR. DANHOUR: I'm happy to stay here to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	me? MR. DANHOUR: That's correct. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MR. DANHOUR: And for the record, I totally understand your point. Having a donor site, having a permit in process like, I didn't think that it would take two years, and I'm still waiting on a permit. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Cha to the owner, a couple of questions. You probably already heard some of them.	ou.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more information to prove that it does not meet these four criteria. (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: Otherwise, I intend to appeal with the with the City Councilman's support, but I don't know what else to do. I'm open for ideas. Nobody wants it. I've got nowhere to put it. I don't know what to do. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. MR. DANHOUR: I'm happy to stay here to answer any questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	me? MR. DANHOUR: That's correct. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MR. DANHOUR: And for the record, I totally understand your point. Having a donor site, having a permit in process like, I didn't think that it would take two years, and I'm still waiting on a permit. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Cha to the owner, a couple of questions. You probably already heard some of them. Obviously, this you had mentioned,	ou.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more information to prove that it does not meet these four criteria. (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: Otherwise, I intend to appeal with the with the City Councilman's support, but I don't know what else to do. I'm open for ideas. Nobody wants it. I've got nowhere to put it. I don't know what to do. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. MR. DANHOUR: I'm happy to stay here to answer any questions. THE CHAIRMAN: There's no one else here to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	me? MR. DANHOUR: That's correct. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MR. DANHOUR: And for the record, I totally understand your point. Having a donor site, having a permit in process like, I didn't think that it would take two years, and I'm still waiting on a permit. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Cha to the owner, a couple of questions. You probably already heard some of them. Obviously, this you had mentioned, technically, you thought this was moved had	ou.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more information to prove that it does not meet these four criteria. (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: Otherwise, I intend to appeal with the with the City Councilman's support, but I don't know what else to do. I'm open for ideas. Nobody wants it. I've got nowhere to put it. I don't know what to do. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. MR. DANHOUR: I'm happy to stay here to answer any questions. THE CHAIRMAN: There's no one else here to speak on it, so we'll close the public hearing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	me? MR. DANHOUR: That's correct. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MR. DANHOUR: And for the record, I totally understand your point. Having a donor site, having a permit in process like, I didn't think that it would take two years, and I'm still waiting on a permit. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Cha to the owner, a couple of questions. You probably already heard some of them. Obviously, this you had mentioned, technically, you thought this was moved had a move-off permit?	ou.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more information to prove that it does not meet these four criteria. (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: Otherwise, I intend to appeal with the with the City Councilman's support, but I don't know what else to do. I'm open for ideas. Nobody wants it. I've got nowhere to put it. I don't know what to do. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. MR. DANHOUR: I'm happy to stay here to answer any questions. THE CHAIRMAN: There's no one else here to speak on it, so we'll close the public hearing. Sorry. I'm just trying to (microphone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	me? MR. DANHOUR: That's correct. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MR. DANHOUR: And for the record, I totally understand your point. Having a donor site, having a permit in process like, I didn't think that it would take two years, and I'm still waiting on a permit. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Cha to the owner, a couple of questions. You probably already heard some of them. Obviously, this you had mentioned, technically, you thought this was moved had a move-off permit? MR. DANHOUR: Yeah.	ou. iir
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more information to prove that it does not meet these four criteria. (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: Otherwise, I intend to appeal with the with the City Councilman's support, but I don't know what else to do. I'm open for ideas. Nobody wants it. I've got nowhere to put it. I don't know what to do. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. MR. DANHOUR: I'm happy to stay here to answer any questions. THE CHAIRMAN: There's no one else here to speak on it, so we'll close the public hearing. Sorry. I'm just trying to (microphone failure).	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	me? MR. DANHOUR: That's correct. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MR. DANHOUR: And for the record, I totally understand your point. Having a donor site, having a permit in process like, I didn't think that it would take two years, and I'm still waiting on a permit. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Cha to the owner, a couple of questions. You probably already heard some of them. Obviously, this you had mentioned, technically, you thought this was moved had a move-off permit? MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So was there new	ou. iir
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more information to prove that it does not meet these four criteria. (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: Otherwise, I intend to appeal with the with the City Councilman's support, but I don't know what else to do. I'm open for ideas. Nobody wants it. I've got nowhere to put it. I don't know what to do. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. MR. DANHOUR: I'm happy to stay here to answer any questions. THE CHAIRMAN: There's no one else here to speak on it, so we'll close the public hearing. Sorry. I'm just trying to (microphone failure). COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I have a question	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	me? MR. DANHOUR: That's correct. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MR. DANHOUR: And for the record, I totally understand your point. Having a donor site, having a permit in process like, I didn't think that it would take two years, and I'm still waiting on a permit. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Cha to the owner, a couple of questions. You probably already heard some of them. Obviously, this you had mentioned, technically, you thought this was moved had a move-off permit? MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So was there new a move-off permit obtained?	ou. iir
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more information to prove that it does not meet these four criteria. (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: Otherwise, I intend to appeal with the with the City Councilman's support, but I don't know what else to do. I'm open for ideas. Nobody wants it. I've got nowhere to put it. I don't know what to do. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. MR. DANHOUR: I'm happy to stay here to answer any questions. THE CHAIRMAN: There's no one else here to speak on it, so we'll close the public hearing. Sorry. I'm just trying to (microphone failure). COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I have a question for the applicant as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	me? MR. DANHOUR: That's correct. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MR. DANHOUR: And for the record, I totally understand your point. Having a donor site, having a permit in process like, I didn't think that it would take two years, and I'm still waiting on a permit. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Cha to the owner, a couple of questions. You probably already heard some of them. Obviously, this you had mentioned, technically, you thought this was moved had a move-off permit? MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So was there new a move-off permit obtained? MR. DANHOUR: It has not been issued	ou. iir
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more information to prove that it does not meet these four criteria. (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: Otherwise, I intend to appeal with the with the City Councilman's support, but I don't know what else to do. I'm open for ideas. Nobody wants it. I've got nowhere to put it. I don't know what to do. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. MR. DANHOUR: I'm happy to stay here to answer any questions. THE CHAIRMAN: There's no one else here to speak on it, so we'll close the public hearing. Sorry. I'm just trying to (microphone failure). COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I have a question for the applicant as well. MR. DANHOUR: You have a question?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	me? MR. DANHOUR: That's correct. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MR. DANHOUR: And for the record, I totally understand your point. Having a donor site, having a permit in process like, I didn't think that it would take two years, and I'm still waiting on a permit. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Cha to the owner, a couple of questions. You probably already heard some of them. Obviously, this you had mentioned, technically, you thought this was moved had a move-off permit? MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So was there new a move-off permit obtained? MR. DANHOUR: It has not been issued because it was returned for corrections, must	ou. iir
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more information to prove that it does not meet these four criteria. (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: Otherwise, I intend to appeal with the with the City Councilman's support, but I don't know what else to do. I'm open for ideas. Nobody wants it. I've got nowhere to put it. I don't know what to do. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. MR. DANHOUR: I'm happy to stay here to answer any questions. THE CHAIRMAN: There's no one else here to speak on it, so we'll close the public hearing. Sorry. I'm just trying to (microphone failure). COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I have a question for the applicant as well. MR. DANHOUR: You have a question? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22	me? MR. DANHOUR: That's correct. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MR. DANHOUR: And for the record, I totally understand your point. Having a donor site, having a permit in process like, I didn't think that it would take two years, and I'm still waiting on a permit. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Cha to the owner, a couple of questions. You probably already heard some of them. Obviously, this you had mentioned, technically, you thought this was moved had a move-off permit? MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So was there new a move-off permit obtained? MR. DANHOUR: It has not been issued because it was returned for corrections, must have a move-on permit in order to issue.	ou. iir
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more information to prove that it does not meet these four criteria. (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: Otherwise, I intend to appeal with the with the City Councilman's support, but I don't know what else to do. I'm open for ideas. Nobody wants it. I've got nowhere to put it. I don't know what to do. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. MR. DANHOUR: I'm happy to stay here to answer any questions. THE CHAIRMAN: There's no one else here to speak on it, so we'll close the public hearing. Sorry. I'm just trying to (microphone failure). COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I have a question for the applicant as well. MR. DANHOUR: You have a question? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah. So you mentioned that when you got this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	me? MR. DANHOUR: That's correct. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MR. DANHOUR: And for the record, I totally understand your point. Having a donor site, having a permit in process like, I didn't think that it would take two years, and I'm still waiting on a permit. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Cha to the owner, a couple of questions. You probably already heard some of them. Obviously, this you had mentioned, technically, you thought this was moved had a move-off permit? MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So was there new a move-off permit obtained? MR. DANHOUR: It has not been issued because it was returned for corrections, must have a move-on permit in order to issue. So my understanding is I all the	ou. iir
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more information to prove that it does not meet these four criteria. (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: Otherwise, I intend to appeal with the with the City Councilman's support, but I don't know what else to do. I'm open for ideas. Nobody wants it. I've got nowhere to put it. I don't know what to do. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. MR. DANHOUR: I'm happy to stay here to answer any questions. THE CHAIRMAN: There's no one else here to speak on it, so we'll close the public hearing. Sorry. I'm just trying to (microphone failure). COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I have a question for the applicant as well. MR. DANHOUR: You have a question? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22	me? MR. DANHOUR: That's correct. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MR. DANHOUR: And for the record, I totally understand your point. Having a donor site, having a permit in process like, I didn't think that it would take two years, and I'm still waiting on a permit. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Cha to the owner, a couple of questions. You probably already heard some of them. Obviously, this you had mentioned, technically, you thought this was moved had a move-off permit? MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So was there new a move-off permit obtained? MR. DANHOUR: It has not been issued because it was returned for corrections, must have a move-on permit in order to issue.	ou. iir
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more information to prove that it does not meet these four criteria. (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: Otherwise, I intend to appeal with the with the City Councilman's support, but I don't know what else to do. I'm open for ideas. Nobody wants it. I've got nowhere to put it. I don't know what to do. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. MR. DANHOUR: I'm happy to stay here to answer any questions. THE CHAIRMAN: There's no one else here to speak on it, so we'll close the public hearing. Sorry. I'm just trying to (microphone failure). COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I have a question for the applicant as well. MR. DANHOUR: You have a question? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah. So you mentioned that when you got this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	me? MR. DANHOUR: That's correct. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MR. DANHOUR: And for the record, I totally understand your point. Having a donor site, having a permit in process like, I didn't think that it would take two years, and I'm still waiting on a permit. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Cha to the owner, a couple of questions. You probably already heard some of them. Obviously, this you had mentioned, technically, you thought this was moved had a move-off permit? MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So was there new a move-off permit obtained? MR. DANHOUR: It has not been issued because it was returned for corrections, must have a move-on permit in order to issue. So my understanding is I all the	ou. iir
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more information to prove that it does not meet these four criteria. (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: Otherwise, I intend to appeal with the with the City Councilman's support, but I don't know what else to do. I'm open for ideas. Nobody wants it. I've got nowhere to put it. I don't know what to do. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. MR. DANHOUR: I'm happy to stay here to answer any questions. THE CHAIRMAN: There's no one else here to speak on it, so we'll close the public hearing. Sorry. I'm just trying to (microphone failure). COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I have a question for the applicant as well. MR. DANHOUR: You have a question? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah. So you mentioned that when you got this development approved as a PUD, there was an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24	me? MR. DANHOUR: That's correct. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MR. DANHOUR: And for the record, I totally understand your point. Having a donor site, having a permit in process like, I didn't think that it would take two years, and I'm still waiting on a permit. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Cha to the owner, a couple of questions. You probably already heard some of them. Obviously, this you had mentioned, technically, you thought this was moved had a move-off permit? MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So was there new a move-off permit obtained? MR. DANHOUR: It has not been issued because it was returned for corrections, must have a move-on permit in order to issue. So my understanding is I all the it's cleared the issue, but you need a move-on	ou. iir ver
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more information to prove that it does not meet these four criteria. (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: Otherwise, I intend to appeal with the with the City Councilman's support, but I don't know what else to do. I'm open for ideas. Nobody wants it. I've got nowhere to put it. I don't know what to do. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. MR. DANHOUR: I'm happy to stay here to answer any questions. THE CHAIRMAN: There's no one else here to speak on it, so we'll close the public hearing. Sorry. I'm just trying to (microphone failure). COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I have a question for the applicant as well. MR. DANHOUR: You have a question? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah. So you mentioned that when you got this development approved as a PUD, there was an option to move this house to Lot 1. Has that Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24	me? MR. DANHOUR: That's correct. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MR. DANHOUR: And for the record, I totally understand your point. Having a donor site, having a permit in process like, I didn't think that it would take two years, and I'm still waiting on a permit. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Cha to the owner, a couple of questions. You probably already heard some of them. Obviously, this you had mentioned, technically, you thought this was moved had a move-off permit? MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So was there new a move-off permit obtained? MR. DANHOUR: It has not been issued because it was returned for corrections, must have a move-on permit in order to issue. So my understanding is I all the it's cleared the issue, but you need a move-on permit as well. Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32:	ou. iir ver
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	prefer a deferral to go get you guys more information to prove that it does not meet these four criteria. (Timer notification.) MR. DANHOUR: Otherwise, I intend to appeal with the with the City Councilman's support, but I don't know what else to do. I'm open for ideas. Nobody wants it. I've got nowhere to put it. I don't know what to do. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. MR. DANHOUR: I'm happy to stay here to answer any questions. THE CHAIRMAN: There's no one else here to speak on it, so we'll close the public hearing. Sorry. I'm just trying to (microphone failure). COMMISSIONER GREGORY: I have a question for the applicant as well. MR. DANHOUR: You have a question? COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah. So you mentioned that when you got this development approved as a PUD, there was an option to move this house to Lot 1. Has that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24	me? MR. DANHOUR: That's correct. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. MR. DANHOUR: And for the record, I totally understand your point. Having a donor site, having a permit in process like, I didn't think that it would take two years, and I'm still waiting on a permit. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Okay. Thank you MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Cha to the owner, a couple of questions. You probably already heard some of them. Obviously, this you had mentioned, technically, you thought this was moved had a move-off permit? MR. DANHOUR: Yeah. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So was there new a move-off permit obtained? MR. DANHOUR: It has not been issued because it was returned for corrections, must have a move-on permit in order to issue. So my understanding is I all the it's cleared the issue, but you need a move-on permit as well.	ou. iir ver

City of Jacksonville Historic Preservation Commission

December 11, 2024 Uncertified Condensed Copy

111

	Jacksonville c Preservation Commission		December 11, 2024 Uncertified Condensed Copy
	113		115
1	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So	1	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: When you're seeing,
2	THE CHAIRMAN: You can't move it if you	2	you know, larger damages, it I would think
3	don't have somewhere to move it to.	3	they would have some sort of coverage to
4 5	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: But you did move it to somewhere	4 5	repair MR. DANHOUR: So I have not investigated
6	MR. DANHOUR: Correct.	6	that. I'm happy to ask the contractor and the
7	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: temporarily.	7	applicant to get copies of those documents and
8	Would they not issue you a permit based	8	look at them, but I have not looked at that.
9	off of the fact that you moved it to somewhere,	9	And I'm not I'm not an engineer or an
10	even if it was temporarily?	10	architect, but I know that the damage that I
11	MR. DANHOUR: Are you I'm not sure I	11	see on that was probably less from the move and
12	understand the question.	12	more from the the termites and things like
13	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It was moved	13	that.
14	somewhere.	14	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: That's
15	MR. DANHOUR: Correct.	15	understandable.
16	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So it had an	16	I don't know how building movers work, but
17	address to be moved to?	17	if I was a building mover and there was a
18	MR. DANHOUR: Correct. COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I'm just wondering	18	building that looked to me like it had such substantial termite damage and other issues,
19	why they wouldn't issue you a move-off permit	19	-
20 21	even if it was for a temporary location.	20 21	that it might be impossible for me to move it without creating more issues, I I might have
22	MR. DANHOUR: I don't think the	22	come forward and said, "I'm not going to move
23	applicant the recipient ever asked to do	23	this."
24	that. The recipient was the and his	24	MR. DANHOUR: Fair point.
25	contractor were the ones that applied for the	25	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: So that to me,
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
	114		116
1	move-off and the move-on permit. And then their contractor/mover moved it there	1	the fact that they moved it, I would hope for their business model and their engineering and
3	temporarily. So I'm not a hundred percent sure	2	such that they would have reviewed those
4	how all that happened, but I don't think any	4	things, so I'd be interested to see their
5	permits were issued even if it was temporary in	5	thoughts on that.
6	nature.	6	And, additionally, you had mentioned that
7	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: My next question	7	the Lot 1 has a house on it. Are there not
8	you referred to this being moved multiple times	8	any other lots left in that neighborhood that
9	now. Obviously, it's being moved with the	9	the PUD could come
10	service TNT; is that correct?	10	MR. DANHOUR: (Shakes head.)
11	MR. DANHOUR: I think that's their name,	11	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: They're all gone?
12	yeah, TNT Moving [sic].	12	MR. DANHOUR: Yep. All active permits,
13	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: It would appear	13	all under construction. I think I think
14	that they have damaged this home a few on a few occasions with their moving. Has any	14 15	there's only 10 or so out of the 30 that don't
15 16	research been done into any of their insurance	15	have COs yet, but they're all under construction.
17	or coverage for the home being damaged in their	17	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I don't have any
18	property, while they're moving, or	18	more questions for
19	I would think that they would have some	19	COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to
20	sort of guarantee for damages caused by them	20	the applicant. I think just a point of
21	moving it, and not just minor damages	21	clarification. I hear you saying that it was
22	MR. DANHOUR: Yeah.	22	termite damaged, and I think we discussed
23	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: You know,	23	previously that it was a the chimney being
24	obviously, have them, when you move a home	24	unattached and structurally compromising that
25	MR. DANHOUR: Yeah.	25	during the move. Was the chimney put back on
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300 Madam Caurt Papartar com		(904) 821-0300 MadamCautBanatar.com
	MadamCourtReporter.com	I.	MadamCourtReporter.com

	f Jacksonville		December 11, 2024
HISTOL	ic Preservation Commission 117		Uncertified Condensed Copy 119
1	or	1	it anymore.
2	MR. DANHOUR: It was not.	2	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Through the Chair,
3	I have photos I took a bunch of photos	3	I would like to make a motion I know we have
4	this morning, I just wasn't able to get them in	4	other questions. I need to leave soon, and I
5	a way that you could look at them, but happy to	5	would like to get my thoughts on the record for
6	show you guys what the dama what the house	6	this. So if we could proceed with a motion,
7	looks like as of today.	7	and if there's any other questions, we can ask
8	COMMISSIONER MORGAN: I'm looking at some	8	the applicant can we do it at that time? Is
9	photos. It looks like a caved-in ceiling,	9	everybody okay with that?
10	which would look like water intrusion and	10	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: (Off microphone.)
11	probably closer to a chimney than a termite	11	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I would like to
12	chewing through a roof or something?	12	deny or approve the I would like to make
13	MR. DANHOUR: There's water intrusion, not	13	a motion to deny the demolition delay for the
14	just in the chimney. It's in the in the	14	Henry C. Arpen House at 3747 Linjohn Road.
15	kitchen area, in the bathrooms. It's it's	15	COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Second.
16	not just where the chimney was.	16	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: I
17	COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Sure, but it's kind	17	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion?
18	of an integral part of sealing that envelope.	18	COMMISSIONER EPSTEIN: Yeah, sorry.
19	Thank you.	19	I through the Chair, I would like to
20	MR. DANHOUR: Yep.	20	just go first just so I can get my thoughts on
21	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Question to the	21	the record because I do need to leave in the
22	applicant, through the Chair, so could you	22	next few minutes.
23 24	so were you involved with the original PUD or	23 24	The reason I say "deny" I understand
24 25	MR. DANHOUR: Yes. I was the developer	24 25	your passion here. I'm very sympathetic to what you have said. Your application does not
25	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	25	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
	MadamCourtReporter.com 118		MadamCourtReporter.com 120
1	that yeah.	1	120 show me enough reason to approve this. You're
1 2	118 that yeah. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So you are	1 2	120 show me enough reason to approve this. You're speaking about termite damage and all these
2 3	118 that yeah. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So you are reporting that Councilman Boylan is in support		120 show me enough reason to approve this. You're speaking about termite damage and all these structural issues. You have a signed letter
2 3 4	118 that yeah. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So you are reporting that Councilman Boylan is in support of this?	2 3 4	120 show me enough reason to approve this. You're speaking about termite damage and all these structural issues. You have a signed letter from a structural engineer. They have not
2 3 4 5	118 that yeah. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So you are reporting that Councilman Boylan is in support of this? MR. DANHOUR: (Nods head.)	2 3 4 5	120 show me enough reason to approve this. You're speaking about termite damage and all these structural issues. You have a signed letter from a structural engineer. They have not singularly stated a structural issue, and their
2 3 4 5 6	118 that yeah. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So you are reporting that Councilman Boylan is in support of this? MR. DANHOUR: (Nods head.) COMMISSIONER HOFF: I think that's what I	2 3 4 5 6	120 show me enough reason to approve this. You're speaking about termite damage and all these structural issues. You have a signed letter from a structural engineer. They have not singularly stated a structural issue, and their pictures do not prove to me a structural issue
2 3 4 5 6 7	118 that yeah. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So you are reporting that Councilman Boylan is in support of this? MR. DANHOUR: (Nods head.) COMMISSIONER HOFF: I think that's what I heard	2 3 4 5 6 7	120 show me enough reason to approve this. You're speaking about termite damage and all these structural issues. You have a signed letter from a structural engineer. They have not singularly stated a structural issue, and their pictures do not prove to me a structural issue enough to be able to approve this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	118 that yeah. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So you are reporting that Councilman Boylan is in support of this? MR. DANHOUR: (Nods head.) COMMISSIONER HOFF: I think that's what I heard MR. DANHOUR: Yep.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	120 show me enough reason to approve this. You're speaking about termite damage and all these structural issues. You have a signed letter from a structural engineer. They have not singularly stated a structural issue, and their pictures do not prove to me a structural issue enough to be able to approve this. This is a considerable historic home
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	118 that yeah. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So you are reporting that Councilman Boylan is in support of this? MR. DANHOUR: (Nods head.) COMMISSIONER HOFF: I think that's what I heard MR. DANHOUR: Yep. COMMISSIONER HOFF: you say.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	120 show me enough reason to approve this. You're speaking about termite damage and all these structural issues. You have a signed letter from a structural engineer. They have not singularly stated a structural issue, and their pictures do not prove to me a structural issue enough to be able to approve this. This is a considerable historic home that like the staff report says, there's not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	118 that yeah. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So you are reporting that Councilman Boylan is in support of this? MR. DANHOUR: (Nods head.) COMMISSIONER HOFF: I think that's what I heard MR. DANHOUR: Yep. COMMISSIONER HOFF: you say. And that through his efforts and your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	120 show me enough reason to approve this. You're speaking about termite damage and all these structural issues. You have a signed letter from a structural engineer. They have not singularly stated a structural issue, and their pictures do not prove to me a structural issue enough to be able to approve this. This is a considerable historic home that like the staff report says, there's not a lot of, like, these left in the Mandarin
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	118 that yeah. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So you are reporting that Councilman Boylan is in support of this? MR. DANHOUR: (Nods head.) COMMISSIONER HOFF: I think that's what I heard MR. DANHOUR: Yep. COMMISSIONER HOFF: you say. And that through his efforts and your experience working with the Planning Department	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	120 show me enough reason to approve this. You're speaking about termite damage and all these structural issues. You have a signed letter from a structural engineer. They have not singularly stated a structural issue, and their pictures do not prove to me a structural issue enough to be able to approve this. This is a considerable historic home that like the staff report says, there's not a lot of, like, these left in the Mandarin area. They've been already taken down for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	118 that yeah. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So you are reporting that Councilman Boylan is in support of this? MR. DANHOUR: (Nods head.) COMMISSIONER HOFF: I think that's what I heard MR. DANHOUR: Yep. COMMISSIONER HOFF: you say. And that through his efforts and your experience working with the Planning Department and the City, that they have not issued the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	120 show me enough reason to approve this. You're speaking about termite damage and all these structural issues. You have a signed letter from a structural engineer. They have not singularly stated a structural issue, and their pictures do not prove to me a structural issue enough to be able to approve this. This is a considerable historic home that like the staff report says, there's not a lot of, like, these left in the Mandarin area. They've been already taken down for other developments that that this was moved
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	118 that yeah. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So you are reporting that Councilman Boylan is in support of this? MR. DANHOUR: (Nods head.) COMMISSIONER HOFF: I think that's what I heard MR. DANHOUR: Yep. COMMISSIONER HOFF: you say. And that through his efforts and your experience working with the Planning Department and the City, that they have not issued the correct type of permit yet and it's their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	120 show me enough reason to approve this. You're speaking about termite damage and all these structural issues. You have a signed letter from a structural engineer. They have not singularly stated a structural issue, and their pictures do not prove to me a structural issue enough to be able to approve this. This is a considerable historic home that like the staff report says, there's not a lot of, like, these left in the Mandarin area. They've been already taken down for other developments that that this was moved to create.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	118 that yeah. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So you are reporting that Councilman Boylan is in support of this? MR. DANHOUR: (Nods head.) COMMISSIONER HOFF: I think that's what I heard MR. DANHOUR: Yep. COMMISSIONER HOFF: you say. And that through his efforts and your experience working with the Planning Department and the City, that they have not issued the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	120 show me enough reason to approve this. You're speaking about termite damage and all these structural issues. You have a signed letter from a structural engineer. They have not singularly stated a structural issue, and their pictures do not prove to me a structural issue enough to be able to approve this. This is a considerable historic home that like the staff report says, there's not a lot of, like, these left in the Mandarin area. They've been already taken down for other developments that that this was moved to create. I think the fact it was moved without an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	118 that yeah. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So you are reporting that Councilman Boylan is in support of this? MR. DANHOUR: (Nods head.) COMMISSIONER HOFF: I think that's what I heard MR. DANHOUR: Yep. COMMISSIONER HOFF: you say. And that through his efforts and your experience working with the Planning Department and the City, that they have not issued the correct type of permit yet and it's their internal confusion as to why that has not been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	120 show me enough reason to approve this. You're speaking about termite damage and all these structural issues. You have a signed letter from a structural engineer. They have not singularly stated a structural issue, and their pictures do not prove to me a structural issue enough to be able to approve this. This is a considerable historic home that like the staff report says, there's not a lot of, like, these left in the Mandarin area. They've been already taken down for other developments that that this was moved to create.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	118 that yeah. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So you are reporting that Councilman Boylan is in support of this? MR. DANHOUR: (Nods head.) COMMISSIONER HOFF: I think that's what I heard MR. DANHOUR: Yep. COMMISSIONER HOFF: you say. And that through his efforts and your experience working with the Planning Department and the City, that they have not issued the correct type of permit yet and it's their internal confusion as to why that has not been done and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	120 show me enough reason to approve this. You're speaking about termite damage and all these structural issues. You have a signed letter from a structural engineer. They have not singularly stated a structural issue, and their pictures do not prove to me a structural issue enough to be able to approve this. This is a considerable historic home that like the staff report says, there's not a lot of, like, these left in the Mandarin area. They've been already taken down for other developments that that this was moved to create. I think the fact it was moved without an actual permit is questionable. I think that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	118 that yeah. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So you are reporting that Councilman Boylan is in support of this? MR. DANHOUR: (Nods head.) COMMISSIONER HOFF: I think that's what I heard MR. DANHOUR: Yep. COMMISSIONER HOFF: you say. And that through his efforts and your experience working with the Planning Department and the City, that they have not issued the correct type of permit yet and it's their internal confusion as to why that has not been done and MR. DANHOUR: So there's two elements to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	120 show me enough reason to approve this. You're speaking about termite damage and all these structural issues. You have a signed letter from a structural engineer. They have not singularly stated a structural issue, and their pictures do not prove to me a structural issue enough to be able to approve this. This is a considerable historic home that like the staff report says, there's not a lot of, like, these left in the Mandarin area. They've been already taken down for other developments that that this was moved to create. I think the fact it was moved without an actual permit is questionable. I think that there's still some more research that can be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	118 that yeah. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So you are reporting that Councilman Boylan is in support of this? MR. DANHOUR: (Nods head.) COMMISSIONER HOFF: I think that's what I heard MR. DANHOUR: Yep. COMMISSIONER HOFF: you say. And that through his efforts and your experience working with the Planning Department and the City, that they have not issued the correct type of permit yet and it's their internal confusion as to why that has not been done and MR. DANHOUR: So there's two elements to that. The first, what I'd call, 18 or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	120 show me enough reason to approve this. You're speaking about termite damage and all these structural issues. You have a signed letter from a structural engineer. They have not singularly stated a structural issue, and their pictures do not prove to me a structural issue enough to be able to approve this. This is a considerable historic home that like the staff report says, there's not a lot of, like, these left in the Mandarin area. They've been already taken down for other developments that that this was moved to create. I think the fact it was moved without an actual permit is questionable. I think that there's still some more research that can be done into the moving company and see if there's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	118 that yeah. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So you are reporting that Councilman Boylan is in support of this? MR. DANHOUR: (Nods head.) COMMISSIONER HOFF: I think that's what I heard MR. DANHOUR: Yep. COMMISSIONER HOFF: you say. And that through his efforts and your experience working with the Planning Department and the City, that they have not issued the correct type of permit yet and it's their internal confusion as to why that has not been done and MR. DANHOUR: So there's two elements to that. The first, what I'd call, 18 or 20 months of delays were these City technical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	120 show me enough reason to approve this. You're speaking about termite damage and all these structural issues. You have a signed letter from a structural engineer. They have not singularly stated a structural issue, and their pictures do not prove to me a structural issue enough to be able to approve this. This is a considerable historic home that like the staff report says, there's not a lot of, like, these left in the Mandarin area. They've been already taken down for other developments that that this was moved to create. I think the fact it was moved without an actual permit is questionable. I think that there's still some more research that can be done into the moving company and see if there's any sort of insurance that they have to help
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	118 that yeah. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So you are reporting that Councilman Boylan is in support of this? MR. DANHOUR: (Nods head.) COMMISSIONER HOFF: I think that's what I heard MR. DANHOUR: Yep. COMMISSIONER HOFF: you say. And that through his efforts and your experience working with the Planning Department and the City, that they have not issued the correct type of permit yet and it's their internal confusion as to why that has not been done and MR. DANHOUR: So there's two elements to that. The first, what I'd call, 18 or 20 months of delays were these City technical issues with the CDN, addresses, et cetera. At the point I want to say it was probably January or February of 2024, prior to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	120 show me enough reason to approve this. You're speaking about termite damage and all these structural issues. You have a signed letter from a structural engineer. They have not singularly stated a structural issue, and their pictures do not prove to me a structural issue enough to be able to approve this. This is a considerable historic home that like the staff report says, there's not a lot of, like, these left in the Mandarin area. They've been already taken down for other developments that that this was moved to create. I think the fact it was moved without an actual permit is questionable. I think that there's still some more research that can be done into the moving company and see if there's any sort of insurance that they have to help with possibly restoring this. If there is considerable enough termite damage that the structure is not able to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	118 that yeah. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So you are reporting that Councilman Boylan is in support of this? MR. DANHOUR: (Nods head.) COMMISSIONER HOFF: I think that's what I heard MR. DANHOUR: Yep. COMMISSIONER HOFF: you say. And that through his efforts and your experience working with the Planning Department and the City, that they have not issued the correct type of permit yet and it's their internal confusion as to why that has not been done and MR. DANHOUR: So there's two elements to that. The first, what I'd call, 18 or 20 months of delays were these City technical issues with the CDN, addresses, et cetera. At the point I want to say it was probably January or February of 2024, prior to this condemnation, the recipient said, "This is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	120 show me enough reason to approve this. You're speaking about termite damage and all these structural issues. You have a signed letter from a structural engineer. They have not singularly stated a structural issue, and their pictures do not prove to me a structural issue enough to be able to approve this. This is a considerable historic home that like the staff report says, there's not a lot of, like, these left in the Mandarin area. They've been already taken down for other developments that that this was moved to create. I think the fact it was moved without an actual permit is questionable. I think that there's still some more research that can be done into the moving company and see if there's any sort of insurance that they have to help with possibly restoring this. If there is considerable enough termite damage that the structure is not able to be restored, I need to see evidence of that. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	118 that yeah. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So you are reporting that Councilman Boylan is in support of this? MR. DANHOUR: (Nods head.) COMMISSIONER HOFF: I think that's what I heard MR. DANHOUR: Yep. COMMISSIONER HOFF: you say. And that through his efforts and your experience working with the Planning Department and the City, that they have not issued the correct type of permit yet and it's their internal confusion as to why that has not been done and MR. DANHOUR: So there's two elements to that. The first, what I'd call, 18 or 20 months of delays were these City technical issues with the CDN, addresses, et cetera. At the point I want to say it was probably January or February of 2024, prior to this condemnation, the recipient said, "This is too risky; I don't want it anymore." So the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	120 show me enough reason to approve this. You're speaking about termite damage and all these structural issues. You have a signed letter from a structural engineer. They have not singularly stated a structural issue, and their pictures do not prove to me a structural issue enough to be able to approve this. This is a considerable historic home that like the staff report says, there's not a lot of, like, these left in the Mandarin area. They've been already taken down for other developments that that this was moved to create. I think the fact it was moved without an actual permit is questionable. I think that there's still some more research that can be done into the moving company and see if there's any sort of insurance that they have to help with possibly restoring this. If there is considerable enough termite damage that the structure is not able to be restored, I need to see evidence of that. I don't see evidence I don't see any evidence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	118 that yeah. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So you are reporting that Councilman Boylan is in support of this? MR. DANHOUR: (Nods head.) COMMISSIONER HOFF: I think that's what I heard MR. DANHOUR: Yep. COMMISSIONER HOFF: you say. And that through his efforts and your experience working with the Planning Department and the City, that they have not issued the correct type of permit yet and it's their internal confusion as to why that has not been done and MR. DANHOUR: So there's two elements to that. The first, what I'd call, 18 or 20 months of delays were these City technical issues with the CDN, addresses, et cetera. At the point I want to say it was probably January or February of 2024, prior to this condemnation, the recipient said, "This is too risky; I don't want it anymore." So the permits are stopped because I can't go get a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	120 show me enough reason to approve this. You're speaking about termite damage and all these structural issues. You have a signed letter from a structural engineer. They have not singularly stated a structural issue, and their pictures do not prove to me a structural issue enough to be able to approve this. This is a considerable historic home that like the staff report says, there's not a lot of, like, these left in the Mandarin area. They've been already taken down for other developments that that this was moved to create. I think the fact it was moved without an actual permit is questionable. I think that there's still some more research that can be done into the moving company and see if there's any sort of insurance that they have to help with possibly restoring this. If there is considerable enough termite damage that the structure is not able to be restored, I need to see evidence of that. I don't see evidence I don't see any evidence of this here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	118 that yeah. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So you are reporting that Councilman Boylan is in support of this? MR. DANHOUR: (Nods head.) COMMISSIONER HOFF: I think that's what I heard MR. DANHOUR: Yep. COMMISSIONER HOFF: you say. And that through his efforts and your experience working with the Planning Department and the City, that they have not issued the correct type of permit yet and it's their internal confusion as to why that has not been done and MR. DANHOUR: So there's two elements to that. The first, what I'd call, 18 or 20 months of delays were these City technical issues with the CDN, addresses, et cetera. At the point I want to say it was probably January or February of 2024, prior to this condemnation, the recipient said, "This is too risky; I don't want it anymore." So the permits are stopped because I can't go get a permit to move it to his site; he doesn't want	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	120 show me enough reason to approve this. You're speaking about termite damage and all these structural issues. You have a signed letter from a structural engineer. They have not singularly stated a structural issue, and their pictures do not prove to me a structural issue enough to be able to approve this. This is a considerable historic home that like the staff report says, there's not a lot of, like, these left in the Mandarin area. They've been already taken down for other developments that that this was moved to create. I think the fact it was moved without an actual permit is questionable. I think that there's still some more research that can be done into the moving company and see if there's any sort of insurance that they have to help with possibly restoring this. If there is considerable enough termite damage that the structure is not able to be restored, I need to see evidence of that. I don't see evidence I don't see any evidence of this here. Looking at the photos where the structural
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	118 that yeah. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So you are reporting that Councilman Boylan is in support of this? MR. DANHOUR: (Nods head.) COMMISSIONER HOFF: I think that's what I heard MR. DANHOUR: Yep. COMMISSIONER HOFF: you say. And that through his efforts and your experience working with the Planning Department and the City, that they have not issued the correct type of permit yet and it's their internal confusion as to why that has not been done and MR. DANHOUR: So there's two elements to that. The first, what I'd call, 18 or 20 months of delays were these City technical issues with the CDN, addresses, et cetera. At the point I want to say it was probably January or February of 2024, prior to this condemnation, the recipient said, "This is too risky; I don't want it anymore." So the permits are stopped because I can't go get a permit to move it to his site; he doesn't want Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	120 show me enough reason to approve this. You're speaking about termite damage and all these structural issues. You have a signed letter from a structural engineer. They have not singularly stated a structural issue, and their pictures do not prove to me a structural issue enough to be able to approve this. This is a considerable historic home that like the staff report says, there's not a lot of, like, these left in the Mandarin area. They've been already taken down for other developments that that this was moved to create. I think the fact it was moved without an actual permit is questionable. I think that there's still some more research that can be done into the moving company and see if there's any sort of insurance that they have to help with possibly restoring this. If there is considerable enough termite damage that the structure is not able to be restored, I need to see evidence of that. I don't see evidence I don't see any evidence of this here. Looking at the photos where the structural Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	118 that yeah. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So you are reporting that Councilman Boylan is in support of this? MR. DANHOUR: (Nods head.) COMMISSIONER HOFF: I think that's what I heard MR. DANHOUR: Yep. COMMISSIONER HOFF: you say. And that through his efforts and your experience working with the Planning Department and the City, that they have not issued the correct type of permit yet and it's their internal confusion as to why that has not been done and MR. DANHOUR: So there's two elements to that. The first, what I'd call, 18 or 20 months of delays were these City technical issues with the CDN, addresses, et cetera. At the point I want to say it was probably January or February of 2024, prior to this condemnation, the recipient said, "This is too risky; I don't want it anymore." So the permits are stopped because I can't go get a permit to move it to his site; he doesn't want	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	120 show me enough reason to approve this. You're speaking about termite damage and all these structural issues. You have a signed letter from a structural engineer. They have not singularly stated a structural issue, and their pictures do not prove to me a structural issue enough to be able to approve this. This is a considerable historic home that like the staff report says, there's not a lot of, like, these left in the Mandarin area. They've been already taken down for other developments that that this was moved to create. I think the fact it was moved without an actual permit is questionable. I think that there's still some more research that can be done into the moving company and see if there's any sort of insurance that they have to help with possibly restoring this. If there is considerable enough termite damage that the structure is not able to be restored, I need to see evidence of that. I don't see evidence I don't see any evidence of this here. Looking at the photos where the structural

,	f Jacksonville ic Preservation Commission		December 11, 2024 Uncertified Condensed Copy
1113101	121		123
1	engineer calls out, even in a minor wording	1	the location of the structural beams that are
2	that there's issues in photo numbers 5 and	2	failing in specific photos.
3	6, they talk about, you know, shoring and	3	If there is termite damage here, we need a
4	repair work causing issues with the house. The	4	report from someone showing there's termite
5	only thing these pictures are showing me is	5	damage. I mean, sometimes it will could
6	that there's damage to the siding. I'm not	6	just be deteriorated and look like termite
7	seeing any actual structural damage in either	7	damage, not actually be active termites,
8	one of these pictures. It's just pictures of	8	that there is a difference there because
		-	
9	the siding being needing repair.	9 10	a a home of this age is going to have some termite damage. It's almost impossible in the
10	In photo number 7, they talk about the	11	state of Florida to have a wood frame home
11	ceiling damage and roof damage. I can't see any roof damage there. I do see that the		
12	, –	12	without termite damage of this age, not that
13	ceiling has caved in. That's a moderately easy	13	there's active termites there.
14	repair to do based on what I'm seeing in this	14	So while Mr. Stanford provided a a
15	picture.	15	one-page report here, it needs to be more
16	And photo number 8 is showing damage to	16	encompassing of what structural items are
17	the floor system. And, again, this photo is	17	deficient in this home that's causing it to be
18	not giving me enough information to understand	18	unrepairable.
19	the scope of damage enough for me to be able to	19	And just the situation here I mean,
20	approve this for you.	20	there is an option to save this historic home
21	Those are my thoughts.	21	and there's an agreement with the community,
22	MR. DANHOUR: Thank you.	22	and I I think everyone at the City takes
23	THE CHAIRMAN: So continuing on that line	23	these agreements with the community very
24	of discussion, which I tend to agree with,	24	seriously, that we need to preserve these
25	there's just like, I understand the	25	properties. And when you read something in the
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com	-	MadamCourtReporter.com
4	122	4	124 DUD it poods to be held to prod I think
1	situation well, as much as I can understand the situation, but I wonder I agree that	1	PUD, it needs to be held to and I think that's another issue here as well, is that this
2	I I need more information. I'm	2	
3		3 ⊿	thing was moved without the permits. There is
4	Staff is telling us that there are four criteria that this structure meets to landmark	4 5	an option to be able to save this home on that property, and all those things were bypassed
5		-	here. I think that's a it's a major issue
6	it.	6	here in terms of allowing this home to be
7	COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Right. THE CHAIRMAN: But then we're hearing	7 8	demolished.
8	-	-	
9	argument from the applicant that it's this	9	(Commissioner Epstein exits the
10	thing this place has been through the	10	proceedings.) COMMISSIONER GREGORY: And while I'm at
11	ringer, it's it can't be restored, right?	11	
12	That it's it's falling apart.	12	it, maybe a question for counsel here. Was
13	But I agree with Commissioner Epstein that	13	that motion worded correctly? Are we denying the demolition delay? Is that correct?
14	we don't have enough I don't have enough	14	MS. LOPERA: Not exactly. You would be
15	information to conclude simply (microphone	15	-
16	failure) allow or vote for the demolition of	16	denying the demolition, is, I believe, the
17	this right now. That is (microphone failure),	17 18	intent of the motion.
18	but I'm interested in the other in your		COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Yeah. Okay. Deny the demolition.
19 20	opinions about that before we take a vote. COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair,	19	
1 20		20 21	MS. LOPERA: And through the Chair, could I just state something on the record
21	I would agree with Commissioner Epstein's		
21 22	I would agree with Commissioner Epstein's assessment of the structural integrity report	22	regarding you're talking a little bit about
21 22 23	I would agree with Commissioner Epstein's assessment of the structural integrity report here. I mean, typically, some sort of	22 23	regarding you're talking a little bit about deferral. And if that is the will of this
21 22 23 24	I would agree with Commissioner Epstein's assessment of the structural integrity report here. I mean, typically, some sort of structural engineer report will specifically	22 23 24	regarding you're talking a little bit about deferral. And if that is the will of this Commission, you should know that Section 324.07
21 22 23	I would agree with Commissioner Epstein's assessment of the structural integrity report here. I mean, typically, some sort of structural engineer report will specifically call out the sills, the location of the sills,	22 23	regarding you're talking a little bit about deferral. And if that is the will of this Commission, you should know that Section 324.07 of the Ordinance Code requires that you make a
21 22 23 24	I would agree with Commissioner Epstein's assessment of the structural integrity report here. I mean, typically, some sort of structural engineer report will specifically call out the sills, the location of the sills, Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	22 23 24	regarding you're talking a little bit about deferral. And if that is the will of this Commission, you should know that Section 324.07 of the Ordinance Code requires that you make a Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
21 22 23 24	I would agree with Commissioner Epstein's assessment of the structural integrity report here. I mean, typically, some sort of structural engineer report will specifically call out the sills, the location of the sills,	22 23 24	regarding you're talking a little bit about deferral. And if that is the will of this Commission, you should know that Section 324.07 of the Ordinance Code requires that you make a

	f Jacksonville ic Preservation Commission		December 11, 202 Uncertified Condensed Cop	
	125		127	
1	decision on these demolitions within 60 days.	1	Commissioner Morgan and the Commission, if I	
2	And if you fail to do so, the demolition permit	2	may, just a couple of things on that.	
3	automatically issues. And that 60-day deadline	3	So the owner does have appellate rights.	
4	falls before your next regularly scheduled	4	They can appeal to the City Council this	
5	meeting. So if you're talking deferral, we	5	decision here today.	
6	would need to talk about scheduling an	6	COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Okay.	
7	additional meeting to make that 60-day	7	MS. LOPERA: So that is a possibility.	
8	deadline.	8	I would also like to point out, the in	
9	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Before we go on to	9	the PUD language that was agreed to that the	
10	any other discussion, do we need to	10	applicant was speaking of Arimus has pulled	
11	COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to	11	that up on the screen. You can see it now.	
12	the Commission, I think that that's a very	12	What they agreed to regarding this Henry	
13	important thing to note, and I I'm just	13	C. Arpen House, and this was before when	
14	going to ask, if we denied it, would he be able	14	they were getting approval to rezone that	
15	to reapply or I mean, what does that process look like? This is pretty unlikely that	15	parcel of land to a Planned Unit Development,	
16 17	MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to	16 17	and they have agreed to preserve the Henry C. Arpen farmhouse by first inviting those who are	
17	Commissioner Morgan and the Commission, so	17	interested in preserving the home to secure a	
10	these are a little bit unique because not only	10	mutually agreeable new location outside the	
20	do you need to make a decision on the	20	development but still within Jacksonville,	
21	demolition, but if you choose to deny this	21	preferably in Mandarin. And upon said finding,	
22	demolition, the Ordinance Code requires you to	22	the developer further commits to donating and	
23	make a decision on landmarking status. And	23	moving the historic structure to the chosen	
24	that is required within 21 days of your denial	24	site, and then the the PUD contemplates	
25	decision.	25	having an issue with that. In the event that	
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300	
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com	
	126		128	
1	So what staff traditionally has done and	1	no sound plan surfaces for relocation, the	
2	did here today was provide you with all the	2	project developer pledges to relocate the Henry	
3	information you need. Should you decide to	3	C. Arpen farmhouse to proposed Lot 1.	
4	deny it, you have everything in there to then	4	That's what's in your PUD language.	
5	make a recommendation regarding landmarking	5	MR. DANHOUR: Yeah, if you if I may,	
6	status. And that goes before City Council in	6	where it gets tricky is that, by the date of	
7	the form of an ordinance, and you can you	7	the City's final approval for the PUD's project	
8	can do that all here today so that we don't have to call a special meeting to make that	8 9	civil engineering plans. So having a location, having an agreement with the with the	
10	21-day deadline.	9 10	councilman, having permits in place, where,	
11	COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Through the Chair to	11	like, that's a sound plan, then we get the	
12	Legal, I guess I just want some clarification,	12	engineer plans approved. And, in my mind,	
13	similar to what Commissioner Gregory is saying.	13	that that no longer requires holding Lot 1	
14	If there has been an agreement in place	14	back because I've got a sound plan.	
15	with the councilperson and the community, and	15	Obviously, I could not foresee how long it	
16	we're I don't know exactly what that is.	16	would take to try to get permits and all the	
17	I'm assuming it's, we'll give you this land if	17	things that would happen therein, but that's at	
18	you preserve this building, what does that	18	least the mindset of how we got to that	
19	what are the repercussions of that with a	19	position.	
20	demolition?	20	THE CHAIRMAN: So I understand your	
21	And, I mean, at that point like, I	21	position, when you when you said in the	
22	think we need to hear from someone else to even	22	beginning this is the last place you want to	
23	be able to make that decision or more	23	be. I totally understand that.	
24	information on that agreement.	24	I think we at least for me as a	
25	MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to	25	commissioner on this on this (microphone	
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300	
1	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com	

	f Jacksonville ic Preservation Commission	December 11, 2024 Uncertified Condensed Copy		
TISLOI	129		131	
1	failure) with HPC, there's certain we're	1	21 days to make a recommendation on landmarking	
2	looking at a situation where we have a historic	2	status. But as you can see, staff already	
3	structure, it's landmark-worthy, that has	3	presented their report and recommendation.	
4	been we could call it bad luck, we could	4	And the code requires that the property	
5	call it a series of unforeseen circumstances,	5	meet four of the criteria if the owner is in	
6	but it's in a it's in a terrible position.	6	opposition. And that is the case; it meets	
7	And as Legal has said earlier, our denial	7	four. And it would require well, that would	
8	of the demolition approving the demolition	8	go to Council. It would be a recommendation of	
9	of this structure doesn't keep the owner from	9	this body to landmark it. So you'd have your	
10	appealing it to the City, to a higher level	10	demolition decision, appealable; and then your	
11	than us, and so I think that we have to we	11	recommendation to landmark, and that goes	
12	have to make our decisions based on based on	12	before Council as an ordinance, and they would	
13	the design guidelines and our respect of the	13	have the decision-making authority over that	
14	historic structures.	14	landmark. And then should you appeal, they	
15	And this is no this is no aggression	15	would have the decision-making authority on	
16	towards the developer or the things that are	16	that appeal.	
17	going on, but I don't think we can deny the	17	MR. DANHOUR: How does it work that it's	
18	value of this structure. I mean, we're engaged	18	on a temporary foundation on a leased parcel of	
19	in some things right now as a body that are	19	land?	
20	aimed at preventing, frankly, situations like	20	MS. LOPERA: Your appellate rights?	
21	this from happening. And so I think that I	21	MR. DANHOUR: The landmark status. Like,	
22	think we have to really consider that.	22	it's not on a permanent address.	
23	I, for one, am not I'm not	23	MS. LOPERA: Then that's a great	
24	interested I'm not really in favor of	24	question.	
25	deferring it. I think we need to I think we	25	So it doesn't have I mean, the parcel	
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300	
	MadamCourtReporter.com 130		MadamCourtReporter.com 132	
1	need to vote, and I think a motion is on the	1	has to have an address of some sort.	
2	floor that I'm ready to vote for, but I	2	MR. DANHOUR: It is on a temporary	
3	certainly want to hear from you if you if	3	foundation on a portion of a lot that is leased	
4	you think differently.	4	under a month-to-month lease agreement.	
5	I don't think deferring it is going to	5	MS. LOPERA: That's an interesting I	
6	help. I think we need to move it along so that	6	would have to look into that.	
7	it gets in front of people that can make	7	THE CHAIRMAN: And that's why I think that	
8	decisions that they need to make as well	8	this is something this is something that	
9	because I assume if we deny the demolition of	9	needs to be elevated to another level, frankly.	
10	the structure, you understand the process as	10	It's we can talk we can speak to the	
11	well and you will elevate it to the next level.	11	historic value of the structure and make a	
12	MR. DANHOUR: I do.	12	and we can vote on things and in that	
13	The one thing I don't understand is if	13	regard, but I think this is a very this is a	
14	a denial here is also an approval for landmark	14	very peculiar situation, right?	
15	status. And I'd be really curious what how	15	It's not it's not (microphone failure).	
16	that works with an involuntary applicant or	16	(Microphone failure) cut-and-dry kind of thing.	
17	or what that looks like. I don't because I	17	I mean, I know I know I understand the	
18	don't quite understand that element.	18	value of the structure based on the staff	
19	MS. LOPERA: Mr. Chair, do you want me to	19	report and that it meets in staff's opinion,	
20 21	address that? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, please.	20 21	in their recommendation, it meets four of the criteria. That I can consider and make a	
21	MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to the	21	recommendation on, but the legalities of those	
22	applicant, so it's it's a separate motion.	22	kind of things, I I don't (microphone	
23 24	So if they were to move to deny this	23 24	failure).	
24	demolition, then the code reads they have	24	MS. LOPERA: To the Chair, if I may, let	
20	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300	
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com	
L		1		

HISIOI	ic Preservation Commission	1	Uncertified Condensed Cop
	133		135
1	me just say this: If you-all vote to	1	that.
2	landmark recommend landmark status for the	2	THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a motion in that
3	structure, be assured that I will work out the	3	regard?
4	legal the legality and how to word that in	4	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Through the Chair, I'd
5	the ordinance and make that happen. I just	5	like to make a motion to approve the staff
6	need direction from this body.	6	recommendation of a landmark for the Henry C.
7	THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. But then again,	7	Arpen House at 3747 Linjohn Road.
8	just to sort of reiterate and clarify what we	8	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Second.
9	said earlier, even though this may go before	9	THE CHAIRMAN: I would say I'll say
10	City Council as a denial of demolition but also	10	discussion, but I think I think that it's
11	a recommendation for landmark status approval,	11	not necessary, right?
12	they still have to vote on that, yes?	12	COMMISSIONER HOFF: So just
13	MS. LOPERA: To the Chair, they will have	13	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion
14	to vote on the landmarking status. They would	14	(Mr. Danhour exits the proceedings.)
15	only hear the demolition if the applicant	15	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Just through the Chair
			real quickly, so we've seen a lot of different
16	chooses to appeal.	16	• • •
17	THE CHAIRMAN: Right. Which I have no	17	landmark applications through the years. A lot
18	doubt the applicant (microphone failure), and	18	of them can have three of the criteria met.
19	so both of those	19	This has four, which is more than usual, so I'm
20	THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you.	20	going to be supporting it.
21	THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry.	21	THE CHAIRMAN: And, again, this isn't just
22	I have no doubt that the applicant won't,	22	a this is a different situation because it's
23	and so both of those issues will be elevated to	23	a historic property without a home, without
24	a to the City Council.	24	a without land right now, so that I think
25	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair,	25	it's it's going to have to (microphone
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
	134		136
1	I'm ready to take a vote on it.	1	failure).
1 2	I'm ready to take a vote on it. MR. DANHOUR: Thank you.	1 2	,
	•		,
2	MR. DANHOUR: Thank you.	2	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair,
2 3	MR. DANHOUR: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: So the motion on the the	2 3	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I agree with your assessment of the situation,
2 3 4	MR. DANHOUR: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: So the motion on the the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition,	2 3 4	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I agree with your assessment of the situation, that this is an issue that's grown larger than
2 3 4 5	MR. DANHOUR: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: So the motion on the the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition, and then, by extension, (microphone failure)	2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I agree with your assessment of the situation, that this is an issue that's grown larger than maybe just what we decide here. We have we
2 3 4 5 6	MR. DANHOUR: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: So the motion on the the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition, and then, by extension, (microphone failure) landmark (microphone failure).	2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I agree with your assessment of the situation, that this is an issue that's grown larger than maybe just what we decide here. We have we can decide on the historic nature of the
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. DANHOUR: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: So the motion on the the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition, and then, by extension, (microphone failure) landmark (microphone failure). THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you.	2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I agree with your assessment of the situation, that this is an issue that's grown larger than maybe just what we decide here. We have we can decide on the historic nature of the property and landmarking it, but there was a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. DANHOUR: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: So the motion on the the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition, and then, by extension, (microphone failure) landmark (microphone failure). THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MS. LOPERA: To the Chair and through the Chair to the Commission, the motion on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I agree with your assessment of the situation, that this is an issue that's grown larger than maybe just what we decide here. We have we can decide on the historic nature of the property and landmarking it, but there was a lot going on with this property and this house
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. DANHOUR: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: So the motion on the the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition, and then, by extension, (microphone failure) landmark (microphone failure). THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MS. LOPERA: To the Chair and through the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I agree with your assessment of the situation, that this is an issue that's grown larger than maybe just what we decide here. We have we can decide on the historic nature of the property and landmarking it, but there was a lot going on with this property and this house before all this came about, and so I have no doubt that the applicant will be or, excuse
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. DANHOUR: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: So the motion on the the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition, and then, by extension, (microphone failure) landmark (microphone failure). THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MS. LOPERA: To the Chair and through the Chair to the Commission, the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition. If you choose to if you vote in favor of that, then we'll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I agree with your assessment of the situation, that this is an issue that's grown larger than maybe just what we decide here. We have we can decide on the historic nature of the property and landmarking it, but there was a lot going on with this property and this house before all this came about, and so I have no doubt that the applicant will be or, excuse me, the property owner will be handling this,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. DANHOUR: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: So the motion on the the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition, and then, by extension, (microphone failure) landmark (microphone failure). THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MS. LOPERA: To the Chair and through the Chair to the Commission, the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition. If you choose to if you vote in favor of that, then we'll talk about landmarking as a next step.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I agree with your assessment of the situation, that this is an issue that's grown larger than maybe just what we decide here. We have we can decide on the historic nature of the property and landmarking it, but there was a lot going on with this property and this house before all this came about, and so I have no doubt that the applicant will be or, excuse me, the property owner will be handling this, so I think we're heading in the right direction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. DANHOUR: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: So the motion on the the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition, and then, by extension, (microphone failure) landmark (microphone failure). THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MS. LOPERA: To the Chair and through the Chair to the Commission, the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition. If you choose to if you vote in favor of that, then we'll talk about landmarking as a next step. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry. That's what I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I agree with your assessment of the situation, that this is an issue that's grown larger than maybe just what we decide here. We have we can decide on the historic nature of the property and landmarking it, but there was a lot going on with this property and this house before all this came about, and so I have no doubt that the applicant will be or, excuse me, the property owner will be handling this, so I think we're heading in the right direction here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. DANHOUR: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: So the motion on the the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition, and then, by extension, (microphone failure) landmark (microphone failure). THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MS. LOPERA: To the Chair and through the Chair to the Commission, the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition. If you choose to if you vote in favor of that, then we'll talk about landmarking as a next step. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry. That's what I mean by by (microphone failure).	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I agree with your assessment of the situation, that this is an issue that's grown larger than maybe just what we decide here. We have we can decide on the historic nature of the property and landmarking it, but there was a lot going on with this property and this house before all this came about, and so I have no doubt that the applicant will be or, excuse me, the property owner will be handling this, so I think we're heading in the right direction here. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other discussion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. DANHOUR: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: So the motion on the the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition, and then, by extension, (microphone failure) landmark (microphone failure). THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MS. LOPERA: To the Chair and through the Chair to the Commission, the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition. If you choose to if you vote in favor of that, then we'll talk about landmarking as a next step. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry. That's what I mean by by (microphone failure). COMMISSIONER HOFF: Second.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I agree with your assessment of the situation, that this is an issue that's grown larger than maybe just what we decide here. We have we can decide on the historic nature of the property and landmarking it, but there was a lot going on with this property and this house before all this came about, and so I have no doubt that the applicant will be or, excuse me, the property owner will be handling this, so I think we're heading in the right direction here. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. DANHOUR: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: So the motion on the the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition, and then, by extension, (microphone failure) landmark (microphone failure). THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MS. LOPERA: To the Chair and through the Chair to the Commission, the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition. If you choose to if you vote in favor of that, then we'll talk about landmarking as a next step. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry. That's what I mean by by (microphone failure). COMMISSIONER HOFF: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I agree with your assessment of the situation, that this is an issue that's grown larger than maybe just what we decide here. We have we can decide on the historic nature of the property and landmarking it, but there was a lot going on with this property and this house before all this came about, and so I have no doubt that the applicant will be or, excuse me, the property owner will be handling this, so I think we're heading in the right direction here. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you for your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. DANHOUR: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: So the motion on the the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition, and then, by extension, (microphone failure) landmark (microphone failure). THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MS. LOPERA: To the Chair and through the Chair to the Commission, the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition. If you choose to if you vote in favor of that, then we'll talk about landmarking as a next step. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry. That's what I mean by by (microphone failure). COMMISSIONER HOFF: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I agree with your assessment of the situation, that this is an issue that's grown larger than maybe just what we decide here. We have we can decide on the historic nature of the property and landmarking it, but there was a lot going on with this property and this house before all this came about, and so I have no doubt that the applicant will be or, excuse me, the property owner will be handling this, so I think we're heading in the right direction here. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you for your patience, everyone.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. DANHOUR: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: So the motion on the the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition, and then, by extension, (microphone failure) landmark (microphone failure). THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MS. LOPERA: To the Chair and through the Chair to the Commission, the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition. If you choose to if you vote in favor of that, then we'll talk about landmarking as a next step. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry. That's what I mean by by (microphone failure). COMMISSIONER HOFF: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I agree with your assessment of the situation, that this is an issue that's grown larger than maybe just what we decide here. We have we can decide on the historic nature of the property and landmarking it, but there was a lot going on with this property and this house before all this came about, and so I have no doubt that the applicant will be or, excuse me, the property owner will be handling this, so I think we're heading in the right direction here. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you for your patience, everyone. All those in favor?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. DANHOUR: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: So the motion on the the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition, and then, by extension, (microphone failure) landmark (microphone failure). THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MS. LOPERA: To the Chair and through the Chair to the Commission, the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition. If you choose to if you vote in favor of that, then we'll talk about landmarking as a next step. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry. That's what I mean by by (microphone failure). COMMISSIONER HOFF: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I agree with your assessment of the situation, that this is an issue that's grown larger than maybe just what we decide here. We have we can decide on the historic nature of the property and landmarking it, but there was a lot going on with this property and this house before all this came about, and so I have no doubt that the applicant will be or, excuse me, the property owner will be handling this, so I think we're heading in the right direction here. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you for your patience, everyone. All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. DANHOUR: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: So the motion on the the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition, and then, by extension, (microphone failure) landmark (microphone failure). THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MS. LOPERA: To the Chair and through the Chair to the Commission, the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition. If you choose to if you vote in favor of that, then we'll talk about landmarking as a next step. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry. That's what I mean by by (microphone failure). COMMISSIONER HOFF: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So the demolition of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I agree with your assessment of the situation, that this is an issue that's grown larger than maybe just what we decide here. We have we can decide on the historic nature of the property and landmarking it, but there was a lot going on with this property and this house before all this came about, and so I have no doubt that the applicant will be or, excuse me, the property owner will be handling this, so I think we're heading in the right direction here. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you for your patience, everyone. All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. DANHOUR: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: So the motion on the the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition, and then, by extension, (microphone failure) landmark (microphone failure). THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MS. LOPERA: To the Chair and through the Chair to the Commission, the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition. If you choose to if you vote in favor of that, then we'll talk about landmarking as a next step. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry. That's what I mean by by (microphone failure). COMMISSIONER HOFF: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So the demolition of the Henry C. Arpen House at 3747 Linjohn Road has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I agree with your assessment of the situation, that this is an issue that's grown larger than maybe just what we decide here. We have we can decide on the historic nature of the property and landmarking it, but there was a lot going on with this property and this house before all this came about, and so I have no doubt that the applicant will be or, excuse me, the property owner will be handling this, so I think we're heading in the right direction here. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you for your patience, everyone. All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. DANHOUR: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: So the motion on the the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition, and then, by extension, (microphone failure) landmark (microphone failure). THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MS. LOPERA: To the Chair and through the Chair to the Commission, the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition. If you choose to if you vote in favor of that, then we'll talk about landmarking as a next step. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry. That's what I mean by by (microphone failure). COMMISSIONER HOFF: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So the demolition of the Henry C. Arpen House at 3747 Linjohn Road has been denied.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I agree with your assessment of the situation, that this is an issue that's grown larger than maybe just what we decide here. We have we can decide on the historic nature of the property and landmarking it, but there was a lot going on with this property and this house before all this came about, and so I have no doubt that the applicant will be or, excuse me, the property owner will be handling this, so I think we're heading in the right direction here. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you for your patience, everyone. All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So the pursuit of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. DANHOUR: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: So the motion on the the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition, and then, by extension, (microphone failure) landmark (microphone failure). THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MS. LOPERA: To the Chair and through the Chair to the Commission, the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition. If you choose to if you vote in favor of that, then we'll talk about landmarking as a next step. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry. That's what I mean by by (microphone failure). COMMISSIONER HOFF: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So the demolition of the Henry C. Arpen House at 3747 Linjohn Road has been denied. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I agree with your assessment of the situation, that this is an issue that's grown larger than maybe just what we decide here. We have we can decide on the historic nature of the property and landmarking it, but there was a lot going on with this property and this house before all this came about, and so I have no doubt that the applicant will be or, excuse me, the property owner will be handling this, so I think we're heading in the right direction here. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you for your patience, everyone. All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So the pursuit of the landmark status has been approved.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. DANHOUR: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: So the motion on the the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition, and then, by extension, (microphone failure) landmark (microphone failure). THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MS. LOPERA: To the Chair and through the Chair to the Commission, the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition. If you choose to if you vote in favor of that, then we'll talk about landmarking as a next step. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry. That's what I mean by by (microphone failure). COMMISSIONER HOFF: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So the demolition of the Henry C. Arpen House at 3747 Linjohn Road has been denied. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to the Commission, my recommendation is that someone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I agree with your assessment of the situation, that this is an issue that's grown larger than maybe just what we decide here. We have we can decide on the historic nature of the property and landmarking it, but there was a lot going on with this property and this house before all this came about, and so I have no doubt that the applicant will be or, excuse me, the property owner will be handling this, so I think we're heading in the right direction here. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you for your patience, everyone. All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So the pursuit of the landmark status has been approved. That brings us to the Information section
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. DANHOUR: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: So the motion on the the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition, and then, by extension, (microphone failure) landmark (microphone failure). THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MS. LOPERA: To the Chair and through the Chair to the Commission, the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition. If you choose to if you vote in favor of that, then we'll talk about landmarking as a next step. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry. That's what I mean by by (microphone failure). COMMISSIONER HOFF: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So the demolition of the Henry C. Arpen House at 3747 Linjohn Road has been denied. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to the Commission, my recommendation is that someone move to approve landmarking status and discuss	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I agree with your assessment of the situation, that this is an issue that's grown larger than maybe just what we decide here. We have we can decide on the historic nature of the property and landmarking it, but there was a lot going on with this property and this house before all this came about, and so I have no doubt that the applicant will be or, excuse me, the property owner will be handling this, so I think we're heading in the right direction here. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you for your patience, everyone. All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So the pursuit of the landmark status has been approved. That brings us to the Information section of our agenda, the 2025 (microphone failure).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. DANHOUR: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: So the motion on the the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition, and then, by extension, (microphone failure) landmark (microphone failure). THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MS. LOPERA: To the Chair and through the Chair to the Commission, the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition. If you choose to if you vote in favor of that, then we'll talk about landmarking as a next step. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry. That's what I mean by by (microphone failure). COMMISSIONER HOFF: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So the demolition of the Henry C. Arpen House at 3747 Linjohn Road has been denied. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to the Commission, my recommendation is that someone move to approve landmarking status and discuss Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I agree with your assessment of the situation, that this is an issue that's grown larger than maybe just what we decide here. We have we can decide on the historic nature of the property and landmarking it, but there was a lot going on with this property and this house before all this came about, and so I have no doubt that the applicant will be or, excuse me, the property owner will be handling this, so I think we're heading in the right direction here. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you for your patience, everyone. All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So the pursuit of the landmark status has been approved. That brings us to the Information section of our agenda, the 2025 (microphone failure). Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. DANHOUR: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: So the motion on the the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition, and then, by extension, (microphone failure) landmark (microphone failure). THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MS. LOPERA: To the Chair and through the Chair to the Commission, the motion on the floor is to deny the demolition. If you choose to if you vote in favor of that, then we'll talk about landmarking as a next step. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry. That's what I mean by by (microphone failure). COMMISSIONER HOFF: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So the demolition of the Henry C. Arpen House at 3747 Linjohn Road has been denied. MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to the Commission, my recommendation is that someone move to approve landmarking status and discuss	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24	COMMISSIONER GREGORY: Through the Chair, I agree with your assessment of the situation, that this is an issue that's grown larger than maybe just what we decide here. We have we can decide on the historic nature of the property and landmarking it, but there was a lot going on with this property and this house before all this came about, and so I have no doubt that the applicant will be or, excuse me, the property owner will be handling this, so I think we're heading in the right direction here. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other discussion? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you for your patience, everyone. All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: All those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So the pursuit of the landmark status has been approved. That brings us to the Information section of our agenda, the 2025 (microphone failure).

