SUBJECT: **RFQ FOR DESIGN BUILD SERVICES BRIDGE MITIGATION IMPROVEMENTS MCCOY'S CREEK RAISE BRIDGES**

BID # **CDB-0050-21** OPEN DATE: **2021-02-10**

COMPETITIVE SEALED PROPOSAL EVALUATION COMMITTEE

KIND AND BASIS OF CONTRACT:
CONSTRUCTION – DESIGN/BUILD- PRE-QUALIFICATIONS

AGENCY: **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS**

BASIS OF AWARD: **EVALUATION CRITERIA**

NUMBER OF BIDS INVITED: 6 NUMBER RECEIVED: 3 OTHER: 0

SUMMARY OF BIDS AND RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:

The City of Jacksonville received three proposals all have been reviewed and ranked. Based on the average score, the following firms listed alphabetically were determined to be the most qualified of those submitting qualifications. Listed below are the top firms with scores listed alphabetically and ranking:

2) Hal Jones Contractor, LLC, a division of Vecellio & Grogan, Inc. – 89.00
1) Kiewit Infrastructure South Co. - 91.50
3) Superior Construction Co. SE, LLC- 74.00

Pursuant to Section 2.6- Award of Contract of RFP, recommend approval to move forward with presentation/interviews before CSPEC at next meeting.

Attachments: Recommendation Memo, Scoring Matrix, Section 2.6, Attachment B- Evaluation Criteria

ANALYST Marilyn Laidler
MARILYN LAIDLER

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED
GREGORY PEASE, CHIEF
PROCUREMENT DIVISION

CONCURRENCE BY: **Robin G. Smith, P.E., Chief, Engineering and Construction Management**

(ALL AWARD ACTIONS SUBJECT TO LAWFULLY APPROPRIATED FUNDS)
ACTION OF CSPEC COMMITTEE ON RECOMMENDATIONS ABOVE

MEMBERS APPROVING_____ MEMBERS DISAPPROVING_____ DATE:_____

_____ _____ OTHER_____

_____ _____

_____ _____

_____ _____

_____ _____

ACTION OF AWARDING AUTHORITY DATE _____

APPROVED_____ DISAPPROVED: _____

OTHER: _____

SIGNATURE OF AUTHENTICATION _____

City of Jacksonville, Florida

Lenny Curry, Mayor

Department of Public Works
Engineering & Construction Management Division
214 N. Hogan Street, 10th Floor
Jacksonville, FL 32202
(904) 255-8762
www.coj.net

ONE CITY. ONE JACKSONVILLE

July 13, 2021

TO: Gregory W. Pease
Chief of Procurement

FROM: John P. Pappas, P.E.
Director

Robin G. Smith, P.E.
Chief, Engineering and Construction Management

SUBJECT: CDB- 0050-21 – CSPEC-Presentation-
Design Build Services Bridge Mitigation Improvements (McCoy's Creek)
Raise Bridges

Three submittals were received from the City's Request for Qualifications (RFQ.) which have been reviewed and ranked. Based on the averaged score, the following firms are listed alphabetically. The ranking of first, second, and third designates the order of qualification of these firms to perform the required services.

2. Hal Jones Contractor, LLC, a division of Vecellio & Grogan, Inc.
1. Kiewit Infrastructure South Co.
3. Superior Construction Co. SE, LLC

In accordance with the RFQ requirements, oral interviews are to take place in front of the Evaluation Committee and under the observation of CSPEC. We anticipate needing twenty minutes for each presentation.

The evaluation committee for this proposal is as follows:

Nikita Reed, P.E., Public Works Project Manager
Steven D. Long, Jr., P.E., Chief, Right-of-Way and Stormwater Maintenance

Accordingly, we request your approval to schedule the oral interviews for CDB-0050-21 for Design Build Services Bridge Mitigation Improvements (McCoy's Creek) Raise Bridges.

JPP/lw

58

Bid: CDB-0050-21 DESIGN BUILD SERVICES BRIDGE MITIGATION IMPROVEMENTS (MCCOY'S CREEK) RAISE BRIDGES

Contractor Names		COMPETENCE	PAST AND PRESENT RECORD OF PROFESSIONAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS WITH CITY AGENCIES AND OTHERS	AVAILABILITY OF ADEQUATE PERSONNEL	CURRENT WORKLOAD	TIME & BUDGET	FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY	QUALITY CONTROL PLAN	TOTAL POINTS	Average
KIEWIT INFRASTRUCTURE SOUTH CO.	Nikita Reed	24	22	14	9	10	10	5	94	91.50
	Steve Long	23	21	14	7	9	10	5	89	
									0	
SUPERIOR CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	Nikita Reed	15	21	8	5	7	7	5	68	74.00
	Steve Long	24	20	6	8	9	8	5	80	
									0	
HAL JONES CONTRACTOR	Nikita Reed	19	20	14	10	10	9	4	86	89.00
	Steve Long	25	21	14	7	10	10	5	92	
									0	
									0	#DIV/0!
									0	
									0	

