

PUBLIC NOTICE
AGENDA
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES EVALUATION COMMITTEE MEETING
 Thursday, November 19, 2020, 10:00 a.m.
 Eighth Floor, Conference Room 851
 Ed Ball Building, 214 N. Hogan Street
 Jacksonville, FL 32202

Committee Members: Gregory Pease, Chairman
 Randall Barnes, Treasury
 James McCain, Jr., OGC

Subcommittee Members	ITEM #	TITLE & ACTION	MOTION	CONTR EXP	OUTCOME
William Joyce Robin Smith	P-19-20	Subcommittee Report Professional Engineering Services for Eastport Road from Pulaski Road to Zoo Parkway Department of Public Works/Engineering & Construction Management Division	It is the consensus of the committee that of the fourteen (14) proposals submitted, all were found to be responsive, interested, qualified and available to provide the services required by the RFP. The ranking of first, second and third designates the order of qualification of these companies to perform the required services and alphabetically they are: 1) Casseau, Hewitt & Walpole, Inc. d/b/a CHW 2) Connelly & Wicker, Inc. 3) Peters & Yaffe, Inc. We recommend the above list is forwarded to the Mayor for final selection so that fee and contract negotiations may begin with Casseau, Hewitt & Walpole, Inc., d/b/a CHW, the number one ranked firm.		

cc: Council Auditor
 Subcommittee Members

MEETING ADJOURNED



City of Jacksonville, Florida

Lenny Curry, Mayor

Department of Public Works
Engineering & Construction Management Division
214 N. Hogan Street, 10th Floor
Jacksonville, FL 32202
(904) 255-8762
www.coj.net

ONE CITY ONE JACKSONVILLE

November 2, 2020

TO: Gregory W. Pease, Chairman
Professional Services Evaluation Committee

THRU: John P. Pappas, P.E. *John P. Pappas*
Director

FROM: William J. Joyce, P.E. *William J. Joyce*
Director of Operations

Robin G. Smith, P.E. *Robin G. Smith*
Chief, Engineering and Construction Management

SUBJECT: P-19-20 Professional Engineering Services for Eastport Road from Pulaski Road to Zoo Parkway

The subcommittee received Fourteen (14) proposals for evaluation for the subject project. Thirteen were found to be responsive, interested, qualified and available to provide the services required by the RFP, one was considered non-responsive because they failed to provide evidence of a local business license.

The proposals were evaluated using the criteria outlined in the Purchasing Code as augmented by the RFP (see attached matrix).

Based on the above, the following firms listed alphabetically were determined to be the most qualified of those submitting proposals. The ranking of first, second, and third designates the order of qualification of these firms to perform the required services.

1. Casseaux, Hewett & Walpole, Inc. d/b/a CHW
2. Connelly & Wicker, Inc.
3. Peters & Yaffe, Inc.

We recommend that the above list be forwarded to the Mayor for final selection.

JPP/lw

Attachment: Scoring Matrix

cc: Lori West, PW Contract Specialist

.nh

Evaluation Matrix

1 _____ EVALUATION SCALE _____ 20

PROJECT NO. P-19/20

QUALIFIED _____ EXTREMELY QUALIFIED _____

PROJECT TITLE: Professional Engineering Services for Eastport Road from Pulaski Road to Zoo Parkway

FIRM	COMPETENCE	CURRENT WORKLOAD	FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY	ABILITY TO OBSERVE COMPLIANCE WITH PLANS	PROFESSIONAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS RECORD	PROXIMITY TO PROJECT	Fee & Present Commitment to Engage & Ability to Secure Contributions Towards A Diverted Market Price	ABILITY TO DESIGN AN APPROACH AND WORK PLAN	Willingness to meet time & budget	VOLUME OF CURRENT AND PRIOR WORK FOR USING AGENCIES	OVERALL
MAXIMUM ASSESSED	10	10	5	10	5	10	10	20	10	10	100
Lochner	7.25	6.50	8.50	7.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	16.50	10.00	9.00	76.75
Benesch	7.00	8.25	5.00	7.00	4.00	4.00	6.00	15.50	9.00	9.00	74.75
Waltz & Moya	7.75	6.00	2.00	7.50	3.50	1.00	6.50	16.00	6.50	9.00	65.75
STV	8.75	6.00	5.00	8.00	4.00	6.00	6.00	16.50	7.50	7.00	74.75
GMT	7.75	7.00	4.00	6.30	3.00	1.50	2.00	13.00	8.50	10.00	63.05
C&ES	6.25	6.75	2.00	6.30	3.50	10.00	7.50	14.00	6.00	9.00	71.30
Connelly & Wicker	8.75	8.00	2.00	8.00	4.00	10.00	5.50	16.50	7.00	8.50	78.25
Kimley Horn	7.25	4.25	5.00	6.50	4.00	6.00	5.50	14.00	8.00	9.00	69.50
CHW	9.25	9.00	3.00	8.00	4.00	2.50	8.00	18.50	8.00	9.00	79.25
Peters & Yaffee	7.75	7.00	2.00	6.50	3.50	10.00	9.00	16.00	7.00	8.50	77.25
Prosser	6.75	5.50	3.00	8.00	4.00	10.00	4.50	15.00	9.00	9.00	74.75
RAM Professional Group	7.25	4.50	2.00	6.25	4.00	10.00	7.50	17.00	7.50	9.00	75.00
GAI	8.25	7.00	4.00	7.50	4.50	7.00	4.50	17.50	8.50	5.00	73.75