City of Jacksonville Historic Preservation Commission

-	f Jacksonville ic Preservation Commission		December 11, 2024 Uncertified Condensed Copy
	137		139
1	THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you.	1	follow up about what if those are going to
2	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry.	2	be addressed and if how that does or does
3	MR. WELLS: Thank you.	3	not come through your office, I guess.
4	So the 2025 HP resource packet, that's	4	MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to
5	been deferred one cycle. Hopefully, I'll have	5	Commissioner Hoff, so the first point of your
6	more to share next month.	6	question was related to the JTA shelters. I've
7	Next item is Pending Legislation. So no	7	been working with JTA. It's been somewhat of a
8	new items have or no new items have had	8	slow-moving target. I emailed them last on
9	final action taken place by City Council.	9	December 5th, just asking for three designs
10	We do have three pending ordinances moving	10	that's in accordance with our Ordinance Code.
11	through the legislative body right now.	11	I have not heard from them since, but I
12	MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.)	12	my plan is to get them on the next agenda so we
13	MR. WELLS: Actually, I take that back.	13	can you-all can take retroactive action,
14	So the because	14	essentially.
15	MS. LOPERA: (Off microphone.) MR. WELLS: There were a couple of	15	The second point in regards to the
16	•	16	approved bus shelters, or the designs within the Springfield Historic District, from my
17 18	ordinances that were approved last night by City Council, so that would be the latter two	17 18	understanding, they we don't have anything
10	here, 2024-0884 and -0880, were approved.	10	on the books. It may have come from a
20	So nonetheless, we have two items moving	20	Commission at some point in time, but it's not
21	through Council, so those will have (microphone	21	necessarily codified in our Ordinance Code or
22	failure) action taking place by next month.	22	our design guidelines, so that is something
23	THE REPORTER: I can't hear you.	23	we'll just need to do a little bit more
24	THE CHAIRMAN: She can't hear you.	24	investigation into, just to understand fully
25	MR. WELLS: Public Works improvement	25	what that could entail.
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
	138		140
1	projects. This was submitted on behalf of the	1	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So I have
2	projects. This was submitted on behalf of the Department. There's a slew of different	2	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So I have I've been in conversations with this body where
2 3	projects. This was submitted on behalf of the Department. There's a slew of different projects moving forward throughout the	2 3	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So I have I've been in conversations with this body where the specific style of benches and bus shelters
2 3 4	projects. This was submitted on behalf of the Department. There's a slew of different projects moving forward throughout the districts, mainly this is just for hardscape,	2 3 4	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So I have I've been in conversations with this body where the specific style of benches and bus shelters and those type of things had to be approved,
2 3 4 5	projects. This was submitted on behalf of the Department. There's a slew of different projects moving forward throughout the districts, mainly this is just for hardscape, paver and sidewalk repairs. Final action	2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So I have I've been in conversations with this body where the specific style of benches and bus shelters and those type of things had to be approved, right? And I think that's why we have kind of
2 3 4 5 6	projects. This was submitted on behalf of the Department. There's a slew of different projects moving forward throughout the districts, mainly this is just for hardscape, paver and sidewalk repairs. Final action plan to take place by June of next year.	2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So I have I've been in conversations with this body where the specific style of benches and bus shelters and those type of things had to be approved, right? And I think that's why we have kind of the, more or less, uniform look in the historic
2 3 4 5 6 7	projects. This was submitted on behalf of the Department. There's a slew of different projects moving forward throughout the districts, mainly this is just for hardscape, paver and sidewalk repairs. Final action plan to take place by June of next year. THE CHAIRMAN: Is that everything?	2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So I have I've been in conversations with this body where the specific style of benches and bus shelters and those type of things had to be approved, right? And I think that's why we have kind of the, more or less, uniform look in the historic district.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	projects. This was submitted on behalf of the Department. There's a slew of different projects moving forward throughout the districts, mainly this is just for hardscape, paver and sidewalk repairs. Final action plan to take place by June of next year. THE CHAIRMAN: Is that everything? MR. WELLS: (Off microphone.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So I have I've been in conversations with this body where the specific style of benches and bus shelters and those type of things had to be approved, right? And I think that's why we have kind of the, more or less, uniform look in the historic district. So there was a new bus bench installed at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	projects. This was submitted on behalf of the Department. There's a slew of different projects moving forward throughout the districts, mainly this is just for hardscape, paver and sidewalk repairs. Final action plan to take place by June of next year. THE CHAIRMAN: Is that everything? MR. WELLS: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anything anyone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So I have I've been in conversations with this body where the specific style of benches and bus shelters and those type of things had to be approved, right? And I think that's why we have kind of the, more or less, uniform look in the historic district. So there was a new bus bench installed at 8th and Pearl, and it doesn't look like any of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	projects. This was submitted on behalf of the Department. There's a slew of different projects moving forward throughout the districts, mainly this is just for hardscape, paver and sidewalk repairs. Final action plan to take place by June of next year. THE CHAIRMAN: Is that everything? MR. WELLS: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anything anyone else wants to speak about?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So I have I've been in conversations with this body where the specific style of benches and bus shelters and those type of things had to be approved, right? And I think that's why we have kind of the, more or less, uniform look in the historic district. So there was a new bus bench installed at 8th and Pearl, and it doesn't look like any of the others anywhere. So I just wanted some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	projects. This was submitted on behalf of the Department. There's a slew of different projects moving forward throughout the districts, mainly this is just for hardscape, paver and sidewalk repairs. Final action plan to take place by June of next year. THE CHAIRMAN: Is that everything? MR. WELLS: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anything anyone else wants to speak about? Commissioner Hoff.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So I have I've been in conversations with this body where the specific style of benches and bus shelters and those type of things had to be approved, right? And I think that's why we have kind of the, more or less, uniform look in the historic district. So there was a new bus bench installed at 8th and Pearl, and it doesn't look like any of the others anywhere. So I just wanted some clarification. You're saying that that should
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	projects. This was submitted on behalf of the Department. There's a slew of different projects moving forward throughout the districts, mainly this is just for hardscape, paver and sidewalk repairs. Final action plan to take place by June of next year. THE CHAIRMAN: Is that everything? MR. WELLS: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anything anyone else wants to speak about?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So I have I've been in conversations with this body where the specific style of benches and bus shelters and those type of things had to be approved, right? And I think that's why we have kind of the, more or less, uniform look in the historic district. So there was a new bus bench installed at 8th and Pearl, and it doesn't look like any of the others anywhere. So I just wanted some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	projects. This was submitted on behalf of the Department. There's a slew of different projects moving forward throughout the districts, mainly this is just for hardscape, paver and sidewalk repairs. Final action plan to take place by June of next year. THE CHAIRMAN: Is that everything? MR. WELLS: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anything anyone else wants to speak about? Commissioner Hoff. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So I have I've been in conversations with this body where the specific style of benches and bus shelters and those type of things had to be approved, right? And I think that's why we have kind of the, more or less, uniform look in the historic district. So there was a new bus bench installed at 8th and Pearl, and it doesn't look like any of the others anywhere. So I just wanted some clarification. You're saying that that should have gone through your office or not or what?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	projects. This was submitted on behalf of the Department. There's a slew of different projects moving forward throughout the districts, mainly this is just for hardscape, paver and sidewalk repairs. Final action plan to take place by June of next year. THE CHAIRMAN: Is that everything? MR. WELLS: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anything anyone else wants to speak about? Commissioner Hoff. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. So as usual, I have a few things just real	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So I have I've been in conversations with this body where the specific style of benches and bus shelters and those type of things had to be approved, right? And I think that's why we have kind of the, more or less, uniform look in the historic district. So there was a new bus bench installed at 8th and Pearl, and it doesn't look like any of the others anywhere. So I just wanted some clarification. You're saying that that should have gone through your office or not or what? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	projects. This was submitted on behalf of the Department. There's a slew of different projects moving forward throughout the districts, mainly this is just for hardscape, paver and sidewalk repairs. Final action plan to take place by June of next year. THE CHAIRMAN: Is that everything? MR. WELLS: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anything anyone else wants to speak about? Commissioner Hoff. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. So as usual, I have a few things just real fast, two of which I've already had a brief communication with Mr. Wells about. Number one, I wanted to follow up to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So I have I've been in conversations with this body where the specific style of benches and bus shelters and those type of things had to be approved, right? And I think that's why we have kind of the, more or less, uniform look in the historic district. So there was a new bus bench installed at 8th and Pearl, and it doesn't look like any of the others anywhere. So I just wanted some clarification. You're saying that that should have gone through your office or not or what? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, I'm not saying that it shouldn't go through our office. The Ordinance Code explicitly states that three designs shall
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	projects. This was submitted on behalf of the Department. There's a slew of different projects moving forward throughout the districts, mainly this is just for hardscape, paver and sidewalk repairs. Final action plan to take place by June of next year. THE CHAIRMAN: Is that everything? MR. WELLS: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anything anyone else wants to speak about? Commissioner Hoff. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. So as usual, I have a few things just real fast, two of which I've already had a brief communication with Mr. Wells about. Number one, I wanted to follow up to see I think last month I mentioned that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So I have I've been in conversations with this body where the specific style of benches and bus shelters and those type of things had to be approved, right? And I think that's why we have kind of the, more or less, uniform look in the historic district. So there was a new bus bench installed at 8th and Pearl, and it doesn't look like any of the others anywhere. So I just wanted some clarification. You're saying that that should have gone through your office or not or what? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, I'm not saying that it shouldn't go through our office. The Ordinance Code explicitly states that three designs shall be presented to the Commission for their review
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	projects. This was submitted on behalf of the Department. There's a slew of different projects moving forward throughout the districts, mainly this is just for hardscape, paver and sidewalk repairs. Final action plan to take place by June of next year. THE CHAIRMAN: Is that everything? MR. WELLS: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anything anyone else wants to speak about? Commissioner Hoff. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. So as usual, I have a few things just real fast, two of which I've already had a brief communication with Mr. Wells about. Number one, I wanted to follow up to see I think last month I mentioned that there was a new bus stop that was installed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So I have I've been in conversations with this body where the specific style of benches and bus shelters and those type of things had to be approved, right? And I think that's why we have kind of the, more or less, uniform look in the historic district. So there was a new bus bench installed at 8th and Pearl, and it doesn't look like any of the others anywhere. So I just wanted some clarification. You're saying that that should have gone through your office or not or what? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, I'm not saying that it shouldn't go through our office. The Ordinance Code explicitly states that three designs shall be presented to the Commission for their review and approval. I'm just unaware of any design
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	projects. This was submitted on behalf of the Department. There's a slew of different projects moving forward throughout the districts, mainly this is just for hardscape, paver and sidewalk repairs. Final action plan to take place by June of next year. THE CHAIRMAN: Is that everything? MR. WELLS: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anything anyone else wants to speak about? Commissioner Hoff. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. So as usual, I have a few things just real fast, two of which I've already had a brief communication with Mr. Wells about. Number one, I wanted to follow up to see I think last month I mentioned that there was a new bus stop that was installed that didn't go through this body and it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So I have I've been in conversations with this body where the specific style of benches and bus shelters and those type of things had to be approved, right? And I think that's why we have kind of the, more or less, uniform look in the historic district. So there was a new bus bench installed at 8th and Pearl, and it doesn't look like any of the others anywhere. So I just wanted some clarification. You're saying that that should have gone through your office or not or what? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, I'm not saying that it shouldn't go through our office. The Ordinance Code explicitly states that three designs shall be presented to the Commission for their review and approval. I'm just unaware of any design guidelines that stipulates the design of it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	projects. This was submitted on behalf of the Department. There's a slew of different projects moving forward throughout the districts, mainly this is just for hardscape, paver and sidewalk repairs. Final action plan to take place by June of next year. THE CHAIRMAN: Is that everything? MR. WELLS: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anything anyone else wants to speak about? Commissioner Hoff. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. So as usual, I have a few things just real fast, two of which I've already had a brief communication with Mr. Wells about. Number one, I wanted to follow up to see I think last month I mentioned that there was a new bus stop that was installed that didn't go through this body and it was supposed to.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So I have I've been in conversations with this body where the specific style of benches and bus shelters and those type of things had to be approved, right? And I think that's why we have kind of the, more or less, uniform look in the historic district. So there was a new bus bench installed at 8th and Pearl, and it doesn't look like any of the others anywhere. So I just wanted some clarification. You're saying that that should have gone through your office or not or what? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, I'm not saying that it shouldn't go through our office. The Ordinance Code explicitly states that three designs shall be presented to the Commission for their review and approval. I'm just unaware of any design guidelines that stipulates the design of it. MS. LOPERA: Sorry. Through the Chair to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	projects. This was submitted on behalf of the Department. There's a slew of different projects moving forward throughout the districts, mainly this is just for hardscape, paver and sidewalk repairs. Final action plan to take place by June of next year. THE CHAIRMAN: Is that everything? MR. WELLS: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anything anyone else wants to speak about? Commissioner Hoff. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. So as usual, I have a few things just real fast, two of which I've already had a brief communication with Mr. Wells about. Number one, I wanted to follow up to see I think last month I mentioned that there was a new bus stop that was installed that didn't go through this body and it was supposed to. Since that time, JTA well, since that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So I have I've been in conversations with this body where the specific style of benches and bus shelters and those type of things had to be approved, right? And I think that's why we have kind of the, more or less, uniform look in the historic district. So there was a new bus bench installed at 8th and Pearl, and it doesn't look like any of the others anywhere. So I just wanted some clarification. You're saying that that should have gone through your office or not or what? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, I'm not saying that it shouldn't go through our office. The Ordinance Code explicitly states that three designs shall be presented to the Commission for their review and approval. I'm just unaware of any design guidelines that stipulates the design of it. MS. LOPERA: Sorry. Through the Chair to the Commission, so let me just, real quick,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	projects. This was submitted on behalf of the Department. There's a slew of different projects moving forward throughout the districts, mainly this is just for hardscape, paver and sidewalk repairs. Final action plan to take place by June of next year. THE CHAIRMAN: Is that everything? MR. WELLS: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anything anyone else wants to speak about? Commissioner Hoff. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. So as usual, I have a few things just real fast, two of which I've already had a brief communication with Mr. Wells about. Number one, I wanted to follow up to see I think last month I mentioned that there was a new bus stop that was installed that didn't go through this body and it was supposed to. Since that time, JTA well, since that time, another piece of public infrastructure	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So I have I've been in conversations with this body where the specific style of benches and bus shelters and those type of things had to be approved, right? And I think that's why we have kind of the, more or less, uniform look in the historic district. So there was a new bus bench installed at 8th and Pearl, and it doesn't look like any of the others anywhere. So I just wanted some clarification. You're saying that that should have gone through your office or not or what? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, I'm not saying that it shouldn't go through our office. The Ordinance Code explicitly states that three designs shall be presented to the Commission for their review and approval. I'm just unaware of any design guidelines that stipulates the design of it. MS. LOPERA: Sorry. Through the Chair to the Commission, so let me just, real quick, briefly tell you that "the Historic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	projects. This was submitted on behalf of the Department. There's a slew of different projects moving forward throughout the districts, mainly this is just for hardscape, paver and sidewalk repairs. Final action plan to take place by June of next year. THE CHAIRMAN: Is that everything? MR. WELLS: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anything anyone else wants to speak about? Commissioner Hoff. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. So as usual, I have a few things just real fast, two of which I've already had a brief communication with Mr. Wells about. Number one, I wanted to follow up to see I think last month I mentioned that there was a new bus stop that was installed that didn't go through this body and it was supposed to. Since that time, JTA well, since that time, another piece of public infrastructure I think a bus bench was installed as well in a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So I have I've been in conversations with this body where the specific style of benches and bus shelters and those type of things had to be approved, right? And I think that's why we have kind of the, more or less, uniform look in the historic district. So there was a new bus bench installed at 8th and Pearl, and it doesn't look like any of the others anywhere. So I just wanted some clarification. You're saying that that should have gone through your office or not or what? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, I'm not saying that it shouldn't go through our office. The Ordinance Code explicitly states that three designs shall be presented to the Commission for their review and approval. I'm just unaware of any design guidelines that stipulates the design of it. MS. LOPERA: Sorry. Through the Chair to the Commission, so let me just, real quick, briefly tell you that "the Historic Preservation Commission shall review and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	projects. This was submitted on behalf of the Department. There's a slew of different projects moving forward throughout the districts, mainly this is just for hardscape, paver and sidewalk repairs. Final action plan to take place by June of next year. THE CHAIRMAN: Is that everything? MR. WELLS: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anything anyone else wants to speak about? Commissioner Hoff. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. So as usual, I have a few things just real fast, two of which I've already had a brief communication with Mr. Wells about. Number one, I wanted to follow up to see I think last month I mentioned that there was a new bus stop that was installed that didn't go through this body and it was supposed to. Since that time, JTA well, since that time, another piece of public infrastructure I think a bus bench was installed as well in a historic district, which I do not believe is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So I have I've been in conversations with this body where the specific style of benches and bus shelters and those type of things had to be approved, right? And I think that's why we have kind of the, more or less, uniform look in the historic district. So there was a new bus bench installed at 8th and Pearl, and it doesn't look like any of the others anywhere. So I just wanted some clarification. You're saying that that should have gone through your office or not or what? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, I'm not saying that it shouldn't go through our office. The Ordinance Code explicitly states that three designs shall be presented to the Commission for their review and approval. I'm just unaware of any design guidelines that stipulates the design of it. MS. LOPERA: Sorry. Through the Chair to the Commission, so let me just, real quick, briefly tell you that "the Historic Preservation Commission shall review and approve the transit shelter design type for any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23	projects. This was submitted on behalf of the Department. There's a slew of different projects moving forward throughout the districts, mainly this is just for hardscape, paver and sidewalk repairs. Final action plan to take place by June of next year. THE CHAIRMAN: Is that everything? MR. WELLS: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anything anyone else wants to speak about? Commissioner Hoff. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. So as usual, I have a few things just real fast, two of which I've already had a brief communication with Mr. Wells about. Number one, I wanted to follow up to see I think last month I mentioned that there was a new bus stop that was installed that didn't go through this body and it was supposed to. Since that time, JTA well, since that time, another piece of public infrastructure I think a bus bench was installed as well in a historic district, which I do not believe is one of the approved styles, so I just wanted to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So I have I've been in conversations with this body where the specific style of benches and bus shelters and those type of things had to be approved, right? And I think that's why we have kind of the, more or less, uniform look in the historic district. So there was a new bus bench installed at 8th and Pearl, and it doesn't look like any of the others anywhere. So I just wanted some clarification. You're saying that that should have gone through your office or not or what? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, I'm not saying that it shouldn't go through our office. The Ordinance Code explicitly states that three designs shall be presented to the Commission for their review and approval. I'm just unaware of any design guidelines that stipulates the design of it. MS. LOPERA: Sorry. Through the Chair to the Commission, so let me just, real quick, briefly tell you that "the Historic Preservation Commission shall review and approve the transit shelter design type for any locally or nationally designated historic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	projects. This was submitted on behalf of the Department. There's a slew of different projects moving forward throughout the districts, mainly this is just for hardscape, paver and sidewalk repairs. Final action plan to take place by June of next year. THE CHAIRMAN: Is that everything? MR. WELLS: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anything anyone else wants to speak about? Commissioner Hoff. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. So as usual, I have a few things just real fast, two of which I've already had a brief communication with Mr. Wells about. Number one, I wanted to follow up to see I think last month I mentioned that there was a new bus stop that was installed that didn't go through this body and it was supposed to. Since that time, JTA well, since that time, another piece of public infrastructure I think a bus bench was installed as well in a historic district, which I do not believe is one of the approved styles, so I just wanted to Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So I have I've been in conversations with this body where the specific style of benches and bus shelters and those type of things had to be approved, right? And I think that's why we have kind of the, more or less, uniform look in the historic district. So there was a new bus bench installed at 8th and Pearl, and it doesn't look like any of the others anywhere. So I just wanted some clarification. You're saying that that should have gone through your office or not or what? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, I'm not saying that it shouldn't go through our office. The Ordinance Code explicitly states that three designs shall be presented to the Commission for their review and approval. I'm just unaware of any design guidelines that stipulates the design of it. MS. LOPERA: Sorry. Through the Chair to the Commission, so let me just, real quick, briefly tell you that "the Historic Preservation Commission shall review and approve the transit shelter design type for any locally or nationally designated historic Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	projects. This was submitted on behalf of the Department. There's a slew of different projects moving forward throughout the districts, mainly this is just for hardscape, paver and sidewalk repairs. Final action plan to take place by June of next year. THE CHAIRMAN: Is that everything? MR. WELLS: (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anything anyone else wants to speak about? Commissioner Hoff. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Thank you. So as usual, I have a few things just real fast, two of which I've already had a brief communication with Mr. Wells about. Number one, I wanted to follow up to see I think last month I mentioned that there was a new bus stop that was installed that didn't go through this body and it was supposed to. Since that time, JTA well, since that time, another piece of public infrastructure I think a bus bench was installed as well in a historic district, which I do not believe is one of the approved styles, so I just wanted to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Okay. So I have I've been in conversations with this body where the specific style of benches and bus shelters and those type of things had to be approved, right? And I think that's why we have kind of the, more or less, uniform look in the historic district. So there was a new bus bench installed at 8th and Pearl, and it doesn't look like any of the others anywhere. So I just wanted some clarification. You're saying that that should have gone through your office or not or what? MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, I'm not saying that it shouldn't go through our office. The Ordinance Code explicitly states that three designs shall be presented to the Commission for their review and approval. I'm just unaware of any design guidelines that stipulates the design of it. MS. LOPERA: Sorry. Through the Chair to the Commission, so let me just, real quick, briefly tell you that "the Historic Preservation Commission shall review and approve the transit shelter design type for any locally or nationally designated historic

	f Jacksonville ic Preservation Commission		December 11, 202 Uncertified Condensed Cop
113(01	141		143
1	district."	1	with anything else that's
2	That's in 307.	2	So anyway, that was just kind of my
3	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Right.	3	concern, that it seems like things are being
4	MS. LOPERA: So the distinction is for	4	installed into public infrastructure without
5	older districts, like Riverside and	5	kind of going through the proper channels
6	Springfield, they have already gone through	6	first.
7	that process when that requirement went	7	MS. LOPERA: Through the Chair to the
8	through, and there are approved transit shelter	8	Commission, I don't know that you all have the
9	designs.	9	authority to regulate benches and trash cans in
10	Commissioner Hoff, your I think it's	10	the historic districts, but we will certainly
11	worth looking into, whether that new shelter is	11	look into it.
12	one of the previously approved because they	12	You do have the authority to review
13	don't have to come before you every time they	13	transit shelter designs for the newly created
14	put one up. It just has to be one of the	14	Eastside Historic District, and we're working
15	designs a design that was previously	15	in with that.
16	approved by this body.	16	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Sure.
17	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Sure.	17	So just, traditionally, I know that both
18	And I'm the specific so I'm not	18	RAP and SPAR, the HPC did work with them to
19	talking about a bus shelter; I'm talking about	19	present okay, this, this, this. And they
20	a bench that was installed at a bus stop that	20	worked with, I guess, (microphone failure)
21	is not aligned with any of the other designs in	21	Public Works
22	the historic districts.	22	THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you.
23	THE CHAIRMAN: I mean, perhaps this is a	23	COMMISSIONER HOFF: or whomever to fine
24	follow-up. You know, I'm certainly willing to	24	something that works for everyone. So that was
25	take a photograph of this and send it to	25	my concern about that.
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 3220
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
	142		144
1	Arimus, or you can	1	The second thing that Mr. Wells and I just
2	COMMISSIONER HOFF: I have already, yeah.	2	kind of briefly spoke about and he thought that
3	COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Can I ask a	3	it would be a good idea if I brought up here is
4	question?	4	the awards, you know, thing that may happen in
5	And I'm not sure if any of us know this.	5	the future.
6	When JTA hires someone to install those	6	Mr. Wells is working on that, and he
7	benches, is there a permit requirement? And on	7	wanted to kind of a get a brief conversation
8	that permit application, does it have the same	8	going on what type of assistance, if any, the
9	requirement to go through Historic, like a	9	Commission would lend. So I think things like,
10	building or a renovation permit?	10	you know, reviewing the nominations, making
11	It could be a just be a matter that the	11	nominations were the types of things that I
12	person installing it is not doing their due	12	think you were interested in kind of seeing if
13	diligence, just like all the things with the	13	there was an appetite for on this commission.
14	sidewalks and all the stuff we've been through	14	THE CHAIRMAN: I absolutely would not not

- 15 (microphone failure).16 COMMISSIONER HOFF: So just to be more
- 17 specific, I believe the -- I don't even know
- **18** where this falls under, but I believe the
- **19** blight office, coordinated by Mr. Ferraro,
- arranged for the bench and new trash can to beput at the bus stop to help reduce blight
- **22** complaints.

- 23 So I don't know if that's through JTA,
- through Public Works, through some other thing,but that was installed, and it doesn't align
- Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300 MadamCourtReporter.com

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

participate.

staff, to bring that.

assist.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: I'd be happy to

I think that, as far as finding the actual

attention, that we would rely on you a lot, on

I know that a lot of projects that have

awards didn't necessarily come to us because

been pretty good in the past and even won these

it's something that we may not know about. You

(904) 821-0300

MadamCourtReporter.com

Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203

nominations and bringing things to our

-	f Jacksonville ic Preservation Commission		December 11, 2024 Uncertified Condensed Copy
	145		147
1	know what I mean? Like, if there's	1	it becomes closer to the third or fourth phase.
2	recommendations that you could put forth.	2	I believe they're only in the first phase right
3	I won one of these awards years ago, and I worked through the federal government, so it	3 4	now. COMMISSIONER HOFF: Yeah. It was there
4 5	was just a quick sign-off, but they knew about	4 5	was a question, if this had to, you know, be
6	the project and were involved, and do you	6	approved by the Historic Preservation staff
7	see what I'm saying, though? But I never came	7	because some people didn't you know, had
8	to the Commission for the project.	8	thoughts about the design that was being
9	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Gotcha.	9	proposed.
10	THE CHAIRMAN: You know, it might be	10	So those are my three items.
11	helpful to develop just to develop some	11	THE CHAIRMAN: Thanks, Bill.
12	basic criteria (microphone failure) just one	12	Anybody else have anything they want to
13	award (microphone failure) for a	13	talk about?
14	THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you.	14	COMMISSIONER MORGAN: I'd just like to
15	THE CHAIRMAN: An award for a project or	15	piggyback a little bit on what Bill said, maybe
16	an award to a person or there just might be	16	as a general question. But it's an interesting
17	some criteria that's developed, and then we can	17	thought, if we're not reviewing benches, would
18 19	search for potential nominees or search for nominees and then maybe vote on those, right?	18 19	we be reviewing public infrastructure? I don't know, because I know that
20	COMMISSIONER HOFF: Yeah. I'm, obviously,	20	there's I'm actually working on the urban
21	you know, willing to review or nominate or, you	20	leg in downtown, and there's already, like,
22	know, research too.	22	branding everything is already guidelined,
23	And then the last thing was, I attended	23	so I don't know what we'd be looking at or
24	the public community meeting with Groundwork	24	reviewing.
25	Jacksonville and Riverside last week about the	25	THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. I think, you know,
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com		MadamCourtReporter.com
	146		148
1	Emerald Trail, and they had a very robust and passionate conversation there about the Trail	1	for me, those are those are nonhistoric
2	and how it would engage with the Riverside	2	things. And part of what I like about our historic community is there are very old things
4	community, and they revealed some of their	4	in them and there are very new things in them,
5	preliminary designs.	5	and I like being able to tell the difference.
6	So I wanted to know if the Historic	6	So I'm not sure how I, you know, really
7	Preservation staff was involved in, I guess,	7	feel about stuff like that. Like, I love the
8	reviewing any of those designs or if that would	8	things that are happening with the Emerald
9	come at a later date once they're further along	9	
10	······································	-	Trail and the all the stuff being proposed,
	or or what.	10	so I don't know.
11	or or what. MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to	11	so I don't know. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It would be
12	or or what. MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, we have reviewed some	11 12	so I don't know. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It would be interesting.
12 13	or or what. MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, we have reviewed some conceptual designs. It's (microphone	11 12 13	so I don't know. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It would be interesting. THE CHAIRMAN: Anyone else?
12 13 14	or or what. MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, we have reviewed some conceptual designs. It's (microphone failure)	11 12 13 14	so I don't know. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It would be interesting. THE CHAIRMAN: Anyone else? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
12 13 14 15	or or what. MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, we have reviewed some conceptual designs. It's (microphone failure) THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you.	11 12 13 14 15	so I don't know. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It would be interesting. THE CHAIRMAN: Anyone else? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Well, hey, this is our
12 13 14 15 16	or or what. MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, we have reviewed some conceptual designs. It's (microphone failure) THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MR. WELLS: We have reviewed some	11 12 13 14 15 16	so I don't know. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It would be interesting. THE CHAIRMAN: Anyone else? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Well, hey, this is our this is our last meeting of the year, so I
12 13 14 15	or or what. MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, we have reviewed some conceptual designs. It's (microphone failure) THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you.	11 12 13 14 15	so I don't know. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It would be interesting. THE CHAIRMAN: Anyone else? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Well, hey, this is our
12 13 14 15 16 17	or or what. MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, we have reviewed some conceptual designs. It's (microphone failure) THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MR. WELLS: We have reviewed some conceptual designs.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	so I don't know. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It would be interesting. THE CHAIRMAN: Anyone else? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Well, hey, this is our this is our last meeting of the year, so I would just like to say thank you to everyone.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	or or what. MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, we have reviewed some conceptual designs. It's (microphone failure) THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MR. WELLS: We have reviewed some conceptual designs. In terms of whether or not it would come through us, yes, through some form of a COA or some just type because it spans multiple	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	so I don't know. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It would be interesting. THE CHAIRMAN: Anyone else? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Well, hey, this is our this is our last meeting of the year, so I would just like to say thank you to everyone. It's been a great year on the Commission. I've seen the you know, I continually give props to (microphone failure) but the
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	or or what. MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, we have reviewed some conceptual designs. It's (microphone failure) THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MR. WELLS: We have reviewed some conceptual designs. In terms of whether or not it would come through us, yes, through some form of a COA or some just type because it spans multiple parcels, so that's the challenge in getting us,	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	so I don't know. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It would be interesting. THE CHAIRMAN: Anyone else? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Well, hey, this is our this is our last meeting of the year, so I would just like to say thank you to everyone. It's been a great year on the Commission. I've seen the you know, I continually give props to (microphone failure) but the staff Arimus, the staff, Brittany, Caleb
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	or or what. MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, we have reviewed some conceptual designs. It's (microphone failure) THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MR. WELLS: We have reviewed some conceptual designs. In terms of whether or not it would come through us, yes, through some form of a COA or some just type because it spans multiple parcels, so that's the challenge in getting us, you know, an application before you all.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	so I don't know. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It would be interesting. THE CHAIRMAN: Anyone else? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Well, hey, this is our this is our last meeting of the year, so I would just like to say thank you to everyone. It's been a great year on the Commission. I've seen the you know, I continually give props to (microphone failure) but the staff Arimus, the staff, Brittany, Caleb now, every month, they're I love seeing that
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	or or what. MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, we have reviewed some conceptual designs. It's (microphone failure) THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MR. WELLS: We have reviewed some conceptual designs. In terms of whether or not it would come through us, yes, through some form of a COA or some just type because it spans multiple parcels, so that's the challenge in getting us, you know, an application before you all. But in terms of having the Commission	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	so I don't know. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It would be interesting. THE CHAIRMAN: Anyone else? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Well, hey, this is our this is our last meeting of the year, so I would just like to say thank you to everyone. It's been a great year on the Commission. I've seen the you know, I continually give props to (microphone failure) but the staff Arimus, the staff, Brittany, Caleb now, every month, they're I love seeing that things have been worked out with the
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	or or what. MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, we have reviewed some conceptual designs. It's (microphone failure) THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MR. WELLS: We have reviewed some conceptual designs. In terms of whether or not it would come through us, yes, through some form of a COA or some just type because it spans multiple parcels, so that's the challenge in getting us, you know, an application before you all. But in terms of having the Commission review an approval, I that's something that	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	so I don't know. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It would be interesting. THE CHAIRMAN: Anyone else? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Well, hey, this is our this is our last meeting of the year, so I would just like to say thank you to everyone. It's been a great year on the Commission. I've seen the you know, I continually give props to (microphone failure) but the staff Arimus, the staff, Brittany, Caleb now, every month, they're I love seeing that things have been worked out with the applicants, with the consent agenda.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	or or what. MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, we have reviewed some conceptual designs. It's (microphone failure) THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MR. WELLS: We have reviewed some conceptual designs. In terms of whether or not it would come through us, yes, through some form of a COA or some just type because it spans multiple parcels, so that's the challenge in getting us, you know, an application before you all. But in terms of having the Commission review an approval, I that's something that we'll need to hash out a little bit more once	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	so I don't know. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It would be interesting. THE CHAIRMAN: Anyone else? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Well, hey, this is our this is our last meeting of the year, so I would just like to say thank you to everyone. It's been a great year on the Commission. I've seen the you know, I continually give props to (microphone failure) but the staff Arimus, the staff, Brittany, Caleb now, every month, they're I love seeing that things have been worked out with the applicants, with the consent agenda. Everything on the consent agenda doesn't
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	or or what. MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, we have reviewed some conceptual designs. It's (microphone failure) THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MR. WELLS: We have reviewed some conceptual designs. In terms of whether or not it would come through us, yes, through some form of a COA or some just type because it spans multiple parcels, so that's the challenge in getting us, you know, an application before you all. But in terms of having the Commission review an approval, I that's something that we'll need to hash out a little bit more once Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	so I don't know. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It would be interesting. THE CHAIRMAN: Anyone else? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Well, hey, this is our this is our last meeting of the year, so I would just like to say thank you to everyone. It's been a great year on the Commission. I've seen the you know, I continually give props to (microphone failure) but the staff Arimus, the staff, Brittany, Caleb now, every month, they're I love seeing that things have been worked out with the applicants, with the consent agenda. Everything on the consent agenda doesn't Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	or or what. MR. WELLS: Through the Chair to Commissioner Hoff, we have reviewed some conceptual designs. It's (microphone failure) THE REPORTER: I cannot hear you. MR. WELLS: We have reviewed some conceptual designs. In terms of whether or not it would come through us, yes, through some form of a COA or some just type because it spans multiple parcels, so that's the challenge in getting us, you know, an application before you all. But in terms of having the Commission review an approval, I that's something that we'll need to hash out a little bit more once	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	so I don't know. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It would be interesting. THE CHAIRMAN: Anyone else? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Well, hey, this is our this is our last meeting of the year, so I would just like to say thank you to everyone. It's been a great year on the Commission. I've seen the you know, I continually give props to (microphone failure) but the staff Arimus, the staff, Brittany, Caleb now, every month, they're I love seeing that things have been worked out with the applicants, with the consent agenda. Everything on the consent agenda doesn't

	ic Preservation Commission
4	149
1	necessarily stay there, but I really respect
2	the effort of staff to try to work things out
3	with the members of the community as applicants
4	and to move projects along and be facilitators
5	for our community.
6	And, Diane, thanks for putting up with our
7	quiet talking.
8	Thanks, everybody.
9	Is that it?
10	MR. WELLS: To the through the Chair,
11	sorry, to the Commission, just a reminder here.
12	Just gently just a reminder that we'll be
13	starting to meet at 1:00 p.m. next year, so
14	THE CHAIRMAN: Great.
15	But that doesn't mean that they still have
16	to go until 10 minutes to 6:00.
17	I hope everyone has a happy holiday and a
18 10	good New Year.
19	Meeting adjourned.
20	(The foregoing proceedings were adjourned
21	at 5:50 p.m.)
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300
	MadamCourtReporter.com
	150
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
-	
1 2	
-	
2 3	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA))
2 3 4	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2 3 4 5	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA))
2 3 4 5 6	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA)) COUNTY OF DUVAL)
2 3 4 5 6 7	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA))
2 3 4 5 6	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA)) COUNTY OF DUVAL) I, Diane M. Tropia, Florida Professional
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA)) COUNTY OF DUVAL) I, Diane M. Tropia, Florida Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA)) COUNTY OF DUVAL) I, Diane M. Tropia, Florida Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA)) COUNTY OF DUVAL) I, Diane M. Tropia, Florida Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA)) COUNTY OF DUVAL) I, Diane M. Tropia, Florida Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA)) COUNTY OF DUVAL) I, Diane M. Tropia, Florida Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA)) COUNTY OF DUVAL) I, Diane M. Tropia, Florida Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA)) COUNTY OF DUVAL) I, Diane M. Tropia, Florida Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA)) COUNTY OF DUVAL) I, Diane M. Tropia, Florida Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. DATED this 2nd day of January 2025. Diane M. Tropia
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 16 17 18	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA)) COUNTY OF DUVAL) I, Diane M. Tropia, Florida Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. DATED this 2nd day of January 2025.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA)) COUNTY OF DUVAL) I, Diane M. Tropia, Florida Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. DATED this 2nd day of January 2025. Diane M. Tropia
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA)) COUNTY OF DUVAL) I, Diane M. Tropia, Florida Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. DATED this 2nd day of January 2025. Diane M. Tropia
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7 18 19 20 21	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA)) COUNTY OF DUVAL) I, Diane M. Tropia, Florida Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. DATED this 2nd day of January 2025. Diane M. Tropia
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA)) COUNTY OF DUVAL) I, Diane M. Tropia, Florida Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. DATED this 2nd day of January 2025. Diane M. Tropia
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7 8 9 20 21 22 23	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA)) COUNTY OF DUVAL) I, Diane M. Tropia, Florida Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. DATED this 2nd day of January 2025. Diane M. Tropia
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7 8 9 20 21 22 23 24	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA)) COUNTY OF DUVAL) I, Diane M. Tropia, Florida Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. DATED this 2nd day of January 2025. Diane M. Tropia
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7 8 9 20 21 22 23	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA)) COUNTY OF DUVAL) I, Diane M. Tropia, Florida Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. DATED this 2nd day of January 2025. Diane M. Tropia Florida Professional Reporter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7 8 9 20 21 22 23 24	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA)) COUNTY OF DUVAL) I, Diane M. Tropia, Florida Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. DATED this 2nd day of January 2025. Diane M. Tropia Florida Professional Reporter Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7 8 9 20 21 22 23 24	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA)) COUNTY OF DUVAL) I, Diane M. Tropia, Florida Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. DATED this 2nd day of January 2025. Diane M. Tropia Florida Professional Reporter

\$	2	3747 [7] - 91:21, 92:4,	9	66:13
		93:11, 97:11,		address [15] - 3:23,
\$2,500 [1] - 106:10	2 [7] - 41:24, 42:4,	119:14, 134:21,	9 [1] - 44:1	12:10, 20:19, 20:22,
\$50,000 [1] - 103:19	43:4, 66:24, 87:7,	135:7		31:15, 39:4, 39:6,
\$65,000 [1] - 108:21	87:9, 88:9	3:00 [3] - 1:7, 2:1, 2:6	A	46:3, 49:19, 67:15,
	2,907-square-foot [1]	3D [1] - 26:10	able way E446	113:17, 130:20,
•	- 16:22		able [10] - 54:6,	131:22, 132:1
105 m 69:10	2-by-2 [1] - 22:13	4	101:23, 117:4, 120:7, 120:21,	addressed [2] - 51:23, 139:2
'85 [1] - 68:10	20 [2] - 43:20, 118:18	4 [3] - 74:10, 84:11,	120.7, 120.21, 121:19, 124:4,	addresses [3] - 40:19,
0	2000s [1] - 56:11	4 [3] - 74.10, 84.11, 87:10	125:14, 126:23,	106:21, 118:19
0	2017 [2] - 76:5, 89:3	4-inch [7] - 21:21,	148:5	adjourned [2] -
0880 [1] - 137:19	2019 [3] - 92:7, 97:9,	24:25, 26:2, 26:17,	absolutely [2] -	149:19, 149:20
	99:17	27:9, 29:16, 38:19	103:18, 144:14	administrative [1] -
1	2020-30 [1] - 104:4	40 [1] - 109:6	abuts [1] - 43:17	45:4
	2022 [6] - 93:9, 93:11, 97:12, 105:22,	41 [1] - 43:22	accent [1] - 26:1	advantage [1] - 95:14
1 [10] - 5:8, 40:16,	97.12, 105.22, 105:24, 106:10		accents [1] - 53:13	affidavit [1] - 109:11
41:18, 104:12,	2024 [10] - 1:6, 2:1,	5	acceptable [1] - 70:2	affirm [6] - 12:16,
110:25, 111:1,	2:6, 4:24, 5:4, 39:2,	v	access [2] - 50:14,	21:2, 46:9, 49:25,
111:3, 116:7, 128:3,	40:17, 42:2, 42:5,	5 [2] - 68:18, 121:2	77:25	67:23, 103:11
128:13	40.17, 42.2, 42.3, 118:21	50 [1] - 105:1	accessory [2] - 65:8,	afternoon [2] - 20:21,
1-over-1 [9] - 16:24,	2024-0884 [1] - 137:19	5985 [1] - 103:2	76:3	46:15
17:15, 17:21, 18:21,	2025 [4] - 45:8,	5:50 [1] - 149:21	accordance [3] -	age [3] - 56:8, 123:9,
20:5, 20:9, 30:14,	136:25, 137:4,	5th [13] - 16:1, 16:5,	62:14, 92:11, 139:10	123:12
30:19, 31:11	150:15	16:13, 25:1, 29:13,	according [3] - 66:7,	agenda [13] - 5:7,
1-over-1s [1] - 32:17	20th [1] - 11:6	42:25, 63:24, 64:3,	68:15, 93:6	6:15, 6:16, 7:6, 7:11,
10 [2] - 116:14, 149:16	21 [2] - 125:24, 131:1	69:3, 74:9, 90:14,	accurate [1] - 44:15	7:20, 7:22, 8:3,
10-set [1] - 104:17	21-day [1] - 126:10	139:9	achieve [1] - 37:5	15:25, 136:25,
1002 [1] - 1:8	2131 [3] - 5:11, 5:18,	5V [1] - 65:6	achieved [1] - 97:6	139:12, 148:24,
10th [3] - 39:13, 41:10,	6:9	5V-Crimp [1] - 65:6	action [4] - 137:9,	148:25
41:20	214 [1] - 1:8		137:22, 138:5,	aggression [1] -
11 [2] - 1:6, 2:1	2147 [1] - 7:1	6	139:13	129:15
11th [1] - 2:6	2351 [1] - 8:3	0 10 01 01 15	actions [1] - 89:18	ago [2] - 24:4, 145:3
12 [2] - 43:22, 43:25	236 [4] - 16:1, 16:13,	6 [6] - 18:21, 21:15,	active [3] - 116:12,	agree [18] - 14:19,
1239 [1] - 12:11	29:13, 42:25	40:18, 41:22, 71:17, 121:3	123:7, 123:13	31:16, 35:1, 35:9,
13 [1] - 29:25	24 [2] - 97:16, 98:8	6-foot [3] - 77:8,	activities [2] - 92:9,	37:8, 37:17, 60:21,
1300 [1] - 25:13	24-03 [1] - 6:12	77:13, 78:23	96:4	74:16, 75:19, 78:18,
1334 [1] - 20:23	247 [1] - 32:5	6-inch [7] - 21:20,	activity [1] - 97:17	78:19, 83:14, 91:11,
13th [2] - 4:24, 5:4	2799 [1] - 5:9	24:25, 26:3, 26:18,	actual [9] - 23:19,	121:24, 122:2,
14th [1] - 41:3	29 [6] - 8:24, 9:5,	27:10, 29:16, 38:20	39:18, 47:22, 52:15,	122:13, 122:21, 136:3
15 [1] - 24:4	10:23, 11:5, 11:23,	60 [1] - 125:1	58:19, 60:21,	agreeable [7] - 18:24,
157 [1] - 6:12 1608 [1] - 7:2	15:20	60-day [2] - 125:3,	120:15, 121:7,	61:19, 61:21, 63:7,
1616 [1] - 7.2 1616 [1] - 67:18	2nd [1] - 150:15	125:7	144:18 Adams [6] - 8:25, 9:5,	72:17, 104:8, 127:19
1678 [1] - 7:3	•	6:00 [1] - 149:16	Adams [6] - 8:25, 9:5, 10:23, 11:5, 11:23,	agreed [3] - 127:9,
18 [2] - 43:25, 118:17	3	6th [1] - 22:6	10.23, 11.5, 11.23, 15:20	127:12, 127:16
1879 [3] - 43:4, 43:9,	3 (6) 10.05 01.40		add [1] - 48:16	agreement [7] - 85:22,
46:4	3 [5] - 18:25, 21:13, 32:8 40:18 41:21	7	added [1] - 69:25	99:11, 123:21,
1880 [1] - 93:8	32:8, 40:18, 41:21 30 [1] - 116:14	-	adding [4] - 29:14,	126:14, 126:24,
1901 [1] - 10:8	30 [1] - 110.14 307 [1] - 141:2	7 [1] - 121:10	38:16, 60:23, 61:2	128:9, 132:4
1913 [2] - 66:8, 68:15	307 [1] - 141.2 307-E [1] - 104:4	7th [6] - 22:15, 39:2,	addition [7] - 64:5,	agreements [1] -
1915 [1] - 9:11	320.407 [1] - 92:11	39:20, 40:11, 40:17,	64:19, 65:15, 65:19,	123:23
1920 [1] - 97:5	321 [4] - 63:24, 64:3,	41:20	65:23, 97:3, 97:4	agrees [1] - 98:7
1960s [1] - 10:18	74:9, 90:14	-	additional [5] - 45:18,	ahead [1] - 26:24
	32206 [1] - 20:23	8	59:4, 65:19, 75:24,	aimed [1] - 129:20
			125:7	air [1] - 95:20
1961 [1] - 68:9 1965 [1] - 9:18		0 104.40		
1961 [1] - 68:9	324.07 [1] - 124:24	8 [1] - 121:16	additionally [5] - 3:6,	align [2] - 17:16,
1961 [1] - 68:9 1965 [1] - 9:18	324.07 [1] - 124:24 3318 [2] - 93:10, 97:11	8th [4] - 6:12, 42:2,	additionally [5] - 3:6, 17:3, 19:3, 78:9,	142:25
1961 [1] - 68:9 1965 [1] - 9:18	324.07 [1] - 124:24 3318 [2] - 93:10, 97:11 3550 [1] - 6:25			
1961 [1] - 68:9 1965 [1] - 9:18	324.07 [1] - 124:24 3318 [2] - 93:10, 97:11	8th [4] - 6:12, 42:2,	17:3, 19:3, 78:9,	142:25

Diane M'. Tropia", Inc'., Post' Office Box' 2375', Jacksonville", FL 32203 (904) 821-0300

	00000100001	107.1/ 100.7	07.17 00.7 04.00	Avenue T 5:40
alive [1] - 15:7	appearance [1] -	127:14, 128:7,	27:17, 28:7, 34:20,	Avenue [7] - 5:12,
all-brick [1] - 62:18	50:15	130:14, 133:11,	104:3, 127:10,	5:18, 6:9, 7:2, 7:3,
alley [4] - 69:13,	appeared [1] - 44:18	140:18, 146:24	142:1, 148:21	8:4, 12:12
69:14, 69:16, 77:24	appellate [2] - 127:3,	approve [24] - 4:23,	Arpen [20] - 91:21,	Avondale [2] - 43:12,
allow [3] - 87:7, 100:2,	131:20	5:16, 7:10, 11:22,	92:5, 92:20, 93:9,	60:19
122:16	appetite [1] - 144:13	14:4, 29:12, 38:10,	93:23, 94:11, 95:1,	award [3] - 145:13,
allowable [1] - 45:13	applicant [56] - 5:12,	38:15, 39:1, 42:17,	95:9, 95:19, 95:21, 06:25, 07:10, 104:5	145:15, 145:16
allowed [1] - 96:7	5:23, 6:17, 8:10,	45:11, 45:14, 74:9, 76:11, 82:4, 90:2,	96:25, 97:10, 104:5, 109:19, 119:14,	awards [3] - 144:4,
allowing [2] - 32:3,	8:15, 8:17, 12:5,	90:7, 119:12, 120:1,	127:13, 127:17,	144:24, 145:3
124:7	13:14, 18:5, 20:15,	120:7, 121:20,	128:3, 134:21, 135:7	aware [2] - 12:25,
almost [2] - 22:20,	29:2, 33:9, 36:8, 36:17, 37:3, 39:3,	134:25, 135:5,	arranged [1] - 142:20	109:20 awful [1] - 49:7
123:10	39:5, 39:13, 40:7,	140:24	ARSENAULT [11] -	aye [8] - 5:2, 7:16,
ALSO [1] - 1:16	44:3, 45:9, 45:24,	approved [37] - 5:5,	1:18, 2:24, 9:3,	15:16, 42:13, 42:21,
alter [1] - 50:8	52:8, 52:10, 53:9,	6:10, 7:20, 15:21,	16:11, 20:1, 64:2,	87:2, 88:24, 90:10
alteration [1] - 97:2	58:11, 63:22, 67:11,	25:2, 26:6, 36:11,	71:18, 71:25, 72:4,	Aye [4] - 6:5, 89:24,
alterations [2] - 96:14,	69:22, 70:22, 72:22,	38:17, 38:20, 43:1,	72:13, 72:17	134:17, 136:19
96:16 altered [3] - 11:6,	75:2, 76:17, 77:4,	56:20, 57:14, 58:3,	Arsenault [1] - 2:24	104.17, 100.10
68:9, 86:5	78:14, 80:12, 80:17,	58:7, 64:14, 76:1,	arts [1] - 80:10	В
aluminum [2] - 9:22,	89:5, 98:9, 101:17,	88:12, 89:14, 89:19,	Ashworth [1] - 66:15	D
11:2	101:21, 102:23,	90:14, 93:13, 96:23,	aspect [1] - 55:10	backyard [1] - 65:11
amend [12] - 38:25,	110:20, 113:23,	97:13, 104:17,	assessment [2] -	bad [2] - 111:21, 129:4
40:16, 41:17, 41:25,	115:7, 116:20,	106:1, 110:24,	122:22, 136:3	balances [1] - 75:9
40:10, 41:17, 41:23, 42:3, 73:13, 79:22,	117:22, 119:8,	128:12, 136:23,	assist [1] - 144:17	balconies [2] - 81:3,
82:16, 84:10, 86:21,	122:9, 127:10,	137:17, 137:19,	assistance [1] - 144:8	82:22
87:6	130:16, 130:23,	138:25, 139:16,	assisted [1] - 107:3	Ball [1] - 1:7
amended [8] - 42:16,	133:15, 133:18,	140:4, 141:8,	association [1] -	balustrades [1] - 70:1
42:18, 43:1, 79:6,	133:22, 136:10	141:12, 141:16,	10:10	band [4] - 26:19, 34:4,
80:4, 89:22, 90:3,	applicant's [5] -	147:6	assume [1] - 130:9	35:1, 49:12
90:15	18:16, 30:7, 38:21,	approving [2] - 55:20,	assuming [2] - 61:7,	banding [2] - 47:6,
amending [5] - 73:22,	66:22, 87:23	129:8	126:17	54:7
74:10, 81:19, 84:6	applicants [4] - 3:15,	apron [6] - 43:23,	assured [1] - 133:3	bands [1] - 61:1
amendment [8] - 42:9,	4:5, 148:24, 149:3	44:1, 47:20, 47:24,	Aterro [1] - 105:7	base [6] - 71:2, 71:13,
42:12, 42:14, 79:16,	application [20] -	48:3, 48:9	attached [2] - 24:22,	71:16, 77:19, 78:22,
80:4, 82:10, 89:15,	5:13, 5:17, 5:25,	archaeological [2] -	58:18	80:16
89:19	8:13, 10:1, 10:3,	10:6, 93:21	attend [1] - 7:25	based [16] - 4:3,
amendments [2] -	11:16, 11:18, 13:25,	architect [4] - 10:13,	attendance [1] - 8:18	11:19, 44:6, 45:8,
79:24, 84:3	14:24, 18:18, 29:8,	71:6, 90:22, 115:10	attended [1] - 145:23	51:16, 57:15, 62:7,
amount [1] - 55:14	51:8, 82:8, 89:6,	architects [3] - 13:1,	attention [2] - 77:5,	76:13, 92:19, 93:16,
analysis [3] - 17:6,	97:18, 99:1, 119:25,	15:6, 90:24	144:20	96:13, 113:8,
65:18, 92:19	142:8, 146:22	architectural [11] -	audience [2] - 3:4,	121:14, 129:12,
ankles [1] - 46:23	applications [1] -	9:9, 9:19, 10:6, 10:22, 10:24, 18:3	101:18	132:18
announce [1] - 4:8	135:17	10:22, 10:24, 18:3, 32:11, 32:19, 93:21,	AUDIENCE [15] - 7:7,	bases [1] - 87:14
announcements [2] -	applied [1] - 113:25	94:9, 95:8	8:14, 8:16, 14:1,	basic [1] - 145:12
3:3, 4:20	appraisal [1] - 109:4	architecturally [2] -	20:16, 20:21, 29:9,	bathroom [1] - 34:8
answer [3] - 13:10,	appraised [1] - 98:14 appreciate [1] - 63:18	94:10, 95:9	45:25, 46:4, 49:21,	bathrooms [1] -
100:25, 110:14	approach [1] - 96:11	architecture [4] -	50:22, 67:12, 67:17,	117:15
anyway [1] - 143:2	approach [1] - 96:11 approaches [8] - 12:7,	10:20, 15:2, 75:10,	73:7, 103:2	bay [1] - 76:24
apart [2] - 108:23,	20:18, 46:1, 49:18,	94:7	Audience [5] - 20:18,	bead [6] - 18:11, 19:4,
122:12	20.18, 40.1, 49.18, 60:14, 67:14, 85:1,	archival [1] - 93:7	46:1, 49:18, 67:14,	19:6, 19:10, 21:19, 21:22
apartment [1] - 68:12	102:25	area [6] - 33:14, 35:10,	102:25	21:22
Apartments [1] -	appropriate [1] -	48:23, 111:14,	Augustine [1] - 94:3	beams [1] - 123:1
23:14	50:12	117:15, 120:11	authority [4] - 131:13,	beautiful [2] - 63:13, 63:17
appeal [5] - 110:6,	approval [21] - 4:6,	areas [1] - 47:5	131:15, 143:9, 143:12	Becky [1] - 2:20
127:4, 131:14,	6:18, 19:17, 36:14,	argument [3] - 76:18,	143:12 authorized [1] - 150:8	BECKY [1] - 2:20 BECKY [1] - 1:15
131:16, 133:16	37:17, 45:4, 57:15,	77:23, 122:9	automatically [1] -	become [3] - 4:17,
appealable [1] - 131:10	58:7, 67:5, 84:18,	ARIMUS [1] - 1:17	125:3	31:11, 36:22
appealing [1] - 129:10	86:19, 86:23, 87:17,	Arimus [12] - 2:9,	available [2] - 27:5,	becomes [3] - 36:21,
appear [1] - 129.10 appear [1] - 114:13	88:7, 105:13,	21:9, 23:24, 26:20,	62:3	78:1, 147:1
appear [1] - 114.13				,
	N T · I D	act Office Bay 077		~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