PQ- MARINE OR HORIZONTAL CATEGORY

TITLE:	RFQ FOR DESIGN BUILD SERVICES BRIDGE MITIGATION IMPROVEMENTS (MCCOY'S CREEK) RAISE BRIDGES- STOCKTON AND KING		KIEWIT INFRASTRUCTURE SOUTH CO.	SUPERIOR CONSTRUCTION COMPANY SOUTHEAST, LLC	HAL JONES CONTRACTOR- A DIVISION OF VECELLIO & GROGAN, INC.
BID NO.	CDB-0050-21 (PW)				
OPEN DATE:	FEBRUARY 10, 2021 @ 2:00 PM				
BUYER:	MARILYN LAIDLER, PURCHASING ANALYST				
No. Invited: (6)	No. Received (3) No Bids: (0)				
ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY			
	MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS				
1	BIDDER SHALL PROVIDE CURRENT PROOF OF ALL BUSINESS LICENSES REQUIRED BY LOCAL, STATE, AND FEDERAL LAW AS APPLICABLE		YES	YES	YES
2	BIDDERS MUST BE LICENSED GENERAL CONTRACTORS CURRENTLY LICENSED IN THE STATE OF FLORIDA. BIDDERS MUST BE PRE-QUALIFIED BY FDOT IN MINOR ROADWAY CONSTRUCTION, AND MINOR BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION. BIDDERS MUST BE JEA APPROVED RESPONSIBLE BIDDER. BIDDERS ARE REQUIRED TO MEET THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED WITHIN FLORIDA STATUTE 287.055 TO BE CLASSIFIED AS A DESIGN-BUILD FIRM AND BE AUTHORIZED TO ENTER INTO A DESIGN-BUILD CONTRACT IN THE STATE OF FLORIDA.		YES	YES	YES
3	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES REQUIREMENTS: FDOT PREQUALIFICATION IN MINOR HIGHWAY DESIGN (3.1) AND MINOR BRIDGE DESIGN (4.1.2). JEA APPROVED QUALIFIED PROPOSER.		YES	YES	YES
4	THE DESIGN BUILD FIRM SHALL BE ON THE CITY'S PRE-QUALIFIED CONTRACTORS LIST UNDER THE MARINE OR HORIZONTAL CATEGORY AT THE TIME OF SUBMITTING ITS SOQ.		YES	YES	YES
5	THE CONTRACTOR MUST HAVE THE ABILITY TO OBTAIN AND MAINTAIN LIABILITY INSURANCE AS REQUIRED BY THE CITY OF JACKSONVILLE AND MUST SUBMIT WITH THEIR RESPONSE, THE INSURANCE AGENT ACKNOWLEGEMENT-FORM 6.		YES	YES	YES
6	BIDDERS MUST POSSESS A LOCAL BUSINESS TAX CERTIFICATE, IF APPLICABLE.		YES	YES	YES
	CONFLICT OF INTEREST:		YES	YES	YES
	ADDENDUM(S) (1,2)		YES	YES	YES

MATRIX

TITLE: RFQ FOR DESIGN BUILD SERVICES BRIDGE MITIGATION IMPROVEMENTS (MCCOY'S CREEK) RAISE

BID NO. CDB-0050-21 (PW)

OPEN DATE: FEBRUARY 10, 2021 @ 2:00 PM

SCORER NAME: Nikita Reed SCORER SIGNATURE: Nikita Reed

EVALUATION TO BE USED BY SUBCOMMITTEE

RATING CATEGORY	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	TOTAL	
FIRM	I. COMPETENCE	II. Past and Present Record of Professional Accomplishments with City Agencies and Others.	III. AVAILABILITY OF ADEQUATE PERSONNEL	IV. CURRENT WORK LOAD	V. TIME & BUDGET	VI. FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY	VII. QUALITY CONTROL PLANS	OVERALL	RANKING
MAXIMUM POINTS	25	25	15	10	10	10	5	100	
KIEWIT INFRASTRUCUTRE SOUTH CO.	24	22	14	9	10	10	5	94	1
SUPERIOR CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	15	21	8	5	7	7	5	68	3
HAL JONES CONTRACTOR	19	20	14	10	10	9	4	86	2