Diane M'. Tropia', Inc'., Post' Office' Box' 2375', Jacksonville , FL' 32203 (904) 821-0300

bedroom [2] - 32:8,	branding [1] - 147:22	115:18, 126:18,	causing [2] - 121:4,	134:23, 135:4,
33:18		142:10	123:17	
	break [4] - 3:11, 57:6, 81:1, 91:17	Building [3] - 1:7,	-	135:15, 136:2, 139:4, 140:13,
beforehand [1] - 98:22	brick [65] - 9:11,	93:13, 97:14	caveat [1] - 79:6 caved [2] - 117:9,	140:20, 143:7,
begin [1] - 51:2	43:10, 43:16, 43:24,	building's [1] - 9:20	121:13	146:11, 149:10
beginning [1] - 128:22	43:10, 43:10, 43:24, 44:4, 47:4, 47:10,	buildings [5] - 11:1,	caved-in [1] - 117:9	CHAIRMAN [201] - 2:3,
behalf [1] - 138:1	48:18, 49:4, 47:10, 48:18, 49:4, 49:11,	13:3, 15:5, 15:8,	CDN [1] - 118:19	2:15, 3:1, 5:1, 5:3,
below [3] - 9:24,	49:12, 51:9, 51:21,	94:14	CDNs [1] - 106:20	5:20, 5:22, 6:4, 6:6,
24:25, 32:8	52:11, 53:13, 53:18,	builds [1] - 62:20	ceiling [3] - 117:9,	6:8, 7:8, 7:13, 7:15,
bench [4] - 138:23,	54:3, 54:7, 54:11,	built [10] - 9:11, 24:4,	121:11, 121:13	7:17, 7:19, 8:7, 8:12,
140:8, 141:20,	56:2, 56:4, 56:22,	24:16, 24:19, 25:1,	cementitious [1] -	8:15, 8:17, 11:25,
142:20	58:1, 58:12, 58:13,	30:8, 36:11, 56:7,	16:23	12:4, 12:9, 13:12,
benches [4] - 140:3,	58:14, 58:19, 58:22,	68:10, 77:20	centrally [1] - 95:4	13:16, 13:19, 13:23,
142:7, 143:9, 147:17	58:25, 60:22, 61:1,	Bullet [1] - 66:24	cents [1] - 35:24	14:2, 14:7, 14:9,
better [2] - 50:15,	61:2, 61:23, 62:18,	bullet [2] - 73:13,	century [1] - 11:7	14:19, 15:11, 15:14,
66:18	63:2, 63:5, 64:9,	73:14	Century [5] - 9:8, 9:20,	15:17, 15:19, 15:23,
between [11] - 20:1,	64:25, 65:1, 66:19,	bunch [2] - 103:21,	10:11, 15:2, 15:5	16:4, 19:19, 20:11,
21:16, 22:17, 38:19,	70:1, 70:9, 71:2,	117:3	certain [2] - 58:2,	20:14, 20:17, 20:19,
41:19, 54:12, 66:5,	71:6, 71:20, 74:19,	bungalow [1] - 80:10	129:1	25:10, 27:4, 29:1,
69:16, 71:10, 80:19,	74:21, 77:20, 78:8,	bus [8] - 138:18,	certainly [4] - 7:22,	29:4, 29:7, 29:10,
81:1	78:10, 78:20, 78:21,	138:23, 139:16,	130:3, 141:24,	29:21, 31:5, 31:9,
big [4] - 49:7, 57:18,	79:2, 79:11, 79:15,	140:3, 140:8,	143:10	32:15, 35:24, 37:15,
75:7, 86:14	80:7, 80:9, 80:13,	141:19, 141:20,	CERTIFICATE [1] -	37:25, 38:4, 38:9,
bigger [3] - 47:18,	84:13, 85:7, 87:12,	142:21	150:1	39:10, 39:23, 40:2,
51:11, 75:5	93:1, 95:3	business [1] - 116:2	certify [1] - 150:8	41:1, 41:5, 41:15,
biggest [1] - 69:24	bricks [5] - 47:2,	Business [1] - 91:20	cetera [2] - 4:16,	42:8, 42:11, 42:14,
Bill [2] - 147:11,	52:16, 52:25, 59:10,	businesses [1] -	118:19	42:20, 42:22, 42:24,
147:15	60:5	14:16	Chair [97] - 1:13, 1:13,	44:9, 44:20, 44:23,
bit [13] - 24:18, 48:21,	brief [3] - 104:1,	buying [1] - 23:5	2:15, 8:9, 9:4, 15:1,	45:16, 45:21, 45:24,
49:1, 53:5, 53:6,	138:14, 144:7	bypassed [1] - 124:5	19:21, 27:7, 29:22,	46:2, 49:14, 49:16, 49:19, 50:19, 50:23,
79:1, 81:13, 104:1,	briefly [2] - 140:22,	-	30:24, 32:24, 35:8,	49.19, 50.19, 50.23, 51:5, 51:18, 52:9,
124:22, 125:19,	144:2	С	37:23, 38:11, 38:14,	53:19, 55:2, 55:6,
139:23, 146:25,	bring [12] - 34:20,	0 1 1 0 0 1	38:23, 39:15, 40:20,	55:23, 56:15, 60:13,
147:15	48:25, 52:3, 53:4, 53:9, 60:9, 61:22,	Caleb [4] - 2:24,	41:23, 44:11, 44:16,	61:10, 61:14, 61:25,
black [1] - 108:15	75:20, 77:5, 108:16,	11:25, 68:7, 148:21	45:7, 45:17, 50:25,	62:22, 62:25, 63:11,
blank [1] - 9:24	111:6, 144:21	CALEB [1] - 1:18 cannot [10] - 40:22,	51:6, 51:19, 51:24,	63:20, 67:7, 67:10,
blight [2] - 142:19,	bringing [3] - 14:17,	46:22, 48:20, 60:22,	53:8, 54:2, 55:9,	67:13, 67:15, 68:4,
142:21	105:3, 144:19	40.22, 48.20, 60.22, 133:20, 134:7,	56:9, 59:7, 69:24,	69:10, 69:21, 70:21,
block [3] - 16:19,	brings [1] - 136:24	137:1, 143:22,	70:24, 71:11, 71:18, 73:10, 73:18, 73:23,	72:6, 72:21, 72:24,
25:13, 68:10	BRITTANY [1] - 1:18	145:14, 146:15	73.10, 73.16, 73.23, 74:1, 74:25, 75:6,	73:2, 73:5, 73:8,
board [6] - 18:11,	Brittany [3] - 2:22,	cans [1] - 143:9	75:11, 75:23, 78:3,	74:5, 74:15, 75:4,
19:4, 19:10, 21:19, 21:22, 26:19	44:9, 148:21	cap [2] - 80:20	78:18, 79:9, 79:20,	75:17, 75:20, 76:16,
boards [1] - 19:7	brought [3] - 40:8,	capitals [1] - 9:15	79:21, 80:5, 82:2,	77:12, 79:5, 79:16,
boardwalk [1] - 96:2	87:19, 144:3	car [1] - 43:19	82:5, 82:20, 83:16,	79:19, 80:2, 81:8,
body [9] - 86:20,	builder [1] - 10:13	card [2] - 3:16, 3:17	83:22, 84:9, 85:24,	82:9, 83:2, 83:18,
86:23, 129:19,	Builders [1] - 105:15	care [3] - 48:14, 63:16,	86:16, 87:20, 87:24,	83:24, 84:2, 84:5,
131:9, 133:6,	builders [3] - 94:16,	68:4	89:4, 99:5, 99:15,	84:22, 84:25, 85:2,
137:11, 138:19,	95:12, 95:14	careful [1] - 81:13	100:4, 100:15,	85:5, 85:9, 85:12, 85:15, 85:18, 86:6
140:2, 141:16	building [33] - 9:8,	Carla [2] - 2:11, 39:11	100:23, 101:13,	85:15, 85:18, 86:6, 86:25, 87:3, 88:8,
books [1] - 139:19	9:13, 9:17, 12:24,	CARLA [1] - 1:19	101:20, 102:14,	88:16, 88:20, 88:23,
border [1] - 61:23	13:6, 13:8, 13:17,	cars [2] - 59:16, 63:15	112:11, 116:19,	88:25, 89:12, 89:18,
bottom [3] - 18:13,	14:15, 14:20, 23:11,	case [5] - 36:13,	117:22, 119:2,	89:22, 90:1, 90:9,
19:11, 69:6	35:20, 64:8, 64:20,	103:22, 109:17,	119:19, 122:20,	90:11, 90:13, 90:25,
bought [3] - 54:19,	64:21, 65:4, 65:16,	109:25, 131:6	124:20, 125:11,	91:3, 91:6, 91:10,
105:7, 107:6	66:6, 66:7, 66:9,	cast [1] - 9:15	125:17, 126:11,	91:14, 91:19, 99:3,
Boylan [3] - 106:14,	92:3, 94:18, 95:23,	cast-stone [1] - 9:15	126:25, 130:19,	102:9, 102:20,
109:18, 118:3	95:25, 96:5, 97:3, 07:14, 08:5, 08:23	catalog [1] - 15:4	130:22, 132:25,	102:23, 103:1,
Boylan's [1] - 105:13	97:14, 98:5, 98:23, 115:16, 115:17	Catholic [1] - 94:5	133:13, 133:25, 134:8, 134:0	103:4, 110:12,
Boys [1] - 104:24	115:16, 115:17,	caused [1] - 114:20	134:8, 134:9,	110:15, 113:2,
<u></u>				

Diane M'. Tropia", Inc'., Post' Office' Box' 2375', Jacksonville", FL' 32203 (904) 821-0300

110.17 101.03	105.12 106.14	62.24 64.2 74.0	1.12 1.19 11.22	112.10 111.7
119:17, 121:23,	105:12, 106:14,	63:24, 64:3, 74:9, 00:13	4:13, 4:18, 11:22, 12:1, 29:23, 33:4,	113:19, 114:7,
122:8, 128:20, 130:21, 132:7	106:21, 107:20, 109:12, 109:22	90:13 COA-24-31544 [1] -		114:13, 114:23, 115:1, 115:14
130:21, 132:7, 133:7, 133:17,	109:12, 109:22, 110:6, 111:6,	7:2	38:24, 65:15, 71:19, 75:1, 75:12, 75:24,	115:1, 115:14, 115:25, 116:11,
133:21, 134:3,	118:12, 118:18,	COA-24-31609 [1] -	79:7, 80:6, 81:5,	116:17, 116:19,
134:13, 134:16,	123:22, 126:6,	6:25	84:19, 85:25, 86:5,	117:8, 117:17,
134:18, 134:20,	123.22, 120.0, 127:4, 129:10,	COA-24-31616 [1] -	87:19, 92:10, 92:15,	117:21, 118:2,
135:2, 135:9,	133:10, 133:24,	7:1	124:24, 125:12,	118:6, 118:9, 119:2,
135:13, 135:21,	137:9, 137:18	COAs [1] - 15:24	125:18, 127:1,	119:10, 119:11,
136:14, 136:16,	City's [2] - 108:3,	Code [9] - 19:15, 44:7,	134:9, 134:24,	119:15, 119:16,
136:20, 136:22,	128:7	67:4, 92:12, 124:25,	139:20, 140:17,	119:18, 122:7,
137:2, 137:24,	civil [1] - 128:8	125:22, 139:10,	140:21, 140:23,	122:20, 124:11,
138:7, 138:9,	claim [1] - 98:17	139:21, 140:16	143:8, 144:9, 145:8,	124:18, 125:9,
141:23, 144:14,	clarification [9] - 27:8,	code [2] - 130:25,	146:23, 148:18,	125:11, 126:11,
145:10, 145:15,	33:10, 37:14, 89:1,	131:4	149:11	127:6, 133:25,
147:11, 147:25,	104:22, 109:13,	codified [1] - 139:21	COMMISSIONER [178]	134:15, 135:4,
148:13, 148:15,	116:21, 126:12,	coincide [1] - 56:7	- 2:13, 2:16, 2:18,	135:8, 135:12,
149:14	140:11	color [6] - 26:1, 34:21,	2:20, 4:23, 4:25,	135:15, 136:2,
challenge [1] - 146:21	clarify [8] - 31:5, 38:9,	34:22, 54:11, 54:19,	5:16, 5:19, 7:10,	138:12, 140:1,
change [13] - 20:3,	39:10, 44:24, 45:19,	60:24	7:12, 14:4, 14:6,	141:3, 141:17,
34:15, 34:16, 35:4,	69:11, 82:21, 133:8	colors [1] - 26:13	14:12, 14:25, 19:21,	142:2, 142:3,
35:6, 41:18, 50:7,	clarifying [1] - 28:24	column [5] - 71:2,	20:10, 27:7, 27:14,	142:16, 143:16,
53:10, 69:24, 70:1,	clarity [1] - 101:18	71:13, 80:20, 87:14,	27:19, 27:23, 28:2,	143:23, 144:16,
70:5, 72:18, 88:5	cleaned [1] - 13:7	90:18	28:23, 29:12, 29:20,	145:9, 145:20,
changed [1] - 101:15	cleaner [1] - 38:25	columns [13] - 17:2,	29:22, 30:24, 31:8,	147:4, 147:14,
changes [3] - 19:22,	cleared [1] - 112:24	65:2, 71:4, 71:5,	31:14, 31:24, 32:17,	148:11
26:10, 78:16	clearly [1] - 3:21	71:16, 77:8, 77:14,	32:24, 33:20, 35:8,	Commissioner [39] -
changing [6] - 55:18,	close [7] - 8:21, 14:2,	78:7, 78:22, 78:23,	37:23, 38:2, 38:13,	14:19, 31:6, 33:23,
71:20, 74:17, 79:2,	24:14, 29:10, 50:23,	79:13, 80:16, 84:16	39:8, 40:10, 40:14,	35:9, 36:6, 36:7,
84:11	73:8, 110:16	comedy [1] - 106:13	40:20, 41:2, 41:7,	37:1, 41:16, 41:24,
channels [1] - 143:5	closer [2] - 117:11,	comfortable [1] -	41:9, 41:12, 41:17,	44:17, 54:2, 62:6,
character [6] - 11:8,	147:1	55:20	42:3, 42:7, 42:17,	73:19, 73:24, 74:2,
13:5, 30:10, 32:23,	closest [1] - 30:18	coming [2] - 57:19,	42:19, 44:11, 50:25,	75:17, 77:18, 79:21,
35:21, 96:15	clubhouse [1] -	109:5	51:4, 51:6, 51:19,	81:19, 82:6, 84:5,
character-defining [2]	105:10	commencing [1] - 1:7	51:24, 52:10, 52:14,	87:21, 99:16, 100:5,
- 11:8, 96:15	COA [16] - 8:20, 19:13,	comment [4] - 14:12,	53:8, 54:1, 54:10,	100:16, 101:14,
characteristics [1] -	38:15, 45:8, 49:17,	71:21, 82:21, 90:16	54:15, 54:22, 55:8,	102:15, 109:18,
95:7	50:21, 63:21, 64:14,	comments [2] - 38:7,	59:7, 69:23, 70:5,	122:13, 122:21,
characterized [1] -	67:2, 73:6, 73:12,	81:15	70:9, 70:13, 70:19,	124:9, 125:18,
92:22	76:2, 89:22, 90:3,	commercial [1] - 9:8	70:24, 71:11, 71:22,	126:13, 127:1,
cheaper [1] - 70:20	91:15, 146:19	COMMISSION [41] -	71:23, 72:8, 72:20,	138:11, 139:5,
check [1] - 39:9	COA-23-28339 [1] -	1:3, 5:2, 5:21, 6:3,	73:10, 73:23, 74:1,	140:14, 141:10,
chewing [1] - 117:12	5:8	6:5, 6:7, 7:14, 7:16,	74:8, 74:14, 74:16,	146:12
chimney [10] - 64:9,	COA-23-29186 [1] -	7:18, 12:3, 13:15,	74:25, 75:6, 75:11,	commissioner [6] -
93:1, 95:4, 99:25,	5:9	13:18, 14:8, 15:13,	75:19, 78:3, 79:9, 79:18, 80:5, 82:2,	2:14, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 4:21, 128:25
100:1, 116:23,	COA-24 [1] - 89:13	15:16, 15:18, 20:13,	79.18, 80.5, 82.2, 82:20, 84:8, 84:21,	2:21, 4:21, 128:25 commissioners [2] -
116:25, 117:11,	COA-24-30298 [1] -	29:3, 38:8, 42:13,	86:16, 86:22, 86:24,	5:15, 59:6
117:14, 117:16	7:3	42:21, 42:23, 44:22,	87:5, 87:24, 88:14,	commitment [1] -
choose [3] - 22:1,	COA-24-31124 [1] -	45:23, 67:9, 72:23,	88:17, 88:19, 89:14,	104:5
125:21, 134:10	5:10	84:4, 87:2, 87:4,	90:2, 90:6, 90:8,	commits [1] - 127:22
chooses [1] - 133:16	COA-24-31169 [1] -	88:22, 88:24, 89:24,	91:18, 99:5, 99:24,	common [4] - 22:19,
chosen [1] - 127:23	8:3	90:10, 90:12,	100:9, 100:22,	23:21, 94:25, 96:5
Church [1] - 94:5	COA-24-31276 [3] -	102:22, 134:17,	100:23, 101:19,	commonly [1] - 94:12
cinder [1] - 68:10	43:4, 43:8, 51:1	134:19, 136:15,	102:11, 110:19,	communication [1] -
circumstances [1] -	COA-24-31360 [5] -	136:19, 136:21,	110:22, 111:5,	138:15
129:5	16:1, 16:5, 16:12,	148:14	111:13, 112:3,	community [12] -
city [5] - 10:7, 10:15,	29:13, 42:25	commission [1] -	112:9, 112:11,	93:25, 94:2, 99:12,
11:24, 15:3, 93:22	COA-24-31433 [3] -	144:13	112:18, 113:1,	111:8, 123:21,
CITY [1] - 1:1	5:11, 5:18, 6:9	Commission [41] -	113:4, 113:7,	123:23, 126:15,
City [19] - 99:12,	COA-24-31539 [4] -	1:14, 1:15, 2:5, 3:14,	113:13, 113:16,	, ,
	4 I	a	a	4

Diane M'. Tropia", Inc'., Post' Office' Box' 2375', Jacksonville", FL' 32203 (904) 821-0300

145:24, 146:4,	condition
148:3, 149:3, 149:5	38:16, 44
compacted [1] - 58:20	70:7, 71:2
company [1] - 120:17	73:13, 76
compare [1] - 106:22	98:4, 98:7
compared [1] - 18:9	conditione
compatible [6] - 17:9,	17:20, 18
17:13, 18:1, 19:14,	20:8, 66:2
65:24, 67:3	conditions
complaints [1] -	19:17, 20
142:22	29:14, 38
complement [2] -	67:6, 69:2
31:21, 74:20	71:24, 72
completel [1] - 150:10	73:22, 80
completely [4] -	conducted
28:13, 57:11, 63:2,	confirm [2]
75:15	39:6
Complias [6] - 12:15,	confusion
21:1, 46:8, 49:24,	congested
67:22, 103:10	connect [1]
component [1] - 60:24 composite [13] - 70:2, 70:14, 72:11, 74:12, 74:18, 77:17, 78:5, 79:14, 84:13, 85:13, 85:14, 87:12, 87:14 compromised [1] - 97:21 compromising [1] - 116:24 concentrating [1] - 96:4	connection 96:2 connection consent [8] 6:16, 7:6, 7:22, 148 consider [7] 56:18, 57 77:6, 129 considerat 120:8, 12
concept [1] - 34:13	considera
conceptual [2] -	50:18, 62
146:13, 146:17	considera
concern [4] - 37:2,	55:19
51:7, 143:3, 143:25	considere
concerned [3] - 18:22,	111:1
19:3, 23:7	considerin
concerns [4] - 18:16,	75:14
66:22, 78:7, 78:9	consist [1]
concise [1] - 4:2	consisten
conclude [1] - 122:15	35:11
concrete [17] - 9:12,	consisten
43:11, 44:3, 44:4,	19:13, 33
45:12, 45:18, 45:21,	40:2, 66:6
47:4, 49:11, 51:9,	67:2
57:13, 58:6, 60:17,	consists [2
60:22, 61:1, 61:23,	93:2
62:20	construct
condemnation [1] -	64:4
118:22	constructe
condemned [1] -	65:10, 76
108:3	97:4
Condition [16] - 18:21,	constructi
18:25, 40:16, 40:18,	10:8, 35:
41:18, 41:21, 41:22,	76:2, 76:2
41:24, 42:3, 74:10,	94:17, 95
84:11, 87:7, 87:9,	116:13, 1
87:10, 88:9	contains [2]

[13] - 29:15, 4:19, 56:1, 20, 72:8, 6:11, 85:25, 7, 99:21 ed [6] -8:18, 19:10, 2,95:20 **s** [16] - 6:19, 0:3, 21:11, 8:15, 40:23, 25, 70:15, 2:4, 73:11, 0:4, 104:13 **d** [1] - 44:12 - 8:10, **1** [1] - 118:14 **d** [1] - 38:3 1] - 76:12 d [2] - 95:23, **g** [1] - 76:19 **n** [1] - 12:25 3] - 6:15, , 7:11, 7:20, 8:24, 148:25 [7] - 44:25, 7:19, 58:9, 9:22, 132:21 able [2] -20:20 tion [2] -2:17 tions [1] d [2] - 45:2, ng [2] - 33:7, - 16:23 Cy [1] nt 181 - 19:5. 3:5, 36:14, 6, 66:11, 2] - 9:7, [2] - 16:14, ed [4] -5:8, 93:7, tion [11] -17, 56:11, 8, 94:13, 5:13, 111:4, 16:16 2] - 43:14,

95:24 contemplates [1] -127:24 Content [1] - 34:12 contents [1] - 39:21 context [1] - 75:25 continually [1] -148:19 continue [1] - 48:19 continuing [2] - 86:17, 121:23 contractor [4] -105:15, 109:10, 113:25, 115:6 contractor/mover [1] -114:2 contributing [5] -24:3, 43:11, 56:6, 56:17, 56:24 conversation [3] -52:1, 144:7, 146:2 conversations [2] -3:9, 140:2 converted [3] - 30:13, 30:18, 31:23 converting [1] - 70:14 cooking [1] - 96:8 coordinated [2] -87:17, 142:19 copies [2] - 4:13, 115:7 copy [2] - 4:19, 18:6 corner [1] - 22:15 correct [19] - 40:8, 44:17, 45:6, 48:25, 61:5, 72:13, 83:23, 84:1, 99:8, 99:14, 99:16, 100:6, 112:2, 113:6, 113:15, 113:18, 114:10, 118:13, 124:14 corrections [1] -112:21 correctly [3] - 59:11, 102:3, 124:13 COs [1] - 116:15 cost [4] - 97:25, 98:12, 107:22, 109:4 costly [1] - 96:16 costs [1] - 54:24 cotta [1] - 9:15 Council [10] - 111:6, 126:6, 127:4, 131:8, 131:12, 133:10, 133:24, 137:9, 137:18, 137:21 councilman [1] -128:10 Councilman [2] -105:12, 118:3

Councilman's [1] -110:6 Councilperson [1] -99:12 councilperson [1] -126:15 counsel [1] - 124:12 Counsel [5] - 1:19, 2:12, 40:5, 73:11, 87:25 COUNTY [1] - 150:4 couple [6] - 22:4, 76:18. 100:24. 112:12, 127:2, 137:16 course [1] - 105:8 court [1] - 3:20 Court [3] - 43:5, 43:9, 46:5 courtesy [1] - 3:5 cover [1] - 72:5 coverage [3] - 102:5, 114:17, 115:3 covered [3] - 33:17, 95:2, 100:8 covering [1] - 9:18 Cracker [3] - 94:20, 95:1, 105:1 crafts [1] - 80:10 create [5] - 65:19, 77:1. 80:21. 81:3. 120:13 created [1] - 143:13 creating [2] - 54:11, 115:21 crimp [1] - 92:25 Crimp [1] - 65:6 criteria [20] - 4:2, 10:4, 10:12, 11:15, 11:18, 19:16, 67:4, 92:17, 93:18, 94:6, 95:6, 99:2, 110:3, 122:5, 131:5, 132:21, 135:18, 145:12, 145:17 Criteria [1] - 93:19 criterion [3] - 10:19, 96:9, 96:11 Criterion [1] - 11:3 cross [1] - 92:24 cross-gable [1] -92:24 crossroads [1] - 94:3 cultural [3] - 10:5, 93:20, 111:13 curb [6] - 47:18, 47:23, 48:11, 57:1, 57:6, 57:7 curbs [1] - 57:3 curiosity [1] - 13:16

curious [1] - 130:15 current [1] - 87:8 curve [2] - 48:21, 81:1 customer [3] - 23:3, 23:4, 28:16 customers [2] - 23:5, 26:12 cut [1] - 132:16 cut-and-dry [1] -132.16 Cuthbert [3] - 67:17, 84:23, 85:1 CUTHBERT [31] -67:22, 68:2, 68:6, 69:15, 70:3, 70:8, 70:12, 70:16, 70:20, 71:3, 71:15, 72:3, 72:15, 73:1, 73:4, 84:24, 85:3, 85:7, 85:10, 85:14, 85:17, 85:21, 86:13, 89:1, 89:7, 89:10, 90:22, 91:1, 91:5, 91:8, 91:11 cycle [1] - 137:5 cypress [1] - 94:19 D dama [1] - 117:6 damage [18] - 102:2, 107:13, 115:10, 115:19, 120:2, 120:21, 121:6, 121:7, 121:11, 121:12, 121:16, 121:19, 123:3, 123:5, 123:7, 123:10, 123:12 damaged [4] - 102:7, 114:14, 114:17, 116:22 damages [3] - 114:20, 114:21, 115:2 Danhour [2] - 103:2, 135:14 DANHOUR [43] -103:5, 103:9, 103:15, 103:17, 104:23, 106:17, 109:14, 110:5, 110:13, 110:21, 111:3, 111:12, 112:2, 112:4, 112:10, 112:17, 112:20, 113:6, 113:11, 113:15, 113:18, 113:22, 114:11, 114:22,

114:25, 115:5,

Diane M'. Tropia", Inc'., Post' Office' Box' 2375', Jacksonville", FL' 32203

(904) 821-0300

115:24, 116:10,	deferring [3] - 63:8,	32:21, 34:11, 35:11,	106:8, 106:20,	95:7
116:12, 117:2,	129:25, 130:5	44:6, 45:3, 52:3,	110:24, 111:25,	District [4] - 16:15,
117:13, 117:20,	deficient [1] - 123:17	53:10, 53:13, 56:19,	127:20	43:12, 139:17,
117:25, 118:5,	defining [2] - 11:8,	57:10, 57:23, 60:10,	developments [1] -	143:14
118:8, 118:16,	96:15	66:12, 81:10, 81:25,	120:12	district [12] - 17:10,
121:22, 128:5,	definition [2] - 35:3,	84:17, 87:15, 87:16,	devoid [1] - 16:17	17:14, 17:17, 19:6,
130:12, 131:17,	39:7	94:10, 94:14, 95:9,	Diane [4] - 1:9, 149:6,	35:18, 44:7, 55:15,
131:21, 132:2, 134:2	degree [1] - 96:18	129:13, 139:22,	150:7, 150:18	65:25, 66:12,
Daniel [1] - 66:15	delay [3] - 91:21,	140:18, 140:19,	difference [2] - 123:8,	138:24, 140:7, 141:1
Darden [1] - 46:4	119:13, 124:14	140:24, 141:15,	148:5	districts [4] - 138:4,
date [9] - 39:18, 40:11,	delays [2] - 109:12,	147:8	different [10] - 24:18,	141:5, 141:22,
40:22, 40:23, 41:8,	118:18	Design [2] - 67:3,	31:1, 40:24, 41:13,	143:10
41:18, 88:11, 128:6,	demolish [1] - 92:3	81:22	80:11, 95:15,	Division [2] - 93:14,
146:9	demolished [3] -	designate [1] - 9:4	135:16, 135:22,	97:15
DATED [1] - 150:15	64:20, 98:2, 124:8	designated [2] -	138:2	divots [1] - 46:24
dated [4] - 39:2, 42:1,	demolition [23] -	14:15, 140:25	differentiated [1] -	document [1] - 39:19
42:4, 88:11	91:20, 92:1, 92:9,	designation [6] -	18:1	documentation [1] -
David [1] - 20:22	92:14, 119:13,	11:14, 11:23, 14:5,	differently [1] - 130:4	99:9
days [3] - 125:1,	122:16, 124:14,	16:10, 92:15, 92:18	difficult [2] - 96:16,	documents [3] - 4:15,
125:24, 131:1	124:16, 124:19,	Designations [1] -	111:15	41:10, 115:7
deadline [3] - 125:3,	125:2, 125:21,	8:24	dig [1] - 80:14	dollar [1] - 111:4
125:8, 126:10	125:22, 126:20,	designed [11] - 9:10,	diligence [1] - 142:13	domed [1] - 9:23
deal [1] - 55:9	129:8, 130:9,	16:21, 17:7, 17:15,	dimension [1] - 35:13	domestic [1] - 96:4
deceased [1] - 107:4	130:25, 131:10,	17:18, 17:22, 21:19,	dimensional [1] -	donate [1] - 104:16
December [16] - 1:6,	133:10, 133:15,	34:11, 34:14, 66:1,	34:19	donating [1] - 127:22
2:1, 2:6, 39:2, 39:13,	134:4, 134:10,	95:19	dimensions [4] -	done [16] - 26:11,
39:20, 40:11, 40:17,	134:20	designer [1] - 10:13	26:17, 44:5, 45:11,	32:25, 36:9, 55:3,
41:10, 41:20, 42:2,	demolitions [1] -	designs [12] - 9:20,	55:11	56:11, 60:16, 60:17,
42:5, 93:11, 97:12,	125:1	139:9, 139:16,	direct [1] - 37:11	60:22, 61:6, 91:13,
139:9	demonstrate [1] -	140:16, 141:9,	direction [3] - 76:23,	106:6, 109:2,
decide [4] - 59:2,	98:10	141:15, 141:21,	133:6, 136:12	114:16, 118:15,
126:3, 136:5, 136:6	denial [5] - 44:8,	143:13, 146:5, 146:8, 146:13,	directional [1] - 65:23	120:17, 126:1
decided [1] - 84:20	125:24, 129:7,	146:17	directly [1] - 32:2	donor [1] - 112:5
decides [1] - 111:8	130:14, 133:10	desk [1] - 4:7	disagree [1] - 81:14	door [7] - 34:1, 59:23,
decision [12] - 4:3,	denied [3] - 57:21,	destroy [1] - 47:14	discretion [1] - 88:4	64:5, 64:15, 64:16,
52:5, 101:2, 125:1,	125:14, 134:22 deny [12] - 51:1,	detached [7] - 43:15,	discuss [1] - 134:25	64:22, 65:10
125:20, 125:23, 125:25, 126:23,	119:12, 119:13,	43:17, 56:10, 83:11,	discussed [1] -	doors [1] - 64:11
127:5, 131:10,	119:23, 124:18,	95:18, 95:22, 95:25	116:22	double [3] - 39:9, 93:3, 95:16
131:13, 131:15	125:21, 126:4,	details [2] - 56:13,	discussing [1] - 74:7	double-check [1] -
decision-making [2] -	129:17, 130:9,	86:15	Discussion [1] - 16:3	39:9
131:13, 131:15	130:24, 134:4,	deteriorated [1] -	discussion [29] - 5:20, 5:23, 6:2, 7:13, 14:7,	double-hung [1] -
decisions [2] -	134:10	123:6	14:11, 15:12, 29:21,	93:3
129:12, 130:8	denying [2] - 124:13,	deterioration [3] -	37:21, 38:7, 51:2,	doubt [3] - 133:18,
deck [5] - 9:13, 65:10,	124:16	11:10, 11:12, 96:19	51:5, 55:24, 62:3,	133:22, 136:10
65:11, 72:2, 85:8	Department [10] -	develop [2] - 145:11	63:1, 74:15, 76:17,	Doug [1] - 67:17
declare [1] - 63:20	2:10, 2:23, 2:25,	developed [2] - 94:22,	82:18, 84:2, 84:22,	down [13] - 22:2, 23:3,
decorations [1] - 9:16	10:2, 11:17, 11:21,	145:17	86:7, 88:20, 88:21,	24:9, 25:8, 28:14,
decorative [3] - 9:22,	93:15, 111:7,	developer [4] -	119:17, 121:24,	32:8, 34:2, 38:3,
11:1, 93:1	118:11, 138:2	117:25, 127:22,	125:10, 135:10,	43:21, 51:9, 52:17,
deem [1] - 50:12	depict [1] - 18:7	128:2, 129:16	135:13, 136:14	59:15, 120:11
deemed [1] - 96:16	depiction [1] - 76:6	Development [14] -	discussions [1] - 75:5	downtown [5] - 10:9,
defer [5] - 8:19, 61:15,	Dept [3] - 1:17, 1:18,	1:17, 1:18, 1:18,	displays [1] - 3:7	10:11, 13:3, 14:22,
63:7, 101:17	1:18	2:10, 2:23, 2:25,	disrepair [3] - 97:25,	147:21
deferral [3] - 110:1,	depth [1] - 57:9	10:2, 11:17, 11:20,	98:17, 109:23	draft [1] - 39:18
124:23, 125:5	describe [1] - 100:13	93:14, 99:20, 99:21,	Disrepair [1] - 98:3	dramatic [4] - 33:25,
Deferred [1] - 8:2	described [1] - 98:3	111:7, 127:15	disrupted [1] - 3:10	34:5, 37:4
deferred [7] - 5:7,	design [36] - 17:14,	development [10] -	distinction [1] - 141:4	drastically [1] - 68:9
6:12, 6:14, 51:14,	18:23, 18:25, 19:14,	10:15, 101:2, 101:9,	distinguish [1] - 10:25	drawing [6] - 25:21,
63:21, 98:8, 137:5	19:15, 20:1, 22:25,	104:9, 105:23,	distinguishing [1] -	34:19, 52:20, 53:4,
<u></u>			.	

Diane M'. Tropia", Inc'., Post' Office Box' 2375', Jacksonville", FL 32203 (904) 821-0300

55:1, 71:8	149:2	enhance [1] - 50:8	evaluating [1] - 96:21	123:2
drawings [14] - 4:16,	efforts [2] - 94:4,	entail [1] - 139:25	-	failure [27] - 37:5,
			evaluation [3] - 93:16,	37:7, 45:6, 57:16,
23:1, 27:11, 28:21,	118:10	entering [1] - 37:6	97:20, 98:19	
29:19, 39:21, 39:23,	either [5] - 32:23,	entertain [8] - 5:14,	event [1] - 127:25	62:9, 63:9, 77:10,
40:6, 40:12, 40:18,	66:3, 66:25, 86:2,	7:8, 14:3, 29:11,	eventually [1] - 105:6	77:25, 79:17, 79:19,
41:19, 51:12, 70:6,	121:7	42:15, 50:24, 73:9,	evidence [7] - 11:9,	81:24, 82:18, 83:3,
71:14	element [4] - 56:5,	91:24	96:13, 96:19, 98:9,	83:12, 84:7, 122:16,
drive [9] - 21:9, 40:7,	56:6, 107:9, 130:18	entire [2] - 34:2, 68:8	120:22, 120:23	122:17, 129:1,
48:20, 56:22, 57:12,	elements [4] - 10:21,	entryway [1] - 9:23	exactly [7] - 22:21,	132:16, 133:18,
58:19, 59:4, 59:16,	94:8, 100:2, 118:16	envelope [1] - 117:18	51:14, 53:15, 54:14,	134:5, 137:22,
59:25	elevate [1] - 130:11	environment [2] -	124:15, 126:16,	143:20, 145:12,
Drive [1] - 67:18	elevated [2] - 132:9,	94:22, 95:20	133:7	145:13, 146:14,
driveway [29] - 43:10,	133:23	EPSTEIN [63] - 1:14,	examples [2] - 94:16,	148:20
43:16, 43:19, 43:23,	elevation [35] - 9:24,	2:13, 5:16, 7:10,	95:12	failure) [12] - 37:13,
44:13, 44:19, 45:1,	17:1, 17:18, 20:6,	14:4, 14:25, 29:20,	exceeds [2] - 45:13,	77:15, 82:15, 83:13,
45:10, 47:4, 47:9,	20:7, 27:1, 28:8,	30:24, 35:8, 40:20,	98:1	110:18, 132:15,
47:17, 50:13, 51:10,	28:14, 29:18, 30:2,	41:2, 41:7, 41:12,	excellent [3] - 14:23,	132:24, 134:6,
52:11, 52:16, 53:7,	30:17, 30:20, 31:7,	42:7, 42:17, 44:11,	96:25	134:14, 136:1,
53:12, 53:23, 56:2,	31:23, 36:1, 38:17,	51:4, 51:6, 55:8,	exception [1] - 31:20	136:25, 142:15
57:13, 58:1, 58:6,	39:1, 39:16, 39:21,	59:7, 70:24, 71:11,		fair [2] - 40:4, 115:24
59:24, 61:6, 62:18,	40:17, 64:10, 65:5,		excited [4] - 14:13,	fairly [1] - 56:10
63:2, 63:5, 63:15	66:2, 66:5, 67:1,	73:10, 74:1, 74:8,	15:1, 16:9	fall [1] - 55:13
driveway-style [1] -	75:21, 78:15, 79:4,	75:6, 78:3, 79:9, 79:18, 82:2, 84:8,	excuse [1] - 136:10	falling [1] - 122:12
43:19	, , ,		existing [11] - 43:10,	
	79:7, 80:25, 85:15,	86:16, 86:22, 87:5,	43:16, 45:10, 55:12,	falls [3] - 108:23,
driveways [2] - 53:17,	86:2, 88:3, 88:4,	87:24, 88:14, 88:17,	56:1, 56:10, 56:22,	125:4, 142:18
53:18	89:5	89:14, 90:2, 90:6,	57:4, 64:7, 65:6,	family [3] - 43:14,
driving [1] - 22:9	elevations [20] -	100:23, 101:19,	65:24	100:20, 109:19
drove [1] - 22:9	17:20, 17:23, 18:18,	102:11, 112:11,	exits [2] - 124:9,	far [8] - 31:10, 31:21,
dry [1] - 132:16	18:20, 19:23, 20:2,	112:18, 113:1,	135:14	34:13, 48:10, 48:15,
due [1] - 142:12	20:4, 27:3, 27:17,	113:4, 113:7,	expense [2] - 58:18,	79:25, 97:25, 144:18
during [5] - 10:9, 97:5,	40:6, 66:4, 67:1,	113:13, 113:16,	105:3	farmhouse [2] -
97:21, 99:25, 116:25	70:11, 86:4, 87:8,	113:19, 114:7,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	407.47 400.0
. ,			experience [1] -	127:17, 128:3
DUVAL [1] - 150:4	87:23, 88:1, 88:11,	114:13, 114:23,	experience [1] - 118:11	fast [1] - 138:14
			118:11	
DUVAL [1] - 150:4	87:23, 88:1, 88:11,	114:13, 114:23,	118:11 explain [1] - 104:2	fast [1] - 138:14
	87:23, 88:1, 88:11, 89:2, 95:17	114:13, 114:23, 115:1, 115:14,	118:11 explain [1] - 104:2 explained [1] - 37:3	fast [1] - 138:14 favor [14] - 5:1, 6:4,
DUVAL [1] - 150:4	87:23, 88:1, 88:11, 89:2, 95:17 elsewhere [1] - 99:23	114:13, 114:23, 115:1, 115:14, 115:25, 116:11,	118:11 explain [1] - 104:2 explained [1] - 37:3 explicitly [1] - 140:16	fast [1] - 138:14 favor [14] - 5:1, 6:4, 7:15, 15:15, 42:11,
DUVAL [1] - 150:4	87:23, 88:1, 88:11, 89:2, 95:17 elsewhere [1] - 99:23 email [4] - 39:12, 39:14, 39:22, 99:9	114:13, 114:23, 115:1, 115:14, 115:25, 116:11, 116:17, 119:2,	118:11 explain [1] - 104:2 explained [1] - 37:3 explicitly [1] - 140:16 exposed [1] - 92:25	fast [1] - 138:14 favor [14] - 5:1, 6:4, 7:15, 15:15, 42:11, 42:20, 86:25, 88:23,
DUVAL [1] - 150:4 E East [18] - 6:13, 8:24, 9:5, 10:23, 11:5,	87:23, 88:1, 88:11, 89:2, 95:17 elsewhere [1] - 99:23 email [4] - 39:12,	114:13, 114:23, 115:1, 115:14, 115:25, 116:11, 116:17, 119:2, 119:11, 119:16,	118:11 explain [1] - 104:2 explained [1] - 37:3 explicitly [1] - 140:16 exposed [1] - 92:25 expression [1] - 65:23	fast [1] - 138:14 favor [14] - 5:1, 6:4, 7:15, 15:15, 42:11, 42:20, 86:25, 88:23, 89:23, 90:9, 129:24, 134:11, 134:16,
DUVAL [1] - 150:4 E East [18] - 6:13, 8:24,	87:23, 88:1, 88:11, 89:2, 95:17 elsewhere [1] - 99:23 email [4] - 39:12, 39:14, 39:22, 99:9 emailed [1] - 139:8	114:13, 114:23, 115:1, 115:14, 115:25, 116:11, 116:17, 119:2, 119:11, 119:16, 119:18	118:11 explain [1] - 104:2 explained [1] - 37:3 explicitly [1] - 140:16 exposed [1] - 92:25 expression [1] - 65:23 extend [1] - 66:1	fast [1] - 138:14 favor [14] - 5:1, 6:4, 7:15, 15:15, 42:11, 42:20, 86:25, 88:23, 89:23, 90:9, 129:24, 134:11, 134:16, 136:18
DUVAL [1] - 150:4 E East [18] - 6:13, 8:24, 9:5, 10:23, 11:5, 11:23, 15:20, 16:2, 16:6, 16:13, 29:13,	87:23, 88:1, 88:11, 89:2, 95:17 elsewhere [1] - 99:23 email [4] - 39:12, 39:14, 39:22, 99:9 emailed [1] - 139:8 Emerald [8] - 68:24, 69:4, 69:13, 69:15,	114:13, 114:23, 115:1, 115:14, 115:25, 116:11, 116:17, 119:2, 119:11, 119:16, 119:18 Epstein [17] - 2:13, 36:6, 44:17, 62:7,	118:11 explain [1] - 104:2 explained [1] - 37:3 explicitly [1] - 140:16 exposed [1] - 92:25 expression [1] - 65:23 extend [1] - 66:1 extended [2] - 18:9,	fast [1] - 138:14 favor [14] - 5:1, 6:4, 7:15, 15:15, 42:11, 42:20, 86:25, 88:23, 89:23, 90:9, 129:24, 134:11, 134:16, 136:18 feature [2] - 32:11,
DUVAL [1] - 150:4 E East [18] - 6:13, 8:24, 9:5, 10:23, 11:5, 11:23, 15:20, 16:2,	87:23, 88:1, 88:11, 89:2, 95:17 elsewhere [1] - 99:23 email [4] - 39:12, 39:14, 39:22, 99:9 emailed [1] - 139:8 Emerald [8] - 68:24, 69:4, 69:13, 69:15, 69:19, 77:24, 146:1,	114:13, 114:23, 115:1, 115:14, 115:25, 116:11, 116:17, 119:2, 119:11, 119:16, 119:18 Epstein [17] - 2:13, 36:6, 44:17, 62:7, 73:19, 73:24, 77:18,	118:11 explain [1] - 104:2 explained [1] - 37:3 explicitly [1] - 140:16 exposed [1] - 92:25 expression [1] - 65:23 extend [1] - 66:1 extended [2] - 18:9, 19:2	fast [1] - 138:14 favor [14] - 5:1, 6:4, 7:15, 15:15, 42:11, 42:20, 86:25, 88:23, 89:23, 90:9, 129:24, 134:11, 134:16, 136:18 feature [2] - 32:11, 95:21
DUVAL [1] - 150:4 E East [18] - 6:13, 8:24, 9:5, 10:23, 11:5, 11:23, 15:20, 16:2, 16:6, 16:13, 29:13, 42:25, 63:24, 64:3, 69:3, 74:9, 90:14	87:23, 88:1, 88:11, 89:2, 95:17 elsewhere [1] - 99:23 email [4] - 39:12, 39:14, 39:22, 99:9 emailed [1] - 139:8 Emerald [8] - 68:24, 69:4, 69:13, 69:15, 69:19, 77:24, 146:1, 148:8	114:13, 114:23, 115:1, 115:14, 115:25, 116:11, 116:17, 119:2, 119:11, 119:16, 119:18 Epstein [17] - 2:13, 36:6, 44:17, 62:7, 73:19, 73:24, 77:18, 79:7, 79:22, 81:19,	118:11 explain [1] - 104:2 explained [1] - 37:3 explicitly [1] - 140:16 exposed [1] - 92:25 expression [1] - 65:23 extend [1] - 66:1 extended [2] - 18:9, 19:2 extension [1] - 134:5	fast [1] - 138:14 favor [14] - 5:1, 6:4, 7:15, 15:15, 42:11, 42:20, 86:25, 88:23, 89:23, 90:9, 129:24, 134:11, 134:16, 136:18 feature [2] - 32:11, 95:21 featured [1] - 9:25
DUVAL [1] - 150:4 E East [18] - 6:13, 8:24, 9:5, 10:23, 11:5, 11:23, 15:20, 16:2, 16:6, 16:13, 29:13, 42:25, 63:24, 64:3, 69:3, 74:9, 90:14 east [11] - 64:10, 66:4,	87:23, 88:1, 88:11, 89:2, 95:17 elsewhere [1] - 99:23 email [4] - 39:12, 39:14, 39:22, 99:9 emailed [1] - 139:8 Emerald [8] - 68:24, 69:4, 69:13, 69:15, 69:19, 77:24, 146:1, 148:8 empty [1] - 17:24	114:13, 114:23, 115:1, 115:14, 115:25, 116:11, 116:17, 119:2, 119:11, 119:16, 119:18 Epstein [17] - 2:13, 36:6, 44:17, 62:7, 73:19, 73:24, 77:18, 79:7, 79:22, 81:19, 82:6, 84:6, 87:21,	118:11 explain [1] - 104:2 explained [1] - 37:3 explicitly [1] - 140:16 exposed [1] - 92:25 expression [1] - 65:23 extend [1] - 66:1 extended [2] - 18:9, 19:2 extension [1] - 134:5 exterior [5] - 13:8,	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{fast [1] - 138:14} \\ \textbf{favor [14] - 5:1, 6:4,} \\ 7:15, 15:15, 42:11, \\ 42:20, 86:25, 88:23, \\ 89:23, 90:9, 129:24, \\ 134:11, 134:16, \\ 136:18 \\ \textbf{feature [2] - 32:11,} \\ 95:21 \\ \textbf{featured [1] - 9:25} \\ \textbf{features [8] - 9:19,} \end{array}$
DUVAL [1] - 150:4 East [18] - 6:13, 8:24, 9:5, 10:23, 11:5, 11:23, 15:20, 16:2, 16:6, 16:13, 29:13, 42:25, 63:24, 64:3, 69:3, 74:9, 90:14 east [11] - 64:10, 66:4, 66:10, 66:25, 68:16,	87:23, 88:1, 88:11, 89:2, 95:17 elsewhere [1] - 99:23 email [4] - 39:12, 39:14, 39:22, 99:9 emailed [1] - 139:8 Emerald [8] - 68:24, 69:4, 69:13, 69:15, 69:19, 77:24, 146:1, 148:8 empty [1] - 17:24 encapsulated [1] -	114:13, 114:23, 115:1, 115:14, 115:25, 116:11, 116:17, 119:2, 119:11, 119:16, 119:18 Epstein [17] - 2:13, 36:6, 44:17, 62:7, 73:19, 73:24, 77:18, 79:7, 79:22, 81:19, 82:6, 84:6, 87:21, 101:14, 102:15,	118:11 explain [1] - 104:2 explained [1] - 37:3 explicitly [1] - 140:16 exposed [1] - 92:25 expression [1] - 65:23 extend [1] - 66:1 extended [2] - 18:9, 19:2 extension [1] - 134:5 exterior [5] - 13:8, 95:2, 96:13, 96:19,	fast [1] - 138:14 favor [14] - 5:1, 6:4, 7:15, 15:15, 42:11, 42:20, 86:25, 88:23, 89:23, 90:9, 129:24, 134:11, 134:16, 136:18 feature [2] - 32:11, 95:21 featured [1] - 9:25 features [8] - 9:19, 9:21, 10:24, 11:8,
DUVAL [1] - 150:4 East [18] - 6:13, 8:24, 9:5, 10:23, 11:5, 11:23, 15:20, 16:2, 16:6, 16:13, 29:13, 42:25, 63:24, 64:3, 69:3, 74:9, 90:14 east [11] - 64:10, 66:4, 66:10, 66:25, 68:16, 68:17, 68:19, 69:7,	87:23, 88:1, 88:11, 89:2, 95:17 elsewhere [1] - 99:23 email [4] - 39:12, 39:14, 39:22, 99:9 emailed [1] - 139:8 Emerald [8] - 68:24, 69:4, 69:13, 69:15, 69:19, 77:24, 146:1, 148:8 empty [1] - 17:24 encapsulated [1] - 100:7	114:13, 114:23, 115:1, 115:14, 115:25, 116:11, 116:17, 119:2, 119:11, 119:16, 119:18 Epstein [17] - 2:13, 36:6, 44:17, 62:7, 73:19, 73:24, 77:18, 79:7, 79:22, 81:19, 82:6, 84:6, 87:21, 101:14, 102:15, 122:13, 124:9	118:11 explain [1] - 104:2 explained [1] - 37:3 explicitly [1] - 140:16 exposed [1] - 92:25 expression [1] - 65:23 extend [1] - 66:1 extended [2] - 18:9, 19:2 extension [1] - 134:5 exterior [5] - 13:8,	fast $[1] - 138:14$ favor $[14] - 5:1, 6:4,$ 7:15, 15:15, 42:11, 42:20, 86:25, 88:23, 89:23, 90:9, 129:24, 134:11, 134:16, 136:18 feature $[2] - 32:11,$ 95:21 featured $[1] - 9:25$ features $[8] - 9:19,$ 9:21, 10:24, 11:8, 18:3, 96:15, 97:2,
DUVAL [1] - 150:4 East [18] - 6:13, 8:24, 9:5, 10:23, 11:5, 11:23, 15:20, 16:2, 16:6, 16:13, 29:13, 42:25, 63:24, 64:3, 69:3, 74:9, 90:14 east [11] - 64:10, 66:4, 66:10, 66:25, 68:16, 68:17, 68:19, 69:7, 75:9, 86:3, 94:1	87:23, 88:1, 88:11, 89:2, 95:17 elsewhere [1] - 99:23 email [4] - 39:12, 39:14, 39:22, 99:9 emailed [1] - 139:8 Emerald [8] - 68:24, 69:4, 69:13, 69:15, 69:19, 77:24, 146:1, 148:8 empty [1] - 17:24 encapsulated [1] - 100:7 enclosed [2] - 33:13,	114:13, 114:23, 115:1, 115:14, 115:25, 116:11, 116:17, 119:2, 119:11, 119:16, 119:18 Epstein [17] - 2:13, 36:6, 44:17, 62:7, 73:19, 73:24, 77:18, 79:7, 79:22, 81:19, 82:6, 84:6, 87:21, 101:14, 102:15, 122:13, 124:9 Epstein's [1] - 122:21	118:11 explain [1] - 104:2 explained [1] - 37:3 explicitly [1] - 140:16 exposed [1] - 92:25 expression [1] - 65:23 extendd [1] - 66:1 extended [2] - 18:9, 19:2 extension [1] - 134:5 exterior [5] - 13:8, 95:2, 96:13, 96:19, 97:1	fast $[1] - 138:14$ favor $[14] - 5:1, 6:4,$ 7:15, 15:15, 42:11, 42:20, 86:25, 88:23, 89:23, 90:9, 129:24, 134:11, 134:16, 136:18 feature $[2] - 32:11,$ 95:21 featured $[1] - 9:25$ features $[8] - 9:19,$ 9:21, 10:24, 11:8, 18:3, 96:15, 97:2, 97:8
DUVAL [1] - 150:4 East [18] - 6:13, 8:24, 9:5, 10:23, 11:5, 11:23, 15:20, 16:2, 16:6, 16:13, 29:13, 42:25, 63:24, 64:3, 69:3, 74:9, 90:14 east [11] - 64:10, 66:4, 66:10, 66:25, 68:16, 68:17, 68:19, 69:7, 75:9, 86:3, 94:1 eastern [1] - 95:16	87:23, 88:1, 88:11, 89:2, 95:17 elsewhere [1] - 99:23 email [4] - 39:12, 39:14, 39:22, 99:9 emailed [1] - 139:8 Emerald [8] - 68:24, 69:4, 69:13, 69:15, 69:19, 77:24, 146:1, 148:8 empty [1] - 17:24 encapsulated [1] - 100:7 enclosed [2] - 33:13, 64:19	114:13, 114:23, 115:1, 115:14, 115:25, 116:11, 116:17, 119:2, 119:11, 119:16, 119:18 Epstein [17] - 2:13, 36:6, 44:17, 62:7, 73:19, 73:24, 77:18, 79:7, 79:22, 81:19, 82:6, 84:6, 87:21, 101:14, 102:15, 122:13, 124:9 Epstein's [1] - 122:21 Equity [1] - 105:15	118:11 explain [1] - 104:2 explained [1] - 37:3 explicitly [1] - 140:16 exposed [1] - 92:25 expression [1] - 65:23 extend [1] - 66:1 extended [2] - 18:9, 19:2 extension [1] - 134:5 exterior [5] - 13:8, 95:2, 96:13, 96:19,	fast [1] - 138:14 favor [14] - 5:1, 6:4, 7:15, 15:15, 42:11, 42:20, 86:25, 88:23, 89:23, 90:9, 129:24, 134:11, 134:16, 136:18 feature [2] - 32:11, 95:21 featured [1] - 9:25 features [8] - 9:19, 9:21, 10:24, 11:8, 18:3, 96:15, 97:2, 97:8 February [1] - 118:21
DUVAL [1] - 150:4 East [18] - 6:13, 8:24, 9:5, 10:23, 11:5, 11:23, 15:20, 16:2, 16:6, 16:13, 29:13, 42:25, 63:24, 64:3, 69:3, 74:9, 90:14 east [11] - 64:10, 66:4, 66:10, 66:25, 68:16, 68:17, 68:19, 69:7, 75:9, 86:3, 94:1 eastern [1] - 95:16 Eastside [1] - 143:14	87:23, 88:1, 88:11, 89:2, 95:17 elsewhere [1] - 99:23 email [4] - 39:12, 39:14, 39:22, 99:9 emailed [1] - 139:8 Emerald [8] - 68:24, 69:4, 69:13, 69:15, 69:19, 77:24, 146:1, 148:8 empty [1] - 17:24 encapsulated [1] - 100:7 enclosed [2] - 33:13, 64:19 encompassing [1] -	114:13, 114:23, 115:1, 115:14, 115:25, 116:11, 116:17, 119:2, 119:11, 119:16, 119:18 Epstein [17] - 2:13, 36:6, 44:17, 62:7, 73:19, 73:24, 77:18, 79:7, 79:22, 81:19, 82:6, 84:6, 87:21, 101:14, 102:15, 122:13, 124:9 Epstein's [1] - 122:21 Equity [1] - 105:15 era [1] - 10:9	118:11 explain [1] - 104:2 explained [1] - 37:3 explicitly [1] - 140:16 exposed [1] - 92:25 expression [1] - 65:23 extendd [1] - 66:1 extended [2] - 18:9, 19:2 extension [1] - 134:5 exterior [5] - 13:8, 95:2, 96:13, 96:19, 97:1 F	fast [1] - 138:14 favor [14] - 5:1, 6:4, 7:15, 15:15, 42:11, 42:20, 86:25, 88:23, 89:23, 90:9, 129:24, 134:11, 134:16, 136:18 feature [2] - 32:11, 95:21 featured [1] - 9:25 features [8] - 9:19, 9:21, 10:24, 11:8, 18:3, 96:15, 97:2, 97:8 February [1] - 118:21 federal [1] - 145:4
DUVAL [1] - 150:4 East [18] - 6:13, 8:24, 9:5, 10:23, 11:5, 11:23, 15:20, 16:2, 16:6, 16:13, 29:13, 42:25, 63:24, 64:3, 69:3, 74:9, 90:14 east [11] - 64:10, 66:4, 66:10, 66:25, 68:16, 68:17, 68:19, 69:7, 75:9, 86:3, 94:1 eastern [1] - 95:16 Eastside [1] - 143:14 easy [4] - 50:10, 79:3,	87:23, 88:1, 88:11, 89:2, 95:17 elsewhere [1] - 99:23 email [4] - 39:12, 39:14, 39:22, 99:9 emailed [1] - 139:8 Emerald [8] - 68:24, 69:4, 69:13, 69:15, 69:19, 77:24, 146:1, 148:8 empty [1] - 17:24 encapsulated [1] - 100:7 enclosed [2] - 33:13, 64:19 encompassing [1] - 123:16	114:13, 114:23, 115:1, 115:14, 115:25, 116:11, 116:17, 119:2, 119:11, 119:16, 119:18 Epstein [17] - 2:13, 36:6, 44:17, 62:7, 73:19, 73:24, 77:18, 79:7, 79:22, 81:19, 82:6, 84:6, 87:21, 101:14, 102:15, 122:13, 124:9 Epstein's [1] - 122:21 Equity [1] - 105:15 era [1] - 10:9 errors [1] - 106:13	118:11 explain [1] - 104:2 explained [1] - 37:3 explicitly [1] - 140:16 exposed [1] - 92:25 expression [1] - 65:23 extend [1] - 66:1 extended [2] - 18:9, 19:2 extension [1] - 134:5 exterior [5] - 13:8, 95:2, 96:13, 96:19, 97:1 F facade [3] - 9:21,	fast [1] - 138:14 favor [14] - 5:1, 6:4, 7:15, 15:15, 42:11, 42:20, 86:25, 88:23, 89:23, 90:9, 129:24, 134:11, 134:16, 136:18 feature [2] - 32:11, 95:21 featured [1] - 9:25 features [8] - 9:19, 9:21, 10:24, 11:8, 18:3, 96:15, 97:2, 97:8 February [1] - 118:21 federal [1] - 145:4 feet [10] - 43:20,
DUVAL [1] - 150:4 East [18] - 6:13, 8:24, 9:5, 10:23, 11:5, 11:23, 15:20, 16:2, 16:6, 16:13, 29:13, 42:25, 63:24, 64:3, 69:3, 74:9, 90:14 east [11] - 64:10, 66:4, 66:10, 66:25, 68:16, 68:17, 68:19, 69:7, 75:9, 86:3, 94:1 eastern [1] - 95:16 Eastside [1] - 143:14 easy [4] - 50:10, 79:3, 121:13	87:23, 88:1, 88:11, 89:2, 95:17 elsewhere [1] - 99:23 email [4] - 39:12, 39:14, 39:22, 99:9 emailed [1] - 139:8 Emerald [8] - 68:24, 69:4, 69:13, 69:15, 69:19, 77:24, 146:1, 148:8 empty [1] - 17:24 encapsulated [1] - 100:7 enclosed [2] - 33:13, 64:19 encompassing [1] - 123:16 end [4] - 4:20, 66:20,	114:13, 114:23, 115:1, 115:14, 115:25, 116:11, 116:17, 119:2, 119:11, 119:16, 119:18 Epstein [17] - 2:13, 36:6, 44:17, 62:7, 73:19, 73:24, 77:18, 79:7, 79:22, 81:19, 82:6, 84:6, 87:21, 101:14, 102:15, 122:13, 124:9 Epstein's [1] - 122:21 Equity [1] - 105:15 era [1] - 10:9 errors [1] - 106:13 especially [1] - 20:5	118:11 explain [1] - 104:2 explained [1] - 37:3 explicitly [1] - 140:16 exposed [1] - 92:25 expression [1] - 65:23 extende [1] - 66:1 extended [2] - 18:9, 19:2 extension [1] - 134:5 exterior [5] - 13:8, 95:2, 96:13, 96:19, 97:1 F facade [3] - 9:21, 43:21, 65:16	fast [1] - 138:14 favor [14] - 5:1, 6:4, 7:15, 15:15, 42:11, 42:20, 86:25, 88:23, 89:23, 90:9, 129:24, 134:11, 134:16, 136:18 feature [2] - 32:11, 95:21 featured [1] - 9:25 features [8] - 9:19, 9:21, 10:24, 11:8, 18:3, 96:15, 97:2, 97:8 February [1] - 118:21 federal [1] - 145:4 feet [10] - 43:20, 43:22, 43:25, 44:1,
DUVAL [1] - 150:4 E East [18] - 6:13, 8:24, 9:5, 10:23, 11:5, 11:23, 15:20, 16:2, 16:6, 16:13, 29:13, 42:25, 63:24, 64:3, 69:3, 74:9, 90:14 east [11] - 64:10, 66:4, 66:10, 66:25, 68:16, 68:17, 68:19, 69:7, 75:9, 86:3, 94:1 eastern [1] - 95:16 Eastside [1] - 143:14 easty [4] - 50:10, 79:3, 121:13 eave [2] - 18:9, 19:2	87:23, 88:1, 88:11, 89:2, 95:17 elsewhere [1] - 99:23 email [4] - 39:12, 39:14, 39:22, 99:9 emailed [1] - 139:8 Emerald [8] - 68:24, 69:4, 69:13, 69:15, 69:19, 77:24, 146:1, 148:8 empty [1] - 17:24 encapsulated [1] - 100:7 enclosed [2] - 33:13, 64:19 encompassing [1] - 123:16 end [4] - 4:20, 66:20, 86:3, 105:24	114:13, 114:23, 115:1, 115:14, 115:25, 116:11, 116:17, 119:2, 119:11, 119:16, 119:18 Epstein [17] - 2:13, 36:6, 44:17, 62:7, 73:19, 73:24, 77:18, 79:7, 79:22, 81:19, 82:6, 84:6, 87:21, 101:14, 102:15, 122:13, 124:9 Epstein's [1] - 122:21 Equity [1] - 105:15 era [1] - 10:9 errors [1] - 106:13 especially [3] - 92:2,	118:11 explain $[1] - 104:2$ explained $[1] - 37:3$ explicitly $[1] - 140:16$ exposed $[1] - 92:25$ expression $[1] - 65:23$ extende $[1] - 66:1$ extended $[2] - 18:9$, 19:2 extension $[1] - 134:5$ exterior $[5] - 13:8$, 95:2, $96:13$, $96:19$, 97:1 F facade $[3] - 9:21$, 43:21, $65:16face [5] - 17:4, 64:21,$	fast [1] - 138:14 favor [14] - 5:1, 6:4, 7:15, 15:15, 42:11, 42:20, 86:25, 88:23, 89:23, 90:9, 129:24, 134:11, 134:16, 136:18 feature [2] - 32:11, 95:21 featured [1] - 9:25 features [8] - 9:19, 9:21, 10:24, 11:8, 18:3, 96:15, 97:2, 97:8 February [1] - 118:21 federal [1] - 145:4 feet [10] - 43:20, 43:22, 43:25, 44:1, 68:18, 71:17,
DUVAL [1] - 150:4 E East [18] - 6:13, 8:24, 9:5, 10:23, 11:5, 11:23, 15:20, 16:2, 16:6, 16:13, 29:13, 42:25, 63:24, 64:3, 69:3, 74:9, 90:14 east [11] - 64:10, 66:4, 66:10, 66:25, 68:16, 68:17, 68:19, 69:7, 75:9, 86:3, 94:1 eastern [1] - 95:16 Eastside [1] - 143:14 easty [4] - 50:10, 79:3, 121:13 eave [2] - 18:9, 19:2 echo [1] - 54:2	87:23, 88:1, 88:11, 89:2, 95:17 elsewhere [1] - 99:23 email [4] - 39:12, 39:14, 39:22, 99:9 emailed [1] - 139:8 Emerald [8] - 68:24, 69:4, 69:13, 69:15, 69:19, 77:24, 146:1, 148:8 empty [1] - 17:24 encapsulated [1] - 100:7 enclosed [2] - 33:13, 64:19 encompassing [1] - 123:16 end [4] - 4:20, 66:20, 86:3, 105:24 ends [2] - 17:3, 92:25	114:13, 114:23, 115:1, 115:14, 115:25, 116:11, 116:17, 119:2, 119:11, 119:16, 119:18 Epstein [17] - 2:13, 36:6, 44:17, 62:7, 73:19, 73:24, 77:18, 79:7, 79:22, 81:19, 82:6, 84:6, 87:21, 101:14, 102:15, 122:13, 124:9 Epstein's [1] - 122:21 Equity [1] - 105:15 era [1] - 10:9 errors [1] - 106:13 especially [3] - 92:2, 99:17, 139:14	118:11 explain [1] - 104:2 explained [1] - 37:3 explicitly [1] - 140:16 exposed [1] - 92:25 expression [1] - 65:23 extende [1] - 66:1 extended [2] - 18:9, 19:2 extension [1] - 134:5 exterior [5] - 13:8, 95:2, 96:13, 96:19, 97:1 F facade [3] - 9:21, 43:21, 65:16 face [5] - 17:4, 64:21, 69:3, 69:19, 74:21	fast [1] - 138:14 favor [14] - 5:1, 6:4, 7:15, 15:15, 42:11, 42:20, 86:25, 88:23, 89:23, 90:9, 129:24, 134:11, 134:16, 136:18 feature [2] - 32:11, 95:21 featured [1] - 9:25 features [8] - 9:19, 9:21, 10:24, 11:8, 18:3, 96:15, 97:2, 97:8 February [1] - 118:21 federal [1] - 145:4 feet [10] - 43:20, 43:22, 43:25, 44:1, 68:18, 71:17, 100:18, 106:7
DUVAL [1] - 150:4 East [18] - 6:13, 8:24, 9:5, 10:23, 11:5, 11:23, 15:20, 16:2, 16:6, 16:13, 29:13, 42:25, 63:24, 64:3, 69:3, 74:9, 90:14 east [11] - 64:10, 66:4, 66:10, 66:25, 68:16, 68:17, 68:19, 69:7, 75:9, 86:3, 94:1 eastern [1] - 95:16 Eastside [1] - 143:14 easy [4] - 50:10, 79:3, 121:13 eave [2] - 18:9, 19:2 echo [1] - 54:2 Ed [1] - 1:7	87:23, 88:1, 88:11, 89:2, 95:17 elsewhere [1] - 99:23 email [4] - 39:12, 39:14, 39:22, 99:9 emailed [1] - 139:8 Emerald [8] - 68:24, 69:4, 69:13, 69:15, 69:19, 77:24, 146:1, 148:8 empty [1] - 17:24 encapsulated [1] - 100:7 enclosed [2] - 33:13, 64:19 encompassing [1] - 123:16 end [4] - 4:20, 66:20, 86:3, 105:24 ends [2] - 17:3, 92:25 energy [1] - 104:18	114:13, 114:23, 115:1, 115:14, 115:25, 116:11, 116:17, 119:2, 119:11, 119:16, 119:18 Epstein [17] - 2:13, 36:6, 44:17, 62:7, 73:19, 73:24, 77:18, 79:7, 79:22, 81:19, 82:6, 84:6, 87:21, 101:14, 102:15, 122:13, 124:9 Epstein's [1] - 122:21 Equity [1] - 105:15 era [1] - 10:9 errors [1] - 106:13 especially [3] - 92:2, 99:17, 139:14 establish [1] - 56:16	118:11 explain $[1] - 104:2$ explained $[1] - 37:3$ explicitly $[1] - 140:16$ exposed $[1] - 92:25$ expression $[1] - 65:23$ extende $[1] - 66:1$ extended $[2] - 18:9$, 19:2 extension $[1] - 134:5$ exterior $[5] - 13:8$, 95:2, $96:13$, $96:19$, 97:1 F facade $[3] - 9:21$, 43:21, $65:16face [5] - 17:4, 64:21,$	fast [1] - 138:14 favor [14] - 5:1, 6:4, 7:15, 15:15, 42:11, 42:20, 86:25, 88:23, 89:23, 90:9, 129:24, 134:11, 134:16, 136:18 feature [2] - 32:11, 95:21 featured [1] - 9:25 features [8] - 9:19, 9:21, 10:24, 11:8, 18:3, 96:15, 97:2, 97:8 February [1] - 118:21 federal [1] - 145:4 feet [10] - 43:20, 43:22, 43:25, 44:1, 68:18, 71:17, 100:18, 106:7 felt [2] - 81:6, 105:19
DUVAL [1] - 150:4 E East [18] - 6:13, 8:24, 9:5, 10:23, 11:5, 11:23, 15:20, 16:2, 16:6, 16:13, 29:13, 42:25, 63:24, 64:3, 69:3, 74:9, 90:14 east [11] - 64:10, 66:4, 66:10, 66:25, 68:16, 68:17, 68:19, 69:7, 75:9, 86:3, 94:1 eastern [1] - 95:16 Eastside [1] - 143:14 easy [4] - 50:10, 79:3, 121:13 eave [2] - 18:9, 19:2 echo [1] - 54:2 Ed [1] - 1:7 Edge [1] - 67:18	87:23, 88:1, 88:11, 89:2, 95:17 elsewhere [1] - 99:23 email [4] - 39:12, 39:14, 39:22, 99:9 emailed [1] - 139:8 Emerald [8] - 68:24, 69:4, 69:13, 69:15, 69:19, 77:24, 146:1, 148:8 empty [1] - 17:24 encapsulated [1] - 100:7 enclosed [2] - 33:13, 64:19 encompassing [1] - 123:16 end [4] - 4:20, 66:20, 86:3, 105:24 ends [2] - 17:3, 92:25 energy [1] - 104:18 engage [1] - 146:3	114:13, 114:23, 115:1, 115:14, 115:25, 116:11, 116:17, 119:2, 119:11, 119:16, 119:18 Epstein [17] - 2:13, 36:6, 44:17, 62:7, 73:19, 73:24, 77:18, 79:7, 79:22, 81:19, 82:6, 84:6, 87:21, 101:14, 102:15, 122:13, 124:9 Epstein's [1] - 122:21 Equity [1] - 105:15 era [1] - 10:9 errors [1] - 106:13 especially [3] - 92:2, 99:17, 139:14 establish [1] - 56:16 estimate [1] - 109:5	118:11 explain [1] - 104:2 explained [1] - 37:3 explicitly [1] - 140:16 exposed [1] - 92:25 expression [1] - 65:23 extende [1] - 66:1 extended [2] - 18:9, 19:2 extension [1] - 134:5 exterior [5] - 13:8, 95:2, 96:13, 96:19, 97:1 F facade [3] - 9:21, 43:21, 65:16 face [5] - 17:4, 64:21, 69:3, 69:19, 74:21	fast [1] - 138:14 favor [14] - 5:1, 6:4, 7:15, 15:15, 42:11, 42:20, 86:25, 88:23, 89:23, 90:9, 129:24, 134:11, 134:16, 136:18 feature [2] - 32:11, 95:21 featured [1] - 9:25 features [8] - 9:19, 9:21, 10:24, 11:8, 18:3, 96:15, 97:2, 97:8 February [1] - 118:21 federal [1] - 145:4 feet [10] - 43:20, 43:22, 43:25, 44:1, 68:18, 71:17, 100:18, 106:7
DUVAL [1] - 150:4 East [18] - 6:13, 8:24, 9:5, 10:23, 11:5, 11:23, 15:20, 16:2, 16:6, 16:13, 29:13, 42:25, 63:24, 64:3, 69:3, 74:9, 90:14 east [11] - 64:10, 66:4, 66:10, 66:25, 68:16, 68:17, 68:19, 69:7, 75:9, 86:3, 94:1 eastern [1] - 95:16 Eastside [1] - 143:14 easy [4] - 50:10, 79:3, 121:13 eave [2] - 18:9, 19:2 echo [1] - 54:2 Ed [1] - 1:7	87:23, 88:1, 88:11, 89:2, 95:17 elsewhere [1] - 99:23 email [4] - 39:12, 39:14, 39:22, 99:9 emailed [1] - 139:8 Emerald [8] - 68:24, 69:4, 69:13, 69:15, 69:19, 77:24, 146:1, 148:8 empty [1] - 17:24 encapsulated [1] - 100:7 enclosed [2] - 33:13, 64:19 encompassing [1] - 123:16 end [4] - 4:20, 66:20, 86:3, 105:24 ends [2] - 17:3, 92:25 energy [1] - 104:18	114:13, 114:23, 115:1, 115:14, 115:25, 116:11, 116:17, 119:2, 119:11, 119:16, 119:18 Epstein [17] - 2:13, 36:6, 44:17, 62:7, 73:19, 73:24, 77:18, 79:7, 79:22, 81:19, 82:6, 84:6, 87:21, 101:14, 102:15, 122:13, 124:9 Epstein's [1] - 122:21 Equity [1] - 105:15 era [1] - 10:9 errors [1] - 106:13 especially [1] - 20:5 essentially [3] - 92:2, 99:17, 139:14 establish [1] - 56:16 estimate [1] - 109:5 estimated [2] - 16:21,	118:11 explain [1] - 104:2 explained [1] - 37:3 explicitly [1] - 140:16 exposed [1] - 92:25 expression [1] - 65:23 extende [1] - 66:1 extended [2] - 18:9, 19:2 extension [1] - 134:5 exterior [5] - 13:8, 95:2, 96:13, 96:19, 97:1 F facade [3] - 9:21, 43:21, 65:16 face [5] - 17:4, 64:21, 69:3, 69:19, 74:21 facilitators [1] - 149:4	fast [1] - 138:14 favor [14] - 5:1, 6:4, 7:15, 15:15, 42:11, 42:20, 86:25, 88:23, 89:23, 90:9, 129:24, 134:11, 134:16, 136:18 feature [2] - 32:11, 95:21 featured [1] - 9:25 features [8] - 9:19, 9:21, 10:24, 11:8, 18:3, 96:15, 97:2, 97:8 February [1] - 118:21 federal [1] - 145:4 feet [10] - 43:20, 43:22, 43:25, 44:1, 68:18, 71:17, 100:18, 106:7 felt [2] - 81:6, 105:19
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{DUVAL} \ [1] - 150:4 \\ \hline \textbf{E} \\ \hline \textbf{East} \ [18] - 6:13, 8:24, \\ 9:5, 10:23, 11:5, \\ 11:23, 15:20, 16:2, \\ 16:6, 16:13, 29:13, \\ 42:25, 63:24, 64:3, \\ 69:3, 74:9, 90:14 \\ \textbf{east} \ [11] - 64:10, 66:4, \\ 66:10, 66:25, 68:16, \\ 68:17, 68:19, 69:7, \\ 75:9, 86:3, 94:1 \\ \textbf{eastern} \ [1] - 95:16 \\ \hline \textbf{Eastside} \ [1] - 143:14 \\ \textbf{easy} \ [4] - 50:10, 79:3, \\ 121:13 \\ \textbf{eave} \ [2] - 18:9, 19:2 \\ \textbf{echo} \ [1] - 54:2 \\ \hline \textbf{Ed} \ [1] - 67:18 \\ \textbf{edges} \ [1] - 66:5 \\ \textbf{edit} \ [1] - 82:11 \\ \end{array}$	87:23, 88:1, 88:11, 89:2, 95:17 elsewhere [1] - 99:23 email [4] - 39:12, 39:14, 39:22, 99:9 emailed [1] - 139:8 Emerald [8] - 68:24, 69:4, 69:13, 69:15, 69:19, 77:24, 146:1, 148:8 empty [1] - 17:24 encapsulated [1] - 100:7 enclosed [2] - 33:13, 64:19 encompassing [1] - 123:16 end [4] - 4:20, 66:20, 86:3, 105:24 ends [2] - 17:3, 92:25 energy [1] - 104:18 engage [1] - 146:3	114:13, 114:23, 115:1, 115:14, 115:25, 116:11, 116:17, 119:2, 119:11, 119:16, 119:18 Epstein [17] - 2:13, 36:6, 44:17, 62:7, 73:19, 73:24, 77:18, 79:7, 79:22, 81:19, 82:6, 84:6, 87:21, 101:14, 102:15, 122:13, 124:9 Epstein's [1] - 122:21 Equity [1] - 105:15 era [1] - 10:9 errors [1] - 106:13 especially [3] - 92:2, 99:17, 139:14 establish [1] - 56:16 estimate [1] - 109:5	118:11 explain [1] - 104:2 explained [1] - 37:3 explicitly [1] - 140:16 exposed [1] - 92:25 expression [1] - 65:23 extende [1] - 66:1 extended [2] - 18:9, 19:2 extension [1] - 134:5 exterior [5] - 13:8, 95:2, 96:13, 96:19, 97:1 F facade [3] - 9:21, 43:21, 65:16 face [5] - 17:4, 64:21, 69:3, 69:19, 74:21 facilitators [1] - 149:4 facing [3] - 17:12,	fast [1] - 138:14 favor [14] - 5:1, 6:4, 7:15, 15:15, 42:11, 42:20, 86:25, 88:23, 89:23, 90:9, 129:24, 134:11, 134:16, 136:18 feature [2] - 32:11, 95:21 featured [1] - 9:25 features [8] - 9:19, 9:21, 10:24, 11:8, 18:3, 96:15, 97:2, 97:8 February [1] - 118:21 federal [1] - 145:4 feet [10] - 43:20, 43:22, 43:25, 44:1, 68:18, 71:17, 100:18, 106:7 felt [2] - 81:6, 105:19 fencing [2] - 33:8,
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{DUVAL} \ [1] - 150:4 \\ \hline \textbf{E} \\ \hline \textbf{East} \ [18] - 6:13, 8:24, \\ 9:5, 10:23, 11:5, \\ 11:23, 15:20, 16:2, \\ 16:6, 16:13, 29:13, \\ 42:25, 63:24, 64:3, \\ 69:3, 74:9, 90:14 \\ \hline \textbf{east} \ [11] - 64:10, 66:4, \\ 66:10, 66:25, 68:16, \\ 68:17, 68:19, 69:7, \\ 75:9, 86:3, 94:1 \\ \hline \textbf{eastern} \ [1] - 95:16 \\ \hline \textbf{Eastside} \ [1] - 143:14 \\ \hline \textbf{easy} \ [4] - 50:10, 79:3, \\ 121:13 \\ \hline \textbf{eave} \ [2] - 18:9, 19:2 \\ \hline \textbf{echo} \ [1] - 54:2 \\ \hline \textbf{Ed} \ [1] - 1:7 \\ \hline \textbf{Edge} \ [1] - 67:18 \\ \hline \textbf{edges} \ [1] - 66:5 \\ \hline \textbf{Eatside} \ [1] - 66:$	87:23, 88:1, 88:11, 89:2, 95:17 elsewhere [1] - 99:23 email [4] - 39:12, 39:14, 39:22, 99:9 emailed [1] - 139:8 Emerald [8] - 68:24, 69:4, 69:13, 69:15, 69:19, 77:24, 146:1, 148:8 empty [1] - 17:24 encapsulated [1] - 100:7 enclosed [2] - 33:13, 64:19 encompassing [1] - 123:16 end [4] - 4:20, 66:20, 86:3, 105:24 ends [2] - 17:3, 92:25 energy [1] - 104:18 engage [1] - 146:3 engaged [1] - 129:18	114:13, 114:23, 115:1, 115:14, 115:25, 116:11, 116:17, 119:2, 119:11, 119:16, 119:18 Epstein [17] - 2:13, 36:6, 44:17, 62:7, 73:19, 73:24, 77:18, 79:7, 79:22, 81:19, 82:6, 84:6, 87:21, 101:14, 102:15, 122:13, 124:9 Epstein's [1] - 122:21 Equity [1] - 105:15 era [1] - 10:9 errors [1] - 106:13 especially [1] - 20:5 essentially [3] - 92:2, 99:17, 139:14 establish [1] - 56:16 estimate [1] - 109:5 estimated [2] - 16:21,	118:11 explain [1] - 104:2 explained [1] - 37:3 explicitly [1] - 140:16 exposed [1] - 92:25 expression [1] - 65:23 extend [1] - 66:1 extended [2] - 18:9, 19:2 extension [1] - 134:5 exterior [5] - 13:8, 95:2, 96:13, 96:19, 97:1 F facade [3] - 9:21, 43:21, 65:16 face [5] - 17:4, 64:21, 69:3, 69:19, 74:21 facilitators [1] - 149:4 facing [3] - 17:12, 77:25, 92:23	fast [1] - 138:14 favor [14] - 5:1, 6:4, 7:15, 15:15, 42:11, 42:20, 86:25, 88:23, 89:23, 90:9, 129:24, 134:11, 134:16, 136:18 feature [2] - 32:11, 95:21 featured [1] - 9:25 features [8] - 9:19, 9:21, 10:24, 11:8, 18:3, 96:15, 97:2, 97:8 February [1] - 118:21 federal [1] - 145:4 feet [10] - 43:20, 43:22, 43:25, 44:1, 68:18, 71:17, 100:18, 106:7 felt [2] - 81:6, 105:19 fencing [2] - 33:8, 71:9
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{DUVAL} \ [1] - 150:4 \\ \hline \textbf{E} \\ \hline \textbf{East} \ [18] - 6:13, 8:24, \\ 9:5, 10:23, 11:5, \\ 11:23, 15:20, 16:2, \\ 16:6, 16:13, 29:13, \\ 42:25, 63:24, 64:3, \\ 69:3, 74:9, 90:14 \\ \textbf{east} \ [11] - 64:10, 66:4, \\ 66:10, 66:25, 68:16, \\ 68:17, 68:19, 69:7, \\ 75:9, 86:3, 94:1 \\ \textbf{eastern} \ [1] - 95:16 \\ \hline \textbf{Eastside} \ [1] - 143:14 \\ \textbf{easy} \ [4] - 50:10, 79:3, \\ 121:13 \\ \textbf{eave} \ [2] - 18:9, 19:2 \\ \textbf{echo} \ [1] - 54:2 \\ \hline \textbf{Ed} \ [1] - 67:18 \\ \textbf{edges} \ [1] - 66:5 \\ \textbf{edit} \ [1] - 82:11 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 87:23, 88:1, 88:11,\\ 89:2, 95:17\\ elsewhere [1] - 99:23\\ email [4] - 39:12,\\ 39:14, 39:22, 99:9\\ emailed [1] - 139:8\\ Emerald [8] - 68:24,\\ 69:4, 69:13, 69:15,\\ 69:19, 77:24, 146:1,\\ 148:8\\ empty [1] - 17:24\\ encapsulated [1] -\\ 100:7\\ enclosed [2] - 33:13,\\ 64:19\\ encompassing [1] -\\ 123:16\\ end [4] - 4:20, 66:20,\\ 86:3, 105:24\\ ends [2] - 17:3, 92:25\\ energy [1] - 104:18\\ engage [1] - 146:3\\ engaged [1] - 129:18\\ engineer [6] - 107:15,\\ \end{array}$	114:13, 114:23, 115:1, 115:14, 115:25, 116:11, 116:17, 119:2, 119:11, 119:16, 119:18 Epstein [17] - 2:13, 36:6, 44:17, 62:7, 73:19, 73:24, 77:18, 79:7, 79:22, 81:19, 82:6, 84:6, 87:21, 101:14, 102:15, 122:13, 124:9 Epstein's [1] - 122:21 Equity [1] - 105:15 era [1] - 10:9 errors [1] - 106:13 especially [1] - 20:5 essentially [3] - 92:2, 99:17, 139:14 establish [1] - 56:16 estimate [1] - 109:5 estimated [2] - 16:21, 98:12	$\begin{array}{c} 118:11\\ explain [1] - 104:2\\ explained [1] - 37:3\\ explicitly [1] - 140:16\\ exposed [1] - 92:25\\ expression [1] - 65:23\\ extende [1] - 66:1\\ extended [2] - 18:9,\\ 19:2\\ extension [1] - 134:5\\ exterior [5] - 13:8,\\ 95:2, 96:13, 96:19,\\ 97:1\\ \hline F\\ facade [3] - 9:21,\\ 43:21, 65:16\\ face [5] - 17:4, 64:21,\\ 69:3, 69:19, 74:21\\ facilitators [1] - 149:4\\ facing [3] - 17:12,\\ 77:25, 92:23\\ fact [4] - 24:21, 113:9,\\ 116:1, 120:14\\ \end{array}$	fast [1] - 138:14 favor [14] - 5:1, 6:4, 7:15, 15:15, 42:11, 42:20, 86:25, 88:23, 89:23, 90:9, 129:24, 134:11, 134:16, 136:18 feature [2] - 32:11, 95:21 featured [1] - 9:25 features [8] - 9:19, 9:21, 10:24, 11:8, 18:3, 96:15, 97:2, 97:8 February [1] - 118:21 federal [1] - 145:4 feet [10] - 43:20, 43:22, 43:25, 44:1, 68:18, 71:17, 100:18, 106:7 felt [2] - 81:6, 105:19 fencing [2] - 33:8, 71:9 fenestration [1] - 93:2
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline E \\ $ast [18] - 6:13, 8:24, $$ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $	87:23, 88:1, 88:11, 89:2, 95:17 elsewhere $[1] - 99:23$ email $[4] - 39:12$, 39:14, 39:22, 99:9 emailed $[1] - 139:8$ Emerald $[8] - 68:24$, 69:4, 69:13, 69:15, 69:19, 77:24, 146:1, 148:8 empty $[1] - 17:24$ encapsulated $[1] -$ 100:7 enclosed $[2] - 33:13$, 64:19 encompassing $[1] -$ 123:16 end $[4] - 4:20$, 66:20, 86:3, 105:24 ends $[2] - 17:3$, 92:25 energy $[1] - 104:18$ engage $[1] - 146:3$ engaged $[1] - 129:18$ engineer $[6] - 107:15$, 115:9, 120:4, 121:1,	114:13, 114:23, 115:1, 115:14, 115:25, 116:11, 116:17, 119:2, 119:11, 119:16, 119:18 Epstein [17] - 2:13, 36:6, 44:17, 62:7, 73:19, 73:24, 77:18, 79:7, 79:22, 81:19, 82:6, 84:6, 87:21, 101:14, 102:15, 122:13, 124:9 Epstein's [1] - 122:21 Equity [1] - 105:15 era [1] - 10:9 errors [1] - 106:13 especially [1] - 20:5 essentially [3] - 92:2, 99:17, 139:14 establish [1] - 56:16 estimate [1] - 109:5 estimated [2] - 16:21, 98:12 et [2] - 4:16, 118:19	$\begin{array}{c} 118:11\\ explain [1] - 104:2\\ explained [1] - 37:3\\ explicitly [1] - 140:16\\ exposed [1] - 92:25\\ expression [1] - 65:23\\ extende [1] - 66:1\\ extended [2] - 18:9,\\ 19:2\\ extension [1] - 134:5\\ exterior [5] - 13:8,\\ 95:2, 96:13, 96:19,\\ 97:1\\ \hline \\ \hline$	fast [1] - 138:14 favor [14] - 5:1, 6:4, 7:15, 15:15, 42:11, 42:20, 86:25, 88:23, 89:23, 90:9, 129:24, 134:11, 134:16, 136:18 feature [2] - 32:11, 95:21 featured [1] - 9:25 features [8] - 9:19, 9:21, 10:24, 11:8, 18:3, 96:15, 97:2, 97:8 February [1] - 118:21 federal [1] - 145:4 feet [10] - 43:20, 43:22, 43:25, 44:1, 68:18, 71:17, 100:18, 106:7 felt [2] - 81:6, 105:19 fencing [2] - 33:8, 71:9 fenestration [1] - 93:2 Ferraro [1] - 142:19
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline E \\ \hline E \\ \hline E \\ \hline E \\ $ast [18] - 6:13, 8:24, $$ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $	87:23, 88:1, 88:11, 89:2, 95:17 elsewhere $[1] - 99:23$ email $[4] - 39:12$, 39:14, 39:22, 99:9 emailed $[1] - 139:8$ Emerald $[8] - 68:24$, 69:4, 69:13, 69:15, 69:19, 77:24, 146:1, 148:8 empty $[1] - 17:24$ encapsulated $[1] -$ 100:7 enclosed $[2] - 33:13$, 64:19 encompassing $[1] -$ 123:16 end $[4] - 4:20$, 66:20, 86:3, 105:24 ends $[2] - 17:3$, 92:25 energy $[1] - 104:18$ engage $[1] - 146:3$ engaged $[1] - 129:18$ engineer $[6] - 107:15$, 115:9, 120:4, 121:1, 122:24, 128:12	114:13, 114:23, 115:1, 115:14, 115:25, 116:11, 116:17, 119:2, 119:11, 119:16, 119:18 Epstein [17] - 2:13, 36:6, 44:17, 62:7, 73:19, 73:24, 77:18, 79:7, 79:22, 81:19, 82:6, 84:6, 87:21, 101:14, 102:15, 122:13, 124:9 Epstein's [1] - 122:21 Equity [1] - 105:15 era [1] - 10:9 errors [1] - 106:13 especially [3] - 92:2, 99:17, 139:14 establish [1] - 56:16 estimate [1] - 109:5 estimated [2] - 16:21, 98:12 et [2] - 4:16, 118:19 ETHAN [1] - 1:13	118:11 explain [1] - 104:2 explained [1] - 37:3 explicitly [1] - 140:16 exposed [1] - 92:25 expression [1] - 65:23 extend [1] - 66:1 extended [2] - 18:9, 19:2 extension [1] - 134:5 exterior [5] - 13:8, 95:2, 96:13, 96:19, 97:1 F facade [3] - 9:21, 43:21, 65:16 face [5] - 17:4, 64:21, 69:3, 69:19, 74:21 facilitators [1] - 149:4 facing [3] - 17:12, 77:25, 92:23 fact [4] - 24:21, 113:9, 116:1, 120:14 factors [1] - 96:21 fail [1] - 125:2	fast [1] - 138:14 favor [14] - 5:1, 6:4, 7:15, 15:15, 42:11, 42:20, 86:25, 88:23, 89:23, 90:9, 129:24, 134:11, 134:16, 136:18 feature [2] - 32:11, 95:21 featured [1] - 9:25 features [8] - 9:19, 9:21, 10:24, 11:8, 18:3, 96:15, 97:2, 97:8 February [1] - 118:21 federal [1] - 145:4 feet [10] - 43:20, 43:22, 43:25, 44:1, 68:18, 71:17, 100:18, 106:7 felt [2] - 81:6, 105:19 fencing [2] - 33:8, 71:9 fenestration [1] - 93:2 Ferraro [1] - 142:19 few [7] - 60:5, 100:18,
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline E \\ \hline E \\ \hline E \\ \hline E \\ E \\ $ast [18] - 6:13, 8:24, $$ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $	87:23, 88:1, 88:11, 89:2, 95:17 elsewhere $[1] - 99:23$ email $[4] - 39:12,$ 39:14, 39:22, 99:9 emailed $[1] - 139:8$ Emerald $[8] - 68:24,$ 69:4, 69:13, 69:15, 69:19, 77:24, 146:1, 148:8 empty $[1] - 17:24$ encapsulated $[1] -$ 100:7 enclosed $[2] - 33:13,$ 64:19 encompassing $[1] -$ 123:16 end $[4] - 4:20, 66:20,$ 86:3, 105:24 ends $[2] - 17:3, 92:25$ energy $[1] - 104:18$ engage $[1] - 146:3$ engage $[1] - 129:18$ engineer $[6] - 107:15,$ 115:9, 120:4, 121:1, 122:24, 128:12 engineering $[3] -$	114:13, 114:23, 115:1, 115:14, 115:25, 116:11, 116:17, 119:2, 119:11, 119:16, 119:18 Epstein [17] - 2:13, 36:6, 44:17, 62:7, 73:19, 73:24, 77:18, 79:7, 79:22, 81:19, 82:6, 84:6, 87:21, 101:14, 102:15, 122:13, 124:9 Epstein's [1] - 122:21 Equity [1] - 105:15 era [1] - 10:9 errors [1] - 106:13 especially [1] - 20:5 essentially [3] - 92:2, 99:17, 139:14 establish [1] - 56:16 estimate [1] - 109:5 estimated [2] - 16:21, 98:12 et [2] - 4:16, 118:19 ETHAN [1] - 1:13 Ethan [1] - 2:16	$\begin{array}{c} 118:11\\ explain [1] - 104:2\\ explained [1] - 37:3\\ explicitly [1] - 140:16\\ exposed [1] - 92:25\\ expression [1] - 65:23\\ extende [1] - 66:1\\ extended [2] - 18:9,\\ 19:2\\ extension [1] - 134:5\\ exterior [5] - 13:8,\\ 95:2, 96:13, 96:19,\\ 97:1\\ \hline \\ \hline$	fast [1] - 138:14 favor [14] - 5:1, 6:4, 7:15, 15:15, 42:11, 42:20, 86:25, 88:23, 89:23, 90:9, 129:24, 134:11, 134:16, 136:18 feature [2] - 32:11, 95:21 featured [1] - 9:25 features [8] - 9:19, 9:21, 10:24, 11:8, 18:3, 96:15, 97:2, 97:8 February [1] - 118:21 federal [1] - 145:4 feet [10] - 43:20, 43:22, 43:25, 44:1, 68:18, 71:17, 100:18, 106:7 felt [2] - 81:6, 105:19 fencing [2] - 33:8, 71:9 fenestration [1] - 93:2 Ferraro [1] - 142:19 few [7] - 60:5, 100:18, 106:7, 114:14,

Diane M'. Tropia', Inc'., Post' Office' Box' 2375', Jacksonville , FL' 32203 (904) 821-0300

138:13	134:4, 134:10	65:19, 66:9, 68:8,	145:4	139:3, 143:20, 146:7
Fiberglass [2] - 16:24,	floors [1] - 19:9	68:21, 70:10, 72:5,	Grant [4] - 46:4,	guide [1] - 80:21
64:14	FLORIDA [1] - 150:3	72:12, 72:19, 73:15,	49:21, 60:14, 93:6	guide [1] - 00.21
Figueroa [1] - 2:22	Florida [12] - 1:9,	74:11, 74:17, 74:21,	GRANT [27] - 46:8,	147:22
FIGUEROA [6] - 1:18,	1:10, 67:19, 92:21,	77:3, 84:12, 86:2,	46:13, 46:15, 49:9,	Guidelines [2] - 67:3,
2:22, 43:8, 44:16,	94:5, 94:20, 94:21,	86:4, 87:11, 88:3,	49:15, 49:24, 50:4,	81:22
45:7, 56:9	94:25, 95:14,	91:2, 91:6, 92:23,	50:6, 52:13, 52:15,	guidelines [12] -
figure [1] - 88:12	123:11, 150:7,	130:7	53:16, 53:20, 54:9,	19:15, 44:6, 45:2,
file [1] - 23:24	150:18	front-facing [1] -	54:14, 54:17, 54:23,	45:3, 56:20, 57:10,
filled [1] - 3:15	focused [1] - 4:1	92:23	55:4, 55:7, 55:22,	57:23, 66:12,
final [6] - 79:7, 84:16,	follow [3] - 138:16,	full [10] - 18:21, 20:5,	60:11, 60:15, 61:12,	129:13, 139:22,
87:16, 128:7, 137:9,	139:1, 141:24	20:8, 28:17, 30:19,	61:21, 62:11, 62:24,	140:19
138:5	follow-up [1] - 141:24	31:23, 53:15, 57:13,	63:10, 63:12	guys [9] - 50:6, 50:12,
finalized [1] - 101:5	following [2] - 17:6,	58:6, 58:22	grass [3] - 47:15,	51:15, 69:9, 89:2,
finally [2] - 11:3, 107:5	65:18	full-size [5] - 18:21,	48:19, 48:20	90:25, 91:12, 110:1,
findings [1] - 11:19	foregoing [2] -	20:5, 20:8, 28:17,	gravel [2] - 58:20	117:6
fine [9] - 21:12, 31:20,	149:20, 150:9	30:19	great [10] - 44:19,	
32:18, 59:18, 70:17,	foresee [1] - 128:15	fully [1] - 139:24	46:22, 49:5, 75:16,	Н
70:18, 71:9, 85:21,	form [9] - 17:12,	function [1] - 33:14	89:10, 97:7, 107:14,	
86:8	17:13, 18:23, 36:3,	functional [1] - 33:1	131:23, 148:18,	Hagin [1] - 93:6
fines [1] - 108:4	36:15, 37:11, 81:3,	furniture [2] - 33:22,	149:14	hallways [1] - 95:17
finish [4] - 4:10,	126:7, 146:19	36:24	GREGORY [53] - 1:13,	hand [6] - 12:14,
79:11, 84:14, 87:13	formal [1] - 97:9	furthermore [3] -	2:16, 4:23, 7:12,	20:25, 46:7, 49:23,
finishes [1] - 97:8	forms [1] - 17:17	96:18, 98:12, 98:19	14:6, 27:7, 27:14,	67:21, 103:8
fins [2] - 9:22, 11:2	forth [1] - 145:2	future [1] - 144:5	27:19, 27:23, 28:2, 28:23, 29:12, 31:24,	handled [1] - 81:12 handling [1] - 136:11
Fire [1] - 66:8	forward [16] - 3:22,	•	32:17, 38:13, 39:8,	••••
fire [1] - 10:9	6:21, 12:6, 14:18,	G	40:10, 40:14, 41:9,	happy [10] - 13:10, 47:25, 50:11, 109:4,
fired [1] - 109:15	19:16, 20:17, 42:16,	G(3 [1] - 63:23	41:17, 42:3, 42:19,	109:9, 110:13,
fireplace [1] - 107:10	60:13, 62:5, 67:5,	gable [8] - 17:3, 18:8,	54:1, 54:10, 54:15,	115:6, 117:5,
first [21] - 6:25, 9:23,	67:13, 84:25, 98:25,	18:10, 19:2, 25:20,	54:22, 69:23, 70:5,	144:16, 149:17
13:6, 18:8, 18:19,	102:24, 115:22, 138:3	25:22, 92:23, 92:24	70:9, 70:13, 70:19,	hard [1] - 18:6
19:1, 19:8, 22:4,	forwarded [1] - 45:14	gabled [2] - 17:12,	71:23, 72:8, 72:20,	hardscape [1] - 138:4
22:18, 28:7, 31:25, 76:4, 93:18, 103:17,	foundation [3] - 95:4,	95:5	74:14, 74:16, 84:21,	Hardwick [2] - 9:18,
104:6, 118:17,	131:18, 132:3	garage [24] - 24:22,	90:8, 91:18, 110:19,	10:17
119:20, 127:17,	four [13] - 10:3, 10:4,	43:15, 43:18, 43:21,	110:22, 111:5,	harsh [1] - 94:22
139:5, 143:6, 147:2	11:18, 34:8, 92:16,	47:13, 49:3, 50:14,	111:13, 112:3,	hash [1] - 146:25
first-floor [1] - 76:4	93:17, 99:1, 110:3,	56:4, 56:10, 56:24,	112:9, 119:10,	hazard [1] - 47:12
fit [1] - 30:9	122:4, 131:5, 131:7,	57:14, 68:10, 68:11,	122:20, 124:11,	hazardous [1] - 52:25
fitting [1] - 35:22	132:20, 135:19	68:14, 68:18, 75:8,	124:18, 125:9,	head [3] - 25:10,
five [2] - 24:10, 24:19	fourth [2] - 73:14,	76:3, 76:8, 76:12,	133:25, 135:8, 136:2	116:10, 118:5
fixed [3] - 17:19, 22:8,	147:1	76:20, 76:22, 91:4	Gregory [5] - 2:16,	heading [1] - 136:12
24:6	FPR [1] - 1:9	general [3] - 3:2, 4:20,	33:24, 41:16, 41:24,	hear [27] - 8:5, 8:8,
fixed-glass [1] - 22:8	frame [9] - 16:19,	147:16	126:13 ground [1] - 92:23	9:1, 12:4, 16:7, 43:6,
flagged [1] - 29:23	64:8, 83:12, 92:21,	General [2] - 1:19,	Ground [1] - 92.23 Groundwork [1] -	59:6, 63:25, 72:24,
flair [2] - 44:1	94:12, 94:14, 95:1,	2:12	145:24	77:11, 85:2, 85:4,
flat [2] - 47:23, 48:2	95:10, 123:11	generally [1] - 100:16	group [4] - 24:1,	85:5, 86:10, 116:21,
Fleming [1] - 67:18	frankly [2] - 129:20,	generating [1] - 96:8 gently [1] - 149:12	24:10, 105:6, 105:14	126:22, 130:3, 133:15, 133:20
flood [1] - 60:20	132:9	given [5] - 3:16, 18:23,	groups [1] - 105:1	133:15, 133:20, 134:7, 135:2, 137:1
floor [35] - 9:23, 18:8,	free [1] - 96:7	60:1, 88:6, 98:14	Grove [1] - 7:3	134:7, 135:2, 137:1, 137:23, 137:24,
18:12, 18:14, 18:19,	front [53] - 9:14, 9:20,	glass [7] - 9:14, 9:21,	grown [1] - 136:4	143:22, 145:14,
19:1, 19:7, 19:11,	17:1, 17:4, 17:12, 17:20, 18:6, 19:25,	9:22, 11:1, 11:2,	growth [2] - 10:10,	146:15
22:17, 22:18, 23:23,	22:7, 22:13, 25:14,	22:8, 24:6	10:11	heard [5] - 51:7,
24:17, 24:23, 28:7,	25:20, 25:22, 30:18,	Glendale [1] - 7:2	guarantee [2] -	80:12, 112:13,
31:25, 32:1, 32:4, 38:12, 76:4, 78:22	34:6, 34:15, 34:17,	golf [1] - 105:8	107:16, 114:20	118:7, 139:11
38:12, 76:4, 78:22, 79:12, 79:22, 81:20,	34:24, 35:6, 39:16,	Google [1] - 53:21	guess [12] - 32:5,	Heard [1] - 8:2
79.12, 79.22, 81.20, 82:12, 82:17, 84:15,	43:17, 64:4, 64:16,	gotcha [2] - 72:20,	52:20, 53:3, 53:10,	Hearing [1] - 1:8
85:6, 87:13, 107:13,	64:20, 64:21, 64:22,	145:9	57:21, 70:16, 72:7,	hearing [20] - 8:8,
121:17, 130:2,	64:24, 65:4, 65:16,	government [1] -	111:17, 126:12,	8:21, 9:1, 14:3,

Diane M'. Tropia", Inc'., Post' Office' Box' 2375', Jacksonville", FL' 32203 (904) 821-0300

14:11, 16:7, 20:14,	Historic [15] - 2:4,	hopefully [2] - 104:9,	impacted [1] - 96:14	141:20, 142:25,
29:11, 43:6, 50:24,	8:23, 11:22, 16:15,	137:5	impacted [1] - 96.14 impeccable [2] -	141.20, 142.25, 143:4
51:10, 51:16, 58:11,	43:12, 65:14, 88:13,	horizontal [5] - 34:3,	48:14, 63:16	installing [1] - 142:12
61:10, 61:14, 63:25,	92:7, 92:10, 139:17,	34:4, 35:1, 35:2,	important [3] - 3:20,	instead [1] - 66:19
73:9, 91:23, 110:16,	140:22, 142:9,	95:3	94:15, 125:13	insurance [3] - 102:5,
122:8	143:14, 146:6, 147:6	horrible [1] - 108:7	impossible [4] -	114:16, 120:18
heat [2] - 94:23, 96:8	Historical [1] - 104:20	hours [1] - 3:12	96:17, 106:19,	Insurance [1] - 66:8
heavy [1] - 79:15	historical [2] - 10:6,	hours' [1] - 109:6	115:20, 123:10	insure [1] - 108:24
height [7] - 17:7, 17:9,	93:20	House [14] - 91:21,	improvement [1] -	intact [1] - 97:8
17:16, 65:22, 71:12,	history [2] - 50:8,	92:6, 92:20, 93:9,	137:25	integral [1] - 117:18
77:15, 78:21	104:1	94:11, 95:1, 96:25,	inaudible [4] - 28:12,	integrity [6] - 96:25,
held [3] - 1:6, 16:3,	hit [1] - 32:7	97:10, 104:6, 105:1,	41:3, 62:23, 77:4	97:1, 97:21, 98:16,
124:1	HOFF [40] - 1:14, 2:18,	119:14, 127:13,	inaudible) [2] - 60:2,	108:10, 122:22
helicopter [1] - 85:3	5:19, 19:21, 20:10,	134:21, 135:7	91:9	intend [1] - 110:5
help [7] - 13:3, 54:8,	29:22, 31:8, 31:14,	house [50] - 21:20,	incapable [1] - 98:5	intent [1] - 124:17
90:17, 90:20,	50:25, 51:24, 52:10,	23:5, 23:19, 24:4,	include [4] - 10:4,	interest [1] - 105:3
120:18, 130:6,	52:14, 53:8, 74:25,	25:5, 26:16, 30:1,	10:25, 53:12, 82:16	interested [10] - 59:8,
142:21	75:19, 86:24, 88:19,	30:23, 31:19, 34:10,	including [3] - 3:14,	101:11, 101:25,
helped [1] - 106:14	99:5, 99:24, 100:9,	34:11, 47:6, 48:14,	4:4, 30:1	102:4, 104:7, 116:4,
helpful [3] - 52:5,	100:22, 117:21,	48:15, 48:17, 48:22,	incorporated [1] -	122:18, 127:18,
53:14, 145:11	118:2, 118:6, 118:9,	54:12, 54:20, 56:7,	51:22	129:24, 144:12
Henry [13] - 9:10,	134:15, 135:4,	61:7, 68:8, 68:19,	incorrect [1] - 102:7	interesting [5] - 32:21,
10:16, 91:21, 92:5,	135:12, 135:15,	68:25, 69:18, 74:20,	incorrectly [1] - 59:20	77:23, 132:5,
92:20, 93:23, 104:5,	138:12, 140:1,	80:8, 83:19, 97:21,	indicating [3] - 20:16,	147:16, 148:12
119:14, 127:12,	141:3, 141:17,	97:24, 98:1, 101:10,	47:19, 48:11	interior [4] - 16:16,
127:16, 128:2,	142:2, 142:16,	101:12, 101:24,	Indicating [1] - 67:12	43:13, 97:2, 97:7
134:21, 135:6	143:16, 143:23,	102:6, 103:19,	indicating) [3] - 47:24,	internal [1] - 118:14
heretical [1] - 103:24	145:9, 145:20, 147:4	105:5, 106:11,	52:23, 61:13	internally [1] - 82:4
heritage [2] - 10:7,	Hoff [11] - 2:18, 31:6,	106:25, 108:3,	individual [4] - 10:14,	interrupt [1] - 74:5
93:21	75:17, 99:16, 100:5,	108:13, 109:22,	36:13, 36:23, 38:5	interrupting [1] -
Heritage [1] - 104:25	100:16, 138:11,	110:25, 111:3,	individually [1] - 92:6	62:23
hi [1] - 104:3	139:5, 140:14,	111:21, 116:7,	influenced [1] - 10:14	intersecting [1] - 18:9
hidden [1] - 28:13	141:10, 146:12	117:6, 121:4, 136:8	influences [1] - 9:9	introductions [1] - 2:8
high [4] - 23:12,	Hoff's [1] - 37:1	houses [5] - 22:15,	Information [1] -	intrusion [2] - 117:10,
23:13, 36:22, 94:11	Hogan [1] - 1:8 holding [1] - 128:13	24:2, 24:3, 24:14, 100:19	136:24	117:13
higher [7] - 22:17,	holiday [1] - 149:17	HP [1] - 137:4	information [17] -	investigated [2] -
23:1, 23:9, 23:22,	home [32] - 36:10,	HPC [4] - 25:3, 26:6,	46:21, 55:21, 59:3,	58:24, 115:5
33:7, 38:20, 129:10	37:6, 43:15, 56:8,	129:1, 143:18	59:4, 61:18, 62:3,	investigation [1] -
hipped [1] - 18:10	56:16, 63:16, 74:21,	huge [1] - 59:25	62:8, 62:10, 98:15,	139:24
hired [1] - 102:1	76:25, 77:25, 83:8,	humidity [1] - 94:23	101:25, 102:12,	inviting [3] - 69:2,
hires [1] - 142:6 HISTORIC [1] - 1:2	83:10, 83:25, 96:7,	hundred [3] - 100:18,	110:2, 121:18,	104:6, 127:17
	97:25, 100:20,	106:7, 114:3	122:3, 122:15,	involuntary [1] -
historic [44] - 14:5, 16:9, 17:16, 18:2,	104:7, 106:6, 111:2,	hung [2] - 93:3,	126:3, 126:24	130:16
19:5, 22:4, 22:5,	111:4, 111:17,	109:21	informed [1] - 52:5 infrastructure [3] -	involved [4] - 105:18,
19.5, 22.4, 22.5, 24:2, 35:17, 35:19,	114:14, 114:17,	husband [2] - 47:14,		117:23, 145:6, 146:7
44:7, 45:2, 47:7,	114:24, 120:8,	63:12	138:22, 143:4, 147:18	Ionia [1] - 25:13
56:5, 61:2, 63:17,	123:9, 123:11,		input [1] - 59:5	irreparability [1] - 98:17
64:5, 64:10, 64:18,	123:17, 123:20,		inside [1] - 48:21	Island [1] - 67:18
64:22, 65:7, 65:20,	124:4, 124:7,	-	inspection [1] - 44:18	issue [16] - 33:19,
66:6, 66:11, 93:24,	127:18, 135:23	idea [5] - 39:8, 53:15,	Inspection [2] - 93:13,	35:15, 47:1, 75:7,
97:5, 97:6, 103:21,	homeowner [1] -	69:9, 75:16, 144:3	97:14	79:1, 106:3, 112:22,
106:6, 111:22,	53:23	ideal [1] - 76:21	install [3] - 44:4,	112:24, 113:8,
120:8, 123:20,	Homes [1] - 20:22	ideally [1] - 76:10	59:24, 142:6	113:20, 120:5,
127:23, 129:2,	homes [8] - 16:20,	ideas [1] - 110:8	installation [2] - 60:6,	120:6, 124:2, 124:6,
129:14, 132:11,	17:14, 19:5, 22:5,	identified [1] - 10:12	64:15	127:25, 136:4
135:23, 136:6,	50:9, 93:24, 103:22,	ignore [2] - 24:21,	installed [12] - 59:9,	issued [3] - 112:20,
138:24, 140:6,	111:10	26:14	59:11, 59:18, 59:20,	114:5, 118:12
140:25, 141:22,	hope [2] - 116:1,	images [1] - 76:10	64:17, 138:18,	issues [12] - 59:13,
143:10, 148:3	149:17	immensely [1] - 90:21	138:23, 140:8,	81:16, 102:8,
	M Thanks In - D	a att Official Development	j lacksonville FL	799.07

Diang M'. Tropia', Inc'., Post' Officg Box' 2375', Jacksonvillg , FL 32203 (904) 821-0300