MATRIX

TITLE: RFQ FOR DESIGN BUILD SERVICES BRIDGE MITIGATION IMPROVEMENTS (MCCOY'S CREEK) RAISE

BID NO. CDB-0050-21 (PW)

OPEN DATE: FEBRUARY 10, 2021 @ 2:00 PM

SCORER NAME: SCORER SIGNATURE:

EVALUATION TO BE USED BY SUBCOMMITTEE

RATING CATEGORY	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	TOTAL	
FIRM	I. COMPETENCE	II. Past and Present Record of Professional Accomplishments with City Agencies and Others.	III. AVAILABILITY OF ADEQUATE PERSONNEL	IV. CURRENT WORK LOAD	V. TIME & BUDGET	VI. FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY	VII. QUALITY CONTROL PLANS	OVERALL	RANKING
MAXIMUM POINTS	25	25	15	10	10	10	5	100	
KIEWIT INFRASTRUCUTRE SOUTH CO.	23	21	14	7	9	10	5	89	2
SUPERIOR CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	24	20	6	8	9	8	5	80	3
HAL JONES CONTRACTOR	25	21	14	7	10	10	5	92	1

Steven Lynch

Grading Matrix for CDB-0050-21.xls

DATE PRINTED: 6/15/2021

3) Two (2) digital scanned copies (in pdf format) of entire Response, on a separate CD-ROM or flash drive. Large files may be scanned as several separate PDF files.

4) One (1) REDACTED scanned copy of the Response (if necessary pursuant to Section 2.12). This copy should be marked "Confidential - Trade Secret" or something comparable to alert the reader of Bidder's claim of a public records exemption.

All copies are to be placed in a sealed package. The outside must be marked with (i) the RFQ title and number, and (ii) Bidder's name, address, contact person, and telephone number.

It is the sole responsibility of each Bidder to ensure all copies are EXACT duplicates of the original Response. Photocopies or CD copies will be used for the purpose of evaluating the Responses. Any information contained in the original Response which has not been transferred to the CDs or photocopies will NOT be considered. The original document will be used solely for official record keeping and auditing purposes.

2.5 **Evaluation of Statement of Qualifications.**

A. City will determine the qualifications, interest and availability of Design-Build Firms by reviewing all SOQ Responses and by conducting formal interviews of selected Design-Build Firms that are determined to be the best qualified based upon evaluation of the SOQ Responses.

B. The determination of which Design-Build Firms are "best qualified" will be based upon the evaluation criteria set forth in this RFQ on Attachment B, which criteria includes qualifications in accordance with Section 287.055, F.S. , and Part 7 of Chapter 126, Ordinance Code.

C. Before making an award, City reserves the right to seek clarifications, revisions, and information it deems necessary for the proper evaluation of the SOQ Responses. Failure to provide any requested clarifications, revisions or information may result in rejection of the SOQ Responses.

D. City reserves the right to accept or reject any and all SOQ Responses, or separable portions thereof, and to waive any minor irregularity, technicality, or omission if City determines that doing so will serve City's best interests. City may reject any Response not submitted in the manner specified by the RFQ.

2.6 **Award of Design-Build Contract.**

A. The process for contract award is set forth in Section 287.055, Florida Statutes, and Jacksonville Ordinance Code Chapter 126, Part 7. Generally, City will evaluate, select and rank, based on the highest to lowest evaluation score, three Design-Build Firms determined based on the evaluation to be the most qualified and advantageous to the City of Jacksonville, and conduct formal interviews with the each of the three-top ranked Firms. The relative importance (i.e., maximum scoring points) assigned to the Evaluation Criteria is set forth on Attachment B.

B. The three top-ranked Design-Build Firms will be scheduled for interviews before CSPEC, regarding their qualifications, organization, development documents, and ability to furnish the required design-build services in accordance with Section 287.055, F.S. and Chapter 126, Part 7. Once the interviews are completed, the CSPEC will forward their recommendation of rankings (first, second, and third ranked Firms) to the Mayor's Office for approval.

C. Once the City has obtained approval of the rankings, the City shall negotiate with the highest ranked Firm for professional design services pursuant to Section 287.055(5), F.S., at compensation which the City determines is fair, competitive and reasonable for the Project. Should the City be unable to negotiate satisfactory compensation with the highest ranked Firm, the City will terminate negotiations with the highest ranked Firm. The City shall then undertake negotiations with the second highest ranked Firm for compensation which the

Attachment B– Evaluation Criteria

General:

Upon approval of the ranking of DBF responses under each category, the City shall conduct oral interviews for the top ranked firms in accordance with Section 287.055, F.S.. The QES will again serve as the selection committee under the auspices of CSPEC. Separate criteria and schedules will be provided to the top ranked firms under consideration for the interviews.