109:11, 115:19,	30:1, 53:14, 54:2,	91:14, 96:9, 103:18,	135:7	83:9, 83:19, 117:7,
115:21, 118:19,	74:18, 74:22, 77:2,	108:13, 128:22,	lipped [1] - 14:10	117:9, 130:17
120:3, 121:2, 121:4,	77:3, 77:19, 86:13,	137:17, 138:17,	list [2] - 6:21, 40:23	Lopera [1] - 2:11
125:3, 133:23	103:23, 104:2,	139:8, 145:23,	listed [3] - 38:15, 92:6,	LOPERA [47] - 1:19,
item [5] - 3:18, 5:6,	104:12, 108:2,	145:25, 148:16	92:8	2:11, 8:6, 38:11,
8:3, 41:21, 137:7	104:12, 100:2, 108:2, 108:10, 109:25,	latest [3] - 25:20, 27:1,		38:23, 39:15, 39:25,
Item [1] - 5:8	117:17, 132:16,	39:12	listing [1] - 97:9 lite [1] - 17:15	40:9, 40:13, 40:15,
Items [1] - 8:2	132:23, 140:5,	latter [1] - 137:18	literally [2] - 52:18,	41:23, 42:10, 73:18,
items [12] - 5:7, 6:12,	143:2, 143:5, 144:2,	lay [2] - 94:16, 95:12	61:9	79:20, 80:3, 85:24,
6:14, 6:17, 7:6, 7:20,	144:7, 144:12	layout [1] - 66:7	live [1] - 60:19	86:18, 87:20, 88:9,
7:22, 123:16, 137:8,	kitchen [3] - 95:18,	lead [2] - 59:12, 64:25		88:15, 88:18, 89:4,
137:20, 147:10	95:24, 117:15	lease [2] - 106:9,	living [3] - 32:8, 65:20, 107:3	89:9, 89:17, 89:21,
itself [5] - 82:14,	Klutho [4] - 9:10,	132:4	LM-24-08 [5] - 8:24,	89:25, 90:5, 90:24,
97:19, 98:23,	10:16, 23:13, 23:19	leased [2] - 131:18,	9:4, 11:23, 14:5,	124:15, 124:20,
100:17, 102:18	knowledge [1] -	132:3	15:20	125:17, 126:25,
100.11, 102.10	102:16	least [9] - 11:14,	local [1] - 9:6	127:7, 130:19,
J	known [7] - 5:3, 6:8,	17:19, 36:18, 52:25,	locally [1] - 140:25	130:22, 131:20,
	7:19, 42:25, 89:12,	53:14, 58:2, 92:16,	located [11] - 16:12,	131:23, 132:5,
Jack [1] - 12:11	92:5, 96:1	128:18, 128:24	16:16, 19:10, 43:8,	132:25, 133:13,
JACKSONVILLE [1] -	knows [1] - 23:11	leave [6] - 88:2, 111:1,	43:13, 69:12, 92:4,	134:8, 134:23,
1:1		111:16, 111:20,	43.13, 69.12, 92.4, 93:4, 93:25, 99:18,	137:12, 137:15,
Jacksonville [11] -	L	119:4, 119:21	100:21	140:20, 141:4, 143:7
1:8, 2:4, 11:20,	-	left [22] - 2:8, 9:23,	location [13] - 64:23,	Loretto [2] - 93:25,
11:21, 11:24, 12:12,	land [6] - 79:25, 106:9,	20:6, 24:5, 24:6,	93:10, 97:11,	94:3
15:4, 20:23, 65:14,	126:17, 127:15,	25:8, 25:14, 28:5,	100:19, 104:8,	lost [1] - 75:13
127:20, 145:25	131:19, 135:24	28:7, 29:18, 29:19,	105:19, 108:6,	love [7] - 14:15, 49:5,
Jacksonville's [3] -	Land [1] - 93:6	30:2, 30:6, 30:12,	108:16, 113:21,	49:11, 75:12, 148:7,
10:9, 10:10, 13:1	landfill [1] - 105:8	30:16, 30:20, 31:6,	122:25, 123:1,	148:22
January [2] - 118:21,	landmark [18] - 9:6,	31:22, 32:13, 38:17,	127:19, 128:8	low [2] - 3:9, 60:19
150:15	11:24, 14:24, 92:14,	116:8, 120:10	logjam [1] - 106:18	lower [1] - 21:20
job [1] - 36:9	122:5, 129:3,	left-side [1] - 29:18		luck [1] - 129:4
job [1] - 36:9 John [2] - 9:10, 10:16	122:5, 129:3, 130:14, 131:9,	left-side [1] - 29:18 leg [1] - 147:21	long-term [1] - 96:20	luck [1] - 129:4
			long-term [1] - 96:20 look [43] - 22:7, 24:9,	
John [2] - 9:10, 10:16	130:14, 131:9,	leg [1] - 147:21	long-term [1] - 96:20	M
John [2] - 9:10, 10:16 Johns [4] - 5:12, 5:18,	130:14, 131:9, 131:11, 131:14, 131:21, 133:2, 133:11, 134:6,	leg [1] - 147:21 Legal [3] - 39:10,	long-term [1] - 96:20 look [43] - 22:7, 24:9, 26:16, 27:25, 28:5,	M main [9] - 17:4, 51:7,
John [2] - 9:10, 10:16 Johns [4] - 5:12, 5:18, 6:9, 7:2	130:14, 131:9, 131:11, 131:14, 131:21, 133:2,	leg [1] - 147:21 Legal [3] - 39:10, 126:12, 129:7	long-term [1] - 96:20 look [43] - 22:7, 24:9, 26:16, 27:25, 28:5, 28:6, 32:19, 32:22,	M main [9] - 17:4, 51:7, 52:24, 70:5, 83:7,
John [2] - 9:10, 10:16 Johns [4] - 5:12, 5:18, 6:9, 7:2 join [1] - 36:21	130:14, 131:9, 131:11, 131:14, 131:21, 133:2, 133:11, 134:6, 135:6, 135:17, 136:23	leg [1] - 147:21 Legal [3] - 39:10, 126:12, 129:7 legal [1] - 133:4	long-term [1] - 96:20 look [43] - 22:7, 24:9, 26:16, 27:25, 28:5, 28:6, 32:19, 32:22, 34:2, 34:14, 34:19,	M main [9] - 17:4, 51:7, 52:24, 70:5, 83:7, 95:5, 96:7, 97:2
John [2] - 9:10, 10:16 Johns [4] - 5:12, 5:18, 6:9, 7:2 join [1] - 36:21 joists [1] - 107:13	130:14, 131:9, 131:11, 131:14, 131:21, 133:2, 133:11, 134:6, 135:6, 135:17, 136:23 Iandmark-worthy [1] -	leg [1] - 147:21 Legal [3] - 39:10, 126:12, 129:7 legal [1] - 133:4 legalities [1] - 132:22	long-term [1] - 96:20 look [43] - 22:7, 24:9, 26:16, 27:25, 28:5, 28:6, 32:19, 32:22, 34:2, 34:14, 34:19, 35:1, 35:7, 35:19,	M main [9] - 17:4, 51:7, 52:24, 70:5, 83:7, 95:5, 96:7, 97:2 maintain [3] - 78:10,
John [2] - 9:10, 10:16 Johns [4] - 5:12, 5:18, 6:9, 7:2 join [1] - 36:21 joists [1] - 107:13 Joseph [1] - 93:6	130:14, 131:9, 131:11, 131:14, 131:21, 133:2, 133:11, 134:6, 135:6, 135:17, 136:23 Jandmark-worthy [1] - 129:3	leg [1] - 147:21 Legal [3] - 39:10, 126:12, 129:7 legal [1] - 133:4 legalities [1] - 132:22 legality [1] - 133:4	long-term [1] - 96:20 look [43] - 22:7, 24:9, 26:16, 27:25, 28:5, 28:6, 32:19, 32:22, 34:2, 34:14, 34:19, 35:1, 35:7, 35:19, 35:25, 36:1, 36:2,	M main [9] - 17:4, 51:7, 52:24, 70:5, 83:7, 95:5, 96:7, 97:2 maintain [3] - 78:10, 101:9, 111:10
John [2] - 9:10, 10:16 Johns [4] - 5:12, 5:18, 6:9, 7:2 join [1] - 36:21 joists [1] - 107:13 Joseph [1] - 93:6 Jr [1] - 66:15	130:14, 131:9, 131:11, 131:14, 131:21, 133:2, 133:11, 134:6, 135:6, 135:17, 136:23 Iandmark-worthy [1] - 129:3 Iandmarked [1] - 15:3	leg [1] - 147:21 Legal [3] - 39:10, 126:12, 129:7 legal [1] - 133:4 legalities [1] - 132:22 legality [1] - 133:4 Legislation [1] - 137:7	long-term [1] - 96:20 look [43] - 22:7, 24:9, 26:16, 27:25, 28:5, 28:6, 32:19, 32:22, 34:2, 34:14, 34:19, 35:1, 35:7, 35:19, 35:25, 36:1, 36:2, 37:7, 37:9, 37:19,	M main [9] - 17:4, 51:7, 52:24, 70:5, 83:7, 95:5, 96:7, 97:2 maintain [3] - 78:10, 101:9, 111:10 maintained [1] - 48:15
John [2] - 9:10, 10:16 Johns [4] - 5:12, 5:18, 6:9, 7:2 join [1] - 36:21 joists [1] - 107:13 Joseph [1] - 93:6 Jr [1] - 66:15 JTA [5] - 138:21,	130:14, 131:9, 131:11, 131:14, 131:21, 133:2, 133:11, 134:6, 135:6, 135:17, 136:23 landmark-worthy [1] - 129:3 landmarked [1] - 15:3 landmarking [11] -	leg [1] - 147:21 Legal [3] - 39:10, 126:12, 129:7 legal [1] - 133:4 legalities [1] - 132:22 legality [1] - 133:4 Legislation [1] - 137:7 legislative [1] - 137:11	long-term [1] - 96:20 look [43] - 22:7, 24:9, 26:16, 27:25, 28:5, 28:6, 32:19, 32:22, 34:2, 34:14, 34:19, 35:1, 35:7, 35:19, 35:25, 36:1, 36:2, 37:7, 37:9, 37:19, 39:5, 47:25, 49:5,	M main [9] - 17:4, 51:7, 52:24, 70:5, 83:7, 95:5, 96:7, 97:2 maintain [3] - 78:10, 101:9, 111:10 maintained [1] - 48:15 maintaining [1] - 71:1
John [2] - 9:10, 10:16 Johns [4] - 5:12, 5:18, 6:9, 7:2 join [1] - 36:21 joists [1] - 107:13 Joseph [1] - 93:6 Jr [1] - 66:15 JTA [5] - 138:21, 139:6, 139:7, 142:6, 142:23 judicial [1] - 55:10	130:14, 131:9, 131:11, 131:14, 131:21, 133:2, 133:11, 134:6, 135:6, 135:17, 136:23 landmark-worthy [1] - 129:3 landmarked [1] - 15:3 landmarking [11] - 15:10, 92:18, 93:18,	leg [1] - 147:21 Legal [3] - 39:10, 126:12, 129:7 legal [1] - 133:4 legalities [1] - 132:22 legality [1] - 133:4 Legislation [1] - 137:7 legislative [1] - 137:11 lend [1] - 144:9	long-term [1] - 96:20 look [43] - 22:7, 24:9, 26:16, 27:25, 28:5, 28:6, 32:19, 32:22, 34:2, 34:14, 34:19, 35:1, 35:7, 35:19, 35:25, 36:1, 36:2, 37:7, 37:9, 37:19, 39:5, 47:25, 49:5, 49:6, 50:9, 61:2,	M main [9] - 17:4, 51:7, 52:24, 70:5, 83:7, 95:5, 96:7, 97:2 maintain [3] - 78:10, 101:9, 111:10 maintained [1] - 48:15 maintaining [1] - 71:1 maintenance [1] -
John [2] - 9:10, 10:16 Johns [4] - 5:12, 5:18, 6:9, 7:2 join [1] - 36:21 joists [1] - 107:13 Joseph [1] - 93:6 Jr [1] - 66:15 JTA [5] - 138:21, 139:6, 139:7, 142:6, 142:23	130:14, 131:9, 131:11, 131:14, 131:21, 133:2, 133:11, 134:6, 135:6, 135:17, 136:23 landmark-worthy [1] - 129:3 landmarked [1] - 15:3 landmarking [11] - 15:10, 92:18, 93:18, 99:2, 125:23, 126:5,	leg [1] - 147:21 Legal [3] - 39:10, 126:12, 129:7 legal [1] - 133:4 legalities [1] - 132:22 legality [1] - 133:4 Legislation [1] - 137:7 legislative [1] - 137:11 lend [1] - 144:9 less [3] - 109:5,	long-term [1] - 96:20 look [43] - 22:7, 24:9, 26:16, 27:25, 28:5, 28:6, 32:19, 32:22, 34:2, 34:14, 34:19, 35:1, 35:7, 35:19, 35:25, 36:1, 36:2, 37:7, 37:9, 37:19, 39:5, 47:25, 49:5, 49:6, 50:9, 61:2, 61:3, 66:18, 74:22,	M main [9] - 17:4, 51:7, 52:24, 70:5, 83:7, 95:5, 96:7, 97:2 maintain [3] - 78:10, 101:9, 111:10 maintained [1] - 48:15 maintaining [1] - 71:1 maintenance [1] - 98:8
John [2] - 9:10, 10:16 Johns [4] - 5:12, 5:18, 6:9, 7:2 join [1] - 36:21 joists [1] - 107:13 Joseph [1] - 93:6 Jr [1] - 66:15 JTA [5] - 138:21, 139:6, 139:7, 142:6, 142:23 judicial [1] - 55:10	130:14, 131:9, 131:11, 131:14, 131:21, 133:2, 133:11, 134:6, 135:6, 135:17, 136:23 landmark-worthy [1] - 129:3 landmarked [1] - 15:3 landmarking [11] - 15:10, 92:18, 93:18, 99:2, 125:23, 126:5, 131:1, 133:14,	leg [1] - 147:21 Legal [3] - 39:10, 126:12, 129:7 legal [1] - 133:4 legalities [1] - 132:22 legality [1] - 133:4 Legislation [1] - 137:7 legislative [1] - 137:11 lend [1] - 144:9 less [3] - 109:5, 115:11, 140:6	long-term [1] - 96:20 look [43] - 22:7, 24:9, 26:16, 27:25, 28:5, 28:6, 32:19, 32:22, 34:2, 34:14, 34:19, 35:1, 35:7, 35:19, 35:25, 36:1, 36:2, 37:7, 37:9, 37:19, 39:5, 47:25, 49:5, 49:6, 50:9, 61:2, 61:3, 66:18, 74:22, 76:14, 80:21, 108:9,	M main [9] - 17:4, 51:7, 52:24, 70:5, 83:7, 95:5, 96:7, 97:2 maintain [3] - 78:10, 101:9, 111:10 maintained [1] - 48:15 maintaining [1] - 71:1 maintenance [1] - 98:8 major [1] - 124:6
John [2] - 9:10, 10:16 Johns [4] - 5:12, 5:18, 6:9, 7:2 join [1] - 36:21 joists [1] - 107:13 Joseph [1] - 93:6 Jr [1] - 66:15 JTA [5] - 138:21, 139:6, 139:7, 142:6, 142:23 judicial [1] - 55:10 JULIA [1] - 1:14	130:14, 131:9, 131:11, 131:14, 131:21, 133:2, 133:11, 134:6, 135:6, 135:17, 136:23 landmark-worthy [1] - 129:3 landmarked [1] - 15:3 landmarking [11] - 15:10, 92:18, 93:18, 99:2, 125:23, 126:5, 131:1, 133:14, 134:12, 134:25,	leg [1] - 147:21 Legal [3] - 39:10, 126:12, 129:7 legal [1] - 133:4 legalities [1] - 132:22 legality [1] - 133:4 Legislation [1] - 137:7 legislative [1] - 137:11 lend [1] - 144:9 less [3] - 109:5, 115:11, 140:6 lesser [1] - 30:22	long-term [1] - 96:20 look [43] - 22:7, 24:9, 26:16, 27:25, 28:5, 28:6, 32:19, 32:22, 34:2, 34:14, 34:19, 35:1, 35:7, 35:19, 35:25, 36:1, 36:2, 37:7, 37:9, 37:19, 39:5, 47:25, 49:5, 49:6, 50:9, 61:2, 61:3, 66:18, 74:22, 76:14, 80:21, 108:9, 115:8, 117:5, 117:10, 123:6, 125:16, 132:6,	M main [9] - 17:4, 51:7, 52:24, 70:5, 83:7, 95:5, 96:7, 97:2 maintain [3] - 78:10, 101:9, 111:10 maintained [1] - 48:15 maintaining [1] - 71:1 maintenance [1] - 98:8 major [1] - 124:6 majority [2] - 64:12,
John [2] - 9:10, 10:16 Johns [4] - 5:12, 5:18, 6:9, 7:2 join [1] - 36:21 joists [1] - 107:13 Joseph [1] - 93:6 Jr [1] - 66:15 JTA [5] - 138:21, 139:6, 139:7, 142:6, 142:23 judicial [1] - 55:10 JULIA [1] - 1:14 Julia [1] - 2:13 June [2] - 105:22, 138:6	130:14, 131:9, 131:11, 131:14, 131:21, 133:2, 133:11, 134:6, 135:6, 135:17, 136:23 landmark-worthy [1] - 129:3 landmarked [1] - 15:3 landmarking [11] - 15:10, 92:18, 93:18, 99:2, 125:23, 126:5, 131:1, 133:14, 134:12, 134:25, 136:7	leg [1] - 147:21 Legal [3] - 39:10, 126:12, 129:7 legal [1] - 133:4 legalities [1] - 132:22 legality [1] - 133:4 Legislation [1] - 137:7 legislative [1] - 137:11 lend [1] - 144:9 less [3] - 109:5, 115:11, 140:6 lesser [1] - 30:22 letter [7] - 66:15,	long-term [1] - 96:20 look [43] - 22:7, 24:9, 26:16, 27:25, 28:5, 28:6, 32:19, 32:22, 34:2, 34:14, 34:19, 35:1, 35:7, 35:19, 35:25, 36:1, 36:2, 37:7, 37:9, 37:19, 39:5, 47:25, 49:5, 49:6, 50:9, 61:2, 61:3, 66:18, 74:22, 76:14, 80:21, 108:9, 115:8, 117:5, 117:10, 123:6, 125:16, 132:6, 140:6, 140:9, 143:11	M main [9] - 17:4, 51:7, 52:24, 70:5, 83:7, 95:5, 96:7, 97:2 maintain [3] - 78:10, 101:9, 111:10 maintained [1] - 48:15 maintaining [1] - 71:1 maintenance [1] - 98:8 major [1] - 124:6 majority [2] - 64:12, 97:8
John [2] - 9:10, 10:16 Johns [4] - 5:12, 5:18, 6:9, 7:2 join [1] - 36:21 joists [1] - 107:13 Joseph [1] - 93:6 Jr [1] - 66:15 JTA [5] - 138:21, 139:6, 139:7, 142:6, 142:23 judicial [1] - 55:10 JULIA [1] - 1:14 Julia [1] - 2:13 June [2] - 105:22, 138:6 justice [1] - 53:2	130:14, 131:9, 131:11, 131:14, 131:21, 133:2, 133:11, 134:6, 135:6, 135:17, 136:23 landmark-worthy [1] - 129:3 landmarked [1] - 15:3 landmarking [11] - 15:10, 92:18, 93:18, 99:2, 125:23, 126:5, 131:1, 133:14, 134:12, 134:25, 136:7 landmarks [2] - 96:12,	leg [1] - 147:21 $Legal [3] - 39:10,$ $126:12, 129:7$ $legal [1] - 133:4$ $legalities [1] - 132:22$ $legality [1] - 133:4$ $Legislation [1] - 137:7$ $legislative [1] - 137:11$ $lend [1] - 144:9$ $less [3] - 109:5,$ $115:11, 140:6$ $lesser [1] - 30:22$ $letter [7] - 66:15,$ $66:16, 97:20, 97:23,$	long-term [1] - 96:20 look [43] - 22:7, 24:9, 26:16, 27:25, 28:5, 28:6, 32:19, 32:22, 34:2, 34:14, 34:19, 35:1, 35:7, 35:19, 35:25, 36:1, 36:2, 37:7, 37:9, 37:19, 39:5, 47:25, 49:5, 49:6, 50:9, 61:2, 61:3, 66:18, 74:22, 76:14, 80:21, 108:9, 115:8, 117:5, 117:10, 123:6, 125:16, 132:6, 140:6, 140:9, 143:111 looked [3] - 107:10,	M main [9] - 17:4, 51:7, 52:24, 70:5, 83:7, 95:5, 96:7, 97:2 maintain [3] - 78:10, 101:9, 111:10 maintained [1] - 48:15 maintaining [1] - 71:1 maintenance [1] - 98:8 major [1] - 124:6 majority [2] - 64:12, 97:8 Mandarin [7] - 93:5,
John [2] - 9:10, 10:16 Johns [4] - 5:12, 5:18, 6:9, 7:2 join [1] - 36:21 joists [1] - 107:13 Joseph [1] - 93:6 Jr [1] - 66:15 JTA [5] - 138:21, 139:6, 139:7, 142:6, 142:23 judicial [1] - 55:10 JULIA [1] - 1:14 Julia [1] - 2:13 June [2] - 105:22, 138:6	130:14, 131:9, 131:11, 131:14, 131:21, 133:2, 133:11, 134:6, 135:6, 135:17, 136:23 landmark-worthy [1] - 129:3 landmarking [11] - 15:10, 92:18, 93:18, 99:2, 125:23, 126:5, 131:1, 133:14, 134:12, 134:25, 136:7 landmarks [2] - 96:12, 96:22	leg [1] - 147:21 $Legal [3] - 39:10,$ $126:12, 129:7$ $legal [1] - 133:4$ $legalities [1] - 132:22$ $legality [1] - 133:4$ $Legislation [1] - 137:7$ $legislative [1] - 137:11$ $lend [1] - 144:9$ $less [3] - 109:5,$ $115:11, 140:6$ $lesser [1] - 30:22$ $letter [7] - 66:15,$ $66:16, 97:20, 97:23,$ $98:20, 120:3$	long-term [1] - 96:20 look [43] - 22:7, 24:9, 26:16, 27:25, 28:5, 28:6, 32:19, 32:22, 34:2, 34:14, 34:19, 35:1, 35:7, 35:19, 35:25, 36:1, 36:2, 37:7, 37:9, 37:19, 39:5, 47:25, 49:5, 49:6, 50:9, 61:2, 61:3, 66:18, 74:22, 76:14, 80:21, 108:9, 115:8, 117:5, 117:10, 123:6, 125:16, 132:6, 140:6, 140:9, 143:11 looked [3] - 107:10, 115:8, 115:18	M main [9] - 17:4, 51:7, 52:24, 70:5, 83:7, 95:5, 96:7, 97:2 maintain [3] - 78:10, 101:9, 111:10 maintained [1] - 48:15 maintaining [1] - 71:1 maintenance [1] - 98:8 major [1] - 124:6 majority [2] - 64:12, 97:8 Mandarin [7] - 93:5, 94:1, 99:11, 104:9,
$\label{eq:constraints} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{John}\left[2\right] - 9:10, 10:16\\ \textbf{Johns}\left[4\right] - 5:12, 5:18, \\ 6:9, 7:2\\ \textbf{join}\left[1\right] - 36:21\\ \textbf{joists}\left[1\right] - 107:13\\ \textbf{Joseph}\left[1\right] - 93:6\\ \textbf{Jr}\left[1\right] - 66:15\\ \textbf{JTA}\left[5\right] - 138:21, \\ 139:6, 139:7, 142:6, \\ 142:23\\ \textbf{judicial}\left[1\right] - 55:10\\ \textbf{JULIA}\left[1\right] - 1:14\\ \textbf{Julia}\left[1\right] - 2:13\\ \textbf{June}\left[2\right] - 105:22, \\ 138:6\\ \textbf{justice}\left[1\right] - 53:2\\ \textbf{justify}\left[1\right] - 74:22\\ \end{array} \right.$	130:14, 131:9, 131:11, 131:14, 131:21, 133:2, 133:11, 134:6, 135:6, 135:17, 136:23 landmark-worthy [1] - 129:3 landmarked [1] - 15:3 landmarking [11] - 15:10, 92:18, 93:18, 99:2, 125:23, 126:5, 131:1, 133:14, 134:12, 134:25, 136:7 landmarks [2] - 96:12, 96:22 language [2] - 127:9,	leg [1] - 147:21 Legal [3] - 39:10, 126:12, 129:7 legal [1] - 133:4 legalities [1] - 132:22 legality [1] - 133:4 Legislation [1] - 137:7 legislative [1] - 137:71 lend [1] - 144:9 less [3] - 109:5, 115:11, 140:6 lesser [1] - 30:22 letter [7] - 66:15, 66:16, 97:20, 97:23, 98:20, 120:3 level [6] - 22:17, 45:8, 47:20, 129:10, 130:11, 132:9	long-term [1] - 96:20 look [43] - 22:7, 24:9, 26:16, 27:25, 28:5, 28:6, 32:19, 32:22, 34:2, 34:14, 34:19, 35:1, 35:7, 35:19, 35:25, 36:1, 36:2, 37:7, 37:9, 37:19, 39:5, 47:25, 49:5, 49:6, 50:9, 61:2, 61:3, 66:18, 74:22, 76:14, 80:21, 108:9, 115:8, 117:5, 117:10, 123:6, 125:16, 132:6, 140:6, 140:9, 143:11 looked [3] - 107:10, 115:8, 115:18 looking [19] - 15:9,	M main [9] - 17:4, 51:7, 52:24, 70:5, 83:7, 95:5, 96:7, 97:2 maintain [3] - 78:10, 101:9, 111:10 maintained [1] - 48:15 maintaining [1] - 71:1 maintenance [1] - 98:8 major [1] - 124:6 majority [2] - 64:12, 97:8 Mandarin [7] - 93:5, 94:1, 99:11, 104:9, 104:19, 120:10,
John [2] - 9:10, 10:16 Johns [4] - 5:12, 5:18, 6:9, 7:2 join [1] - 36:21 joists [1] - 107:13 Joseph [1] - 93:6 Jr [1] - 66:15 JTA [5] - 138:21, 139:6, 139:7, 142:6, 142:23 judicial [1] - 55:10 JULIA [1] - 1:14 Julia [1] - 2:13 June [2] - 105:22, 138:6 justice [1] - 53:2	130:14, 131:9, 131:11, 131:14, 131:21, 133:2, 133:11, 134:6, 135:6, 135:17, 136:23 landmark-worthy [1] - 129:3 landmarked [1] - 15:3 landmarking [11] - 15:10, 92:18, 93:18, 99:2, 125:23, 126:5, 131:1, 133:14, 134:12, 134:25, 136:7 landmarks [2] - 96:12, 96:22 language [2] - 127:9, 128:4	leg [1] - 147:21 $Legal [3] - 39:10,$ $126:12, 129:7$ $legal [1] - 133:4$ $legalities [1] - 132:22$ $legality [1] - 133:4$ $Legislation [1] - 137:7$ $legislative [1] - 137:11$ $lend [1] - 144:9$ $less [3] - 109:5,$ $115:11, 140:6$ $lesser [1] - 30:22$ $letter [7] - 66:15,$ $66:16, 97:20, 97:23,$ $98:20, 120:3$ $level [6] - 22:17, 45:8,$ $47:20, 129:10,$ $130:11, 132:9$ $levels [1] - 94:24$	long-term [1] - 96:20 look [43] - 22:7, 24:9, 26:16, 27:25, 28:5, 28:6, 32:19, 32:22, 34:2, 34:14, 34:19, 35:1, 35:7, 35:19, 35:25, 36:1, 36:2, 37:7, 37:9, 37:19, 39:5, 47:25, 49:5, 49:6, 50:9, 61:2, 61:3, 66:18, 74:22, 76:14, 80:21, 108:9, 115:8, 117:5, 117:10, 123:6, 125:16, 132:6, 140:6, 140:9, 143:11 looked [3] - 107:10, 115:8, 115:18 looking [19] - 15:9, 31:6, 31:24, 32:4,	M main [9] - 17:4, 51:7, 52:24, 70:5, 83:7, 95:5, 96:7, 97:2 maintain [3] - 78:10, 101:9, 111:10 maintained [1] - 48:15 maintaining [1] - 71:1 maintenance [1] - 98:8 major [1] - 124:6 majority [2] - 64:12, 97:8 Mandarin [7] - 93:5, 94:1, 99:11, 104:9, 104:19, 120:10, 127:21
John [2] - 9:10, 10:16 Johns [4] - 5:12, 5:18, 6:9, 7:2 join [1] - 36:21 joists [1] - 107:13 Joseph [1] - 93:6 Jr [1] - 66:15 JTA [5] - 138:21, 139:6, 139:7, 142:6, 142:23 judicial [1] - 55:10 JULIA [1] - 1:14 Julia [1] - 2:13 June [2] - 105:22, 138:6 justice [1] - 53:2 justify [1] - 74:22 K	130:14, 131:9, 131:11, 131:14, 131:21, 133:2, 133:11, 134:6, 135:6, 135:17, 136:23 landmark-worthy [1] - 129:3 landmarking [11] - 15:10, 92:18, 93:18, 99:2, 125:23, 126:5, 131:1, 133:14, 134:12, 134:25, 136:7 landmarks [2] - 96:12, 96:22 language [2] - 127:9, 128:4 lap [9] - 16:23, 21:20,	leg [1] - 147:21 $Legal [3] - 39:10,$ $126:12, 129:7$ $legal [1] - 133:4$ $legalities [1] - 132:22$ $legality [1] - 133:4$ $Legislation [1] - 137:7$ $legislative [1] - 137:71$ $lend [1] - 144:9$ $less [3] - 109:5,$ $115:11, 140:6$ $lesser [1] - 30:22$ $letter [7] - 66:15,$ $66:16, 97:20, 97:23,$ $98:20, 120:3$ $level [6] - 22:17, 45:8,$ $47:20, 129:10,$ $130:11, 132:9$ $levels [1] - 94:24$ $Liberty [1] - 69:16$	long-term [1] - 96:20 look [43] - 22:7, 24:9, 26:16, 27:25, 28:5, 28:6, 32:19, 32:22, 34:2, 34:14, 34:19, 35:1, 35:7, 35:19, 35:25, 36:1, 36:2, 37:7, 37:9, 37:19, 39:5, 47:25, 49:5, 49:6, 50:9, 61:2, 61:3, 66:18, 74:22, 76:14, 80:21, 108:9, 115:8, 117:5, 117:10, 123:6, 125:16, 132:6, 140:6, 140:9, 143:111 looked [3] - 107:10, 115:8, 115:18 looking [19] - 15:9, 31:6, 31:24, 32:4, 35:12, 47:3, 47:7,	M main [9] - 17:4, 51:7, 52:24, 70:5, 83:7, 95:5, 96:7, 97:2 maintain [3] - 78:10, 101:9, 111:10 maintained [1] - 48:15 maintaining [1] - 71:1 maintenance [1] - 98:8 major [1] - 124:6 majority [2] - 64:12, 97:8 Mandarin [7] - 93:5, 94:1, 99:11, 104:9, 104:19, 120:10, 127:21 manipulate [1] - 21:23
John [2] - 9:10, 10:16 Johns [4] - 5:12, 5:18, 6:9, 7:2 join [1] - 36:21 joists [1] - 107:13 Joseph [1] - 93:6 Jr [1] - 66:15 JTA [5] - 138:21, 139:6, 139:7, 142:6, 142:23 judicial [1] - 55:10 JULIA [1] - 1:14 Julia [1] - 2:13 June [2] - 105:22, 138:6 justice [1] - 53:2 justify [1] - 74:22 K keep [14] - 3:8, 25:9,	130:14, 131:9, 131:11, 131:14, 131:21, 133:2, 133:11, 134:6, 135:6, 135:17, 136:23 landmark-worthy [1] - 129:3 landmarking [11] - 15:10, 92:18, 93:18, 99:2, 125:23, 126:5, 131:1, 133:14, 134:12, 134:25, 136:7 landmarks [2] - 96:12, 96:22 language [2] - 127:9, 128:4 lap [9] - 16:23, 21:20, 21:21, 24:25, 26:2,	leg [1] - 147:21 $Legal [3] - 39:10,$ $126:12, 129:7$ $legal [1] - 133:4$ $legalities [1] - 132:22$ $legality [1] - 133:4$ $Legislation [1] - 137:7$ $legislative [1] - 137:11$ $lend [1] - 144:9$ $less [3] - 109:5,$ $115:11, 140:6$ $lesser [1] - 30:22$ $letter [7] - 66:15,$ $66:16, 97:20, 97:23,$ $98:20, 120:3$ $level [6] - 22:17, 45:8,$ $47:20, 129:10,$ $130:11, 132:9$ $levels [1] - 94:24$	long-term [1] - 96:20 look [43] - 22:7, 24:9, 26:16, 27:25, 28:5, 28:6, 32:19, 32:22, 34:2, 34:14, 34:19, 35:1, 35:7, 35:19, 35:25, 36:1, 36:2, 37:7, 37:9, 37:19, 39:5, 47:25, 49:5, 49:6, 50:9, 61:2, 61:3, 66:18, 74:22, 76:14, 80:21, 108:9, 115:8, 117:5, 117:10, 123:6, 125:16, 132:6, 140:6, 140:9, 143:111 looked [3] - 107:10, 115:8, 115:18 looking [19] - 15:9, 31:6, 31:24, 32:4, 35:12, 47:3, 47:7, 57:8, 58:12, 63:17,	M main [9] - 17:4, 51:7, 52:24, 70:5, 83:7, 95:5, 96:7, 97:2 maintain [3] - 78:10, 101:9, 111:10 maintained [1] - 48:15 maintaining [1] - 71:1 maintenance [1] - 98:8 major [1] - 124:6 majority [2] - 64:12, 97:8 Mandarin [7] - 93:5, 94:1, 99:11, 104:9, 104:19, 120:10, 127:21 manipulate [1] - 21:23 map [3] - 68:15, 83:5,
John [2] - 9:10, 10:16 Johns [4] - 5:12, 5:18, 6:9, 7:2 join [1] - 36:21 joists [1] - 107:13 Joseph [1] - 93:6 Jr [1] - 66:15 JTA [5] - 138:21, 139:6, 139:7, 142:6, 142:23 judicial [1] - 55:10 JULIA [1] - 1:14 Julia [1] - 2:13 June [2] - 105:22, 138:6 justice [1] - 53:2 justify [1] - 74:22 K keep [14] - 3:8, 25:9, 27:22, 27:23, 33:5,	130:14, 131:9, 131:11, 131:14, 131:21, 133:2, 133:11, 134:6, 135:6, 135:17, 136:23 landmark-worthy [1] - 129:3 landmarked [1] - 15:3 landmarking [11] - 15:10, 92:18, 93:18, 99:2, 125:23, 126:5, 131:1, 133:14, 134:12, 134:25, 136:7 landmarks [2] - 96:12, 96:22 language [2] - 127:9, 128:4 lap [9] - 16:23, 21:20, 21:21, 24:25, 26:2, 26:3, 27:9, 27:10	leg [1] - 147:21 Legal [3] - 39:10, 126:12, 129:7 legal [1] - 133:4 legalities [1] - 132:22 legality [1] - 133:4 Legislation [1] - 137:7 legislative [1] - 137:71 lend [1] - 144:9 less [3] - 109:5, 115:11, 140:6 lesser [1] - 30:22 letter [7] - 66:15, 66:16, 97:20, 97:23, 98:20, 120:3 level [6] - 22:17, 45:8, 47:20, 129:10, 130:11, 132:9 levels [1] - 94:24 Liberty [1] - 69:16	long-term [1] - 96:20 look [43] - 22:7, 24:9, 26:16, 27:25, 28:5, 28:6, 32:19, 32:22, 34:2, 34:14, 34:19, 35:1, 35:7, 35:19, 35:25, 36:1, 36:2, 37:7, 37:9, 37:19, 39:5, 47:25, 49:5, 49:6, 50:9, 61:2, 61:3, 66:18, 74:22, 76:14, 80:21, 108:9, 115:8, 117:5, 117:10, 123:6, 125:16, 132:6, 140:6, 140:9, 143:11 looked [3] - 107:10, 115:8, 115:18 looking [19] - 15:9, 31:6, 31:24, 32:4, 35:12, 47:3, 47:7, 57:8, 58:12, 63:17, 80:17, 83:18,	M main [9] - 17:4, 51:7, 52:24, 70:5, 83:7, 95:5, 96:7, 97:2 maintain [3] - 78:10, 101:9, 111:10 maintained [1] - 48:15 maintaining [1] - 71:1 maintenance [1] - 98:8 major [1] - 124:6 majority [2] - 64:12, 97:8 Mandarin [7] - 93:5, 94:1, 99:11, 104:9, 104:19, 120:10, 127:21 manipulate [1] - 21:23 map [3] - 68:15, 83:5, 83:19
John [2] - 9:10, 10:16 Johns [4] - 5:12, 5:18, 6:9, 7:2 join [1] - 36:21 joists [1] - 107:13 Joseph [1] - 93:6 Jr [1] - 66:15 JTA [5] - 138:21, 139:6, 139:7, 142:6, 142:23 judicial [1] - 55:10 JULIA [1] - 1:14 Julia [1] - 2:13 June [2] - 105:22, 138:6 justice [1] - 53:2 justify [1] - 74:22 K keep [14] - 3:8, 25:9, 27:22, 27:23, 33:5, 54:23, 61:2, 63:13,	130:14, 131:9, 131:11, 131:14, 131:21, 133:2, 133:11, 134:6, 135:6, 135:17, 136:23 landmark-worthy [1] - 129:3 landmarking [11] - 15:10, 92:18, 93:18, 99:2, 125:23, 126:5, 131:1, 133:14, 134:12, 134:25, 136:7 landmarks [2] - 96:12, 96:22 language [2] - 127:9, 128:4 lap [9] - 16:23, 21:20, 21:21, 24:25, 26:2, 26:3, 27:9, 27:10 large [3] - 9:21, 11:1,	$\begin{split} & \text{leg [1]} - 147:21 \\ & \text{Legal [3]} - 39:10, \\ & 126:12, 129:7 \\ & \text{legal [1]} - 133:4 \\ & \text{legalities [1]} - 132:22 \\ & \text{legality [1]} - 133:4 \\ & \text{Legislation [1]} - 137:7 \\ & \text{legislative [1]} - 137:7 \\ & \text{legislative [1]} - 137:11 \\ & \text{lend [1]} - 144:9 \\ & \text{less [3]} - 109:5, \\ & 115:11, 140:6 \\ & \text{lesser [1]} - 30:22 \\ & \text{letter [7]} - 66:15, \\ & 66:16, 97:20, 97:23, \\ & 98:20, 120:3 \\ & \text{level [6]} - 22:17, 45:8, \\ & 47:20, 129:10, \\ & 130:11, 132:9 \\ & \text{levels [1]} - 94:24 \\ & & \text{Liberty [1]} - 69:16 \\ & \text{light [4]} - 32:3, 32:6, \\ \end{split}$	long-term [1] - 96:20 look [43] - 22:7, 24:9, 26:16, 27:25, 28:5, 28:6, 32:19, 32:22, 34:2, 34:14, 34:19, 35:1, 35:7, 35:19, 35:25, 36:1, 36:2, 37:7, 37:9, 37:19, 39:5, 47:25, 49:5, 49:6, 50:9, 61:2, 61:3, 66:18, 74:22, 76:14, 80:21, 108:9, 115:8, 117:5, 117:10, 123:6, 125:16, 132:6, 140:6, 140:9, 143:11 looked [3] - 107:10, 115:8, 115:18 looking [19] - 15:9, 31:6, 31:24, 32:4, 35:12, 47:3, 47:7, 57:8, 58:12, 63:17, 80:17, 83:18, 102:17, 111:22,	M main [9] - 17:4, 51:7, 52:24, 70:5, 83:7, 95:5, 96:7, 97:2 maintain [3] - 78:10, 101:9, 111:10 maintained [1] - 48:15 maintaining [1] - 71:1 maintenance [1] - 98:8 major [1] - 124:6 majority [2] - 64:12, 97:8 Mandarin [7] - 93:5, 94:1, 99:11, 104:9, 104:19, 120:10, 127:21 manipulate [1] - 21:23 map [3] - 68:15, 83:5, 83:19 Maps [1] - 66:8
John [2] - 9:10, 10:16 Johns [4] - 5:12, 5:18, 6:9, 7:2 join [1] - 36:21 joists [1] - 107:13 Joseph [1] - 93:6 Jr [1] - 66:15 JTA [5] - 138:21, 139:6, 139:7, 142:6, 142:23 judicial [1] - 55:10 JULIA [1] - 1:14 Julia [1] - 2:13 June [2] - 105:22, 138:6 justice [1] - 53:2 justify [1] - 74:22 K keep [14] - 3:8, 25:9, 27:22, 27:23, 33:5, 54:23, 61:2, 63:13, 63:17, 71:12, 71:15,	130:14, 131:9, 131:11, 131:14, 131:21, 133:2, 133:11, 134:6, 135:6, 135:17, 136:23 landmark-worthy [1] - 129:3 landmarking [11] - 15:10, 92:18, 93:18, 99:2, 125:23, 126:5, 131:1, 133:14, 134:12, 134:25, 136:7 landmarks [2] - 96:12, 96:22 language [2] - 127:9, 128:4 lap [9] - 16:23, 21:20, 21:21, 24:25, 26:2, 26:3, 27:9, 27:10 large [3] - 9:21, 11:1, 74:20	leg [1] - 147:21 $Legal [3] - 39:10,$ $126:12, 129:7$ $legal [1] - 133:4$ $legalities [1] - 132:22$ $legality [1] - 133:4$ $Legislation [1] - 137:7$ $legislative [1] - 137:71$ $lend [1] - 144:9$ $less [3] - 109:5,$ $115:11, 140:6$ $lesser [1] - 30:22$ $letter [7] - 66:15,$ $66:16, 97:20, 97:23,$ $98:20, 120:3$ $level [6] - 22:17, 45:8,$ $47:20, 129:10,$ $130:11, 132:9$ $levels [1] - 94:24$ $Liberty [1] - 69:16$ $light [4] - 32:3, 32:6,$ $32:10, 33:2$	long-term [1] - 96:20 look [43] - 22:7, 24:9, 26:16, 27:25, 28:5, 28:6, 32:19, 32:22, 34:2, 34:14, 34:19, 35:1, 35:7, 35:19, 35:25, 36:1, 36:2, 37:7, 37:9, 37:19, 39:5, 47:25, 49:5, 49:6, 50:9, 61:2, 61:3, 66:18, 74:22, 76:14, 80:21, 108:9, 115:8, 117:5, 117:10, 123:6, 125:16, 132:6, 140:6, 140:9, 143:11 looked [3] - 107:10, 115:8, 115:18 looking [19] - 15:9, 31:6, 31:24, 32:4, 35:12, 47:3, 47:7, 57:8, 58:12, 63:17, 80:17, 83:18, 102:17, 111:22, 117:8, 120:25,	M main [9] - 17:4, 51:7, 52:24, 70:5, 83:7, 95:5, 96:7, 97:2 maintain [3] - 78:10, 101:9, 111:10 maintained [1] - 48:15 maintaining [1] - 71:1 maintenance [1] - 98:8 major [1] - 124:6 majority [2] - 64:12, 97:8 Mandarin [7] - 93:5, 94:1, 99:11, 104:9, 104:19, 120:10, 127:21 manipulate [1] - 21:23 map [3] - 68:15, 83:5, 83:19 Maps [1] - 66:8 maps [1] - 57:9
John [2] - 9:10, 10:16 Johns [4] - 5:12, 5:18, 6:9, 7:2 join [1] - 36:21 joists [1] - 107:13 Joseph [1] - 93:6 Jr [1] - 66:15 JTA [5] - 138:21, 139:6, 139:7, 142:6, 142:23 judicial [1] - 55:10 JULIA [1] - 1:14 Julia [1] - 2:13 June [2] - 105:22, 138:6 justice [1] - 53:2 justify [1] - 74:22 K keep [14] - 3:8, 25:9, 27:22, 27:23, 33:5, 54:23, 61:2, 63:13, 63:17, 71:12, 71:15, 91:17, 91:18, 129:9	130:14, 131:9, 131:11, 131:14, 131:21, 133:2, 133:11, 134:6, 135:6, 135:17, 136:23 landmark-worthy [1] - 129:3 landmarking [11] - 15:10, 92:18, 93:18, 99:2, 125:23, 126:5, 131:1, 133:14, 134:12, 134:25, 136:7 landmarks [2] - 96:12, 96:22 language [2] - 127:9, 128:4 lap [9] - 16:23, 21:20, 21:21, 24:25, 26:2, 26:3, 27:9, 27:10 large [3] - 9:21, 11:1, 74:20 Large [1] - 1:10	leg [1] - 147:21 $Legal [3] - 39:10,$ $126:12, 129:7$ $legal [1] - 133:4$ $legalities [1] - 132:22$ $legality [1] - 133:4$ $Legislation [1] - 137:7$ $legislative [1] - 137:71$ $lend [1] - 144:9$ $less [3] - 109:5,$ $115:11, 140:6$ $lesser [1] - 30:22$ $letter [7] - 66:15,$ $66:16, 97:20, 97:23,$ $98:20, 120:3$ $level [6] - 22:17, 45:8,$ $47:20, 129:10,$ $130:11, 132:9$ $levels [1] - 94:24$ $Liberty [1] - 69:16$ $light [4] - 32:3, 32:6,$ $32:10, 33:2$ $limited [2] - 4:2, 98:15$	long-term [1] - 96:20 look [43] - 22:7, 24:9, 26:16, 27:25, 28:5, 28:6, 32:19, 32:22, 34:2, 34:14, 34:19, 35:1, 35:7, 35:19, 35:25, 36:1, 36:2, 37:7, 37:9, 37:19, 39:5, 47:25, 49:5, 49:6, 50:9, 61:2, 61:3, 66:18, 74:22, 76:14, 80:21, 108:9, 115:8, 117:5, 117:10, 123:6, 125:16, 132:6, 140:6, 140:9, 143:11 looked [3] - 107:10, 115:8, 115:18 looking [19] - 15:9, 31:6, 31:24, 32:4, 35:12, 47:3, 47:7, 57:8, 58:12, 63:17, 80:17, 83:18, 102:17, 111:22, 117:8, 120:25, 129:2, 141:11,	M main [9] - 17:4, 51:7, 52:24, 70:5, 83:7, 95:5, 96:7, 97:2 maintain [3] - 78:10, 101:9, 111:10 maintained [1] - 48:15 maintaining [1] - 71:1 maintenance [1] - 98:8 major [1] - 124:6 majority [2] - 64:12, 97:8 Mandarin [7] - 93:5, 94:1, 99:11, 104:9, 104:19, 120:10, 127:21 manipulate [1] - 21:23 map [3] - 68:15, 83:5, 83:19 Maps [1] - 66:8 maps [1] - 57:9 mark [1] - 3:17
John [2] - 9:10, 10:16 Johns [4] - 5:12, 5:18, 6:9, 7:2 join [1] - 36:21 joists [1] - 107:13 Joseph [1] - 93:6 Jr [1] - 66:15 JTA [5] - 138:21, 139:6, 139:7, 142:6, 142:23 judicial [1] - 55:10 JULIA [1] - 1:14 Julia [1] - 2:13 June [2] - 105:22, 138:6 justice [1] - 53:2 justify [1] - 74:22 K keep [14] - 3:8, 25:9, 27:22, 27:23, 33:5, 54:23, 61:2, 63:13, 63:17, 71:12, 71:15, 91:17, 91:18, 129:9 keeping [3] - 35:21,	130:14, 131:9, 131:11, 131:14, 131:21, 133:2, 133:11, 134:6, 135:6, 135:17, 136:23 landmark-worthy [1] - 129:3 landmarking [11] - 15:10, 92:18, 93:18, 99:2, 125:23, 126:5, 131:1, 133:14, 134:12, 134:25, 136:7 landmarks [2] - 96:12, 96:22 language [2] - 127:9, 128:4 lap [9] - 16:23, 21:20, 21:21, 24:25, 26:2, 26:3, 27:9, 27:10 large [3] - 9:21, 11:1, 74:20 Large [1] - 1:10 large [2] - 115:2,	leg [1] - 147:21 $Legal [3] - 39:10,$ $126:12, 129:7$ $legal [1] - 133:4$ $legalities [1] - 132:22$ $legality [1] - 133:4$ $Legislation [1] - 137:7$ $legislative [1] - 137:71$ $lend [1] - 144:9$ $less [3] - 109:5,$ $115:11, 140:6$ $lesser [1] - 30:22$ $letter [7] - 66:15,$ $66:16, 97:20, 97:23,$ $98:20, 120:3$ $level [6] - 22:17, 45:8,$ $47:20, 129:10,$ $130:11, 132:9$ $levels [1] - 94:24$ $Liberty [1] - 69:16$ $light [4] - 32:3, 32:6,$ $32:10, 33:2$ $limited [2] - 4:2, 98:15$ $line [4] - 22:16, 63:1,$ $69:6, 121:23$ $lines [1] - 57:6$	long-term [1] - 96:20 look [43] - 22:7, 24:9, 26:16, 27:25, 28:5, 28:6, 32:19, 32:22, 34:2, 34:14, 34:19, 35:1, 35:7, 35:19, 35:25, 36:1, 36:2, 37:7, 37:9, 37:19, 39:5, 47:25, 49:5, 49:6, 50:9, 61:2, 61:3, 66:18, 74:22, 76:14, 80:21, 108:9, 115:8, 117:5, 117:10, 123:6, 125:16, 132:6, 140:6, 140:9, 143:111 looked [3] - 107:10, 115:8, 115:18 looking [19] - 15:9, 31:6, 31:24, 32:4, 35:12, 47:3, 47:7, 57:8, 58:12, 63:17, 80:17, 83:18, 102:17, 111:22, 117:8, 120:25, 129:2, 141:11, 147:23	M main [9] - 17:4, 51:7, 52:24, 70:5, 83:7, 95:5, 96:7, 97:2 maintain [3] - 78:10, 101:9, 111:10 maintained [1] - 48:15 maintaining [1] - 71:1 maintenance [1] - 98:8 major [1] - 124:6 majority [2] - 64:12, 97:8 Mandarin [7] - 93:5, 94:1, 99:11, 104:9, 104:19, 120:10, 127:21 manipulate [1] - 21:23 map [3] - 68:15, 83:5, 83:19 Maps [1] - 66:8 maps [1] - 57:9 mark [1] - 3:17 masonry [2] - 9:8,
John [2] - 9:10, 10:16 Johns [4] - 5:12, 5:18, 6:9, 7:2 join [1] - 36:21 joists [1] - 107:13 Joseph [1] - 93:6 Jr [1] - 66:15 JTA [5] - 138:21, 139:6, 139:7, 142:6, 142:23 judicial [1] - 55:10 JULIA [1] - 1:14 Julia [1] - 2:13 June [2] - 105:22, 138:6 justice [1] - 53:2 justify [1] - 74:22 K keep [14] - 3:8, 25:9, 27:22, 27:23, 33:5, 54:23, 61:2, 63:13, 63:17, 71:12, 71:15, 91:17, 91:18, 129:9 keeping [3] - 35:21, 62:14, 78:24	130:14, 131:9, 131:11, 131:14, 131:21, 133:2, 133:11, 134:6, 135:6, 135:17, 136:23 landmark-worthy [1] - 129:3 landmarking [11] - 15:10, 92:18, 93:18, 99:2, 125:23, 126:5, 131:1, 133:14, 134:12, 134:25, 136:7 landmarks [2] - 96:12, 96:22 language [2] - 127:9, 128:4 lap [9] - 16:23, 21:20, 21:21, 24:25, 26:2, 26:3, 27:9, 27:10 large [3] - 9:21, 11:1, 74:20 Large [1] - 1:10 larger [2] - 115:2, 136:4	leg [1] - 147:21 $Legal [3] - 39:10,$ $126:12, 129:7$ $legal [1] - 133:4$ $legalities [1] - 132:22$ $legality [1] - 133:4$ $Legislation [1] - 137:7$ $legislative [1] - 137:71$ $lend [1] - 144:9$ $less [3] - 109:5,$ $115:11, 140:6$ $lesser [1] - 30:22$ $letter [7] - 66:15,$ $66:16, 97:20, 97:23,$ $98:20, 120:3$ $level [6] - 22:17, 45:8,$ $47:20, 129:10,$ $130:11, 132:9$ $levels [1] - 94:24$ $Liberty [1] - 69:16$ $light [4] - 32:3, 32:6,$ $32:10, 33:2$ $limited [2] - 4:2, 98:15$ $line [4] - 22:16, 63:1,$ $69:6, 121:23$	long-term [1] - 96:20 look [43] - 22:7, 24:9, 26:16, 27:25, 28:5, 28:6, 32:19, 32:22, 34:2, 34:14, 34:19, 35:1, 35:7, 35:19, 35:25, 36:1, 36:2, 37:7, 37:9, 37:19, 39:5, 47:25, 49:5, 49:6, 50:9, 61:2, 61:3, 66:18, 74:22, 76:14, 80:21, 108:9, 115:8, 117:5, 117:10, 123:6, 125:16, 132:6, 140:6, 140:9, 143:111 looked [3] - 107:10, 115:8, 115:18 looking [19] - 15:9, 31:6, 31:24, 32:4, 35:12, 47:3, 47:7, 57:8, 58:12, 63:17, 80:17, 83:18, 102:17, 111:22, 117:8, 120:25, 129:2, 141:11, 147:23 looks [13] - 32:1, 32:5,	M main [9] - 17:4, 51:7, 52:24, 70:5, 83:7, 95:5, 96:7, 97:2 maintain [3] - 78:10, 101:9, 111:10 maintained [1] - 48:15 maintaining [1] - 71:1 maintenance [1] - 98:8 major [1] - 124:6 majority [2] - 64:12, 97:8 Mandarin [7] - 93:5, 94:1, 99:11, 104:9, 104:19, 120:10, 127:21 manipulate [1] - 21:23 map [3] - 68:15, 83:5, 83:19 Maps [1] - 66:8 maps [1] - 57:9 mark [1] - 3:17 masonry [2] - 9:8, 66:16
John [2] - 9:10, 10:16 Johns [4] - 5:12, 5:18, 6:9, 7:2 join [1] - 36:21 joists [1] - 107:13 Joseph [1] - 93:6 Jr [1] - 66:15 JTA [6] - 138:21, 139:6, 139:7, 142:6, 142:23 judicial [1] - 55:10 JULIA [1] - 1:14 Julia [1] - 2:13 June [2] - 105:22, 138:6 justice [1] - 53:2 justify [1] - 74:22 K keep [14] - 3:8, 25:9, 27:22, 27:23, 33:5, 54:23, 61:2, 63:13, 63:17, 71:12, 71:15, 91:17, 91:18, 129:9 keeping [3] - 35:21, 62:14, 78:24 kept [1] - 4:18	130:14, 131:9, 131:11, 131:14, 131:21, 133:2, 133:11, 134:6, 135:6, 135:17, 136:23 landmark-worthy [1] - 129:3 landmarking [11] - 15:10, 92:18, 93:18, 99:2, 125:23, 126:5, 131:1, 133:14, 134:12, 134:25, 136:7 landmarks [2] - 96:12, 96:22 language [2] - 127:9, 128:4 lap [9] - 16:23, 21:20, 21:21, 24:25, 26:2, 26:3, 27:9, 27:10 large [3] - 9:21, 11:1, 74:20 Large [1] - 1:10 larger [2] - 115:2, 136:4 last [16] - 4:22, 6:11,	leg [1] - 147:21 $Legal [3] - 39:10,$ $126:12, 129:7$ $legal [1] - 133:4$ $legalities [1] - 132:22$ $legality [1] - 133:4$ $Legislation [1] - 137:7$ $legislative [1] - 137:71$ $lend [1] - 144:9$ $less [3] - 109:5,$ $115:11, 140:6$ $lesser [1] - 30:22$ $letter [7] - 66:15,$ $66:16, 97:20, 97:23,$ $98:20, 120:3$ $level [6] - 22:17, 45:8,$ $47:20, 129:10,$ $130:11, 132:9$ $levels [1] - 94:24$ $Liberty [1] - 69:16$ $light [4] - 32:3, 32:6,$ $32:10, 33:2$ $limited [2] - 4:2, 98:15$ $line [4] - 22:16, 63:1,$ $69:6, 121:23$ $lines [1] - 57:6$ $Linjohn [7] - 91:21,$ $92:4, 93:11, 97:11,$	long-term [1] - 96:20 look [43] - 22:7, 24:9, 26:16, 27:25, 28:5, 28:6, 32:19, 32:22, 34:2, 34:14, 34:19, 35:1, 35:7, 35:19, 35:25, 36:1, 36:2, 37:7, 37:9, 37:19, 39:5, 47:25, 49:5, 49:6, 50:9, 61:2, 61:3, 66:18, 74:22, 76:14, 80:21, 108:9, 115:8, 117:5, 117:10, 123:6, 125:16, 132:6, 140:6, 140:9, 143:111 looked [3] - 107:10, 115:8, 115:18 looking [19] - 15:9, 31:6, 31:24, 32:4, 35:12, 47:3, 47:7, 57:8, 58:12, 63:17, 80:17, 83:18, 102:17, 111:22, 117:8, 120:25, 129:2, 141:11, 147:23 looks [13] - 32:1, 32:5, 44:13, 53:24, 58:22,	M main [9] - 17:4, 51:7, 52:24, 70:5, 83:7, 95:5, 96:7, 97:2 maintain [3] - 78:10, 101:9, 111:10 maintained [1] - 48:15 maintaining [1] - 71:1 maintenance [1] - 98:8 major [1] - 124:6 majority [2] - 64:12, 97:8 Mandarin [7] - 93:5, 94:1, 99:11, 104:9, 104:19, 120:10, 127:21 manipulate [1] - 21:23 map [3] - 68:15, 83:5, 83:19 Maps [1] - 66:8 maps [1] - 57:9 mark [1] - 3:17 masonry [2] - 9:8, 66:16 massing [2] - 18:2,
John [2] - 9:10, 10:16 Johns [4] - 5:12, 5:18, 6:9, 7:2 join [1] - 36:21 joists [1] - 107:13 Joseph [1] - 93:6 Jr [1] - 66:15 JTA [5] - 138:21, 139:6, 139:7, 142:6, 142:23 judicial [1] - 55:10 JULIA [1] - 1:14 Julia [1] - 2:13 June [2] - 105:22, 138:6 justice [1] - 53:2 justify [1] - 74:22 K keep [14] - 3:8, 25:9, 27:22, 27:23, 33:5, 54:23, 61:2, 63:13, 63:17, 71:12, 71:15, 91:17, 91:18, 129:9 keeping [3] - 35:21, 62:14, 78:24	130:14, 131:9, 131:11, 131:14, 131:21, 133:2, 133:11, 134:6, 135:6, 135:17, 136:23 landmark-worthy [1] - 129:3 landmarking [11] - 15:10, 92:18, 93:18, 99:2, 125:23, 126:5, 131:1, 133:14, 134:12, 134:25, 136:7 landmarks [2] - 96:12, 96:22 language [2] - 127:9, 128:4 lap [9] - 16:23, 21:20, 21:21, 24:25, 26:2, 26:3, 27:9, 27:10 large [3] - 9:21, 11:1, 74:20 Large [1] - 1:10 larger [2] - 115:2, 136:4	leg [1] - 147:21 $Legal [3] - 39:10,$ $126:12, 129:7$ $legal [1] - 133:4$ $legalities [1] - 132:22$ $legality [1] - 133:4$ $Legislation [1] - 137:7$ $legislative [1] - 137:71$ $lend [1] - 144:9$ $less [3] - 109:5,$ $115:11, 140:6$ $lesser [1] - 30:22$ $letter [7] - 66:15,$ $66:16, 97:20, 97:23,$ $98:20, 120:3$ $level [6] - 22:17, 45:8,$ $47:20, 129:10,$ $130:11, 132:9$ $levels [1] - 94:24$ $Liberty [1] - 69:16$ $light [4] - 32:3, 32:6,$ $32:10, 33:2$ $limited [2] - 4:2, 98:15$ $line [4] - 22:16, 63:1,$ $69:6, 121:23$ $lines [1] - 57:6$ $Linjohn [7] - 91:21,$	long-term [1] - 96:20 look [43] - 22:7, 24:9, 26:16, 27:25, 28:5, 28:6, 32:19, 32:22, 34:2, 34:14, 34:19, 35:1, 35:7, 35:19, 35:25, 36:1, 36:2, 37:7, 37:9, 37:19, 39:5, 47:25, 49:5, 49:6, 50:9, 61:2, 61:3, 66:18, 74:22, 76:14, 80:21, 108:9, 115:8, 117:5, 117:10, 123:6, 125:16, 132:6, 140:6, 140:9, 143:111 looked [3] - 107:10, 115:8, 115:18 looking [19] - 15:9, 31:6, 31:24, 32:4, 35:12, 47:3, 47:7, 57:8, 58:12, 63:17, 80:17, 83:18, 102:17, 111:22, 117:8, 120:25, 129:2, 141:11, 147:23 looks [13] - 32:1, 32:5,	M main [9] - 17:4, 51:7, 52:24, 70:5, 83:7, 95:5, 96:7, 97:2 maintain [3] - 78:10, 101:9, 111:10 maintained [1] - 48:15 maintaining [1] - 71:1 maintenance [1] - 98:8 major [1] - 124:6 majority [2] - 64:12, 97:8 Mandarin [7] - 93:5, 94:1, 99:11, 104:9, 104:19, 120:10, 127:21 manipulate [1] - 21:23 map [3] - 68:15, 83:5, 83:19 Maps [1] - 66:8 maps [1] - 57:9 mark [1] - 3:17 masonry [2] - 9:8, 66:16

Diang M'. Tropia', Inc'., Post' Officg Box' 2375', Jacksonvillg , FL 32203 (904) 821-0300

48 of 57 sheets

master [5] - 10:13,	member [5] - 20:18,	9:20, 10:11, 15:2,	Montoya [1] - 2:15	113:3, 113:4,
33:18, 36:20, 37:19,	46:1, 49:18, 67:14,	15:5	MORGAN [26] - 1:15,	113:20, 114:1,
37:24	102:25	middle [9] - 4:9,	2:20, 4:25, 14:12,	114:24, 115:11,
match [4] - 54:8, 65:6,	members [1] - 149:3	10:18, 14:22, 18:12,	32:24, 33:20, 37:23,	115:20, 115:22,
82:23, 82:25	MEMBERS [47] - 5:2,	19:12, 23:9, 23:23,	38:2, 51:19, 71:22,	116:25, 118:25,
matches [1] - 27:2	5:21, 6:3, 6:5, 6:7,	49:13, 90:19	73:23, 75:11, 80:5,	130:6, 130:24,
matching [1] - 54:4	7:7, 7:14, 7:16, 7:18,	might [16] - 35:19,	82:20, 116:19,	134:25, 149:4
material [12] - 13:8,	8:14, 8:16, 12:3,	36:16, 49:5, 51:13,	117:8, 117:17,	move-off [7] - 105:16,
45:10, 58:15, 59:18,	13:15, 13:18, 14:1,	58:14, 58:16, 59:18,	119:15, 122:7,	106:1, 106:3,
65:6, 70:2, 70:15,	14:8, 15:13, 15:16,	59:19, 59:20, 60:6,	125:11, 126:11,	112:16, 112:19,
74:18, 77:20, 78:24,	15:18, 20:13, 29:3,	60:7, 115:20,	127:6, 142:3,	113:20, 114:1
79:14, 85:13	29:9, 38:8, 42:13,	115:21, 145:10,	144:16, 147:14,	move-on [5] - 106:2,
materiality [1] - 81:21	42:21, 42:23, 44:22,	145:16	148:11	106:12, 112:22,
materialized [1] - 76:7	45:23, 50:22, 67:9,	million [1] - 111:4	Morgan [8] - 2:20,	112:24, 114:1
materially [1] - 81:12	72:23, 73:7, 84:4,	million-dollar [1] -	14:20, 35:9, 36:7,	moved [22] - 18:13,
materials [12] - 4:11,	87:2, 87:4, 88:22,	111:4	54:3, 74:2, 125:18,	23:3, 86:18, 99:10,
4:14, 23:22, 65:21,	88:24, 89:24, 90:10,	mind [5] - 30:3, 30:15,	127:1	99:13, 100:12,
72:11, 74:13, 81:25,	90:12, 102:22,	60:12, 80:7, 128:12	morning [1] - 117:4	101:1, 101:6, 102:3,
84:13, 87:12, 94:18,	134:17, 134:19,	mindset [1] - 128:18	most [7] - 13:1, 15:6,	105:17, 107:6,
94:25, 95:15	136:15, 136:19,	minimum [2] - 4:12,	24:12, 30:1, 30:5,	112:15, 113:9,
matrix [1] - 45:8	136:21, 148:14	43:19	30:11, 30:20	113:13, 113:17,
matter [1] - 142:11	mentally [1] - 31:3	minor [6] - 82:7,	mostly [1] - 16:19	114:2, 114:8, 114:9,
mean [33] - 33:14,	mention [1] - 73:14	84:19, 85:19, 87:18,	motion [58] - 4:22,	116:1, 120:12,
34:14, 34:25, 36:18,	mentioned [7] - 99:24,	114:21, 121:1	4:23, 5:14, 5:16, 7:9,	120:14, 124:3
48:18, 48:24, 49:1,	100:11, 104:3,	minute [1] - 3:11	7:10, 14:3, 14:4,	mover [2] - 101:25,
49:7, 49:11, 52:19,	110:23, 112:14,	minutes [7] - 4:4,	29:11, 29:12, 38:12,	115:17
52:24, 53:6, 77:2,	116:6, 138:17	4:22, 4:24, 5:4,	38:14, 40:16, 41:18,	movers [1] - 115:16
77:16, 98:4, 100:16,	met [2] - 11:15, 135:18	103:6, 119:22,	42:15, 42:17, 42:18,	Movers [1] - 106:5
107:11, 107:12,	metal [2] - 64:9, 92:25	149:16	50:24, 51:1, 51:25,	moving [13] - 81:10,
108:8, 108:23,	Michael [4] - 2:15,	mirroring [1] - 82:24	73:9, 74:3, 74:4,	91:17, 106:6, 107:9,
109:17, 122:23,	103:2, 105:12,	missing [1] - 64:12	74:6, 74:8, 78:5,	114:15, 114:18,
123:5, 123:19,	106:14	missionary [1] - 94:4	79:22, 81:20, 82:10,	114:21, 120:17,
125:15, 126:21,	MICHAEL [1] - 1:13	misunderstood [1] -	82:12, 82:17, 84:3,	127:23, 137:10,
129:18, 131:25,	microphone [53] -	70:17	84:6, 84:7, 84:9,	137:20, 138:3, 139:8
132:17, 134:14,	3:21, 3:23, 8:6, 37:4,	mod [3] - 82:7, 84:19,	84:10, 85:6, 86:12,	Moving [1] - 114:12
141:23, 145:1,	37:6, 37:12, 40:9,	85:19	86:17, 87:5, 87:6,	MR [136] - 2:9, 2:24,
149:15	41:1, 42:10, 45:6,	model [2] - 36:10,	88:16, 89:15, 89:19,	8:9, 9:3, 12:8, 12:11,
meantime [1] - 108:22	57:16, 62:9, 63:9,	116:2	119:3, 119:6,	12:15, 12:20, 12:22,
measures [1] - 43:24	77:10, 77:15, 77:25,	moderate [1] - 11:10	119:13, 124:13,	13:22, 15:22, 16:11,
measuring [1] - 43:20	79:17, 79:19, 81:23,	moderately [1] -	124:17, 130:1,	20:1, 21:1, 21:6,
meet [6] - 10:3, 11:18,	82:14, 82:18, 83:3,	121:13	130:23, 134:3,	21:8, 21:24, 21:25,
57:23, 110:2, 131:5,	83:4, 83:12, 83:13,	Modern [1] - 34:12	134:4, 134:9, 135:2,	25:7, 25:11, 27:5,
149:13	84:7, 89:17, 89:21,	modern [2] - 35:21,	135:5	27:13, 27:16, 27:21,
meeting [19] - 2:5, 2:7,	89:25, 110:17,	56:25	motivation [1] - 33:12	27:25, 28:4, 28:25,
3:9, 3:12, 4:22, 5:4,	119:10, 122:15,	modification [1] -	moulding [1] - 30:25	29:6, 33:16, 33:21,
5:5, 7:24, 8:20,	122:17, 128:25,	87:18	move [49] - 6:15,	35:23, 45:17, 49:24,
46:18, 56:14, 60:9,	132:15, 132:16,	modify [1] - 86:17	15:24, 40:15, 42:15,	50:4, 50:6, 64:2,
63:21, 125:5, 125:7,	132:23, 133:18,	moist [1] - 94:23	43:3, 63:23, 76:22,	67:22, 68:2, 68:6,
126:9, 145:24,	134:5, 134:6,	mold [1] - 108:15	82:19, 89:22, 90:2,	69:15, 70:3, 70:8, 70:12, 70:16, 70:20
148:16, 149:19	134:14, 135:25,	money [1] - 108:12	90:4, 90:6, 99:25,	70:12, 70:16, 70:20,
meets [7] - 92:16,	136:25, 137:12,	month [7] - 106:10,	101:3, 101:23,	71:3, 71:15, 71:18, 71:25, 72:3, 72:4
93:17, 99:1, 122:5,	137:15, 137:21,	132:4, 137:6,	102:8, 105:16, 106:1, 106:2, 106:3	71:25, 72:3, 72:4, 72:13, 72:15, 72:17
131:6, 132:19,	138:8, 142:15,	137:22, 138:17,	106:1, 106:2, 106:3, 106:7, 106:12	72:13, 72:15, 72:17, 73:1, 73:4, 75:23
132:20	143:20, 145:12, 145:13, 146:13	148:22	106:7, 106:12, 107:6, 107:17	73:1, 73:4, 75:23, 82:5, 83:16, 83:22
Member [2] - 1:14,	145:13, 146:13, 148:20	month's [1] - 4:22	107:6, 107:17, 108:17, 108:21	82:5, 83:16, 83:22, 84:1, 84:24, 85:3,
1:15	148:20 Mid (5) - 9:8, 9:20	month-to-month [1] -	108:17, 108:21, 109:25, 110:25,	85:7, 85:10, 85:14,
MEMBER [8] - 20:16,	Mid [5] - 9:8, 9:20, 10:11 15:2 15:5	132:4	109.25, 110.25, 111:19, 112:16,	85:17, 85:21, 86:13,
20:21, 45:25, 46:4,	10:11, 15:2, 15:5	months [3] - 97:16,	112:19, 112:22,	89:1, 89:7, 89:10,
49:21, 67:12, 67:17,	mid [1] - 11:6 Mid-Century [5] - 9:8,	98:8, 118:18	112:24, 113:2,	90:22, 91:1, 91:5,
103:2		MONTOYA [1] - 1:13		

=Diang M'. Tropia", Inc'., Post' Office' Box' 2375', Jacksonville=, FL' 32203 (904) 821-0300

91:8, 91:11, 91:25,	Mucoum (4) 104:10	50:10, 62:15, 78:1,	21:4, 46:11, 48:16,	6.11 6.25 7.21 8.3
99:15, 100:4,	Museum [1] - 104:19 must [4] - 4:3, 11:15,	93:5, 116:8	49:4, 50:2, 67:25,	6:11, 6:25, 7:21, 8:3, 8:22, 13:2, 17:19,
100:15, 101:13,	92:16, 112:21	neighborhood's [1] -	103:13	19:22, 21:17, 22:5,
102:14, 103:5,	mutually [2] - 104:8,	53:17	notice [1] - 109:6	22:10, 22:14, 23:15,
103:9, 103:15,	127:19	neighbors [1] - 59:23	noticed [1] - 51:20	23:18, 23:25, 24:21,
103:17, 104:23,	127.19	never [4] - 76:7, 101:4,	notification [4] - 25:6,	25:1, 25:12, 25:16,
106:17, 109:14,	Ν	112:18, 145:7	49:8, 106:16, 110:4	25:19, 25:24, 25:25,
110:5, 110:13,	IN	new [25] - 10:9, 18:7,	notwithstanding [1] -	26:2, 26:21, 26:24,
110:21, 111:3,	name [8] - 3:22, 12:9,	18:23, 18:24, 19:4,	94:13	27:3, 29:23, 33:6,
111:12, 112:2,	20:19, 46:2, 49:19,	20:1, 35:17, 55:12,	November [4] - 4:24,	34:16, 35:6, 39:3,
112:4, 112:10,	67:15, 103:1, 114:11	56:10, 57:12, 62:19,	5:4, 41:3, 41:8	41:7, 43:15, 44:23,
112:17, 112:20,	narrows [1] - 57:1	64:14, 64:15, 76:2,	now-removed [1] -	45:18, 46:19, 47:2,
113:6, 113:11,	nation [3] - 10:7,	76:7, 104:8, 111:4,	93:1	47:9, 47:18, 57:18,
113:15, 113:18,	10:15, 93:22	127:19, 137:8,	nowhere [5] - 107:24,	62:11, 62:13, 62:17,
113:22, 114:11,	National [3] - 92:6,	138:18, 140:8,	108:1, 108:5, 110:9	62:19, 64:4, 65:19,
114:22, 114:25,	92:8, 96:24	141:11, 142:20,	number [6] - 36:11,	70:23, 71:23, 75:4,
115:5, 115:24,	nationally [1] - 140:25	148:4	37:2, 72:9, 93:24,	80:4, 81:9, 83:9,
116:10, 116:12,	nature [4] - 96:18,	New [2] - 91:20,	121:10, 121:16	83:10, 83:11, 83:20,
117:2, 117:13,	100:18, 114:6, 136:6	149:18	Number [10] - 5:8,	83:23, 89:2, 90:23,
117:20, 117:25,	near [1] - 47:10	newly [1] - 143:13	21:13, 21:15, 41:21,	91:6, 93:18, 93:24,
118:5, 118:8,	nearby [1] - 18:1	next [33] - 5:6, 8:20,	41:22, 41:24, 42:4,	95:25, 104:10,
118:16, 121:22,	necessarily [6] - 26:9,	8:23, 23:10, 23:15,	43:4, 74:10, 138:16	104:20, 107:4,
128:5, 130:12,	26:22, 76:21,	23:18, 23:20, 23:24,	numbering [1] - 73:17	107:21, 110:15,
131:17, 131:21,	139:21, 144:24,	24:7, 24:15, 25:4,	numbers [4] - 73:12,	111:15, 121:8,
132:2, 134:2, 137:3,	149:1	25:23, 26:7, 26:21,	73:20, 73:22, 121:2	123:15, 129:23,
137:13, 137:16,	necessary [2] - 6:23,	27:3, 28:14, 56:13,	numerous [1] - 95:18	130:13, 137:5,
137:25, 138:8, 139:4, 140:13,	135:11	59:23, 60:9, 63:21,		138:16, 138:25, 141:12, 141:14,
146:11, 146:16,	need [46] - 3:15,	68:11, 91:3, 114:7,	0	145:3, 145:12
149:10	13:20, 26:8, 26:22,	119:22, 125:4,	010 00.40	one-page [1] - 123:15
MS [75] - 2:11, 2:22,	29:4, 33:6, 35:7,	130:11, 134:12,	O'Connor [2] - 93:10,	
			07.44	ODE-STORV 71 - 4315
	38:9, 42:8, 48:25,	137:6, 137:7, 137:22, 138:6	97:11	one-story [7] - 43:15, 64:4, 65:19, 83:10,
8:6, 38:11, 38:23,	51:14, 53:6, 54:25,	137:22, 138:6,	objected [1] - 6:19	64:4, 65:19, 83:10,
	51:14, 53:6, 54:25, 59:19, 62:7, 72:24,	137:22, 138:6, 139:12, 149:13	objected [1] - 6:19 objection [2] - 6:22,	
8:6, 38:11, 38:23, 39:15, 39:25, 40:9,	51:14, 53:6, 54:25, 59:19, 62:7, 72:24, 73:2, 74:6, 78:23,	137:22, 138:6, 139:12, 149:13 next-door [1] - 59:23	objected [1] - 6:19 objection [2] - 6:22, 86:10	64:4, 65:19, 83:10, 83:11, 83:20, 95:25
8:6, 38:11, 38:23, 39:15, 39:25, 40:9, 40:13, 40:15, 41:23,	51:14, 53:6, 54:25, 59:19, 62:7, 72:24, 73:2, 74:6, 78:23, 81:17, 82:15, 86:6,	137:22, 138:6, 139:12, 149:13 next-door [1] - 59:23 nice [1] - 32:10	objected [1] - 6:19 objection [2] - 6:22, 86:10 obtained [1] - 112:19	64:4, 65:19, 83:10, 83:11, 83:20, 95:25 ones [9] - 28:2, 28:11,
8:6, 38:11, 38:23, 39:15, 39:25, 40:9, 40:13, 40:15, 41:23, 42:10, 43:8, 44:16, 45:7, 46:8, 46:13, 46:15, 49:9, 49:15,	51:14, 53:6, 54:25, 59:19, 62:7, 72:24, 73:2, 74:6, 78:23, 81:17, 82:15, 86:6, 86:11, 90:19, 90:21,	137:22, 138:6, 139:12, 149:13 next-door [1] - 59:23 nice [1] - 32:10 nicest [1] - 48:15	 objected [1] - 6:19 objection [2] - 6:22, 86:10 obtained [1] - 112:19 obtaining [2] - 93:12, 	64:4, 65:19, 83:10, 83:11, 83:20, 95:25 ones [9] - 28:2, 28:11, 30:1, 32:12, 37:9,
8:6, 38:11, 38:23, 39:15, 39:25, 40:9, 40:13, 40:15, 41:23, 42:10, 43:8, 44:16, 45:7, 46:8, 46:13,	51:14, 53:6, 54:25, 59:19, 62:7, 72:24, 73:2, 74:6, 78:23, 81:17, 82:15, 86:6, 86:11, 90:19, 90:21, 91:16, 112:24,	137:22, 138:6, 139:12, 149:13 next-door [1] - 59:23 nice [1] - 32:10 nicest [1] - 48:15 night [1] - 137:17	objected [1] - 6:19 objection [2] - 6:22, 86:10 obtained [1] - 112:19 obtaining [2] - 93:12, 97:13	64:4, 65:19, 83:10, 83:11, 83:20, 95:25 ones [9] - 28:2, 28:11, 30:1, 32:12, 37:9, 37:19, 38:6, 47:11,
8:6, 38:11, 38:23, 39:15, 39:25, 40:9, 40:13, 40:15, 41:23, 42:10, 43:8, 44:16, 45:7, 46:8, 46:13, 46:15, 49:9, 49:15,	51:14, 53:6, 54:25, 59:19, 62:7, 72:24, 73:2, 74:6, 78:23, 81:17, 82:15, 86:6, 86:11, 90:19, 90:21,	137:22, 138:6, 139:12, 149:13 next-door [1] - 59:23 nice [1] - 32:10 nicest [1] - 48:15 night [1] - 137:17 nobody [4] - 105:2,	objected [1] - 6:19 objection [2] - 6:22, 86:10 obtained [1] - 112:19 obtaining [2] - 93:12, 97:13 obviously [10] - 12:22,	64:4, 65:19, 83:10, 83:11, 83:20, 95:25 ones [9] - 28:2, 28:11, 30:1, 32:12, 37:9, 37:19, 38:6, 47:11, 113:25
8:6, 38:11, 38:23, 39:15, 39:25, 40:9, 40:13, 40:15, 41:23, 42:10, 43:8, 44:16, 45:7, 46:8, 46:13, 46:15, 49:9, 49:15, 52:13, 52:15, 53:16, 53:20, 54:9, 54:14, 54:17, 54:23, 55:4,	51:14, 53:6, 54:25, 59:19, 62:7, 72:24, 73:2, 74:6, 78:23, 81:17, 82:15, 86:6, 86:11, 90:19, 90:21, 91:16, 112:24, 119:4, 119:21, 120:22, 122:3,	137:22, 138:6, 139:12, 149:13 next-door [1] - 59:23 nice [1] - 32:10 nicest [1] - 48:15 night [1] - 137:17	objected [1] - 6:19 objection [2] - 6:22, 86:10 obtained [1] - 112:19 obtaining [2] - 93:12, 97:13 obviously [10] - 12:22, 13:7, 53:1, 76:6,	64:4, 65:19, 83:10, 83:11, 83:20, 95:25 ones [9] - 28:2, 28:11, 30:1, 32:12, 37:9, 37:19, 38:6, 47:11, 113:25 ongoing [1] - 96:20 open [12] - 8:7, 9:1, 16:7, 17:12, 32:7,
8:6, 38:11, 38:23, 39:15, 39:25, 40:9, 40:13, 40:15, 41:23, 42:10, 43:8, 44:16, 45:7, 46:8, 46:13, 46:15, 49:9, 49:15, 52:13, 52:15, 53:16, 53:20, 54:9, 54:14, 54:17, 54:23, 55:4, 55:7, 55:22, 56:9,	51:14, 53:6, 54:25, 59:19, 62:7, 72:24, 73:2, 74:6, 78:23, 81:17, 82:15, 86:6, 86:11, 90:19, 90:21, 91:16, 112:24, 119:4, 119:21,	137:22, 138:6, 139:12, 149:13 next-door [1] - 59:23 nice [1] - 32:10 nicest [1] - 48:15 night [1] - 137:17 nobody [4] - 105:2, 105:5, 107:25, 110:9	objected [1] - 6:19 objection [2] - 6:22, 86:10 obtained [1] - 112:19 obtaining [2] - 93:12, 97:13 obviously [10] - 12:22,	64:4, 65:19, 83:10, 83:11, 83:20, 95:25 ones [9] - 28:2, 28:11, 30:1, 32:12, 37:9, 37:19, 38:6, 47:11, 113:25 ongoing [1] - 96:20 open [12] - 8:7, 9:1,
8:6, 38:11, 38:23, 39:15, 39:25, 40:9, 40:13, 40:15, 41:23, 42:10, 43:8, 44:16, 45:7, 46:8, 46:13, 46:15, 49:9, 49:15, 52:13, 52:15, 53:16, 53:20, 54:9, 54:14, 54:17, 54:23, 55:4, 55:7, 55:22, 56:9, 60:11, 60:15, 61:12,	51:14, 53:6, 54:25, 59:19, 62:7, 72:24, 73:2, 74:6, 78:23, 81:17, 82:15, 86:6, 86:11, 90:19, 90:21, 91:16, 112:24, 119:4, 119:21, 120:22, 122:3, 123:3, 123:24,	137:22, 138:6, 139:12, 149:13 next-door [1] - 59:23 nice [1] - 32:10 nicest [1] - 48:15 night [1] - 137:17 nobody [4] - 105:2, 105:5, 107:25, 110:9 nominate [1] - 145:21	objected [1] - 6:19 objection [2] - 6:22, 86:10 obtained [1] - 112:19 obtaining [2] - 93:12, 97:13 obviously [10] - 12:22, 13:7, 53:1, 76:6, 104:19, 112:14,	64:4, 65:19, 83:10, 83:11, 83:20, 95:25 ones [9] - 28:2, 28:11, 30:1, 32:12, 37:9, 37:19, 38:6, 47:11, 113:25 ongoing [1] - 96:20 open [12] - 8:7, 9:1, 16:7, 17:12, 32:7, 43:6, 63:25, 91:23, 95:17, 110:8,
8:6, 38:11, 38:23, 39:15, 39:25, 40:9, 40:13, 40:15, 41:23, 42:10, 43:8, 44:16, 45:7, 46:8, 46:13, 46:15, 49:9, 49:15, 52:13, 52:15, 53:16, 53:20, 54:9, 54:14, 54:17, 54:23, 55:4, 55:7, 55:22, 56:9, 60:11, 60:15, 61:12, 61:21, 62:11, 62:24,	51:14, 53:6, 54:25, 59:19, 62:7, 72:24, 73:2, 74:6, 78:23, 81:17, 82:15, 86:6, 86:11, 90:19, 90:21, 91:16, 112:24, 119:4, 119:21, 120:22, 122:3, 123:3, 123:24, 125:6, 125:10,	137:22, 138:6, 139:12, 149:13 next-door [1] - 59:23 nice [1] - 32:10 nicest [1] - 48:15 night [1] - 137:17 nobody [4] - 105:2, 105:5, 107:25, 110:9 nominate [1] - 145:21 nomination [1] - 96:24	objected [1] - 6:19 objection [2] - 6:22, 86:10 obtained [1] - 112:19 obtaining [2] - 93:12, 97:13 obviously [10] - 12:22, 13:7, 53:1, 76:6, 104:19, 112:14, 114:9, 114:24,	64:4, 65:19, 83:10, 83:11, 83:20, 95:25 ones [9] - 28:2, 28:11, 30:1, 32:12, 37:9, 37:19, 38:6, 47:11, 113:25 ongoing [1] - 96:20 open [12] - 8:7, 9:1, 16:7, 17:12, 32:7, 43:6, 63:25, 91:23, 95:17, 110:8, 111:16, 111:20
8:6, 38:11, 38:23, 39:15, 39:25, 40:9, 40:13, 40:15, 41:23, 42:10, 43:8, 44:16, 45:7, 46:8, 46:13, 46:15, 49:9, 49:15, 52:13, 52:15, 53:16, 53:20, 54:9, 54:14, 54:17, 54:23, 55:4, 55:7, 55:22, 56:9, 60:11, 60:15, 61:12, 61:21, 62:11, 62:24, 63:10, 63:12, 73:18, 56:10, 53:1	51:14, 53:6, 54:25, 59:19, 62:7, 72:24, 73:2, 74:6, 78:23, 81:17, 82:15, 86:6, 86:11, 90:19, 90:21, 91:16, 112:24, 119:4, 119:21, 120:22, 122:3, 123:3, 123:24, 125:6, 125:10, 125:20, 126:3, 126:22, 129:25, 130:1, 130:6, 130:8,	137:22, 138:6, 139:12, 149:13 next-door [1] - 59:23 nice [1] - 32:10 nicest [1] - 48:15 night [1] - 137:17 nobody [4] - 105:2, 105:5, 107:25, 110:9 nominate [1] - 145:21 nomination [1] - 96:24 nominations [3] -	objected [1] - 6:19 objection [2] - 6:22, 86:10 obtained [1] - 112:19 obtaining [2] - 93:12, 97:13 obviously [10] - 12:22, 13:7, 53:1, 76:6, 104:19, 112:14, 114:9, 114:24, 128:15, 145:20	64:4, 65:19, 83:10, 83:11, 83:20, 95:25 ones [9] - 28:2, 28:11, 30:1, 32:12, 37:9, 37:19, 38:6, 47:11, 113:25 ongoing [1] - 96:20 open [12] - 8:7, 9:1, 16:7, 17:12, 32:7, 43:6, 63:25, 91:23, 95:17, 110:8, 111:16, 111:20 opening [1] - 100:1
8:6, 38:11, 38:23, 39:15, 39:25, 40:9, 40:13, 40:15, 41:23, 42:10, 43:8, 44:16, 45:7, 46:8, 46:13, 46:15, 49:9, 49:15, 52:13, 52:15, 53:16, 53:20, 54:9, 54:14, 54:17, 54:23, 55:4, 55:7, 55:22, 56:9, 60:11, 60:15, 61:12, 61:21, 62:11, 62:24, 63:10, 63:12, 73:18, 79:20, 80:3, 85:24, 10)	51:14, 53:6, 54:25, 59:19, 62:7, 72:24, 73:2, 74:6, 78:23, 81:17, 82:15, 86:6, 86:11, 90:19, 90:21, 91:16, 112:24, 119:4, 119:21, 120:22, 122:3, 123:3, 123:24, 125:6, 125:10, 125:20, 126:3, 126:22, 129:25, 130:1, 130:6, 130:8, 133:6, 139:23,	$137:22, 138:6, \\139:12, 149:13$ next-door [1] - 59:23 nice [1] - 32:10 nicest [1] - 48:15 night [1] - 137:17 nobody [4] - 105:2, 105:5, 107:25, 110:9 nominate [1] - 145:21 nomination [1] - 96:24 nominations [3] - 144:10, 144:11,	objected [1] - 6:19 objection [2] - 6:22, 86:10 obtained [1] - 112:19 obtaining [2] - 93:12, 97:13 obviously [10] - 12:22, 13:7, 53:1, 76:6, 104:19, 112:14, 114:9, 114:24, 128:15, 145:20 occasionally [1] - 19:8	64:4, 65:19, 83:10, 83:11, 83:20, 95:25 ones [9] - 28:2, 28:11, 30:1, 32:12, 37:9, 37:19, 38:6, 47:11, 113:25 ongoing [1] - 96:20 open [12] - 8:7, 9:1, 16:7, 17:12, 32:7, 43:6, 63:25, 91:23, 95:17, 110:8, 111:16, 111:20 opening [1] - 100:1 openings [2] - 17:22,
8:6, 38:11, 38:23, 39:15, 39:25, 40:9, 40:13, 40:15, 41:23, 42:10, 43:8, 44:16, 45:7, 46:8, 46:13, 46:15, 49:9, 49:15, 52:13, 52:15, 53:16, 53:20, 54:9, 54:14, 54:17, 54:23, 55:4, 55:7, 55:22, 56:9, 60:11, 60:15, 61:12, 61:21, 62:11, 62:24, 63:10, 63:12, 73:18, 79:20, 80:3, 85:24, 86:18, 87:20, 88:9,	51:14, 53:6, 54:25, 59:19, 62:7, 72:24, 73:2, 74:6, 78:23, 81:17, 82:15, 86:6, 86:11, 90:19, 90:21, 91:16, 112:24, 119:4, 119:21, 120:22, 122:3, 123:3, 123:24, 125:6, 125:10, 125:20, 126:3, 126:22, 129:25, 130:1, 130:6, 130:8, 133:6, 139:23, 146:25	$\begin{array}{c} 137:22,\ 138:6,\\ 139:12,\ 149:13\\ \textbf{next-door}\ [1]-59:23\\ \textbf{nice}\ [1]-32:10\\ \textbf{nicest}\ [1]-48:15\\ \textbf{night}\ [1]-137:17\\ \textbf{nobody}\ [4]-105:2,\\ 105:5,\ 107:25,\ 110:9\\ \textbf{nominate}\ [1]-145:21\\ \textbf{nomination}\ [1]-96:24\\ \textbf{nominations}\ [3]-\\ 144:10,\ 144:11,\\ 144:19\\ \end{array}$	objected [1] - 6:19 objection [2] - 6:22, 86:10 obtained [1] - 112:19 obtaining [2] - 93:12, 97:13 obviously [10] - 12:22, 13:7, 53:1, 76:6, 104:19, 112:14, 114:9, 114:24, 128:15, 145:20 occasionally [1] - 19:8 occasions [1] -	64:4, 65:19, 83:10, 83:11, 83:20, 95:25 ones [9] - 28:2, 28:11, 30:1, 32:12, 37:9, 37:19, 38:6, 47:11, 113:25 ongoing [1] - 96:20 open [12] - 8:7, 9:1, 16:7, 17:12, 32:7, 43:6, 63:25, 91:23, 95:17, 110:8, 111:16, 111:20 opening [1] - 100:1 openings [2] - 17:22, 64:11
8:6, 38:11, 38:23, 39:15, 39:25, 40:9, 40:13, 40:15, 41:23, 42:10, 43:8, 44:16, 45:7, 46:8, 46:13, 46:15, 49:9, 49:15, 52:13, 52:15, 53:16, 53:20, 54:9, 54:14, 54:17, 54:23, 55:4, 55:7, 55:22, 56:9, 60:11, 60:15, 61:12, 61:21, 62:11, 62:24, 63:10, 63:12, 73:18, 79:20, 80:3, 85:24, 86:18, 87:20, 88:9, 88:15, 88:18, 89:4,	51:14, 53:6, 54:25, 59:19, 62:7, 72:24, 73:2, 74:6, 78:23, 81:17, 82:15, 86:6, 86:11, 90:19, 90:21, 91:16, 112:24, 119:4, 119:21, 120:22, 122:3, 123:3, 123:24, 125:6, 125:10, 125:20, 126:3, 126:22, 129:25, 130:1, 130:6, 130:8, 133:6, 139:23, 146:25 needed [2] - 4:19,	$\begin{array}{c} 137:22,\ 138:6,\\ 139:12,\ 149:13\\ \textbf{next-door}\ [1]-59:23\\ \textbf{nice}\ [1]-32:10\\ \textbf{nicest}\ [1]-32:10\\ \textbf{nicest}\ [1]-37:17\\ \textbf{nobody}\ [4]-105:2,\\ 105:5,\ 107:25,\ 110:9\\ \textbf{nominate}\ [1]-145:21\\ \textbf{nomination}\ [1]-96:24\\ \textbf{nominations}\ [3]-\\ 144:10,\ 144:11,\\ 144:19\\ \textbf{nominees}\ [2]-\end{array}$	objected [1] - 6:19 objection [2] - 6:22, 86:10 obtained [1] - 112:19 obtaining [2] - 93:12, 97:13 obviously [10] - 12:22, 13:7, 53:1, 76:6, 104:19, 112:14, 114:9, 114:24, 128:15, 145:20 occasionally [1] - 19:8 occasions [1] - 114:15	64:4, 65:19, 83:10, 83:11, 83:20, 95:25 ones [9] - 28:2, 28:11, 30:1, 32:12, 37:9, 37:19, 38:6, 47:11, 113:25 ongoing [1] - 96:20 open [12] - 8:7, 9:1, 16:7, 17:12, 32:7, 43:6, 63:25, 91:23, 95:17, 110:8, 111:16, 111:20 opening [1] - 100:1 openings [2] - 17:22, 64:11 opinion [6] - 52:25,
8:6, 38:11, 38:23, 39:15, 39:25, 40:9, 40:13, 40:15, 41:23, 42:10, 43:8, 44:16, 45:7, 46:8, 46:13, 46:15, 49:9, 49:15, 52:13, 52:15, 53:16, 53:20, 54:9, 54:14, 54:17, 54:23, 55:4, 55:7, 55:22, 56:9, 60:11, 60:15, 61:12, 61:21, 62:11, 62:24, 63:10, 63:12, 73:18, 79:20, 80:3, 85:24, 86:18, 87:20, 88:9, 88:15, 88:18, 89:4, 89:9, 89:17, 89:21,	51:14, 53:6, 54:25, 59:19, 62:7, 72:24, 73:2, 74:6, 78:23, 81:17, 82:15, 86:6, 86:11, 90:19, 90:21, 91:16, 112:24, 119:4, 119:21, 120:22, 122:3, 123:3, 123:24, 125:6, 125:10, 125:20, 126:3, 126:22, 129:25, 130:1, 130:6, 130:8, 133:6, 139:23, 146:25 needed [2] - 4:19, 45:14	$\begin{array}{c} 137:22,\ 138:6,\\ 139:12,\ 149:13\\ \textbf{next-door}\ [1]-59:23\\ \textbf{nice}\ [1]-32:10\\ \textbf{nicest}\ [1]-48:15\\ \textbf{night}\ [1]-137:17\\ \textbf{nobody}\ [4]-105:2,\\ 105:5,\ 107:25,\ 110:9\\ \textbf{nominate}\ [1]-145:21\\ \textbf{nomination}\ [1]-96:24\\ \textbf{nominations}\ [3]-\\ 144:10,\ 144:11,\\ 144:19\\ \textbf{nominees}\ [2]-\\ 145:18,\ 145:19\\ \end{array}$	objected [1] - 6:19 objection [2] - 6:22, 86:10 obtained [1] - 112:19 obtaining [2] - 93:12, 97:13 obviously [10] - 12:22, 13:7, 53:1, 76:6, 104:19, 112:14, 114:9, 114:24, 128:15, 145:20 occasionally [1] - 19:8 occasions [1] - 114:15 odd [1] - 54:19	64:4, 65:19, 83:10, 83:11, 83:20, 95:25 ones [9] - 28:2, 28:11, 30:1, 32:12, 37:9, 37:19, 38:6, 47:11, 113:25 ongoing [1] - 96:20 open [12] - 8:7, 9:1, 16:7, 17:12, 32:7, 43:6, 63:25, 91:23, 95:17, 110:8, 111:16, 111:20 opening [1] - 100:1 openings [2] - 17:22, 64:11 opinion [6] - 52:25, 75:18, 93:16, 97:24,
8:6, 38:11, 38:23, 39:15, 39:25, 40:9, 40:13, 40:15, 41:23, 42:10, 43:8, 44:16, 45:7, 46:8, 46:13, 46:15, 49:9, 49:15, 52:13, 52:15, 53:16, 53:20, 54:9, 54:14, 54:17, 54:23, 55:4, 55:7, 55:22, 56:9, 60:11, 60:15, 61:12, 61:21, 62:11, 62:24, 63:10, 63:12, 73:18, 79:20, 80:3, 85:24, 86:18, 87:20, 88:9, 88:15, 88:18, 89:4, 89:9, 89:17, 89:21, 89:25, 90:5, 90:24,	51:14, 53:6, 54:25, 59:19, 62:7, 72:24, 73:2, 74:6, 78:23, 81:17, 82:15, 86:6, 86:11, 90:19, 90:21, 91:16, 112:24, 119:4, 119:21, 120:22, 122:3, 123:3, 123:24, 125:6, 125:10, 125:20, 126:3, 126:22, 129:25, 130:1, 130:6, 130:8, 133:6, 139:23, 146:25 needed [2] - 4:19, 45:14 needing [1] - 121:9	$137:22, 138:6, \\139:12, 149:13$ next-door [1] - 59:23 nice [1] - 32:10 nicest [1] - 48:15 night [1] - 137:17 nobody [4] - 105:2, 105:5, 107:25, 110:9 nominate [1] - 145:21 nomination [1] - 96:24 nominations [3] - 144:10, 144:11, 144:19 nominees [2] - 145:18, 145:19 nonetheless [1] - 137:20 nonhistoric [3] -	objected [1] - 6:19 objection [2] - 6:22, 86:10 obtained [1] - 112:19 obtaining [2] - 93:12, 97:13 obviously [10] - 12:22, 13:7, 53:1, 76:6, 104:19, 112:14, 114:9, 114:24, 128:15, 145:20 occasionally [1] - 19:8 occasions [1] - 114:15 odd [1] - 54:19 OF [4] - 1:1, 150:1,	64:4, 65:19, 83:10, 83:11, 83:20, 95:25 ones [9] - 28:2, 28:11, 30:1, 32:12, 37:9, 37:19, 38:6, 47:11, 113:25 ongoing [1] - 96:20 open [12] - 8:7, 9:1, 16:7, 17:12, 32:7, 43:6, 63:25, 91:23, 95:17, 110:8, 111:16, 111:20 opening [1] - 100:1 openings [2] - 17:22, 64:11 opinion [6] - 52:25, 75:18, 93:16, 97:24, 98:23, 132:19
8:6, 38:11, 38:23, 39:15, 39:25, 40:9, 40:13, 40:15, 41:23, 42:10, 43:8, 44:16, 45:7, 46:8, 46:13, 46:15, 49:9, 49:15, 52:13, 52:15, 53:16, 53:20, 54:9, 54:14, 54:17, 54:23, 55:4, 55:7, 55:22, 56:9, 60:11, 60:15, 61:12, 61:21, 62:11, 62:24, 63:10, 63:12, 73:18, 79:20, 80:3, 85:24, 86:18, 87:20, 88:9, 88:15, 88:18, 89:4, 89:9, 89:17, 89:21, 89:25, 90:5, 90:24, 124:15, 124:20,	51:14, 53:6, 54:25, 59:19, 62:7, 72:24, 73:2, 74:6, 78:23, 81:17, 82:15, 86:6, 86:11, 90:19, 90:21, 91:16, 112:24, 119:4, 119:21, 120:22, 122:3, 123:3, 123:24, 125:6, 125:10, 125:20, 126:3, 126:22, 129:25, 130:1, 130:6, 130:8, 133:6, 139:23, 146:25 needed [2] - 4:19, 45:14 needing [1] - 121:9 needs [6] - 78:14,	$\begin{array}{c} 137:22, 138:6, \\ 139:12, 149:13 \\ \textbf{next-door} [1] - 59:23 \\ \textbf{nice} [1] - 32:10 \\ \textbf{nicest} [1] - 48:15 \\ \textbf{night} [1] - 137:17 \\ \textbf{nobody} [4] - 105:2, \\ 105:5, 107:25, 110:9 \\ \textbf{nominate} [1] - 145:21 \\ \textbf{nomination} [1] - 96:24 \\ \textbf{nominations} [3] - \\ 144:10, 144:11, \\ 144:19 \\ \textbf{nominees} [2] - \\ 145:18, 145:19 \\ \textbf{nonetheless} [1] - \\ 137:20 \\ \textbf{nonhistoric} [3] - \\ 64:19, 65:7, 148:1 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{objected} [1] - 6:19\\ \textbf{objection} [2] - 6:22,\\ 86:10\\ \textbf{obtained} [1] - 112:19\\ \textbf{obtaining} [2] - 93:12,\\ 97:13\\ \textbf{obviously} [10] - 12:22,\\ 13:7, 53:1, 76:6,\\ 104:19, 112:14,\\ 114:9, 114:24,\\ 128:15, 145:20\\ \textbf{occasionally} [1] - 19:8\\ \textbf{occasions} [1] -\\ 114:15\\ \textbf{odd} [1] - 54:19\\ \textbf{OF} [4] - 1:1, 150:1,\\ 150:3, 150:4\\ \textbf{office} [4] - 139:3,\\ 140:12, 140:15,\\ \end{array}$	64:4, 65:19, 83:10, 83:11, 83:20, 95:25 ones [9] - 28:2, 28:11, 30:1, 32:12, 37:9, 37:19, 38:6, 47:11, 113:25 ongoing [1] - 96:20 open [12] - 8:7, 9:1, 16:7, 17:12, 32:7, 43:6, 63:25, 91:23, 95:17, 110:8, 111:16, 111:20 opening [1] - 100:1 openings [2] - 17:22, 64:11 opinion [6] - 52:25, 75:18, 93:16, 97:24, 98:23, 132:19 opinions [1] - 122:19
8:6, 38:11, 38:23, 39:15, 39:25, 40:9, 40:13, 40:15, 41:23, 42:10, 43:8, 44:16, 45:7, 46:8, 46:13, 46:15, 49:9, 49:15, 52:13, 52:15, 53:16, 53:20, 54:9, 54:14, 54:17, 54:23, 55:4, 55:7, 55:22, 56:9, 60:11, 60:15, 61:12, 61:21, 62:11, 62:24, 63:10, 63:12, 73:18, 79:20, 80:3, 85:24, 86:18, 87:20, 88:9, 88:15, 88:18, 89:4, 89:9, 89:17, 89:21, 89:25, 90:5, 90:24, 124:15, 124:20, 125:17, 126:25,	51:14, 53:6, 54:25, 59:19, 62:7, 72:24, 73:2, 74:6, 78:23, 81:17, 82:15, 86:6, 86:11, 90:19, 90:21, 91:16, 112:24, 119:4, 119:21, 120:22, 122:3, 123:3, 123:24, 125:6, 125:10, 125:20, 126:3, 126:22, 129:25, 130:1, 130:6, 130:8, 133:6, 139:23, 146:25 needed [2] - 4:19, 45:14 needing [1] - 121:9 needs [6] - 78:14, 84:18, 88:6, 123:15,	$137:22, 138:6, \\139:12, 149:13$ next-door [1] - 59:23 nice [1] - 32:10 nicest [1] - 48:15 night [1] - 137:17 nobody [4] - 105:2, 105:5, 107:25, 110:9 nominate [1] - 145:21 nomination [1] - 96:24 nominations [3] - 144:10, 144:11, 144:19 nominees [2] - 145:18, 145:19 nonetheless [1] - 137:20 nonhistoric [3] - 64:19, 65:7, 148:1 nonissue [1] - 77:21	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{objected [1] - 6:19} \\ \textbf{objection [2] - 6:22,} \\ 86:10 \\ \textbf{obtained [1] - 112:19} \\ \textbf{obtaining [2] - 93:12,} \\ 97:13 \\ \textbf{obviously [10] - 12:22,} \\ 13:7, 53:1, 76:6, \\ 104:19, 112:14, \\ 114:9, 114:24, \\ 128:15, 145:20 \\ \textbf{occasionally [1] - 19:8} \\ \textbf{occasions [1] - 114:15} \\ \textbf{odd [1] - 54:19} \\ \textbf{OF [4] - 1:1, 150:1,} \\ 150:3, 150:4 \\ \textbf{office [4] - 139:3,} \\ 140:12, 140:15, \\ 142:19 \\ \end{array}$	64:4, 65:19, 83:10, 83:11, 83:20, 95:25 ones [9] - 28:2, 28:11, 30:1, 32:12, 37:9, 37:19, 38:6, 47:11, 113:25 ongoing [1] - 96:20 open [12] - 8:7, 9:1, 16:7, 17:12, 32:7, 43:6, 63:25, 91:23, 95:17, 110:8, 111:16, 111:20 opening [1] - 100:1 openings [2] - 17:22, 64:11 opinion [6] - 52:25, 75:18, 93:16, 97:24, 98:23, 132:19 opinions [1] - 122:19 opportunity [1] - 60:7
8:6, 38:11, 38:23, 39:15, 39:25, 40:9, 40:13, 40:15, 41:23, 42:10, 43:8, 44:16, 45:7, 46:8, 46:13, 46:15, 49:9, 49:15, 52:13, 52:15, 53:16, 53:20, 54:9, 54:14, 54:17, 54:23, 55:4, 55:7, 55:22, 56:9, 60:11, 60:15, 61:12, 61:21, 62:11, 62:24, 63:10, 63:12, 73:18, 79:20, 80:3, 85:24, 86:18, 87:20, 88:9, 88:15, 88:18, 89:4, 89:9, 89:17, 89:21, 89:25, 90:5, 90:24, 124:15, 124:20,	51:14, 53:6, 54:25, 59:19, 62:7, 72:24, 73:2, 74:6, 78:23, 81:17, 82:15, 86:6, 86:11, 90:19, 90:21, 91:16, 112:24, 119:4, 119:21, 120:22, 122:3, 123:3, 123:24, 125:6, 125:10, 125:20, 126:3, 126:22, 129:25, 130:1, 130:6, 130:8, 133:6, 139:23, 146:25 needed [2] - 4:19, 45:14 needing [1] - 121:9 needs [6] - 78:14, 84:18, 88:6, 123:15, 124:1, 132:9	$\begin{array}{c} 137:22, 138:6, \\ 139:12, 149:13 \\ \textbf{next-door} [1] - 59:23 \\ \textbf{nice} [1] - 32:10 \\ \textbf{nicest} [1] - 48:15 \\ \textbf{night} [1] - 137:17 \\ \textbf{nobody} [4] - 105:2, \\ 105:5, 107:25, 110:9 \\ \textbf{nominate} [1] - 145:21 \\ \textbf{nomination} [1] - 96:24 \\ \textbf{nominations} [3] - \\ 144:10, 144:11, \\ 144:19 \\ \textbf{nominees} [2] - \\ 145:18, 145:19 \\ \textbf{nonetheless} [1] - \\ 137:20 \\ \textbf{nonhistoric} [3] - \\ 64:19, 65:7, 148:1 \\ \textbf{nonissue} [1] - 77:21 \\ \textbf{North} [2] - 1:8, 95:13 \\ \end{array}$	objected [1] - 6:19 objection [2] - 6:22, 86:10 obtained [1] - 112:19 obtaining [2] - 93:12, 97:13 obviously [10] - 12:22, 13:7, 53:1, 76:6, 104:19, 112:14, 114:9, 114:24, 128:15, 145:20 occasionally [1] - 19:8 occasions [1] - 114:15 odd [1] - 54:19 OF [4] - 1:1, 150:1, 150:3, 150:4 office [4] - 139:3, 140:12, 140:15, 142:19 Office [2] - 1:19, 2:11	64:4, 65:19, 83:10, 83:11, 83:20, 95:25 ones [9] - 28:2, 28:11, 30:1, 32:12, 37:9, 37:19, 38:6, 47:11, 113:25 ongoing [1] - 96:20 open [12] - 8:7, 9:1, 16:7, 17:12, 32:7, 43:6, 63:25, 91:23, 95:17, 110:8, 111:16, 111:20 opening [1] - 100:1 openings [2] - 17:22, 64:11 opinion [6] - 52:25, 75:18, 93:16, 97:24, 98:23, 132:19 opinions [1] - 122:19 opportunity [1] - 60:7 opposed [11] - 6:6,
8:6, 38:11, 38:23, 39:15, 39:25, 40:9, 40:13, 40:15, 41:23, 42:10, 43:8, 44:16, 45:7, 46:8, 46:13, 46:15, 49:9, 49:15, 52:13, 52:15, 53:16, 53:20, 54:9, 54:14, 54:17, 54:23, 55:4, 55:7, 55:22, 56:9, 60:11, 60:15, 61:12, 61:21, 62:11, 62:24, 63:10, 63:12, 73:18, 79:20, 80:3, 85:24, 86:18, 87:20, 88:9, 88:15, 88:18, 89:4, 89:9, 89:17, 89:21, 89:25, 90:5, 90:24, 124:15, 124:20, 125:17, 126:25, 127:7, 130:19,	51:14, 53:6, 54:25, 59:19, 62:7, 72:24, 73:2, 74:6, 78:23, 81:17, 82:15, 86:6, 86:11, 90:19, 90:21, 91:16, 112:24, 119:4, 119:21, 120:22, 122:3, 123:3, 123:24, 125:6, 125:10, 125:20, 126:3, 126:22, 129:25, 130:1, 130:6, 130:8, 133:6, 139:23, 146:25 needed [2] - 4:19, 45:14 needing [1] - 121:9 needs [6] - 78:14, 84:18, 88:6, 123:15, 124:1, 132:9 negatively [1] - 96:14	$137:22, 138:6, \\139:12, 149:13$ next-door [1] - 59:23 nice [1] - 32:10 nicest [1] - 48:15 night [1] - 137:17 nobody [4] - 105:2, 105:5, 107:25, 110:9 nominate [1] - 145:21 nomination [1] - 96:24 nominations [3] - 144:10, 144:11, 144:19 nominees [2] - 145:18, 145:19 nonetheless [1] - 137:20 nonhistoric [3] - 64:19, 65:7, 148:11 nonissue [1] - 77:21 North [2] - 1:8, 95:13 notable [1] - 10:24	objected [1] - $6:19$ objection [2] - $6:22$, 86:10 obtained [1] - $112:19$ obtaining [2] - $93:12$, 97:13 obviously [10] - $12:22$, 13:7, 53:1, 76:6, 104:19, 112:14, 114:9, 114:24, 128:15, 145:20 occasionally [1] - $19:8$ occasions [1] - 114:15 odd [1] - $54:19$ OF [4] - $1:1, 150:1$, 150:3, 150:4 office [4] - $139:3$, 140:12, 140:15, 142:19 Office [2] - $1:19, 2:11$ often [1] - $98:3$	64:4, 65:19, 83:10, 83:11, 83:20, 95:25 ones [9] - 28:2, 28:11, 30:1, 32:12, 37:9, 37:19, 38:6, 47:11, 113:25 ongoing [1] - 96:20 open [12] - 8:7, 9:1, 16:7, 17:12, 32:7, 43:6, 63:25, 91:23, 95:17, 110:8, 111:16, 111:20 opening [1] - 100:1 openings [2] - 17:22, 64:11 opinion [6] - 52:25, 75:18, 93:16, 97:24, 98:23, 132:19 opinions [1] - 122:19 opportunity [1] - 60:7 opposed [11] - 66, 7:17, 15:17, 38:6,
8:6, 38:11, 38:23, 39:15, 39:25, 40:9, 40:13, 40:15, 41:23, 42:10, 43:8, 44:16, 45:7, 46:8, 46:13, 46:15, 49:9, 49:15, 52:13, 52:15, 53:16, 53:20, 54:9, 54:14, 54:17, 54:23, 55:4, 55:7, 55:22, 56:9, 60:11, 60:15, 61:12, 61:21, 62:11, 62:24, 63:10, 63:12, 73:18, 79:20, 80:3, 85:24, 86:18, 87:20, 88:9, 88:15, 88:18, 89:4, 89:9, 89:17, 89:21, 89:25, 90:5, 90:24, 124:15, 124:20, 125:17, 126:25, 127:7, 130:19, 130:22, 131:20,	51:14, 53:6, 54:25, 59:19, 62:7, 72:24, 73:2, 74:6, 78:23, 81:17, 82:15, 86:6, 86:11, 90:19, 90:21, 91:16, 112:24, 119:4, 119:21, 120:22, 122:3, 123:3, 123:24, 125:6, 125:10, 125:20, 126:3, 126:22, 129:25, 130:1, 130:6, 130:8, 133:6, 139:23, 146:25 needed [2] - 4:19, 45:14 needing [1] - 121:9 needs [6] - 78:14, 84:18, 88:6, 123:15, 124:1, 132:9 negatively [1] - 96:14 neglect [1] - 96:20	$137:22, 138:6, \\139:12, 149:13$ next-door [1] - 59:23 nice [1] - 32:10 nicest [1] - 48:15 night [1] - 137:17 nobody [4] - 105:2, 105:5, 107:25, 110:9 nominate [1] - 145:21 nomination [1] - 96:24 nominations [3] - 144:10, 144:11, 144:19 nominees [2] - 145:18, 145:19 nonetheless [1] - 137:20 nonhistoric [3] - 64:19, 65:7, 148:11 nonissue [1] - 77:21 North [2] - 1:8, 95:13 notable [1] - 10:24 Notary [1] - 1:9	objected [1] - $6:19$ objection [2] - $6:22$, 86:10 obtained [1] - $112:19$ obtaining [2] - $93:12$, 97:13 obviously [10] - $12:22$, 13:7, 53:1, 76:6, 104:19, 112:14, 114:9, 114:24, 128:15, 145:20 occasionally [1] - $19:8$ occasions [1] - 114:15 odd [1] - $54:19$ OF [4] - $1:1, 150:1$, 150:3, 150:4 office [4] - $139:3$, 140:12, 140:15, 142:19 Office [2] - $1:19, 2:11$ often [1] - $98:3$ old [5] - $24:3, 53:21$,	64:4, 65:19, 83:10, 83:11, 83:20, 95:25 ones [9] - 28:2, 28:11, 30:1, 32:12, 37:9, 37:19, 38:6, 47:11, 113:25 ongoing [1] - 96:20 open [12] - 8:7, 9:1, 16:7, 17:12, 32:7, 43:6, 63:25, 91:23, 95:17, 110:8, 111:16, 111:20 opening [1] - 100:1 openings [2] - 17:22, 64:11 opinion [6] - 52:25, 75:18, 93:16, 97:24, 98:23, 132:19 opinions [1] - 122:19 opportunity [1] - 60:7 opposed [11] - 66, 7:17, 15:17, 38:6, 42:22, 63:4, 87:3,
8:6, 38:11, 38:23, 39:15, 39:25, 40:9, 40:13, 40:15, 41:23, 42:10, 43:8, 44:16, 45:7, 46:8, 46:13, 46:15, 49:9, 49:15, 52:13, 52:15, 53:16, 53:20, 54:9, 54:14, 54:17, 54:23, 55:4, 55:7, 55:22, 56:9, 60:11, 60:15, 61:12, 61:21, 62:11, 62:24, 63:10, 63:12, 73:18, 79:20, 80:3, 85:24, 86:18, 87:20, 88:9, 88:15, 88:18, 89:4, 89:9, 89:17, 89:21, 89:25, 90:5, 90:24, 124:15, 124:20, 125:17, 126:25, 127:7, 130:19, 130:22, 131:20, 131:23, 132:5,	51:14, 53:6, 54:25, 59:19, 62:7, 72:24, 73:2, 74:6, 78:23, 81:17, 82:15, 86:6, 86:11, 90:19, 90:21, 91:16, 112:24, 119:4, 119:21, 120:22, 122:3, 123:3, 123:24, 125:6, 125:10, 125:20, 126:3, 126:22, 129:25, 130:1, 130:6, 130:8, 133:6, 139:23, 146:25 needed [2] - 4:19, 45:14 needing [1] - 121:9 needs [6] - 78:14, 84:18, 88:6, 123:15, 124:1, 132:9 negatively [1] - 96:14 neglect [1] - 96:20 neighbor [3] - 61:13,	$137:22, 138:6, \\139:12, 149:13$ next-door [1] - 59:23 nice [1] - 32:10 nicest [1] - 48:15 night [1] - 137:17 nobody [4] - 105:2, 105:5, 107:25, 110:9 nominate [1] - 145:21 nomination [1] - 96:24 nominations [3] - 144:10, 144:11, 144:19 nominees [2] - 145:18, 145:19 nonetheless [1] - 137:20 nonhistoric [3] - 64:19, 65:7, 148:11 nonissue [1] - 77:21 North [2] - 1:8, 95:13 notable [1] - 10:24 Notary [1] - 1:9 note [3] - 48:13,	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{objected} \ [1] - 6:19 \\ \textbf{objection} \ [2] - 6:22, \\ 86:10 \\ \textbf{obtained} \ [1] - 112:19 \\ \textbf{obtaining} \ [2] - 93:12, \\ 97:13 \\ \textbf{obviously} \ [10] - 12:22, \\ 13:7, 53:1, 76:6, \\ 104:19, 112:14, \\ 114:9, 114:24, \\ 128:15, 145:20 \\ \textbf{occasionally} \ [1] - 19:8 \\ \textbf{occasions} \ [1] - \\ 114:15 \\ \textbf{odd} \ [1] - 54:19 \\ \textbf{OF} \ [4] - 1:1, 150:1, \\ 150:3, 150:4 \\ \textbf{office} \ [4] - 139:3, \\ 140:12, 140:15, \\ 142:19 \\ \textbf{Office} \ [2] - 1:19, 2:11 \\ \textbf{often} \ [1] - 98:3 \\ \textbf{old} \ [5] - 24:3, 53:21, \\ 53:22, 105:8, 148:3 \\ \end{array}$	64:4, 65:19, 83:10, 83:11, 83:20, 95:25 ones [9] - 28:2, 28:11, 30:1, 32:12, 37:9, 37:19, 38:6, 47:11, 113:25 ongoing [1] - 96:20 open [12] - 8:7, 9:1, 16:7, 17:12, 32:7, 43:6, 63:25, 91:23, 95:17, 110:8, 111:16, 111:20 opening [1] - 100:1 openings [2] - 17:22, 64:11 opinion [6] - 52:25, 75:18, 93:16, 97:24, 98:23, 132:19 opinions [1] - 122:19 opportunity [1] - 60:7 opposed [11] - 66, 7:17, 15:17, 38:6, 42:22, 63:4, 87:3, 88:25, 90:11,
8:6, 38:11, 38:23, 39:15, 39:25, 40:9, 40:13, 40:15, 41:23, 42:10, 43:8, 44:16, 45:7, 46:8, 46:13, 46:15, 49:9, 49:15, 52:13, 52:15, 53:16, 53:20, 54:9, 54:14, 54:17, 54:23, 55:4, 55:7, 55:22, 56:9, 60:11, 60:15, 61:12, 61:21, 62:11, 62:24, 63:10, 63:12, 73:18, 79:20, 80:3, 85:24, 86:18, 87:20, 88:9, 88:15, 88:18, 89:4, 89:9, 89:17, 89:21, 89:25, 90:5, 90:24, 124:15, 124:20, 125:17, 126:25, 127:7, 130:19, 130:22, 131:20, 131:23, 132:5, 132:25, 133:13, 134:8, 134:23, 137:12, 137:15, 80:10, 131:23, 132:15, 132:25, 137:12, 137:15, 132:25, 137:12, 137:15, 132:25, 137:12, 137:15, 132:25, 137:12, 137:15, 132:25, 137:12, 137:15, 132:25, 137:12, 137:15, 132:25, 137:12, 137:15, 132:25, 137:12, 137:12, 137:15, 137:12, 137:12, 137:12, 137:15, 137:12, 137:15, 137:12, 137:12, 137:12, 137:12, 137:12, 137:12, 137:12, 137:15, 137:12, 137:15, 137:12, 137:15, 137:12, 137:15, 137:12, 137:15, 137:12	51:14, 53:6, 54:25, 59:19, 62:7, 72:24, 73:2, 74:6, 78:23, 81:17, 82:15, 86:6, 86:11, 90:19, 90:21, 91:16, 112:24, 119:4, 119:21, 120:22, 122:3, 123:3, 123:24, 125:6, 125:10, 125:20, 126:3, 126:22, 129:25, 130:1, 130:6, 130:8, 133:6, 139:23, 146:25 needed [2] - 4:19, 45:14 needing [1] - 121:9 needs [6] - 78:14, 84:18, 88:6, 123:15, 124:1, 132:9 negatively [1] - 96:14 neglect [1] - 96:20 neighbor [3] - 61:13, 62:18, 63:14	$\begin{array}{c} 137:22, 138:6, \\ 139:12, 149:13 \\ \textbf{next-door} [1] - 59:23 \\ \textbf{nice} [1] - 32:10 \\ \textbf{nicest} [1] - 48:15 \\ \textbf{night} [1] - 137:17 \\ \textbf{nobody} [4] - 105:2, \\ 105:5, 107:25, 110:9 \\ \textbf{nominate} [1] - 145:21 \\ \textbf{nomination} [1] - 96:24 \\ \textbf{nominations} [3] - \\ 144:10, 144:11, \\ 144:19 \\ \textbf{nominees} [2] - \\ 145:18, 145:19 \\ \textbf{nomtheless} [1] - \\ 137:20 \\ \textbf{nonhistoric} [3] - \\ 64:19, 65:7, 148:1 \\ \textbf{nonissue} [1] - 77:21 \\ \textbf{North} [2] - 1:8, 95:13 \\ \textbf{notable} [1] - 10:24 \\ \textbf{Notary} [1] - 19 \\ \textbf{note} [3] - \\ 48:13, \\ 66:14, 125:13 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{objected} \ [1] - 6:19 \\ \textbf{objection} \ [2] - 6:22, \\ 86:10 \\ \textbf{obtained} \ [1] - 112:19 \\ \textbf{obtaining} \ [2] - 93:12, \\ 97:13 \\ \textbf{obviously} \ [10] - 12:22, \\ 13:7, 53:1, 76:6, \\ 104:19, 112:14, \\ 114:9, 114:24, \\ 128:15, 145:20 \\ \textbf{occasionally} \ [1] - 19:8 \\ \textbf{occasions} \ [1] - \\ 114:15 \\ \textbf{odd} \ [1] - 54:19 \\ \textbf{OF} \ [4] - 1:1, 150:1, \\ 150:3, 150:4 \\ \textbf{office} \ [4] - 139:3, \\ 140:12, 140:15, \\ 142:19 \\ \textbf{Office} \ [2] - 1:19, 2:11 \\ \textbf{often} \ [1] - 98:3 \\ \textbf{old} \ [5] - 24:3, 53:21, \\ 53:22, 105:8, 148:3 \\ \textbf{older} \ [1] - 141:5 \\ \end{array}$	64:4, 65:19, 83:10, 83:11, 83:20, 95:25 ones [9] - 28:2, 28:11, 30:1, 32:12, 37:9, 37:19, 38:6, 47:11, 113:25 ongoing [1] - 96:20 open [12] - 8:7, 9:1, 16:7, 17:12, 32:7, 43:6, 63:25, 91:23, 95:17, 110:8, 111:16, 111:20 opening [1] - 100:1 opening [2] - 17:22, 64:11 opinion [6] - 52:25, 75:18, 93:16, 97:24, 98:23, 132:19 opinions [1] - 122:19 opportunity [1] - 60:7 opposed [11] - 6:6, 7:17, 15:17, 38:6, 42:22, 63:4, 87:3, 88:25, 90:11, 134:18, 136:20
8:6, 38:11, 38:23, 39:15, 39:25, 40:9, 40:13, 40:15, 41:23, 42:10, 43:8, 44:16, 45:7, 46:8, 46:13, 46:15, 49:9, 49:15, 52:13, 52:15, 53:16, 53:20, 54:9, 54:14, 54:17, 54:23, 55:4, 55:7, 55:22, 56:9, 60:11, 60:15, 61:12, 61:21, 62:11, 62:24, 63:10, 63:12, 73:18, 79:20, 80:3, 85:24, 86:18, 87:20, 88:9, 88:15, 88:18, 89:4, 89:9, 89:17, 89:21, 89:25, 90:5, 90:24, 124:15, 124:20, 125:17, 126:25, 127:7, 130:19, 130:22, 131:20, 131:23, 132:5, 132:25, 133:13, 134:8, 134:23, 137:12, 137:15, 140:20, 141:4, 143:7	51:14, 53:6, 54:25, 59:19, 62:7, 72:24, 73:2, 74:6, 78:23, 81:17, 82:15, 86:6, 86:11, 90:19, 90:21, 91:16, 112:24, 119:4, 119:21, 120:22, 122:3, 123:3, 123:24, 125:6, 125:10, 125:20, 126:3, 126:22, 129:25, 130:1, 130:6, 130:8, 133:6, 139:23, 146:25 needed [2] - 4:19, 45:14 needing [1] - 121:9 needs [6] - 78:14, 84:18, 88:6, 123:15, 124:1, 132:9 negatively [1] - 96:14 neglect [1] - 96:20 neighbor [3] - 61:13, 62:18, 63:14 neighbor's [1] - 53:18	$137:22, 138:6, \\139:12, 149:13$ next-door [1] - 59:23 nice [1] - 32:10 nicest [1] - 48:15 night [1] - 137:17 nobody [4] - 105:2, 105:5, 107:25, 110:9 nominate [1] - 145:21 nomination [1] - 96:24 nominations [3] - 144:10, 144:11, 144:19 nominees [2] - 145:18, 145:19 nonetheless [1] - 137:20 nonhistoric [3] - 64:19, 65:7, 148:1 nonissue [1] - 77:21 North [2] - 1:8, 95:13 notable [1] - 10:24 Notary [1] - 1:9 note [3] - 48:13, 66:14, 125:13 noted [3] - 19:18,	objected [1] - 6:19 objection [2] - 6:22, 86:10 obtained [1] - 112:19 obtaining [2] - 93:12, 97:13 obviously [10] - 12:22, 13:7, 53:1, 76:6, 104:19, 112:14, 114:9, 114:24, 128:15, 145:20 occasionally [1] - 19:8 occasions [1] - 114:15 odd [1] - 54:19 OF [4] - 1:1, 150:1, 150:3, 150:4 office [4] - 139:3, 140:12, 140:15, 142:19 Office [2] - 1:19, 2:11 often [1] - 98:3 old [5] - 24:3, 53:21, 53:22, 105:8, 148:3 older [1] - 141:5 once [5] - 9:24,	64:4, 65:19, 83:10, 83:11, 83:20, 95:25 ones [9] - 28:2, 28:11, 30:1, 32:12, 37:9, 37:19, 38:6, 47:11, 113:25 ongoing [1] - 96:20 open [12] - 8:7, 9:1, 16:7, 17:12, 32:7, 43:6, 63:25, 91:23, 95:17, 110:8, 111:16, 111:20 opening [1] - 100:1 openings [2] - 17:22, 64:11 opinion [6] - 52:25, 75:18, 93:16, 97:24, 98:23, 132:19 opinions [1] - 122:19 opportunity [1] - 60:7 opposed [11] - 66, 7:17, 15:17, 38:6, 42:22, 63:4, 87:3, 88:25, 90:11,
8:6, 38:11, 38:23, 39:15, 39:25, 40:9, 40:13, 40:15, 41:23, 42:10, 43:8, 44:16, 45:7, 46:8, 46:13, 46:15, 49:9, 49:15, 52:13, 52:15, 53:16, 53:20, 54:9, 54:14, 54:17, 54:23, 55:4, 55:7, 55:22, 56:9, 60:11, 60:15, 61:12, 61:21, 62:11, 62:24, 63:10, 63:12, 73:18, 79:20, 80:3, 85:24, 86:18, 87:20, 88:9, 88:15, 88:18, 89:4, 89:9, 89:17, 89:21, 89:25, 90:5, 90:24, 124:15, 124:20, 125:17, 126:25, 127:7, 130:19, 130:22, 131:20, 131:23, 132:5, 132:25, 133:13, 134:8, 134:23, 137:12, 137:15, 140:20, 141:4, 143:7 multiple [5] - 65:1,	51:14, 53:6, 54:25, 59:19, 62:7, 72:24, 73:2, 74:6, 78:23, 81:17, 82:15, 86:6, 86:11, 90:19, 90:21, 91:16, 112:24, 119:4, 119:21, 120:22, 122:3, 123:3, 123:24, 125:6, 125:10, 125:20, 126:3, 126:22, 129:25, 130:1, 130:6, 130:8, 133:6, 139:23, 146:25 needed [2] - 4:19, 45:14 needing [1] - 121:9 needs [6] - 78:14, 84:18, 88:6, 123:15, 124:1, 132:9 negatively [1] - 96:14 neglect [1] - 96:20 neighbor [3] - 61:13, 62:18, 63:14 neighborhood [11] -	$137:22, 138:6, \\139:12, 149:13$ next-door [1] - 59:23 nice [1] - 32:10 nicest [1] - 48:15 night [1] - 137:17 nobody [4] - 105:2, 105:5, 107:25, 110:9 nominate [1] - 145:21 nomination [1] - 96:24 nominations [3] - 144:10, 144:11, 144:19 nominees [2] - 145:18, 145:19 nonetheless [1] - 137:20 nonhistoric [3] - 64:19, 65:7, 148:1 nonissue [1] - 77:21 North [2] - 1:8, 95:13 notable [1] - 10:24 Notary [1] - 1:9 note [3] - 48:13, 66:14, 125:13 noted [3] - 19:18, 33:24, 67:6	objected [1] - $6:19$ objection [2] - $6:22$, 86:10 obtained [1] - $112:19$ obtaining [2] - $93:12$, 97:13 obviously [10] - $12:22$, 13:7, 53:1, 76:6, 104:19, 112:14, 114:9, 114:24, 128:15, 145:20 occasionally [1] - $19:8$ occasions [1] - 114:15 odd [1] - $54:19$ OF [4] - $1:1, 150:1,$ 150:3, 150:4 office [4] - $139:3,$ 140:12, 140:15, 142:19 Office [2] - $1:19, 2:11$ often [1] - $98:3$ old [5] - $24:3, 53:21,$ 53:22, 105:8, 148:3 older [1] - $141:5$ once [5] - $9:24,$ 107:23, 108:18,	64:4, 65:19, 83:10, 83:11, 83:20, 95:25 ones [9] - 28:2, 28:11, 30:1, 32:12, 37:9, 37:19, 38:6, 47:11, 113:25 ongoing [1] - 96:20 open [12] - 8:7, 9:1, 16:7, 17:12, 32:7, 43:6, 63:25, 91:23, 95:17, 110:8, 111:16, 111:20 opening [1] - 100:1 opening [2] - 17:22, 64:11 opinion [6] - 52:25, 75:18, 93:16, 97:24, 98:23, 132:19 opinions [1] - 122:19 opportunity [1] - 60:7 opposed [11] - 66, 7:17, 15:17, 38:6, 42:22, 63:4, 87:3, 88:25, 90:11, 134:18, 136:20 opposition [2] - 3:8, 131:6
8:6, 38:11, 38:23, 39:15, 39:25, 40:9, 40:13, 40:15, 41:23, 42:10, 43:8, 44:16, 45:7, 46:8, 46:13, 46:15, 49:9, 49:15, 52:13, 52:15, 53:16, 53:20, 54:9, 54:14, 54:17, 54:23, 55:4, 55:7, 55:22, 56:9, 60:11, 60:15, 61:12, 61:21, 62:11, 62:24, 63:10, 63:12, 73:18, 79:20, 80:3, 85:24, 86:18, 87:20, 88:9, 88:15, 88:18, 89:4, 89:9, 89:17, 89:21, 89:25, 90:5, 90:24, 124:15, 124:20, 125:17, 126:25, 127:7, 130:19, 130:22, 131:20, 131:23, 132:5, 132:25, 133:13, 134:8, 134:23, 137:12, 137:15, 140:20, 141:4, 143:7 multiple [5] - 65:1, 106:20, 106:21,	51:14, 53:6, 54:25, 59:19, 62:7, 72:24, 73:2, 74:6, 78:23, 81:17, 82:15, 86:6, 86:11, 90:19, 90:21, 91:16, 112:24, 119:4, 119:21, 120:22, 122:3, 123:3, 123:24, 125:6, 125:10, 125:20, 126:3, 126:22, 129:25, 130:1, 130:6, 130:8, 133:6, 139:23, 146:25 needed [2] - 4:19, 45:14 needing [1] - 121:9 needs [6] - 78:14, 84:18, 88:6, 123:15, 124:1, 132:9 negatively [1] - 96:14 neglect [1] - 96:20 neighbor [3] - 61:13, 62:18, 63:14 neighborhood [11] - 13:4, 30:11, 32:23, 123:24, 123:24, 123:25, 123:18, 123:23, 123:24, 123:25, 124:1, 132:23, 124:14, 123:25, 124:14, 125, 124:14, 125; 124:14; 125; 124:14; 125; 124:14; 125; 124:14; 124:14; 125; 124:14; 125; 124:14; 125; 124:15; 124:14; 125; 124:15; 124:14; 125; 124:15; 124:14; 125; 124; 125; 125; 124; 125; 125; 125; 125; 125; 125; 125; 125	$\begin{array}{c} 137:22, 138:6, \\ 139:12, 149:13 \\ \textbf{next-door} [1] - 59:23 \\ \textbf{nice} [1] - 32:10 \\ \textbf{nicest} [1] - 48:15 \\ \textbf{night} [1] - 137:17 \\ \textbf{nobody} [4] - 105:2, \\ 105:5, 107:25, 110:9 \\ \textbf{nominate} [1] - 145:21 \\ \textbf{nomination} [1] - 96:24 \\ \textbf{nomination} [3] - \\ 144:10, 144:11, \\ 144:19 \\ \textbf{nominees} [2] - \\ 145:18, 145:19 \\ \textbf{nomtheless} [1] - \\ 137:20 \\ \textbf{nonhistoric} [3] - \\ 64:19, 65:7, 148:1 \\ \textbf{nonissue} [1] - 77:21 \\ \textbf{North} [2] - 1:8, 95:13 \\ \textbf{notable} [1] - 10:24 \\ \textbf{Notary} [1] - 19:18, \\ 33:24, 67:6 \\ \textbf{notes} [1] - 150:11 \\ \end{array}$	objected [1] - $6:19$ objection [2] - $6:22$, 86:10 obtained [1] - $112:19$ obtaining [2] - $93:12$, 97:13 obviously [10] - $12:22$, 13:7, 53:1, 76:6, 104:19, 112:14, 114:9, 114:24, 128:15, 145:20 occasionally [1] - $19:8$ occasions [1] - 114:15 odd [1] - $54:19$ OF [4] - $1:1, 150:1,$ 150:3, 150:4 office [4] - $139:3,$ 140:12, 140:15, 142:19 Office [2] - $1:19, 2:11$ often [1] - $98:3$ old [5] - $24:3, 53:21,$ 53:22, 105:8, 148:3 older [1] - $141:5$ once [5] - $9:24,$ 107:23, 108:18, 146:9, 146:25	64:4, 65:19, 83:10, 83:11, 83:20, 95:25 ones [9] - 28:2, 28:11, 30:1, 32:12, 37:9, 37:19, 38:6, 47:11, 113:25 ongoing [1] - 96:20 open [12] - 8:7, 9:1, 16:7, 17:12, 32:7, 43:6, 63:25, 91:23, 95:17, 110:8, 111:16, 111:20 opening [1] - 100:1 openings [2] - 17:22, 64:11 opinion [6] - 52:25, 75:18, 93:16, 97:24, 98:23, 132:19 opinions [1] - 122:19 opportunity [1] - 60:7 opposed [11] - 66, 7:17, 15:17, 38:6, 42:22, 63:4, 87:3, 88:25, 90:11, 134:18, 136:20 opposition [2] - 3:8,
8:6, 38:11, 38:23, 39:15, 39:25, 40:9, 40:13, 40:15, 41:23, 42:10, 43:8, 44:16, 45:7, 46:8, 46:13, 46:15, 49:9, 49:15, 52:13, 52:15, 53:16, 53:20, 54:9, 54:14, 54:17, 54:23, 55:4, 55:7, 55:22, 56:9, 60:11, 60:15, 61:12, 61:21, 62:11, 62:24, 63:10, 63:12, 73:18, 79:20, 80:3, 85:24, 86:18, 87:20, 88:9, 88:15, 88:18, 89:4, 89:9, 89:17, 89:21, 89:25, 90:5, 90:24, 124:15, 124:20, 125:17, 126:25, 127:7, 130:19, 130:22, 131:20, 131:23, 132:5, 132:25, 133:13, 134:8, 134:23, 137:12, 137:15, 140:20, 141:4, 143:7 multiple [5] - 65:1,	51:14, 53:6, 54:25, 59:19, 62:7, 72:24, 73:2, 74:6, 78:23, 81:17, 82:15, 86:6, 86:11, 90:19, 90:21, 91:16, 112:24, 119:4, 119:21, 120:22, 122:3, 123:3, 123:24, 125:6, 125:10, 125:20, 126:3, 126:22, 129:25, 130:1, 130:6, 130:8, 133:6, 139:23, 146:25 needed [2] - 4:19, 45:14 needing [1] - 121:9 needs [6] - 78:14, 84:18, 88:6, 123:15, 124:1, 132:9 negatively [1] - 96:14 neglect [1] - 96:20 neighbor [3] - 61:13, 62:18, 63:14 neighborhood [11] -	$137:22, 138:6, \\139:12, 149:13$ next-door [1] - 59:23 nice [1] - 32:10 nicest [1] - 48:15 night [1] - 137:17 nobody [4] - 105:2, 105:5, 107:25, 110:9 nominate [1] - 145:21 nomination [1] - 96:24 nominations [3] - 144:10, 144:11, 144:19 nominees [2] - 145:18, 145:19 nonetheless [1] - 137:20 nonhistoric [3] - 64:19, 65:7, 148:1 nonissue [1] - 77:21 North [2] - 1:8, 95:13 notable [1] - 10:24 Notary [1] - 1:9 note [3] - 48:13, 66:14, 125:13 noted [3] - 19:18, 33:24, 67:6	objected [1] - $6:19$ objection [2] - $6:22$, 86:10 obtained [1] - $112:19$ obtaining [2] - $93:12$, 97:13 obviously [10] - $12:22$, 13:7, 53:1, 76:6, 104:19, 112:14, 114:9, 114:24, 128:15, 145:20 occasionally [1] - $19:8$ occasions [1] - 114:15 odd [1] - $54:19$ OF [4] - $1:1, 150:1,$ 150:3, 150:4 office [4] - $139:3,$ 140:12, 140:15, 142:19 Office [2] - $1:19, 2:11$ often [1] - $98:3$ old [5] - $24:3, 53:21,$ 53:22, 105:8, 148:3 older [1] - $141:5$ once [5] - $9:24,$ 107:23, 108:18,	64:4, 65:19, 83:10, 83:11, 83:20, 95:25 ones [9] - 28:2, 28:11, 30:1, 32:12, 37:9, 37:19, 38:6, 47:11, 113:25 ongoing [1] - 96:20 open [12] - 8:7, 9:1, 16:7, 17:12, 32:7, 43:6, 63:25, 91:23, 95:17, 110:8, 111:16, 111:20 opening [1] - 100:1 opening [2] - 17:22, 64:11 opinion [6] - 52:25, 75:18, 93:16, 97:24, 98:23, 132:19 opinions [1] - 122:19 opportunity [1] - 60:7 opposed [11] - 66, 7:17, 15:17, 38:6, 42:22, 63:4, 87:3, 88:25, 90:11, 134:18, 136:20 opposition [2] - 3:8, 131:6 option [7] - 45:18,