At the conclusion of the ranking process, the City intends to select the top-ranked DBF as specified within this RFQ. The City intends to contract with the top-ranked DBF.

The City reserves its right to terminate the design build solicitation for any proposed project and transfer it for execution through the design-bid-build delivery method.

A DBF selected to receive a DCP for a specific project shall be required to retain all employees, consultants, sub-consultants, contractors, sub-contractors, and suppliers necessary for the proper execution of the project as it has been listed in the DBF SOQ submitted in response to this solicitation unless otherwise agreed between the parties and approved by the City.

I. EXPERIENCE, COMPETENCE IN, AND APPROACH TO SIMILAR DESIGN-BUILD PROJECTS (ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL). (25 Point Value)

Provide concise information pertaining to professional qualifications, experience, and competence of the Design Build Team or individual firms of the Design Build Team as follows:

1. Identify the lead organization and primary members of the Design Build Team. Name the DBF with whom the City will be contracting and identify if this will be a partnership, corporation, joint venture, etc.
2. Provide an organizational chart of the DBF team describing the role and responsibilities of each team member. Identify all team members that are pre-qualified by the City as a Jacksonville Small Emerging Business.
3. Provide proof of insurance and bonding capacity adequate to complete projects.
4. Provide proof of licensing including occupational license tax for all business entities and individual professional members of the DBF team.
5. The DBF team must include at a minimum the following Key Individuals in order for the SOQ to be deemed technically responsive. Identify the Key Individuals and the business entities (firms) by which those individuals are employed. Provide a clear definition of the role and responsibility of each Key Individual relative to the member firm.
   a. Project Principal – The Project Principal (Director) shall be the primary person in charge of and responsible for delivery of the Project in accordance with the Design Build Contract requirements. The Project Principal must have full authority to make the final decisions on behalf of the DBF and have responsibility for communicating these decisions to the City of Jacksonville, Florida. The Project Principal must have at least ten (10) years of requisite experience and provide at least five representative projects.
   b. Lead Design Professional – The Lead Design Professional shall be in charge of and responsible for all aspects of the design of the Project and shall be a Florida Registered Engineer or Architect or Landscape Architect. The Lead Design Professional shall have a minimum of ten (10) years of post-registration experience in design of similar public or private facility projects, including five (5) years of supervisory experience. The Lead Design Professional shall have coordination and project management responsibilities over the Project Design Team.
   c. Specialty Design Engineer, Architect, and Landscape Architect – The Specialty Design Engineers such as Civil, Traffic, Drainage, Structural, Electrical, as well as any other necessary design professional shall comprise the Project Design Team and be responsible for preparation of construction drawings, calculations and specifications in their respective specialty. Each Specialty Engineer and other design professional shall be licensed in the State of Florida with at least five (5) years of post-registration design experience. Each design professional shall provide at least five representative projects.
   d. Construction Manager – The Construction Manager shall report directly to the Project

(d) Submittal Reviews by the Department of Public Works or designated consultant; (e) Regular Construction Inspections performed by the Department of Public Works or designated consultant; and (f) Construction Material Testing conducted by the City's Testing Consultant.

VIII. SOQ POINT VALUE FOR EACH EVALUATION CRITERION

The maximum number of points as shown below may be awarded by the QES of the CSPEC to the DBF based on verifiable information provided in the SOQ for each evaluation category listed and described herein.

Failure to provide adequate information on any criterion will result in lower scores and could result in rejection of the Statement of Qualification as non-responsive.

The responses to each of the criterion will be evaluated relative to other responses received and awarded a score as listed in the table below.

Item in Attach B	Item's Title	Maximum Number of Points
I	Experience, competence in and approach to the design and construction of various similar design-build projects	25
II	Past professional accomplishments and performance	25
III	Availability of adequate personnel, equipment, and facilities	15
IV	Current Workload	10
V	Overall willingness to meet time and budget requirements for the projects	10
VI	Financial responsibility	10
VII	Quality control plan (design & construction)	5
Maximum Score		**100**

IX. ORAL INTERVIEWS

The Oral Interviews will have an additional value of twenty (20) points which will be added to the DBF Score from the evaluation of the SOQ. The three top-ranked DBFs from the SOQ Evaluation Process will be provided the criteria and scheduling information for the Oral Interviews. Final Ranking of the top three firms will be based upon the combination of scores combined from the SOQ Evaluation and the Evaluation of the Oral Interviews.