Diane M'. Tropia', Inc'., Post' Office' Box' 2375', Jacksonville , FL' 32203 (904) 821-0300

50 of 57 sheets

111:20, 111:24,	Р	percent [1] - 114:3	20:7, 21:16, 23:20,	pledges [1] - 128:2
123:20, 124:4	F	percentage [1] - 55:16	24:7, 25:4, 29:18,	plus [1] - 103:20
options [2] - 45:3,	p.m [5] - 1:7, 2:1, 2:6,	performed [2] - 93:12,	31:12, 32:20, 33:1,	plywood [1] - 13:7
68:20	149:13, 149:21	97:13	35:15, 38:16, 47:16,	podium [8] - 12:7,
order [2] - 2:7, 112:22	package [1] - 97:18	perhaps [5] - 45:5,	53:1, 63:14, 121:15	20:18, 46:1, 49:18,
ordinance [6] - 104:4,	packet [8] - 19:24,	61:14, 79:5, 84:5,	pictures [12] - 22:4,	60:14, 67:14, 85:1,
104:13, 126:7,	20:2, 27:11, 39:18,	141:23	24:15, 32:13, 44:13,	102:25
131:12, 133:5	39:25, 40:21, 99:6,	period [2] - 11:8, 97:5	46:20, 47:8, 48:1,	Point [1] - 66:24
Ordinance [9] - 19:15,	137:4	permanent [2] - 13:8,	53:16, 120:6, 121:5,	point [21] - 30:8,
44:7, 67:4, 92:12,	page [1] - 123:15	131:22	121:8	47:18, 51:18, 73:13,
124:25, 125:22,	Page [1] - 32:5	permit [31] - 92:3,	piece [5] - 30:25, 38:3,	78:12, 80:23, 84:14,
139:10, 139:21,	painted [1] - 26:1	93:13, 97:14,	39:7, 48:7, 138:22	106:5, 107:18,
140:15	Pappas [1] - 15:8	100:12, 101:3,	pier [1] - 95:3	108:11, 108:14,
ordinances [2] -	parcel [3] - 127:15,	101:4, 101:24,	piers [3] - 78:8, 78:20,	111:19, 112:5,
137:10, 137:17	131:18, 131:25	105:16, 106:1,	84:15	115:24, 116:20,
orientated [2] - 30:14,	parcels [1] - 146:21	106:2, 106:3,	piggyback [1] -	118:20, 126:21,
75:2	parked [1] - 63:15	106:13, 107:20,	147:15	127:8, 139:5,
orientation [6] -	part [11] - 4:17, 5:23,	108:5, 108:17,	pilasters [2] - 9:14,	139:15, 139:20
17:11, 66:23, 80:1,	21:20, 21:21, 22:23,	112:6, 112:8,	71:6	points [1] - 58:2
85:23, 87:7, 95:16	47:23, 48:24, 58:8,	112:16, 112:19,	pilot [1] - 85:3	poor [2] - 98:4, 98:7
oriented [5] - 17:4,	69:13, 117:18, 148:2	112:22, 112:25,	pine [1] - 94:19	porch [65] - 17:2,
66:3, 66:25, 78:2,	participate [1] -	113:8, 113:20,	Pine [2] - 7:1, 7:3	18:10, 26:14, 28:7,
88:10	144:15	114:1, 118:13,	Pioneering [1] - 105:1	28:13, 33:13, 33:17,
origin [1] - 94:4	particular [3] - 57:24,	118:25, 120:15,	place [12] - 17:23,	33:19, 64:4, 64:24,
original [17] - 9:13,	69:12, 76:1	125:2, 142:7, 142:8,	98:2, 103:18,	64:25, 65:3, 65:5,
9:19, 47:20, 48:9,	parts [1] - 30:22	142:10	104:16, 108:13,	66:1, 66:3, 66:17,
57:7, 75:15, 82:23,	passes [1] - 42:14	permits [8] - 105:21,	122:10, 126:14,	66:18, 66:19, 66:23,
82:25, 93:10, 94:10,	passion [1] - 119:24	109:10, 114:5, 116:12, 118:24,	128:10, 128:22,	66:24, 68:8, 68:16,
97:3, 97:10, 100:19, 101:7, 101:10,	passionate [2] -	124:3, 128:10,	137:9, 137:22, 138:6	68:20, 69:1, 69:2, 69:18, 71:21, 72:1,
101:16, 117:23	109:15, 146:2	124:3, 120:10,	placed [2] - 70:7, 95:4	72:12, 72:14, 73:15,
originally [6] - 9:10,	past [1] - 144:23	permitting [4] -	placement [2] - 19:4, 36:4	73:16, 74:11, 74:12,
22:25, 28:18, 95:25,	path [1] - 111:15	102:17, 103:20,	Places [1] - 92:7	74:17, 74:22, 75:2,
99:18, 100:11	patience [1] - 136:17	105:18, 108:19	places [3] - 14:21,	76:4, 76:6, 77:1,
ornamental [1] - 53:12	pattern [2] - 17:16,	Perry [1] - 22:15	49:9, 76:18	77:3, 78:21, 79:11,
ornaments [1] - 9:15	93:2	person [7] - 3:25,	plan [30] - 24:17,	80:1, 80:24, 81:5,
otherwise [1] - 110:5	paver [10] - 43:10,	101:6, 101:16,	24:23, 31:25, 32:4,	82:13, 82:21, 82:22,
ought [1] - 34:18	43:16, 58:1, 58:14, 58:20, 58:25, 59:19,	107:18, 111:19,	36:2, 39:1, 40:21,	83:8, 83:20, 83:21,
outlined [2] - 85:25,	58.20, 58.25, 59.19, 59:24, 61:3, 138:5	142:12, 145:16	40:23, 41:4, 41:25,	84:12, 84:15, 85:23,
92:17	pavers [7] - 43:24,	personally [1] - 51:13	42:1, 42:4, 53:10,	86:1, 86:9, 87:7,
outside [5] - 32:11,	44:4, 45:6, 59:10,	phase [2] - 147:1,	54:6, 54:21, 55:1,	87:13, 87:22, 88:5,
47:22, 104:8,	59:15, 59:22, 61:24	147:2	55:11, 61:18, 61:22,	88:10, 92:24, 96:3
108:20, 127:19	paving [2] - 55:14,	phone [1] - 47:25	62:2, 76:13, 76:19,	porches [1] - 75:12
owes [1] - 94:3	55:16	phones [1] - 3:6	81:4, 92:23, 128:1,	portion [6] - 30:4,
own [2] - 48:22, 49:2	pay [1] - 108:20	phonetic [1] - 104:25	128:11, 128:14,	30:11, 30:21, 83:10,
owner [20] - 8:10,	paying [1] - 106:9	photo [4] - 121:2,	138:6, 139:12	97:23, 132:3
8:12, 8:18, 11:13,	Pearl [1] - 140:9	121:10, 121:16,	Planned [3] - 99:19,	position [4] - 111:21,
77:7, 77:12, 77:13,	peculiar [2] - 57:25,	121:17	99:20, 127:15	128:19, 128:21,
77:22, 81:24, 84:17,	132:14	photograph [4] -	Planning [13] - 1:17,	129:6
88:2, 92:2, 92:13,	pedestals [1] - 79:12	23:10, 25:23, 57:5,	1:18, 1:18, 2:9, 2:22,	possibility [1] - 127:7
101:7, 101:10,	pedestrian [1] - 78:2	141:25	2:24, 6:1, 10:2,	possible [3] - 75:14,
112:12, 127:3,	peek [1] - 25:22	photographs [3] -	11:16, 11:20, 62:4,	98:21, 103:6
129:9, 131:5, 136:11	Pending [1] - 137:7	4:16, 26:25, 58:23	93:14, 118:11	possibly [1] - 120:19
owner's [1] - 36:20	pending [1] - 137:10	photos [5] - 117:3,	planning [1] - 101:2	potential [3] - 84:3,
owner/applicant [1] -	peninsular [1] - 94:1	117:9, 120:25, 123:2	plans [7] - 4:16, 13:17,	96:21, 145:18
57:19	people [4] - 46:24,	picket [2] - 66:19,	18:5, 18:7, 19:4,	potentially [2] - 76:14, 96:20
owners [2] - 101:15,	104:18, 130:7, 147:7	71:20	128:8, 128:12	96.20 pots [1] - 53:24
107:3	per [4] - 29:16, 29:19,	picketing [1] - 77:17	plate [2] - 9:21, 11:1	poured [4] - 43:10,
ownership [1] - 101:9	38:20, 88:4	picture [19] - 16:25,	plate-glass [2] - 9:21, 11:1	44:2, 44:4, 45:12
owning [1] - 107:2	perceived [1] - 31:16	17:19, 18:17, 18:19,	(1.1	TT.L, TT.T, TO.IL
	M T		Inateonvilla∎ FL	-

Diane M'. Tropia', Inc'., Post' Office' Box' 2375', Jaeksonville", FL' 32203 (904) 821-0300

MadamCourtReporter .com

01/04/2025 09:51:16 AM

pre [1] - 95:20	private [1] - 3:8	provide [6] - 56:12,	questions [25] - 12:2,	134:1
pre-air-conditioned	problem [1] - 60:1	98:9, 101:18, 102:6,	13:9, 13:13, 19:20,	real [4] - 39:9, 135:16,
[1] - 95:20	procedures [1] - 92:18	109:4, 126:2	20:11, 20:15, 27:6,	138:13, 140:21
prefer [3] - 68:22,	proceed [3] - 105:23,	provided [7] - 21:9,	29:2, 44:10, 44:20,	really [22] - 15:4, 23:7,
86:21, 110:1	106:8, 119:6	29:17, 29:19, 38:22,	45:22, 67:8, 69:22,	23:8, 24:13, 34:5,
preferably [1] - 127:21	proceedings [5] -	39:3, 98:13, 123:14	70:22, 72:21, 99:4,	34:18, 35:7, 36:9,
preference [1] - 33:15	3:19, 124:10,	provides [1] - 32:10	100:10, 100:24,	47:17, 53:21, 57:7,
preliminary [1] - 146:5	135:14, 149:20,	providing [2] - 4:11,	101:20, 102:20,	63:4, 74:6, 74:22,
preparing [1] - 10:1	150:9	33:3	110:14, 112:12,	80:25, 109:21,
presence [1] - 95:22	Proceedings [1] - 1:6	public [19] - 3:7, 4:17,	116:18, 119:4, 119:7	111:20, 129:22,
present [4] - 11:12,	process [7] - 6:16,	8:7, 8:21, 9:1, 14:3,	quick [4] - 39:9, 52:7,	129:24, 130:15,
67:11, 109:7, 143:19	97:22, 108:19,	16:7, 29:10, 43:6,	140:21, 145:5	148:6, 149:1
PRESENT [2] - 1:12,	112:6, 125:15,	50:24, 63:25, 71:21,	quickly [1] - 135:16	reapply [1] - 125:15
1:16	130:10, 141:7	73:8, 91:23, 110:16,	quiet [1] - 149:7	rear [24] - 18:7, 18:23,
presentation [3] -	Professional [2] -	138:22, 143:4,	quirky [1] - 13:2	18:24, 19:1, 21:13,
4:15, 46:19, 59:3	150:7, 150:18	145:24, 147:18	quite [8] - 22:18,	30:5, 36:24, 37:10,
presentations [1] -	progresses [1] - 3:12	Public [4] - 1:9,	23:21, 34:5, 53:2,	64:5, 64:15, 65:9,
4:1	project [7] - 23:4,	137:25, 142:24,	55:2, 69:1, 101:22,	65:10, 71:25, 72:1,
presented [3] - 99:7,	81:11, 128:2, 128:7,	143:21	130:18	72:14, 73:16, 74:11,
131:3, 140:17	145:6, 145:8, 145:15	publishing [1] - 18:4	quote [2] - 66:16,	83:11, 84:12, 87:11,
presenting [1] - 57:20	projects [4] - 138:1,	PUD [7] - 110:24,	66:20	92:24, 97:3, 100:21
Preservation [8] - 2:5,	138:3, 144:22, 149:4	116:9, 117:23,		rearranged [1] - 37:20
11:22, 65:15, 88:13,	prominent [2] - 13:1,	124:1, 127:9,	R	reason [6] - 25:17,
92:10, 140:23,	15:6	127:24, 128:4		50:17, 111:6, 111:9,
146:7, 147:6	proper [3] - 58:21,	PUD's [1] - 128:7	radiated [1] - 94:2	119:23, 120:1
preservation [4] -	98:21, 143:5	PUDs [1] - 111:8	rafter [1] - 92:25	rebuilt [1] - 64:21
11:4, 96:10, 98:24,	properties [3] - 17:8,	pull [3] - 21:10, 61:8,	railing [14] - 17:3,	receive [1] - 46:17
109:2	111:23, 123:25	73:21	65:12, 70:25, 72:10,	received [2] - 19:24,
PRESERVATION [1] -	property [33] - 9:5,	pulled [1] - 127:10	73:14, 73:15, 74:11,	66:14
1:2	9:7, 11:13, 14:14,	pulling [1] - 76:21	78:11, 78:25, 79:2,	recently [1] - 105:7
preserve [6] - 104:5,	16:12, 16:17, 17:5,	punch [1] - 36:5	79:12, 80:20, 84:11, 87:10	recipient [3] - 113:23,
105:21, 111:22,	43:11, 43:14, 56:5,	punches [1] - 36:23	railings [2] - 78:4,	113:24, 118:22
123:24, 126:18,	56:6, 69:11, 76:1,	purchased [2] - 77:9,	78:17	recognized [2] -
127:16	92:2, 92:13, 92:15,	77:13	rails [2] - 66:19, 71:21	10:19, 94:7
preserved [2] - 98:6,	92:16, 93:4, 99:17, 100:13, 100:17,	pursued [1] - 111:15	rain [1] - 94:23	recommend [3] - 66:24, 80:17, 133:2
99:13	100:13, 100:17, 100:21, 101:8,	pursuit [1] - 136:22	rains [1] - 60:18	recommendation [21]
preserving [2] - 104:7,	104:12, 107:2,	put [21] - 26:13, 51:9,	raise [6] - 12:13,	- 12:23, 19:17,
127:18	107:6, 114:18,	52:17, 59:14, 59:15,	20:24, 46:6, 49:22,	39:11, 62:5, 67:5,
pretty [5] - 44:14,	124:5, 131:4,	63:3, 68:12, 68:14, 74:3, 82:23, 90:23,	67:20, 103:7	
61:1, 68:6, 125:16,		14.3. 02.23. 90.23.		
	135.23 136.7			72:6, 74:24, 79:6, 82:1_86:11_98:25
144:23	135:23, 136:7, 136:8, 136:11	105:9, 107:24,	raised [1] - 29:16	82:1, 86:11, 98:25,
prevent [1] - 11:11	136:8, 136:11	105:9, 107:24, 108:1, 108:6, 110:9,	raised [1] - 29:16 ramble [1] - 56:3	82:1, 86:11, 98:25, 126:5, 131:1, 131:3,
prevent [1] - 11:11 preventing [1] -	136:8, 136:11 proportion [3] - 53:11,	105:9, 107:24, 108:1, 108:6, 110:9, 111:8, 116:25,	raised [1] - 29:16 ramble [1] - 56:3 Ranch [2] - 104:24	82:1, 86:11, 98:25,
prevent [1] - 11:11 preventing [1] - 129:20	136:8, 136:11 proportion [3] - 53:11, 66:18, 81:16	105:9, 107:24, 108:1, 108:6, 110:9, 111:8, 116:25, 141:14, 142:21,	raised [1] - 29:16 ramble [1] - 56:3 Ranch [2] - 104:24 ranches [1] - 104:25	82:1, 86:11, 98:25, 126:5, 131:1, 131:3, 131:8, 131:11,
prevent [1] - 11:11 preventing [1] - 129:20 previous [4] - 62:13,	136:8, 136:11 proportion [3] - 53:11,	105:9, 107:24, 108:1, 108:6, 110:9, 111:8, 116:25, 141:14, 142:21, 145:2	raised [1] - 29:16 ramble [1] - 56:3 Ranch [2] - 104:24	82:1, 86:11, 98:25, 126:5, 131:1, 131:3, 131:8, 131:11, 132:20, 132:22,
prevent [1] - 11:11 preventing [1] - 129:20 previous [4] - 62:13, 84:10, 87:6, 107:3	136:8, 136:11 proportion [3] - 53:11, 66:18, 81:16 proportionally [1] -	105:9, 107:24, 108:1, 108:6, 110:9, 111:8, 116:25, 141:14, 142:21, 145:2 puts [1] - 111:21	raised [1] - 29:16 ramble [1] - 56:3 Ranch [2] - 104:24 ranches [1] - 104:25 RAP [1] - 143:18	82:1, 86:11, 98:25, 126:5, 131:1, 131:3, 131:8, 131:11, 132:20, 132:22, 133:11, 134:24,
prevent [1] - 11:11 preventing [1] - 129:20 previous [4] - 62:13, 84:10, 87:6, 107:3 previously [5] - 25:2,	136:8, 136:11 proportion [3] - 53:11, 66:18, 81:16 proportionally [1] - 17:23	105:9, 107:24, 108:1, 108:6, 110:9, 111:8, 116:25, 141:14, 142:21, 145:2	raised [1] - 29:16 ramble [1] - 56:3 Ranch [2] - 104:24 ranches [1] - 104:25 RAP [1] - 143:18 rather [3] - 36:22,	82:1, 86:11, 98:25, 126:5, 131:1, 131:3, 131:8, 131:11, 132:20, 132:22, 133:11, 134:24, 135:6
prevent [1] - 11:11 preventing [1] - 129:20 previous [4] - 62:13, 84:10, 87:6, 107:3 previously [5] - 25:2, 26:5, 116:23,	136:8, 136:11 proportion [3] - 53:11, 66:18, 81:16 proportionally [1] - 17:23 proportions [3] -	105:9, 107:24, 108:1, 108:6, 110:9, 111:8, 116:25, 141:14, 142:21, 145:2 puts [1] - 111:21 putting [1] - 149:6	raised [1] - 29:16 ramble [1] - 56:3 Ranch [2] - 104:24 ranches [1] - 104:25 RAP [1] - 143:18 rather [3] - 36:22, 61:16, 81:11	82:1, 86:11, 98:25, 126:5, 131:1, 131:3, 131:8, 131:11, 132:20, 132:22, 133:11, 134:24, 135:6 recommendations [3]
prevent [1] - 11:11 preventing [1] - 129:20 previous [4] - 62:13, 84:10, 87:6, 107:3 previously [5] - 25:2, 26:5, 116:23, 141:12, 141:15	136:8, 136:11 proportion [3] - 53:11, 66:18, 81:16 proportionally [1] - 17:23 proportions [3] - 80:18, 90:17, 90:20	105:9, 107:24, 108:1, 108:6, 110:9, 111:8, 116:25, 141:14, 142:21, 145:2 puts [1] - 111:21	raised [1] - 29:16 ramble [1] - 56:3 Ranch [2] - 104:24 ranches [1] - 104:25 RAP [1] - 143:18 rather [3] - 36:22, 61:16, 81:11 reached [2] - 104:19,	82:1, 86:11, 98:25, 126:5, 131:1, 131:3, 131:8, 131:11, 132:20, 132:22, 133:11, 134:24, 135:6 recommendations [3] - 57:16, 81:11, 145:2
prevent [1] - 11:11 preventing [1] - 129:20 previous [4] - 62:13, 84:10, 87:6, 107:3 previously [5] - 25:2, 26:5, 116:23, 141:12, 141:15 Previously [1] - 8:2	136:8, 136:11 proportion [3] - 53:11, 66:18, 81:16 proportionally [1] - 17:23 proportions [3] - 80:18, 90:17, 90:20 propose [1] - 58:17	105:9, 107:24, 108:1, 108:6, 110:9, 111:8, 116:25, 141:14, 142:21, 145:2 puts [1] - 111:21 putting [1] - 149:6	raised [1] - 29:16 ramble [1] - 56:3 Ranch [2] - 104:24 ranches [1] - 104:25 RAP [1] - 143:18 rather [3] - 36:22, 61:16, 81:11 reached [2] - 104:19, 104:23	82:1, 86:11, 98:25, 126:5, 131:1, 131:3, 131:8, 131:11, 132:20, 132:22, 133:11, 134:24, 135:6 recommendations [3] - 57:16, 81:11, 145:2 recommended [3] -
prevent [1] - 11:11 preventing [1] - 129:20 previous [4] - 62:13, 84:10, 87:6, 107:3 previously [5] - 25:2, 26:5, 116:23, 141:12, 141:15	136:8, 136:11 proportion [3] - 53:11, 66:18, 81:16 proportionally [1] - 17:23 proportions [3] - 80:18, 90:17, 90:20 propose [1] - 58:17 proposed [14] - 17:8,	105:9, 107:24, 108:1, 108:6, 110:9, 111:8, 116:25, 141:14, 142:21, 145:2 puts [1] - 111:21 putting [1] - 149:6 Q	raised [1] - 29:16 ramble [1] - 56:3 Ranch [2] - 104:24 ranches [1] - 104:25 RAP [1] - 143:18 rather [3] - 36:22, 61:16, 81:11 reached [2] - 104:19, 104:23 reactivated [1] - 13:7	82:1, 86:11, 98:25, 126:5, 131:1, 131:3, 131:8, 131:11, 132:20, 132:22, 133:11, 134:24, 135:6 recommendations [3] - 57:16, 81:11, 145:2 recommended [3] - 51:2, 57:14, 58:7 recommending [3] - 31:11, 44:8, 73:24
prevent [1] - 11:11 preventing [1] - 129:20 previous [4] - 62:13, 84:10, 87:6, 107:3 previously [5] - 25:2, 26:5, 116:23, 141:12, 141:15 Previously [1] - 8:2 primarily [2] - 9:11,	136:8, 136:11 proportion [3] - 53:11, 66:18, 81:16 proportionally [1] - 17:23 proportions [3] - 80:18, 90:17, 90:20 propose [1] - 58:17 proposed [14] - 17:8, 17:15, 17:25, 19:13,	105:9, 107:24, 108:1, 108:6, 110:9, 111:8, 116:25, 141:14, 142:21, 145:2 puts [1] - 111:21 putting [1] - 149:6 Q quadrant [1] - 22:10	raised [1] - 29:16 ramble [1] - 56:3 Ranch [2] - 104:24 ranches [1] - 104:25 RAP [1] - 143:18 rather [3] - 36:22, 61:16, 81:11 reached [2] - 104:19, 104:23 reactivated [1] - 13:7 read [8] - 74:10,	82:1, 86:11, 98:25, 126:5, 131:1, 131:3, 131:8, 131:11, 132:20, 132:22, 133:11, 134:24, 135:6 recommendations [3] - 57:16, 81:11, 145:2 recommended [3] - 51:2, 57:14, 58:7 recommending [3] -
prevent [1] - 11:11 preventing [1] - 129:20 previous [4] - 62:13, 84:10, 87:6, 107:3 previously [5] - 25:2, 26:5, 116:23, 141:12, 141:15 Previously [1] - 8:2 primarily [2] - 9:11, 80:15	136:8, 136:11 proportion [3] - 53:11, 66:18, 81:16 proportionally [1] - 17:23 proportions [3] - 80:18, 90:17, 90:20 propose [1] - 58:17 proposed [14] - 17:8, 17:15, 17:25, 19:13, 45:9, 57:12, 65:15,	105:9, 107:24, 108:1, 108:6, 110:9, 111:8, 116:25, 141:14, 142:21, 145:2 puts [1] - 111:21 putting [1] - 149:6 Q quadrant [1] - 22:10 quality [2] - 10:20,	raised [1] - 29:16 ramble [1] - 56:3 Ranch [2] - 104:24 ranches [1] - 104:25 RAP [1] - 143:18 rather [3] - 36:22, 61:16, 81:11 reached [2] - 104:19, 104:23 reactivated [1] - 13:7 read [8] - 74:10, 76:11, 84:11, 88:10,	82:1, 86:11, 98:25, 126:5, 131:1, 131:3, 131:8, 131:11, 132:20, 132:22, 133:11, 134:24, 135:6 recommendations [3] - 57:16, 81:11, 145:2 recommended [3] - 51:2, 57:14, 58:7 recommending [3] - 31:11, 44:8, 73:24 recommends [4] - 11:21, 18:25, 19:9,
prevent [1] - 11:11 preventing [1] - 129:20 previous [4] - 62:13, 84:10, 87:6, 107:3 previously [5] - 25:2, 26:5, 116:23, 141:12, 141:15 Previously [1] - 8:2 primarily [2] - 9:11, 80:15 primary [8] - 16:14,	136:8, 136:11 proportion [3] - 53:11, 66:18, 81:16 proportionally [1] - 17:23 proportions [3] - 80:18, 90:17, 90:20 propose [1] - 58:17 proposed [14] - 17:8, 17:15, 17:25, 19:13, 45:9, 57:12, 65:15, 67:2, 68:24, 96:12,	105:9, 107:24, 108:1, 108:6, 110:9, 111:8, 116:25, 141:14, 142:21, 145:2 puts [1] - 111:21 putting [1] - 149:6 Q quadrant [1] - 22:10 quality [2] - 10:20, 94:7	raised [1] - 29:16 ramble [1] - 56:3 Ranch [2] - 104:24 ranches [1] - 104:25 RAP [1] - 143:18 rather [3] - 36:22, 61:16, 81:11 reached [2] - 104:19, 104:23 reactivated [1] - 13:7 read [8] - 74:10, 76:11, 84:11, 88:10, 96:23, 97:22, 99:7,	82:1, 86:11, 98:25, 126:5, 131:1, 131:3, 131:8, 131:11, 132:20, 132:22, 133:11, 134:24, 135:6 recommendations [3] - 57:16, 81:11, 145:2 recommended [3] - 51:2, 57:14, 58:7 recommending [3] - 31:11, 44:8, 73:24 recommends [4] - 11:21, 18:25, 19:9, 32:18
prevent [1] - 11:11 preventing [1] - 129:20 previous [4] - 62:13, 84:10, 87:6, 107:3 previously [5] - 25:2, 26:5, 116:23, 141:12, 141:15 Previously [1] - 8:2 primarily [2] - 9:11, 80:15 primary [8] - 16:14, 16:22, 17:8, 17:25,	136:8, 136:11 proportion [3] - 53:11, 66:18, 81:16 proportionally [1] - 17:23 proportions [3] - 80:18, 90:17, 90:20 propose [1] - 58:17 proposed [14] - 17:8, 17:15, 17:25, 19:13, 45:9, 57:12, 65:15, 67:2, 68:24, 96:12, 128:3, 147:9, 148:9 proposes [1] - 44:3 proposing [3] - 63:2,	$105:9, 107:24, \\108:1, 108:6, 110:9, \\111:8, 116:25, \\141:14, 142:21, \\145:2 \\puts [1] - 111:21 \\putting [1] - 149:6 \\\hline \\ \hline \\ Q \\\hline \\ quadrant [1] - 22:10 \\quality [2] - 10:20, \\94:7 \\quarter [1] - 34:23 \\quasi [1] - 55:10 \\quasi-judicial [1] - \\\hline \\ \end{cases}$	raised [1] - 29:16 ramble [1] - 56:3 Ranch [2] - 104:24 ranches [1] - 104:25 RAP [1] - 143:18 rather [3] - 36:22, 61:16, 81:11 reached [2] - 104:19, 104:23 reactivated [1] - 13:7 read [8] - 74:10, 76:11, 84:11, 88:10, 96:23, 97:22, 99:7, 123:25	82:1, 86:11, 98:25, 126:5, 131:1, 131:3, 131:8, 131:11, 132:20, 132:22, 133:11, 134:24, 135:6 recommendations [3] - 57:16, 81:11, 145:2 recommended [3] - 51:2, 57:14, 58:7 recommending [3] - 31:11, 44:8, 73:24 recommends [4] - 11:21, 18:25, 19:9, 32:18 reconsider [1] - 84:6
prevent [1] - 11:11 preventing [1] - 129:20 previous [4] - 62:13, 84:10, 87:6, 107:3 previously [5] - 25:2, 26:5, 116:23, 141:12, 141:15 Previously [1] - 8:2 primarily [2] - 9:11, 80:15 primary [8] - 16:14, 16:22, 17:8, 17:25, 64:7, 65:7, 83:7,	136:8, 136:11 proportion [3] - 53:11, 66:18, 81:16 proportionally [1] - 17:23 proportions [3] - 80:18, 90:17, 90:20 propose [1] - 58:17 proposed [14] - 17:8, 17:15, 17:25, 19:13, 45:9, 57:12, 65:15, 67:2, 68:24, 96:12, 128:3, 147:9, 148:9 proposes [1] - 44:3 proposing [3] - 63:2, 77:5, 104:20	$105:9, 107:24, \\108:1, 108:6, 110:9, \\111:8, 116:25, \\141:14, 142:21, \\145:2 \\puts [1] - 111:21 \\putting [1] - 149:6 \\\hline Q \\\hline Q \rant [1] - 22:10 \\quality [2] - 10:20, \\94:7 \\quarter [1] - 34:23 \\quasi [1] - 55:10 \\quasi-judicial [1] - \\55:10 \\\hline \end{cases}$	raised [1] - 29:16 ramble [1] - 56:3 Ranch [2] - 104:24 ranches [1] - 104:25 RAP [1] - 143:18 rather [3] - 36:22, 61:16, 81:11 reached [2] - 104:19, 104:23 reactivated [1] - 13:7 read [8] - 74:10, 76:11, 84:11, 88:10, 96:23, 97:22, 99:7, 123:25 readily [1] - 65:13 reading [3] - 81:6, 83:5, 99:8	82:1, 86:11, 98:25, 126:5, 131:1, 131:3, 131:8, 131:11, 132:20, 132:22, 133:11, 134:24, 135:6 recommendations [3] - 57:16, 81:11, 145:2 recommended [3] - 51:2, 57:14, 58:7 recommending [3] - 31:11, 44:8, 73:24 recommends [4] - 11:21, 18:25, 19:9, 32:18 reconsider [1] - 84:6 record [9] - 3:23, 4:17,
prevent [1] - 11:11 preventing [1] - 129:20 previous [4] - 62:13, 84:10, 87:6, 107:3 previously [5] - 25:2, 26:5, 116:23, 141:12, 141:15 Previously [1] - 8:2 primarily [2] - 9:11, 80:15 primary [8] - 16:14, 16:22, 17:8, 17:25, 64:7, 65:7, 83:7, 83:25	136:8, 136:11 proportion [3] - 53:11, 66:18, 81:16 proportionally [1] - 17:23 proportions [3] - 80:18, 90:17, 90:20 propose [1] - 58:17 proposed [14] - 17:8, 17:15, 17:25, 19:13, 45:9, 57:12, 65:15, 67:2, 68:24, 96:12, 128:3, 147:9, 148:9 proposes [1] - 44:3 proposing [3] - 63:2, 77:5, 104:20 props [1] - 148:20	$105:9, 107:24, \\108:1, 108:6, 110:9, \\111:8, 116:25, \\141:14, 142:21, \\145:2 \\puts [1] - 111:21 \\putting [1] - 149:6 \\\hline Q \\\hline Q \rant [1] - 22:10 \\quality [2] - 10:20, \\94:7 \\quarter [1] - 34:23 \\quasi [1] - 55:10 \\quasi-judicial [1] - \\55:10 \\questionable [1] - \\$	raised [1] - 29:16 ramble [1] - 56:3 Ranch [2] - 104:24 ranches [1] - 104:25 RAP [1] - 143:18 rather [3] - 36:22, 61:16, 81:11 reached [2] - 104:19, 104:23 reactivated [1] - 13:7 read [8] - 74:10, 76:11, 84:11, 88:10, 96:23, 97:22, 99:7, 123:25 readily [1] - 65:13 reading [3] - 81:6,	82:1, 86:11, 98:25, 126:5, 131:1, 131:3, 131:8, 131:11, 132:20, 132:22, 133:11, 134:24, 135:6 recommendations [3] - 57:16, 81:11, 145:2 recommended [3] - 51:2, 57:14, 58:7 recommending [3] - 31:11, 44:8, 73:24 recommends [4] - 11:21, 18:25, 19:9, 32:18 reconsider [1] - 84:6 record [9] - 3:23, 4:17, 16:3, 79:10, 112:4,
prevent [1] - 11:11 preventing [1] - 129:20 previous [4] - 62:13, 84:10, 87:6, 107:3 previously [5] - 25:2, 26:5, 116:23, 141:12, 141:15 Previously [1] - 8:2 primarily [2] - 9:11, 80:15 primary [8] - 16:14, 16:22, 17:8, 17:25, 64:7, 65:7, 83:7, 83:25 principles [2] - 94:17,	136:8, 136:11 proportion [3] - 53:11, 66:18, 81:16 proportionally [1] - 17:23 proportions [3] - 80:18, 90:17, 90:20 propose [1] - 58:17 proposed [14] - 17:8, 17:15, 17:25, 19:13, 45:9, 57:12, 65:15, 67:2, 68:24, 96:12, 128:3, 147:9, 148:9 proposes [1] - 44:3 proposing [3] - 63:2, 77:5, 104:20 props [1] - 148:20 prove [2] - 110:2,	$105:9, 107:24, \\108:1, 108:6, 110:9, \\111:8, 116:25, \\141:14, 142:21, \\145:2 \\puts [1] - 111:21 \\putting [1] - 149:6 \\\hline Q \\\hline quadrant [1] - 22:10 \\quality [2] - 10:20, \\94:7 \\quarter [1] - 34:23 \\quasi [1] - 55:10 \\quasi-judicial [1] - \\55:10 \\questionable [1] - \\120:15 \\\hline \end{cases}$	raised [1] - 29:16 ramble [1] - 56:3 Ranch [2] - 104:24 ranches [1] - 104:25 RAP [1] - 143:18 rather [3] - 36:22, 61:16, 81:11 reached [2] - 104:19, 104:23 reactivated [1] - 13:7 read [8] - 74:10, 76:11, 84:11, 88:10, 96:23, 97:22, 99:7, 123:25 readily [1] - 65:13 reading [3] - 81:6, 83:5, 99:8 readjusted [1] - 18:11 reads [1] - 130:25	$\begin{array}{l} 82:1, 86:11, 98:25, \\ 126:5, 131:1, 131:3, \\ 131:8, 131:11, \\ 132:20, 132:22, \\ 133:11, 134:24, \\ 135:6 \\ \hline recommendations [3] \\ - 57:16, 81:11, 145:2 \\ \hline recommended [3] \\ - 51:2, 57:14, 58:7 \\ \hline recommends [4] \\ - \\ 31:11, 44:8, 73:24 \\ \hline recommends [4] \\ - \\ 11:21, 18:25, 19:9, \\ 32:18 \\ \hline reconsider [1] \\ - 84:6 \\ \hline record [9] \\ - 3:23, 4:17, \\ 16:3, 79:10, 112:4, \\ 119:5, 119:21, \\ \end{array}$
prevent [1] - 11:11 preventing [1] - 129:20 previous [4] - 62:13, 84:10, 87:6, 107:3 previously [5] - 25:2, 26:5, 116:23, 141:12, 141:15 Previously [1] - 8:2 primarily [2] - 9:11, 80:15 primary [8] - 16:14, 16:22, 17:8, 17:25, 64:7, 65:7, 83:7, 83:25 principles [2] - 94:17, 95:13	136:8, 136:11 proportion [3] - 53:11, 66:18, 81:16 proportionally [1] - 17:23 proportions [3] - 80:18, 90:17, 90:20 propose [1] - 58:17 proposed [14] - 17:8, 17:15, 17:25, 19:13, 45:9, 57:12, 65:15, 67:2, 68:24, 96:12, 128:3, 147:9, 148:9 proposes [1] - 44:3 proposing [3] - 63:2, 77:5, 104:20 props [1] - 148:20	$105:9, 107:24, \\108:1, 108:6, 110:9, \\111:8, 116:25, \\141:14, 142:21, \\145:2 \\puts [1] - 111:21 \\putting [1] - 149:6 \\\hline Q \\\hline Q \rant [1] - 22:10 \\quality [2] - 10:20, \\94:7 \\quarter [1] - 34:23 \\quasi [1] - 55:10 \\quasi-judicial [1] - \\55:10 \\questionable [1] - \\$	raised [1] - 29:16 ramble [1] - 56:3 Ranch [2] - 104:24 ranches [1] - 104:25 RAP [1] - 143:18 rather [3] - 36:22, 61:16, 81:11 reached [2] - 104:19, 104:23 reactivated [1] - 13:7 read [8] - 74:10, 76:11, 84:11, 88:10, 96:23, 97:22, 99:7, 123:25 readily [1] - 65:13 reading [3] - 81:6, 83:5, 99:8 readjusted [1] - 18:11	82:1, 86:11, 98:25, 126:5, 131:1, 131:3, 131:8, 131:11, 132:20, 132:22, 133:11, 134:24, 135:6 recommendations [3] - 57:16, 81:11, 145:2 recommended [3] - 51:2, 57:14, 58:7 recommending [3] - 31:11, 44:8, 73:24 recommends [4] - 11:21, 18:25, 19:9, 32:18 reconsider [1] - 84:6 record [9] - 3:23, 4:17, 16:3, 79:10, 112:4,

Diang M'. Tropia", Inc'., Post' Office' Box' 2375', Jacksonville", FL' 32203 (904) 821-0300

recorded [1] - 3:19	relocati
records [1] - 93:7	97:12,
red [3] - 43:10, 43:24,	104:21
44:4	128:1
redesign [2] - 10:17,	rely [1] -
11:6	remain
redesigned [2] - 9:17,	93:25
21:14	remaind
redevelopment [1] -	remaini
10:11	reminde
redo [1] - 86:20	93:20,
redone [1] - 60:15	149:12
reduce [1] - 142:21	remove
refer [1] - 18:6	44:3, 5
reference [2] - 44:24,	107:10
73:21	remove
references [1] - 41:25	93:1, 9
referencing [3] -	rendere
39:16, 39:20, 40:17	renderi
referred [3] - 94:12,	26:12,
94:19, 114:8	renovat
referring [2] - 21:18,	renovat
72:11	142:10
refers [1] - 72:13	repair [7
reflect [7] - 19:1, 20:4,	97:25,
27:12, 41:19, 42:1,	121:4, repaired
42:4, 81:20 reflects [2] - 94:11,	repairin
95:10	102:6
regard [5] - 18:2,	repairs
57:22, 58:4, 132:13,	138:5
135:3	repeat [2
regarding [6] - 4:22,	83:17
54:3, 98:15, 124:22,	repercu
126:5, 127:12	126:19
regardless [1] - 33:8	rephras
regards [6] - 7:5, 7:21,	replace
36:7, 49:17, 81:21,	45:9
139:15	replace 47:2, 5
regional [1] - 94:20	replacir
Register [3] - 92:7, 92:9, 96:24	58:19
regular [1] - 32:13	replicat
regularly [1] - 125:4	report [2
regulate [1] - 143:9	11:19,
regulations [1] - 50:12	19:18,
REHAB [1] - 6:12	48:4, 6
reiterate [1] - 133:8	73:20,
related [1] - 139:6	109:7,
relates [3] - 94:6,	122:22
95:6, 96:9	123:4, 131:3,
relation [1] - 10:8	reporte
relationship [3] - 10:16, 37:11, 56:16	REPOR
relative [1] - 39:12	12:13,
relocate [3] - 104:11,	20:24,
107:22, 128:2	46:6, 4
relocated [4] - 93:9,	49:22,
97:10, 98:2, 99:22	67:20,
relocating [1] - 64:22	77:11,
Diana	M Tre

ion [7] - 93:12, 97:22, 98:20, , 105:13, 144:20 [2] - 35:10, der [1] - 7:23 ing [1] - 31:12 er [4] - 10:5, 149:11. 151 - 27:9. 59:14, 63:3, ed [4] - 57:11, 99:25, 100:6 ed [1] - 75:21 i**ng** [3] - 26:10, 34:20 te [1] - 111:2 tion [1] -7] - 64:16, 98:12, 115:4, 121:9, 121:14 d [1] - 98:11 ng [2] - 63:5, [2] - 98:21, [2] - 32:15, ussions [1] se [1] - 39:12 [2] - 43:9, ed [4] - 18:20, 52:12, 52:19 **ng** [2] - 58:17, te [1] - 75:15 23] - 8:8, 9:2, 16:8, 18:4, 43:7, 46:17, 64:1, 67:6, 86:1, 91:24, 120:9, 2, 122:24, 123:15, 132:19, 150:9 d [1] - 64:18 TER [27] -12:16, 12:21, 21:2, 21:7, 46:9, 46:14, 49:25, 50:5, 67:23, 68:3, 103:7,

103:11, 103:16, 133:20, 134:7, 137:1, 137:23, 143:22, 145:14, 146:15, 150:1 Reporter [5] - 37:14, 104:22, 109:13, 150:8, 150:18 reporter [1] - 3:20 reporting [1] - 118:3 represent [1] - 94:15 represents [1] - 95:11 request [5] - 4:6, 38:21, 92:1, 99:19, 103:5 requested [6] - 5:12, 5:24, 18:13, 89:6, 101:3, 101:16 requesting [3] - 27:8, 27:14, 27:20 require [3] - 65:14, 92:9. 131:7 required [2] - 87:18, 125:24 requirement [3] -141:7, 142:7, 142:9 requires [4] - 124:25, 125:22, 128:13, 131:4 research [3] - 114:16, 120:16, 145:22 residence [7] - 92:22, 93:17, 93:23, 95:10, 95:19, 95:22, 96:1 residential [3] - 16:18, 92:3, 106:8 resource [1] - 137:4 respect [3] - 57:3, 129:13, 149:1 respectfully [1] - 57:2 response [35] - 5:21, 6:3, 6:7, 7:7, 7:14, 7:18, 8:14, 8:16, 12:3, 13:15, 13:18, 14:1, 14:8, 15:13, 15:18, 20:13, 29:3, 29:9, 38:8, 42:23, 44:22, 45:23, 50:22, 67:9, 72:23, 73:7, 84:4, 87:4, 88:22, 90:12, 102:22, 134:19, 136:15, 136:21, 148:14 rest [1] - 86:14 restate [1] - 38:12 restoration [6] - 11:4, 76:3. 76:7. 96:10. 98:24, 109:2 restored [4] - 98:6, 98:11, 120:22,

122:11 restoring [2] - 5:24, 120:19 resulted [1] - 97:15 results [1] - 102:19 retain [1] - 4:18 retaining [2] - 65:20, 94:8 retains [4] - 10:21, 10:23, 11:7, 97:1 retroactive [1] -139:13 returned [1] - 112:21 reuse [2] - 54:6, 54:20 revealed [1] - 146:4 reverse [1] - 96:17 review [8] - 19:22, 65:14, 92:10, 140:17, 140:23, 143:12, 145:21, 146:24 reviewed [3] - 116:3, 146:12, 146:16 reviewing [6] - 11:16, 144:10, 146:8, 147:17, 147:18, 147:24 revise [1] - 84:9 revised [4] - 18:5, 28:21, 41:6, 73:19 revisited [1] - 81:17 revisiting [1] - 80:24 rework [1] - 78:14 rezone [1] - 127:14 rezoning [1] - 99:19 Ribault [3] - 43:5, 43:9, 46:5 ribbon [1] - 37:24 ribbons [5] - 45:5, 45:19, 45:21, 47:5 Richard [2] - 49:21, 103.3 Richmond [2] - 5:8, 5:10 rights [2] - 127:3, 131:20 ringer [1] - 122:11 risky [1] - 118:23 Riverside 151 - 8:4. 43:12. 141:5. 145:25, 146:3 road [1] - 108:23 Road [9] - 91:22, 92:4, 93:11, 97:11, 97:12, 119:14, 134:21, 135.7 robust [1] - 146:1 Rodeheaver [1] -104:24 Roman [1] - 94:4

roof [25] - 9:13, 16:24, 17:4, 17:11, 17:12, 17:13, 18:8, 18:10, 18:23, 18:25, 19:1, 21:13, 36:3, 37:11, 64:9, 65:3, 65:5, 81:2, 92:23, 92:24, 92:25, 95:5, 117:12, 121:11, 121:12 Room [1] - 1:8 room [1] - 32:8 round [1] - 77:3 run [1] - 69:16 running [1] - 69:14 runs [1] - 56:23 rural [4] - 94:16, 95:12, 96:6, 100:17

S

safe [1] - 48:17 salvage [1] - 60:8 Sanborn [5] - 57:9, 66:8, 68:15, 83:5, 83:19 sand [5] - 52:20, 58:21, 59:15, 59:24, 60.16 sash [2] - 17:21, 93:3 sat [4] - 106:25, 107:1, 107:4, 107:7 save [4] - 103:19, 108:13, 123:20, 124:4 saved [1] - 103:21 saving [2] - 101:11, 104:21 saw [1] - 53:24 scale [3] - 18:3, 65:22, 80:10 scenario [2] - 103:23, 109:17 scheduled [1] - 125:4 scheduling [1] - 125:6 schematic [1] - 76:14 scheme [1] - 34:22 scope [3] - 18:16, 66:22, 121:19 screen [5] - 39:17, 39:24, 73:21, 83:6, 127:11 screens [1] - 76:5 scroll [1] - 76:9 sealing [1] - 117:18 Seamark [1] - 104:23 search [2] - 145:18 second [30] - 4:25, 5:19, 7:12, 14:6, 18:12, 18:14, 19:7, 19:8, 19:11, 22:18,

Diang M'. Tropia , Inc'., Post Office Box' 2375 , Jacksonville , FL 32203

(904) 821~0300

20.20 20.12 20.17	com/ice // 114/10	71.12 07.0 07.00	20.10 42.14 100.20	colution // 70/2
29:20, 30:12, 30:17, 21:22, 22:1, 42:7	service [1] - 114:10	71:13, 87:8, 87:22,	38:18, 43:14, 100:20	solution [1] - 79:3
31:22, 32:1, 42:7, 42:19, 51:4, 74:14,	set [3] - 52:20, 58:20,	87:25, 88:10	single-family [2] -	someone [9] - 30:9,
42.19, 51.4, 74.14, 84:21, 86:24, 88:18,	61:5	shows [3] - 25:19, 25:25, 76:19	43:14, 100:20 single-story [1] - 17:2	60:4, 101:11, 101:22, 122:4
88:19, 90:8, 94:6,	setback [1] - 65:22 seven [5] - 10:3,	sic [10] - 7:23, 10:24,	• • • • •	101:23, 123:4, 126:22, 134:24,
119:15, 134:15,	., ,	54:25, 94:21, 94:24,	singularly [1] - 120:5	120.22, 134.24, 142:6
135:8, 139:15, 144:1	11:14, 11:18, 92:17,	97:5, 97:6, 105:2,	sink [2] - 47:2, 49:4	
second-story [1] -	99:1 Shaatar (4) 20:22	109:18, 111:7	sinking [4] - 52:18,	sometimes [2] - 94:19, 123:5
31:22	Shacter [1] - 20:22	sic] [1] - 114:12	58:11, 59:13, 61:9	somewhat [1] - 139:7
seconded [1] - 86:18	SHACTER [17] - 21:1, 21:6, 21:8, 21:25,	side [49] - 17:20,	sit [3] - 79:13, 80:16, 108:19	somewhere [5] -
Secretary [1] - 1:14	25:7, 25:11, 27:5,	18:17, 18:20, 20:4,	site [23] - 39:1, 40:21,	107:7, 113:3, 113:5,
section [4] - 52:22,	27:13, 27:16, 27:21,	20:6, 22:8, 23:16,	40:23, 41:4, 41:25,	113:9, 113:14
66:13, 88:13, 136:24	27:13, 27:10, 27:21, 27:25, 28:4, 28:25,	24:5, 24:7, 24:8,	40.23, 41.4, 41.23, 42:1, 42:4, 44:12,	soon [1] - 119:4
Section [6] - 8:1, 8:23,	29:6, 33:16, 33:21,	24:9, 24:11, 25:8,	53:10, 54:6, 55:1,	sorry [22] - 16:4, 31:3,
15:25, 43:4, 92:11,	35:23	25:14, 25:15, 26:3,	55:11, 61:18, 61:22,	32:15, 52:9, 55:4,
124:24	Shad [2] - 12:7, 12:11	26:14, 26:15, 26:18,	62:2, 75:10, 76:13,	60:12, 62:23, 70:4,
secure [2] - 104:7,	SHAD [7] - 12:8,	27:3, 27:17, 28:1,	81:4, 105:17,	75:20, 79:18, 83:5,
127:18	12:11, 12:15, 12:20,	28:5, 28:6, 28:15,	105:21, 112:6,	84:24, 102:14,
secured [1] - 100:1	12:22, 13:22, 15:22	29:18, 31:7, 32:20,	118:25, 127:24	109:14, 110:17,
see [59] - 14:14, 15:1,	Shakes [1] - 116:10	34:18, 35:5, 37:24,	sitting [1] - 78:7	119:18, 133:21,
18:7, 22:8, 22:16,	shall [12] - 74:12,	38:17, 43:16, 43:21,	situated [1] - 93:5	134:13, 137:2,
22:20, 24:5, 24:9,	84:12, 84:16, 84:17,	48:12, 68:16, 68:17,	situation [15] - 45:1,	140:20, 149:11
24:13, 24:23, 26:4,	86:1, 87:11, 87:12,	68:19, 68:22, 68:23,	45:3, 52:18, 56:22,	sort [16] - 36:14,
26:23, 28:9, 31:21,	87:14, 88:10, 99:22,	68:25, 69:18, 76:25,	57:11, 57:17, 57:25,	36:22, 55:9, 60:3,
33:12, 34:3, 34:22,	140:16, 140:23	77:24, 86:9, 88:3,	58:16, 122:1, 122:2,	80:16, 81:1, 81:3,
34:24, 35:12, 40:22,	shape [5] - 44:14,	90:19, 96:3, 105:19	123:19, 129:2,	82:7, 82:11, 102:5,
44:12, 47:13, 47:16,	46:22, 55:18,	sides [1] - 86:4	132:14, 135:22,	114:20, 115:3,
47:17, 48:1, 48:2,	107:14, 108:7	sidewalk [7] - 43:23,	136:3	120:18, 122:23,
48:7, 48:8, 48:19,	shaped [1] - 92:23	43:25, 46:24, 52:16,	situations [3] - 56:21,	132:1, 133:8
48:23, 50:10, 55:11,	share [1] - 137:6	60:15, 61:8, 138:5	81:9, 129:20	sound [3] - 128:1,
57:4, 59:21, 59:22,	shares [1] - 10:23	sidewalks [1] - 142:14	six [3] - 24:10, 93:2	128:11, 128:14
60:3, 60:5, 70:10,	sheer [1] - 37:2	siding [20] - 16:23,	six-over-six [1] - 93:2	sounded [2] - 77:7,
71:8, 74:19, 76:19,	shelter [5] - 140:24,	21:17, 25:17, 25:18,	size [7] - 18:2, 18:21,	77:12
79:11, 79:24, 81:5,	141:8, 141:11,	26:2, 26:3, 26:17,	20:5, 20:8, 28:17,	sounds [1] - 79:16
83:6, 97:18, 115:11,	141:19, 143:13	26:18, 27:10, 29:16,	30:19, 55:18	south [7] - 66:4, 66:5,
116:4, 120:17,	shelters [3] - 139:6,	31:2, 38:19, 38:20,	sizes [1] - 31:1	66:25, 67:1, 86:2,
120:22, 120:23,	139:16, 140:3	64:13, 64:15, 95:3,	sketches [2] - 29:17,	86:3, 88:3
121:11, 121:12,	sheriff [1] - 104:24	121:6, 121:9	38:21	South [2] - 94:23, 96:6
127:11, 131:2,	shingle [1] - 16:24	sign [1] - 145:5	skirt [1] - 23:12	southern [1] - 94:18
138:17, 145:7 seeing [7] - 33:2,	shingles [2] - 22:21,	sign-off [1] - 145:5	skylights [1] - 9:14	Southern [1] - 95:20
34:17, 115:1, 121:7,	22:22	signage [1] - 9:25	slew [1] - 138:2	space [3] - 17:24,
121:14, 144:12,	shore [1] - 102:3	signed [2] - 109:11, 120:3	slides [1] - 24:1	65:20, 80:19
148:22	shoring [3] - 98:21,	significance [4] -	slow [1] - 139:8	spaces [2] - 49:7, 49:9
seeking [2] - 92:2,	102:7, 121:3	10:22, 94:9, 97:7,	slow-moving [1] -	Spanish [1] - 93:6
92:13	shortly [1] - 21:18	10.22, 94.9, 97.7, 111:14	139:8	spans [1] - 146:20
seeks [4] - 9:4, 16:13,	show [15] - 22:6,	significant [6] - 10:5,	small [16] - 14:16,	SPAR [2] - 72:7,
43:9, 64:4	22:14, 23:25, 24:2,	17:24, 30:21, 93:19,	22:8, 22:13, 23:6,	143:18
Selma [1] - 5:9	24:16, 25:21, 26:8,	96:13, 104:18	23:17, 24:6, 24:11,	speaker's [2] - 3:16,
send [1] - 141:25	48:1, 51:12, 51:14, 52:22, 53:5, 53:18	significantly [3] -	28:9, 28:10, 28:16, 28:18, 34:4, 37:10	4:15 speaking [3] - 100:16,
sense [3] - 13:4,	52:22, 53:5, 53:18,	9:17, 11:5, 58:1	28:18, 34:4, 37:10, 39:19, 44:2, 93:24	120:2, 127:10
35:14, 72:15	117:6, 120:1 showed [5] - 34:21,	silence [1] - 3:6	smaller [1] - 49:9	special [1] - 126:9
sentence [2] - 4:10	52:21, 63:14, 75:22,	sill [1] - 9:16	smaller [1] - 49.9 smells [1] - 96:8	specific [4] - 123:2,
separate [3] - 81:2,	89:2	sills [2] - 122:25	smoke [1] - 96:8	140:3, 141:18,
96:5, 130:23	showing [11] - 10:21,	similar [2] - 24:17,	smooth [1] - 65:2	140.3, 141.10, 142:17
separated [1] - 43:22	22:3, 25:7, 25:15,	126:13	Society [1] - 104:20	specifically [3] -
separating [2] - 19:8,	36:9, 83:6, 83:7,	simple [3] - 65:11,	soil [1] - 59:14	27:24, 104:15,
31:1	94:8, 121:5, 121:16,	94:14, 94:17	soils [1] - 94:24	122:24
series [1] - 129:5	123:4	simply [1] - 122:15	solid [3] - 49:10,	speculating [1] - 62:9
seriously [1] - 123:24	shown [6] - 39:17,	single [5] - 17:2, 20:7,	49:11, 62:20	speculation [2] - 57:3,
	· · · · ·		, 02.20	, ., .,

=Diang M'. Tropia", Inc'., Post' Office' Box' 2375', Jacksonville=, FL' 32203 (904) 821-0300

58:8	38:6	story [20] - 9:7, 16:14,	132:18, 133:3	surrounding [3] -
spent [2] - 103:19,	Stanford [1] - 123:14	16:19, 17:2, 30:12,	structures [6] - 16:17,	16:18, 17:10, 17:14
108:12	start [10] - 2:8, 3:2,	30:17, 31:22, 43:14,	16:18, 17:10, 18:2,	survive [2] - 107:17,
spindle [1] - 77:18	5:6, 5:25, 15:9,	43:15, 64:4, 64:8,	96:6, 129:14	109:24
spindles [3] - 73:25,	15:25, 16:1, 16:5,	65:19, 76:2, 83:8,	stubbing [1] - 105:4	suspicion [1] - 59:21
74:19, 77:17	74:6, 108:4	83:10, 83:11, 83:20,	stuck [1] - 103:20	sustainability [1] -
spoken [1] - 52:4	started [2] - 57:8,	83:25, 92:20, 95:25	stuff [4] - 86:15,	109:1
sponsor [1] - 11:13	105:22	straight [1] - 34:2	142:14, 148:7, 148:9	sustainable [1] -
spot [2] - 69:12, 108:2	starting [2] - 15:2,	street [8] - 17:12,	style [7] - 43:19, 80:8,	109:3
Springfield [7] -	149:13	22:12, 44:2, 56:23,	94:11, 94:21, 95:8,	swear [5] - 20:20,
16:15, 22:11, 22:19,	starts [2] - 34:6, 47:18	65:13, 65:17, 65:21,	95:11, 140:3	46:3, 49:20, 67:16,
62:16, 75:14,	STATE [1] - 150:3	69:20	styles [1] - 138:25	103:4
139:17, 141:6	State [1] - 1:10	Street [27] - 1:8, 5:9,	subject [4] - 9:5,	sworn [2] - 3:24,
square [10] - 29:25,	state [15] - 3:22, 5:22,	5:10, 6:12, 7:1, 7:3,	16:16, 43:13, 99:19	12:10
30:3, 30:12, 30:22,	10:7, 10:15, 12:9,	8:25, 9:5, 10:23,	submit [2] - 40:10,	sympathetic [1] -
31:12, 31:18, 36:5,	20:19, 46:2, 49:19,	11:5, 11:23, 15:20,	55:1	119:24
36:12, 36:19	67:15, 93:22, 97:24,	16:1, 16:5, 16:13,	submitted [11] - 4:14,	system [10] - 71:1,
SRG [1] - 24:3	98:4, 103:1, 123:11,	20:23, 22:6, 25:2,	4:19, 18:5, 18:24,	72:10, 73:14, 73:15,
St [5] - 5:12, 5:18, 6:9,	124:21	25:13, 29:13, 42:25,	41:19, 52:21, 53:5,	74:11, 84:12, 87:10,
7:2, 94:3	statement [2] - 44:15,	63:24, 64:3, 74:9,	89:6, 105:16,	95:2, 102:17, 121:17
staff [90] - 3:16, 4:8,	68:5	90:14, 103:3	109:10, 138:1	_
4:13, 4:18, 5:25, 6:1,	states [6] - 66:16,	street-facing [1] -	substantial [1] -	Т
6:18, 8:8, 9:2, 12:2,	96:24, 97:20, 97:23,	17:12	115:19	Tahanadan 00.00
16:8, 17:19, 18:12,	98:20, 140:16	street-visible [1] -	sufficient [2] - 10:21,	T-shaped [1] - 92:23
18:15, 18:22, 18:24,	status [10] - 125:23,	65:21	94:8	tall [1] - 78:8
19:3, 19:9, 19:13,	126:6, 130:15,	streets [1] - 60:20	sufficiently [1] - 100:2	tangible [1] - 4:14
19:20, 20:12, 29:14,	131:2, 131:21,	strike [3] - 40:18, 41:20, 41:21	suggest [2] - 30:16,	tapered [1] - 65:2
31:16, 32:18, 43:7,	133:2, 133:11,	string [1] - 34:3	36:17	tapers [1] - 43:21
44:7, 44:10, 44:21, 44:24, 45:8, 45:22,	133:14, 134:25, 136:23	strip [2] - 26:1, 44:2	suggested [1] - 58:6	target [1] - 139:8 Taylor [2] - 9:18,
46:17, 48:4, 51:2,	stay [4] - 7:23, 31:13,	struck [1] - 62:12	suggesting [1] - 77:18	10:17
55:25, 56:4, 57:15,	110:13, 149:1	structural [15] - 95:2,	suggestion [16] - 31:15, 36:19, 37:18,	technical [2] - 107:8,
62:4, 63:8, 63:22,	stenographic [1] -	97:19, 98:15,	37:20, 38:1, 38:25,	118:18
64:1, 66:2, 66:14,	150:11	107:15, 108:9,	40:5, 54:5, 54:16,	technically [3] - 106:1,
66:21, 67:2, 67:8,	stenographically [1] -	120:3, 120:4, 120:5,	59:1, 61:15, 61:17,	106:4, 112:15
70:7, 70:25, 71:19,	150:9	120:6, 120:25,	61:25, 79:23, 81:18,	Ted [1] - 15:8
72:17, 73:19, 78:15,	step [3] - 12:6, 13:6,	121:7, 122:22,	82:11	temporarily [3] -
79:8, 81:23, 81:24,	134:12	122:24, 123:1,	suggestions [2] -	113:7, 113:10, 114:3
82:3, 83:14, 84:18,	steps [3] - 11:11, 64:5,	123:16	81:21, 81:22	temporary [4] -
85:16, 86:1, 87:17,	64:24	structurally [2] -	suitability [2] - 11:4,	113:21, 114:5,
88:6, 90:18, 91:16,	still [12] - 15:7, 30:21,	107:11, 116:24	96:10	131:18, 132:2
91:24, 93:17, 96:12,	33:3, 35:21, 61:22,	structure [52] - 9:9,	suitable [1] - 98:24	ten [3] - 3:11, 4:12,
98:6, 98:16, 98:23,	71:2, 101:9, 112:8,	11:10, 16:14, 16:22,	suite [4] - 36:20,	63:14
99:4, 99:6, 100:24,	120:16, 127:20,	17:8, 17:25, 56:17,	37:19, 37:24	ten-minute [1] - 3:11
102:10, 102:12,	133:12, 149:15	56:25, 64:6, 64:7,	Sunbeam [2] - 105:7,	tend [2] - 75:19,
102:21, 109:6,	stipulated [1] - 99:21	64:9, 64:12, 64:17,	106:20	121:24
120:9, 122:4, 126:1,	stipulates [1] - 140:19	65:7, 65:8, 65:9,	support [7] - 3:8,	term [1] - 96:20
131:2, 132:18,	stipulations [1] -	65:21, 65:24, 83:7,	12:22, 65:2, 92:14,	termite [11] - 107:12,
135:5, 144:21, 146:7, 147:6,	111:9	83:8, 83:12, 83:25, 02:4, 02:5, 02:8	98:17, 110:7, 118:3	115:19, 116:22,
148:21, 149:2	stone [1] - 9:15	92:4, 92:5, 92:8, 03:7, 08:5, 08:7	supported [1] -	117:11, 120:2,
staff's [4] - 12:23,	stop [6] - 78:21,	93:7, 98:5, 98:7, 98:10, 98:13, 98:14,	105:14	120:20, 123:3,
17:6, 65:18, 132:19	79:11, 87:12,	98:16, 98:18, 98:19, 98:16, 98:18, 98:19,	supporting [1] -	123:4, 123:6,
stair [3] - 35:10, 36:7,	138:18, 141:20,	98:20, 99:10, 99:13,	135:20	123:10, 123:12
37:7	142:21	99:22, 100:20,	supportive [2] - 18:15,	termites [4] - 108:16,
staircase [1] - 34:25	stopped [2] - 57:8,	101:4, 101:7,	66:21	115:12, 123:7,
stairway [2] - 32:2,	118:24	120:21, 122:5,	supports [2] - 65:1,	123:13
32:3	stopping [2] - 78:12, 84:14	127:23, 129:3,	109:18	terms [9] - 10:16,
stairwell [5] - 32:7,	stops [1] - 85:8	129:9, 129:18,	supposed [3] - 46:18,	61:18, 86:12, 95:15, 106:12, 109:1
33:2, 33:24, 37:6,	stops [1] - 85.8 store [1] - 106:10	130:10, 132:11,	104:15, 138:20 surfaces [1] - 128:1	106:12, 109:1, 124:7, 146:18,
			3u11a003 [1] - 120.1	127.1, 170.10,
	м <i>т</i> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Is alve appuille∎ £∐	

Diane M'. Tropia', Inc'., Post' Office' Box' 2375', Jaeksonville , FL' 32203 (904) 821-0300

146:23	88:16, 88:20, 88:23,	throw 141 25:24	tried [1] - 47:21	under 171 28:0 45:0
	88:25, 89:12, 89:18,	throw [1] - 35:24		under [7] - 28:9, 45:2,
terra [1] - 9:15 terra-cotta [1] - 9:15	89:22, 90:1, 90:9,	thumb [2] - 21:9, 40:7 tied [1] - 95:25	tripped [1] - 46:25	109:5, 116:13, 116:15, 132:4,
TerraWise [1] - 20:22	90:11, 90:13, 90:25,	tight [1] - 14:10	Tropia [3] - 1:9, 150:7, 150:18	142:18
terrible [1] - 129:6	91:3, 91:6, 91:10,	tight-lipped [1] -	trucks [2] - 59:16,	underneath [2] - 60:6,
tested [1] - 94:17	91:14, 91:19, 99:3,	14:10	59:25	78:10
testimony [6] - 12:17,	102:9, 102:20,	tiles [2] - 9:22, 11:2	true [1] - 150:10	understandable [1] -
21:3, 46:10, 50:1,	102:23, 103:1,	time-tested [1] - 94:17	truth [18] - 12:18,	115:15
67:24, 103:12	103:4, 103:7,		12:19, 21:4, 21:5,	understood [1] - 85:5
THE [227] - 2:3, 2:15,	103:11, 103:16,	Timer [4] - 25:6, 49:8,	46:11, 46:12, 50:2,	unforeseen [1] - 129:5
, ,	110:12, 110:15,	106:16, 110:4		uniform [1] - 140:6
3:1, 5:1, 5:3, 5:20,	113:2, 119:17,	timers [1] - 4:7	50:3, 67:25, 68:1,	
5:22, 6:4, 6:6, 6:8,	121:23, 122:8,	TNT [3] - 106:5,	103:13, 103:14	unique [3] - 35:20,
7:8, 7:13, 7:15, 7:17,	128:20, 130:21,	114:10, 114:12	try [6] - 104:18,	95:21, 125:19
7:19, 8:7, 8:12, 8:15, 8:17, 11:25, 12:4	132:7, 133:7,	today [18] - 2:4, 3:4,	105:16, 106:17,	uniqueness [1] - 13:5
8:17, 11:25, 12:4,	133:17, 133:20,	3:13, 4:11, 6:20,	107:20, 128:16, 140:2	Unit [3] - 99:20,
12:9, 12:13, 12:16,	133:21, 134:3,	7:21, 8:13, 8:18,	149:2	127:15
12:21, 13:12, 13:16,	134:7, 134:13,	13:24, 14:10, 29:8,	trying [10] - 37:5,	unless [2] - 4:5, 26:23
13:19, 13:23, 14:2,	134:16, 134:18,	50:16, 61:20, 73:6,	48:16, 50:7, 50:8, 63:13, 103:10	unlikely [1] - 125:16
14:7, 14:9, 14:19, 15:11, 15:14, 15:17	134:20, 135:2,	117:7, 126:2, 126:8,	63:13, 103:19, 106:12, 108:12	unregulated [1] -
15:11, 15:14, 15:17,	135:9, 135:13,	127:5	106:12, 108:12,	97:16
15:19, 15:23, 16:4,	135:21, 136:14,	together [5] - 35:7,	109:19, 110:17	unrepairable [1] -
19:19, 20:11, 20:14, 20:17, 20:10, 20:24	136:16, 136:20,	36:21, 74:3, 106:22,	turning [1] - 78:16	123:18
20:17, 20:19, 20:24, 21:2, 21:7, 25:10	136:22, 137:1,	111:9	turret [1] - 77:2	up [41] - 4:9, 6:23,
21:2, 21:7, 25:10, 27:4, 20:1, 20:4	137:2, 137:23,	ton [1] - 108:12	twisting [1] - 46:23	13:7, 13:21, 21:10,
27:4, 29:1, 29:4, 20:7, 20:10, 20:21	137:24, 138:7,	tone [1] - 3:9	two [37] - 3:12, 9:7,	23:9, 23:13, 23:17,
29:7, 29:10, 29:21, 31:5, 31:0, 32:15	138:9, 141:23,	took [4] - 26:12, 47:8,	11:14, 12:25, 16:14,	25:18, 27:10, 29:5,
31:5, 31:9, 32:15,	143:22, 144:14,	95:14, 117:3	16:19, 28:15, 30:16,	32:7, 34:3, 34:21,
35:24, 37:15, 37:25,	145:10, 145:14,	top [9] - 18:13, 19:7,	30:17, 31:1, 31:10,	37:7, 39:24, 48:10,
38:4, 38:9, 39:10,	145:15, 146:15,	19:11, 22:1, 25:19,	31:21, 34:15, 35:4,	52:17, 54:5, 61:8,
39:23, 40:2, 41:1,	147:11, 147:25,	25:21, 33:23, 34:25,	35:24, 37:10, 37:17,	71:7, 72:25, 73:3,
41:5, 41:15, 42:8,	148:13, 148:15,	59:15	43:14, 43:19, 64:8,	73:21, 75:21, 78:20,
42:11, 42:14, 42:20,	149:14	totally [3] - 30:7,	68:19, 76:2, 81:2,	80:3, 80:6, 80:23,
42:22, 42:24, 44:9,	theirs [1] - 105:17	112:5, 128:23	83:8, 83:25, 89:2,	85:11, 88:2, 109:15,
44:20, 44:23, 45:16,	therefore [3] - 5:14,	tough [1] - 108:2	92:20, 95:17, 100:9,	109:21, 111:6,
45:21, 45:24, 46:2, 46:6, 46:9, 46:14,	66:23, 98:22	toward [1] - 65:4	103:20, 106:22,	127:11, 138:16,
, , ,	therein [1] - 128:17	towards [4] - 13:24,	107:20, 112:7,	139:1, 141:14,
49:14, 49:16, 49:19,	they've [1] - 120:11	66:9, 100:21, 129:16	118:16, 137:18,	141:24, 144:3, 149:6
49:22, 49:25, 50:5, 50:19, 50:23, 51:5,	thin [1] - 11:2	tower [1] - 9:24	137:20, 138:14	updating [1] - 18:25
		traditional [2] - 30:13,	two-car [1] - 43:19	upgrade [1] - 50:13
51:18, 52:9, 53:19,	thinking [2] - 71:1,	92:21	two-plus [1] - 103:20	upper [4] - 20:6,
55:2, 55:6, 55:23,	71:4	traditionally [3] - 96:2,	two-story [9] - 9:7,	21:21, 22:23
56:15, 60:13, 61:10, 61:14, 61:25, 62:22,	third [2] - 95:6, 147:1	126:1, 143:17	16:14, 16:19, 43:14,	upstairs [1] - 37:10
	thoughts [5] - 116:5,	traffic [1] - 59:12	64:8, 76:2, 83:8,	urban [1] - 147:20
62:25, 63:11, 63:20, 67:7, 67:10, 67:13	119:5, 119:20, 121:21, 147:8	Trail [9] - 68:24, 69:4,	83:25, 92:20	usual [2] - 135:19,
67:7, 67:10, 67:13, 67:15, 67:20, 67:23	121:21, 147:8	69:13, 69:15, 69:19,	type [8] - 14:14, 53:12,	138:13
67:15, 67:20, 67:23,	three [21] - 4:4, 24:19,	77:24, 146:1, 146:2,	100:13, 118:13,	utilities [1] - 105:4
68:3, 68:4, 69:10,	26:16, 28:9, 28:10,	148:9	140:4, 140:24,	utilized [2] - 94:16,
69:21, 70:21, 72:6,	28:18, 31:12, 33:16,	transcript [1] - 150:10	144:8, 146:20	95:12
72:21, 72:24, 73:2,	34:19, 34:23, 35:13,	transit [3] - 140:24,	types [1] - 144:11	
73:5, 73:8, 74:5,	36:17, 36:19, 36:23,	141:8, 143:13	typically [4] - 19:6,	V
74:15, 75:4, 75:17,	38:5, 103:6, 135:18,	transition [13] - 21:16,	31:19, 96:12, 122:23	
75:20, 76:16, 77:11,	137:10, 139:9,	22:16, 23:1, 23:8,		V-crimp [1] - 92:25
77:12, 79:5, 79:16,	140:16, 147:10	23:9, 23:13, 23:22,	U	value [9] - 10:4, 10:19,
79:19, 80:2, 81:8,	three-dimension-	24:23, 25:16, 26:1,		38:4, 93:19, 98:1,
82:9, 83:2, 83:18,	wise [1] - 35:13	27:9, 29:15, 38:19	ultimately [1] - 58:3	98:14, 129:18,
83:24, 84:2, 84:5,	three-dimensional [1]	trash [2] - 142:20,	unable [1] - 98:16	132:11, 132:18
84:22, 84:25, 85:2,	- 34:19	143:9	unattached [1] -	vanishing [2] - 94:15,
85:5, 85:9, 85:12,	three-quarter [1] -	treatment [2] - 80:8,	116:24	95:11
85:15, 85:18, 86:6,	34:23	96:6	unaware [1] - 140:18	variation [1] - 94:20
86:25, 87:3, 88:8,	throughout [1] - 138:3	tricky [1] - 128:6	uncared [1] - 107:1	variations [1] - 95:15
<u> </u>	M T · · ·	1 0 PP 0	- T 1	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

Diane M'. Tropia", Inc'., Post' Office' Box' 2375', Jacksonville", FL 32203 (904) 821-0300

vehicular [1] - 59:12	week [1] - 145:25	33:1, 33:17, 33:23,	92:24
vent [2] - 25:19, 25:21	welcome [3] - 2:3, 3:4,	34:4, 34:5, 34:8,	wrap-around [1] -
verandas [1] - 95:17	108:8	34:16, 35:10, 35:16,	92:24
vernacular [7] - 16:20,	WELLS [26] - 1:17,	36:5, 36:6, 36:12,	wraparound [4] -
64:8, 92:21, 94:12,	2:9, 8:9, 21:24,	36:19, 37:3, 37:10,	75:12, 76:4, 80:24,
94:14, 94:21, 95:10	45:17, 75:23, 82:5,	37:18, 38:5, 38:16,	81:4
versus [1] - 19:24	83:16, 83:22, 84:1,	38:18, 39:7, 62:14,	wrapped [2] - 66:8,
versus[1] - 19.24 vertical [2] - 17:2,	91:25, 99:15, 100:4,	64:11, 64:15, 93:3,	68:16
65:12	100:15, 101:13,	95:18	wrapping [5] - 68:21,
	102:14, 137:3,	wired [1] - 9:14	77:1, 77:4, 82:14,
vertically [1] - 30:13	137:13, 137:16,	wise [1] - 35:13	86:9
Vice [1] - 1:13	137:25, 138:8,	wish [2] - 3:13, 50:20	wraps [1] - 69:19
view [4] - 4:7, 14:21,	139:4, 140:13,	wishes [3] - 7:5,	written [1] - 20:3
30:8, 34:9	146:11, 146:16,	13:24, 49:17	written [1] - 20.3
Village [2] - 104:25,	149:10	-	X
105:2		withdraw [3] - 5:13,	Y
visible [12] - 30:1,	Wells [5] - 2:9, 73:20,	86:19, 86:22	y'all [6] - 13:9, 47:13,
30:5, 30:12, 30:20,	138:15, 144:1, 144:6	withdrawal [2] - 5:17,	-
30:22, 31:18, 33:8,	west [9] - 65:4, 66:2,	87:1	47:25, 49:6, 53:16, 63:13
36:18, 65:13, 65:17,	68:22, 68:23, 68:25,	withdrawn [1] - 6:10	
65:21, 69:5	69:8, 75:2, 76:25,	won [2] - 144:23,	yard [2] - 43:16, 43:17
visit [2] - 14:22, 44:12	86:9	145:3	year [8] - 107:1, 107:5,
visual [1] - 44:18	West [3] - 22:5, 22:15,	wonder [2] - 76:24,	107:7, 108:20,
vote [20] - 5:15, 38:10,	25:1	122:2	138:6, 148:16,
42:8, 61:16, 61:19,	whatnot [1] - 102:19	wonderful [2] - 15:4,	148:18, 149:13
62:6, 62:7, 86:20,	whole [9] - 12:18,	15:8	Year [1] - 149:18
89:15, 122:16,	21:4, 22:10, 46:11,	wondering [2] - 78:13,	years [7] - 24:4, 24:20,
122:19, 130:1,	50:2, 60:23, 67:25,	113:19	103:21, 107:20,
130:2, 132:12,	78:20, 103:13	wood [35] - 66:19,	112:7, 135:17, 145:3
133:1, 133:12,	wide [6] - 43:20,	70:1, 70:14, 71:20,	yellow [1] - 94:19
133:14, 134:1,	43:22, 43:25, 56:23,	72:10, 72:18, 73:25,	you-all [2] - 133:1,
133:14, 134:1, 134:11, 145:19	43:22, 43:25, 56:23, 58:1	72:10, 72:18, 73:25, 74:12, 74:17, 74:18,	you-all [2] - 133:1, 139:13
			139:13
134:11, 145:19	58:1	74:12, 74:17, 74:18,	•
134:11, 145:19 votes [1] - 33:4	58:1 width [1] - 57:13	74:12, 74:17, 74:18, 77:16, 77:17, 78:12,	139:13 Z
134:11, 145:19 votes [1] - 33:4	58:1 width [1] - 57:13 widths [1] - 45:13 WILLIAM [1] - 1:14 William [1] - 2:18	74:12, 74:17, 74:18, 77:16, 77:17, 78:12, 78:17, 78:23, 78:25,	139:13 Z Zoning [1] - 106:23
134:11, 145:19 votes [1] - 33:4 voting [1] - 89:5	58:1 width [1] - 57:13 widths [1] - 45:13 WILLIAM [1] - 1:14	74:12, 74:17, 74:18, 77:16, 77:17, 78:12, 78:17, 78:23, 78:25, 79:2, 79:13, 79:14,	139:13 Z
134:11, 145:19 votes [1] - 33:4 voting [1] - 89:5	58:1 width [1] - 57:13 widths [1] - 45:13 WILLIAM [1] - 1:14 William [1] - 2:18	74:12, 74:17, 74:18, 77:16, 77:17, 78:12, 78:17, 78:23, 78:25, 79:2, 79:13, 79:14, 80:15, 80:22, 84:13,	139:13 Z Zoning [1] - 106:23
134:11, 145:19 votes [1] - 33:4 voting [1] - 89:5 W wait [3] - 3:24, 79:23, 90:4	58:1 width [1] - 57:13 widths [1] - 45:13 WILLIAM [1] - 1:14 William [1] - 2:18 willing [3] - 7:23,	74:12, 74:17, 74:18, 77:16, 77:17, 78:12, 78:17, 78:23, 78:25, 79:2, 79:13, 79:14, 80:15, 80:22, 84:13, 84:16, 85:10, 85:12,	139:13 Z Zoning [1] - 106:23
134:11, 145:19 votes [1] - 33:4 voting [1] - 89:5 W wait [3] - 3:24, 79:23,	58:1 width [1] - 57:13 widths [1] - 45:13 WILLIAM [1] - 1:14 William [1] - 2:18 willing [3] - 7:23, 141:24, 145:21	74:12, 74:17, 74:18, 77:16, 77:17, 78:12, 78:17, 78:23, 78:25, 79:2, 79:13, 79:14, 80:15, 80:22, 84:13, 84:16, 85:10, 85:12, 87:11, 87:14, 92:20,	139:13 Z Zoning [1] - 106:23
134:11, 145:19 votes [1] - 33:4 voting [1] - 89:5 W wait [3] - 3:24, 79:23, 90:4	58:1 width [1] - 57:13 widths [1] - 45:13 WILLIAM [1] - 1:14 William [1] - 2:18 willing [3] - 7:23, 141:24, 145:21 window [17] - 9:16,	74:12, 74:17, 74:18, 77:16, 77:17, 78:12, 78:17, 78:23, 78:25, 79:2, 79:13, 79:14, 80:15, 80:22, 84:13, 84:16, 85:10, 85:12, 87:11, 87:14, 92:20, 95:1, 95:3, 123:11	139:13 Z Zoning [1] - 106:23
134:11, 145:19 votes [1] - 33:4 voting [1] - 89:5 W wait [3] - 3:24, 79:23, 90:4 waited [1] - 107:19	58:1 width [1] - 57:13 widths [1] - 45:13 WILLIAM [1] - 1:14 William [1] - 2:18 willing [3] - 7:23, 141:24, 145:21 window [17] - 9:16, 17:17, 17:19, 17:22,	74:12, 74:17, 74:18, 77:16, 77:17, 78:12, 78:17, 78:23, 78:25, 79:2, 79:13, 79:14, 80:15, 80:22, 84:13, 84:16, 85:10, 85:12, 87:11, 87:14, 92:20, 95:1, 95:3, 123:11 wooden [6] - 64:24, 64:25, 65:9, 71:9, 78:5	139:13 Z Zoning [1] - 106:23
134:11, 145:19 votes [1] - 33:4 voting [1] - 89:5 W wait [3] - 3:24, 79:23, 90:4 waited [1] - 107:19 waiting [1] - 112:8	58:1 width [1] - 57:13 widths [1] - 45:13 WILLIAM [1] - 1:14 William [1] - 2:18 willing [3] - 7:23, 141:24, 145:21 window [17] - 9:16, 17:17, 17:19, 17:22, 20:3, 20:7, 23:7,	74:12, 74:17, 74:18, 77:16, 77:17, 78:12, 78:17, 78:23, 78:25, 79:2, 79:13, 79:14, 80:15, 80:22, 84:13, 84:16, 85:10, 85:12, 87:11, 87:14, 92:20, 95:1, 95:3, 123:11 wooden [6] - 64:24, 64:25, 65:9, 71:9, 78:5 Woodward [1] - 12:11	139:13 Z Zoning [1] - 106:23
134:11, 145:19 votes [1] - 33:4 voting [1] - 89:5 W wait [3] - 3:24, 79:23, 90:4 waited [1] - 107:19 waiting [1] - 112:8 walk [1] - 46:23	58:1 width [1] - 57:13 widths [1] - 45:13 WILLIAM [1] - 1:14 William [1] - 2:18 willing [3] - 7:23, 141:24, 145:21 window [17] - 9:16, 17:17, 17:19, 17:22, 20:3, 20:7, 23:7, 30:14, 34:7, 34:17,	74:12, 74:17, 74:18, 77:16, 77:17, 78:12, 78:17, 78:23, 78:25, 79:2, 79:13, 79:14, 80:15, 80:22, 84:13, 84:16, 85:10, 85:12, 87:11, 87:14, 92:20, 95:1, 95:3, 123:11 wooden [6] - 64:24, 64:25, 65:9, 71:9, 78:5	139:13 Z Zoning [1] - 106:23
134:11, 145:19 votes [1] - 33:4 voting [1] - 89:5 W wait [3] - 3:24, 79:23, 90:4 waited [1] - 107:19 waiting [1] - 112:8 walk [1] - 46:23 walkway [3] - 51:20,	58:1 width [1] - 57:13 widths [1] - 45:13 WILLIAM [1] - 1:14 William [1] - 2:18 willing [3] - 7:23, 141:24, 145:21 window [17] - 9:16, 17:17, 17:19, 17:22, 20:3, 20:7, 23:7, 30:14, 34:7, 34:17, 34:24, 36:22, 37:24,	74:12, 74:17, 74:18, 77:16, 77:17, 78:12, 78:17, 78:23, 78:25, 79:2, 79:13, 79:14, 80:15, 80:22, 84:13, 84:16, 85:10, 85:12, 87:11, 87:14, 92:20, 95:1, 95:3, 123:11 wooden [6] - 64:24, 64:25, 65:9, 71:9, 78:5 Woodward [1] - 12:11	139:13 Z Zoning [1] - 106:23
134:11, 145:19 votes [1] - 33:4 voting [1] - 89:5 W wait [3] - 3:24, 79:23, 90:4 waited [1] - 107:19 waiting [1] - 112:8 walk [1] - 46:23 walkway [3] - 51:20, 54:4, 54:13	58:1 width [1] - 57:13 widths [1] - 45:13 WILLIAM [1] - 1:14 William [1] - 2:18 willing [3] - 7:23, 141:24, 145:21 window [17] - 9:16, 17:17, 17:19, 17:22, 20:3, 20:7, 23:7, 30:14, 34:7, 34:17, 34:24, 36:22, 37:24, 64:16, 76:24, 91:2,	74:12, 74:17, 74:18, 77:16, 77:17, 78:12, 78:17, 78:23, 78:25, 79:2, 79:13, 79:14, 80:15, 80:22, 84:13, 84:16, 85:10, 85:12, 87:11, 87:14, 92:20, 95:1, 95:3, 123:11 wooden [6] - 64:24, 64:25, 65:9, 71:9, 78:5 Woodward [1] - 12:11 word [1] - 133:4	139:13 Z Zoning [1] - 106:23
134:11, 145:19 votes [1] - 33:4 voting [1] - 89:5 W wait [3] - 3:24, 79:23, 90:4 waited [1] - 107:19 waiting [1] - 112:8 walk [1] - 46:23 walkway [3] - 51:20, 54:4, 54:13 wall [4] - 17:24, 33:22,	58:1 width [1] - 57:13 widths [1] - 45:13 WILLIAM [1] - 1:14 William [1] - 2:18 willing [3] - 7:23, 141:24, 145:21 window [17] - 9:16, 17:17, 17:19, 17:22, 20:3, 20:7, 23:7, 30:14, 34:7, 34:17, 34:24, 36:22, 37:24, 64:16, 76:24, 91:2, 91:7	74:12, 74:17, 74:18, 77:16, 77:17, 78:12, 78:17, 78:23, 78:25, 79:2, 79:13, 79:14, 80:15, 80:22, 84:13, 84:16, 85:10, 85:12, 87:11, 87:14, 92:20, 95:1, 95:3, 123:11 wooden [6] - 64:24, 64:25, 65:9, 71:9, 78:5 Woodward [1] - 12:11 word [1] - 133:4 worded [1] - 124:13	139:13 Z Zoning [1] - 106:23
134:11, 145:19 votes [1] - 33:4 voting [1] - 89:5 wait [3] - 3:24, 79:23, 90:4 waited [1] - 107:19 waiting [1] - 112:8 walk [1] - 46:23 walkway [3] - 51:20, 54:4, 54:13 wall [4] - 17:24, 33:22, 64:25, 65:1	58:1 width [1] - 57:13 widths [1] - 45:13 WILLIAM [1] - 1:14 William [1] - 2:18 willing [3] - 7:23, 141:24, 145:21 window [17] - 9:16, 17:17, 17:19, 17:22, 20:3, 20:7, 23:7, 30:14, 34:7, 34:17, 34:24, 36:22, 37:24, 64:16, 76:24, 91:2, 91:7 windows [72] - 5:24,	74:12, 74:17, 74:18, 77:16, 77:17, 78:12, 78:17, 78:23, 78:25, 79:2, 79:13, 79:14, 80:15, 80:22, 84:13, 84:16, 85:10, 85:12, 87:11, 87:14, 92:20, 95:1, 95:3, 123:11 wooden [6] - 64:24, 64:25, 65:9, 71:9, 78:5 Woodward [1] - 12:11 worde [1] - 133:4 worded [1] - 124:13 wording [1] - 121:1	139:13 Z Zoning [1] - 106:23
134:11, 145:19 votes [1] - 33:4 voting [1] - 89:5 W wait [3] - 3:24, 79:23, 90:4 waited [1] - 107:19 waiting [1] - 112:8 walk [1] - 46:23 walkway [3] - 51:20, 54:4, 54:13 wall [4] - 17:24, 33:22, 64:25, 65:1 walls [1] - 66:20	58:1 width [1] - 57:13 widths [1] - 45:13 WILLIAM [1] - 1:14 William [1] - 2:18 willing [3] - 7:23, 141:24, 145:21 window [17] - 9:16, 17:17, 17:19, 17:22, 20:3, 20:7, 23:7, 30:14, 34:7, 34:17, 34:24, 36:22, 37:24, 64:16, 76:24, 91:2, 91:7 windows [72] - 5:24, 9:21, 11:1, 16:25,	74:12, 74:17, 74:18, 77:16, 77:17, 78:12, 78:17, 78:23, 78:25, 79:2, 79:13, 79:14, 80:15, 80:22, 84:13, 84:16, 85:10, 85:12, 87:11, 87:14, 92:20, 95:1, 95:3, 123:11 wooden [6] - 64:24, 64:25, 65:9, 71:9, 78:5 Woodward [1] - 12:11 word [1] - 133:4 worded [1] - 124:13 wording [1] - 121:1 workings [1] - 62:1	139:13 Z Zoning [1] - 106:23
134:11, 145:19 votes [1] - 33:4 voting [1] - 89:5 W wait [3] - 3:24, 79:23, 90:4 waited [1] - 107:19 waiting [1] - 112:8 walk [1] - 46:23 walkway [3] - 51:20, 54:4, 54:13 wall [4] - 17:24, 33:22, 64:25, 65:1 walls [1] - 66:20 Walnut [2] - 20:23,	58:1 width [1] - 57:13 widths [1] - 45:13 WILLIAM [1] - 1:14 William [1] - 2:18 willing [3] - 7:23, 141:24, 145:21 window [17] - 9:16, 17:17, 17:19, 17:22, 20:3, 20:7, 23:7, 30:14, 34:7, 34:17, 34:24, 36:22, 37:24, 64:16, 76:24, 91:2, 91:7 windows [72] - 5:24, 9:21, 11:1, 16:25, 17:21, 17:23, 18:17,	74:12, 74:17, 74:18, 77:16, 77:17, 78:12, 78:17, 78:23, 78:25, 79:2, 79:13, 79:14, 80:15, 80:22, 84:13, 84:16, 85:10, 85:12, 87:11, 87:14, 92:20, 95:1, 95:3, 123:11 wooden [6] - 64:24, 64:25, 65:9, 71:9, 78:5 Woodward [1] - 12:11 word [1] - 133:4 worded [1] - 124:13 wording [1] - 121:1 workings [1] - 62:1 works [3] - 80:18,	139:13 Z Zoning [1] - 106:23
134:11, 145:19 votes [1] - 33:4 voting [1] - 89:5 W wait [3] - 3:24, 79:23, 90:4 waited [1] - 107:19 waiting [1] - 112:8 walk [1] - 46:23 walkway [3] - 51:20, 54:4, 54:13 wall [4] - 17:24, 33:22, 64:25, 65:1 walls [1] - 66:20 Walnut [2] - 20:23, 69:17	58:1 width [1] - 57:13 widths [1] - 45:13 WILLIAM [1] - 1:14 William [1] - 2:18 willing [3] - 7:23, 141:24, 145:21 window [17] - 9:16, 17:17, 17:19, 17:22, 20:3, 20:7, 23:7, 30:14, 34:7, 34:17, 34:24, 36:22, 37:24, 64:16, 76:24, 91:2, 91:7 windows [72] - 5:24, 9:21, 11:1, 16:25, 17:21, 17:23, 18:17, 18:19, 18:21, 19:23,	74:12, 74:17, 74:18, 77:16, 77:17, 78:12, 78:17, 78:23, 78:25, 79:2, 79:13, 79:14, 80:15, 80:22, 84:13, 84:16, 85:10, 85:12, 87:11, 87:14, 92:20, 95:1, 95:3, 123:11 wooden [6] - 64:24, 64:25, 65:9, 71:9, 78:5 Woodward [1] - 12:11 word [1] - 133:4 worded [1] - 124:13 wording [1] - 121:1 workings [1] - 62:1 works [3] - 80:18, 130:16, 143:24	139:13 Z Zoning [1] - 106:23
134:11, 145:19 votes [1] - 33:4 voting [1] - 89:5 W wait [3] - 3:24, 79:23, 90:4 waited [1] - 107:19 waiting [1] - 112:8 walk [1] - 46:23 walkway [3] - 51:20, 54:4, 54:13 wall [4] - 17:24, 33:22, 64:25, 65:1 walls [1] - 66:20 Walnut [2] - 20:23, 69:17 wants [5] - 24:18,	58:1 width [1] - 57:13 widths [1] - 45:13 WILLIAM [1] - 1:14 William [1] - 2:18 willing [3] - 7:23, 141:24, 145:21 window [17] - 9:16, 17:17, 17:19, 17:22, 20:3, 20:7, 23:7, 30:14, 34:7, 34:17, 34:24, 36:22, 37:24, 64:16, 76:24, 91:2, 91:7 windows [72] - 5:24, 9:21, 11:1, 16:25, 17:21, 17:23, 18:17, 18:19, 18:21, 19:23, 20:5, 20:9, 21:16,	74:12, 74:17, 74:18, 77:16, 77:17, 78:12, 78:17, 78:23, 78:25, 79:2, 79:13, 79:14, 80:15, 80:22, 84:13, 84:16, 85:10, 85:12, 87:11, 87:14, 92:20, 95:1, 95:3, 123:11 wooden [6] - 64:24, 64:25, 65:9, 71:9, 78:5 Woodward [1] - 12:11 word [1] - 133:4 worded [1] - 124:13 wording [1] - 121:1 workings [1] - 62:1 works [3] - 80:18, 130:16, 143:24 Works [3] - 137:25,	139:13 Z Zoning [1] - 106:23
134:11, 145:19 votes [1] - 33:4 voting [1] - 89:5 W wait [3] - 3:24, 79:23, 90:4 waited [1] - 107:19 waiting [1] - 112:8 walk [1] - 46:23 walkway [3] - 51:20, 54:4, 54:13 wall [4] - 17:24, 33:22, 64:25, 65:1 walls [1] - 66:20 Walnut [2] - 20:23, 69:17 wants [5] - 24:18, 51:10, 107:25,	58:1 width [1] - 57:13 widths [1] - 45:13 WILLIAM [1] - 1:14 William [1] - 2:18 willing [3] - 7:23, 141:24, 145:21 window [17] - 9:16, 17:17, 17:19, 17:22, 20:3, 20:7, 23:7, 30:14, 34:7, 34:17, 34:24, 36:22, 37:24, 64:16, 76:24, 91:2, 91:7 windows [72] - 5:24, 9:21, 11:1, 16:25, 17:21, 17:23, 18:17, 18:19, 18:21, 19:23, 20:5, 20:9, 21:16, 22:9, 22:13, 23:17,	74:12, 74:17, 74:18, 77:16, 77:17, 78:12, 78:17, 78:23, 78:25, 79:2, 79:13, 79:14, 80:15, 80:22, 84:13, 84:16, 85:10, 85:12, 87:11, 87:14, 92:20, 95:1, 95:3, 123:11 wooden [6] - 64:24, 64:25, 65:9, 71:9, 78:5 Woodward [1] - 12:11 word [1] - 133:4 worded [1] - 124:13 wordel [1] - 121:1 workings [1] - 62:1 works [3] - 80:18, 130:16, 143:24 Works [3] - 137:25, 142:24, 143:21	139:13 Z Zoning [1] - 106:23
134:11, 145:19 votes [1] - 33:4 voting [1] - 89:5 W wait [3] - 3:24, 79:23, 90:4 waited [1] - 107:19 waiting [1] - 112:8 walk [1] - 46:23 walkway [3] - 51:20, 54:4, 54:13 wall [4] - 17:24, 33:22, 64:25, 65:1 walls [1] - 66:20 Walnut [2] - 20:23, 69:17 wants [5] - 24:18, 51:10, 107:25, 110:9, 138:10	58:1 width [1] - 57:13 widths [1] - 45:13 WILLIAM [1] - 1:14 William [1] - 2:18 willing [3] - 7:23, 141:24, 145:21 window [17] - 9:16, 17:17, 17:19, 17:22, 20:3, 20:7, 23:7, 30:14, 34:7, 34:17, 34:24, 36:22, 37:24, 64:16, 76:24, 91:2, 91:7 windows [72] - 5:24, 9:21, 11:1, 16:25, 17:21, 17:23, 18:17, 18:19, 18:21, 19:23, 20:5, 20:9, 21:16, 22:9, 22:13, 23:17, 24:6, 24:10, 24:11,	74:12, 74:17, 74:18, 77:16, 77:17, 78:12, 78:17, 78:23, 78:25, 79:2, 79:13, 79:14, 80:15, 80:22, 84:13, 84:16, 85:10, 85:12, 87:11, 87:14, 92:20, 95:1, 95:3, 123:11 wooden [6] - 64:24, 64:25, 65:9, 71:9, 78:5 Woodward [1] - 12:11 word [1] - 133:4 worded [1] - 124:13 wordel [1] - 124:13 wording [1] - 62:1 works [3] - 80:18, 130:16, 143:24 Works [3] - 137:25, 142:24, 143:21 worst [3] - 103:22,	139:13 Z Zoning [1] - 106:23
134:11, 145:19 votes [1] - 33:4 voting [1] - 89:5 W wait [3] - 3:24, 79:23, 90:4 waited [1] - 107:19 waiting [1] - 112:8 walk [1] - 46:23 walkway [3] - 51:20, 54:4, 54:13 wall [4] - 17:24, 33:22, 64:25, 65:1 walls [1] - 66:20 Walnut [2] - 20:23, 69:17 wants [5] - 24:18, 51:10, 107:25, 110:9, 138:10 washes [1] - 60:20 watched [1] - 59:23	58:1 width [1] - 57:13 widths [1] - 45:13 WILLIAM [1] - 1:14 William [1] - 2:18 willing [3] - 7:23, 141:24, 145:21 window [17] - 9:16, 17:17, 17:19, 17:22, 20:3, 20:7, 23:7, 30:14, 34:7, 34:17, 34:24, 36:22, 37:24, 64:16, 76:24, 91:2, 91:7 windows [72] - 5:24, 9:21, 11:1, 16:25, 17:21, 17:23, 18:17, 18:19, 18:21, 19:23, 20:5, 20:9, 21:16, 22:9, 22:13, 23:17, 24:6, 24:10, 24:11, 24:13, 25:7, 25:15,	$74:12, 74:17, 74:18, 77:16, 77:17, 78:12, 78:17, 78:23, 78:25, 79:2, 79:13, 79:14, 80:15, 80:22, 84:13, 84:16, 85:10, 85:12, 87:11, 87:14, 92:20, 95:1, 95:3, 123:11 wooden [6] - 64:24, 64:25, 65:9, 71:9, 78:5 Woodward [1] - 12:11 word [1] - 133:4 worded [1] - 124:13 wording [1] - 62:1 works [3] - 80:18, 130:16, 143:24 Works [3] - 137:25, 142:24, 143:21 worst [3] - 103:22, 109:17, 109:25 \\ \end {tabular}$	139:13 Z Zoning [1] - 106:23
134:11, 145:19 votes [1] - 33:4 voting [1] - 89:5 wait [3] - 3:24, 79:23, 90:4 waited [1] - 107:19 waiting [1] - 112:8 walk [1] - 46:23 walkway [3] - 51:20, 54:4, 54:13 wall [4] - 17:24, 33:22, 64:25, 65:1 walls [1] - 66:20 Walnut [2] - 20:23, 69:17 wants [5] - 24:18, 51:10, 107:25, 110:9, 138:10 washes [1] - 60:20	58:1 width [1] - 57:13 widths [1] - 45:13 WILLIAM [1] - 1:14 William [1] - 2:18 willing [3] - 7:23, 141:24, 145:21 window [17] - 9:16, 17:17, 17:19, 17:22, 20:3, 20:7, 23:7, 30:14, 34:7, 34:17, 34:24, 36:22, 37:24, 64:16, 76:24, 91:2, 91:7 windows [72] - 5:24, 9:21, 11:1, 16:25, 17:21, 17:23, 18:17, 18:19, 18:21, 19:23, 20:5, 20:9, 21:16, 22:9, 22:13, 23:17, 24:6, 24:10, 24:11, 24:13, 25:7, 25:15, 26:4, 26:18, 27:22,	74:12, 74:17, 74:18, 77:16, 77:17, 78:12, 78:17, 78:23, 78:25, 79:2, 79:13, 79:14, 80:15, 80:22, 84:13, 84:16, 85:10, 85:12, 87:11, 87:14, 92:20, 95:1, 95:3, 123:11 wooden [6] - 64:24, 64:25, 65:9, 71:9, 78:5 Woodward [1] - 12:11 word [1] - 133:4 worded [1] - 124:13 worded [1] - 124:13 wording [1] - 62:1 works [3] - 80:18, 130:16, 143:24 Works [3] - 137:25, 142:24, 143:21 worst [3] - 103:22, 109:17, 109:25 worst-case [2] -	139:13 Z Zoning [1] - 106:23
134:11, 145:19 votes [1] - 33:4 voting [1] - 89:5 W wait [3] - 3:24, 79:23, 90:4 waited [1] - 107:19 waiting [1] - 112:8 walk [1] - 46:23 walkway [3] - 51:20, 54:4, 54:13 wall [4] - 17:24, 33:22, 64:25, 65:1 walls [1] - 66:20 Walnut [2] - 20:23, 69:17 wants [5] - 24:18, 51:10, 107:25, 110:9, 138:10 washes [1] - 60:20 watched [1] - 59:23 water [2] - 117:10, 117:13	58:1 width [1] - 57:13 widths [1] - 45:13 WILLIAM [1] - 1:14 William [1] - 2:18 willing [3] - 7:23, 141:24, 145:21 window [17] - 9:16, 17:17, 17:19, 17:22, 20:3, 20:7, 23:7, 30:14, 34:7, 34:17, 34:24, 36:22, 37:24, 64:16, 76:24, 91:2, 91:7 windows [72] - 5:24, 9:21, 11:1, 16:25, 17:21, 17:23, 18:17, 18:19, 18:21, 19:23, 20:5, 20:9, 21:16, 22:9, 22:13, 23:17, 24:6, 24:10, 24:11, 24:13, 25:7, 25:15, 26:4, 26:18, 27:22, 27:24, 28:9, 28:10,	74:12, 74:17, 74:18, 77:16, 77:17, 78:12, 78:17, 78:23, 78:25, 79:2, 79:13, 79:14, 80:15, 80:22, 84:13, 84:16, 85:10, 85:12, 87:11, 87:14, 92:20, 95:1, 95:3, 123:11 wooden [6] - 64:24, 64:25, 65:9, 71:9, 78:5 Woodward [1] - 12:11 word [1] - 133:4 worded [1] - 124:13 wording [1] - 62:1 works [3] - 80:18, 130:16, 143:24 Works [3] - 137:25, 142:24, 143:21 worst [3] - 103:22, 109:17, 109:25 worst-case [2] - 103:22, 109:17	139:13 Z Zoning [1] - 106:23
134:11, 145:19 votes [1] - 33:4 voting [1] - 89:5 W wait [3] - 3:24, 79:23, 90:4 waited [1] - 107:19 waiting [1] - 112:8 walk [1] - 46:23 walkway [3] - 51:20, 54:4, 54:13 wall [4] - 17:24, 33:22, 64:25, 65:1 walls [1] - 66:20 Walnut [2] - 20:23, 69:17 wants [5] - 24:18, 51:10, 107:25, 110:9, 138:10 washes [1] - 60:20 watched [1] - 59:23 water [2] - 117:10, 117:13 Waters [1] - 67:18	58:1 width [1] - 57:13 widths [1] - 45:13 WILLIAM [1] - 1:14 William [1] - 2:18 willing [3] - 7:23, 141:24, 145:21 window [17] - 9:16, 17:17, 17:19, 17:22, 20:3, 20:7, 23:7, 30:14, 34:7, 34:17, 34:24, 36:22, 37:24, 64:16, 76:24, 91:2, 91:7 windows [72] - 5:24, 9:21, 11:1, 16:25, 17:21, 17:23, 18:17, 18:19, 18:21, 19:23, 20:5, 20:9, 21:16, 22:9, 22:13, 23:17, 24:6, 24:10, 24:11, 24:13, 25:7, 25:15, 26:4, 26:18, 27:22, 27:24, 28:9, 28:10, 28:16, 28:19, 29:18,	74:12, 74:17, 74:18, 77:16, 77:17, 78:12, 78:17, 78:23, 78:25, 79:2, 79:13, 79:14, 80:15, 80:22, 84:13, 84:16, 85:10, 85:12, 87:11, 87:14, 92:20, 95:1, 95:3, 123:11 wooden [6] - 64:24, 64:25, 65:9, 71:9, 78:5 Woodward [1] - 12:11 word [1] - 133:4 worded [1] - 124:13 wording [1] - 62:1 works [3] - 80:18, 130:16, 143:24 Works [3] - 137:25, 142:24, 143:21 worst [3] - 103:22, 109:17, 109:25 worst-case [2] - 103:22, 109:17 worth [1] - 141:11	139:13 Z Zoning [1] - 106:23
134:11, 145:19 votes [1] - 33:4 voting [1] - 89:5 wait [3] - 3:24, 79:23, 90:4 waited [1] - 107:19 waiting [1] - 112:8 walk [1] - 46:23 walkway [3] - 51:20, 54:4, 54:13 wall [4] - 17:24, 33:22, 64:25, 65:1 walls [1] - 66:20 Walnut [2] - 20:23, 69:17 wants [5] - 24:18, 51:10, 107:25, 110:9, 138:10 washes [1] - 60:20 watched [1] - 59:23 water [2] - 117:10, 117:13 Waters [1] - 67:18 weatherization [1] -	58:1 width [1] - 57:13 widths [1] - 45:13 WILLIAM [1] - 1:14 William [1] - 2:18 willing [3] - 7:23, 141:24, 145:21 window [17] - 9:16, 17:17, 17:19, 17:22, 20:3, 20:7, 23:7, 30:14, 34:7, 34:17, 34:24, 36:22, 37:24, 64:16, 76:24, 91:2, 91:7 windows [72] - 5:24, 9:21, 11:1, 16:25, 17:21, 17:23, 18:17, 18:19, 18:21, 19:23, 20:5, 20:9, 21:16, 22:9, 22:13, 23:17, 24:6, 24:10, 24:11, 24:13, 25:7, 25:15, 26:4, 26:18, 27:22, 27:24, 28:9, 28:10, 28:16, 28:19, 29:18, 29:25, 30:3, 30:4,	74:12, 74:17, 74:18, 77:16, 77:17, 78:12, 78:17, 78:23, 78:25, 79:2, 79:13, 79:14, 80:15, 80:22, 84:13, 84:16, 85:10, 85:12, 87:11, 87:14, 92:20, 95:1, 95:3, 123:11 wooden [6] - 64:24, 64:25, 65:9, 71:9, 78:5 Woodward [1] - 12:11 word [1] - 133:4 worded [1] - 124:13 wording [1] - 62:1 works [3] - 80:18, 130:16, 143:24 Works [3] - 137:25, 142:24, 143:21 worst [3] - 103:22, 109:17, 109:25 worst-case [2] - 103:22, 109:17 worth [1] - 141:11 worthy [2] - 76:16, 129:3	139:13 Z Zoning [1] - 106:23
134:11, 145:19 votes [1] - 33:4 voting [1] - 89:5 wait [3] - 3:24, 79:23, 90:4 waited [1] - 107:19 waiting [1] - 112:8 walk [1] - 46:23 walkway [3] - 51:20, 54:4, 54:13 wall [4] - 17:24, 33:22, 64:25, 65:1 walls [1] - 66:20 Walnut [2] - 20:23, 69:17 wants [5] - 24:18, 51:10, 107:25, 110:9, 138:10 washes [1] - 60:20 watched [1] - 59:23 water [2] - 117:10, 117:13 Waters [1] - 67:18 weatherization [1] - 97:16	58:1 width [1] - 57:13 widths [1] - 45:13 WILLIAM [1] - 1:14 William [1] - 2:18 willing [3] - 7:23, 141:24, 145:21 window [17] - 9:16, 17:17, 17:19, 17:22, 20:3, 20:7, 23:7, 30:14, 34:7, 34:17, 34:24, 36:22, 37:24, 64:16, 76:24, 91:2, 91:7 windows [72] - 5:24, 9:21, 11:1, 16:25, 17:21, 17:23, 18:17, 18:19, 18:21, 19:23, 20:5, 20:9, 21:16, 22:9, 22:13, 23:17, 24:6, 24:10, 24:11, 24:13, 25:7, 25:15, 26:4, 26:18, 27:22, 27:24, 28:9, 28:10, 28:16, 28:19, 29:18, 29:25, 30:3, 30:4, 30:12, 30:17, 30:22,	74:12, 74:17, 74:18, 77:16, 77:17, 78:12, 78:17, 78:23, 78:25, 79:2, 79:13, 79:14, 80:15, 80:22, 84:13, 84:16, 85:10, 85:12, 87:11, 87:14, 92:20, 95:1, 95:3, 123:11 wooden [6] - 64:24, 64:25, 65:9, 71:9, 78:5 Woodward [1] - 12:11 word [1] - 133:4 worded [1] - 124:13 wording [1] - 121:1 workings [1] - 62:1 workings [1] - 62:1 working [1] - 62:1 works [3] - 80:18, 130:16, 143:24 Works [3] - 137:25, 142:24, 143:21 worst [3] - 103:22, 109:17, 109:25 worst-case [2] - 103:22, 109:17 worth [1] - 141:11 worthy [2] - 76:16, 129:3 wracking [1] - 80:7	139:13 Z Zoning [1] - 106:23
134:11, 145:19 votes [1] - 33:4 voting [1] - 89:5 wait [3] - 3:24, 79:23, 90:4 waited [1] - 107:19 waiting [1] - 112:8 walk [1] - 46:23 walkway [3] - 51:20, 54:4, 54:13 wall [4] - 17:24, 33:22, 64:25, 65:1 walls [1] - 66:20 Walnut [2] - 20:23, 69:17 wants [5] - 24:18, 51:10, 107:25, 110:9, 138:10 washes [1] - 60:20 watched [1] - 59:23 water [2] - 117:10, 117:13 Waters [1] - 67:18 weatherization [1] -	58:1 width [1] - 57:13 widths [1] - 45:13 WILLIAM [1] - 1:14 William [1] - 2:18 willing [3] - 7:23, 141:24, 145:21 window [17] - 9:16, 17:17, 17:19, 17:22, 20:3, 20:7, 23:7, 30:14, 34:7, 34:17, 34:24, 36:22, 37:24, 64:16, 76:24, 91:2, 91:7 windows [72] - 5:24, 9:21, 11:1, 16:25, 17:21, 17:23, 18:17, 18:19, 18:21, 19:23, 20:5, 20:9, 21:16, 22:9, 22:13, 23:17, 24:6, 24:10, 24:11, 24:13, 25:7, 25:15, 26:4, 26:18, 27:22, 27:24, 28:9, 28:10, 28:16, 28:19, 29:18, 29:25, 30:3, 30:4, 30:12, 30:17, 30:22, 31:10, 31:11, 31:13,	74:12, 74:17, 74:18, 77:16, 77:17, 78:12, 78:17, 78:23, 78:25, 79:2, 79:13, 79:14, 80:15, 80:22, 84:13, 84:16, 85:10, 85:12, 87:11, 87:14, 92:20, 95:1, 95:3, 123:11 wooden [6] - 64:24, 64:25, 65:9, 71:9, 78:5 Woodward [1] - 12:11 word [1] - 133:4 worded [1] - 124:13 wording [1] - 62:1 works [3] - 80:18, 130:16, 143:24 Works [3] - 137:25, 142:24, 143:21 worst [3] - 103:22, 109:17, 109:25 worst-case [2] - 103:22, 109:17 worth [1] - 141:11 worthy [2] - 76:16, 129:3	139:13 Z Zoning [1] - 106:23

Diane M'. Tropia", Inc'., Post' Office' Box' 2375', Jacksonville", FL' 32203 (904) 821-0300